Date: October, 22, 2025

W.I.: 1251

Referred by: BATA Oversight Committee

ABSTRACT

Resolution No. 189

This resolution concurs with Contra Costa Transportation Authority's resolution to designate the City of Richmond as an Implementing Agency for the RM3 Richmond-San Rafael (RSR) Bridge Access Improvements - Point Richmond Traffic Improvements Project.

- Attachment A: RM3 Project 25: Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements, Contra Costa County Subprojects
- Attachment B: Point Richmond Traffic Improvements Initial Project Report Form
- Attachment C: Point Richmond Traffic Improvements Initial Project Report Excel Spreadsheet
- Attachment D: Point Richmond Traffic Improvements Allocation Request Form
- Attachment E: Point Richmond Traffic Improvements Allocation Request Excel Spreadsheet

Further discussion of this action is contained in the BATA Oversight Committee Summary Sheet dated October 8, 2025.

Date: October, 22, 2025

W.I.: 1251

Referred by: BATA Oversight Committee

RE: Regional Measure 3 Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements: Resolution
Concurring with Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) Designating City of
Richmond as Implementing Agency for Point Richmond Traffic Improvements Project

BAY AREA TOLL AUTHORITY RESOLUTION NO. 189

Sponsor Agency: Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA)

Implementing Agency: City of Richmond

Project Title: (25) Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements

Subproject Title: (25.5) Title: Point Richmond Traffic Improvements

WHEREAS, SB 595 (Chapter 650, Statutes 2017), commonly referred as Regional Measure 3, identified projects eligible to receive funding under the Regional Measure 3 Expenditure Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is responsible for funding projects eligible for Regional Measure 3 funds, pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 30914.7(a) and (c); and

WHEREAS, MTC has established a process whereby eligible transportation project sponsors may submit allocation requests for Regional Measure 3 funding; and

WHEREAS, allocation requests to MTC must be submitted consistent with procedures and conditions as outlined in Regional Measure 3 Policies and Procedures (MTC Resolution No. 4404; and

WHEREAS, BATA and CCTA are eligible project sponsors for the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements project in Contra Costa County, and both agencies will approve Initial Project Reports for the elements of the project according to the list of sponsoring agencies and in the amounts identified in Attachment A to this resolution; and

WHEREAS, Project 25, Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements, and Subproject 25.5, Point Richmond Traffic Improvements are eligible for consideration in the Regional Measure 3 Expenditure Plan, as identified in California Streets and Highways Code Section 30914.7(a); and

WHEREAS, the Regional Measure 3 updated Initial Project Report (IPR) in Attachments B and C, and allocation request in Attachments D and E, attached hereto and incorporated herein as though set forth at length, lists the project, purpose, schedule, budget, expenditure and cash flow plan for which CCTA is designating the City of Richmond as an implementing agency to request RM3 funds; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that BATA concurs with CCTA on designating the City of Richmond as an implementing agency; and

RESOLVED, that BATA confirms that the implementing agency is required to comply with the provisions of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission's Regional Measure 3 Policies and Procedures; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Project is consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP); and be it further

RESOLVED, that BATA concurs with the allocation request and IPR, attached to this resolution; and be it further

RESOLVED, that BATA recommends that MTC approve one or more allocation requests for RM3 funding totaling up to \$780,000; and be it further

BAY AREA TOLL AUTHORITY

RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution shall be transmitted to MTC.

Sue Noack, Chair

The above resolution was entered into by the Bay Area Toll Authority at a regular meeting of the Commission held in San Francisco, California and at other remote locations on October 22, 2025.

