Metropolitan Transportation Commission Programming and Allocations Committee

January 13, 2021

Agenda Item 3d - 20-1603

MTC Resolution No. 3925, Revised and 4202, Revised

Subject:

Adopt the policy framework for a Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program to be funded through capacity created by the Federal Highway Infrastructure Program.

Background:

For the last several years, annual appropriations bills have included an infusion of highway apportionment through the Federal Highway Infrastructure Program (FHIP). The FHIP apportionment is provided in addition to funding the STP/CMAQ programs at levels authorized by the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act.

Federal Highway Infrastructure Program Apportionment(\$ millions, rounded)201820192020Total To DateBay Area\$18.8\$26.4\$7.7\$52.9

In actions taken at both the February 2019 and July 2020 meetings, the Commission programmed \$52.9 million in FHIP funds to the Golden Gate Bridge (GGB) Suicide Deterrent System project.¹ As part of these actions, an equal amount of STP/CMAQ funds previously programmed on the GGB project was returned to the regional Surface Transportation Block Grant Program/Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (STP/CMAQ) balance for re-programming.

This new funding capacity – as a complement to the transit funding made available in the federal COVID-19 relief funding package – presents an opportunity to assist with the region's pandemic recovery by providing meaningful low-cost, near-term deliverable enhancements to the transportation system to get transit out of traffic, enhance equitable mobility options, and make progress on initiatives stemming from the Blue Ribbon Transit Recovery Task Force.

In addition to the STP/CMAQ freed-up by the FHIP, a \$1.5 million small balance also remains within the STP/CMAQ Cycle 1 Regional Bike Share Capital grant program. Given the changing needs for public investment in bike share in recent years, staff proposes to reprogram the \$1.5 million along with the \$52.9 million resulting from the FHIP.

STP/CMAQ Funds Available

(\$ millions, rounded)

	Amount
STP/CMAQ returned to region by GGBHTD (FHIP swap)	\$52.9
Regional Bike Share Capital grant program balance	\$1.5
Total	\$54.4

¹ The GGB Suicide Deterrent System project was recommended to receive the region's FHIP apportionment as it had a large amount in unobligated STP/CMAQ funds and was prepared to quickly obligate the funds. The project was also eligible for FHIP, which in the first appropriations bill was limited to only the construction of highways, bridges, and tunnels. Although eligibility for the funds have broadened through the subsequent appropriations, the Commission elected to focus FHIP onto the GGB project.

Recommendation: Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike Program

Staff recommends programming the \$54.4 million in available STP/CMAQ funds, to establish a one-time, competitive grant program to fund local projects that can be implemented very quickly to benefit communities responding and adapting to the COVID-19 environment.

Eligible Project Types & Focus Areas

The program emphasizes bicycle/pedestrian safety and mobility, connections to transit, and projects that advance equitable mobility. Eligible project types include:

- Quick-build bike, pedestrian, and transit improvements; including bike share enhancements.
- Local safe and seamless mobility projects, including projects that advance equitable mobility; invest in bicycle/pedestrian safety; improve connections to transit; or implement seamless strategies within a transportation corridor.
- In addition to capital projects, programs that support safe and seamless mobility or advance equitable mobility are also eligible (ex. safe routes to school/transit programs); a limited amount of funding (up to \$200,000 per county) may also be directed towards countywide implementation of safe and seamless mobility planning and programming efforts.
- Other near-term implementation of strategies emerging from the Blue-Ribbon Transit Recovery Task Force and Partnership Board's Connected Mobility Subcommittee.
- All funds must be obligated or transferred to FTA by March 31, 2022.

Fund commitments for specific focus areas include:

- One-quarter of the total program is targeted for bicycle/pedestrian safety (including local road safety).
- \$5 million is set aside to support early implementation efforts anticipated from the Blue-Ribbon Transit Recovery Task Force.

Project Selection Timeline

February 1	MTC releases call for letters of interest to CTAs
March 30	Deadline for CTAs to submit letters of interest nominating projects
April	MTC staff evaluates projects, develops recommended funding approach
Late April/ Early May	Partnership Board: Discussion of staff recommendation
May	MTC works with sponsors to refine projects & submit detailed project applications with defined scopes and funding plans
June 9	PAC: Discussion of proposed Safe and Seamless program of projects
June 23	Commission: Approval of Safe & Seamless program of projects
March 31, 2022	Funds obligated/authorized in an E-76

Additional program details are provided in Appendix A-11 to the resolution.

Issues: None.

Recommendation: Refer MTC Resolution Nos. 3925 Revised and 4202 Revised, to modify the Surface

Transportation Block Grant Program/Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality

Improvement (STP/CMAQ) Cycle 1 program and the One Bay Area Grant Program (OBAG 2) to include the Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike Program – to the

Commission for approval.

Attachments: MTC Resolution No. 3925, Revised, Attachment B

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised, Attachments A and B-1, and Appendix A-11

Therese W. McMillan

Therew Who !

Date: October 28, 2009

W.I.: 1512 Referred by: PAC

Revised: 12/16/09-C 07/28/10-C 09/22/10-C 10/27/10-C 02/23/11-C 03/23/11-C 05/25/11-C 06/22/11-C 09/28/11-C 10/26/11-C 02/22/12-C 03/28/12-C 04/25/12-C 06/27/12-C 07/25/12-C 02/27/13-C 09/26/12-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C 07/23/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 05/27/15-C 09/23/15-C 01/28/15-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 04/26/17-C 05/24/17-C 11/15/17-C 04/25/18-C 02/28/18-C 09/26/18-C 12/18/19-C 02/27/19-C 07/22/20-C

01/27/21-C

ABSTRACT

Resolution No. 3925, Revised

This resolution adopts the Project Selection Criteria, policies and programming for the Surface Transportation Authorization Act, following the Safe, Accountable, Flexible and Efficient Transportation Equity Act (SAFETEA), and any extensions of SAFETEA in the interim, for the Cycle 1, Surface Transportation Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program. The Project Selection Criteria contains the project categories that are to be funded with FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-11 STP/CMAQ funds to be amended into the currently adopted 2009 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and subsequent TIP update.

The resolution includes the following attachments:

Attachment A - Cycle 1 STP/CMAQ Project Selection Criteria, and Programming Policies Attachment B - Cycle 1 Project List

The resolution was revised on December 16, 2009 to add Attachment A and to add \$437 million to Attachment B, the balance of funding to Cycle 1 programs.

Appendix A-1 and A-7 of Attachment A along with Attachment B of the resolution were revised on July 28, 2010 to add approximately \$15.1 million in additional apportionment as follows:

1) Strategic Investment – Advance of SamTrans Payback (\$6.0 million); 2) Transportation for Livable Communities (\$4.1 million); 3) Regional Commitment – GGB Suicide Deterrent (\$5.0 million). In addition, the framework for second cycle is revised to program "freed up" Second Cycle Funds of \$6 million to the Climate Initiative program.

This resolution was revised on September 22, 2010 to advance \$20 million in Freeway Performance Initiative project elements to address lower than expected state programming as well as the opportunity to capture more obligation authority. This action increases federal programming in First Cycle and reduces federal programming in Second Cycle by an equal amount.

This resolution was revised on October 27, 2010 to award grants from the Climate Initiatives Innovative Grant Program (\$31 million) and the Safe Routes to Schools Creative Grant Program (\$2 million). Attachment B was also updated to show projects nominated by the CMAs for the CMA Block Grant Program along with other updates reflecting TIP actions.

Attachment B was revised on February 23, 2011 to reflect the addition of new projects selected by the congestion management agencies, counties, and revisions to existing projects.

Attachment B was revised on March 23, 2011 to facilitate a fund exchange between the Green Ways to School Through Social Networking Project (TAM) with the Venetia Valley School SR2S Improvements (Marin County) and to make additional programming updates.

Attachment B was revised on May 25, 2011, to add \$2,092,000 to seven new grants for San Francisco, Fremont, South San Francisco, Sunnyvale, and Walnut Creek.

Attachment B was revised on June 22, 2011, to rescind \$1,998,000 for two projects in Hayward and Hercules.

Appendix A-1 and A-7 of Attachment A along with Attachment B of the resolution were revised on September 28, 2011 to advance \$5.0 million for SFgo in the Climate Initiative Element, and \$13.3 million for the SamTrans Payback in the Regional Strategic Investment element to address higher than expected federal apportionment in the near-term, while not increasing the overall funding commitment for the Cycles 1 & 2 framework. This action increases federal programming in First Cycle and reduces federal programming commitments in Second Cycle by an equal amount.

Attachment B was revised on October 26, 2011 to provide \$376,000 to the Stewart's Point Rancheria Intertribal Electric Vehicle Project and to modify the scope of Santa Rosa's Climate Initiatives Program grant.

Attachment A (pages 6 and 17), and Appendix A-1 and A-7 of Attachment A along with Attachment B of the resolution were revised on February 22, 2012 to advance \$8,971,587 for the

Lifeline Transportation Program to address higher than expected federal apportionment in the near-term and to redirect funding to the US 101 Capitol Expressway Interchange project. The latter revision requires VTA to provide an equal amount of future local/RTIP funds to a TLC project. This action increases federal programming in First Cycle and reduces federal programming commitments in Second Cycle by an equal amount, while not increasing the overall funding commitment for the Cycles 1 & 2 framework.

Attachment A (pages 6 and 17), Appendix A-1 of Attachment A along with Attachment B of the resolution were revised on March 28, 2012 to add \$34 million in STP/CMAQ funds redirected from Cycle 2 FPI for the Doyle Drive / Presidio Parkway, with an equivalent amount in future San Francisco RTIP funding to be directed to regional FPI/Express Lanes. The OA Carryover identified for Cycle 1 is reduced from \$54 million to \$0 to accommodate this action and the advance of \$20 million for FPI on September 22, 2010. Additional changes were made to the project listing in Attachment B.

Attachment A (pages 6 and 17), and Appendix A-1 of Attachment A along with Attachment B of the resolution were revised on April 25, 2012 to address the following: program \$1.2 million to an ACE preventive maintenance project in lieu of an equal amount for SR2S funding for Alameda county (ACTC agrees to fund an equal amount of SR2S projects using local funds); advance and program the remaining \$2.7 million for the small/ northbay county operators (with this advance, the entire \$31 million STP/CMAQ commitment for the MTC Resolution 3814 Transit Payback as identified in Attachment A has been fulfilled); and redirect \$700,000 from the Climate Initiatives Public Outreach effort to the Spare the Air program. Additional changes were made to the project listing in Attachment B.

Attachment B to the resolution was revised on June 27, 2012 to reflect the following actions: program \$7.6 million for specific STP/CMAQ projects for the Lifeline program; program \$3.7 million to ten new Priority Development Area (PDA) Planning Grants for San Francisco, Fremont, Concord, Alameda, Alameda County, Richmond, Mountain View and Rohnert Park; and revise the SamTrans projects receiving the Caltrain Payback, among other changes.

Attachment B to the resolution was revised on July 25, 2012 to add \$0.2 million for Lifeline transportation projects.

Attachment B to the resolution was revised on September 26, 2012 to add \$50,000 to the Walnut Creek fourth cycle PDA planning grant and to move funds between two projects in the Sonoma County's County TLC Program.

Attachment B to the resolution was revised on February 27, 2013 to redirect \$50,000 to the City of San Jose's San Carlos Multimodal project from the Los Gatos Creek Reach 5 Trail project.

This resolution was revised on May 22, 2013 to extend the obligation deadline for the remaining Cycle 1 funds for projects subject to the dissolution of the redevelopment agencies, and delays in programming of Lifeline Transportation projects and small/northbay transit operators projects subject to the MTC Resolution 3814 transit payback commitment, and climate initiative innovative grant projects. Attachment B to the resolution was also revised to reflect the following actions: Redirect \$180,000 from the City of Concord's Monument Blvd Corridor Shared Use Trail (Phase 1) to the Monument Blvd Corridor Pedestrian and Bikeway Network (Phase 2) with no change in total funding; add the Eddy and Ellis Traffic Calming Lifeline project in San Francisco for \$1,175,105; modify the funding amounts between SamTrans' Caltrain Right-Of-Way payback commitment projects with no change in total funding; replace the Livermore plaza TLC project with the Livermore railroad depot restoration project with no change in total funding; deprogram the electric vehicle taxi climate initiative project for \$6,988,000 as a result of Better Place withdrawing from the project and retain \$988,000 for SFMTA's Electric Vehicles for Neighborhood Taxi Service project (a sub-element of the original project); and redirect: \$875,000 to extend the Dynamic Rideshare project; and redirect \$2,800,000 to increase the BAAQMD's bike sharing climate initiative project from \$4,291,000 to \$7,091,000.

Attachment B to the resolution was revised on September 25, 2013 to substitute the City of Oakland's Foothill Blvd. Streetscape Project with the Lakeside Green Streets Project.

Attachment B and Appendix A-1 to the resolution were revised on December 18, 2013 to change \$31 million from RTIP to CMAQ in the FPI program and to add a Sonoma US 101 FPI project and to update the funding amounts for the remaining FPI projects.

Attachment B was revised February 26, 2014 to reprogram Santa Clara's RTIP-TE funding from a lapsed project to two new projects in Santa Clara County, redirect \$3 million in Public Outreach Climate Initiatives Funding to the Spare the Air program and reduce funds for the Richmond Rail Connector Project.

Attachment B was revised March 26, 2014 to add \$2.7 million to the Clipper Program to Implement Phase III and make funding adjustments within the Freeway Performance Initiative Program by moving funds from the Marin US 101 component to the Solano I-80/ I-680/ SR 12 Interchange component.

Attachment B was revised April 23, 2014 to make changes to the Climate Initiatives Program including the addition of the Bay Area Bike Share Program (Phase II) and funding amount adjustments for two other programs.

As referred by the Planning Committee, Attachment B was revised on May 28, 2014 to program remaining reserve in the TLC/Station Area Plans/PDA Planning Program, in companion with the programming of Cycle 2 PDA planning funds.

On July 23, 2014, Attachment B was revised to capture returned savings and unspent funding from various projects including the Richmond Rail Connector and Climate Initiatives EV strategies, and redirect funding from the Freeway Performance Initiatives (FPI) program which received funding from other sources, to the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent Net.

On November 19, 2014, Attachment B was revised to replace Vacaville's Accessible Paths to Transit Project with its SRTS Infrastructure Improvements Project.

On December 17, 2014, Attachment B was revised to de-program \$988,000 from SFMTA's Electric Vehicles for Neighborhood Taxi project, and redirect these funds to public education and outreach within the Climate Initiatives program to help address the FY 2016-17 funding shortfall.

On January 28, 2015, Attachment B was revised to de-program \$1,446,802 from the city of San Jose's Innovative Bicycle Detection System to the San Jose TDM project. A total of \$53,198 has been expended and reimbursed by FHWA and therefore remains programmed on the Bicycle Detection project.

On May 27, 2015, Attachment B was revised to add Caltrans as a co-sponsor of the Doyle Drive/Presidio Parkway project and delete the city of San Jose's Innovative Bicycle Detection System program and redirect the remaining \$53,198 to the San Jose TDM project. The City of San Jose has repaid FHWA the \$53,198 in expended and reimbursed funds freeing up the funds for redirection to the San Jose TDM project. Attachment B was also revised to reduce the existing bicycle sharing projects from a total of \$9,816,000 to \$4,403,000 and redirect \$4,500,000 to Bicycle Sharing in Emerging Communities, and \$500,000 to San Mateo Bicycle/Pedestrian Improvements. The remaining \$413,000 will be determined at a later date. On September 23, 2015, Attachment B was revised to reprogram \$400,000 for the Climate Initiatives Outreach Program from MTC to the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, and to revise the project scope for the I-80 Freeway Performance Initiative project.

On May 25, 2016, Attachment B was revised to redirect \$358,500 from PDA Implementation Studies/Forums and \$1,390 in unprogrammed PDA planning funds within the Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) program to ABAG PDA Planning and Implementation.

On July 27, 2016, Attachment B was revised to redirect \$548,388 in unobligated balances from San Francisco Department of Public Works' Folsom Street Streetscape project to the Second Street Complete Streets project within the County Transportation for Livable Communities program.

On December 21, 2016, Attachment B was revised to redirect \$3,583,000 to the I-880 Integrated Corridor Management project within the Incident Management program and redirect \$20,000 from MTC's Public Education Outreach, \$240,000 from MTC's Smart Driving Pilot Program, and \$13,000 in unprogrammed balances to MTC's Spare the Air Youth Program within the Climate Change Initiatives Program.

On April 26, 2017, Attachment B was revised to redirect \$145,000 within the Regional Operations program to reflect actual obligations.

On May 24, 2017, Attachment B was revised to increase the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's Bicycle-Sharing Pilot Program within the Climate Change Initiatives program by \$1,061,098 to reflect actual obligations; increase MTC's Bicycle-Sharing Pilot Program within the Climate Change Initiatives program by \$295,636 to reflect estimated final obligations, and indicate that MTC is the sole sponsor of the project; program \$1,440,000 to Concord Commerce Avenue Complete Streets project within the Regional Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) program; remove \$681,290 in project savings from San Jose's San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape – Phase 2 within the Regional TLC program to address over-programming within the current cycle.

On November 15, 2017, Attachment B was revised to program \$2,584,000 to MTC for Bike Share Capital and Outreach and \$500,000 to San Mateo's Downtown Parking Technology Improvement project as part of an exchange to transfer \$500,000 in non-federal funds to the San Mateo Drive Complete Streets project, within the Climate Initiatives Program.

On February 28, 2018, Attachment B was revised to redirect \$659,000 from the Fremont Bike Share Capital and Outreach project to the Fremont Various Streets and Roads Rehabilitation project as part of an internal funding swap; and to reprogram \$1,024,000 for Richmond's Bike Share project and \$826,000 to Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) for the joint

SCTA/Transportation Authority of Marin Bike Share project into the MTC Exchange Program; and to clarify exchange project within Cycle 1.

On April 25, 2018, Attachment B was revised to program \$15,000,000 in STP apportionment for the Doyle Drive / Presidio Parkway Landscaping project. This action and funding are related to the partnership between Caltrans and MTC to provide sufficient resources for the successful completion of the San Francisco Bay Bridge (SFOBB) Gateway access improvements project.

On September 26, 2018, Attachment B was revised to return \$309,000 from Fremont's Various Streets and Roads Rehabilitation project (fund exchange to provide local funds to Fremont Bike Share) to the unprogrammed balance within the Bike Share in Emerging Communities project.

On February 27, 2019, Attachment B was revised to change the fund source of \$15,000,000 programmed to the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent in Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP) funds to Highway Infrastructure Program (STP Bump) funds provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2018. The \$15,000,000 was returned to the region's STP/CMAQ balance to help address the CMAQ shortfall as a result of the region becoming attainment for carbon monoxide (CO) and therefore receiving less CMAQ funds which are distributed based on air quality status.

On December 18, 2019, Attachment B was revised to redirect \$700,000 within the Climate Initiatives Innovative Grants program from Bike Share in Emerging Communities to Bike Share Implementation.

On January 27, 2021, Attachment B was revised to redirect the remaining \$1,525,000 balance within the Climate Initiatives Innovative Grants program from Bike Share in Emerging Communities to the current STP/CMAQ program, the One Bay Area Grant (OBAG 2).

Further discussion of the Cycle 1 STP/CMAQ Project Selection Criteria and Program is contained in the memorandum to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated October 14, 2009, December 9, 2009, July 14, 2010, September 8, 2010; October 13, 2010, February 9, 2011, March 9, 2011, May 11, 2011, June 8, 2011, September 14, 2011, October 12, 2011, February 8, 2012, March 7, 2012, April 11, 2012, June 13, 2012, July 11, 2012, September 12, 2012, February 13, 2013, May 8, 2013, September 11, 2013, December 11, 2013, February 12, 2014, March 5, 2014, and April 9, 2014, and to the Planning Committee dated May 9, 2014, and to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated July 9, 2014, November 12, 2014, December 10, 2014, January 14, 2015 and May 13, 2015, and the Administration Committee on

May 13, 2015, and to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated September 9, 2015, May 11, 2016, July 13, 2016, December 14, 2016, April 12, 2017, May 10, 2017, November 8, 2017, February 14, 2018, April 25, 2018, September 12, 2018, February 13, 2019, December 11, 2019, and January 13, 2021.

Date: October 28, 2009

W.I.: 1512 Referred By: PAC

RE: New Federal Surface Transportation Act (FY 2009-10, FY 2010-11 and FY 2011-12)

Cycle 1 STP/CMAQ Program: Project Selection Criteria, Policy, Procedures and

Programming

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 3925

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Area pursuant to Government Code Section 66500 <u>et seq.</u>; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area region (the region) and is required to prepare and endorse a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) which includes a list of Surface Transportation Planning (STP) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) funded projects; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated recipient for regional STP and CMAQ funds for the San Francisco Bay Area; and

WHEREAS, MTC has developed policies and procedures to be used in the selection of projects to be funded with STP and CMAQ funds for the Cycle 1 STP/CMAQ Program (23 U.S.C. Section 133), as set forth in Attachment A of this Resolution, incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS, using the procedures and criteria set forth in Attachment A of this Resolution, MTC, in cooperation with the Bay Area Partnership, have or will develop a program of projects to be funded with STP and CMAQ funds in Cycle 1 for inclusion in the 2009 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) including the subsequent TIP update, as set forth in Amendment B of this Resolution, incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS the 2009 TIP and the subsequent TIP update will be subject to public review and comment; now therefore be it

RESOLVED that MTC approves the Project Selection Criteria, Policies, Procedures and Programming for the New Federal Surface Transportation Act (FY 2009-10, FY 2010-11 and FY 2011-12) Cycle 1 STP/CMAQ funding, as set forth in Attachments A and B of this Resolution; and be it further

RESOLVED that the regional STP and CMAQ funding shall be pooled and redistributed on a regional basis for implementation of Cycle 1 STP/CMAQ Project Selection Criteria, Policies, Procedures and Programming, consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP); and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u> that the projects will be amended into in the 2009 TIP and the subsequent TIP update, subject to the final federal approval; and be it further

RESOLVED that the Executive Director is authorized to revise Attachment B as necessary to reflect the programming of projects as the projects are identified and amended in the TIP; and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u> that the Executive Director shall make available a copy of this resolution, and such other information as may be required, to the Governor, Caltrans, and to other such agencies as may be appropriate.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Scott Haggerty, Chair

The above resolution was entered into by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at the regular meeting of the Commission held in Oakland, California, on October 28, 2009

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
T4 New Federal Act FIRST CYCLE Programming
STP/CMAQ/TE/RTIP/CMIA Funding **
MTC Resolution 3925
Project List***
Attachment B

MTC Resolution No. 3925, Attachment B
Adopted: 10/28/09-C
Revised: 12/16/09-C
07/28/10-C 09/22/10-C 10/27/10-C 02/23/10-C
03/23/11-C 05/25/11-C 06/22/11-C 09/28/11-C
10/26/11-C 01/25/12-C 02/22/12-C 03/28/12-C
04/25/12-C 06/27/12-C 07/25/12-C 09/26/12-C
02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 12/18/13-C
02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C
07/23/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 01/28/15-C
05/27/15-C 09/23/15-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C
12/21/16-C 04/26/17-C 05/24/17-C 11/15/17-C
02/28/18-C 04/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 02/27/19-C

Attachment B January 27, 2021			05/27/15-C 09/23/ 12/21/16-C 04/26/	15-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 17-C 05/24/17-C 11/15/17-C 18-C 09/26/18-C 02/27/19-C 12/18/19-C 01/27/21-C
Project Category and Title	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ	Total Other TE/RTIP/CMIA	Total Cycle 1
T4 FIRST CYCLE PROGRAMMING		\$573,249,420	\$130,732,000	\$703,981,420
1. REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES (STP Planning)		\$373,243,420	\$130,732,000	\$703,301,420
Regional Agency Planning Activities				
ABAG Planning	ABAG	\$1,786,000	\$0	\$1,786,000
BCDC Planning	BCDC	\$893,000	\$0	\$893,000
MTC Planning	MTC	\$1,786,000	\$0	\$1,786,000
SUBTOTAL		\$4,465,000	\$0	\$4,465,000
County CMA Planning Activities			·	
CMA Planning - Alameda	ACTC	\$2,566,000	\$0	\$2,566,000
CMA Planning - Contra Costa	CCTA	\$2,029,000	\$0	\$2,029,000
CMA Planning - Marin	TAM	\$1,786,000	\$0	\$1,786,000
CMA Planning - Napa	NCTPA	\$1,786,000	\$0	\$1,786,000
CMA Planning - San Francisco	SFCTA	\$1,867,000	\$0	\$1,867,000
CMA Planning - San Mateo	SMCCAG	\$1,786,000	\$0	\$1,786,000
CMA Planning - Santa Clara	VTA	\$2,840,000	\$0	\$2,840,000
CMA Planning - Solano	STA	\$1,786,000	\$0	\$1,786,000
CMA Planning - Sonoma	SCTA	\$1,786,000	\$0	\$1,786,000
SUBTOTAL		\$18,232,000	\$0	\$18,232,000
1. REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES (STP Planning)	TOTAL:	\$22,697,000	\$0	\$22,697,000
st NOTE: County CMA Block Grant Planning amounts are at the discretion of the County CMA - up to a r	maximum of 4% of the total block grant an	nount.		
2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO) PROGRAMS				
Regional Operations				
Clipper® Fare Card Collections System	MTC	\$19,772,000	\$0	\$19,772,000
Clipper® Fare Card Collections System	GGBHTD	\$8,900,000	\$0	\$8,900,000
Clipper® Fare Card Collections System/Preventive Maintenance	SamTrans	\$228,000	\$0	\$228,000
511 - Traveler Information	MTC	\$34,500,000	\$0	\$34,500,000
Regional Transportation Marketing	MTC	\$2,100,000	\$0	\$2,100,000
SUBTOTAL		\$65,500,000	\$0	\$65,500,000
FSP/Incident Management	SAFE	\$14,962,000	\$0	\$14,962,000
I-880 Integrated Corridor Management	MTC	\$3,438,000	\$0	\$3,438,000
SUBTOTAL		\$18,400,000	\$0	\$18,400,000
2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO) PROGRAMS	TOTAL:	\$83,900,000	\$0	\$83,900,000
3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FPI)				
Freeway Performance Initiative				
Regional Performance Monitoring	MTC	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000
Regional Performance Initiatives Implementation	SAFE	\$4,058,000	\$0	\$4,058,000
Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)	MTC	\$3,750,000	\$0	\$3,750,000
SUBTOTAL		\$8,558,000	\$0	\$8,558,000
Ramn Metering and TOS Flements				

