

Date: May 27, 2020
W.I.: 1121
Referred by: Planning

ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4425

This resolution approves the Amendment to Amended Plan Bay Area 2040, which includes both the Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Further discussion of this subject is contained in the Executive Director's memorandum to the MTC Planning Committee and ABAG Administrative Committee dated May 8, 2020.

Date: May 27, 2020
W.I.: 1121
Referred by: Planning

Re: Approval of the Amendment to Amended Plan Bay Area 2040, which includes both the Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy for the San Francisco Bay Area

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
RESOLUTION NO. 4425

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Area pursuant to California Government Code Section 66500 *et seq.*; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the federally designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), pursuant to Section 134(d) of Title 23 of the United States Code (USC) for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area region (the region); and

WHEREAS, Part 450 of Title 23 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), require MTC as the MPO to prepare and update a long-range Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) every four years; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code § 65080 *et seq.* requires MTC to prepare and update a long-range RTP, including a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) prepared in conjunction with the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), every four years; and

WHEREAS, MTC and ABAG jointly adopted the Final Plan Bay Area 2040 (Final Plan) (MTC Resolution No. 4300 and ABAG Resolution No. 10-17) on July 26, 2017; and

WHEREAS, MTC and ABAG jointly adopted an Amendment to the Final Plan (Amended Plan) to modify the scope and projected cost of the U.S. Highway 101 Managed Lanes Project (RTPID 17-06-0007); and

WHEREAS, MTC and ABAG staff have prepared an Amendment to the Amended Plan to add the scope and projected cost of the Interstate 680 Express Lanes Gap Closure Project (RTPID 17-01-0065); and

WHEREAS, the projected cost of the Interstate 680 Express Lanes Gap Closure Project (RTPID 17-01-0065) is within the financial constraint of the Amended Plan because the additional funding for the project comes from the East and North Bay Express Lanes Reserve (RTPID 17-10-0056) that is already included in the financially constrained Amended Plan; and

WHEREAS, no other changes or revisions are made as part of the Amendment to the Amended Plan; and

WHEREAS, MTC and ABAG staff circulated the Draft Amendment to the Amended Plan for a 30-day public comment period, starting March 26, 2020, and closing on April 24, 2020, in following with the requirements of the MTC Public Participation Plan; and

WHEREAS, MTC and ABAG staff prepared the Final Amendment to the Amended Plan, consisting of the Draft Amendment, including all revisions (Final Amendments); and

WHEREAS, prior to taking action on the Final Amendment, MTC and ABAG have heard, been presented with, reviewed, and considered all of the information and data in the administrative record, including the Transportation-Air Quality Conformity Analysis for the Amended Plan Bay Area 2040 and the Amended 2019 Transportation Improvement Program (MTC Resolution No. 4423), the Addendum to the Final Environmental Impact Report prepared for Plan Bay Area 2040 (MTC Resolution No. 4424 and ABAG Resolution No. 12-2020), and all oral and written evidence presented to it during all meetings; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that MTC hereby certifies that the foregoing recitals are true and correct and incorporated by this reference; and be it further

RESOLVED, MTC and ABAG staff prepared the Final Amendment, consisting of the Draft Amendment, including all revisions; and be it further

RESOLVED, that prior to taking action on the Final Amendment, MTC has heard, been presented with, reviewed, and considered all of the information and data in the administrative record, including the Transportation-Air Quality Conformity Analysis for the Amended Plan Bay Area 2040 and the Amended 2019 Transportation Improvement Program (MTC Resolution No.

4423), the Addendum to the Final Environmental Impact Report prepared for Plan Bay Area 2040 (MTC Resolution No. 4424 and ABAG Resolution No. 12-2020), and all oral and written evidence presented to it during all meetings; and be it further

RESOLVED, that MTC finds that the Final Amendment complies with the requirements of applicable laws; and be it further

RESOLVED, that MTC, as a decision-making body, hereby approves the Final Amendment to the Amended Plan (Attachment A) as presented; and be it further

RESOLVED, that MTC directs staff to publish a copy of Final Amendment to the Amended Plan and place it on file at the offices of MTC and to post an electronic copy onto the MTC website at www.mtc.ca.gov.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Scott Haggerty, Chair

This resolution was entered into by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at a meeting of the Commission held in San Francisco, California on May 27, 2020.

