

Regional Climate Change Adaptation Systems Mapping



BARC Governing Board Meeting

May 19, 2023

AECOM and Nonlinear Ventures





This document has been created with accessibility in mind. If you require any assistance interpreting technical images, please contact Mimi Heft at <u>mheft@bayareametro.gov</u>.





Agenda

01 Project Objectives & Approach02 Case Studies & Regional Maps03 Emerging Observations04 Next Steps & Discussion





Project Overview & Objectives



Climate Adaptation in the Bay Area

Climate Hazards

Major climate hazards already impacting the Bay Area include:

Drought



Inland flooding

Extreme heat



Wildfire

Air quality



Coastal flooding

Climate Adaptation

"Adjustments in ecological, social or economic systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli and their effects. It refers to changes in processes, practices, and structures to moderate potential damages or to benefit from opportunities associated with climate change."

Source: United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change



Regionalism and Adaptation



"The region is the one that has the big picture. We see the patterns, we see the commonalities, we see the things that go wrong when people don't see the big picture. We see the cascading impacts and the unintended consequences, and it's our job to understand those, make those known, and set the standards that can prevent them from happening."

– BARC member agency interview with AECOM



Project Objectives



Map the Existing System

Delineate how **BARC member** agencies and state and federal partners currently address climate resilience and adaptation



Identify Challenges & Opportunities

Identify gaps, overlaps, and areas for improvement to support regional adaptation planning and implementation



Lay the Groundwork

Consider next steps to help advance adaptation planning and technical assistance in the region



Engagement Process – Regional Agencies & Stakeholders

| BARC PMT Meetings | | | | | Technical Assistance-Focused Stakeholder Engagement | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|---------------|--------------|
| Overall project dire | ction and feedback. | PMT members are de | enoted with a star (*) l | below. | Local gov't | 000 | |
| Interviews & Systems Maps Review | | | | | | CBOs | TA Providers |
| Discussions with staff including Program Directors, Program Managers, and Planners, about each agency's climate adaptation work: | | | | | Survey to BayCAN members | | |
| | | | | | Focus | Group Discu | ssions |
| MTC/ABAG BAAQMD | SCC | SF Bay WB | Caltrans D4 | BCDC | BayCA | N Quarterly N | leeting |
| Ashley Nguyen Caitlin Sweeney* Dave Vautin Georgia Dohrmann* Stefanie Hom* Jeanette Weisman Rachael Hartofelis* Heidi Nutters* Aleka Seville Wendy Goodfriend Abby Young Jamesine Gibson Rogers* Judith Cutino Ranyee Chiang Arena Flores | Jessica Davenport* Evyan Sloane | Lisa Horowitz McCann* Xavier Melanson- Fernandez Setenay Bozkurt- Frucht | Tanzeeba Kishwar Erik Alm* Vishal Ream-Rao* Ellen Doudna | Jessica Fain Dana Brechwald* Eric Buehmann Ethan Lavine Jackie Mandoske* Dan Hossfeld | | | |

BARC Executive Director and Governing Board Meetings

Guidance & input on process and findings.



Engagement Process – Federal and State Agencies













Region 9







US Army Corps of Engineers ® San Francisco District



Key Progress to Date

Documented climate adaptation actions being undertaken by regional, state, and federal agencies

2

Catalogued and diagrammed each BARC member agency's guiding strategies, research and planning, programs, and relationships on climate adaptation.

| | Federal | State | Regional |
|------------|---------|-------|----------|
| Facilitate | 67 | 167 | 81 |
| Research | 59 | 105 | 23 |
| Plan | 22 | 90 | 40 |
| Finance | 29 | 54 | 12 |
| Formalize | 23 | 49 | 16 |
| Implement | 11 | 35 | 19 |





Case Studies and Regional Maps

We will now move to Plectica to explore a selection of the systems maps developed for this project



Systems Mapping

Understand in detail how adaptation is happening in the region today based on interviews and research.





Observations



Regional adaptation activity varies greatly by climate hazard





Existing adaptation efforts are often piecemeal, despite substantial coordination



Agency adaptation efforts are limited by their authorities and jurisdictional boundaries.



Available resources, grant cycles, and funding drive much of current adaptation efforts – not strategic planning and needs on the ground.



With limited capacity and resources, agencies are often in emergency management mode in responding to climate hazards.



Barriers to scaling up adaptation planning and implementation



Inherent uncertainty about the scale and timing of climate impacts leads to uncertainty about the sequencing, timing, and prioritization of adaptation actions Agencies, partners, communities face a lack of capacity and expertise.



The current adaptation funding system is not designed to deliver funding equitably and effectively at the scale we need.





Next Steps & Discussion



Next Steps



2023



Questions to Consider for a Regional Multi-Hazard Adaptation Plan

1. Should there be regional coordination and leadership for every climate hazard? How can the region work together to address extreme heat, drought, or wildfire?

- 2. Where can **regional agencies bring the greatest value in advancing adaptation**?
- 3. How can we **balance the tension** between:
- The need to rapidly increase the scale and speed of adaptation efforts; and
- The time and resources necessary for an inclusive community-based planning process and the imperative to address equity?





Questions and Discussion





Appendix



Agency Map Layout

- □ Agency Programs: Only adaptation programs are included here, not mitigation programs or general operations.
- □ **Guiding Plans:** Key documents that inform adaptation strategy and implementation. The list is not intended to be comprehensive.
- □ **Communities Served:** Primary audiences of each program, with the understanding that most programs touch most audiences in some way.
- **Gaps:** Gaps raised during agency interviews.
- Engaged Stakeholders: An agency's relationships, including funders, collaborators, and regulators, etc. Specific links are illustrated with a colored dot when known. County/municipal stakeholders are generalized due to the number involved.
- □ Hazards of Concern: Primary hazards are indicated with a thick arrow. Other hazards considered have a thin arrow.



Regional Agency Systems Maps

System maps of each of the regional agencies are linked below. You do not need a Plectica account (free) to view.

- BAAQMD
- <u>BCDC</u>
- <u>Caltrans D4</u>
- MTC-ABAG
- <u>MTC-ABAG SFEP</u>
- <u>SCC</u>
- SF Bay Water Board