I-880 Integrated Corridor Management	MTC	\$3,438,000	\$0 \$0	\$3,438,000
SUBTOTAL		\$18,400,000	\$0	\$18,400,000
2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO) PROGRAMS	TOTAL:	\$83,900,000	\$0	\$83,900,000
3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FPI)				
Freeway Performance Initiative				
Regional Performance Monitoring	MTC	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000
Regional Performance Initiatives Implementation	SAFE	\$4,058,000	\$0	\$4,058,000
Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)	MTC	\$3,750,000	\$0	\$3,750,000
SUBTOTAL		\$8,558,000	\$0	\$8,558,000
Ramp Metering and TOS Elements				
FPI - ALA I-580: SSJ Co. Line to I-880	Caltrans	\$2,690,000	\$3,535,000	\$6,225,000
FPI - ALA I-680: SCL Co. Line to CC Co. Line	Caltrans	\$2,100,000	\$6,673,000	\$8,773,000
FPI - ALA I-880: SCL Co. Line to Davis Street	Caltrans	\$2,000,000	\$7,227,000	\$9,227,000
FPI - ALA SR 92 (EB): SM/Hayward Bridge to I-880	Caltrans	\$1,617,000	\$4,680,000	\$6,297,000
FPI - CC SR 4: Alhambra Avenue to Loveridge Road	Caltrans	\$15,740,000	\$0	\$15,740,000
FPI - MRN US 101: SF Co. Line to SON Co. Line	Caltrans	\$4,682,000	\$0	\$4,682,000
FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. Line	Caltrans	\$3,657,000	\$7,498,000	\$11,155,000
FPI - SCL SR 85: I-280 to US 101	Caltrans	\$2,068,000	\$2,258,000	\$4,326,000
FPI - SCL US 101: SBT Co. Line to SR 85	Caltrans	\$4,240,000	\$15,000,000	\$19,240,000
FPI - SOL I-80/I-680/SR12 Interchange Modifications	STA/Caltrans	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
FPI - SOL I-80: I-505 to YOL Co Line	Caltrans	\$3,700,000	\$0	\$3,700,000
FPI - SOL I-80: CC Co Line to I-505	Caltrans	\$3,991,000	\$18,086,000	\$22,077,000
FPI - SON 101 - MRN Co Line - Men Co Line	Caltrans	\$4,000,000	\$0	\$4,000,000
SUBTOTAL		\$51,485,000	\$64,957,000	\$116,442,000
3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FPI)	TOTAL:	\$60,043,000	\$64,957,000	\$125,000,000
4. CLIMATE CHANGE INITIATIVES (CCI)				

3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FPI)	TOTAL:	\$60,043,000	\$64,957,000	\$125,000,000
4. CLIMATE CHANGE INITIATIVES (CCI)				
Eastern Solano CMAQ Program Vacaville - Ulatis Creek Bicycle Pedestrian Path Vacaville Intermodal Station Phase 2 STA - Solano Napa Commuter Information (SNCI) STA - Solano Safe Routes To School Program Solano County - Vacaville-Dixon Bicycle Route - Phase 5	Vacaville Vacaville STA STA Solano County	\$810,000 \$975,000 \$445,000 \$215,000 \$555,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$810,000 \$975,000 \$445,000 \$215,000 \$555,000
SUBTOTAL	,	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000
Public Education/Outreach				
Public Education Outreach including SB1339 Implementation	MTC	\$2,843,000	\$0	\$2,843,000
Public Education Outreach including SB1339 Implementation	BAAQMD	\$400,000	\$0	\$400,000
Electric Vehicle Promotional Campaign	MTC	\$925,000	\$0	\$925,000
Smart Driving Pilot Program	MTC	\$260,000	\$0	\$260,000
Spare the Air Youth Program 1	MTC	\$3,065,000	\$0	\$3,065,000
Spare the Air Youth Program 2	MTC	\$208,000	\$0	\$208,000
Spare the Air	BAAQMD	\$3,700,000	\$0	\$3,700,000
SUBTOTAL		\$11,401,000	\$0	\$11,401,000

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
T4 New Federal Act FIRST CYCLE Programming
STP/CMAQ/TE/RTIP/CMIA Funding **
MTC Resolution 3925
Project List***
Attachment B
January 27, 2021

MTC Resolution No. 3925, Attachment B
Adopted: 10/28/09-C
Revised: 12/16/09-C
Revised: 12/16/09-C
07/28/10-C 09/22/10-C 10/27/10-C 02/23/10-C
03/23/11-C 05/25/11-C 06/22/11-C 09/28/11-C
10/26/11-C 01/25/12-C 02/22/12-C 03/28/12-C
04/25/12-C 06/27/12-C 07/25/12-C 09/26/12-C
02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 12/18/13-C
02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C
07/23/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 01/28/15-C
05/27/15-C 09/23/15-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C
12/21/16-C 04/26/17-C 05/24/17-C 11/15/17-C
02/28/18-C 04/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 02/27/19-C
12/18/19-C 01/27/21-C

roject Category and Title	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ	Total Other TE/RTIP/CMIA	Total Cycle 1
4 FIRST CYCLE PROGRAMMING	3,	\$573,249,420	\$130,732,000	\$703,981,420
afe Routes To Schools - Regional Competitive		ψ <i>313,</i> 243,420	\$130,732,000	ψ7 03,301,420
The BikeMobile: A Bike Repair and Encouragement Vehicle	ACTC	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Venetia Valley School SR2S Imps (Green Ways to School Through Social Networking Bay Area School Transportation Collaborative		\$383,000	\$0 #0	\$383,000
Education and Encouragement School Route Maps	ACWMA STA	\$867,000 \$250,000	\$0 \$0	\$867,000 \$250,000
SUBTOTAL	JIA	\$2,000,000	\$0 \$0	\$2,000,000
afe Routes To Schools - County		, , ,		1 / 2 - 2 / 2 - 2
pecific projects TBD by CMAs				
Alameda County Safe Routes to School Program	ACTC	\$2,069,065	\$0	\$2,069,065
ACE Preventive Maintenance (for local funds directed to Alameda SR2S)	ACE	\$1,150,935	\$0	\$1,150,935
Brentwood School Area Safety Improvements	Brentwood	\$432,000	\$0	\$432,000
Montalvin Manor Pedestrian and Transit Access Improvements	Contra Costa County	\$265,000	\$0	\$265,000
San Ramon Valley Street Smarts' Safe Routes to School Program Moraga Way Pedestrian Pathway	Danville Orinda	\$365,000 \$166,000	\$0 \$0	\$365,000 \$166,000
Lisa Lane Sidewalk Project	Pleasant Hill	\$250,000	\$0 \$0	\$250,000
Central-East County Safe Routes to School Program	Pleasant Hill	\$725,000	\$0 \$0	\$725,000
Richmond Safe Routes to School Cycle 2 Project	Richmond	\$264,000	\$0 \$0	\$264,000
Marin Strawberry Point School - Strawberry Drive Pedestrian Imps	TAM	\$475,000	\$0	\$475,000
Napa County Safe Routes to School Program Expansion	NCTPA	\$315,000	\$0	\$315,000
San Francisco Safe Routes to School Education and Outreach	SF Dept. of Public Health	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Sunset and AP Giannini Safe Routes to School Improvements	SFMTA	\$579,000	\$0	\$579,000
San Mateo County Safe Routes to School Program	CCAG	\$1,429,000	\$0	\$1,429,000
Mountain View VERBS Program	Mountain View	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Palo Alto Safe Routes to School	Palo Alto	\$528,000	\$0	\$528,000
San Jose Walk N' Roll - Non Infrastructure	San Jose	\$943,000	\$0	\$943,000
San Jose Walk N' Roll - Safe Access	San Jose	\$568,000	\$0	\$568,000
Santa Clara VERBS Program Santa Clara County Safe Routes to School Program	Santa Clara (City) Santa Clara County	\$500,000 \$1,000,000	\$0 \$0	\$500,000 \$1,000,000
Suisun City - Grizzly Island Trail	Suisun City	\$1,000,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,000,000
STA - Solano County Safe Routes to School Program	STA	\$642,000	\$0 \$0	\$642,00
Sonoma County-wide Safe Routes to Schools Improvements	Sonoma County	\$1,034,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,034,000
SUBTOTAL		\$15,000,000	\$0	\$15,000,000
nnovative Grants		. , ,		
Berkeley Transportation Action Plan (B-TAP)	Berkeley	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$2,000,000
Shore Power Initiative	Port of Oakland	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000
Local Government Electric Vehicle (EV) Fleet Replacement	Alameda County	\$2,808,000	\$0	\$2,808,000
Bicycle-Sharing Pilot Program	BAAQMD	\$5,440,098	\$0	\$5,440,098
Bicycle-Sharing Program (Phase II)	MTC	\$319,636	\$0	\$319,630
Downtown Parking Technology (for San Mateo Dr Complete Streets)	San Mateo (City)	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Cold-In-Place (CIP) Pavement Recycling Bus Automated Vehicle Locators (AVLs)	Napa (City) Santa Rosa	\$2,000,000 \$600,000	\$0 \$0	\$2,000,000 \$600,000
Dynamic Rideshare	SCTA	\$2,375,000	\$0 \$0	\$2,375,00
eFleet: Electric Vehicle (EV) Car Sharing Electrified	SFCTA	\$1,700,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,700,00
Public-Private Partnership TDM	SFCTA	\$750,000	\$0 \$0	\$750,00
SFgo	SFMTA	\$20,000,000	\$0	\$20,000,00
TDM Strategies for Redwood City	SamTrans	\$1,487,000	\$0	\$1,487,00
San Jose Transportation Demand Management	San Jose	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$1,500,00
Bike Share in Emerging Communities				
Balance redirected to OBAG2 Safe & Seamless Quick-Strike Program	TBD	\$1,525,000	\$0	\$1,525,00 0
Bike Share Implementation	MTC	\$700,000	\$0	\$700,00
Bike Share Capital and Outreach - Implementation	MTC	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,00
Fremont: Various Streets and Roads Rehab (for Fremont Bike Share) Bike Share Capital and Outreach - Richmond (Funding Exchange)	Fremont MTC/ Richmond	\$350,000 \$0	\$0 \$1,024,000	\$350,00 \$1,024,00
Bike Share Capital and Outreach - Sidnific (Funding Exchange)	MTC/ SCTA/ TAM	\$0 \$0	\$826,000	\$826,00
Stewart's Point Rancheria Inter-tribal Electric Vehicles (Funding Exchange)	Stewart's Point Rancheria	\$0 \$0	\$376,000	\$376,00
SUBTOTAL		\$45,604,734	\$2,226,000	\$47,830,73
limate Action Program Evaluation				
Climate Action Program Evaluation	MTC	\$3,200,000	\$0	\$3,200,00
SUBTOTAL CHANGE INVESTIGATIVES (CCI)		\$3,200,000	\$0	\$3,200,00
. CLIMATE CHANGE INITIATIVES (CCI)	TOTAL		42 226 000	
	TOTAL:	\$80,205,734	\$2,226,000	\$82,431,73°
. REGIONAL BICYCLE PROGRAM (RBP) *	TOTAL:		\$2,226,000	\$82,431,734
ike/Ped Program	TOTAL:		\$2,226,000	\$82,431,73
ike/Ped Program pecific projects TBD by County CMAs		\$80,205,734		
ike/Ped Program <i>pecific projects TBD by County CMAs</i> Bicycle - Alameda - Block Grant RBP Implementation	ACTC	\$80,205,734 \$153,000	\$0	\$153,00
ike/Ped Program pecific projects TBD by County CMAs Bicycle - Alameda - Block Grant RBP Implementation Bicycle - Contra Costa - Block Grant RBP Implementation	ACTC CCTA	\$80,205,734 \$153,000 \$47,000	\$0 \$0	\$153,00 \$47,00
ike/Ped Program pecific projects TBD by County CMAs Bicycle - Alameda - Block Grant RBP Implementation Bicycle - Contra Costa - Block Grant RBP Implementation Bicycle - Marin - Block Grant RBP Implementation	ACTC CCTA TAM	\$80,205,734 \$153,000 \$47,000 \$66,000	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$153,00 \$47,00 \$66,00
ike/Ped Program pecific projects TBD by County CMAs Bicycle - Alameda - Block Grant RBP Implementation Bicycle - Contra Costa - Block Grant RBP Implementation Bicycle - Marin - Block Grant RBP Implementation Bicycle - Napa - Block Grant RBP Implementation	ACTC CCTA TAM NCTPA	\$80,205,734 \$153,000 \$47,000 \$66,000 \$24,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$153,00 \$47,00 \$66,00 \$24,00
ike/Ped Program pecific projects TBD by County CMAs Bicycle - Alameda - Block Grant RBP Implementation Bicycle - Contra Costa - Block Grant RBP Implementation Bicycle - Marin - Block Grant RBP Implementation	ACTC CCTA TAM	\$80,205,734 \$153,000 \$47,000 \$66,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$153,00 \$47,00 \$66,00
ike/Ped Program ipecific projects TBD by County CMAs Bicycle - Alameda - Block Grant RBP Implementation Bicycle - Contra Costa - Block Grant RBP Implementation Bicycle - Marin - Block Grant RBP Implementation Bicycle - Napa - Block Grant RBP Implementation Bicycle - San Francisco - Block Grant RBP Implementation	ACTC CCTA TAM NCTPA SFCTA	\$153,000 \$47,000 \$66,000 \$24,000 \$55,000	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$153,00 \$47,00 \$66,00 \$24,00 \$55,00

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION **T4 New Federal Act FIRST CYCLE Programming** STP/CMAQ/TE/RTIP/CMIA Funding ** MTC Resolution 3925 Project List*** **Attachment B** January 27, 2021

MTC Resolution No. 3925, Attachment B Adopted: 10/28/09-C Revised: 12/16/09-C 07/28/10-C 09/22/10-C 10/27/10-C 02/23/10-C 03/23/11-C 05/25/11-C 06/22/11-C 09/28/11-C 10/26/11-C 01/25/12-C 02/22/12-C 03/28/12-C 10/26/11-C 01/25/12-C 02/22/12-C 03/28/12-C 04/25/12-C 06/27/12-C 07/25/12-C 09/26/12-C 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C 07/23/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 01/28/15-C 05/27/15-C 09/23/15-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 04/26/17-C 05/24/17-C 11/15/17-C 02/28/18-C 04/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 02/27/19-C 12/18/19-C 01/27/21-C

Project Category and Title	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ	Total Other TE/RTIP/CMIA	Total Cycle 1
T4 FIRST CYCLE PROGRAMMING	Agency	\$573,249,420	\$130,732,000	\$703,981,420
Bicycle - Sonoma - Block Grant RBP Implementation	SCTA	\$49,000	\$130,732,000	\$49,000
Albany - Buchanan Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Path	Albany	\$1,702,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,702,000
Oakland - Various Streets Resurfacing and Bike Lanes (Complete Streets)	Oakland	\$435,000	\$0	\$435,000
Pleasanton - Foothill Road at I-580 Bicycle Lane Gap Closure	Pleasanton	\$709,000	\$0	\$709,000
Union City Blvd Bicycle Lanes Phase I	Union City	\$860,000	\$0	\$860,000
Concord - Monument Blvd Corridor Shared Use Trail	Concord	\$486,000	\$0	\$486,000
Concord - Monument Blvd Corridor Pedestrian and Bikeway Network	Concord	\$180,000	\$0 \$0	\$180,000
Pittsburg - North Parkside Drive Bicycle & Pedestrian Facilities	Pittsburg	\$900,000	\$0 \$0	\$900,000
Richmond - Barrett Avenue Bicycle Lanes	Richmond	\$600,000	\$0	\$600,000
Larkspur - Dougherty Drive Bikeway	Larkspur	\$85,000	\$0	\$85,000
Sausalito - US 101 Off-Ramp/Brideway/Gate 6 Bicycle Traffic Imps	Sausalito	\$88,000	\$0	\$88,000
TAM - Central Marin Ferry Connection	TAM	\$1,410,000	\$0	\$1,410,000
Napa - Lincoln Avenue Bicycle Lanes	City of Napa	\$170,000	\$0	\$170,000
Napa - California Blvd Bicycle Lanes	City of Napa	\$200,000	\$0	\$200,000
Napa County - Valley Vine Trail Bicycle Path	NCTPA .	\$211,000	\$0	\$211,000
San Francisco - Marina Green Trail Improvements	SFDPW	\$988,000	\$0	\$988,000
San Francisco - Cargo Way Bicycle Improvements	Port of San Francisco	\$185,000	\$0	\$185,000
Half Moon Bay - SR-1 Bicycle / Pedestrian Trail	Half Moon Bay	\$420,000	\$0	\$420,000
Redwood City - Bair Island Bay Trail Gap Closure	Redwood City	\$337,000	\$0	\$337,000
Redwood City - Skyway/Shoreway Bicycle Lanes and Imps.	Redwood City	\$256,000	\$0	\$256,000
South San Francisco - Bicycle Lanes Gap Closure	South San Francisco	\$261,000	\$0	\$261,000
Campbell Ave Bicycle Lane and Sidewalk	Campbell	\$424,000	\$0	\$424,000
Gilroy - Western Ronan Channel and Lions Creek Bicycle/Ped Trail	Gilroy	\$672,000	\$0	\$672,000
San Jose - Los Gatos Creek Reach 5 Trail	San Jose	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$1,200,000
San Jose San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape - Phase II	San Jose	\$50,000	\$0	\$50,000
Santa Clara - San Tomas Aquino Creek Trail Reach 4 Trail Imps	Santa Clara City	\$1,258,000	\$0	\$1,258,000
Santa Clara - San Tomas Aquino Creek Spur Trail Imps.	Santa Clara City	\$1,081,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,081,000
Sunnyvale - Hendy Ave Improvements (Complete Streets)	Sunnyvale	\$437,000	\$0	\$437,000
Fairfield - Linear Park Path Alternate Route (Nightingale Drive)	Fairfield	\$221,000	\$0	\$221,000
Suisun City - Grizzly Island Trail Project	Suisun City	\$814,000	\$0	\$814,000
Healdsburg - Foss Creek New Pathway Segment 6	Healdsburg	\$876,000	\$0	\$876,000
Santa Rosa - SMART/College Ave Bike/Ped Pathway	Santa Rosa	\$948,000	\$0	\$948,000
Sonoma County - SMART Hearn Ave Bike/Ped Trail	Sonoma Co. Reg Parks	\$620,000	\$0	\$620,000
Berkeley Bay Trail (TE)	Berkeley	\$0	\$1,557,000	\$1,557,000
Pleasant Hill Road Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Improvements (TE)	Lafayette	\$0	\$1,009,000	\$1,009,000
Sir Francis Drake Class II Bike Lane (TE)	Marin County	\$0	\$294,000	\$294,000
North Yountville Bike Route and Sidewalk Extension (TE)	Yountville	\$0	\$183,000	\$183,000
San Francisco Bicycle Parking Program (Mission/Citywide) (TE)	San Francisco MTA	\$0	\$235,000	\$235,000
Church and Duboce Bicycle / Ped Enhancements	San Francisco MTA	\$0	\$388,000	\$388,000
San Francisco - Pedestrian Safety & Encouragement Campaign	San Francisco MTA	\$0	\$174,000	\$174,000
San Mateo County Bicycle/Pedestrian Enhancements (TE)	San Mateo County	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000
Bayshore Bicycle Lane	Brisbane	\$0	\$627,000	\$627,000
Gilroy Schools Pedestrian and Bicycle Lane Access Improvements (TE)	Gilroy	\$0	\$697,000	\$697,000
Safe Routes to Schools, Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements (TE)	Los Altos Hills	\$0	\$467,000	\$467,000
Campbell Hacienda Avenue Streetscape and Bicycle Imps (TE)	Campbell	\$0	\$159,000	\$159,000
Milpitas Escuela Parkway Bicycle and Pedestrian Enhancements (TE)	Milpitas	\$0	\$501,000	\$501,000
Fairfield/Vacaville Station Ped and Bicycle Track Crossing Enhancements (TE)	Fairfield	\$0	\$400,000	\$400,000
Dixon West B Street Bike/Ped Undercrossing (TE)	STA	\$0 \$0	\$77,000	\$77,000
Copeland Creek Bicycle Path Reconstruction (TE)	Rohnert Park	1.1	\$581,000	\$581,000
SUBTOTAL		\$19,788,000	\$7,549,000	\$27,337,000
5. REGIONAL BICYCLE PROGRAM (RBP) * NOTE: Regional Ricycle Program STP fund administered by County CMAs as part of the Blo	TOTAL:	\$19,788,000	\$7,549,000	\$27,337,0

* NOTE: Regional Bicycle Program TEP fund administered by County CMAs as part of the Block Grant Program.