Date: May 27, 2020
W.I.: 1121
Referred by: Planning

Attachment A
Resolution No. 4425
Page 1 of 1

Amendment to the Amended Plan Bay Area 2040

The Amendment **to the Amended Plan** Bay Area 2040 is on file in the offices of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, Bay Area Metro Center, 375 Beale Street, Suite 800, San Francisco, CA 94105, or available upon request to info@bayareametro.gov while the COVID-19 shelter-in-place orders are in effect.



PLAN BAY AREA 2040 AMENDMENT



Plan BayArea 2040

FINAL
SUPPLEMENTAL
REPORT



Metropolitan
Transportation
Commission



Association
of Bay Area
Governments

MAY 2020

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

Scott Haggerty, Chair
Alameda County

Alfredo Pedroza, Vice Chair
Napa County and Cities

Jeannie Bruins
Cities of Santa Clara County

Damon Connolly
Marin County and Cities

Dave Cortese
Santa Clara County

Carol Dutra-Vernaci
Cities of Alameda County

Dorene M. Giacomini
U.S. Department of
Transportation

Federal D. Glover
Contra Costa County

Anne W. Halsted
San Francisco Bay Conservation and
Development Commission

Nick Josefowitz
San Francisco Mayor's Appointee

Sam Liccardo
San Jose Mayor's Appointee

Jake Mackenzie
Sonoma County and Cities

Gina Papan
Cities of San Mateo County

David Rabbitt
Association of Bay Area
Governments

Hillary Ronen
City and County of San Francisco

Libby Schaaf
Oakland Mayor's Appointee

Warren Slocum
San Mateo County

James P. Spering
Solano County and Cities

Jimmy Stracner
Representing US Department of
Housing and Urban Development

Tony Tavares
California State Transportation
Agency (CalSTA)

