

AB 1487 Implementation: Follow-Up to Questions from April Board Meetings

Agenda Item 11(a): Information Item

Metropolitan Transportation Commission


May 27, 2020



ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Bay Area Housing Finance Authority (BAHFA) Legal Framework

- BAHFA already exists as a distinct legal entity by virtue of AB 1487.
- Only the State Legislature can amend, expand, or dissolve BAHFA.
- However, the BAHFA Board (same members as MTC Commission) can choose when to “activate” the entity.
- Once activated, a unique feature of BAHFA is shared decision-making between ABAG Executive Board & BAHFA Board over many decisions related to activities and expenditures.



ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Review: Why BAHFA?

ABAG and MTC helped to craft AB 1487 and eventually supported the bill in order to create new tools to address the region's housing challenges beyond the authorities already held by the agencies. The new powers vested in BAHFA include:

- Authority to propose regional ballot measure to raise revenue for 3 Ps
- Authority to accept variety of funds to advance the 3 Ps, including funds from private parties
- Authority to deploy a variety of housing finance tools, including directly underwriting projects
- Joint governance strengthens the opportunity for a coordinated regional housing portfolio across all 3 Ps



ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

BAHFA Activation Mechanics

- Mechanics established by state law per AB 1487
- BAHFA is formally “activated” when the Chair of BAHFA Board (same as Chair of MTC Commission) calls the first BAHFA Board meeting
- Thereafter, ABAG Executive Board and BAHFA Board must convene an Advisory Committee
- BAHFA Board & Advisory Committee set their own meeting schedules. Can meet as frequently or infrequently as desired. No statutory minimum number of meetings.



Timing of BAHFA Activation

BAHFA Board *could* be activated at any time, but is only statutorily *required* to activate to accomplish certain activities:

- BAHFA Board must be activated to place a regional revenue measure on the ballot.
 - For November 2020 measure, BAHFA would need to activate in June
- Non-ballot measure activities have a more flexible timeline – activation could be timed to align with work plan for new activities and new revenues.




Budgetary Considerations

- **AB 1487 established BAHFA as an entity but did not establish a dedicated revenue source.**
- **Instead, BAHFA has authority to raise its own revenues, including:**
 - Regional ballot measure (5% admin takedown)
 - Solicit and receive other funds, including from private entities
 - Earned income from financing activities
- **BAHFA is staffed by existing staff of MTC**
- **ABAG/MTC Budget Constraints**
 - Hard hit by COVID-19 economic fallout.
 - MTC expects a two-year budget deficit of \$10 million
 - ABAG's budget reliant on member dues
 - Severely constrained capacity to undertake any new initiatives absent corresponding new revenue sources



Framework for Decision-Making



Option 1:

Pursue Nov. 2020 Ballot Measure

LOGISTICS:

Must activate BAHFA in June, final resolution in July.

ADVANTAGES:

Opportunity to secure \$10 billion for affordable housing in the near future.

RESOURCE CONSIDERATIONS:

- Roughly \$3 million in direct election-related costs (BAHFA responsibility)
- 2-3 full time equivalents (FTEs) of current staff in the next 3-6 months.

RISKS:

If ballot measure is unsuccessful, there is no revenue stream to cover ~\$3 million in direct costs.



Option 2:

Explore Expanded Housing Portfolio

LOGISTICS:

Direct staff to return in June with proposed work plan sized to fit various revenue scenarios.

ADVANTAGES:

- Position the region to capture potential resource opportunities to deepen housing work.
- Signal opportunity for regional leadership on housing during COVID-19 relief and recovery.

RESOURCE CONSIDERATIONS:

- Moderate staff time to explore potential activities and revenue sources (existing staff resources).
- Strategy proposal would size potential activities to viable revenue sources to ensure fiscal health.

RISKS:

Could raise expectations of BAHFA sustained activity without guaranteed revenue stream.

Option 3:

Focus on Existing Housing Portfolio

LOGISTICS:

Staff executes current work plans; BAHFA held in hibernation.

ADVANTAGES:

Maximize conservation of agency resources within a fiscally constrained budget.

RESOURCE CONSIDERATIONS:

No additional resources required.

RISKS:

Could lose revenue opportunities to address worsening regional housing challenges, especially for housing-related COVID-19 response and recovery.



Questions?



AB 1487 Implementation: Decision on Pursuit of November 2020 Affordable Housing Measure

Agenda Item 11(b): Action Item

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

May 27, 2020



ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Polling Results

- **In April 2019, EMC Research found that 74 percent of Bay Area voters supported taking a “regional approach” to housing.**
- **In November 2019, this support was affirmed in a second poll, though voter support for a tax measure hovered near the 2/3 threshold and thus was not conclusive.**
- **In late April/early May of 2020, EMC Research conducted another poll testing support for a \$10 billion regional affordable housing bond.**
 - Polling was a split sample testing two questions; each sample was based on interviews with ~1,200 likely voters.
 - Following slides are a summary of the findings from the recent poll, developed by EMC Research.




Key Findings

- ▶ Voters throughout the Bay Area continue to be very concerned about housing and homelessness.
- ▶ There is support for fundamental outcomes of what a potential affordable housing bond could help fund, especially stable housing for homeless children and families and other vulnerable populations.
- ▶ A strong majority are supportive of a regional housing bond measure, but support falls below the two-thirds threshold needed for passage.
- ▶ Ballot language tested that frames the measure as housing/homelessness receives slightly higher support than the measure with an economic recovery frame.
- ▶ Voter attitudes about taxes and rising economic concerns due to COVID-19 have softened the environment for a potential measure.