* NOTE: Regional Bicycle Program TE funds to be programmed by County CMAs in 2010 RTIP

6. TRANSPORTATION FOR LIVABLE COMMUNITES (TLC) *				
TLC / Station Area Planning Implementation				
ABAG Station Area Planning Implementation	ABAG	\$450,000	\$0	\$450,000
MTC Station Area Planning Implementation	MTC	\$402,110	\$0	\$402,110
Station Area Plans				
Central Fremont – City Center	Fremont	\$224,000	\$0	\$224,000
South Fremont/Warm Springs BART Station	Fremont	\$276,000	\$0	\$276,000
Walnut Creek BART	Walnut Creek	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
San Francisco Central Corridor, So. segment of the Central Subway	San Francisco	\$68,000	\$0	\$68,000
San Francisco Market Street (Steuart St. to Octavia Blvd.)	San Francisco	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000
Downtown South San Francisco / Caltrain Station	South San Francisco	\$600,000	\$0	\$600,000
Lawrence Station Area / Sunnyvale and Santa Clara	Sunnyvale	\$450,000	\$0	\$450,000
Priority Development Area (PDA) Planning				
Alameda Naval Air Station	Alameda (City)	\$200,000	\$0	\$200,000
Ashland East 14th Street/Mission Blvd	Alameda County	\$400,000	\$0	\$400,000
Warm Springs/South Fremont BART	Fremont	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000
Concord Downtown BART	Concord	\$480,000	\$0	\$480,000
Concord Naval Weapons Station/N. Concord BART	Concord	\$240,000	\$0	\$240,000
South Richmond	Richmond	\$496,000	\$0	\$496,000

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
T4 New Federal Act FIRST CYCLE Programming
STP/CMAQ/TE/RTIP/CMIA Funding **
MTC Resolution 3925
Project List***
Attachment B
January 27, 2021

MTC Resolution No. 3925, Attachment B
Adopted: 10/28/09-C
Reviseci: 12/16/09-C
07/28/10-C 09/22/10-C 10/27/10-C 02/23/10-C
03/23/11-C 05/25/11-C 06/22/11-C 09/28/11-C
10/26/11-C 01/25/12-C 02/22/12-C 03/28/12-C
04/25/12-C 06/27/12-C 07/25/12-C 09/26/12-C
02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 12/18/13-C
02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C
07/23/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 01/28/15-C
07/23/16-C 09/23/15-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C
12/21/16-C 04/25/18-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C
12/21/16-C 04/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 02/27/19-C
12/18/19-C 01/27/21-C

Treasure Island Mobility Management						
Tarist Cycle ProgramMing		1 ,				Duoingt Catagony and Title
Treasure Island Mobility Management					Agency	
San Francisco Central Corridor EIR Augmentation San Francisco \$200,000 \$0 El Camino/San Antonio Mountain Wew \$440,000 \$0 MTC PDA Planning Implementation MTC \$1,101,000 \$0 ABAG \$609,890 \$0 Smart Growth Technical Assistance Program MTC \$360,000 \$0 Smart Growth Technical Assistance Program MTC \$360,000 \$0 SUBTOTAL \$9,005,000 \$0 Transit Oriented Development (TOD) Transit Oriented Affordable Housing (TOAH) (Funding Exchange) MTC \$0 \$10,000,000 \$1 SUBTOTAL \$9,005,000 \$0 Transit Oriented Affordable Housing (TOAH) (Funding Exchange) MTC \$0 \$10,000,000 \$1 SUBTOTAL \$0 \$10,000,000 \$1 Regional Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Program West Dublin BART Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape Enhancements BART Berkeley \$1,805,000 \$0 West Dublin BART Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape Enhancements BART Berkeley \$1,805,000 \$0 Subtained Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape Enhancements BART Berkeley \$1,805,000 \$0 Subtained Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape Enhancements BART Berkeley \$1,805,000 \$0 Subtained Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape Enhancements BART Berkeley \$1,805,000 \$0 Subtained Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape Enhancements BART Berkeley \$1,800,000 \$0 Subtained Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape Enhancements BART Berkeley \$1,800,000 \$0 Subtained Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape Enhancements BART Berkeley \$1,800,000 \$0 Subtained Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape Enhancements BART Berkeley \$1,800,000 \$0 Subtained Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape Enhancements BART Berkeley \$1,800,000 \$0 Subtained Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape \$1,400,000 \$0 Subtained Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape \$1,400,000 \$0 \$1 Subtained Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape \$1,400,000 \$0 \$1 Subtained Station Golden Gate D' Streetscape \$1,400,000 \$0 \$1 Subtained Sta	03,981,420				C	
El Camino/San Antonio Mountain View \$400,000 \$0	\$500,00 \$200,00					
Central Rohnert Park \$448,000 \$0 MTC PDA Planning Implementation MTC \$1,101,000 \$0 \$. ABAG PDA Planning Implementation ABAG \$609,890 \$0 \$5 SMBTOTAL \$360,000 \$0 \$5 Transit Oriented Development (TOD) Transit Oriented Alfordable Housing (TOAH) (Funding Exchange) MTC \$0 \$10,000,000 \$11 SUBTOTAL \$0 \$10,000,000 \$11 \$10,000,000 \$11 Regional Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Program West Dublin BART Station Golden Gate Dr Streetscape Enhancements BART \$860,000 \$0 West Dublin BART Station Golden Gate Dr Streetscape Enhancements BART / Berkeley \$1,805,000 \$0 \$5 South Hayward BART / Dison St Streetscape Enhancements Dublin \$647,000 \$0 \$5 Livermore RXR Depot Restoration (for Livermore Land Banking) Livermore Expression Streetscape Enhancements Dublin \$647,000 \$0 \$5 San Leandro BART-Downtown PART Plast and Road Diet Oakland \$2,200,000 \$0 \$5 Livermore RXR Depot Restorat	\$400,00					
MTC S1,101,000 S0 S1, ABAG PDA Planning Implementation ABAG S609,890 S0 Smart Growth Technical Assistance Program MTC \$350,000 \$0 SUBITOTAL \$350,000,000 \$1 SUBITOTAL \$350,000,000 \$1 SUBITOTAL \$350,000,000 \$1 SUBITOTAL \$350,000,000 \$1 SUBITOTAL \$350,000 \$0 SUBTOTAL \$350,000 \$0 SUBITOTAL \$350,000 \$0 S	\$448,00					· ·
Smart Growth Technical Assistance Program	\$1,101,00			, ,	MTC	MTC PDA Planning Implementation
SUBTOTAL Sp. 05,000 \$0 \$1	\$609,89					
Transit Oriented Development (TOD) Transit Oriented Affordable Housing (TOAH) (Funding Exchange) MTC \$0 \$10,000,000 \$1 \$10,000,000 \$1 \$10,000,000 \$1 \$10,000,000 \$1 \$10,000,000 \$1 \$1,000,000 \$1 \$1,000,000,000 \$1 \$1,000,000,000 \$1 \$1,000,000,000 \$1 \$1,000,000,000 \$1,000,000,000 \$1 \$1,0	\$360,00				MTC	·
Transit Oriented Affordable Housing (TOAH) (Funding Exchange) MTC \$0 \$10,000,000 \$11	\$9,005,00		\$0	\$9,005,000		
Regional Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Program West Dublin BART Station Golden Gate Dr Streetscape Enhancements BART \$860,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$10,000,00		¢10,000,000	40	MTC	
Regional Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Program	\$10,000,00 \$10,000,00				MIC	<u> </u>
Berkeley Downtown BART Plaza and Transit Area Imps SART / Berkeley \$1,805,000 \$0 \$1,805,000 \$0 \$2,500,000	+==/===/==		7-0/000/000	T -		
West Dublin BART Station Golden Gate Dr Streetscape Enhancements Dublin \$647,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$860,00		\$0	\$860,000	BART	West Dublin BART Station Golden Gate Dr Streetscape Enhancements
South Hayward BART / Dixon St Streetscape and Access Imps Livermore RX Depot Restoration (for Livermore Land Banking) Livermore \$2,500,000 \$0 \$1 Livermore RXR Depot Restoration (for Livermore Land Banking) Livermore \$2,200,000 \$0 \$3 Lakeside Complete Streets and Road Diet Oakland \$2,200,000 \$0 \$5 Union City Intermodal Station East Plaza Union City \$4,450,000 \$0 \$5 Union City Intermodal Station East Plaza Union City \$4,450,000 \$0 \$5 Concord Commerce Ave Complete Streets Concord \$1,440,000 \$0 \$5 Richmond Nevin Avenue Imps Richmond \$2,654,000 \$0 \$5 Richmond Nevin Avenue Imps Richmond \$2,654,000 \$0 \$5 Richmond Nevin Avenue Imps Richmond \$2,654,000 \$0 \$5 SF South of Market Alleyways Imp, Phase 2 San Francisco \$1,381,000 \$0 \$5 SF South of Market Alleyways Imp, Phase 2 San Francisco \$1,381,000 \$0 \$5 SF Market and Haight Street Transit and Pedestrian Imps San Francisco \$2,109,000 \$0 \$5 SF Market and Haight Street Transit and Pedestrian Imps San Francisco \$2,200,000 \$0 \$5 SF Phalan Public Plaza and Transit-Oriented Development San Francisco \$1,120,000 \$0 \$5 San Ataco Delaware Street Bike Path and Streetscape San Mateo \$605,000 \$0 \$5 San Mateo Delaware Street Bike Path and Streetscape San Mateo \$605,000 \$0 \$5 San Jose The Alameda - A Plan for The Beautiful Way San Jose \$3,132,000 \$0 \$5 San Jose San Fernando Street Enhanced Bikeway and Pedestrian Access \$3 30 \$6 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3	\$1,805,00		· ·			· · ·
Livermore RxR Depot Restoration (for Livermore Land Banking)	\$647,00			, ,		•
Lakeside Complete Streets and Road Diet	\$1,800,00				•	
San Leandro BART-Downtown Pedestrian Interface Imp San Leandro Union City Intermodal Station East Plaza Union City Union City Intermodal Station East Plaza Union City S4,450,000 S0 Signormance Ave Complete Streets Concord S1,440,000 S0 Signormance Avenue Imps Richmond Nevin Avenue Imps Richmond S2,654,000 S0 Signormance Avenue Imps SF South of Market Alleyways Imp, Phase 2 San Francisco S1,381,000 S0 Signormance San Francisco S2,109,000 S0 Signormance San Francisco S2,109,000 S0 Signormance San Francisco S2,800,000 S0 Signormance San Francisco S3,132,000 S0 S	\$2,500,00		· ·			
Union City Intermodal Station East Plaza	\$2,200,00 \$4,610,00					·
Concord Commerce Ave Complete Streets	\$4,450,00		· ·			· ·
Richmond Nevin Avenue Imps	\$1,440,00				,	·
SF 24th Street/Mission BART Plaza and Pedestrian Imps San Francisco \$2,109,000 \$0 \$5 SF Market and Haight Street Transit and Pedestrian Imps SF Phelan Public Plaza and Transit-Oriented Development San Francisco SF Phelan Public Plaza and Transit-Oriented Development San Carlos San Carlos East Side Community Transit Connectivity San Carlos San Carlos San Seast Side Community Transit Connectivity San Carlos San Mateo San Jose Sit Side Community Transit Connectivity San Mateo San Jose San Hateo San Jose San Fernando Street Bike Path and Streetscape San Mateo San Jose San Fernando Street Enhanced Bikeway and Pedestrian Access San Jose San Fernando Street Enhanced Bikeway and Pedestrian Access San Jose San Jose San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape - Phase II San Jose San Jos	\$2,654,00					·
SF Market and Haight Street Transit and Pedestrian Imps SF Phelan Public Plaza and Transit-Oriented Development San Francisco SI,120,000 SI,120	\$1,381,00		\$0	\$1,381,000	San Francisco	SF South of Market Alleyways Imp, Phase 2
SF Phelan Public Plaza and Transit-Oriented Development San Carlos East Side Community Transit Connectivity San Carlos East Side Community Transit Connectivity San Mateo Delaware Street Bike Path and Streetscape San Mateo San Jose The Alameda - A Plan for The Beautiful Way San Jose San Jose \$3,132,000 \$0 \$5 San Jose San Fernando Street Enhanced Bikeway and Pedestrian Access San Jose San Jose \$1,425,000 \$0 \$5 San Jose San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape - Phase II San Jose San Jose \$1,425,000 \$0 \$0 \$1,425,000 \$0 \$2,427,70,710 \$0 \$2,427,70,710 \$0 \$4,427,70,710 \$0 \$4,427,70,710 \$0 \$4,427,70,710 \$0 \$0 \$4,427,70,710 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$2,109,00		\$0	\$2,109,000	San Francisco	SF 24th Street/Mission BART Plaza and Pedestrian Imps
San Carlos East Side Community Transit Connectivity San Carlos \$2,221,000 \$0 \$3n Mateo Delaware Street Bike Path and Streetscape San Mateo \$605,000 \$0 \$3n Jose The Alameda - A Plan for The Beautiful Way San Jose San Jose \$3,132,000 \$0 \$5. San Jose San Fernando Street Enhanced Bikeway and Pedestrian Access San Jose San Jose San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape - Phase II San Jose San Jose San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape - Phase II San Jose San Jose San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape - Phase II San Jose San Jose San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape - Phase II San Jose \$1,425,000 \$0 \$5. San Jose \$1,425,000 \$0 \$1,427,710 \$0 \$1,427,710 \$0 \$1,427,710 \$0 \$2,427,710 \$0 \$1,427,710 \$0 \$2,427,710 \$0 \$1,745,000 \$0 \$1,045,000 \$0 \$2,747,710 \$0 \$4,427,710 \$0 \$4,427,710 \$0 \$4,427,710 \$0 \$4,427,710 \$0 \$4,427,710 \$0 \$4,427,710 \$0 \$4,427,710 \$0 \$4,427,710 \$0 \$4,427,710 \$0 \$4,427,710 \$0 \$4,427,710 \$0 \$4,427,710 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$2,800,00		· ·			
San Mateo Delaware Street Bike Path and Streetscape San Mateo \$ 50,000 \$ 3n Jose The Alameda - A Plan for The Beautiful Way San Jose San Jose \$ 3,132,000 \$ 50 \$ 50 \$ 50 \$ 50 \$ 50 \$ 50 \$ 50 \$	\$1,120,00					
San Jose The Alameda - A Plan for The Beautiful Way San Jose San Fernando Street Enhanced Bikeway and Pedestrian Access San Jose San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape - Phase II San Jose San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape - Phase II San Jose San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape - Phase II San Jose \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$0 \$1,342,710 \$1,045,000 \$0 \$1,045,0	\$2,221,00					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
San Jose San Fernando Street Enhanced Bikeway and Pedestrian Access San Jose San Jose San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape - Phase II San Jose Vallejo Downtown Streetscape Phase 3 Vallejo Downtown Streetscape Phase 3 Vallejo San Jose Vallejo \$4400,000 \$0 Cotati Train Depot Petaluma Boulevard South Road Diet Petaluma Boulevard South Road Diet Santa Rosa Downtown Station Area Utility Infrastructure Upgrade Santa Rosa \$1,045,000 \$0 SUBTOTAL County Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Program Specific projects TBD by CMAs County TLC - Alameda - Block Grant TLC Implementation County TLC - Contra Costa - Block Grant TLC Implementation County TLC - Marin - Block Grant TLC Implementation County TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation SPECTA County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SPECTA S	\$605,00			, ,		
San Jose San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape - Phase II Vallejo Downtown Streetscape Phase 3 Vallejo \$400,000 \$0 Cotati Train Depot \$0 Petaluma Boulevard South Road Diet \$1,516,000 \$0 Santa Rosa Downtown Station Area Utility Infrastructure Upgrade \$1,045,000 \$0 SUBTOTAL County Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Program \$20 Specific projects TBD by CMAs County TLC - Alameda - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$20 County TLC - Contra Costa - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$20 County TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$20 County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation \$50 SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation \$60	\$3,132,00 \$1,425,00					
Vallejo Downtown Streetscape Phase 3 Vallejo \$440,000 \$0 Cotati Train Depot \$708,000 \$0 Petaluma Boulevard South Road Diet Petaluma \$708,000 \$0 Santa Rosa Downtown Station Area Utility Infrastructure Upgrade Santa Rosa \$1,045,000 \$0 \$UBTOTAL \$42,770,710 \$0 SUBTOTAL \$42,770,710 \$0 \$42 County Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Program Specific projects TBD by CMAs County TLC - Alameda - Block Grant TLC Implementation CCTA \$83,000 \$0 County TLC - Contra Costa - Block Grant TLC Implementation COUNTY TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation NCTPA \$22,000 \$0 County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA \$125,000 \$0 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG \$115,000 \$0 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA \$285,000 \$0 County TLC - Solano - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA \$285,000 \$0 County TLC - Solano - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0	\$1,342,71					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cotati Train Depot Petaluma Boulevard South Road Diet Petaluma Boulevard South Road Diet Petaluma Santa Rosa Downtown Station Area Utility Infrastructure Upgrade Santa Rosa Downtown Station Area Utility Infrastructure Upgrade Santa Rosa \$1,045,000 \$0 \$1 SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL Specific projects TBD by CMAs County Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Program Specific projects TBD by CMAs County TLC - Alameda - Block Grant TLC Implementation County TLC - Contra Costa - Block Grant TLC Implementation County TLC - Marin - Block Grant TLC Implementation County TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation NCTPA Second \$238,000 \$0 County TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation NCTPA Second \$22,000 \$0 County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA Siles,000 \$0 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG Siles,000 \$0 County TLC - Santa Clear - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA Second \$47,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA Second \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation SOLOTA SOL	\$400,00		· ·			· '
Petaluma Boulevard South Road Diet Santa Rosa Downtown Station Area Utility Infrastructure Upgrade Santa Rosa SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL Specific projects TBD by CMAs County Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Program Specific projects TBD by CMAs County TLC - Alameda - Block Grant TLC Implementation County TLC - Contra Costa - Block Grant TLC Implementation County TLC - Marin - Block Grant TLC Implementation County TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation NCTPA Secondary TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA Size, 000 So County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA Size, 000 So County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA Size, 000 So County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG Silt, 000 So County TLC - Santa Clara - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA Size, 000 So County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA Size, 000 So County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA Size, 000 So County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA Size, 000 So County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA Size, 000 So County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA Size, 000 So County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA Size, 000 So County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA Size, 000 So County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA Size, 000	\$1,516,00			, ,	•	· ·
SUBTOTAL \$42,770,710 \$0 \$43 County Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Program Specific projects TBD by CMAs County TLC - Alameda - Block Grant TLC Implementation ACTC \$238,000 \$0 County TLC - Contra Costa - Block Grant TLC Implementation CCTA \$83,000 \$0 County TLC - Marin - Block Grant TLC Implementation TAM \$40,000 \$0 County TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation NCTPA \$22,000 \$0 County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA \$125,000 \$0 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG \$115,000 \$0 County TLC - San Hateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG \$115,000 \$0 County TLC - Santa Clara - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA \$285,000 \$0 County TLC - Solano - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA \$67,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0	\$708,00		· ·		Petaluma	Petaluma Boulevard South Road Diet
County Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Program Specific projects TBD by CMAs County TLC - Alameda - Block Grant TLC Implementation ACTC \$238,000 \$0 County TLC - Contra Costa - Block Grant TLC Implementation CCTA \$83,000 \$0 County TLC - Marin - Block Grant TLC Implementation TAM \$40,000 \$0 County TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation NCTPA \$22,000 \$0 County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA \$125,000 \$0 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG \$115,000 \$0 County TLC - San Alateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG \$115,000 \$0 County TLC - Santa Clara - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA \$285,000 \$0 County TLC - Solano - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA \$67,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0	\$1,045,00		\$0	\$1,045,000	Santa Rosa	Santa Rosa Downtown Station Area Utility Infrastructure Upgrade
Specific projects TBD by CMAs County TLC - Alameda - Block Grant TLC Implementation ACTC \$238,000 \$0 County TLC - Contra Costa - Block Grant TLC Implementation CCTA \$83,000 \$0 County TLC - Marin - Block Grant TLC Implementation TAM \$40,000 \$0 County TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation NCTPA \$22,000 \$0 County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA \$125,000 \$0 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG \$115,000 \$0 County TLC - Santa Clara - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA \$285,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA \$67,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0	\$42,770,71	1	\$0	\$42,770,710		SUBTOTAL
County TLC - Alameda - Block Grant TLC Implementation ACTC \$238,000 \$0 County TLC - Contra Costa - Block Grant TLC Implementation CCTA \$83,000 \$0 County TLC - Marin - Block Grant TLC Implementation TAM \$40,000 \$0 County TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation NCTPA \$22,000 \$0 County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA \$125,000 \$0 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG \$115,000 \$0 County TLC - Santa Clara - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA \$285,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA \$67,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0						County Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Program
County TLC - Contra Costa - Block Grant TLC Implementation CCTA \$83,000 \$0 County TLC - Marin - Block Grant TLC Implementation TAM \$40,000 \$0 County TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation NCTPA \$22,000 \$0 County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA \$125,000 \$0 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG \$115,000 \$0 County TLC - Santa Clara - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA \$285,000 \$0 County TLC - Solano - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA \$285,000 \$0 County TLC - Solano - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA \$67,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0						
County TLC - Marin - Block Grant TLC Implementation TAM \$40,000 \$0 County TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation NCTPA \$22,000 \$0 County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA \$125,000 \$0 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG \$115,000 \$0 County TLC - Santa Clara - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA \$285,000 \$0 County TLC - Solano - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA \$67,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0	\$238,00					
County TLC - Napa - Block Grant TLC Implementation NCTPA \$22,000 \$0 County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA \$125,000 \$0 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG \$115,000 \$0 County TLC - Santa Clara - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA \$285,000 \$0 County TLC - Solano - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA \$67,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0	\$83,00 \$40,00					
County TLC - San Francisco - Block Grant TLC Implementation SFCTA \$125,000 \$0 County TLC - San Mateo - Block Grant TLC Implementation SMCCAG \$115,000 \$0 County TLC - Santa Clara - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA \$285,000 \$0 County TLC - Solano - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA \$67,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0	\$22,00					
County TLC - Santa Clara - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCVTA \$285,000 \$0 County TLC - Solano - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA \$67,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0	\$125,00					
County TLC - Solano - Block Grant TLC Implementation STA \$67,000 \$0 County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0	\$115,00		\$0			
County TLC - Sonoma - Block Grant TLC Implementation SCTA \$47,000 \$0 BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0	\$285,00					
BART - MacArthur Station Entry Plaza Renovation BART \$625,000 \$0	\$67,00 \$47,00					
	\$625,00					
	\$1,600,00		\$0	\$1,600,000	Fremont	Fremont - Midtown Catalyst Project
	\$1,566,00					, ,
	\$176,00					3 3
	\$1,700,00					
	\$816,00 \$1,690,00					
	\$1,030,00					
	\$970,00					
	\$318,00					, , , ,
American Canyon - Theresa Avenue Sidewalk Imps. Phase II American Canyon \$200,000 \$0	\$200,00		\$0	\$200,000	American Canyon	American Canyon - Theresa Avenue Sidewalk Imps. Phase II
	\$516,61					
	\$948,00					- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	\$1,104,00					
	\$548,38 \$301,00		· ·	, ,		·
	\$420,00				-	
	\$355,00			' '		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	\$263,00			\$263,000	San Bruno	San Bruno - Transit Corridor Pedestrian Connection Imps.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
T4 New Federal Act FIRST CYCLE Programming
STP/CMAQ/TE/RTIP/CMIA Funding **
MTC Resolution 3925
Project List***
Attachment B
January 27, 2021

MTC Resolution No. 3925, Attachment B
Adopted: 10/28/09-C
Revised: 12/16/09-C
07/28/10-C 09/22/10-C 10/27/10-C 02/23/10-C
03/23/11-C 05/25/11-C 06/22/11-C 09/28/11-C
10/26/11-C 01/25/12-C 02/22/12-C 03/28/12-C
04/25/12-C 06/27/12-C 07/25/12-C 09/26/12-C
02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 12/18/13-C
02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C
07/23/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 01/28/15-C
05/27/15-C 09/23/15-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C
12/21/16-C 04/26/17-C 05/24/17-C 11/15/17-C
02/28/18-C 04/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 02/27/19-C
12/18/19-C 01/27/21-C

	Implementing	Total	Total Other	Total
Project Category and Title	Agency	STP/CMAQ	TE/RTIP/CMIA	Cycle 1
T4 FIRST CYCLE PROGRAMMING		\$573,249,420	\$130,732,000	\$703,981,420
San Bruno - Street Medians and Grand Boulevard Imps	San Bruno	\$654,000	\$0	\$654,000
San Mateo - El Camino Real Phase 1 Improvements	San Mateo	\$503,000	\$0	\$503,000
Campbell - Winchester Blvd Streetscape Phase II	Campbell	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$1,500,000
Milpitas - Abel Street Pedestrian Improvements	Milpitas	\$788,000	\$0	\$788,000
VTA - US 101 Capitol Expressway (Exchange) ****	Santa Clara VTA	\$1,100,000	\$0	\$1,100,000
Santa Clara Co Almaden Expwy Bicycle Signal Detection (Complete Streets)	Santa Clara Co.	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Saratoga - Saratoga Village Ped Enhancement Phase 2	Saratoga	\$1,161,000	\$0	\$1,161,000
Sunnyvale - Hendy Avenue Improvements (Complete Streets)	Sunnyvale	\$523,000	\$0	\$523,000
Sunnyvale - Downtown Streetscape	Sunnyvale	\$594,000	\$0	\$594,000
Vallejo - Streetscapes Improvements	Vallejo	\$1,277,000	\$0	\$1,277,000
Cotati - Downtown Streetscape	Cotati	\$1,100,000	\$0	\$1,100,000
Santa Rosa - Streetscape Palettes Deleted - Funds moved to Cotati Train Depot	Santa Rosa	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cotati Train Depot	Cotati	\$200,000	\$0	\$200,000
SUBTOTAL		\$26,256,000	\$0	\$26,256,000
SFPark Parking Pricing (Fund Exchange)	SFMTA	\$22,000,000	\$0	\$22,000,000
SUBTOTAL		\$22,000,000	\$0	\$22,000,000
6. TRANSPORTATION FOR LIVABLE COMMUNITES (TLC)	TOTAL:		\$10,000,000	\$110,031,710
* NOTE: Two thirds of the TLC Program administered by MTC. One third administered by County CM.	As, as part of the Block Grant	Program.	•	

7. LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS (LSR) Payoment Technical Advisory Program (PTAP)	MTC	¢4 500 000	¢Λ	¢4 500 000
Pavement Technical Advisory Program (PTAP) Pavement Management Program (PMP)	MTC MTC	\$4,500,000 \$1,500,000	\$0 \$0	\$4,500,000 \$1,500,000
SUBTOTAL	PITC	\$6,000,000	\$0 \$0	\$6,000,000
Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) Commitment *		ψο/ουσ/ουσ	Ψ	40,000,000
Specific projects TBD by Counties				
Alameda County - Rural Roads Pavement Rehabilitation	Alameda County	\$2,135,000	\$0	\$2,135,000
Contra Costa - Kirker Pass Road Overlay	Contra Costa County	\$1,611,000	\$0	\$1,611,000
Marin County - Novato Boulevard Resurfacing	Marin County	\$1,006,000	\$0	\$1,006,000
Napa County - Silverado Trail Pavement Rehabilitation	Napa County	\$312,000	\$0	\$312,000
Napa County - Various Streets Rehabilitation	Napa County	\$1,114,000	\$0	\$1,114,000
San Mateo County - Pescadero Creek Road Resurfacing	San Mateo County	\$1,070,000	\$0	\$1,070,000
Santa Clara County - Various Streets and Roads Pavement Rehabilitation	Santa Clara County	\$2,041,000	\$0	\$2,041,000
Solano County - Pavement Overlay Program	Solano County	\$1,807,000	\$0	\$1,807,000
Sonoma County - Various Streets and Roads Asphalt Overlay	Sonoma County	\$3,917,000	\$0 \$0	\$3,917,000
SUBTOTAL Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Rehabilitation **		\$15,013,000	ŞU	\$15,013,000
· ·				
Specific projects TBD by CMAs LS&R Rehab - Alameda - Block Grant LS&R Implementation	ACTC	\$662,000	\$0	\$662,000
LS&R Rehab - Contra Costa - Block Grant LS&R Implementation	CCTA		\$0 \$0	\$062,000 \$215,000
· '		\$215,000		
LS&R Rehab - Marin - Block Grant LS&R Implementation	TAM	\$97,000	\$0	\$97,000
LS&R Rehab - Napa - Block Grant LS&R Implementation	NCTPA	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
LS&R Rehab - San Francisco - Block Grant LS&R Implementation	SFCTA	\$310,000	\$0	\$310,000
LS&R Rehab - San Mateo - Block Grant LS&R Implementation	SMCCAG	\$272,000	\$0	\$272,000
LS&R Rehab - Santa Clara - Block Grant LS&R Implementation	SCVTA	\$689,000	\$0	\$689,000
LS&R Rehab - Solano - Block Grant LS&R Implementation	STA	\$259,000	\$0	\$259,000
LS&R Rehab - Sonoma - Block Grant LS&R Implementation	SCTA	\$229,000	\$0	\$229,000
Alameda - Otis Drive Reconstruction	Alameda (City)	\$837,000	\$0	\$837,000
Alameda County - Central County Pavement Rehabilitation	Alameda County	\$1,121,000	\$0	\$1,121,000
Albany - Pierce Street Pavement Rehabilitation	Albany	\$117,000	\$0	\$117,000
Berkeley - Sacramento Street Rehabilitation	Berkeley	\$955,000	\$0	\$955,000
Dublin - Citywide Street Resurfacing	Dublin	\$547,000	\$0	\$547,000
Fremont - Various Streets Pavement Rehabilitation	Fremont	\$2,706,550	\$0	\$2,706,550
Fremont - Osgood Road Rehabilitation	Fremont	\$431,450	\$0	\$431,45
Hayward - Various Streets Pavement Rehabilitation	Hayward	\$1,336,000	\$0	\$1,336,00
Livermore - Various Streets Rehabilitation	Livermore	\$1,028,000	\$0	\$1,028,00
Newark - Cedar Blvd and Jarvis Ave Pavement Rehab	Newark	\$682,000	\$0	\$682,000
Oakland - Resurfacing and Bike Lanes (Complete Streets)	Oakland	\$3,617,000	\$0	\$3,617,000
Pleasanton - Various Streets Pavement Rehabilitation	Pleasanton	\$876,000	\$0	\$876,000
San Leandro - Marina Blvd Street Rehabilitation	San Leandro	\$807,000	\$0	\$807,000
Union City - Dyer Street Rehabilitation	Union City	\$861,000	\$0	\$861,000
Antioch - Hillcrest, Putnam and Contra Loma Pavement Rehab	Antioch	\$1,907,000	\$0	\$1,907,000
Brentwood - Various Streets Overlay	Brentwood	\$823,000	\$0	\$823,000
Concord - Concord Blvd Pavement Rehabilitation Sixth-Glazier	Concord	\$2,147,000	\$0	\$2,147,000
Contra Costa - Countywide Arterial Micro Surface Project	Contra Costa County	\$2,121,000	\$0	\$2,121,000
Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Pavement Rehabilitation	Pittsburg	\$848,000	\$0	\$848,000
Richmond - Dornan Drive/Garrard Blvd Tunnel Rehabilitation	Richmond	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,00
San Ramon - Alcosta Boulevard Pavement Rehabilitation	San Ramon	\$825,000	\$0	\$825,000
Walnut Creek - Various Arterials and Collectors Rehabilitation	Walnut Creek	\$1,856,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,856,000
Trainac Greek Various Arteriais and Collectors Neriabilitation				
Marin County - Southern Marin Road Rehabilitation	Marin County	\$1,196,000	\$0	\$1,196,000

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION **T4 New Federal Act FIRST CYCLE Programming** STP/CMAQ/TE/RTIP/CMIA Funding ** MTC Resolution 3925 Project List*** Attachment B January 27, 2021

MTC Resolution No. 3925, Attachment B Adopted: 10/28/09-C Revised: 12/16/09-C 07/28/10-C 09/22/10-C 10/27/10-C 02/23/10-C 03/23/11-C 05/25/11-C 06/22/11-C 09/28/11-C 10/26/11-C 01/25/12-C 02/22/12-C 03/28/12-C 10/26/11-C 01/23/12-C 07/25/12-C 09/26/12-C 06/27/12-C 07/25/12-C 09/26/13-C 09/25/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C 07/23/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 01/28/15-C 07/23/14-C 11/13/14-C 13/17/14-C 07/27/16-C 05/27/15-C 09/23/15-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 04/25/17-C 05/24/17-C 11/15/17-C 02/28/18-C 04/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 02/27/19-C 12/18/19-C 01/27/21-C

Project Category and Title	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ	Total Other TE/RTIP/CMIA	Total Cycle 1
T4 FIRST CYCLE PROGRAMMING		\$573,249,420	\$130,732,000	\$703,981,420
San Rafael - Citywide Street Resurfacing	San Rafael	\$1,019,000	\$0	\$1,019,000
Napa - Linda Vista Pavement Overlay	City of Napa	\$654,000	\$0	\$654,000
Napa - Cape Seal Pavement Rehabilitation	City of Napa	\$625,000	\$0	\$625,000
Napa County - Silverado Trail Pavement Rehabilitation	Napa County	\$526,000	\$0	\$526,000
San Francisco - Folsom Streetscape (Complete Streets)	SFDPW	\$3,200,000	\$0	\$3,200,000
San Francisco - Second Street Phase 1 - Sfgo Signal Rehabilitation	SFDPW	\$530,000	\$0	\$530,000
San Francisco - Broadway Streetscape Phase III (Complete Streets)	SFDPW	\$350,000	\$0	\$350,000
San Francisco - Citywide San Francisco Street Improvements	SFDPW	\$3,368,000	\$0	\$3,368,000
Burlingame - Street Resurfacing Program 2010-11	Burlingame	\$308,000	\$0	\$308,000
Daly City - Various Streets Rehabilitation	Daly City	\$1,058,000	\$0	\$1,058,000
Menlo Park - Various Streets Resurfacing	Menlo Park	\$385,000	\$0	\$385,000
Pacifica - Various Streets Pavement Rehabilitation	Pacifica	\$383,000	\$0	\$383,000
Redwood City - Various Streets Overlay	Redwood City	\$946,000	\$0	\$946,000
San Bruno Various Streets Resurfacing	San Bruno	\$398,000	\$0	\$398,000
San Carlos - Various Streets Pavement Rehabilitation	San Carlos	\$319,000	\$0	\$319,000
San Mateo - Various Streets Rehabilitation	San Mateo (City)	\$1,255,000	\$0	\$1,255,000
San Mateo County - Various Roads Resurfacing	San Mateo County	\$1,416,000	\$0	\$1,416,000
South San Francisco - Various Streets Resurfacing	So. San Francisco	\$712,000	\$0	\$712,000
Campbell - Citywide Arterial & Collector Street Rehab	Campbell	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Cupertino - Various Streets Pavement Rehabilitation	Cupertino	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Gilroy - Wren Ave and Church Street Resurfacing	Gilroy	\$614,000	\$0	\$614,000
Los Altos - San Antonio Road Microseal	Los Altos	\$259,000	\$0	\$259,000
Los Gatos - University Avenue Rehabilitation	Los Gatos	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Mountain View - Church Street Improvements	Mountain View	\$530,000	\$0	\$530,000
Palo Alto - Various Streets Pavement Overlay	Palo Alto	\$549,000	\$0	\$549,000
San Jose - Various Streets Rehabilitation	San Jose	\$7,987,000	\$0	\$7,987,000
Santa Clara City - Various Streets Rehabilitation	Santa Clara (City)	\$1,163,000	\$0	\$1,163,000
Santa Clara County Roads Pavement Rehabilitation	Santa Clara County	\$1,157,000	\$0	\$1,157,000
Santa Clara County Expressways Pavement Rehabilitation	Santa Clara County	\$530,000	\$0	\$530,000
Saratoga - Various Streets and Roads Rehabilitation	Saratoga	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Sunnyvale Ave/Old San Francisco Rd Reconstruction and Ped Enhancements	Sunnyvale	\$638,000	\$0	\$638,000
Sunnyvale - Hendy Avenue Improvements (Complete Streets)	Sunnyvale	\$1,117,000	\$0	\$1,117,000
Benicia - Columbus Parkway Overlay	Benicia	\$371,000	\$0	\$371,000
Fairfield - Various Streets Overlay	Fairfield	\$1,370,000	\$0	\$1,370,000
Solano County Pavement Overlay	Solano County	\$1,689,000	\$0	\$1,689,000
Suisun City - Pintail Drive Resurfacing	Suisun City	\$437,000	\$0	\$437,000
Vacaville - Various Streets Overlay	Vacaville	\$1,324,000	\$0	\$1,324,000
Vallejo - Citywide Street Overlay	Vallejo	\$1,595,000	\$0	\$1,595,000
Petaluma - Sonoma Mountain Parkway Rehabilitation	Petaluma	\$1,036,000	\$0	\$1,036,000
Rohnert Park - Arlen Dr and E. Cotati Ave Overlay	Rohnert Park	\$563,000	\$0	\$563,000
Santa Rosa - Various Streets Citywide Overlay	Santa Rosa	\$2,072,000	\$0	\$2,072,000
Sonoma County - Various Roads Pavement Preservation	Sonoma Co. TPW	\$4,912,000	\$0	\$4,912,000
Windsor - Hembree Lane Resurfacing	Windsor	\$348,000	\$0	\$348,000
SUBTOTAL 7. LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS (LSR)	TOTAL	\$80,789,000 \$101,802,000	\$0 \$0	\$80,789,000 \$101,802,000

* NOTE: Section 182.5(d)(2) of the California Streets and Highways Code requires that An amount not less than 110 percent of the amount that the county was apportioned under the Federal-Aid Seconda The FAS amounts in Cycle 1 represent the total annual FAS commitments for the entire 6-year period of the new federal act beginning in FY 2009-10. San Francisco does not have any routes designated FAS,

** NOTE: Local Streets and Roads Rehab administered by County CMAs as part of the Block Grant Pr	ogram.			
8. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI)				
Richmond Rail Connector	Caltrans	\$6,330,000	\$0	\$6,330,000
GGBH&TD Preventive Maintenance (for Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent)	GGBH&TD	\$5,000,000	\$0	\$5,000,000
Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent	GGBH&TD	\$12,000,000	\$15,000,000	\$27,000,000
Doyle Drive/Presidio Parkway *****	Caltrans/SFCTA	\$34,000,000	\$0	\$34,000,000
Doyle Drive/Presidio Parkway Landscaping	Caltrans/SFCTA	\$15,000,000	\$0	\$15,000,000
SamTrans Preventive Maintenance (for Caltrain Right-Of-Way Payback)	SamTrans	\$15,942,309	\$0	\$15,942,309
SamTrans Bus Replacement (for Caltrain Right-Of-Way Payback)	SamTrans	\$1,085,808	\$0	\$1,085,808
SamTrans Advanced Comm. Sys.Upgrades (for Caltrain Right-Of-Way Payback)	SamTrans	\$2,260,796	\$0	\$2,260,796
SCL I-280 I/C Improvements	VTA	\$1,000,000	\$31,000,000	\$32,000,000
SCL I-280/Winchester I/C Modifications	VTA	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Small/Northbay Operators (Transit Payback Commitment)				
Clipper Phase III Implementation	Various	\$2,691,476	\$0	\$2,691,476
SUBTOTAL		\$95,810,389	\$46,000,000	\$141,810,389
8. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI)	TOTAL:	\$95,810,389	\$46,000,000	\$141,810,389
9. LIFELINE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (LIFE)				
Transit Paylordy Commitments Lifeling Transportation Program				

8. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI)	TOTAL:	\$95,810,389	\$46,000,000	\$141,810,389
9. LIFELINE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (LIFE)				
Transit Payback Commitment: Lifeline Transportation Program				
Community Based Transportation Plan Updates	ACTC	\$475,000	\$0	\$475,000
Cherryland - Hathaway Avenue Transit Access Imps	Alameda County	\$430,000	\$0	\$430,000
East Bay Bus Rapid Transit Terminus/ San Leandro BART Imps	AC Transit	\$1,225,539	\$0	\$1,225,539
Baypoint - Canal Road Bike/Ped Imps	Contra Costa County	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000

MTC Resolution No. 3925, Attachment B

Adopted: 10/28/09-C Revised: 12/16/09-C

07/28/10-C 09/22/10-C 10/27/10-C 02/23/10-C 03/23/11-C 05/25/11-C 06/22/11-C 09/28/11-C 10/26/11-C 01/25/12-C 02/22/12-C 03/28/12-C 10/20/11-c 01/25/12-c 07/25/12-c 05/26/12-c 04/25/12-c 06/27/12-c 07/25/12-c 09/26/12-c 02/27/13-c 05/22/13-c 09/25/13-c 12/18/13-c 02/26/14-c 03/26/14-c 04/23/14-c 05/28/14-c 07/23/14-c 11/19/14-c 12/17/14-c 01/28/15-c

05/27/15-C 09/23/15-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 03/27/13-C 03/26/13-C 03/25/13-C 03/27/13-C 03/25/13-C 03/25/13-C

11211101 0221741 11041101 0111712011 00111120201
T4 New Federal Act FIRST CYCLE Programming
STP/CMAQ/TE/RTIP/CMIA Funding **
MTC Resolution 3925
Project List***
Attachment B
January 27, 2021
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Project Category and Title	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ	Total Other TE/RTIP/CMIA	Total Cycle 1
T4 FIRST CYCLE PROGRAMMING		\$573,249,420	\$130,732,000	\$703,981,420
Richmond Easy Go Low-Income Mobility Access Imps	Richmond	\$203,291	\$0	\$203,291
Advanced Communications and Information System	GGBHTD	\$233,728	\$0	\$233,728
Community Based Transportation Plan Updates	NCTPA	\$80,000	\$0	\$80,000
ADA Bus Stop Upgrades	NCTPA	\$116,794	\$0	\$116,794
Eddy and Ellis Traffic Calming	SFMTA	\$1,175,105	\$0	\$1,175,105
Redwood City - Middlefield/Woodside Rd (SR 84) Intersection Imps	Redwood City	\$339,924	\$0	\$339,924
City of San Mateo - North Central Ped Infrastructure Imps	San Mateo (City)	\$339,924	\$0	\$339,924
East San Jose Pedestrian Improvements	Santa Clara County	\$2,127,977	\$0	\$2,127,977
Fairfield-Suisun - Local Bus Replacement	Fairfield-Suisun Transit	\$481,368	\$0	\$481,368
Vacaville SRTS Infrastructure Imps	Vacaville	\$40,000	\$0	\$40,000
Healdsburg Pedestrian Safety & Access Imps	Healdsburg	\$202,937	\$0	\$202,937
Central Sonoma Valley Trail	Sonoma County	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
SUBTOTAL	i de la companya de	\$8,971,587	\$0	\$8,971,587
9. LIFELINE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (LIFE)	TOTAL:	\$8,971,587	\$0	\$8,971,587
		\$573,249,420	\$130,732,000	\$703,981,420
First Cycle Total 3\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\RES-3925 ongoing STP-CMAQ Cycle\\timp-3925 Attach-B \text{Jan.xisx}\)	Attach B 1-27-21	\$573,249,420	\$130,732,000	\$703,98

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\RES-3925_ongoing_STP-CMAQ_Cycle1\[tmp-3925_Attach-B_Jan.xlsx]Attach B 1-27-21

^{**} NOTE: Attachment A, T-4 First-Cycle Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policies, govern this project list. All funding changes to a program or project are subject to Commission

The project phase, fiscal year and fund source will be determined at the time of programming in the TIP. MTC Staff will update the project listing (Attachment B) to reflect MTC actions as projects are included or revised in the TIP.

^{***} NOTE: All funds are subject to applicable regional, state and federal requirements and deadlines. Funds that miss established deadlines are considered lapsed and are no longer available for the project.

^{****} NOTE: Santa Clara VTA agrees to provide an equal amount of local/STIP funds for a TLC project by Fall 2014. If VTA has not programmed an equal amount, MTC will recommend programming of Santa Clara's RTIP share.

^{*****} NOTE: Doyle Drive/Presidio Parkway - Contingent upon \$34 million in future San Francisco RTIP funds being prioritized for regional FPI/Express Lanes after Planning, Programming and Monitoring (PPM) the remaining \$88 million commitment to the Central Subway project.

Date: November 18, 2015

W.I.: 1512 Referred by: PAC

> Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C 12/21/16-C

03/22/17-C 04/26/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C 09/27/17-C 10/25/17-C 11/15/17-C 12/20-17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 04/25/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 11/28/18-C 12/19/18-C 01/23/19-C 02/27/19-C 03/27/19-C 06/26/19-C 07/24/19-C 09/25/19-C 10/23/19-C 11/20/19-C

02/26/20-C 05/27/20-C 07/22/20-C 09/23/20-C 11/20/20-C 01/27/21-C

ABSTRACT

Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Adoption of the project selection policies and project programming for the second round of the One Bay Area Grant program (OBAG 2). The project selection criteria and programming policy contain the project categories that are to be funded with various fund sources including federal surface transportation act funding available to MTC for its programming discretion to be included in the federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the OBAG 2 funding period.

The resolution includes the following attachments:

Attachment A – OBAG 2 Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy

Attachment B-1 – OBAG 2 Regional Program Project List

Attachment B-2 – OBAG 2 County Program Project List

On July 27, 2016, Attachment A, and Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to add additional funding and projects to the OBAG 2 framework, including \$72 million in additional Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST) funding, and to incorporate housing-related policies.

On October 26, 2016, Attachment A, and Attachment B-1 were revised to clarify language related to the North Bay Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program in Attachment A and to deprogram \$2,500,000 from the Water Emergency Transportation Authority (WETA) Ferry Service Enhancement Pilot within the Regional Active Operational Management Program.

On December 21, 2016, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$417,000 in unprogrammed balances from the Regional Active Operational Management program to MTC's Spare the Air Youth within the Climate Initiatives Program; divide MTC's Rideshare Program into three subcomponents totaling \$10,000,000: \$720,000 for Rideshare Implementation, \$7,280,000 for the Carpool Program, and \$2,000,000 for the Vanpool Program; direct \$1,785,000 from 511 Next Gen to the Commuter Benefits program; direct \$1,000,000 in un-programmed balances to SMART's Multi-Use Pathway; transfer \$1,000,000 from MTC's Casual Carpool project to MTC's Eastbay Commuter Parking project within the Bay Bridge Forward program, as the former will be funded with non-federal funds; transfer \$500,000 from the Freeway Performance Initiative program and \$500,000 in un-programmed balances to US 101/Marin Sonoma Narrow's B2 Phase 2 project in the Regional Active Operational Management Program; shift \$40,000,000 from the BART Car Replacement/Expansion project to the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent project and \$13 million from MTC's Clipper project to un-programmed balances within the Transit Priorities program as part of a RM2 funding action to address a cost increase on the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent project; and program \$5,990,000 to Alameda County's Safe Routes to School Program in the County Program.

On March 22, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$17,000,000 in un-programmed balances within the Regional Transit Priorities Program to MTC's Clipper Program, as part of the FY17 Transit Capital Priorities program.

On April 26, 2017, Attachment B-2 was revised to program \$1,655,000 to the Sonoma Safe Routes to School program; and redirect \$1,000 from Contra Costa Transportation Authority's Planning Activities Base to its discretionary balance and \$1,000 from San Francisco County Transportation Authority's Planning Activities Base to its discretionary balance to address an inconsistency between amounts programmed to planning activities in Appendix A-3 and reflect actual amounts obligated for planning.

On May 24, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$1,237,000 from 511 Next Gen to AOM Implementation within the Regional Active Operational Management program to reflect reorganization of staff between program elements; direct \$18,000,000 in Arterial/Transit Performance to the Program for Arterial System Synchronization (\$5,000,000) and the Next Gen Arterial Operations Program (\$13,000,000) within the Regional Active Operational Management program; direct \$19,000,000 from the Transportation Management System (TMS) Field Equipment Devices Operations and Maintenance to TMS Implementation (\$2,910,000), Performance-Based Intelligent Transportation Systems Device Maintenance and Rehabilitation (\$5,940,000), Transportation Management Center Asset Upgrade and Replacement (\$4,000,000), I-880 Communication Upgrade

and Infrastructure Gap Closures (\$4,000,000) and a Detection Technology Pilot (\$5,000,000) within the Regional Active Operational Management program; and remove \$290,556 in un-programmed balances from the Regional Active Operational Management program to address over-programming in a previous cycles of the STP/CMAQ regional programs.

On June 28, 2017, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to reprogram \$1,000,000 from the SMART Pathway – 2nd to Andersen to San Rafael's Grand Ave Bike/Pedestrian Improvements within the Regional Climate Initiatives program as part of a funding exchange within the City of San Rafael, conditioned on San Rafael committing \$1 million in non-federal funds to the construction of the pathway, and a resolution of local support for the use of federal funds on the Grand Ave project, and TAM approval of the redirection of local measure funds between the projects; split out \$8,729,000 from the 511 Next Gen program to 511 Implementation within the Regional Active Operational Management program; program \$1,250,000 to Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District for the Bettini Transit Center as part of the Marin County Program; and program \$2,617,000 within the San Mateo County Program to the San Mateo County Office of Education for the SRTS program, including \$223,000 in supplemental funds from San Mateo's discretionary balance.

On July 26, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$12,000,000 to the US 101 Marin Sonoma Narrows project as part of a fund exchange agreement with Sonoma County Transportation Authority; \$11,000,000 in exchange funds are added to the program for tracking purposes, with the final \$1 million in exchange funds to be identified through a future Commission action.

On September 27, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to change the name of the Next Gen Arterial Operations Program (NGAOP) to Innovative Deployment for Enhanced Arterials (IDEA) to reflect program rebranding and additional focus on advanced technologies; program \$4,160,000 to Incident Management Implementation and \$8,840,000 to I-880 Integrated Corridor Mobility project within the Regional Active Operational Management program; split out the Connected Vehicles/Shared Mobility program into the Connected Vehicles/Automated Vehicles program for \$2,500,000 and the Shared Use Mobility program for \$2,500,000; and program \$16,000,000 for three corridors within the Freeway Performance Program, with \$8,000,000 for I-680, \$3,000,000 for I-880, and \$5,000,000 for SR-84.

On October 25, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$10,000,000 to the Bay Area Air Quality Management District for the Spare the Air program, in lieu of the Electric Vehicle Programs within the Regional Climate Initiatives Program, conditioned on the Air District contribution of an additional \$10 million to advance implementation of electric vehicles within the region.

On November 15, 2017, Attachment B-2 was revised to program \$200,000 in the Alameda County Program to the I-580 Corridor Study, to support a joint corridor study between Alameda County Transportation Commission (ACTC) and MTC; \$122,000 within the Napa County Program to Napa Valley Transportation Authority (NVTA) for the Napa County Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Program; and \$300,000 within the Contra Costa County Program to San Ramon for the San Ramon Valley Street Smarts Program.

On December 20, 2017, Attachments A, Appendix A-3, B-1, and B-2 were revised to program \$334 million in the County Program to local and county projects recommended by the nine Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs); redirect \$10,248,000 from BART Car Replacement/Expansion to Clipper within the Regional Transit Priorities Program; revise the CMA Planning Activities funding amounts to reflect the supplementary funds requested by several CMAs through their County Programs; and clarify the program details for the Local Housing Production Incentive program (also known as the *80K by 2020 Challenge Grant*).

On January 24, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$4,100,000 from Performance-Based ITS Device Maintenance and Rehabilitation to I-880 Communication Upgrade and Infrastructure Gap Closures, within the Transportation Management System program.

On February 28, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$13 million in Innovative Deployments to Enhance Arterials (IDEA) program grants within the Regional Active Operational Management Program; redirect \$822,000 within Contra Costa County's Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS) for future SRTS projects; program \$2,813,000 to San Francisco SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program within the San Francisco County Program; and clarify MTC exchange fund projects.

On March 28, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to distribute the \$1.5 million Community-Based Transportation Planning Program among the nine county Congestion Management Areas (CMAs); clarify the limits of three Freeway Performance Program projects within the Regional

Active Operational Management Program; and reflect the programming of \$30,000 in MTC exchange funds for Bay Area Greenprint Functionality Improvements, as part of the PCA program.

On April 25, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$8,200,000 in Priority Conservation Area (PCA) grants within the North Bay PCA Program; \$3,400,000 to Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) for the Marin Sonoma Narrows B2 Phase 2 project, as part of an exchange agreement in which an equal amount of SCTA's future Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) funds will be programmed at MTC's discretion; \$7,288,000 in PDA Planning and Implementation grants; and \$500,000 to MTC for PDA Implementation.

On May 23, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to change the project sponsor from MTC to VTA for the IDEA Program project at the Veteran's Administration Palo Alto Medical Center; redirect funds within the Santa Clara County OBAG 2 County Program to reduce San Jose's West San Carlos Urban Village Streetscape Improvements by \$2,050,000, redirecting \$1,000,000 from the project to Santa Clara's Saratoga Creek Trail Phase 1 and \$1,050,000 to Saratoga's Prospect Rd Complete Streets project; and direct an additional an additional \$25,000 in unprogrammed balances within Santa Clara County OBAG 2 County Program to Saratoga's Prospect Rd Complete Streets project.

On June 27, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$800,000 to MTC's Carsharing Implementation and \$325,000 to Targeted Transportation Alternatives within the Climate Initiatives Program; redirect from MTC's 511 NextGen program \$8,271,000 to 511 Implementation, \$2,000,000 to Contra Costa Transportation Authority's (CCTA's) I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements project, and \$380,000 to an unprogrammed balance within the Regional Active Operational Management program; clarify the scope of MTC's Freeway Performance Program I-880 to reflect the project limits of I-80 to I-280; and redirect \$1,394,000 from Vallejo's Local Streets Rehabilitation project to Fairfield's Heart of Fairfield project within the Solano County Program.