Date: October 22, 2025

W.I.: 1251

Referred by: BATA Oversight

Attachment A Resolution No. 189 Page 1 of 1

RM3 Project 25: Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements, Contra Costa County Subprojects

Sub-	Project Title	Sponsor	Implementing	RM3
project		Agency	Agency	Amount
#				(thousands)
25.2	I-580 Richmond Parkway Interchange	BATA	BATA	\$ 7,000
	Operational Improvements			
25.3	Cutting Boulevard Transit Improvements	BATA	AC Transit	\$10,000
25.4	Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Open Road	BATA	BATA	\$5,000
	Tolling and Westbound I-580 High			
	Occupancy Vehicle Lane			
25.5	Point Richmond Traffic Improvements	CCTA	City of	\$780
			Richmond	
25.6	Richmond Wellness Trail Phase II	BATA	City of	\$2,500
			Richmond	
25.7	Neighborhood Complete Streets Project	BATA	City of	\$7,500
			Richmond	

Note that specific projects for the balance of funds will be coordinated between BATA and CCTA at a later date.



Initial Project Report

Project/Subproject Details

Basic Project Information

Project Number	25
Project Title	Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements (Contra Costa
	County)
RM3 Funding Amount	\$75,000,000

Subproject Information

Subproject Number	25.5
Subproject Title	Point Richmond Traffic Improvements
RM3 Funding Amount	\$780,000

I. Overall Subproject Information

a. Project Sponsor / Co-sponsor(s) / Implementing Agency

Sponsor: CCTA

Implementing Agency: City of Richmond

b. Detailed Project Description (include definition of deliverable segment if different from overall project/subproject)

The Richmond-San Rafael Bridge (RSRB) along Interstate 580 (I-580) is a critical interregional route connecting the City of Richmond and the East Bay to Marin County. Congestion on the westbound I-580 approach to the RSRB contributes to vehicles cutting through the Point Richmond neighborhood to avoid the congestion on the freeway mainline, with those vehicles rejoining westbound I-580 at the Castro Street interchange.

Site observations indicate that morning congestion on westbound Interstate 580 approaching the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge and the relative travel time savings by using local streets incentivizes drivers to use local cut-through routes to avoid the congestion. During the morning peak, multiple local routes have a lower real and perceived travel time than staying on the freeway. Multiple context-specific strategies will be implemented for disincentivizing cut-through traffic, including changing signal times along Cutting Boulevard for cut-through turning movements, narrowing and striping lanes on Railroad

Avenue, and adding speed humps, raised crossings, and a new all-way stop in the Point Richmond neighborhood to slow vehicle traffic. Furthermore, the Interstate 580/Richmond Parkway projects, currently underway, will help address other cut- through routes near Canal Boulevard and Castro Street.

The purpose of these strategies is threefold: to modify the actual travel time along cut- through routes, modify the perceived travel time, and improve conditions for people walking and bicycling in the area. These strategies are targeted at the average morning peak period; highly congested mornings due to above average vehicle volumes or a collision on the freeway may still result in cut-through traffic. However, by adjusting the habits of drivers on the average morning, these strategies reduce the likelihood drivers will choose to take local cut-through routes on highly congested mornings.

c.	Impediments	to Pro	ject Com	pletion
----	-------------	--------	----------	---------

N/A

d. Risk Management (describe risk management process for project budget and schedule, levels of contingency and how they were determined, and risk assessment tools used)


No risks identified at this time.

e. Operability (describe entities responsible for operating and maintaining project once completed/implemented)

The City of Richmond will be responsible for operating and maintaining the project

f. Project Graphic(s) (include below or attach)









II. Project Phase Description and Status

a. Planning tasks is complete. CEQA CE is anticipated.

b. Design

Design will be required.

c. Right-of-Way Activities / Acquisition

Right-of-way acquisition not anticipated.

d. Construction

Construction will be required.

III. Project Schedule

Phase-Milestone	Planned			
T Hase-winestone	Start Date	Completion Date		
Environmental Studies, Preliminary Eng. (ENV / PE / PA&ED)	6/2025	8/2025		
Final Design - Plans, Specs. & Estimates (PS&E)	10/2025	4/2026		
Right-of-Way Activities /Acquisition (R/W)	n/a	n/a		
Construction (Begin – Open for Use) / Acquisition (CON)	5/2026	9/2026		

IV. Project Budget

Capital

Project Budget	Total Amount - Escalated to Year of Expenditure (YOE)- (Thousands)
Environmental Studies & Preliminary Eng (ENV / PE / PA&ED)	\$5
Design - Plans, Specifications and Estimates (PS&E)	\$180
Right-of-Way Activities /Acquisition (R/W)	n/a
Construction / Rolling Stock Acquisition (CON)	\$600
Total Project Budget (in thousands)	\$785

V. Project Funding

Please provide a detailed funding plan in the Excel portion of the IPR. Use this section for additional detail or narrative as needed and to describe plans for any "To Be Determined" funding sources, including phase and year needed.

