

City of Palo Alto 6th Cycle RHNA Appeal

ABAG Administrative Committee

October 22, 2021



City Appeal

In accordance with Government Code Section 65584.05, the City submits an appeal of its RHNA on the following bases:

- 1. RHNA calculated with technical errors in modeling
- 2. Fails to consider local planning factors
- 3. Significant and unforeseen change in circumstance





City of Palo Alto Affordable Housing Activity

- Active in affordable housing
 - One of oldest inclusionary housing programs in the State
 - Over 2200 units of affordable housing
 - One VLI project under construction
 - One teacher housing project under development
 - One project for developmentally disabled proposed

• Other Housing Services

- Opportunity Center 88 supportive housing units serving homeless including medical and psychiatric services.
- Proposing second 80+ unit emergency shelter with Home Key funding



Staff discovered school district sites and sites with projected densities ranging 360-1,625 dus/acre.

- City has no jurisdiction over school sites
- Unrealistic densities led to higher PBA projection thus RHNA

Request reduction by 185 units



Palo Alto Unified School District Sites

	Address	Projected Units	Comment
Frank Greene Middle	750 N. California Ave.	16	School District Property
School Herbert Hoover	445 E. Charleston Rd.	77	School District Property
Elementary			
Total		93	

Sites with unrealistic densities

Address	Parcel Area (square foot)	2050	Projected 2050	Realistic High Density	Unit Discrepancy
		Projection	Density (units / acre)	Yield* (120 du/acre)	
1725 Alma	7500	62	360	21	-41
2040 Yale	5062	99	690	17	-82
720 California	5750	99	750	16	-83
16 Churchill	6300	99	685	17	-82
2195 Alma	5625	62	480	15	-47
33 Encina	24286	906	1,625	67	-839
Total Units		1514		257	-1,164

Total number of units in error: 1257 units



Local Planning Factors

City Office Cap

- Penalized for proactive measure
- Instituted in 2015 with recent revision in 2018 to lower cap
- Restricts Annual Office Development
 - Over past two years, reduced office development by 40,000 sq. ft. per year.
 - Equates to a reduction of 1100 workers over planning period.

Request reduction of 285 units



Local Planning Factors

Disconnect between PBA 2050 and RHNA

- City RHNA half of 30-year PBA projection
 - PBA 2050 projects City growth of approximately 12,800 households
 - Anticipated RHNA is 6,086 units
 - Should be 1/3 of projection or approximately 4,300 units per planning period

Request a reduction of 1,700 units



Unforeseen Circumstances

Understated COVID-19 impacts

- Higher percentage of telecommuters than projected
 - 17% modeled but studies show over 23% full time with additional 15% part time
 - Equates to a reduction of approximately 8,170 fewer workers in the City
- City is a high job producer and COVID has significantly impacted development feasibility
 - Need more research to fully understand COVID impacts

Request a reduction of 345 units





Summary

City received between 530-2,515 more units than warranted.

Appeal Basis	Requested Reduction
Technical Error	185
Local Planning Factors	1,985
Unforeseen Circumstance	345
Total	2,515

Request a total reduction of 1,500 housing units

