

**Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Programming and Allocations Committee**

December 13, 2023

Agenda Item 4a - 23-1443

MTC Resolution No. 4603, Revised

Subject:

Updates to the 2024 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) Policies and Procedures and Program of Projects for the 2024 RTIP, totaling \$226 million in new programming for the Bay Area.

Background:

MTC is responsible for developing the region's funding priorities for the RTIP and submitting the proposed projects to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) for adoption into the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). MTC approved Resolution No. 4603 in September, which established policies, procedures, project criteria, schedule, and funding targets for the 2024 RTIP. This revision to the resolution adopts MTC's program of projects due to the CTC by December 15, 2023; the CTC will adopt the 2024 STIP in March 2024.

The 2024 STIP covers the fiscal years 2024-25 through 2028-29 and provides about \$214 million in new programming capacity to the nine-county MTC region. The Bay Area County Transportation Agencies (CTAs) submitted their final project nominations to MTC in November, which included updates to existing project funding plans and schedules.

Policies and Procedures Updates

Staff proposes two revisions to the 2024 RTIP Policies and Procedures. First to update the County Programming Priorities for San Francisco County to reflect the County's deviation from the original commitment fulfillment plan. As a part of the final RTIP project submittal, San Francisco County elected to deviate from the original commitment plan, in favor of the Caltrain Downtown Extension to Transbay Transit Center project over the remaining commitments to the Central Subway and the Bay Area Forward/Express Lane Program projects. The Caltrain Downtown Extension to Transbay Transit Center project team must demonstrate a full funding plan for the project as a prerequisite for meeting the Federal Transit Administration's (FTA) Capital Investment Grant engineering phase requirements. MTC staff recognizes this change as an efficient use of available programming capacity and concurs with advancing the Downtown

Extension project. Second, staff proposes to update the Transit-Oriented Communities (TOC) Policy language to align with the final draft guidance, requiring project sponsors to comply with relevant TOC Policy requirements before MTC adoption of the 2026 STIP. As stated in the final draft TOC guidance, MTC will provide an online submission form to streamline the process for local jurisdictions to demonstrate compliance with TOC Policy requirements and technical support from MTC to assist with the compliance process will begin in 2024.

2024 RTIP Program of Projects Highlights

The table below summarizes MTC’s proposed RTIP programming by county. The table also identifies MTC’s regional programming capacity of \$46 million, which the Commission reserved for Housing Incentive Pool (HIP) Program. Since HIP results will not be finalized until the spring and the next opportunity to program HIP projects in the STIP would be as part of the 2026 RTIP, staff recommends fully programming the \$46 million regional set aside this cycle to expedite the programming and delivery of HIP projects. Attachments 1, 2, and 3 include additional discussion and analysis of MTC’s proposed 2024 RTIP.

County All Figures in \$1,000s	New Programming Target (a)	Regional Programming Capacity	New Proposed Programming (c)	Programming Balance (a+b-c)
Alameda	\$59,173	\$5,063	\$64,236	\$0
Contra Costa	\$12,064	\$31,090	\$43,154	\$0
Marin	\$394	\$571	\$965	\$0
Napa	\$256	\$376	\$2,632	(\$2,000)
San Francisco	\$36,042	\$1,548	\$37,590	\$0
San Mateo	\$37,402	\$1,598	\$36,680	\$2,320
Santa Clara	\$18,311	\$3,632	\$21,943	\$0
Solano	\$686	\$945	\$1,631	\$0
Sonoma	\$16,322	\$1,177	\$17,465	\$34
Total	\$180,650	\$46,000	\$226,296	\$354

Senate Bill 1 Competitive Programs Match

Two projects are being proposed in the 2024 RTIP to match proposed 2024 Cycle 4 SB1 competitive program nominations. The Alameda County Transportation Commission (ACTC) proposes \$35.3 million for the Oakland Alameda Access project; San Mateo County Transportation Authority (SMCTA) proposes \$30.7 million for the US 101 Managed Lanes – Route 380 to SF County Line project. Note that programming STIP funds to these SB1 candidate projects does not prejudice MTC’s SB1 project selection process, expected in Spring 2024. If an SB1 nomination is not awarded funding, MTC may propose substitute STIP projects to replace STIP funds matching unsuccessful nominated projects. MTC staff will work with the unsuccessful county(ies) to identify replacement STIP projects and may return to this committee to approve the change(s) via STIP amendment.

County Share Advancements

Only the Napa Valley Transportation Authority (NVTA) requested advancing RTIP funds for the 2024 RTIP. NVTA requests \$2 million to the construction phase of the Napa Valley Vine Trail: St. Helena to Yountville (Vine Trail) project. The programming request is a result of the STIP project savings from the SR-29/12/221 Soscol Junction project, which returned to the county’s STIP share for future programming. Of the \$5.1 million in savings from Soscol Junction, NVTA requested \$3.1 million to repay the county’s negative RTIP balance and \$2 million to be programmed to Napa County’s Napa Valley Vine Trail: St. Helena to Yountville project. The proposed county share advancement is consistent with commission action in June 2022, and staff expects the advancement to be repaid in full through new funding available in the 2026 STIP.

Issues:

None

Recommendations:

Refer MTC Resolution No. 4603, Revised to the Commission for approval, and direct staff to transmit the draft RTIP list to the California Transportation Commission after Committee referral.

Attachments:

- Attachment 1: MTC 2024 RTIP Summary
- Attachment 2: MTC 2024 RTIP Programming Summary Charts
- Attachment 3: Summary of 2024 RTIP Changes to Existing Projects
- Attachment 4: MTC Resolution No. 4603, Revised



Andrew B. Fremier