These improvements are eligible for RM3 Project funds. RM3 funds are the sole source of funding for the project, except for the \$5,000 in local funds that will be utilized to environmentally clear the project.

VI. Contact/Preparation Information

Contact for Project Sponsor

Name: Hisham Noeimi

Title: Director, Programming

Phone: 925-256-4731 Email: hnoeimi@ccta.net

Mailing Address: 2999 Oak Road, Suite 100, CA 94597

Person Preparing Initial Project Report (if different from above)

Hillal Hamdan

Title: Senior Civil Engineer, Public Works

Phone: (510) 621-1612 Cell Phone (510) 631-1398

Hillal_Hamdan@ci.richmond.ca.us

Intitial Project Report - Subproject Report

Funding Plan - Deliverable Segment - Fully funded phase or segment of total project

780

Project Title: Subproject Title Project/Subproject Number: Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements Point Richmond Traffic Improvements

Total RM3 Funding: (add rows as necessary)

RM3 Deliverable Segment Fundin	ng Plan - Funding	by planned year	of allocation												
														Amount	Amount
												Future	Total Amount	Expended	Remaining
Funding Source		Prior	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	committed	(\$ thousands)	(\$ thousands)	(\$ thousands)
ENV									1	1	1			1	
RM-3							\$ -		\$ -				\$ -		\$ -
Local									\$ 5				\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
								1.					\$ -		\$ -
ENV Subtotal	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ -
PSE			_						,	,	,		,	,	
RM-3				ļ				\$ -	\$ 180				\$ 180		\$ 180
													\$ -		\$ -
								1					\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
PSE Subtotal	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 180	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 180	\$ -	\$ 180
ROW															
RM-3													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
ROW Subtotal	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
CON															
RM-3									\$ 600				\$ 600		\$ 600
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
				ļ				1					\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
													\$ -		\$ -
CON Subtotal	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600		\$ 600
RM-3 Funding Subtotal	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 780		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 780		\$ 780
Capital Funding Total	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 785	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 785	\$ 5	\$ 780

Regional Measure 3 Intitial Project Report - Subproject Report Cash Flow Plan

Project Title: Subproject Title Project/Subproject Number:

Total RM3 Funding:

Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements
Point Richmond Traffic Improvements

25.5 \$ 780

(please include all planned funding, add rows as necessary)

RM3 Cash Flow Plan for Deliverable Segment - Funding by planned year of expenditure

RM3 Cash Flow Plan for Deliverable S	segment - runuing by planned	year or expenditt	are								Future	Total Amount
Funding Source	Prior	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	committed	(\$ thousands)
ENV												•
RM 3						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -				\$ -
Local								\$ 5				\$ 5
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
ENV Subtotal	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5
PSE												
RM 3							\$ -	\$ 180				\$ 180
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
PSE Subtotal	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 180	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 180
ROW												
RM 3												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
ROW Subtotal	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
CON												
RM 3							\$ -	\$ 550	\$ 50			\$ 600
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
												\$ -
CON Subtotal	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 550			\$ -	\$ 600
RM 3 Funding Subtotal	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 730			\$ -	\$ 780
Capital Funding Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 735	\$ 50	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 785

Regional Measure 3 Intitial Project Report - Subproject Report Estimated Budget Plan