On July 25, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$1,600,000 to Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) for the SR 85 Transit Guideway Study as part of a fund exchange agreement; remove Rohnert Park's \$65,000 Central Rohnert Park PDA/Creekside Neighborhood Subarea Connector Path Technical Assistance grant from the Regional PDA Planning Grant program as it will be funded through a prior cycle; reduce the funding for

Windsor's PDA Planning and Implementation Staffing Assistance grant by \$85,000 as this project will receive an equivalent amount of funds through a prior cycle; a total of \$150,000 balance created by these two revisions was returned to the Regional PDA Planning Grant Program un-programmed balance.

On September 12, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$3,000,000 within the Freeway Performance Program to the US 101 corridor in San Mateo and Santa Clara counties; direct an additional \$6,000,000 within the Freeway Performance Program to the I-680 corridor within Contra Costa County, \$4,000,000 of which is part of an exchange agreement with Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA); redirect \$15,000 within the Innovative Deployment for Enhanced Arterials (IDEA) program from IDEA Technical Assistance to VTA's IDEA grant at the Veterans Affairs Palo Alto Medical Center; redirect \$48,000 from MTC's Clipper to the BART Car Replacement/Expansion project within the Transit Priorities program to reflect program amounts previously adopted through the Transit Capital Priorities (TCP) program; revise the amount programmed to VTA's SR 85 Transit Guideway Study within Regional Strategic Initiatives to \$1,200,000 to reflect amount previously approved; redirect \$1,214,000 from Berkeley's North Shattuck Avenue Rehabilitation project to its Southside Complete Streets and Transit Improvements project within the Alameda County Program; from Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements, redirect \$1,000,000 to Los Altos' Miramonte Ave Bicycle and Pedestrian Access Improvements and \$1,140,000 to the Safe Routes to School program balance within the Santa Clara County Program; and program \$4,500,000 available from a previous funding cycle to the following projects within Regional Strategic Initiatives: \$617,000 to Novato's Pavement Rehabilitation (for Downtown Novato SMART Station) as part of a local funding exchange, \$1,120,000 to the Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM) for the Old Redwood Highway Multi-Use Pathway project, \$763,000 for San Rafael's Grand Ave Bridge project, and \$2,000,000 to TAM for the US 101 Marin Sonoma Narrows project.

On November 28, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to make adjustments related to the MTC/SCVTA Funding Exchange Agreement MTC Resolution No. 4356 and to the MTC/CCTA Funding Exchange Agreement MTC Resolution No. 4357, and to program \$4,000,000 in MTC exchange funds in accordance with MTC Resolution 3989, to the following projects: \$619,000 to CCTA for Innovative Deployment for Enhanced Arterials; \$621,000 to the city of Walnut Creek for innovative Deployment for Enhanced Arterials; \$500,000 to the city of Richmond for the

Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Bikeway Access; \$1,160,000 to MTC for Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Forward; and \$1,100,000 to MTC for Napa Valley Transportation Demand.

On December 19, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$5,200,000 from MTC's I-880 Integrated Corridor Management (ICM) Central Segment to the I-880 ICM

Northern Segment project within the Regional Active Operational Management Program; clarify the Diridon Integrated Station Area Concept Plan project within the Regional Priority

Development Planning and Implementation Program to reference Santa Clara Valley

Transportation Authority (VTA) as a project partner; within the Santa Clara County Program, redirect \$794,000 in unprogrammed balances to Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Sense of Place Improvements, clarify the remaining unprogrammed balance is discretionary, and clarify the division of funding for Santa Clara's Saratoga Creek Trail Phase 1 project between the county's Safe Routes to School program and its discretionary program.

On January 23, 2019, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$15,980,000 within the San Francisco County Program from the Better Market Street project to the Central Subway project.

On February 27, 2019, Attachment B-1 was revised to change the fund source of \$3,779,849 programmed to the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent in Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP) funds to federal Highway Infrastructure Program (STP Bump) funds provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2018. Of the \$3,779,849 freed up by this swap, \$1,000,000 is returned to the region's STP/CMAQ balance to help address the CMAQ shortfall as a result of the region becoming attainment for carbon monoxide (CO) and therefore receiving less CMAQ funds which are distributed based on air quality status. The remaining \$2,779,849 is held for future Commission action.

On March 27, 2019, Attachment A, Appendix A-8, Appendix A-10, and Attachment B-1 were revised to clarify provisions pertaining to the interim status report requirements for Priority Development Area (PDA) Investment & Growth Strategies; change the recipient of the Concord IDEA project from CCTA to the City of Concord and reduce the MTC Exchange funding from \$619,000 to \$589,000; and redirect the \$30,000 in MTC Exchange funds to a new MTC-led Concord IDEA project.

On June 26, 2019, Attachment B-2 was revised to program \$822,000 in unprogrammed Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS) balances within the Contra Costa County Program to six

existing projects; and to redirect \$251,000 within the San Mateo County Program from Atherton's Middlefield Road Class II Bike Lanes to its James Avenue Rehabilitation.

On July 24, 2019, Attachment A was revised to delegate authority to the Executive Director or designee to sign Letters of Understanding for the exchange of STP/CMAQ funds with other regions, within certain conditions and limitations, and to delegate to a Committee of the Commission the authority to approve exchanges beyond these conditions and limitations.

On September 25, 2019, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to clarify that the \$300,000 programmed to Alameda County Transportation Commission (ACTC) within the Community Based Transportation Plan (CBTP) Updates program will be directed to its Congestion Management Agency (CMA) Planning program as part of an internal fund exchange within ACTC; redirect \$9.6 million from 511 Implementation to 511 Next Gen within the Bay Area 511 Traveler Information Program; within the Freeway Performance Program redirect \$625,000 in from MTC's SR 84 (US 101 to I-880) to the environmental phase of MTC's I-580 WB HOV Lane Extension project and change the project sponsor of the I-80/Central Ave. Interchange Improvements project from the Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) to City of Richmond; within the Innovative Deployment to Enhance Arterials (IDEA) program, clarify that LAVTA is a partner agency for the Dublin Category 2 IDEA project; within the Transportation Management Systems (TMS) program, change the name of the overall program to Connected Bay Area, redirect \$2 million from the Detection Technology Pilot project and \$1.8 million from the Performance-Based ITS Device Maintenance and Rehabilitation project to provide an additional \$3.8 million to the I-880 Communications Upgrade and Infrastructure Gap Closures project; within the Incident Management program, redirect \$1 million from MTC's I-880 Integrated Corridor Management (ICM) Central Segment to the Northern Segment; within the San Francisco County program, redirect \$3,366,000 from John Yehall Chin Elementary Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Improvement; and within the Santa Clara County program, redirect \$1 million from Los Altos' Miramonte Ave Bicycle and Pedestrian Access Improvements project to Cupertino's McClellan Rd Separated Bike Lane project, and program \$1,346,000 in unprogrammed discretionary balances to Campbell's Harriet Ave Sidewalk project and Los Gatos Shannon Rd Complete Streets project.

On October 23, 2019, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$3 million from MTC's Detection Technology Pilot project to establish the InterConnect Bay Area grant program within the Connected Bay Area program; direct \$5 million (\$4 million Solano County and \$1 million other

North Bay counties) within the Housing Incentive Pool program to establish the Sub-HIP program, with specific projects to be recommended through future programming actions; and program \$1 million to BART for AB2923 Implementation from unprogrammed balances within the PDA Planning & Implementation program.

On November 20, 2019, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$6,023,000 in MTC exchange funds in accordance with MTC Resolution No. 3989 to 13 projects within the Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Grants program; and within the Contra Costa County program, redirect \$1,025,000 from Brentwood's Various Streets and Roads Preservation project to Pittsburg's Pavement Improvements project, redirect \$618,000 from San Pablo's Market Street Pavement Rehabilitation project to Giant Road Pavement Rehabilitation project; and revise the name of Walnut Creek's Ygnacio Valley Road Rehabilitation project to reflect the latest proposed scope of work.

On February 26, 2020, Attachments A, B-1, and B-2 were revised to program \$1 million to MTC for SR 37 corridor planning in Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma Counties and \$3 million to MTC for I-80 corridor planning from the Carquinez Bridge to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge (SFOBB) Toll Plaza within the Freeway Performance Program; revise the name of the Concord Willow Pass Road Rehabilitation and Safe Routes to School project within the Contra Costa County Program to reflect the project's current scope; and clarify language within the OBAG 2 Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy to reflect the Commission adoption of Housing Incentive Pool (HIP) program guidelines, MTC Resolution No. 4348.

On May 27, 2020, Attachment B-1 was revised to clarify the scope of MTC's Freeway Performance Program planning-only project on I-80 extends from Carquinez Bridge in Contra Costa to Fremont Street in San Francisco; change the sponsor for three projects within the Regional Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Grant program; and to redirect \$104,000 in the North Bay Priority PCA Grant program from Novato's Carmel Open Space Acquisition project to Novato's Hill Area National Recreation Area, as the former project has been cancelled.

On July 22, 2020, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$5 million to five projects in Solano, Marin, Napa, and Sonoma Counties within the Housing Incentive Pool Pilot Program (Sub-HIP) and program \$1 million to the Napa Valley Forward Traffic Calming and Multimodal Improvements project within the Freeway Performance Program (FPP); and incorporate \$7,681,887 in federal Highway Infrastructure Program apportionment provided through the

Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2020 to the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent.

On September 23, 2020, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$2,000,000 from Napa's Silverado Trail Five-way Intersection Improvement project to Napa Valley Transportation Authority's Vine Transit Bus Maintenance Facility within the Napa County Program, and \$1,394,000 from Fairfield's Heart of Fairfield Improvements to its Cadenasso Dr. repaving project within the Solano County Program.

On November 20, 2020, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$1,000,000 to SFCTA for the environmental phase of the Yerba Buena Island/Treasure Island Multi-Use Pathway project within the Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Grants program, with payback from BATA at a future date; \$647,000 in MTC exchange funds in accordance with MTC Resolution No. 3989 to four projects within the Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Grants program; and to clarify the project sponsor of the Old Redwood Highway Multi-Use Pathway project as Larkspur, rather than the Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM).

On January 27, 2021, Attachments A and Attachment B-1 were revised, and Appendix A-11 was added, to incorporate additional funding into the OBAG 2 framework, including \$52.9 million in STP/CMAQ program balances made available through FY2018-FY2020 appropriations of Federal Highway Infrastructure Program (FHIP) funds, and a \$1.5 million balance redirected from the Cycle 1 STP/CMAQ Climate Initiatives program, as part of the Safe & Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program.

Further discussion of the project selection criteria and programming policy is contained in the memorandum to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated November 4, 2015, July 13, 2016, October 12, 2016, December 14, 2016, February 8, 2017 (action deferred to March 2017), March 8, 2017, April 12, 2017, May 10, 2017, June 14, 2017, July 12, 2017, September 13, 2017, October 11, 2017, November 8, 2017, December 13, 2017, January 10, 2018, February 14, 2018, March 7, 2018, and April 11, 2018; the Planning Committee dated April 6, 2018; and the Programming and Allocations Committee dated May 9, 2018, June 13, 2018, July 11, 2018, September 12, 2018, November 14, 2018, December 12, 2018, January 9, 2019, February 13, 2019, March 6, 2019, June 12, 2019, July 10, 2019, September 4, 2019, October 9, 2019, November 13, 2019, February 12, 2020, May 13, 2020, July 8, 2020, September 9 2020, November 4, 2020, and January 13, 2021.

Date: November 18, 2015

W.I.: 1512

Referred By: Programming & Allocations

RE: One Bay Area Grant Program Second Round (OBAG 2) Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 4202

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for the San Francisco Bay Area pursuant to Government Code Section 66500 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the ninecounty San Francisco Bay Area region and is required to prepare and endorse a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) which includes federal funds; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated recipient for state and federal funding assigned to the RTPA/MPO of the San Francisco Bay Area for the programming of projects; and

WHEREAS, state and federal funds assigned for RTPA/MPO programming discretion are subject to availability and must be used within prescribed funding deadlines regardless of project readiness; and

WHEREAS, MTC, in cooperation with the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), the Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC), California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs), county Transportation Authorities (TAs), transit operators, counties, cities, and interested stakeholders, has developed criteria, policies and procedures to be used in the selection of projects to be funded with various funding including regional federal funds as set forth in Attachments A, B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution, incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS, using the policies set forth in Attachment A of this Resolution, MTC, in cooperation with the Bay Area Partnership and interested stakeholders, will develop a program of projects to be funded with these funds for inclusion in the federal TIP, as set forth in Attachments B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution, incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS the federal TIP and subsequent TIP amendments and updates are subject to public review and comment; now therefore be it

<u>RESOLVED</u> that MTC approves the "Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy" for projects to be funded in the OBAG 2 Program as set forth in Attachments A, B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution; and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u> that the regional discretionary funding shall be pooled and distributed on a regional basis for implementation of project selection criteria, policies, procedures and programming, consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP); and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u> that the projects will be included in the federal TIP subject to final federal approval and requirements; and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u> that the Executive Director or designee may make technical adjustments and other non-substantial revisions, including updates to fund sources and distributions to reflect final funding criteria and availability; and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u> that the Executive Director or designee is authorized to revise Attachments B-1 and B-2 as necessary to reflect the programming of projects as the projects are selected, revised and included in the federal TIP; and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u> that the Executive Director or designee shall make available a copy of this resolution, and attachements as may be required and appropriate.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Dave Cortese, Chair

The above resolution was entered into by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at the regular meeting of the Commission held in Oakland, California, on November 18, 2015

Date: November 18, 2015

W.I.: 1512 Referred by: P&A

Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C

12/20/17-C 03/27/19-C 07/24/19-C 02/26/20-C

01/27/21-C

Attachment A Resolution No. 4202

OBAG 2 One Bay Area Grant Program Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy

	This page intentionally left blank	
Metropolitan Transportat	tion Commission	
OBAG 2 – One Bay Area C	Grant Program and Programming Policy	

OBAG 2 – One Bay Area Grant Program Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Background	1
Revenue Estimates and Program Architecture	1
Program Categories and Project List	6
General Programming Policies	6
Regional Programs	12
County Programming Policies	16
County Programs	22
<u>Appendices</u>	
Appendix A-1 Regional and County Program Categories	
Appendix A-2 County Program Fund Distribution	
Appendix A-3 Regional and County Planning Activities	
Appendix A-4 County Federal-Aid Secondary (FAS)	
Appendix A-5 County Safe Routes to School (SRTS)	
Appendix A-6 Priority Conservation Area (PCA)	
Appendix A-7 CMA Call for Projects Guidance	
Appendix A-8 County PDA Investment and Growth Strategy	
Appendix A-9 Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Implementation	
Appendix A-10 Checklist for CMA and Local Jurisdiction Compliance with MTC Reso	lution 4202

Appendix A-11 Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike

The One Bay Area Grant Program (OBAG 2) is the second round of the federal funding program designed to support the implementation of *Plan Bay Area*, the region's first Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS). OBAG 2 covers the five-year period from FY 2017-18 to FY 2021-22. The proposed revenue estimates, funding approach, programming policies, project guidance, and timeline for OBAG 2 are outlined in this attachment.

BACKGROUND

The inaugural One Bay Area Grant Program (OBAG 1) was approved by the Commission in May 2012 (MTC Resolution 4035). The OBAG 1 program incorporated the following program features:

- Targeting project investments to the region's Priority Development Areas (PDAs);
- Rewarding jurisdictions that accept housing allocations through the Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) process and produce housing;
- Supporting open space preservation in Priority Conservation Areas (PCAs); and
- Providing a larger and more flexible funding pot to deliver transportation projects in categories such as Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC), bicycle and pedestrian improvements, local streets and roads preservation, and planning activities, while also providing dedicated funding opportunities for Safe Routes to School activities and PCAs.

The early outcomes of the OBAG 1 program are documented in the One Bay Area Grant Report Card located at: (http://files.mtc.ca.gov/pdf/OBAG_Report_Card.pdf). The key findings of the report highlight a variety of improvements as compared to previous federal highway funding programs, including: increased grant and project size, complexity, and multi-modality; significant investments in active transportation and TLC projects; region wide achievement of PDA investment targets; and compliance with local performance and accountability requirements. Considering the positive results achieved in OBAG 1, and in order to further extend the timeframe for OBAG to meet its policy goals, OBAG 2 maintains largely the same framework and policies.

REVENUE ESTIMATES AND PROGRAM ARCHITECTURE

OBAG 2 funding is based on anticipated future federal transportation program apportionments from the regional Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Programs. Originally, the programming capacity estimated for OBAG 2 amounted to \$790 million (down from \$827 million programmed with OBAG 1). The estimated decrease in revenues between program cycles reflects annual apportionment amounts in the federal surface transportation act (Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act, or MAP-21) authorized after approval of OBAG 1 not keeping pace with estimated growth rates, as well as changes in state and federal programs that impacted estimated regional funding levels (such as the elimination of the Transportation Enhancements (TE) program). Subsequent to the Commission's original adoption of OBAG 2, Congress approved the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, providing an additional

estimated \$72 million during the OBAG 2 period. The revised total STP/CMAQ funding for OBAG 2 is \$862 million.

The OBAG 2 program continues to integrate the region's federal transportation program with California's climate statutes and the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS), and contributes to the implementation of the goals and objectives of the Regional Transportation Plan. Funding distribution formulas to the counties will continue to encourage land-use, housing and complete streets policies that support the production of housing with supportive transportation investments. This is accomplished through the following principles:

1. Realistic Revenue Assumptions:

OBAG 2 funding is based on anticipated future federal transportation program apportionments. In past years, the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program/Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement programs (STP/CMAQ) have not grown, and changes in the federal and state programs (such as elimination of the Transportation Enhancement (TE) program) resulted in decreases that were not anticipated when OBAG 1 was developed. For the initial OBAG 2 estimates, a 2% annual escalation rate above current federal revenues was assumed, consistent with the markup of the Developing a Reliable and Innovative Vision for the Economy (DRIVE) Act by the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee. Even with the 2% escalation, revenues for OBAG 2 were expected to be 4% less than OBAG 1 revenues. Following the Commission's original adoption of OBAG 2, an additional \$72 million in FAST Act revenue was made available, for a total of \$862 million for OBAG 2 - an increase of 4% over the OBAG 1 funding level.

If there are significant changes in federal apportionments over the OBAG 2 time period, MTC will return to the Commission to recommend adjustments to the program. These adjustments could include increasing or decreasing funding amounts for one or more programs, postponement of projects, expansion of existing programs, development of new programs, or adjustments to subsequent programming cycles.

Upon enactment and extension of the federal surface transportation authorizations expected during the OBAG funding period, MTC will need to closely monitor any new federal programs, their eligibility rules, and how funding is distributed to the states and regions. It is anticipated that any changes to the current federal programs would likely overlap to a large extent with projects that are currently eligible for funding under 23 U.S.C., although the actual fund sources may no longer mirror the current STP and CMAQ programs. Therefore, any reference to a specific fund source in the OBAG 2 programming serves as a proxy for replacement fund sources for which MTC has discretionary project selection and programming authority.

OBAG 2 programming capacity is based on apportionment rather than obligation authority. Because obligation authority (the amount actually received) is less than the apportionment level, there is typically a carryover balance from year to year of unfunded

commitments. MTC's current negative obligation authority imbalance is \$51 million, and has held steady the past few years as a result of the region's excellent delivery record. Successful project delivery has allowed MTC to capture additional, unused obligation authority (OA) from other states, enabling the region to deliver additional projects each year. Because this negative balance has held steady, there does not appear to be a need to true-up the difference at this time. MTC staff will continue to monitor this OA shortfall throughout the OBAG 2 period and make adjustments as necessary in the next round of programming.

2. Support Existing Programs:

Originally, the OBAG program was expected to face declining revenues from \$827 million in OBAG 1 to \$790 million in OBAG 2. Therefore, no new programs were introduced with OBAG 2 and the anticipated funding reduction was spread among the various transportation needs supported in OBAG 1. With the \$72 million in additional revenues from the FAST Act, funding for OBAG 2 increased to \$862 million.

The OBAG 2 program categories and commitments for the regional and county programs are outlined in Appendix A-1.

3. Support Plan Bay Area's Sustainable Communities Strategy by Linking OBAG Funding to Housing:

County Program Distribution Formula

OBAG 1's county distribution formula leveraged transportation dollars to reward jurisdictions that produce housing and accept housing allocations through the Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) process. The formula also considered the share of affordable housing within housing production and RHNA allocations.

In OBAG 2, the county distribution formula is updated to use the latest housing data from the Association of Bay Area Government (ABAG). The formula is also based on housing over a longer time frame, considering housing production between 1999 and 2006 (weighted 30%) and between 2007 and 2014 (weighted 70%) in order to mitigate the effect of the recent recession and major swings in housing permit approvals.

The OBAG 2 formula places additional emphasis on housing production and the share of affordable housing within both production and RHNA. The formula also expands the definition of affordable housing to include housing for moderate-income households in addition to low- and very low-income households. Furthermore, housing production is capped at the total RHNA allocation.

The distribution formula factors for OBAG 2 are detailed in the table below.

OBAG 2 County Distribution Formula Factors

	Population	Housing RHNA	Housing Production	Housing Affordability *
OBAG 2	50%	20%	30%	60%

^{*}OBAG 2 housing affordability factor includes housing at the very low, low and moderate income levels which are weighted within both housing production and RHNA allocation.

The distribution formula is further adjusted to ensure that CMA base planning funds are no more than 50% of the total distribution for that county. The resulting proposed county program formula distributions are presented in Appendix A-2.

Priority Development Areas (PDAs)

OBAG 2 continues to support the SCS for the Bay Area by promoting transportation investments in Priority Development Areas (PDAs).

- PDA Investment targets remain at OBAG 1 levels: 50% for the four North Bay counties and 70% for the remaining counties.
- PDA Investment and Growth Strategies should play a strong role in guiding the County CMA project selection and be aligned with the Plan Bay Area update cycle.

Priority Conservation Areas (PCAs)

OBAG 2 maintains the two separate Priority Conservation Area (PCA) programs as introduced in OBAG 1, with one program dedicating funding to the four North Bay counties and one competitive program for the remaining counties.

4. Continue Flexibility and Local Transportation Investment Decision Making:

OBAG 2 continues to provide the same base share of the funding pot (40%) to the county CMAs for local decision-making. The program allows CMAs the flexibility to invest in various transportation categories, such as Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC), bicycle and pedestrian improvements, local streets and roads preservation, and planning and outreach activities.

In addition to the base county program, two previously regional programs, Safe Routes to School and the Federal-Aid Secondary (rural roads), have been consolidated into the county program with guaranteed minimum funding amounts to ensure the programs continue to be funded at specified levels.

5. Cultivate Linkages with Local Land-Use Planning:

As a condition to access funds, local jurisdictions need to continue to align their general plans' housing and complete streets policies as a part of OBAG 2 and as separately required by state law.

Complete Streets Requirement

Jurisdictions must adopt a complete streets resolution by the date the CMAs submit their OBAG 2 project recommendations to MTC, incorporating MTC's required complete streets elements as outlined in MTC's Complete Streets Guidance.

Alternatively, to recognize local jurisdictions' efforts to update their general plan circulation element to incorporate the provisions of the 2008 Complete Streets Act in response to the provisions stated in OBAG 1, a jurisdiction may adopt a significant revision to the circulation element of the general plan that complies with the Act after January 1, 2010 and before the date the CMAs submit their OBAG 2 project recommendations to MTC.

The approach above focuses on the adoption of local complete streets resolutions, while acknowledging the jurisdictions that took efforts to update their circulation element in anticipation of future OBAG requirements.

Housing Element Requirement

Jurisdictions (cities and counties) must have a general plan housing element adopted and certified by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) for 2014-2022 RHNA by May 31, 2015. Jurisdictions that have failed to meet this deadline must have their housing elements certified by HCD by June 30, 2016 in order to be eligible to receive OBAG 2 funding.

Furthermore, under state statute, jurisdictions are required to submit Housing Element Annual Reports by April 1 every year. All cities and counties receiving OBAG 2 funding must comply with this requirement during the entire OBAG 2 funding period or risk deprogramming of OBAG 2 funding.

The complete streets and housing requirements are not required for jurisdictions with no general plan or land use authority such as Caltrans, CMAs or transit agencies under a JPA or district (not under the governance of a local jurisdiction). However, in such instances the jurisdiction in which the project is physically located must meet these requirements, except for transit/rail agency property such as, track, rolling stock or a maintenance facility.

Surplus Land Requirement

Cities and counties receiving funds through the County Program must adopt a surplus land resolution by the date the CMAs submit their OBAG 2 project recommendations to MTC. The resolution must verify that any disposition of surplus land undertaken by the jurisdiction complies with the State Surplus Land Act, as amended by AB 2135, 2014. MTC will issue guidance to assist cities and counties in drafting a resolution to meet this requirement. This guidance will be posted on the OBAG 2 website: http://mtc.ca.gov/our-work/fund-invest/federal-funding/obag-2.

This requirement shall not apply to charter cities unless and until a final court decision is rendered that charter cities are subject to the provisions of the Act. In addition, the resolution is not required for public agencies with no general plan or land use authority.

6. Continue Transparency and Outreach to the Public Throughout the Process:

CMAs will continue to report on their outreach process as part of their solicitation and selection of projects for OBAG. Each CMA will develop a memorandum addressing outreach efforts, agency coordination, distribution methodology and Title VI compliance. CMA reporting requirements are provided in Appendix A-10, the Checklist for CMA and Local Jurisdiction Compliance with MTC Resolution 4202.

PROGRAM CATEGORIES AND PROJECT LIST

Appendix A-1 outlines the OBAG 2 program categories and commitments.

