

City of Palo Alto 6th Cycle RHNA Appeal

ABAG Administrative Committee

October 22, 2021



City Appeal

In accordance with Government Code Section 65584.05, the City submits an appeal of its RHNA on the following bases:

- 1. RHNA calculated with technical errors in modeling
- 2. Fails to consider local planning factors
- 3. Significant and unforeseen change in circumstance





City of Palo Alto Affordable Housing Activity

- Active in affordable housing
 - One of oldest inclusionary housing programs in the State
 - Over 2200 units of affordable housing
 - One VLI project under construction
 - One teacher housing project under development
 - One project for developmentally disabled proposed

• Other Housing Services

- Opportunity Center 88 supportive housing units serving homeless including medical and psychiatric services.
- Proposing second 80+ unit emergency shelter with Home Key funding



Staff discovered school district sites and sites with projected densities ranging 360-1,625 dus/acre.

- City has no jurisdiction over school sites
- Unrealistic densities led to higher PBA projection thus RHNA

Request reduction by 185 units



Palo Alto Unified School District Sites

| | Address | Projected Units | Comment |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Frank Greene Middle | 750 N. California Ave. | 16 | School District Property |
| School Herbert Hoover | 445 E. Charleston Rd. | 77 | School District Property |
| Elementary | | | |
| Total | | 93 | |

Sites with unrealistic densities

| Address | Parcel Area (square foot) | 2050 | Projected 2050 | Realistic High Density | Unit Discrepancy |
|----------------|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | | Projection | Density (units / acre) | Yield* (120 du/acre) | |
| 1725 Alma | 7500 | 62 | 360 | 21 | -41 |
| 2040 Yale | 5062 | 99 | 690 | 17 | -82 |
| 720 California | 5750 | 99 | 750 | 16 | -83 |
| 16 Churchill | 6300 | 99 | 685 | 17 | -82 |
| 2195 Alma | 5625 | 62 | 480 | 15 | -47 |
| 33 Encina | 24286 | 906 | 1,625 | 67 | -839 |
| Total Units | | 1514 | | 257 | -1,164 |

Total number of units in error: 1257 units



Local Planning Factors

City Office Cap

- Penalized for proactive measure
- Instituted in 2015 with recent revision in 2018 to lower cap
- Restricts Annual Office Development
 - Over past two years, reduced office development by 40,000 sq. ft. per year.
 - Equates to a reduction of 1100 workers over planning period.

Request reduction of 285 units



Local Planning Factors

Disconnect between PBA 2050 and RHNA

- City RHNA half of 30-year PBA projection
 - PBA 2050 projects City growth of approximately 12,800 households
 - Anticipated RHNA is 6,086 units
 - Should be 1/3 of projection or approximately 4,300 units per planning period

Request a reduction of 1,700 units



Unforeseen Circumstances

Understated COVID-19 impacts

- Higher percentage of telecommuters than projected
 - 17% modeled but studies show over 23% full time with additional 15% part time
 - Equates to a reduction of approximately 8,170 fewer workers in the City
- City is a high job producer and COVID has significantly impacted development feasibility
 - Need more research to fully understand COVID impacts

Request a reduction of 345 units





Summary

City received between 530-2,515 more units than warranted.

| Appeal Basis | Requested Reduction |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Technical Error | 185 |
| Local Planning Factors | 1,985 |
| Unforeseen Circumstance | 345 |
| | |
| Total | 2,515 |

Request a total reduction of 1,500 housing units