 Project Title:
 Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements


 Subproject Title
 Point Richmond Traffic Improvements

 Project/Subproject Number:
 25.5

 Total RM3 Funding:
 \$ 780

 Direct Labor of Implementing Agency (specify by name a 	ind			
job function)	Estimated Hours	Rate/Hour		imated cost
Environmental and outreach activities			\$	Į.
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
Direct Labor Subtotal	·	•	\$	
2. Overhead and direct benefits (specify)	Rate	x Base	•	
		\$ -		
		0		
		0		
		0		
		0		
		0		
Overhead and Benefit Subtotal		•	\$	-
3. Direct Capital Costs (include engineer's estiamte on				
construction, right-of-way, or vehicle acquisition	Unit (if applicable)	Cost per unit	Total Est	imated cost
Construction Contract			\$	600
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
Direct Capital Costs Stubtotal			Ś	600
4. Consultants (Identify purpose and/or consultant)			Total Est	imated cost
Final Design			\$	180
			\$	-
Constultants Subtotal			\$	180
5. Other direct costs			Total Est	imated cost
Other Direct Costs Subtotal			\$	-

Comments:



Allocation Request

RM3 Project Information

Project Number	25
Project Title	Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements (Contra Costa
	County)
Project Funding Amount	\$75,000,000

Subproject Information (if different from overall RM3 project)

Subproject Number	25.5
Subproject Title	Point Richmond Traffic Improvements
Subproject Funding	\$780,000
Amount	

RM3 Allocation History (Add lines as necessary)

	MTC Approval Date	Amount	Phase
#1:			
#2			
#3			

Total: \$

Current Allocation Request:

Request submittal date	Amount	Phase
August 2025	\$180,000	PS&E

I. RM3 Allocation Request Information

a. Describe the current status of the project, including any progress since the last allocation request or IPR update, if applicable.

The Richmond-San Rafael Bridge (RSRB) along Interstate 580 (I-580) is a critical interregional route connecting the City of Richmond and the East Bay to Marin County. Congestion on the westbound I-580 approach to the RSRB contributes to vehicles cutting through the Point Richmond neighborhood to avoid the congestion on the freeway mainline, with those vehicles rejoining westbound I-580 at the Castro Street interchange.

Site observations indicate that morning congestion on westbound Interstate 580 approaching the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge and the relative travel time savings by using local streets incentivizes drivers to use local cut-through routes to avoid the congestion. During the morning peak, multiple local routes have a lower real and perceived travel time than staying on the freeway. Multiple context-specific strategies will be implemented for disincentivizing cut-through traffic, including changing signal times along Cutting Boulevard for cut-through turning movements, narrowing and striping lanes on Railroad Avenue, and adding speed humps, raised crossings, and a new all-way stop in the Point Richmond neighborhood to slow vehicle traffic. Furthermore, the Interstate 580/Richmond Parkway projects, currently underway, will help address other cut- through routes near Canal Boulevard and Castro Street.

The purpose of these strategies is threefold: to modify the actual travel time along cutthrough routes, modify the perceived travel time, and improve conditions for people walking and bicycling in the area. These strategies are targeted at the average morning peak period; highly congested mornings due to above average vehicle volumes or a collision on the freeway may still result in cut-through traffic. However, by adjusting the habits of drivers on the average morning, these strategies reduce the likelihood drivers will choose to take local cut-through routes on highly congested mornings.

The City completed a study in December 2024 to evaluate cut-through traffic and identify the aforementioned strategies to reduce the attractiveness of these routes during the morning peak period in Point Richmond. The City filed the CEQA Notice of Exemption on August 8, 2025.

b. Describe the scope of the allocation request. Provide background and other details as necessary. The scope must be consistent with the RM3 statute. If the scope differs from the most recent IPR for this project, please describe the reason for any changes here; a revised IPR may be necessary.

The allocation request is to complete the design phase of the proposed projects described above.

c. Deliverable segment budget – please fill out attached Excel file. If the budget differs from the most recent IPR for this project, please describe the reason for any changes here; a revised IPR may be necessary.

The scope and cost of the project have been refined.

d. Schedule – what is the expected completion date of the phase for this allocation? Describe any significant milestones.