Attachment B of Resolution 4202 contains the list of projects to be programmed under the OBAG 2 program. Attachments B-1 and B-2 list the projects receiving OBAG 2 funding through the regional programs and county programs respectively. The project lists are subject to project selection actions (conducted by MTC for most of the regional programs and by the CMAs for the county programs and other funds distributed to them). MTC staff will update Attachments B-1 and B-2 as projects are selected or revised by the Commission and CMAs and are included in the federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

GENERAL PROGRAMMING POLICIES

The following programming policies apply to all projects funded in OBAG 2:

1. Public Involvement. MTC is committed to a public involvement process that is proactive and provides comprehensive information, timely public notice, public access to key decisions, and opportunities for continuing involvement. MTC provides many methods to fulfill this commitment, as outlined in the MTC Public Participation Plan, Resolution No. 4174. The Commission's adoption of the OBAG 2 program, including policy and procedures, meets the provisions of the MTC Public Participation Plan. MTC's advisory committees and the Bay Area Partnership have been consulted in the development of funding commitments and policies for this program; and opportunities to comment have been provided to other stakeholders and members of the public.

Furthermore, investments made in the OBAG 2 program must be consistent with federal Title VI requirements. Title VI prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, income, and national origin in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance. Public outreach to and involvement of individuals in low income and minority communities covered under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and the Executive Order pertaining to Environmental Justice is critical to both local and regional decisions. Additionally, when CMAs select projects for funding at the county level, they must consider equitable solicitation and

selection of project candidates in accordance with federal Title VI requirements (as set forth in Appendix A-7).

- 2. Commission Approval of Programs and Projects and the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Projects approved as part of the OBAG 2 program must be amended into the TIP. The federally-required TIP is a comprehensive listing of all San Francisco Bay Area surface transportation projects that receive federal funds, and/or are subject to a federally required action, such as federal environmental clearance, and/or are regionally significant for air quality conformity or modeling purposes. It is the project sponsor's responsibility to ensure their project is properly programmed in the TIP in a timely manner. Where CMAs are responsible for project selection, the Commission will revise the TIP to include the resulting projects and Attachment B to this Resolution may be updated by MTC staff to reflect these revisions. Where responsibility for project selection is assigned to MTC, TIP amendments and a revision to Attachment B to add or delete a project will be reviewed and approved by the Commission. Changes to existing projects in Attachment B may be made by MTC staff following approval of a related TIP revision.
- 3. Minimum Grant Size. Funding grants per project must be a minimum of \$500,000 for counties with a population over 1 million (Alameda, Contra Costa, and Santa Clara counties) and \$250,000 for counties with a population under one million (Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Solano, and Sonoma counties). The objective of a grant minimum requirement is to maximize the efficient use of federal funds and minimize the number of federal-aid projects which place administrative burdens on project sponsors, CMAs, MTC, Caltrans, and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) staff.

To provide flexibility, an alternative averaging approach may be used. For this approach, a CMA may program grant amounts no less than \$100,000 for any project, provided that the overall average of all grant amounts within their County CMA Program meets the county minimum grant amount threshold. This lower threshold of \$100,000 also applies to Safe Routes to School projects, which are typically of smaller scale.

Furthermore, all OBAG 2 programming amounts must be rounded to thousands.

4. Air Quality Conformity. In the Bay Area, it is the responsibility of MTC to make a regional air quality conformity determination for the TIP in accordance with federal Clean Air Act requirements and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conformity regulations. MTC evaluates the impact of the TIP on regional air quality during the update of the TIP. Non-exempt projects that are not incorporated in the current finding for the TIP will not be considered for funding in the OBAG 2 program until the development of a subsequent air quality finding for the TIP. Additionally, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has designated the Bay Area as a non-attainment area for fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}). Therefore, based on consultation with the MTC Air Quality Conformity Task Force, projects deemed Projects of Air Quality Concern (POAQC) must complete a hot-spot analysis as required by the Transportation Conformity Rule. Generally, POAQC are those projects that result in significant increases in, or concentrations of, emissions from diesel vehicles.

- **5. Environmental Clearance.** Project sponsors are responsible for compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code § 21000 et seq.), the State Environmental Impact Report Guidelines (14 California Code of Regulations Section § 15000 et seq.), and the National Environmental Protection Act (42 U.S.C. § 4321 et seq.) standards and procedures for all projects with federal funds.
- 6. Application and Resolution of Local Support. Once a project has been selected for funding, project sponsors must submit a completed project application for each project through MTC's Funding Management System (FMS). The project application consists of two parts: 1) a project submittal and/or TIP revision request to MTC staff through FMS, and 2) a Resolution of Local Support approved by the project sponsor's governing board or council and submitted in FMS. A template for the Resolution of Local Support can be downloaded from the MTC website using the following link: http://mtc.ca.gov/our-work/fund-invest/federal-funding/obag-2.
- 7. Project Screening and Compliance with Regional and Federal Requirements. MTC staff will perform a review of projects proposed for OBAG 2 to ensure 1) eligibility; 2) consistency with the region's long-range plan; and 3) project readiness. In addition, project sponsors must adhere to directives such as the Complete Streets Requirements, Housing Element Requirements, and the Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606), as outlined below, and provide the required matching funds. Project sponsors should note that fund source programs, eligibility criteria, and regulations may change as a result of the passage of new surface transportation authorization legislation. In this situation, MTC staff will work to realign new fund sources with the funding commitments approved by the Commission.
 - ▶ Federal Project Eligibility: STP is the most flexible source of federal funding, with a wide range of projects that may be considered eligible. Eligible projects include roadway and bridge improvements (construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration), public transit capital improvements, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, transportation system management, transportation demand management, transportation control measures, mitigation related to an STP project, surface transportation planning activities, and safety. More detailed eligibility requirements can be found in 23 U.S.C § 133 and at: http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/map21/factsheets/stp.cfm.

CMAQ is a more targeted funding source. In general, CMAQ funds may be used for new or expanded transportation projects, programs, and operations that help reduce emissions. Eligible project categories that meet this basic criteria include: Transportation activities in an approved State Implementation Plan (SIP), Transportation Control Measures (TCMs), alternative fuels, traffic flow improvements, transit expansion projects, new bicycle and pedestrian facilities and programs, travel demand management, outreach and rideshare activities, telecommuting programs, intermodal freight, planning and project development activities, and experimental

pilot projects. For more detailed information, refer to FHWA's revised guidance provided at: http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air_quality/cmaq/policy_and_quidance/.

MTC reserves the right to assign specific fund sources to projects based on availability and eligibility requirements. In the event that a new surface transportation authorization is enacted during implementation of OBAG 2 that materially alters these programs, MTC staff will work with the CMAs and project sponsors to match projects with appropriate federal fund programs.

- ▶ RTP Consistency: Projects funded through OBAG 2 must be consistent with the adopted Regional Transportation Plan (currently *Plan Bay Area*). Project sponsors must identify each project's relationship with meeting the goals and objectives of the RTP, including the specific RTP ID number or reference. RTP consistency will be verified by MTC staff for all OBAG 2 projects. Projects in the County program will also be reviewed by CMA staff prior to submitting selected projects to MTC.
- ▶ Complete Streets Policy: Federal, state and regional policies and directives emphasize the accommodation of bicyclists, pedestrians, and persons with disabilities when designing transportation facilities. MTC's Complete Streets Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3765) created a checklist that is intended for use on projects to ensure the accommodation of non-motorized travelers is considered at the earliest conception or design phase. The county CMAs ensure that project sponsors complete the checklist before projects are considered by the county for OBAG 2 funding and submitted to MTC. The CMAs are required to make completed checklists available to their Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) for review prior to CMAs' project selection actions.

Related state policies include: Caltrans Complete Streets Policy Deputy Directive 64 R1, which stipulates pedestrians, bicyclists and persons with disabilities must be considered in all programming, planning, maintenance, construction, operations, and project development activities and products; and the California Complete Streets Act of 2008, which requires local agency general plan circulation elements to address all travel modes.

▶ Project Delivery and Monitoring: OBAG 2 funding is available in the following five federal fiscal years: 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20, 2020-21, and 2021-22. Funds may be programmed in any of these years, conditioned upon the availability of federal apportionment and obligation authority (OA), and subject to TIP financial constraint requirements. In addition, in order to provide uninterrupted funding to ongoing efforts and to provide more time to prepare for the effective delivery of capital projects, priority of funding for the first year of programming apportionment (FY 2017-18) will be provided to ongoing programs, such as regional and CMA planning, non-infrastructure projects, and the preliminary engineering phase of capital projects.

Specific programming timelines will be determined through the development of the Annual Obligation Plan, which is developed by MTC staff in collaboration with the Bay Area Partnership technical working groups and project sponsors. Once programmed in the TIP, the funds must be obligated by FHWA or transferred to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) within the federal fiscal year the funds are programmed in the TIP. Additionally, all OBAG 2 funds <u>must</u> be obligated no later than January 31, 2023.

Obligation deadlines, project substitutions and redirection of project savings will continue to be governed by the MTC Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606 and any subsequent revisions). All funds are subject to obligation, award, invoicing, reimbursement and project close-out requirements. The failure to meet these deadlines may result in the de-programming and redirection of funds to other projects.

To further facilitate project delivery and ensure all federal funds in the region are meeting federal and state regulations and deadlines, every recipient of OBAG 2 funding is required to identify and maintain a staff position that serves as the single point of contact (SPOC) for the implementation of all FHWA-administered funds within that agency. The person in this position must have sufficient knowledge and expertise in the federal-aid delivery process to coordinate issues and questions that may arise from project inception to project close-out. The agency is required to identify the contact information for this position at the time of programming of funds in the TIP, and to notify MTC immediately when the position contact has changed. This person will be expected to work closely with FHWA, Caltrans, MTC and the respective CMA on all issues related to federal funding for all FHWA-funded projects implemented by the recipient.

Project sponsors that continue to miss delivery milestones and funding deadlines for any federal funds are required to prepare and update a delivery status report on all projects with FHWA-administered funds they manage, and participate, if requested, in a consultation meeting with the county CMA, MTC and Caltrans prior to MTC approving future programming or including any funding revisions for the agency in the TIP. The purpose of the status report and consultation is to ensure the local public agency has the resources and technical capacity to deliver FHWA federal-aid projects, is fully aware of the required delivery deadlines, and has developed a delivery timeline that takes into consideration the requirements and lead-time of the federal-aid process within available resources.

By applying for and accepting OBAG 2 funding, the project sponsor is acknowledging that it has and will maintain the expertise and staff resources necessary to deliver the federal-aid project within the project-funding timeframe.

► <u>Funding Exchange</u>: Sometimes federal funds may not be the best fit for projects being implemented to meet plan and program goals and objectives. In such cases, federal OBAG funding may be exchanged with non-federal funds. MTC staff will work with the

CMAs when such opportunities arise. Such exchanges must be consistent with MTC's fund exchange policy (MTC Resolution No. 3331) and the locally-funded project must be included in the federal TIP.

- ▶ Local Match: Projects funded with STP or CMAQ funding require a non-federal local match. Although local match requirements are subject to change, the current local match requirement for STP and CMAQ funded projects in California is 11.47% of the total project cost, with FHWA providing up to 88.53% of the total project cost through reimbursements. For capital projects, sponsors that fully fund the project development or Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with non-federal funds may use toll credits in lieu of a match for the construction phase. For these projects, sponsors must still meet all federal requirements for the PE phase.
- ► <u>Fixed Program and Specific Project Selection</u>: Projects are chosen for the program based on eligibility, project merit, and deliverability within established deadlines. The OBAG 2 program is project-specific and the funds programmed to projects are for those projects alone.
- The OBAG 2 program funding is fixed at the programmed amount; therefore, any project cost increases may not be covered by additional OBAG 2 funds. Project sponsors are responsible for securing the necessary match, and for cost increases or additional funding needed to complete the project, including contingencies.
- **8. Regional STP/CMAQ Exchanges.** It is often difficult for smaller regions to fully utilize their federal funds and deliver projects through the federal-aid process. This can place these more rural regions in conflict with state and federal timely use funds provisions, such as Sections 182.6 and 182.7 of the State Streets and Highways Code which require federal apportionment to be secured (obligated) within three years of federal eligibility, or when Congress enacts rescissions of unobligated funds. The SF Bay Area region is often in the opposite situation more projects are ready for delivery than funds available each year.

Regions also find themselves in situations where a project or activity is ineligible for a certain federal fund source such as CMAQ, and may require STP, while another region can easily use either fund source.

To avoid the lapsing of funds and address these funding issues, regions may enter into exchange agreements, where older unused STP/CMAQ funds subject to lapse or rescission from one region are "exchanged" with future funds from a region that can use the funds by the deadline. Or a simple fund source exchange is needed. Such exchanges benefit both regions by avoiding the loss of funds in one region, while another region can advance projects that may be stalled due to a lack of eligible funding.

To facilitate such exchanges, the MTC Executive Director or designee is hereby authorized to sign letters of understanding with other regions for the exchange of STP/CMAQ funds with the following conditions and limitations.

- The exchange does not negatively impact the delivery of regional STP/CMAQ projects.
- The amount exchanged does not exceed \$2 million per region per year.
- The exchange is a dollar for dollar exchange.
- The exchange is allowed under Caltrans' obligation authority management policy.
- The Letter of Understanding can be executed in time for the MTC to secure the funds prior to any lapse or rescission.
- If any timely use of funds deadlines or Caltrans processes are not met in time and therefore result in the loss of apportionment balance, MTC's apportionment shall not be negatively affected and the Letter of Understanding is null and void.

Exchanges beyond these conditions and limitations may be approved by a standing Committee of the Commission.

REGIONAL PROGRAMS

The programs below comprise the OBAG 2 Regional Programs, managed by MTC. Funding amounts for each program are included in Appendix A-1. Individual projects will be added to Attachment B-1 and B-2 as they are selected and included in the federal TIP.

1. Regional Planning Activities

This program provides funding to support regional planning and outreach activities.

Appendix A-3 details the funding amounts and distribution for planning and outreach activities.

2. Pavement Management Program

This continues the region's acclaimed Pavement Management Program (PMP) and related activities including the Pavement Technical Assistance Program (PTAP), training, and regional and statewide local streets and roads needs assessment. MTC provides grants to local jurisdictions to perform regular inspections of their local streets and roads networks and to update their pavement management systems which is a requirement to receive certain funding. MTC also assists local jurisdictions in conducting associated data collection and analysis efforts including local roads needs assessments and inventory surveys and asset management analysis that feed into regional planning efforts. MTC provides, training, research and development of pavement and non-pavement preservation management techniques, and participates in the statewide local streets and roads needs assessment effort.

To support the collection and analysis of local roads asset conditions for regional planning efforts and statewide funding advocacy, and to be eligible for OBAG 2 funding for local streets and roads, a jurisdiction must:

- Have a certified Pavement Management Program (StreetSaver® or equivalent) updated at least once every three years (with a one-year extension allowed); and
- Fully participate in the statewide local streets and road needs assessment survey (including any assigned funding contribution); and

• Provide updated information to the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) at least once every 3 years (with a one-year grace period allowed).

3. Regional Priority Development Area (PDA) Planning & Implementation Funding in this program implements the following:

Regional PDA Planning and Implementation: The PDA Planning Program places an emphasis on intensifying land uses at and near transit stations and along transit corridors in PDAs. The key goals of the program are to: increase supply of affordable and market rate housing, jobs and services within the PDA planning area; boost transit ridership and thereby reduce vehicle miles traveled by PDA residents, employees and visitors; increase walking and bicycling by improving multi-modal access and effectively managing parking; and locate key services and retail within the PDA planning area. Funding is available for regional planning and implementation efforts and grants to jurisdictions to provide PDA planning support, and typically fund specific plans and programmatic Environmental Impact Reports. PDA plans funded through the program focus on a range of transit-supportive elements including market demand analysis, affordable housing strategies, multi-modal connectivity including pedestrian-friendly design standards, parking demand analysis, infrastructure development, implementation planning and financing strategies and implementation of the best practices identified in the Air District's Planning Healthy Places quidelines.

The PDA Planning Program will give priority to cities with high risk of displacement in order to support the development of local policies and programs to meaningfully address identified housing issues.

<u>Community-Based Transportation Planning</u>: A portion of this program will be dedicated to the Community-Based Transportation Planning (CBTP) grant program. These locally-led plans address the mobility needs of low-income households in the region's 35 Communities of Concern. Grant funds will be used to update CBTPs that are in many cases more than 10 years old.

<u>Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing (NOAH):</u> Consistent with the OBAG 2 framework and PDA Planning Program, a NOAH revolving loan fund will be established as a complement to the existing TOAH loan products for new construction. NOAH loans would be used to buy apartment buildings to create long-term affordability where displacement risk is high and to secure long-term affordability in currently subsidized units that are set to expire. NOAH investments will be made in PDAs or Transit Priority Areas.

4. Climate Initiatives Program

The purpose of the OBAG 2 Climate Initiatives Program is to support the implementation of strategies identified in Plan Bay Area to achieve the required CO₂ emissions reductions per SB375 and federal criteria pollutant reductions. Investments focus on projects and programs with effective greenhouse gas emission reduction results.

<u>Spare the Air Youth:</u> A portion of the Climate Initiatives program would be directed to the implementation of Spare the Air Youth program.

5. Regional Active Operational Management

This program is administered at the regional level by MTC to actively manage congestion through cost-effective operational strategies that improve mobility and system efficiency across freeways, arterials and transit modes. Funding continues to be directed to evolving MTC operational programs such as next generation 511, Freeway Service Patrol (FSP), incident management program, managed lanes and regional rideshare program. Funding will also be directed to new initiatives such as the Columbus Day Initiative that deploys advanced technologies and Transportation Management Systems that ensures the existing and new technology infrastructure is operational and well-maintained.

Columbus Day Initiative

The Columbus Day Initiative (CDI) builds on the proven success of its predecessor program (the Freeway Performance Initiative), which implemented traditional fixed time-of-day freeway ramp metering and arterial signal timing projects that achieved significant delay reduction and safety on Bay Area freeways and arterials at a fraction of the cost of traditional highway widening projects. The CDI aims to deliver cost-effective, technology-driven operational improvement projects such as, adaptive ramp metering, hard shoulder running lanes, queue warning signs, connected vehicle technologies, shared mobility technologies, and regional arterial operations strategies. Projects would target priority freeway and arterial corridors with significant congestion. Funding for performance monitoring activities and corridor studies is included to monitor the state of the system and to identify and assess the feasibility of operational strategies to be deployed.

Transportation Management Systems

This program includes the operations and management of highway operations field equipment; critical freeway and incident management functions; and Transportation Management Center (TMC) staff resources needed to actively operate and maintain the highway system.

Bay Bridge Forward Project

As part of the overall OBAG 2 framework, this project encompasses the implementation of several near-term, cost-effective operational improvements that offer travel time savings, reliability and lower costs for carpooling and bus/ferry transit use to increase person throughput and reduce congestion, incidents, and emissions in the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge corridor.

6. Transit Priorities Program

The objective of the Transit Priorities Program is to assist transit operators to fund major fleet replacements, including the BART Car Replacement Phase 1 project, fixed guideway rehabilitation and other high-scoring capital needs, including replacement of Clipper equipment and development of Clipper 2.0, that are consistent with MTC's Transit Capital Priorities policy for programming federal transit funds (MTC Resolution 4140 or successor resolution).

The program also implements elements of the Transit Sustainability Project by making transitsupportive investments in major transit corridors that can be carried out within two years through the Transit Performance Initiative (TPI). The focus of TPI is on making cost-effective operational improvements on significant trunk lines which carry the largest number of passengers in the Bay Area including transit signal prioritization, passenger circulation improvements at major hubs, boarding/stop improvements and other improvements to improve the passenger experience.

7. Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program

The Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program provides funding for the development of plans and projects to assist in the preservation and enhancement of rural lands. Specifically, projects must support Plan Bay Area by preserving and enhancing the natural, economic and social value of rural lands and open space amidst a growing population across the Bay Area, for residents and businesses. The PCA program includes one approach for the North Bay counties (Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma) and a second approach for the remaining five counties.

In the North Bay, each of the four CMAs will take the lead to develop a county-wide program, building on PCA planning conducted to date to select projects for funding.

For the remaining counties, MTC will partner with the Coastal Conservancy, a California State agency, to program the PCA funds. MTC will provide federal funding which will be combined with the Coastal Conservancy's own program funds in order to support a broader range of projects (i.e. land acquisition and easement projects) than can be accommodated with federal transportation dollars alone. The Coastal Conservancy, MTC, and ABAG staff will cooperatively manage the call for proposals.

The minimum non-federal match required for PCA-program funding is 2:1.

As a part of the update to *Plan Bay Area*, MTC is exploring implementing a Regional Advance Mitigation Planning (RAMP) Program. RAMP would mitigate certain environmental impacts from multiple planned transportation projects, rather than mitigating on a less-efficient per-project level. Partnering arrangements can be established to leverage multiple fund sources in order to maximize benefits of the RAMP and PCA programs. As such, PCA funds may be used to deliver net environmental benefits to a RAMP program project.

In instances where federal funds may not be used for this purpose, sponsors may exchange OBAG 2 funds with eligible non-federal funds. Such exchanges must be consistent with MTC's fund exchange policy (MTC Resolution No. 3331).

Appendix A-9 outlines the framework for this program including goals, project screening, eligibility, eligible sponsors, and project selection.

8. Housing Production Incentive

As part of the OBAG 2 framework, MTC developed a challenge grant program for the production and preservation of affordable housing. The purpose of the program is to reward local jurisdictions that produce the most housing units at the very low, low, and moderate income levels.

The funds provided for the HIP program through OBAG 2 would be STP/CMAQ, and would need to be used only for federally-eligible transportation purposes. Additional funds may be added outside of OBAG 2 to increase the size of the challenge grant program.

9. Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike

The Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program is a regional, competitive grant program to fund projects that can be implemented quickly to benefit communities. The program emphasizes bicycle/pedestrian safety and mobility, transit and transit access improvements, connected mobility, advancing equitable mobility, or other near-term strategies to advance transit recovery and connected mobility.

Appendix A-11 outlines the framework for this program including program focus areas, project eligibility, evaluation criteria, and the project nomination and selection process.

10. Regional Strategic Initiatives

The Regional Strategic Initiatives program reflects regional funding commitments to projects not captured in the original OBAG 2 framework as well as projects funded through unspent STP/CMAQ balances from prior cycles and various funding exchanges.

COUNTY PROGRAMMING POLICIES

The policies below apply to the programs managed by the county Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) or substitute agency:

- ► <u>Program Eligibility</u>: The CMA, or substitute agency, may program funds from its OBAG 2 county fund distribution to projects that meet the eligibility requirements for any of the following transportation improvement types:
 - Planning and Outreach Activities
 - Local Streets and Roads Preservation
 - Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements
 - Transportation for Livable Communities
 - Safe Routes To School
 - Priority Conservation Areas
 - Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) Improvements

► <u>Fund Sources & Formula Distribution</u>: OBAG 2 is funded primarily from two federal fund sources: STP and CMAQ. The CMAs will be provided a breakdown of specific OBAG 2 fund sources, with the understanding that actual fund sources are subject to change. Should there be significant changes to federal fund sources, MTC staff will work with the CMAs to identify and realign new fund sources with the funding commitments approved by the Commission. Furthermore, due to strict funding availability and eligibility requirements, the CMAs must adhere to the fund source limitations provided. Exceptions may be granted by MTC staff based on actual fund source availability and final federal apportionment levels.

Consistent with OBAG 1, 60% of available OBAG 2 funding is assigned to Regional Programs and 40% assigned to the base County CMA Programs. The Safe Routes to School (SRTS) and Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) programs augment the county base funding, bringing the final proportionate share to 55% regional and 45% county. The Base county funds (SRTS & FAS have their own formula distribution) are distributed to each county based on the OBAG 2 county distribution formula (see page 3). Counties are further guaranteed that the funding amount for planning purposes will not exceed 50% of their total distribution. This results in the county of Napa receiving additional funding. This planning guarantee clause results in a slight deviation in the final OBAG 2 fund distribution for each county. The base County CMA Program fund distribution after the planning guarantee adjustment is shown in Appendix A-2.

► Priority Development Area (PDA) Policies

- PDA minimum investment: CMAs in larger counties (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Mateo, San Francisco, and Santa Clara) shall direct at least 70% of their OBAG 2 investments to PDAs. For North Bay counties (Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma) this minimum target is 50% to reflect the more rural nature of these counties. CMA planning and outreach costs partially count towards PDA minimum investment targets (70% or 50%, in line with each county's PDA minimum investment target). The guaranteed minimum for Priority Conservation Area (PCA), Safe Routes to School (SRTS), and Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) do not count towards PDA targets. The PDA/non-PDA funding split is shown in Appendix A-2.
- PDA boundary delineation: Refer to http://gis.mtc.ca.gov/interactive maps/ which provides a GIS overlay of the PDAs in the Bay Area to exact map boundaries including transportation facilities. This map is updated as ABAG approves new PDA designations.
- Defining proximate access to PDAs: The CMAs may determine that a project located outside of a PDA provides proximate access to the PDA, and thus counts towards the county's minimum PDA investment target. The CMA is required to map these projects along with the associated PDA(s) and provide a policy justification for designating the project as supporting a PDA through

proximate access. This information should assist decision makers, stakeholders, and the public in evaluating the impact of the investment on a nearby PDA, to determine whether or not the investment should be credited towards the county's PDA minimum investment target. This information must be presented for public review when the CMA board acts on OBAG programming decisions.