Most Important Problem


Homelessness and housing continue to be top-of-mind for voters, but they have declined as top of mind issues with coronavirus taking their place.



Tax Attitudes

With economic uncertainty around the coronavirus, tax sensitivity has increased since November.

Taxes in the Bay Area are high enough, I will vote against any tax increase



Q20-22. Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with each of the following statements.

Ballot Questions Tested

(Homelessness Frame) n=1,246

Shall the measure to address Bay Area housing affordability/homelessness, by providing:

- stable housing for homeless children/families;
- housing which may include mental health/substance abuse services; and
- local housing that is affordable for vulnerable residents including seniors, veterans, and persons with disabilities;

by issuing \$10,000,000,000 in general obligation bonds with an estimated levy of 35 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value, generating \$670,000,000 annually, while bonds are outstanding, with local authority, oversight and audits, be adopted?


(Economic Recovery Frame) n=1,246

Shall the measure to stimulate the local Bay Area economy and protect the health of residents by:


- creating jobs by building affordable housing; and
 - providing local housing for those experiencing income loss, who are homeless or at risk of becoming homeless
- by issuing \$10,000,000,000 in general obligation bonds with an estimated levy of 35 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value, generating \$670,000,000 annually, while bonds are outstanding, with local authority, oversight and audits, be adopted?

Initial Bond Vote

There is support for a regional housing bond, but neither ballot language tested reaches the two-thirds threshold in the initial vote.




Homelessness Frame Vote by County



n=1,246

Q18. If the election were held today, would you vote yes to approve or no to reject this measure?

Economic Recovery Frame Vote by County




n=1,246
Q18. If the election were held today, would you vote yes to approve or no to reject this measure?

Impact of Additional Information

The Yes vote increases after a strong support statement, but does not reach two thirds. Opposition is damaging.


Homelessness Frame

Economic Recovery Frame



Polling on Regional Housing measure

Support for a regional measure to fund housing is lower today than it was in previous polling.



Note: The wording of the measure was different in each poll.

Conclusions

- ▶ The economic and political environment has shifted substantially.
- ▶ Voters continue to be concerned about housing affordability and homelessness, and are fundamentally supportive of what a potential regional housing measure could help do.
- ▶ There is support across the Bay Area for a regional measure, but it does not reach the two-thirds threshold needed for passage today.

Recommendation

- **In light of the polling results, the ABAG Administrative Committee and the MTC Executive Committee recommended to defer consideration of a regional revenue measure until after November 2020.**



Questions?



AB 1487 Implementation: Direction on Future Regional Housing Plan

Agenda Item 11(c): Action Item


Metropolitan Transportation Commission

May 27, 2020



ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Framework for Decision-Making



Option 2: Expand Regional Housing Portfolio

Leverage BAHFA to seek new resources that can support an expanded regional housing portfolio that more comprehensively tackles the Bay Area's housing challenges.

Option 2 Features:

- New activities must be linked to corresponding expected funding sources. The current budget does not support new work without new revenue.
- Today's presentation is a landscape analysis to review the range of possibilities. If policymakers direct staff to pursue Option 2, staff would return with a more detailed strategy proposal and potential work plan, including specific BAHFA roles.



ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Option 2: Expand Regional Housing Portfolio

Potential Revenue Sources

- **Federal and state funding opportunities**
- **Public-Private partnerships with philanthropic & business communities**
- **Earned revenue from new housing financing tools**
- **Regional Early Action Planning Grants (REAP) program (eligibility limited)**



ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Option 2: **Expand Regional Housing Portfolio**

Regional Leadership on COVID-19 Relief

Explore potential value-add options for regional leadership through collaborative resource-sharing and leveraging BAHFA's convening power.

- **Support regional coordination on COVID-19 housing response**
 - Technical assistance to cities and counties on COVID-related housing policies and programs
 - Potential regional coordination of rental assistance funds
- **Position BAHFA for counter-cyclical strategies**
 - Housing construction as job-creating economic development
 - Acquisition & preservation strategy to prevent displacement from distressed assets



Option 2: Expand Regional Housing Portfolio

Potential BAHFA Activities

- **Comprehensive BAHFA Business Plan**
- **Develop Housing Finance Capacity**
- **Regional Housing Funding Coordination**
- **Technical Assistance**
- **Regional Affordable Housing Platform**
- **Enhanced Data Gathering**



Option 3: Existing Housing Portfolio

In light of severe fiscal constraints, focus on existing housing portfolios at MTC and ABAG rather than exploring an expansion into new activities.

Existing Regional Housing Portfolio Includes:

- Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA)
- Regional Early Action Planning Grants (REAP)
- Housing Funding and Incentive Programs
- Housing Permit Data Gathering and Analysis



Recommendation: Option 2

Expanded Regional Housing Portfolio

The ABAG Administrative Committee and the MTC Executive Committee recommended Option 2.

- The recommendation was accompanied by direction to staff to explore how to reserve transportation-specific investment funds for transportation purposes.

Option 2 Next Steps:

- Staff will return in June with an initial strategy proposal for an expanded regional housing portfolio.
- The proposal will right-size potential activities to corresponding opportunities for additional revenue.
- Over time, the strategy will explore how to integrate new activities with the existing portfolio in a way that conserves resources and maximizes impact.



ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION



Thank You.

For more information contact:

Daniel Saver

dsaver@bayareametro.gov