April 2026 – complete final design

e. If the project received an RM3 Letter of No Prejudice, how much has been spent against the approved RM3 LONP amount? (Note: the scope and RM3 amount for this allocation request should match the approved LONP)

N/A

f. Request Details

Amount being requested	\$180,000
Project phase being requested	PS&E
Are there other fund sources involved in this phase?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Date of anticipated Implementing Agency Board approval of RM3 Allocation Request resolution for the allocation being requested	Sep 24, 2025
Month/year being requested for MTC commission approval of allocation	Oct 2025

Note: Allocation requests are recommended to be submitted to MTC staff for review sixty (60) days prior to action by the Implementing Agency Board

g. List any other planned bridge toll allocation requests in the next 12 months

CON: \$600,000 - April 2026

Allocation Request

Funding Plan - Deliverable Segment - Fully funded phase or segment of total project

780

Project Title: Subproject Title Project/Subproject Number: Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements
Point Richmond Traffic Improvements

Total RM3 Funding:

(add rows as necessary)

RM3 Deliverable Segment F	unding Plan - Funding by planned	year of allocation														
												Future	Total Amount	Amount Expended		mount maining
Funding Source	Phase	Prior	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	committed	(\$ thousands)	(\$ thousands)		ousands)
RM3	ENV	Prior	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	\$ -	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	committed	(\$ triousarius)	(\$ triousands)	Ś	Jusanusj
Local	ENV							\$ 5					\$ 5	ė c	\$	-
LUCAI	EIVV							3 3					\$ -	3 3	\$	
													\$ -		Ś	_
													\$ -		\$	-
													\$ -		Ś	-
ENV Subtotal		\$ -	\$ -	Ś -	¢ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	ς -	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$	-
RM 3	PSE	Ÿ	7	Ÿ	Ÿ	,	Ÿ	\$ 180		Ÿ	Ÿ	,	\$ 180	y J	\$	180
	PSE							ÿ 100					\$ -		Ś	-
	1.50												\$ -		\$	-
													š -		Ś	-
													ś -		Ś	
													\$ -		\$	-
PSE Subtotal		\$ -	Ś -	Ś -	\$ -	Ś -	Ś -	\$ 180	Ś -	\$ -	\$ -	Ś -	\$ 180	Ś -	\$	180
RM 3	ROW		,	•	,	'		,			,		\$ -	•	\$	-
	ROW												\$ -		Ś	-
													\$ -		Ś	-
													\$ -		Ś	-
													\$ -		\$	-
													\$ -		\$	-
ROW Subtotal		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
RM 3	CON							\$ 600					\$ 600		\$	600
	CON												\$ -		\$	-
													\$ -		\$	-
													\$ -		\$	-
													\$ -		\$	-
													\$ -		\$	-
													\$ -		\$	-
													\$ -		\$	
													\$ -		\$	-
													\$ -		\$	-
													\$ -		\$	-
CON Subtotal		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600	\$ -	\$	600
RM 3 Funding Subtotal		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 780	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 780	\$ -	\$	780
Capital Funding Total		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 785	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 785	\$ 5	\$	780

Regional Measure 3 Allocation Request Cash Flow Plan

Project Title: Subproject Title Project/Subproject Number: Total RM3 Funding: Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements
Point Richmond Traffic Improvements
25.5
\$ 780

(please include all planned funding, add rows as necessary)
Please update the columns below based on your allocation m