- PDA Investment & Growth Strategy: Updates to each county's PDA
 Investment & Growth Strategy are required every four years and must be
 adopted by the CMA Board. The updates should be coordinated with the
 countywide plan and Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) updates to inform
 RTP development decisions. Interim status reports are required two years
 after each update to address needed revisions and provide an activity and
 progress status. The interim status report required for 2019 will be satisfied
 through a collaborative effort between the CMAs and MTC. See Appendix A-8
 for details.
- Project Selection: County CMAs or substitute agencies are given the responsibility to develop a project selection process. The process should include solicitation of projects, identifying evaluation criteria, conducting outreach, evaluating project applications, and selecting projects.
 - Public Involvement: In selecting projects for federal funding, the decision making authority is responsible for ensuring that the process complies with federal statutes and regulations. In order to ensure that the CMA process for administering OBAG 2 is in compliance with federal regulations, CMAs are required to lead a public outreach process as directed by Appendix A-7.
 - CMAs must adopt a specific scoring methodology for funding allocation to projects within PDAs or Transit Priority Areas (TPAs) that rewards jurisdictions with the most effective housing anti-displacement policies.
 - MTC and the CMAs will conduct an analysis of the impact of this incentivebased scoring methodology on project selection and local anti-displacement and affordable housing production policy development. The findings will be used to inform future planning and funding priorities.
 - Unified Call for Projects: CMAs are requested to issue one unified call for projects for their OBAG 2 program. Final project lists are due to MTC by July 31, 2017, with all associated project information submitted to MTC using the Fund Management System (FMS) by August 31, 2017. On a case-by-case basis and as approved in advance by MTC staff, these deadlines may be waived to allow coordination with other county-wide call for projects or programming needs. The goal is to coordinate the OBAG2 call for projects, and provide project sponsors the maximum time to deliver projects.

- Project Programming Targets and Delivery Deadlines: CMAs must program
 their block grant funds over the OBAG 2 period (FY 2017-18 through FY 202122). In general, the expectation is that on-going activities such as CMA
 planning, non-infrastructure projects and the Preliminary Engineering (PE)
 phase of projects would use capacity in the first year, followed by the capital
 phases of project in later years.
- OBAG 2 funding is subject to the provisions of the Regional Project Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution 3606, or its successor) including the deadlines for Request for Authorization (RFA) submittal and federal authorization/ obligation. Additionally, the following funding deadlines apply for each county, with earlier delivery strongly encouraged:
 - At least half of the OBAG 2 funds, must be obligated (federal authorization/FTA Transfer) by January 31, 2020.
 - All remaining OBAG 2 funds must be obligated by January 31, 2023.
- ▶ <u>Performance and Accountability Policies</u>: Jurisdictions need to comply with the following policies, as well as other requirements noted in the document, in order to be eligible recipients of OBAG 2 funds.
 - Adopt a complete streets resolution by the date the CMAs submit their OBAG 2 project recommendations to MTC, incorporating MTC's required complete streets elements as outlined in MTC's Complete Streets Guidance.
 - Alternatively, to recognize local jurisdiction's efforts to update their general plan circulation element to incorporate the provisions of the 2008 Complete Streets Act in response to the provisions stated in OBAG 1, a jurisdiction may adopt a significant revision to the circulation element of the general plan that complies with the Act after January 1, 2010.

For compliance, a substantial revision of the circulation element, passed after January 1, 2010, shall "...plan for a balanced, multimodal transportation network that meets the needs of all users of streets, roads, and highways for safe and convenient travel in a manner that is suitable to the rural, suburban, or urban context of the general plan," while complying with the other provisions of CA Government Code Section 65302 and Complete Streets Act of 2008.

The approach above focuses on the adoption of local complete streets resolutions, while acknowledging the jurisdictions that took efforts to update their circulation element in anticipation of future OBAG requirements.

 Jurisdictions (cities and counties) must have a general plan housing element adopted and certified by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) for 2014-2022 RHNA by May 31, 2015.
 Jurisdictions that have failed to meet this deadline must have their housing elements certified by HCD by June 30, 2016 in order to be eligible to receive OBAG 2 funding.

- Furthermore, under state statute, jurisdictions are required to submit Housing Element Annual Reports by April 1 every year. All cities and counties receiving OBAG 2 funding must comply with this statute during the entire OBAG 2 funding period or risk deprogramming of OBAG 2 funding.
- General law cities and counties must adopt a surplus land resolution by the
 date the CMAs submit their OBAG 2 project recommendations to MTC. The
 resolution must verify that any disposition of surplus land undertaken by the
 jurisdiction complies with the State Surplus Land Act, as amended by AB
 2135, 2014. MTC will issue guidance to assist cities and counties in drafting a
 resolution to meet this requirement. This guidance will be posted on the
 OBAG 2 website: http://mtc.ca.gov/our-work/fund-invest/federal-funding/obag-2.

Charter cities do not have to adopt a surplus land resolution unless and until a final court decision is rendered that charter cities are subject to the provisions of the Act.

- For jurisdictions with local public streets and roads, to be eligible for OBAG 2 funding, the jurisdiction must:
 - Have a certified Pavement Management Program (StreetSaver® or equivalent) updated at least once every three years (with a one-year extension allowed);
 - Fully participate in the statewide local streets and road needs assessment survey; and
 - Provide updated information to the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) at least once every 3 years (with a one-year grace period allowed).
- For a transit agency project sponsor under a Joint Powers Authority (JPA) or district (not under the governance of a local jurisdiction), or an agency where housing and complete streets policies do not apply, the jurisdiction where the project is located (such as station/stop improvements) will need to comply with the policies and other requirements specified in this attachment before funds may be programmed to the project sponsor. However, this is not required if the project is transit/rail agency property such as, track, rolling stock or a transit maintenance facility.
- OBAG 2 funds may not be programmed to any jurisdiction out of compliance with the policies and other requirements specified in this attachment.

- The CMA will be responsible for tracking progress towards all OBAG 2 requirements and affirming to MTC that a jurisdiction is in compliance prior to MTC programming OBAG 2 funds to its projects in the TIP.
 - CMAs will provide the following prior to programming projects in the TIP (see Appendix A-10):
 - Documentation of the approach used to select OBAG 2 projects including outreach efforts, agency coordination, Title VI compliance, the methodology used for distributing funds within the county, and the specific scoring methodology used for allocating funds to projects within PDAs or TPAs that rewards local jurisdictions with the most effective housing anti-displacement policies;
 - The board adopted list of projects recommended for OBAG 2 funding;
 - Self-certification that all projects recommended for funding are consistent with the current RTP (including documentation) and have completed project-specific Complete Streets Checklists (including documentation);
 - Identification of the Single-Point of Contact assigned by the jurisdiction for all FHWA-funded projects, including OBAG 2 projects;
 - Documentation of local jurisdiction compliance with MTC's Complete Streets Policy, including a list of the status of each jurisdiction, a letter from the CMA for each jurisdiction describing how the jurisdiction meets the policy requirements, and supporting documentation for each local jurisdiction (resolutions and/or circulation elements)
 - Documentation of local jurisdiction compliance with MTC's Housing Element requirements, including a list of the status of each jurisdiction's Annual Housing Element Progress Report as well as any supporting documentation for each jurisdiction (progress reports and copies of submittal letter to HCD). This documentation will be required annually from CMAs (April 30 each year) throughout the OBAG 2 programming period;
 - Documentation of compliance with the State's Surplus Land Act requirements, for each applicable jurisdiction (copy of adopted resolution).
 - Occumentation for any projects recommended for funding that apply toward the county's minimum PDA investment target. This includes mapping of all mappable projects (projects with a physical location). For projects that are not physically located within a PDA, the CMA is required to map each project along with the associated PDA(s) and provide a policy justification for designating each project as supporting a PDA through proximate access. CMAs must also document that this

- information was used when presenting its program of projects to their board and the public; and
- Self-certification that the PDA Investment and Growth Strategy has been completed and adopted by the CMA Board, or will be adopted in coordination with the RTP update. The interim progress report requirement for 2019 will be satisfied through a collaborative effort between the CMAs and MTC. Documentation of subsequent required updates and interim progress reports must also be submitted by the CMAs throughout the OBAG 2 period.

COUNTY PROGRAMS

The categories below comprise the eligible OBAG 2 County Programs, administered by the nine county CMAs. The CMAs should ensure that the project selection process and selected projects meet all eligibility requirements throughout this document as well as in federal statutes and regulations. MTC staff will work with CMAs and project sponsors to resolve any eligibility issues which may arise, including air quality conformity exceptions and requirements.

County CMA Program

The base OBAG 2 County program accounts for 40% of the total funding available through OBAG 2 and is distributed to each county according to the OBAG 2 county formula after accounting for the CMA Planning minimum guarantee (see Appendices A-2 and A-3). This program includes CMA planning and outreach as well as the various projects selected through each county's competitive call for projects. Projects selected through the base county program are subject to the PDA investment minimum requirements.

1. CMA Planning and Outreach

This category provides funding to the county Congestion Management Agency (CMA) or substitute agency to support programming, monitoring and outreach activities. Such efforts include, but are not limited to: county-based planning efforts for development of the RTP/Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS); development of PDA growth strategies; development and implementation of a complete streets compliance protocol; establishing land use and travel forecasting process and procedures consistent with ABAG/MTC; ensuring the efficient and effective delivery of federal-aid local projects; and undertaking the programming of assigned funding and solicitation of projects.

The minimum funding level for the CMA planning and outreach program continues OBAG 1 commitments by escalating FY 2016-17 amounts at 2% per year. In addition, counties are guaranteed that the base funding level for the CMA's planning and outreach program will not exceed 50% of the county's total OBAG 2 County Program distribution. Actual CMA planning and outreach amounts for each county, are shown in Appendix A-3.

At their discretion, the CMAs may choose to designate additional funding from their County Program to augment their planning and outreach efforts.

All funding and activities will be administered through an interagency agreement between MTC and the respective CMA.

2. Local Streets and Roads Preservation

This category is for the preservation of local streets and roads on the federal-aid system. To be eligible for funding of any Local Streets and Roads (LSR) preservation project, the jurisdiction must have a certified Pavement Management Program (StreetSaver® or equivalent). In addition, selected pavement projects should be based on the needs analysis resulting from the established Pavement Management Program (PMP) for the jurisdiction. This requirement ensures that streets selected for investment are cost effective. MTC is responsible for verifying the certification status of jurisdictions. The current certification status of area jurisdictions can be found at http://www.mtc.ca.gov/services/pmp/.

Furthermore, to support the collection and analysis of local roads asset conditions for comprehensive regional planning efforts and statewide funding advocacy, a jurisdiction must fully participate in the statewide local streets and road needs assessment survey to be eligible for OBAG 2 funding for pavement rehabilitation.

Eligibility requirements for specific project types are included below:

► Pavement Rehabilitation:

All pavement rehabilitation projects, including projects with pavement segments with a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) below 70, must be consistent with segments recommended for treatment within the programming cycle by the jurisdiction's PMP.

► Preventive Maintenance:

Only projects where pavement segments have a PCI of 70 or above are eligible for preventive maintenance. Furthermore, the local agency's PMP must demonstrate that the preventive maintenance strategy is a cost effective method of extending the service life of the pavement.

Non-Pavement:

Eligible non-pavement activities and projects include rehabilitation or replacement of existing features on the roadway facility, such as bridge structures, storm drains, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), curbs, gutters, culverts, medians, guardrails, safety features, signals, signage, sidewalks, ramps, complete streets elements and features that bring the facility to current standards. Jurisdictions must have a certified PMP to be eligible to receive funding for improvements to non-pavement features.

Activities that are not eligible for funding include: Air quality non-exempt projects (unless granted an exception by MTC staff), new roadways, roadway extensions, right of way acquisition for future expansion, operations, routine maintenance, spot application, enhancements that are

above and beyond repair or replacement of existing assets (other than bringing roadway to current standards or implementing compete streets elements) and any pavement application not recommended by the PMP unless otherwise allowed above.

<u>Federal-Aid Eligible Facilities:</u> Federal-aid highways as defined in 23 U.S.C. 101(a)(6) are eligible for local streets and roads preservation funding. A federal-aid highway is a public road that is not classified as a rural minor collector or local road (residential) or lower. Project sponsors must confirm the eligibility of their roadway through the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) prior to the application for funding.

3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements

This category funds a wide range of bicycle and pedestrian improvements including Class I, II and III bicycle facilities; cycle tracks; bicycle education, outreach, sharing and parking; sidewalks, ramps, pathways and pedestrian bridges; user safety and supporting facilities; and traffic signal actuation. Bicycle and pedestrian projects may be located on or off the federal-aid highway system.

Additional eligibility requirements will apply to bicycle and pedestrian projects that are funded with CMAQ funds rather than STP funds, given the more limited scope of the CMAQ funding program. According to CMAQ eligibility requirements, bicycle and pedestrian facilities must not be exclusively recreational and should reduce vehicle trips resulting in air pollution reductions. Also, the hours of operation need to be reasonable and support bicycle/pedestrian needs, particularly during commute periods. For example, the policy that a trail be closed to users before sunrise or after sunset may limit users from using the facility during the portions of peak commute hours, particularly during times of the year with shorter days.

4. Transportation for Livable Communities

The purpose of Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) projects is to support community-based transportation projects that bring new vibrancy to downtown areas, commercial cores, high-density neighborhoods, and transit corridors; enhancing their amenities and ambiance and making them places where people want to live, work and visit. The TLC program supports the RTP/SCS by investing in improvements and facilities that promote alternative transportation modes rather than the single-occupant automobile.

General project categories include the following:

- Transit station improvements such as plazas, station access, pocket parks, and bicycle parking.
- Transit expansions serving PDAs.
- Complete Streets improvements that improve bicycle and pedestrian access and encourage use of alternative modes.
- Cost-effective, technology-driven active operational management strategies for local arterials and for highways when used to augment other fund sources or match challenge grants.
- Transportation Demand Management (TDM) projects including car sharing, vanpooling traveler coordination and information, and Clipper®-related projects.

- Transit access projects connecting high density housing/jobs/mixed land use to transit, such as bicycle/pedestrian paths and bridges and safe routes to transit.
- Streetscape projects focusing on high-impact, multi-modal improvements or associated with high density housing/mixed use and transit, such as bulb outs, sidewalk widening, crosswalk enhancements, audible signal modification, mid-block crossing and signals, new striping for bicycle lanes and road diets, pedestrian street lighting, medians, pedestrian refuges, wayfinding signage, tree grates, bollards, permanent bicycle racks, signal modification for bicycle detection, street trees, raised planters, planters, costs associated with on-site storm water management, permeable paving, and pedestrian-scaled street furniture including bus shelters, benches, magazine racks, garbage and recycling bins.
- Mobility management and coordination projects that meet the specific needs of seniors and individuals with disabilities and enhance transportation access for populations beyond those served by one agency or organization within a community. Examples include the integration and coordination of services for individuals with disabilities, seniors, and low-income individuals; individualized travel training and trip planning activities for customers; the development and operation of one-stop transportation traveler call centers to coordinate transportation information on all travel modes and to manage eligibility requirements and arrangements for customers among supporting programs; and the operation of transportation brokerages to coordinate providers, funding agencies and passengers. Selected project sponsors may need to transfer the STP/CMAQ funds received to FTA.
- PDA planning and implementation, including projects that incentivize local PDA transit oriented development housing (within funding eligibility limitations unless exchanged).
- Density incentives projects and non-transportation infrastructure improvements that include density bonuses, sewer upgrade, land banking or site assembly (these projects require funding exchanges to address federal funding eligibility limitations).

Activities that are not eligible for funding include: air quality non-exempt projects (unless granted an exception by MTC staff), new roadways, roadway extensions, right of way acquisition for future expansion, operations, and routine maintenance.

Additional County Programs

In addition to the base County CMA Program, OBAG 2 directs additional funds to the CMAs to distribute to eligible project types. These programs are the Safe Routes to School (SRTS) program, the Federal Aid Secondary Shares Continuation (FAS) program, and for the North Bay Counties, the Priority Conservation Area (PCA) program.

1. Safe Routes to School

Eligible projects for the Safe Routes to School (SRTS) program include infrastructure and non-infrastructure projects that facilitate reduction in vehicular travel to and from schools. It is important to note that this program is funded exclusively by the CMAQ funding program. Given

the intent of the CMAQ program to reduce vehicular emissions, the OBAG 2 SRTS program is targeted towards air quality improvement rather than the health or safety of school-aged children. Despite this limitation, project eligibility under CMAQ largely overlaps with typical eligibility requirements for Safe Routes to School programs. Detailed examples of eligible projects are provided below:

Eligible Non-Infrastructure Projects

Public Education and Outreach Activities

- Public education and outreach can help communities reduce emissions and congestion by inducing drivers to change their transportation choices
- Activities that promote new or existing transportation services, developing messages and
 advertising materials (including market research, focus groups, and creative), placing
 messages and materials, evaluating message and material dissemination and public
 awareness, technical assistance, programs that promote the Tax Code provision related
 to commute benefits, and any other activities that help forward less-polluting
 transportation options
- Air quality public education messages: Long-term public education and outreach can be
 effective in raising awareness that can lead to changes in travel behavior and ongoing
 emissions reductions; therefore, these activities may be funded indefinitely
- Non-construction outreach related to safe bicycle use
- Travel Demand Management (TDM) activities including traveler information services, shuttle services, carpools, vanpools, parking pricing, etc.

Eligible Infrastructure Projects

- Constructing bicycle and pedestrian facilities (paths, sidewalks, bike racks, support facilities, etc.), that are not exclusively recreational and reduce vehicle trips
- Programs for secure bicycle storage facilities and other facilities, including bicycle lanes, for the convenience and protection of bicyclists, in both public and private areas
- New construction and major reconstructions of paths, tracks, or areas solely for the use by pedestrian or other non-motorized means of transportation when economically feasible and in the public interest
- Traffic calming measures

Exclusions found to be ineligible uses of CMAQ funds

- Walking audits and other planning activities (Upon the CMA's request and availability of funds, STP funds will be provided for these purposes)
- Crossing guards, vehicle speed feedback devices, and traffic control that is primarily oriented to vehicular traffic rather than bicyclists and pedestrians
- Material incentives that lack an educational message or exceed a nominal cost

Within the SRTS program, funding is distributed among the nine Bay Area counties based on K-12 total enrollment for private and public schools as reported by the California Department of Education for FY 2013-14 (see Appendix A-5). SRTS funding distributed to CMAs based on enrollment is not subject to the PDA minimum investment requirements. However, if a CMA

chooses to augment the SRTS program with additional funding from their base OBAG 2 County CMA program, this additional funding is subject to the PDA minimum investment requirements.

Before programming projects into the TIP, the CMAs shall provide the SRTS projects, recommended county program scope, budget, schedule, agency roles, and federal funding recipient(s).

In programming the funds in the TIP, project sponsors may consider using non-federal funds to fund SRTS activities ineligible for federal funding. In such instances, the sponsor is allowed to use toll credits for the federal project, conditioned upon a minimum of 11.47% in non-federal funds being dedicated for SRTS activities. Separate accounting of a federalized project and a non-federalized project to fund a single program can be challenging, so care should be taken when using this option.

CMAs with an established SRTS program may choose to program local funds for SRTS projects in lieu of OBAG 2 funds and use the OBAG 2 funding for other eligible OBAG 2 projects. In such instances the local SRTS project(s) must be identified at the time the CMA submits the county OBAG 2 program to MTC and subsequently programmed in the federal TIP.

2. Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) Shares

The Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) program, which directed funding to rural roads, was eliminated in 1991 with the passage of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA). However, California statutes provide for the continuation of minimum funding levels to counties, guaranteeing their prior FAS shares for rural county roads.

The county CMAs are required to ensure the counties receive their guaranteed annual funding through the CMA-managed OBAG county program. The county of San Francisco has no rural roads, and therefore does not receive FAS funding. In addition, the counties of Marin, Napa, and San Mateo may exchange their annual guaranteed FAS funding with state funding from Caltrans, as permitted by state statute. Caltrans takes these federal funds "off the top" before distributing regional STP funds to MTC. The CMAs for these three counties are not required to provide FAS guaranteed funding to these three counties for years in which these counties request such an exchange, as the statutory requirement is met through this exchange with Caltrans.

Counties may access their FAS funding at any time within the OBAG 2 period for any project eligible for STP funding. Guaranteed minimum FAS funding amounts are determined by California's Federal-Aid Secondary Highways Act (California Code § 2200-2214) and are listed in Appendix A-4. This FAS funding is not subject to the minimum PDA investment requirement. Any additional funding provided by the CMAs to the counties from the OBAG 2 county base formula distribution is subject to the minimum PDA investment requirements.

3. Priority Conservation Area (PCA)

The Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program provides funding for the development of plans and projects to assist in the preservation and enhancement of rural lands and open space. Generally, eligible projects include PCA planning activities, bicycle and pedestrian access to open space and parklands, visual enhancements and habitat/environmental enhancements.

Specifically, projects must support Plan Bay Area by preserving and enhancing the natural, economic and social value of rural lands amidst a growing population across the Bay Area, for residents and businesses.

Land acquisition for preservation purposes is not federally eligible, but may be facilitated through CMA-initiated funding exchanges.

The PCA funding program includes one approach for the North Bay program (Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma) and a second for the remaining five counties. In the North Bay, each CMA will receive dedicated funding, lead a county-wide program building on PCA planning conducted to date, and select projects for funding. For the remaining counties, MTC will partner with the Coastal Conservancy, a California State agency, to program the PCA funds. Appendix A-9 outlines the framework for this program including goals, project screening eligibility, eligible sponsors, and project selection.

Any CMA may use additional funding from its base OBAG 2 County Program to expand its dedicated PCA program (North Bay counties), augment grants received from the regionally competitive PCA program (remaining counties), or develop its own county PCA program (all counties).

The North Bay program framework is to be developed by the four North Bay CMAs, building upon their PCA planning and priorities carried out to date. Project eligibility is limited by the eligibility of federal surface transportation funding; unless the CMA can exchange these funds or leverage new fund sources for their programs.

As a part of the update to *Plan Bay Area*, MTC is exploring implementing a Regional Advance Mitigation Planning (RAMP) Program. RAMP would mitigate certain environmental impacts from multiple planned transportation projects, rather than mitigating on a less-efficient per-project level. Partnering arrangements can be established to leverage multiple fund sources in order to maximize benefits of the RAMP and PCA programs. As such, PCA funds may be used to deliver net environmental benefits to a RAMP program project.

In instances where federal funds may not be used for this purpose, sponsors may exchange OBAG 2 funds with eligible non-federal funds. Such exchanges must be consistent with MTC's fund exchange policy (MTC Resolution No. 3331).

