## PROPOSED REAP LOCAL ALLOCATIONS

## CURRENTLY AVAILABLE FUNDS

# <u>Tranche 1</u>: \$500K Non-Competitive Allocations for RHNA Subregions and County Collaboratives:

- \$20,000 in Subregion support to be divided equally if more than one subregion. Currently two subregions are being considered (Napa and Solano).
- \$480,000 balance to be distributed using the OBAG formula with a floor of \$30,000 per county.<sup>1</sup>

Subregion & County Allocations	
Alameda	\$86,000
Contra Costa	\$66,000
Marin	\$30,000
Napa Subregion	\$10,000
Napa Collaborative	\$30,000
San Francisco	\$56,000
San Mateo	\$34,000
Santa Clara	\$118,000
Solano Subregion	\$10,000
Solano Collaborative	\$30,000
Sonoma	\$30,000
Total	\$500,000

#### Tranche 2: \$2.18M Non-Competitive Initial Minimum Local Allocation

Initial Minimum Local Allocations	
109 Jurisdictions	\$20,000 each
Total	\$2,180,000,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The distribution formula for the OBAG 2 County Program is based on several factors: population (50%), the number of RHNA units assigned in the very low-, low-, and moderate-income categories (12%), the total number RHNA units assigned (8%), the number of affordable housing units produced (18%), and the total number of housing units produced (12%).

# PROPOSED REAP LOCAL ALLOCATIONS

## FUTURE FUNDS (AVAILABLE 2021)

#### Tranche 3: \$3M Non-Competitive Supplemental RHNA Allocation

- Eligibility = at least 1,000 RHNA units allocated to jurisdiction by Draft RHNA Methodology slated for approval by the Executive Board in January 2021, which will incorporate outcomes from the Plan Bay Area 2050 Final Blueprint.
- Pro rata awards to be based on units allocated by Draft RHNA Methodology using the following formula:
  - Identify all jurisdictions that received at least 1,000 RHNA units using the Draft RHNA Methodology ("Eligible Jurisdictions")
  - 2. Add up the total number of RHNA units assigned to Eligible Jurisdictions using the Draft RHNA Methodology ("# of Units in Tranche 3")
  - 3. Divide \$3M by the # of Units in Tranche 3 ("Allocation per Unit")
  - 4. For each Eligible Jurisdiction, multiply that jurisdiction's number of Draft RHNA units by the value of the Allocation per Unit to calculate the amount of each jurisdiction's Non-Competitive Supplemental RHNA Allocation for Tranche 3.
- For example, if 70 jurisdictions received at least 1,000 RHNA units, and together they received 400,000 RHNA units, each unit would be worth \$7.50 in Tranche 3 (\$3M divided by 400,000 units). If one of the 70 Eligible Jurisdictions received 10,000 RHNA units, its Non-Competitive Supplemental RHNA Allocation for Tranche 3 would be \$75,000 (10,000 units multiplied by \$7.50 per unit).
- In Tranche 3, approximately 70 awards would be expected between approximately \$7K and \$500K.

<u>Tranche 4</u>: \$1M Competitive Tranche proposed to help with housing elements & rezoning with 1/3 set aside for small jurisdictions (<60,000) with all jurisdictions eligible for the remaining 2/3 of funds. Recommended scoring considerations include: location in Plan Bay Area 2050 (PBA) growth geographies, advancing PBA housing strategies, and local funding gaps.

An additional \$4.2M proposed to be allocated to Counties (or their designees) to support Planning Collaboratives with a floor sufficient to fund at least 0.5 FTE of consultant support to create locally tailored TA, deliver regional/state products, facilitate regular working meetings of local planning staff and coordinate with ABAG & other Collaboratives to share learning & subregional TA products.