Amy R. Worth
Cities of Contra Costa County

Association of Bay Area Governments

Mayor Jesse Arreguin ABAG
President City of Berkeley

Supervisor Belia Ramos
ABAG Vice President Napa

Representatives From Each County

Supervisor Scott Haggerty
Alameda

Supervisor Nathan Miley
Alameda

Supervisor Candace Andersen
Contra Costa

Supervisor Karen Mitchoff
Contra Costa

Supervisor Dennis Rodoni
Marin

Supervisor Rafael Mandelman
San Francisco

Supervisor Gordon Mar
San Francisco

To Be Appointed
San Francisco

Supervisor David Canepa
San Mateo

Supervisor Dave Pine
San Mateo

Supervisor Cindy Chavez
Santa Clara

Supervisor David Cortese
Santa Clara

Supervisor Erin Hannigan
Solano

Supervisor David Rabbitt
Sonoma

Representatives From Cities in Each County

Mayor Barbara Halliday
City of Hayward / Alameda

**Councilmember
Nikki Fortunato Bas**
City of Oakland / Alameda

**Councilmember
Lynette Gibson McElhaney**
City of Oakland / Alameda

Councilmember Loren Taylor
City of Oakland / Alameda

Mayor Julie Pierce
City of Clayton / Contra Costa

Councilmember Dave Hudson
City of San Ramon / Contra Costa

Mayor Pro Tem Pat Eklund
City of Novato / Marin

Mayor Leon Garcia
City of American Canyon / Napa

Mayor London Breed
City and County of San Francisco

Rich Hillis, Planning Director
City and County of San Francisco

Councilmember Wayne Lee
City of Millbrae / San Mateo

Vice Mayor Rich Garbarino
City of South San Francisco / San
Mateo

Mayor Liz Gibbons
City of Campbell / Santa Clara

Councilmember Chris Clark
City of Mountain View / Santa Clara

Councilmember Lan Diep
City of San Jose / Santa Clara

Councilmember Maya Esparza
City of San Jose / Santa Clara

Councilmember Raul Peralez
City of San Jose / Santa Clara

Mayor Lori Wilson
City of Suisun City / Solano

Councilmember Jake Mackenzie
City of Rohnert Park / Sonoma

Advisory Members

William Kissinger Regional
Water Quality Control Board



Plan Bay Area 2040:
Amendment

May 2020



METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION



Association of
Bay Area Governments

Bay Area Metro Center
375 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

(415) 778-6700
info@bayareametro.gov
www.mtc.ca.gov

phone
e-mail
web

(415) 820-7900
info@abag.ca.gov
www.abag.ca.gov

Project Staff

Matt Maloney

Director, Regional Planning

Therese Trivedi

Assistant Director

Adam Noelting

Principal Planner, Project Manager

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040	1
3.	Comments & Response to Comments	2
4.	Adoption of the Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040.....	3

1. Introduction

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) jointly adopted Plan Bay Area 2040 on July 26, 2017 (MTC Resolution No. 4300 and ABAG Resolution No. 10-17).

Plan Bay Area 2040 (the “Plan”) is the updated long-range Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area. Plan Bay Area 2040 is the Bay Area’s roadmap for forecasting transportation needs through the year 2040, preserving the character of our diverse communities, and adapting to the challenges of future population growth. The Plan discusses how the Bay Area will grow over the next two decades and identifies transportation and land use strategies to enable a more sustainable, equitable and economically vibrant future. Starting with the current state of the region, the Plan describes Plan Bay Area 2040 and its goals, a proposed growth pattern and supporting transportation investment strategy, and key actions needed to address ongoing and long-term regional challenges. The Plan also includes supplemental reports for additional details. These documents and the adopted Plan can be found at <http://2040.planbayarea.org/reports>.

MTC and ABAG propose to amend Plan Bay Area 2040 (Plan Amendment) to add the project scope and cost of the Interstate 680 Express Lanes Gap Closure Project in Alameda County.

2. Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040

An amendment is a major revision to the Plan, including adding or deleting a project, major changes in project costs and scope (e.g., changing project locations or the number of through traffic lanes). As stipulated in MTC’s Public Participation Plan (2015), a Plan amendment requires public review and comment, demonstration that the project can be completed based on expected funding, and/or a finding that the change is consistent with federal transportation conformity mandates. Amendments that require an update to the air quality conformity analysis will be subject to the conformity and interagency consultation procedures described in MTC Resolution No. 3757.

In March 2018, MTC and ABAG adopted the first amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040 to modify the project scope and cost of the U.S. Highway 101 Managed Lanes Project in San Mateo County (RTPID 17-06-0007). The amendment aligned the Plan’s project assumptions to those of the preferred alternative in the project’s Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

In June 2019, the Alameda County Transportation Commission (ACTC) requested the second amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040 to add the project scope and cost of the Interstate 680 Express Lanes Gap Closure Project in Alameda County. The project will add an express lane to the southbound and northbound travel lanes of Interstate 680 between Alcosta Boulevard and State Route 84, closing the gap between existing and in-progress express lane projects directly to the north and south. The accelerated project development would allow for coordinated project delivery with a planned rehabilitation project on the same corridor.

The amendment’s total project cost is \$480 million. Funds for the amendment’s cost are derived from the Plan’s set aside for East and North Bay express lanes projects via the East and North Bay Express Lanes Reserve (RTPID 17-10-0056). Because the project funding is redirected from one adopted project to another within the Plan’s financially constrained transportation investment strategy, and no new funds are added to the Plan’s investment strategy as part of this amendment, the Plan remains financially constrained as required by federal and state planning laws.

The Amendment to the Plan changes the following:

1. Adds the description, cost, and schedule of the Interstate 680 Express Lanes Gap Closure Project in Alameda County (RTPID 17-01-0065):
 - a. **Basic Information** | What would this project/program do?
“Add an express lane to the southbound and northbound travel lanes of Interstate 680 between Alcosta Boulevard and State Route 84.”
 - b. **Cost and Funding** | How much does this project/program cost?
\$252 millions (Southbound)
\$228 millions (Northbound)
 - c. **Schedule** | By when is the project/program anticipated to open?
2024 (Southbound)
2030 (Northbound)
2. Changes the cost of the East and North Bay Express Lanes Reserve (RTPID 17-10-0056):
 - a. **Cost and Funding** | How much does this project/program cost?
~~\$2,164~~ \$1,684 (millions)

No other changes or revisions are proposed in this amendment.

3. Comments & Response to Comments

In accordance with MTC’s Public Participation Plan, MTC and ABAG released the Draft Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040 for a 30-day public review and comment period, starting March 26, 2020, and ending on April 24, 2020. Opportunities to comment were publicized via MTC’s website, email notifications, a press release, and display ads in local newspapers. The following is a list of the public comments submitted to MTC along with staff’s responses to these comments.

No.	Name	Agency/Organization	Date/Source
1	Bill Mellberg	No affiliation	03/31/2020, Email
	“To say you are adding a lane to fill the gap from Alcosta to Sunol or Washington to 580 is a play on words. There is no "new" lanes other than the existing 3 lanes, you can designate the third lane as an express lane, but that is not adding a lane. Let's be honest until there is a fourth lane added both north of Sunol and south of 580 nothing changes. I believe anything you do will be obsolete and ineffective before you even start.”		
2	Michael T. Henn	No affiliation	03/31/2020, Email -
	“I strongly oppose the construction of any more toll express lanes on any freeway. The High Occupancy Vehicles lanes should be reserved for car pools. It is socially and environmentally counterproductive to allow a single-occupant vehicle to be allowed to pay to drive in a Lexus Lane. It's analogous to being able to pay to have a red light turn green for some and not others. It's fundamentally unfair. Furthermore, having free-flowing HOV lanes encourages people to carpool, thus reducing traffic and pollution. That's why such lanes were created. Filling the lanes up with solo drivers removes the incentive. So-called Express Lanes are the worst idea you could come up with. Please, stop this misguided program.”		

3	David Vartanoff	No affiliation	03/31/2020, Web Comment
	<p>“building a new HOV lane is no longer the right idea. When and if the current covid crisis abates, thousands of workers will continue WFH. Secondly, if a new lane is added, it will simply encourage more (induced demand is a well understood concept). Redesignating an existing lane to discourage SOVs; fine. Better still deploy Express buses in the no longer wasted lane on short headways with 'bus pads' similar to those on 101, allowing quick off/quick on. and rapid trips from BART in either WC or PH to Dublin. adding a third track and second platform at Bayfair so that trains from Dublin can offer crossplatform connections to Berryessa is the next step.</p> <p>NO new freeway lanes!”</p>		
4	John Weeks	No affiliation	03/31/2020, Web Comment
	<p>“No Fee Cap: Please do not cap the fees on Express Lanes. In high congestion scenarios only a fee which will actually discourage SOV access will maintain throughput. The caps on regional express lanes that are already in place are too low.</p> <p>Driver Education: Please make sure the public knows about safe speeds in express lanes. I operate buses in the express lanes and get complaints from other SOV drivers that think the express lane is the fast lanes on the freeway. They complain that buses operating below the speed limit are in their way and slowing them down and dangerously pass.”</p>		
5	Steven Dunbar	No affiliation	04/07/2020, Web Comment
	<p>“I can't believe we are still adding lanes in 2020. Sure, they are better than standard carpool lanes and induce marginally less traffic than regular lanes.</p> <p>But they are not anywhere CLOSE to the level of mitigation we need to be doing in our transportation environment.</p> <p>The highway is already 3 lanes in each direction. You need to do much better than one more express lane.”</p>		

4. Adoption of the Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040

Plan Bay Area 2040 and this Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040, taken together, constitute the complete Plan Bay Area 2040 document. Refer also to the companion technical documents that accompany this Draft Amendment: (1) Transportation-Air Quality Conformity Analysis for the Amended Plan Bay Area 2040 and Amended 2019 Transportation Improvement Program, (2) Addendum to the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for Plan Bay Area 2040, and (3) Amended 2019 Transportation Improvement Program.

This amendment is scheduled for review and approval of the governing boards of the MTC and ABAG in May 2020. These pending adopting resolutions – MTC Resolution No. 4425 and ABAG Resolution No. 13-2020 – approving the amendment will be included for reference as part of the Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040 (see Attachment A).

**ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
EXECUTIVE BOARD**

RESOLUTION NO. 13-2020

APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT TO AMENDED PLAN BAY AREA 2040

WHEREAS, the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), a joint exercise of powers entity created pursuant to California Government Code Sections 6500 et seq., is the Council of Governments and the regional land use planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Area; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Area pursuant to California Government Code Section 66500 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, Part 450 of Title 23 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), requires MTC as the MPO to prepare and update a long-range Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) every four years; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 65080 requires ABAG and MTC to prepare a sustainable communities strategy (SCS) for the San Francisco Bay Area; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Bay Area 2040 ("Plan") constitutes the RTP and SCS for the San Francisco Bay Area; and

WHEREAS, the Plan, contains an integrated set of strategies and fiscally-constrained investments to maintain, manage, and improve the transportation system in the San Francisco Bay Area through the year 2040 and calls for development of an integrated intermodal transportation system that facilitates the efficient, economic movement of people and goods; and

WHEREAS, ABAG and MTC jointly adopted the Final Plan Bay Area 2040 (Final Plan) (ABAG Resolution No. 10-17 and MTC Resolution No. 4300) on July 26, 2017; and

WHEREAS, ABAG and MTC adopted under separate action an Amendment to the Final Plan (Amended Plan) to modify the scope and projected cost of the U.S. Highway 101 Managed Lanes Project (ABAG Resolution No. 03-18 and MTC Resolution No. 4327) on March 28, 2018; and

WHEREAS, ABAG and MTC staff have prepared an Amendment to the Amended Plan to add the scope and projected cost of the Interstate 680 Express Lanes Gap Closure Project (RTPID 17-01-0065); and

**ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 13-2020**

WHEREAS, the projected cost of the Interstate 680 Express Lanes Gap Closure Project (RTPID 17-01-0065) is within the financial constraint of the Amended Plan because the additional funding for the project comes from the East and North Bay Express Lanes Reserve (RTPID 17-10-0056) that is already included in the financially constrained Amended Plan; and

WHEREAS, no other changes or revisions are made as part of the Amendment to the Amended Plan; and

WHEREAS, ABAG and MTC staff circulated the Draft Amendment to the Amended Plan for a 30-day public comment period, starting March 26, 2020, and closing on April 24, 2020, in following with the requirements of the MTC Public Participation Plan; and

WHEREAS, ABAG and MTC staff prepared the Final Amendment to the Amended Plan, consisting of the Draft Amendment, including all revisions (Final Amendments); and

WHEREAS, prior to taking action on the Final Amendment, ABAG and MTC have heard, been presented with, reviewed, and considered all of the information and data in the administrative record, including the Transportation-Air Quality Conformity Analysis for the Amended Plan Bay Area 2040 and the Amended 2019 Transportation Improvement Program (MTC Resolution No. 4423), the Addendum to the Final Environmental Impact Report prepared for Plan Bay Area 2040 (ABAG Resolution No. 12-2020 and MTC Resolution No. 4424), and all oral and written evidence presented to it during all meetings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Executive Board of the Association of Bay Area Governments hereby certifies that the foregoing recitals are true and correct and incorporated by this reference; and be it further

RESOLVED, ABAG and MTC staff prepared the Final Amendment, consisting of the Draft Amendment, including all revisions; and be it further

RESOLVED, that prior to taking action on the Final Amendment, ABAG has heard, been presented with, reviewed, and considered all of the information and data in the administrative record, including the Transportation-Air Quality Conformity Analysis for the Amended Plan Bay Area 2040 and the Amended 2019 Transportation Improvement Program, the Addendum to the Final Environmental Impact Report prepared for Plan Bay Area 2040 (ABAG Resolution No. 12-2020 and MTC Resolution No. 4424), and all oral and written evidence presented to it during all meetings; and be it further

RESOLVED, that ABAG finds that the Final Amendment complies with the requirements of applicable laws; and be it further

**ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 13-2020**

RESOLVED, that ABAG, as a decision-making body, hereby approves the Final Amendment to the Amended Plan (Attachment A) as presented; and be it further

RESOLVED, that ABAG directs staff to publish a copy of Final Amendment to the Final Plan and place it on file at the offices of ABAG and to post an electronic copy onto the ABAG website at www.abag.ca.gov.

The foregoing was adopted by the Executive Board this 21st day of May, 2018.

Jesse Arreguin
President

Certification of Executive Board Approval

I, the undersigned, the appointed and qualified Clerk of the Board of the Association of Bay Area Governments (Association), do hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Executive Board of the Association at a duly called and noticed meeting held on the 21st day of May, 2020.

Frederick Castro
Clerk of the Board

**ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 13-2020**

Attachment A

Final Amendment to the Amended Plan



PLAN BAY AREA 2040 AMENDMENT



Plan BayArea 2040

FINAL
SUPPLEMENTAL
REPORT



Metropolitan
Transportation
Commission



Association
of Bay Area
Governments

MAY 2020

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

Scott Haggerty, Chair
Alameda County

Alfredo Pedroza, Vice Chair
Napa County and Cities

Jeannie Bruins
Cities of Santa Clara County

Damon Connolly
Marin County and Cities

Dave Cortese
Santa Clara County

Carol Dutra-Vernaci
Cities of Alameda County

Dorene M. Giacomini
U.S. Department of
Transportation

Federal D. Glover
Contra Costa County

Anne W. Halsted
San Francisco Bay Conservation and
Development Commission

Nick Josefowitz
San Francisco Mayor's Appointee

Sam Liccardo
San Jose Mayor's Appointee

Jake Mackenzie
Sonoma County and Cities

Gina Papan
Cities of San Mateo County

David Rabbitt
Association of Bay Area
Governments

Hillary Ronen
City and County of San Francisco

Libby Schaaf
Oakland Mayor's Appointee

Warren Slocum
San Mateo County

James P. Spering
Solano County and Cities

Jimmy Stracner
Representing US Department of
Housing and Urban Development

Tony Tavares
California State Transportation
Agency (CalSTA)

Amy R. Worth
Cities of Contra Costa County

Association of Bay Area Governments

Mayor Jesse Arreguin ABAG
President City of Berkeley

Supervisor Belia Ramos
ABAG Vice President Napa

Representatives From Each County

Supervisor Scott Haggerty
Alameda

Supervisor Nathan Miley
Alameda

Supervisor Candace Andersen
Contra Costa

Supervisor Karen Mitchoff
Contra Costa

Supervisor Dennis Rodoni
Marin

Supervisor Rafael Mandelman
San Francisco

Supervisor Gordon Mar
San Francisco

To Be Appointed
San Francisco

Supervisor David Canepa
San Mateo

Supervisor Dave Pine
San Mateo

Supervisor Cindy Chavez
Santa Clara

Supervisor David Cortese
Santa Clara

Supervisor Erin Hannigan
Solano

Supervisor David Rabbitt
Sonoma

Representatives From Cities in Each County

Mayor Barbara Halliday
City of Hayward / Alameda

**Councilmember
Nikki Fortunato Bas**
City of Oakland / Alameda

**Councilmember
Lynette Gibson McElhaney**
City of Oakland / Alameda

Councilmember Loren Taylor
City of Oakland / Alameda

Mayor Julie Pierce
City of Clayton / Contra Costa

Councilmember Dave Hudson
City of San Ramon / Contra Costa

Mayor Pro Tem Pat Eklund
City of Novato / Marin

Mayor Leon Garcia
City of American Canyon / Napa

Mayor London Breed
City and County of San Francisco

Rich Hillis, Planning Director
City and County of San Francisco

Councilmember Wayne Lee
City of Millbrae / San Mateo

Vice Mayor Rich Garbarino
City of South San Francisco / San
Mateo

Mayor Liz Gibbons
City of Campbell / Santa Clara

Councilmember Chris Clark
City of Mountain View / Santa Clara

Councilmember Lan Diep
City of San Jose / Santa Clara

Councilmember Maya Esparza
City of San Jose / Santa Clara

Councilmember Raul Peralez
City of San Jose / Santa Clara

Mayor Lori Wilson
City of Suisun City / Solano

Councilmember Jake Mackenzie
City of Rohnert Park / Sonoma

Advisory Members

William Kissinger Regional
Water Quality Control Board



Plan Bay Area 2040:
Amendment

May 2020



METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION



Association of
Bay Area Governments

Bay Area Metro Center
375 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

(415) 778-6700
info@bayareametro.gov
www.mtc.ca.gov

phone
e-mail
web

(415) 820-7900
info@abag.ca.gov
www.abag.ca.gov

Project Staff

Matt Maloney

Director, Regional Planning

Therese Trivedi

Assistant Director

Adam Noelting

Principal Planner, Project Manager

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040	1
3.	Comments & Response to Comments	2
4.	Adoption of the Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040.....	3

1. Introduction

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) jointly adopted Plan Bay Area 2040 on July 26, 2017 (MTC Resolution No. 4300 and ABAG Resolution No. 10-17).

Plan Bay Area 2040 (the “Plan”) is the updated long-range Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area. Plan Bay Area 2040 is the Bay Area’s roadmap for forecasting transportation needs through the year 2040, preserving the character of our diverse communities, and adapting to the challenges of future population growth. The Plan discusses how the Bay Area will grow over the next two decades and identifies transportation and land use strategies to enable a more sustainable, equitable and economically vibrant future. Starting with the current state of the region, the Plan describes Plan Bay Area 2040 and its goals, a proposed growth pattern and supporting transportation investment strategy, and key actions needed to address ongoing and long-term regional challenges. The Plan also includes supplemental reports for additional details. These documents and the adopted Plan can be found at <http://2040.planbayarea.org/reports>.

MTC and ABAG propose to amend Plan Bay Area 2040 (Plan Amendment) to add the project scope and cost of the Interstate 680 Express Lanes Gap Closure Project in Alameda County.

2. Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040

An amendment is a major revision to the Plan, including adding or deleting a project, major changes in project costs and scope (e.g., changing project locations or the number of through traffic lanes). As stipulated in MTC’s Public Participation Plan (2015), a Plan amendment requires public review and comment, demonstration that the project can be completed based on expected funding, and/or a finding that the change is consistent with federal transportation conformity mandates. Amendments that require an update to the air quality conformity analysis will be subject to the conformity and interagency consultation procedures described in MTC Resolution No. 3757.

In March 2018, MTC and ABAG adopted the first amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040 to modify the project scope and cost of the U.S. Highway 101 Managed Lanes Project in San Mateo County (RTPID 17-06-0007). The amendment aligned the Plan’s project assumptions to those of the preferred alternative in the project’s Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

In June 2019, the Alameda County Transportation Commission (ACTC) requested the second amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040 to add the project scope and cost of the Interstate 680 Express Lanes Gap Closure Project in Alameda County. The project will add an express lane to the southbound and northbound travel lanes of Interstate 680 between Alcosta Boulevard and State Route 84, closing the gap between existing and in-progress express lane projects directly to the north and south. The accelerated project development would allow for coordinated project delivery with a planned rehabilitation project on the same corridor.

The amendment’s total project cost is \$480 million. Funds for the amendment’s cost are derived from the Plan’s set aside for East and North Bay express lanes projects via the East and North Bay Express Lanes Reserve (RTPID 17-10-0056). Because the project funding is redirected from one adopted project to another within the Plan’s financially constrained transportation investment strategy, and no new funds are added to the Plan’s investment strategy as part of this amendment, the Plan remains financially constrained as required by federal and state planning laws.

The Amendment to the Plan changes the following:

1. Adds the description, cost, and schedule of the Interstate 680 Express Lanes Gap Closure Project in Alameda County (RTPID 17-01-0065):
 - a. **Basic Information** | What would this project/program do?
“Add an express lane to the southbound and northbound travel lanes of Interstate 680 between Alcosta Boulevard and State Route 84.”
 - b. **Cost and Funding** | How much does this project/program cost?
\$252 millions (Southbound)
\$228 millions (Northbound)
 - c. **Schedule** | By when is the project/program anticipated to open?
2024 (Southbound)
2030 (Northbound)
2. Changes the cost of the East and North Bay Express Lanes Reserve (RTPID 17-10-0056):
 - a. **Cost and Funding** | How much does this project/program cost?
~~\$2,164~~ \$1,684 (millions)

No other changes or revisions are proposed in this amendment.

3. Comments & Response to Comments

In accordance with MTC’s Public Participation Plan, MTC and ABAG released the Draft Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040 for a 30-day public review and comment period, starting March 26, 2020, and ending on April 24, 2020. Opportunities to comment were publicized via MTC’s website, email notifications, a press release, and display ads in local newspapers. The following is a list of the public comments submitted to MTC along with staff’s responses to these comments.

No.	Name	Agency/Organization	Date/Source
1	Bill Mellberg	No affiliation	03/31/2020, Email
	“To say you are adding a lane to fill the gap from Alcosta to Sunol or Washington to 580 is a play on words. There is no "new" lanes other than the existing 3 lanes, you can designate the third lane as an express lane, but that is not adding a lane. Let's be honest until there is a fourth lane added both north of Sunol and south of 580 nothing changes. I believe anything you do will be obsolete and ineffective before you even start.”		
2	Michael T. Henn	No affiliation	03/31/2020, Email -
	“I strongly oppose the construction of any more toll express lanes on any freeway. The High Occupancy Vehicles lanes should be reserved for car pools. It is socially and environmentally counterproductive to allow a single-occupant vehicle to be allowed to pay to drive in a Lexus Lane. It's analogous to being able to pay to have a red light turn green for some and not others. It's fundamentally unfair. Furthermore, having free-flowing HOV lanes encourages people to carpool, thus reducing traffic and pollution. That's why such lanes were created. Filling the lanes up with solo drivers removes the incentive. So-called Express Lanes are the worst idea you could come up with. Please, stop this misguided program.”		

3	David Vartanoff	No affiliation	03/31/2020, Web Comment
	<p>“building a new HOV lane is no longer the right idea. When and if the current covid crisis abates, thousands of workers will continue WFH. Secondly, if a new lane is added, it will simply encourage more (induced demand is a well understood concept). Redesignating an existing lane to discourage SOVs; fine. Better still deploy Express buses in the no longer wasted lane on short headways with 'bus pads' similar to those on 101, allowing quick off/quick on. and rapid trips from BART in either WC or PH to Dublin. adding a third track and second platform at Bayfair so that trains from Dublin can offer crossplatform connections to Berryessa is the next step.</p> <p>NO new freeway lanes!”</p>		
4	John Weeks	No affiliation	03/31/2020, Web Comment
	<p>“No Fee Cap: Please do not cap the fees on Express Lanes. In high congestion scenarios only a fee which will actually discourage SOV access will maintain throughput. The caps on regional express lanes that are already in place are too low.</p> <p>Driver Education: Please make sure the public knows about safe speeds in express lanes. I operate buses in the express lanes and get complaints from other SOV drivers that think the express lane is the fast lanes on the freeway. They complain that buses operating below the speed limit are in their way and slowing them down and dangerously pass.”</p>		
5	Steven Dunbar	No affiliation	04/07/2020, Web Comment
	<p>“I can't believe we are still adding lanes in 2020. Sure, they are better than standard carpool lanes and induce marginally less traffic than regular lanes.</p> <p>But they are not anywhere CLOSE to the level of mitigation we need to be doing in our transportation environment.</p> <p>The highway is already 3 lanes in each direction. You need to do much better than one more express lane.”</p>		

4. Adoption of the Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040

Plan Bay Area 2040 and this Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040, taken together, constitute the complete Plan Bay Area 2040 document. Refer also to the companion technical documents that accompany this Draft Amendment: (1) Transportation-Air Quality Conformity Analysis for the Amended Plan Bay Area 2040 and Amended 2019 Transportation Improvement Program, (2) Addendum to the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for Plan Bay Area 2040, and (3) Amended 2019 Transportation Improvement Program.

This amendment is scheduled for review and approval of the governing boards of the MTC and ABAG in May 2020. These pending adopting resolutions – MTC Resolution No. 4425 and ABAG Resolution No. 13-2020 – approving the amendment will be included for reference as part of the Amendment to Plan Bay Area 2040 (see Attachment A).