MTC Res. No. 4202 Attachment B-1

Adopted: 11/18/15-C

Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C 12/21/16-C 03/22/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C 09/27/17-C 10/25/17-C 12/20/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 04/25/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 11/28/18-C 12/19/18-C 02/27/19-C 03/27/19-C 06/26/19-C 09/25/19-C 10/23/19-C 11/20/19-C 02/26/20-C 05/27/20-C 07/22/20-C 11/20/20-C 01/27/21-C

OBAG 2 Regional Programs Project List

PROJECT CATEGORY AND TITLE DBAG 2 REGIONAL PROGRAMS	SPONSOR		Fotal STP/CMAQ	Other
			\$503,583,781	\$59,121,21
REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES	MTC		\$9,555,000	
Regional Planning REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES	IVIIC	TOTAL:	\$9,555,000	
. PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM		TOTAL.	Ç9,333,000	
Pavement Management Program	MTC		\$1,500,000	
Pavement Technical Advisory Program (PTAP)	MTC		\$7,500,000	
Statewide Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Needs Assessment	MTC/Caltrans		\$250,000	
. PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	ivii ej cuitiuns	TOTAL:	\$9,250,000	
PDA PLANNING & IMPLEMENTATION			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
PDA Planning and Implementation				
PDA Implementation	MTC		\$2,000,000	
PDA Supportive Studies	MTC		\$500,000	
PDA Planning				
Union City: Decoto Industrial Parkway Study Area Specific Plan 2.0	MTC		\$800,000	
El Cerrito: San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan and EIR Update/Amendments	MTC		\$308,000	
Moraga: Moraga Center Specific Plan Implementation Project	MTC		\$140,000	
San Rafael: Downtown Precise Plan	MTC		\$500,000	
San Francisco: HUB Area EIR	MTC		\$500,000	
San Francisco: Transit Corridors Study	MTC		\$500,000	
San Jose/VTA: Diridon Integrated Station Area Concept Plan	MTC		\$800,000	
San Jose: SW Expressway/Race Street Light Rail Urban Village Plans Vacaville: Downtown Specific Plan	MTC MTC		\$500,000 \$350,000	
Santa Rosa: Downtown Station Area Specific Plan Update/Amendment	MTC		\$800,000	
Staffing Assistance	IVITC		\$800,000	
Emeryville: Mitigate Regulation-Induced Displacement, Streamlined Asset Mngmt	MTC		\$180,000	
Fremont: SB743 Implementation	MTC		\$150,000	
Hayward: SB743 Implementation	MTC		\$150,000	
Oakland: ADU Initiative	MTC		\$200,000	
Oakland: Innovative Construction Initiative	MTC		\$200,000	
Concord: VMT-based Transportation Impact Standards	MTC		\$150,000	
Concord: Galindo Street Corridor Plan	MTC		\$200,000	
Lafayette: Updated Parking Ordinance and Strategies	MTC		\$150,000	
San Jose: PDA/Citywide Design Guidelines	MTC		\$200,000	
Windsor: Parking Management and Pricing	MTC		\$35,000	
Technical Assistance			4.5.000	
Emeryville: Developing the Highest and Best Use of the Public Curb	MTC		\$65,000	
Oakland: General Plan Framework - PDA Community Engagement Program	MTC		\$65,000	
San Francisco: Mission-San Jose PDA Housing Feasibility Analysis	MTC		\$65,000	
San Francisco: PDA Density Bonus Program Belmont: Transportation Demand Management Program	MTC MTC		\$65,000 \$65,000	
BART AB2923 Implementation	BART		\$1,000,000	
Unprogrammed balance	MTC		\$7,862,000	
Community-Based Transportation Plan (CBTP) Updates	MTC		ψ1,002,000	
ACTC: CMA Planning (for Community-Based Transportation Plans)	MTC		\$300,000	
CCTA: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC		\$215,000	
TAM: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC		\$75,000	
NVTA: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC		\$75,000	
SFCTA: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC		\$175,000	
C/CAG: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC		\$120,000	
VTA: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC		\$300,000	
STA: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC		\$95,000	
SCTA: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC		\$110,000	
CBTP Program Evaluation	MTC		\$35,000	
. PDA PLANNING & IMPLEMENTATION		TOTAL:	\$20,000,000	
. CLIMATE INITIATIVES				
Climate Initiatives			\$10,875,000	
Spare the Air & EV Program Outreach (for Electric Vehicle Programs)	BAAQMD		\$10,000,000	
Carsharing Implementation	MTC		\$800,000	
Targeted Transportation Alternatives	MTC		\$325,000	
Spare the Air Youth Program - 2	MTC	TOTAL	\$1,417,000	
. CLIMATE INITIATIVES		TOTAL:	\$23,417,000	
Active Operational Management				
Active Operational Management AOM Implementation	MTC		\$23,737,000	
Bay Area 511 Traveler Information	IVITC		723,737,000	

1

MTC Res. No. 4202 Attachment B-1

Adopted: 11/18/15-C

Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C 12/21/16-C 03/22/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C 09/27/17-C 10/25/17-C 12/20/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 04/25/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 11/28/18-C 12/19/18-C 02/27/19-C 03/27/19-C 06/26/19-C 09/25/19-C 10/23/19-C 11/20/19-C 02/26/20-C 05/27/20-C 07/22/20-C 11/20/20-C 01/27/21-C

OBAG 2 Regional Programs Project List

JECT CATEGORY AND TITLE AG 2 REGIONAL PROGRAMS	SPONSOR	Total STP/CMAQ \$503,583,781	Other \$59,121,2
511 Next Gen	MTC	\$26,148,000	333,1Z1,Z
511 Implementation	MTC	\$7,450,000	
ideshare	WITC	\$7,430,000	
Rideshare Implementation	MTC	\$720,000	
Carpool Program	MTC	\$7,280,000	
Vanpool Program	MTC	\$2,000,000	
Commuter Benefits Implementation	MTC	\$674,000	
Commuter Benefits Program	MTC	\$1,111,000	
Napa Valley Transportation Demand Strategies (Fund Exchange)	MTC/NVTA	\$1,111,000	\$1,100,0
Bay Bridge Forward	WITCHWITA		J1,100,
Transbay Higher Capacity Bus Fleet/Increased Service Frequencies	AC Transit	\$1,200,000	
Pilot Transbay Express Bus Routes	AC Transit	\$800,000	
Eastbay Commuter Parking	MTC	\$2,500,000	
Transbay Higher Capacity Bus Fleet/Increased Service Frequencies	WestCat	\$2,000,000	
Dumbarton Forward	WestCat	\$2,000,000	
SR 84 (US 101 to I-880) Dumbarton Forward	MTC	\$4,375,000	
Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Forward	WITC	\$4,373,000	
Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Bikeway Access (Fund Exchange)	Richmond		\$500,0
	MTC		\$1,160,
Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Forward (Fund Exchange)	IVITC		\$1,160,
reeway Performance Program	NATC	644.240.000	
reeway Performance Program	MTC	\$14,240,000	
FPP: I-880 (I-80 to I-280)	MTC	\$3,000,000	
FPP: I-580 WB HOV Lane Extension (SR 24 to I-80/SFOBB approach) PL & ENV Only	MTC	\$625,000	
FPP: I-80 (Carquinez Bridge to Fremont St., SF) PL only	MTC	\$3,000,000	
FPP: CC I-680 NB HOV/Express Lanes (Ala Co. to Sol Co.)	MTC	\$10,000,000	
FPP: I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements	Richmond	\$2,000,000	
FPP: SR 37 (US 101 to I-80) PL only	MTC	\$1,000,000	
FPP: Napa Valley Forward Traffic Calming & Multimodal Imps.	MTC	\$1,000,000	
FPP: US 101 (SR 85 to San Francisco Co. Line)	MTC	\$3,000,000	
FPP: SCTA US 101/Marin Sonoma Narrows (MSN) B2 Phase 2	SCTA	\$1,000,000	
rogram for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)	MTC	\$5,000,000	
nnovative Deployments for Enhanced Arterials (IDEA)			
DEA Technical Assistance	MTC	\$1,532,000	
DEA Category 1	NATO	ća 200 000	
AC Transit: Dumbarton Express Route (SR84)	MTC	\$2,300,000	
Alameda: Webster & Posey Tubes (SR 260), Park St Hayward: Various Locations	MTC MTC	\$276,000 \$302,000	
Oakland: Bancroft Ave	MTC	\$310,000	
Pleasanton: Various Locations	MTC	\$290,000	
Union City: Union City Blvd & Decoto Rd	MTC	\$710,000	
San Ramon: Bollinger Canyon Rd & Crow Canyon Rd	MTC	\$563,000	
San Rafael: Downtown San Rafael	MTC	\$830,000	
South San Francisco: Various Locations	MTC	\$532,000	
San Jose: Citywide	MTC	\$1,400,000	
DEA Category 2			
LAVTA/Dublin: Citywide	MTC	\$385,000	
Emeryville: Powell, Shellmound, Christie & 40th St	MTC	\$785,000	
Concord: Concord Blvd, Clayton Rd & Willow Pass Rd (Fund Exchange)	MTC		\$589,
MTC Concord Blvd, Clayton Rd & Willow Pass Rd (Fund Exchange)	MTC		\$30,
Walnut Creek: Various locations (Fund Exchange)	MTC		\$621,
Los Gatos: Los Gatos Blvd	MTC	\$700,000	,,
VTA: Veterans Admin. Palo Alto Medical Center	VTA	\$845,000	
Connected Vehicles/Automated Vehicles (CV/AV)	MTC	\$2,500,000	
hared Use Mobility	MTC	\$2,500,000	
Connected Bay Area		72,300,000	
TMS Implementation	MTC	\$2,910,000	
TMC Asset Upgrade and Replacement	MTC	\$1,150,000	
·			
I-880 Communication Upgrade and Infrastructure Gap Closures	MTC	\$11,940,000	
InterConnect Bay Area Program	MTC	\$3,000,000	
ncident Management	MTC	64.460.000	
Incident Management Implementation	MTC	\$4,160,000	
I-880 ICM Northern	MTC	\$6,200,000	
I-880 ICM Central	MTC	\$2,640,000	
Inprogrammed Balance	TBD	\$380,000	4
EGIONAL ACTIVE OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT	T	OTAL: \$173,000,000	\$4,000,

2

MTC Res. No. 4202 Attachment B-1 Adopted: 11/18/15-C

Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C 12/21/16-C 03/22/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C 09/27/17-C 10/25/17-C 12/20/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 04/25/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 11/28/18-C 12/19/18-C 02/27/19-C 03/27/19-C 06/26/19-C 09/25/19-C 10/23/19-C 11/20/19-C 02/26/20-C 05/27/20-C 07/22/20-C 11/20/20-C 01/27/21-C

PROJECT CATEGORY AND TITLE	SPONSOR	Total STP/CMAQ	Other
OBAG 2 REGIONAL PROGRAMS		\$503,583,781	\$59,121,219
BART Car Replacement/Expansion	BART	\$99,800,000	. , ,
GGB Suicide Deterrent (for BART Car Replacement/Expansion)	GGBH&TD	\$2,078,781	\$37,921,219
Clipper	MTC	\$34,200,000	, - , - , -
Unprogrammed Balance		\$15,283,000	
6. TRANSIT PRIORITIES	TOTAL		\$37,921,219
7. PRIORITY CONSERVATION AREA (PCA)			
Regional Peninsula, Southern and Eastern Counties PCA Grant Program			
Bay Area GreenPrint: PCA Functionality Imps (Fund Exchange)	MTC/GreenInfo Network		\$30,000
PCA Grant Implementation	MTC/Coastal Conservancy		\$500,000
Alameda County: Niles Canyon Trail, Phase 1	Alameda County		\$321,000
Albany: Albany Hill Access Improvements	Albany		\$251,000
Livermore: Arroyo Road Trail	Livermore		\$400,000
WOEIP/Urban Biofilter: Adapt Oakland Urban Greening in West Oakland	WOEIP/Urban Biofilter		\$300,000
·	•		\$1,000,000
EBRPD: Bay Trail at Point Molate (RSR Bridge to Point Molate Beach Park)	East Bay Regional Parks District		
JMLT: Pacheco Marsh/Lower Walnut Creek Restoration and Public Access	John Muir Land Trust	ć1 000 000	\$950,000
SFCTA: Yerba Buena Island Multi-Use Pathway (PE/ENV)	SFCTA	\$1,000,000	4404.000
San Francisco: McLaren Park and Neighborhood Connections Plan	SF Recreation and Parks		\$194,000
San Francisco/Coastal Conservancy: Twin Peaks Trail Improvement	SF Rec and Park/Conservancy	1	\$74,000
GGNPC/NPS: Rancho Corral de Tierra Unit Management Plan Engagement	National Parks Service		\$200,000
SMCHD: Pillar Point Public Access Improvements	San Mateo Co. Harbor District		\$298,000
Menlo Park: Bedwell Bayfront Park Entrance Improvements	Menlo Park		\$520,000
San Mateo Co.: Colma Creek Adaptation Study (Colma Creek Connector)	San Mateo Co.		\$110,000
San Mateo Co.: San Bruno Mtn. Habitat Conservation Plan Grazing Pilot	San Mateo Co.		\$137,900
South San Francisco: Sign Hill Conservation and Trail Master Plan	South San Francisco		\$135,100
Point Blue: Pajaro River Watershed: Habitat Restoration and Climate Resilient Imps.	Point Blue Conservation Science	2	\$379,000
SCVOSA: Coyote Ridge Open Space Preserve Public Access, Phase 1	Santa Clara Valley Open Space Auth		\$400,000
SCVOSA: Tilton Ranch Acquisition	Santa Clara Valley Open Space Auth		\$1,000,000
North Bay PCA Grant Program	Sama ciara vane, open space van		\$1,000,000
Marin County: Hicks Valley/Wilson Hill/Marshall-Petaluma Rehab. (for Corte Madera: Para	adis Marin County	\$312,000	
Marin County: Hicks Valley/Wilson Hill/Marshall-Petaluma Rd Rehab	Marin County	\$869,000	
Novato: Nave Dr/Bell Marin Keys Rehabilitation (for Hill Recreation Area Imps.)	Novato	\$104,000	
Novato: Vineyard Rd Improvements (for Hill Recreation Area Imps.)	Novato	\$265,000	
National Parks Service: Fort Baker's Vista Point Trail	NPS	\$500,000	
NVTA: Vine Trail - St. Helena to Calistoga	NVTA	\$711,000	
<u> </u>			
Napa: Vine Trail - Soscol Ave Corridor	Napa Napa Caustu	\$650,000	
Napa County: Silverado Trail Rehabilitation - Phase L	Napa County	\$689,000	
Solano County: Suisun Valley Farm-to-Market - Phase 3 Bike Imps	Solano County	\$2,050,000	
Sonoma County: Crocker Bridge Bike/Pedestrian Bridge	Sonoma County	\$1,280,000	
Sonoma County: Joe Rodota Trail Bridge Replacement	Sonoma County	\$770,000	
7. PRIORITY CONSERVATION AREA (PCA)	TOTAL	\$9,200,000	\$7,200,000
8. BAY AREA HOUSING INITIATIVES			
Bay Area Preservation Pilot (BAPP) (Funding Exchange)	MTC		\$10,000,000
Housing Incentive Pool	TBD	\$25,000,000	
Sub-HIP Pilot Program			
Fairfield: Pavement Preservation/Rehabilitation (for One Lake Apts. Linear Park Trail)	Fairfield	\$2,100,000	
Vacaville: Pavement Preservation/Rehabilitation (for Allison PDA Affordable Housing)	Vacaville	\$1,900,000	
Marin County: Marin City Pedestrian Crossing Imps.	Marin County	\$300,000	
NVTA: Imola Park and Ride	NVTA	\$300,000	
Santa Rosa: Downtown Multi-modal and Fiber Improvements	Santa Rosa	\$400,000	
8. BAY AREA HOUSING INITIATIVES	TOTAL	\$30,000,000	\$10,000,000
9. SAFE & SEAMLESS MOBILITY QUICK-STRIKE			
	TBD	\$52,900,000	
TBD		¢E2 000 000	
_	TOTAL	\$52,900,000	
9. SAFE & SEAMLESS MOBILITY QUICK-STRIKE	TOTAL	\$52,900,000	
9. SAFE & SEAMLESS MOBILITY QUICK-STRIKE 10. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI)			
9. SAFE & SEAMLESS MOBILITY QUICK-STRIKE 10. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI) CC I-680 NB HOV/Express Lanes Ala Co to Sol Co (Fund Exchange)	сста/мтс	\$4,000,000	
9. SAFE & SEAMLESS MOBILITY QUICK-STRIKE 10. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI) CC I-680 NB HOV/Express Lanes Ala Co to Sol Co (Fund Exchange) Pavement Rehab (for Downtown Novato SMART Station)	CCTA/MTC Novato	\$4,000,000 \$617,000	
9. SAFE & SEAMLESS MOBILITY QUICK-STRIKE 10. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI) CC I-680 NB HOV/Express Lanes Ala Co to Sol Co (Fund Exchange) Pavement Rehab (for Downtown Novato SMART Station) Old Redwood Highway Multi-Use Pathway	CCTA/MTC Novato Larkspur	\$4,000,000 \$617,000 \$1,120,000	
9. SAFE & SEAMLESS MOBILITY QUICK-STRIKE 10. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI) CC I-680 NB HOV/Express Lanes Ala Co to Sol Co (Fund Exchange) Pavement Rehab (for Downtown Novato SMART Station) Old Redwood Highway Multi-Use Pathway Grand Ave Bridge	CCTA/MTC Novato Larkspur San Rafael	\$4,000,000 \$617,000 \$1,120,000 \$763,000	
9. SAFE & SEAMLESS MOBILITY QUICK-STRIKE 10. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI) CC I-680 NB HOV/Express Lanes Ala Co to Sol Co (Fund Exchange) Pavement Rehab (for Downtown Novato SMART Station) Old Redwood Highway Multi-Use Pathway Grand Ave Bridge Grand Ave Bike/Ped Imps (for SMART 2nd to Andersen Pathway)	CCTA/MTC Novato Larkspur San Rafael San Rafael	\$4,000,000 \$617,000 \$1,120,000 \$763,000 \$1,000,000	
9. SAFE & SEAMLESS MOBILITY QUICK-STRIKE 10. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI) CC I-680 NB HOV/Express Lanes Ala Co to Sol Co (Fund Exchange) Pavement Rehab (for Downtown Novato SMART Station) Old Redwood Highway Multi-Use Pathway Grand Ave Bridge Grand Ave Bridge Grand Ave Bike/Ped Imps (for SMART 2nd to Andersen Pathway) US 101 Marin-Sonoma Narrows	CCTA/MTC Novato Larkspur San Rafael San Rafael TAM	\$4,000,000 \$617,000 \$1,120,000 \$763,000 \$1,000,000 \$2,000,000	
9. SAFE & SEAMLESS MOBILITY QUICK-STRIKE 10. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI) CC I-680 NB HOV/Express Lanes Ala Co to Sol Co (Fund Exchange) Pavement Rehab (for Downtown Novato SMART Station) Old Redwood Highway Multi-Use Pathway Grand Ave Bridge Grand Ave Bike/Ped Imps (for SMART 2nd to Andersen Pathway)	CCTA/MTC Novato Larkspur San Rafael San Rafael	\$4,000,000 \$617,000 \$1,120,000 \$763,000 \$1,000,000 \$2,000,000 \$15,400,000	

3

Appendix A-11: Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike Program

The Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program is a one-time, competitive grant program within the One Bay Area Grant program (OBAG 2) framework. Federal funding is available to support local and regional projects that can be implemented quickly to benefit communities responding and adapting to the COVID-19 environment.

Available funding includes a mix of Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP), Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) and Federal Highway Infrastructure Program (FHIP) funds, with FHIP funds exchanged with STP/CMAQ funds to the extent possible to meet federal other funding deadlines and requirements. CMAQ funds will be used for eligible projects that demonstrate air quality benefits and implement Plan Bay Area's climate initiative goals and priorities.

Project Eligibility & Focus Areas

The program emphasizes bicycle/pedestrian safety and mobility, connections to transit, and projects that advance equitable mobility. Eligible project types include:

- Quick-build bike, pedestrian, and transit improvements; including bike share enhancements.
- Local safe and seamless mobility projects, including projects that advance equitable mobility; invest in bicycle/pedestrian safety; improve connections to transit; or implement seamless strategies within a corridor.
- In addition to capital projects, programs that support safe and seamless mobility or advance equitable mobility are also eligible (ex. safe routes to school/transit programs); a limited amount of funding, (up to \$200,000 per county) may also be directed towards countywide implementation of safe and seamless mobility planning and programming efforts).
- Other near-term implementation of strategies emerging from the Blue-Ribbon Transit Recovery Task Force and Partnership Board's Connected Mobility Subcommittee.

Fund commitments for specific focus areas include:

- One-quarter of the total program is targeted for bicycle/pedestrian safety (including local road safety).
- \$5 million is set aside to support early implementation efforts anticipated from the Blue-Ribbon Transit Recovery Task Force.

Evaluation Criteria

MTC staff will evaluate nominated projects against the following program criteria. Nominated projects should:

- Align with Connected Mobility Framework Values and Goals (see inset below)
- Be the direct result or outcome of a community engagement process
- Be within or directly connected to a Priority Development Area (PDA) or Transportation Priority Area (TPA) and/or serve a Community of Concern (CoC), Community Air Risk Evaluation (CARE) program area, or similar local designation. PDAs and TPAs may be existing or recently designated as part of the Plan Bay Area 2050 growth framework.
- Addresses transit connectivity gaps, especially in areas significantly impacted from the pandemic

- Demonstrate partnership among jurisdictions, transit agencies, and counties.
- Demonstrate ability to quickly deliver, and meet federal funding requirements, as funds must be obligated by March 31, 2022.

To ensure consistency with the implementation of county and regional plans and priorities, as well as encourage discussion and coordination in developing investment proposals, projects conominated by MTC and a CTA will be given extra consideration if meeting regional goals and priorities.

Below are the regional connected mobility values and goals guiding these investments:

CONNECTED MOBILITY VALUES AND GOALS			
Values	Goals		
Think Regionally Act Locally	Be coordinated, interconnected, and contiguous		
Provide Great Travel Choices	Provide choices that are better than driving alone, are viable and intuitive for all trips		
Put the Traveler First	Ensure a dignified traveler experience, focusing on customer care and needs		
Be Equitable & Inclusive	Address disparities and be transparent for all people and all trips		
Be Sustainable	Strive for a healthy planet, people, and full-cost accounting		

Project Nominations

To address local needs throughout the region, and encourage community-based project investments, each County Transportation Agency (CTA) will act on MTC's behalf and submit project nominations for their county area. County targets have been provided as a guide, for each county (see table at right). However, final project selection by MTC will not necessarily adhere to these targets. Target amounts are based on the OBAG 2 county program distribution.

In addition to county submissions, MTC may consider projects that would be implemented regionwide or in more than one county. Where applicable, MTC staff will work with CTAs to coordinate on co-nominations for regional projects.

As the final program of projects must reflect regional or multicounty priorities, in addition to local priorities within each county, the final programming per county will not correspond exactly to nomination targets.

County Nomination Targets

(\$ millions, rounded)

	%
Alameda	19.9%
Contra Costa	14.6%
Marin	2.8%
Napa	2.1%
San Francisco	12.5%
San Mateo	8.4%
Santa Clara	27.0%
Solano	5.5%
Sonoma	7.2%
	100.0%

Note: Final project selection and fund programming will not correspond exactly to nomination targets.

To ensure each county is provided sufficient funding to have a meaningful community impact, each county's nomination target will be a minimum of \$1 million.

Project Selection Process

The prioritization process is designed to quickly distribute funds to competitive and impactful investments throughout the region.

- Letters of Interest: County Transportation Agencies (CTAs) submit Letters of Interest to nominate projects within their counties. In addition to basic project information (project description, sponsor, total cost, funding request), submittals should also describe how the project meets the program eligibility requirements and evaluation criteria, and how well the proposed project sponsor meets state and federal funding requirements.
- **Evaluation:** MTC staff evaluate CTA nominations as well as regional program considerations to develop a recommended program of projects. Program recommendations presented to Bay Area Partnership Board for review and discussion.
- **Project Applications:** MTC and CTA staff work with project sponsors to submit project applications with a detailed scope, delivery schedule, and funding plan.
- **Program Approval:** MTC Commission consideration and approval of projects and fund programming.

Programming Policies and Requirements

Unless otherwise noted within these guidelines, OBAG 2 General Programming Policies (see MTC Resolution No. 4202, Attachment A, pages 6-11), and Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606) apply.

- Project sponsors: Eligible sponsors are those approved by Caltrans to receive FHWA
 federal-aid funds (including cities, counties, transit agencies, CTAs, and MTC). Sponsors
 must also have a demonstrated ability to meet timely use of funds deadlines and
 requirements (see Project Delivery and Monitoring, below).
- **Minimum Grant Size:** Project nominations should be consistent with OBAG 2 minimum grant size requirements per county (\$500,000 grant minimum for counties with population over 1 million, and \$250,000 minimum for all other counties). Final funding awards may deviate from grant minimums per county, should one or more grant awards span multiple counties or regionwide.

Additionally, deviations from the OBAG 2 minimum grant size requirements for project nominations may be considered on a project-by-project basis. However, grant awards must be at least \$100,000.

- Local Match: Toll credits may be requested in lieu of non-federal cash match.
- **Supplanting of Funds Prohibited**: Supplanting of existing funds on fully-funded projects is prohibited, as the program is intended to infuse transportation investment into communities responding and adapting to the COVID-19 environment. If funds are

requested to address a funding shortfall on a project due to reduced local revenues, CTAs must demonstrate why the project should be a priority for regional funding, if it was not the highest priority for available local funding. In their nomination, CTAs should describe how the county and local jurisdictions determined which projects are prioritized for reduced local revenues.

- Project Phases: The Environmental (ENV), Plans, Specifications and Estimates (PS&E),
 Preliminary Engineering (PE) and Right Of Way (ROW) phases are eligible for capital
 projects as long as the construction (CON) phase of the project is delivered and funds
 obligated by March 31, 2022.
- Project Delivery and Monitoring: Project sponsors must have a record of consistently meeting state and federal timely use of funds deadlines and requirements, or demonstrate/identify revised/new internal processes to ensure they will meet funding deadlines and requirements moving forward at the time of project nomination. In addition to the provisions of the Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606), the following specific funding deadlines/requirements apply:
 - Funds must be obligated (authorized in a federal E-76, or transferred to FTA) no later than March 31, 2022.
 - Funds must be encumbered or awarded in a contract within 6 months of federal obligation.
 - Funds must be invoiced against within 3 months of encumbrance/award and invoiced against and receive a federal reimbursement quarterly thereafter.
 - o If there could be complications with invoicing against the construction phase within 9 months of federal obligation, then the sponsor should consider including Construction Engineering (CE) in the federal obligation so that eligible costs may be invoiced in order to meet the invoicing deadline.
 - Project sponsor must meet all other timely use of funds deadlines and requirements, for all other state and federal transportation funds received by the agency, during the duration of project implementation (such as, but not limited to, project award, federal invoicing, and project reporting).
 - To help ensure compliance with state and federal invoicing requirements, as part of the application submittal, the Finance/Accounting Manager/Director for the agency receiving the funds must provide written documentation on the agency's internal process and procedures for complying with FHWA federal-aid timely use of funds requirements, especially with regards to meeting federal invoicing requirements.
 - CTAs nominating successful projects must monitor the project sponsors within their respective county in meeting the timely use of funds deadline requirements in MTC Resolution No. 3606 and report quarterly to MTC on the agency's status in meeting regional, state, and federal timely use of funds deadlines and requirements.

Additional Requirements Apply:

 Project sponsor must comply with MTC's Complete Street Policy and submit a Complete Streets Checklist for the project.

- Project sponsor must adopt a Resolution of Local Support prior to adding the project into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).
- Project sponsor must satisfy the OBAG 2 housing policy requirements have a certified Housing Element, submit the Annual Progress Report for the Housing Element, and have adopted a resolution affirming compliance with the California Surplus Lands Act.
- CTAs must make each project's Complete Streets Checklist available for review by the appropriate Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) prior to MTC Commission approval of projects and fund programming. Documentation this has occurred must be included with the project application.