RM3 Cash Flow Plan for Deliverable Segment - Funding by requested ex	

unding Source(s)		B.1	C 22	0.4.22		022	2024 Q1 (Jan - March	2024 Q2	2024 Q3	2024 Q4	2025 Q1	2025 Q2	2025 Q3	2025 Q4	2026 Q1	2026 Q2	2026 Q3 (July- Sept	2026 Q4			Amount Expended	
ist all funding sources besides RM3		Prior	Sep-23	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	2024)	(April - June 2024)	(July- Sept 2024)	(Oct - Dec 2024)	(Jan - March 2025)	(April - June 2025)	(July- Sept 2025)	(Oct - Dec 2025)	(Jan - March 20	26) (April - June 2026)	2026)	(Oct - Dec 2026)	(if applicable)	(\$ thousands)	(\$ thousands)	(\$ thousands
tM 3 ocal	ENV							\$ -					\$ -							\$ -	\$ 5	\$
ocai													\$ 5							3 3	2 2	3
																				\$ -		\$
																				7		\$
																				\$ -		\$
NV Subtotal	-	٠.					٠							٠.	٠ .	٠.	c .	٠.		5 -	\$ 5	
M 3	PSE	\$ -					\$ ·	\$ -					\$ -	7		20	\$ -	> -	\$ ·	\$ 180		Š
MI 3	F3E												, -	3 130	J.	30				\$ -		\$
																	1			\$ -		,
															1			1		s -		\$
	1																1			\$.		*
																				s .		\$
SE Subtotal		c					¢ .							\$ 150	c	20 S -	c .	c .		\$ 180	c	Š
	ROW	, -					, -	,					,	3 130	3	30 3 -	3 -	,	,	\$.	,	3
m 3	now.																					•
																				ς -		ć
																				ς .		\$
																				\$ -		\$
																				ς .		\$
OW Subtotal	'	s -					s -	\$ -					s -	s -	s .	s -	s -	s -	s -	š -	s -	Š
	CON													s -	Ś .	\$ 550	S 50			\$ 600		S
																				\$ -		\$
																				\$ -		S
																				\$ -		\$
																				\$ -		\$
																				\$ -		\$
																				\$ -		\$
																				\$ -		\$
						,		1	· ·	1	1		· ·	1	1		1	1		\$ -		\$
																				\$ -		\$
·						,		1	· ·	1	1		· ·	1	1		1	1		\$ -		\$
ON Subtotal		\$ -					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ 550		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600	\$ -	\$
M 3 Funding Subtotal		\$ -					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			30 \$ 550	\$ 50		\$ -	\$ 780		
apital Funding Total		¢ .					٠.	¢ .	¢ .	ć .	¢ .	ć .	ć c	\$ 150	c	30 \$ 550	¢ 50	s -	٠ .	\$ 785	\$ 5	s :

Regional Measure 3 Allocation Request Estimated Budget Plan

 Project Title:
 Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements

 Subproject Title
 Point Richmond Traffic Improvements

 Project/Subproject Number:
 25.5

 Total RM3 Funding:
 \$ 780

1. Direct Labor of Implementing Agency (specify by name	and			
job function)	Estimated Hours	Rate/Hour	Total Esti	mated cost
Environmental and outreach activities			\$	Ĺ
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
Direct Labor Subtotal			\$	Ţ
2. Overhead and direct benefits (specify)	Rate	x Base		
Overhead		\$ -		
		\$ -		
			_	
		\$ -		
		\$ -		
O		\$ -	ć	
Overhead and Benefit Subtotal 3. Direct Capital Costs (include engineer's estiamte on			\$	-
construction, right-of-way, or vehicle acquisition	Unit (if applicable)	Cost per unit	Total Esti	mated cost
Construction Contract	Offit (if applicable)	Cost per unit	\$	600
Construction Contract			7	000
			\$	_
			\$	_
			\$	-
Direct Capital Costs Stubtotal			\$	600
2 in cost dupital costs statistics.			<u> </u>	
1. Consultants (Identify nurnose and/or consultant)			Total Esti	mated cost
4. Consultants (Identify purpose and/or consultant)				mated cost
			\$	-
4. Consultants (Identify purpose and/or consultant) Final Design			\$	-
			\$	- 180
			\$	- 180
			\$	- 180
Final Design			\$ \$ \$	- 180 -
			\$	- 180 -
Final Design Constultants Subtotal			\$ \$ \$	- 180 - 180
Final Design Constultants Subtotal			\$ \$ \$	- 180 -
Final Design Constultants Subtotal			\$ \$ \$	- 180 - 180
Final Design Constultants Subtotal			\$ \$ \$	- 180 - 180
Final Design Constultants Subtotal			\$ \$ \$	- 180 - 180
Final Design Constultants Subtotal			\$ \$ \$	- 180 - 180
			\$ \$ \$	- 180 - 180
Final Design Constultants Subtotal			\$ \$ \$	- 180 - 180

Comments: