Metropolitan Transportation Commission Programming and Allocations Committee

March 11, 2020 Agenda Item 2d

Regional Cap and Trade Priorities: Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities

Subject: Recommended priorities for Round 5 of the Affordable Housing and

Sustainable Communities program, which is a statewide competitive

program funded by State Cap and Trade proceeds.

Background:

The Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program (AHSC) is a statewide competitive program to provide grants and loans for affordable housing and transportation infrastructure connecting the housing projects to transit, with an emphasis on infill and compact transit-oriented development. The State Strategic Growth Council (SGC) is responsible for the overall administration of the program, including project evaluation and the approval of funding awards. Round five of the program was announced with a funding availability of approximately \$550 million. Applications were due in early February, and the SGC will announce awards in June.

Applications from the Region

There were 14 applications submitted from the Bay Area for Round 5 of the AHSC program. Table 1 summarizes the region's applications. Further details of the applications are provided in Attachments A and B.

Table 1: Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Applications

| | Bay Area Applications | Statewide Program Requirements |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Number of applications | 14 | |
| Total \$ requested | \$347 million | |
| Total affordable units proposed | 1,614 | |
| % funds in Disadvantaged Communities | 31% | 50% min. required |
| % funds for Affordable Housing | 66% | 50% min. required |
| % funds in Transit Oriented Development (TOD) | 44% | 35% min. set-aside |
| % funds in Integrated Connectivity Projects (ICP) | 56% | 45% min. set-aside |
| % funds in Rural Innovation Project Area (RIPA) | 0 | 10% min. set-aside |

All 14 AHSC applications from the region restrict nearly 100% of units to incomes of 60% of the area median income (AMI) or lower. Together, the applications would result in 1,692 new units, 1,614 of them affordable, and 2,812 bedrooms in affordable units. On average, units are restricted to 47% AMI. Applications come from five Bay Area counties, with concentrations in Alameda County and San Francisco.

In total, the transportation components of the proposed projects would fund 21 new BART cars; eleven electric buses for SamTrans, SF Muni, and Santa Rosa CityBus; construction on the SMART to Windsor extension; many

miles of sidewalks, bike lanes, and multi-use paths; and amenities at bus stops and rail stations. Affordable housing developments would implement a variety of programs, including free transit passes and bicycle education classes.

Regional Endorsement

Following awards made from project category set-asides totaling 90% of the program, the remaining 10% of the program is considered discretionary. The state's AHSC Steering Committee and SGC's Council will take factors including geographic balance and MPO prioritization into account when awarding from the discretionary amount. The regional criteria adopted by the Commission in November 2017 (Attachment C) recommend prioritizing applications for roughly 60% of the overall program funding (which would be \$330 million of the \$550 million available), with an award target of at least 40% (\$220 million), based on the region's performance in previous funding rounds. The region's funding requests totaling \$347 million align fairly well with the 60% target, and applications adhere strongly to the regional criteria.

Therefore, for the state's consideration of projects for the 10% discretionary funds, staff recommends that the Commission endorse all projects as worthy of funding to the AHSC Steering Committee and Strategic Growth Council. Attachment D presents evaluation issues and highlights relative to the regional criteria and an assessment of affordable housing cost-effectiveness.

Issues:

Applications in Disadvantaged Communities: The program has a 50% setaside for projects located in and benefitting Disadvantaged Communities (DACs). This year, four applications totaling 31% of the region's funding request are located in DACs, a smaller share of applications than in previous years. Although many projects will serve DACs through the purchase of additional BART cars or buses, a project area must overlap with a DAC by 50% in order to be counted for the AHSC set aside.

Encouragement of applications from throughout the region: While applications in Alameda and San Francisco counties continue to have a strong presence, it is also encouraging to see several applications in San Mateo, two in Sonoma, and one in Contra Costa. Staff believes it would be beneficial to continue to increase the spread of applications from throughout the region, and will continue to work with partners to encourage this.

State scoring process: State scoring panels are currently reviewing applications against various threshold requirements, and it is possible that not all applications will pass the thresholds and move on to further scoring where MPO input is considered.

Recommendation: Refer regional endorsement to the Commission for approval, and direct

MTC staff to submit list to SGC

Attachments: Attachment A: AHSC Project Detail Table

Attachment B: AHSC Project Descriptions

Attachment C: Regional Criteria for Prioritizing Final Applications under the

Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program

Attachment D: Evaluation Issues and Highlights

Therese W. McMillan

MTC Programming and Allocations Committee

March 11, 2020

Item 2d - Attachment A

Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program -- Bay Area Applications

(sorted alphabetically by County, City, Project Title)

Recommended Round 5 Endorsements

| Recommended Round 5 Endorsement | | | | | | | | | | | | | | A1100 T | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|------------|--------|------------------|------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | GHG | | Total | Affordable | Total | | AHSC Funds | |
| | | | Project | | AHSC Funds | Total Ducinet | Funding | Reduction | Total | Restricted | | Total Affordable | Hausiaa | Requested/ | Transit Station |
| Project Title | City | County | Type | | Requested | Total Project Cost | Leverage | (MT CO2)* | | Affordable Units | AMI | Bedrooms | Housing Score ** | Housing Score | Emphasis |
| Project fille | City | County | туре | DAC/COC | Requesteu | Cost | Leverage | (IVII CO2) | Ullits | Ullits | Alvii | Bedioonis | Score | Score | Ellipliasis |
| | Ashland | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Madrone Terrace | (unincorporated) | Alameda | ICP | No/Yes | \$18,947,330 | \$99,365,335 | 424% | 25,673 | 7 | 9 78 | 3 41% | 5 142 | 2 110 | \$172,24 | 8 Bay Fair BART |
| | | | | | 4 | | | | _ | | | | | | North Berkeley |
| Blake Apartments | Berkeley | Alameda | ICP | No/Yes | \$19,072,792 | \$63,665,397 | 234% | 35,887 | 6 | 3 62 | 2 50% | 5 95 | 5 79 | \$242,96 | 6 BART |
| Manualalla Millan Chinal. Camananita | Daylola | ^ l = = - | TOD | N = // | ¢22.704.552 | ć00 240 000 | 2070/ | 20.040 | 0 | 7 0/ | 470 | ′ 451 | - 424 | Ć400.05 | O A-bb. DADT |
| Maudelle Miller Shirek Community Fruitvale Transit Village Phase IIB | Berkeley Oakland | Alameda Alameda | TOD TOD | No/Yes Yes/Yes | \$22,781,553 \$29,966,039 | | | | | | | | | | 9 Ashby BART 0 Fruitvale BART |
| Mandela Station at West Oakland | Oakiailu | Aldilleud | 100 | 165/165 | \$29,900,039 | \$100,192,004 | 501% | 54,761 | 10 | 1 10: | 4/70 | 270 |) 22(|) 3130,32 | West Oakland |
| BART | Oakland | Alameda | TOD | Yes/Yes | \$29,659,310 | \$191,596,704 | 546% | 54,047 | 24 | 0 238 | 3 50% | 313 | L 275 | \$108,04 | |
| 57.11.1 | California | Contra | .02 | 100/100 | Ψ23,033,310 | Ψ131,330,701 | 3 1070 | 3 1,0 17 | | | 307. | <i>,</i> | | φ 100,0 . | <i>5 5,</i> 1111 |
| Galindo Terrace | Concord | Costa | TOD | No/Yes | \$20,942,930 | \$65,103,460 | 211% | 36,998 | 6 | 2 6: | L 47% | S 87 | 7 74 | \$283,01 | 3 Concord BART |
| | | San | | | | | | | | | | | | | Moscone/Yerba |
| 266 4th Street | San Francisco | Francisco | TOD | Yes/Yes | \$20,113,667 | \$119,448,063 | 494% | 44,694 | 7 | 0 69 | 34% | 97 | 7 83 | \$242,33 | 3 Buena Muni |
| | | San | | | | | | | | | | | | | Balboa Park |
| Balboa Park Upper Yard | San Francisco | | TOD | No/Yes | \$29,952,200 | \$174,695,321 | 483% | 82,002 | 13 | 1 112 | 2 47% | 193 | 3 153 | \$196,40 | |
| | | San | | | | | | | | | | | | | Embarcadero & |
| Potrero Block B | San Francisco | Francisco | ICP | No/Yes | \$29,829,178 | \$158,732,972 | 432% | 32,620 | 15 | 7 156 | 5 46% | 331 | L 244 | \$122,50 | 2 Third Muni |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Sunnydale |
| Sunnydale HOPE SF Block 3B | San Francisco | San Francisco | ICD | No Was | ¢20, 207, 000 | ¢10E 122 220 | 2500/ | 21.005 | 0 | າ ຄ. | 1 48% | 5 16: | . 122 | , ¢220.07 | Visitacion Valley |
| Suffryddie HOPE SF Block 3B | San Francisco | Francisco | ICP | No/Yes | \$29,287,000 | \$105,122,220 | 259% | 21,085 | 9 | 2 84 | + 48% | 10. | l 123 | \$239,07 | 8 IVIUIII |
| 965 Weeks Street | East Palo Alto | San Mateo | ICP | Yes/Yes | \$29,068,250 | \$119,141,451 | 310% | 18,451 | 13 | 6 13! | 5 45% | <u> </u> | 1 210 | \$138,75 | 1 |
| Job Weeks Street | 20001 010 71100 | San mates | | 163/163 | | Ψ113,111,131 | 31070 | 10,131 | 13 | 0 13. | , 137 | 20 | . 210 | , 7130,73 | _ |
| Gateway Apartments | Menlo Park | San Mateo | ICP | No/Yes | \$19,523,403 | \$142,532,123 | 630% | 14,217 | 14 | 0 134 | 46% | 5 228 | 3 181 | \$107,86 | 4 |
| | North Fair Oaks | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Middlefield Junction | (unincorporated) | San Mateo | ICP | No/Yes | \$22,171,437 | \$138,246,673 | 524% | 14,750 | 17 | 9 156 | 6 46% | 314 | 1 235 | \$94,34 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Downtown |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Santa Rosa |
| Roseland Village | Santa Rosa | Sonoma | ICP | No/Yes | \$25,780,623 | \$134,480,242 | 422% | 69,451 | 7 | 5 74 | 1 50% | 5 144 | 109 | \$236,51 | 9 SMART |
| Totals | | | | | \$ 347,095,712 | \$ 1,780,532,714 | | 543,476 | 1,692 | 1,614 | 46% | 2,812 | | | |

^{*}GHG reduction amounts are subject to verification by Strategic Growth Council/California Air Resources Board

^{**}Housing score is calculated as the average of the number of affordable units and the total number of bedrooms. This is an MTC calculation and not part of the state AHSC scoring process.

MTC Programming and Allocations Committee March 11, 2020 Item 2d – Attachment B

Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program – Project Descriptions

(Sorted alphabetically by County, City, Project Title)

| Project Title | Applicant Organization(s) | City | County | Total AHSC Funds Requested |
|---------------------|---|--|---|----------------------------|
| Madrone Terrace | Resources for Community Development | Ashland (unincorporated) | Alameda | \$18,947,330 |
| | Madrone Terrace: 79 units of affordable housing f | 9 9 | rom 20 to 60 percent AMI, including 20 | |
| Affordable Housing: | units reserved for formerly homeless households, | and the Ashland Community Center. | | \$13,143,710 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | - |
| | E 14th St. Bike Improvements: 0.78 mi of class II a | • | • | |
| Sustainable | St. Pedestrian Improvements: 1.3 miles of new sides | | _ | |
| Transportation | improvements; Purchase of two (2) BART Cars: Pu | rchase two new BART cars to support | increased frequency achieved through | |
| Infrastructure: | train control modernization. | | | \$4,783,711 |
| Transportation- | E. 14th St. Landscaping Project: planting trees and | drought tolerant plants, irrigation, b | enches, trash receptacies, street lighting, | 40-0-10 |
| Related Amenities: | and seating. | | | \$956,743 |
| Programs: | Two Bike Education Workshops per year; AC Tran | sit Easy Pass for each household for t | hree years. | \$63,166 |
| Blake Apartments | Satellite Affordable Housing Associates | Berkeley | Alameda | \$19,072,792 |
| Affordable Housing: | Blake Apartments: New construction of a 63 unit | affordable apartment building with gr | ound floor retail space. | \$11,663,523 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | - |
| | Increased Capacity on BART Service: Purchase thr | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | modernization; North Berkeley BART Station Acce | - | · | |
| | cycle track and accessible pedestrian path, bulbou | | • • • | |
| Sustainable | IV cycle track on Delaware; North-South Active Tr | | , | |
| Transportation | path to North Berkeley BART, including over 2 mile | es of bi-directional class I, III, and IV bi | keways, traffic circles, flashing beacon, | 40 |
| Infrastructure: | bulbouts, lighting, new sidewalk. | | | \$6,050,976 |
| | Ohlone Greenway and North Berkeley Station Ne | | | |
| Transportation- | Greenway; Bus Bulb and Bus Pad: Upgrade four A | C Transit bus stops on University Ave, | including sidewalk widening, shelter | ¢1 210 202 |
| Related Amenities: | installation, and lighting. | rana Birrala advantian alau (| aid auto Tura de Batharra de Natadad | \$1,219,302 |
| Duaguaga | Discounted Transit Passes for each unit for three | years; bicycle education classes for re | esidents; iransit Pathways workshops to | ć120.004 |
| Programs: | prepare jobseekers for BART jobs. | | | \$138,991 |

shelters, curb ramps.

Related Amenities:

Programs:

| Project Title | Applicant Organization(s) | City | County | Total AHSC Funds Requested |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Maudelle Miller | | | | |
| Shirek Community | Resources for Community Development | Berkeley | Alameda | \$22,781,553 |
| | Maudelle Miller Shirek Community: New construction | ction of a six-story, 87-unit | affordable housing building with nonprofit space on | |
| Affordable Housing: | the ground floor. | | | \$15,797,796 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | - |
| | Woolsey-Fulton Bike Boulevard Installation and R | Russell Bike Boulevard Cro | ssing Improvements: Class III bike boulevard between | n |
| Sustainable | Ashby BART and Fulton/Dwight intersection, included | ding pedestrian and bicycle | e crossing improvements; BART Rail Cars: Purchase | |
| Transportation | three new BART cars to support increased frequen | cy achieved through train | control modernization; Woolsey-Prince Bicycle | |
| Infrastructure: | Boulevard Connector: Construct two-way cycle tra | ack through Ashby BART pa | arking lot, secure bike parking, and bike share. | \$5,767,070 |
| | • | • • • | Widen sidewalks to provide more passenger waiting | |
| Transportation- | area and install bus shelter and lighting; Ashby BA | | ent: Install illuminated wayfinding signs, station | |
| Related Amenities: | identification pylons, kiosks, and real-time displays | 5. | | \$1,153,414 |
| Programs: | Bicycle education workshops for residents and co | mmunity members; AC Tra | ansit Passes for each unit for three years. | \$63,273 |
| Fruitvale Transit | BRIDGE Housing Corporation, Spanish Speaking U | Inity | | |
| Village Phase IIB | Council of Alameda County, Inc., and City of Oakla | and Oakland | Alameda | \$29,966,039 |
| | Fruitvale Transit Village IIB: 181-unit rental housi | ng development that will i | nclude ground floor commercial space to | |
| Affordable Housing: | accommodate a local non-profit business. | | | \$20,000,000 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | |
| | Fruitvale Alive Gap Closure Project: Install raised of | cycle tracks on Fruitvale Av | ve, widen sidewalks, improve pedestrian crossings, ad | d |
| Sustainable | lighting and landscaped buffers; Increased Capacit | ty on BART service: Purcha | ase four new BART cars to support increased frequenc | cy . |
| Transportation | achieved through train control modernization; BAI | RT station sidewalk impro | vements: Improve pedestrian path north of Fruitvale | |
| Infrastructure: | BART station including a dedicated path for cyclists | s, wider pedestrian pathwa | ay, new lighting and landscaping. | \$8,000,000 |
| Transportation- | Fruitvale Alive Gap Closure TRA: Bus stop improve | ements including lighting, i | urban greening, signage, underpass improvements, bu | ıs |

Discounted BART Clipper Card for each unit for three years; Pedestrian and bicycle safety education programs.

\$1,500,000

\$466,039

| | | | | Total AHSC Funds |
|---------------------|--|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Title | Applicant Organization(s) | City | County | Requested |
| | Mandela Station LLC, MacFarlane Development | | | |
| | Corporation, LLC, City of Oakland, and Strategic | | | |
| Mandela Station | Development Alliance LLC | Oakland | Alameda | \$29,659,310 |
| | Mandela Station: Community centered 240-unit | | | |
| Affordable Housing: | Replaces one of the West Oakland BART parking | lots. | | \$20,500,000 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | - |
| | | | cy achieved through train control modernization; 18 th | |
| Sustainable | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | ibility crosswalks, sidewalk reconstruction, and ADA | |
| Transportation | • • • | ear feet of new pedestrian w | alkway along the Mandela Parkway between 7 th and | 4 |
| Infrastructure: | 5 th streets. | | | \$7,500,000 |
| Transportation- | West Oakland Bike Station: Secure bicycle parkir | | cles; Wayfinding at West Oakland BART Station: | 4 |
| Related Amenities: | design and installation of updated wayfinding sig | nage. | | \$1,500,000 |
| Programs: | Quarterly bicycle safety classes; AC Transit EZ pa | asses for each unit. | | \$159,310 |
| Galindo Terrace | Resources for Community Development | Concord | Contra Costa | \$20,942,930 |
| Affordable Housing: | Galindo Terrace: 62-unit affordable housing deve | elopment in the City of Conc | ord. | \$10,074,816 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | Galindo Terrace: Housing-related infrastructure e | expenses associated with the | e development of the Galindo Terrace housing project. | \$2,450,000 |
| Sustainable | Downtown Corridors Bicycle and Pedestrian Imp | provement Project: Design a | and construct bicycle and pedestrian safety | |
| Transportation | improvements on three corridors connecting to [| Downtown Concord; Increas | ed capacity on BART service: Purchase three new | |
| Infrastructure: | BART cars to support increased frequency achieve | ed through train control mo | dernization. | \$7,134,194 |
| Transportation- | Concord BART Station Signage and Wayfinding: | Install updated signage and | wayfinding at the concourse level that supports the | |
| Related Amenities: | reconfiguration of the paid area and new elevato | r. | | \$1,100,000 |
| | BART workforce development program to train | residents for BART job oppo | rtunities; County Connect passes for all AHD units for | |
| Programs: | three years. | | | \$183,920 |

| | | | | Total AHSC Funds |
|---------------------|---|--|--|------------------|
| Project Title | Applicant Organization(s) | City | County | Requested |
| | Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Co | orporation, | | |
| 266 4th Street | City of County of San Francisco | San Francisco | San Francisco | \$20,113,667 |
| | 266 4th St: Mixed-use community of 70 affo | rdable rental homes anchored by a pa | ackage of supportive resident amenities and | |
| Affordable Housing: | ground floor commercial space. | | | \$13,579,567 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | - |
| | | • • | ed frequency achieved through train control | |
| Sustainable | • | | ts on Market Street between 5 th and 8 th Sts. | |
| Transportation | including a Class IV bikeway, ADA curb ramp | ps, streetcar access ramps and boardi | ing islands, transit infrastructure and streetscape | |
| Infrastructure: | improvements. | | | \$5,028,417 |
| Transportation- | | ation Related Amenities: Install stree | etscape improvements on Market Street including | |
| Related Amenities: | trees, furniture, and wayfinding. | | | \$1,005,684 |
| | _ | • | shed bicycle and a bike education class; Monthly | |
| Programs: | Muni transit pass for each unit for three ye | ars. | | \$499,999 |
| | The Related Companies of California, LLC, I | Mission | | |
| Balboa Park Upper | Housing Development Corporation, and Cit | | | |
| Yard | County of San Francisco | San Francisco | San Francisco | \$29,952,200 |
| Affordable Housing: | Balboa Park Upper Yard: New 131-unit affo | ordable building for families, including | g ground floor retail and community services. | \$20,000,000 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | - |
| | Purchase of 3 BART Rail cars: Purchase three | ee new BART cars to support increase | d frequency achieved through train control | |
| | modernization; Road closure and conversion | on to ped, bike: Reconfiguration of th | e Balboa Park BART pedestrian pathways and | |
| Sustainable | passenger drop off road to a cul-de-sac with | n entry and exit on San Jose Avenue; I | Mission St. Excelsior Safety Project and 19 th Ave. | |
| Transportation | Rapid Project: Improvements on Mission St | and 19 th Ave., including new traffic s | signals, bulb-outs, sidewalk widening, daylighting, | |
| Infrastructure: | bus stop relocation, bus bulbs, crosswalk, m | nedian island, bikeway gap closure, ar | nd bike boulevard. | \$8,000,000 |
| Transportation- | Installation of station amenities, urban gre | ening and passenger waiting area: R | load closure will be enhanced with lighting, urban | |
| Related Amenities: | greening, seating and other amenities. | | | \$1,500,000 |
| Programs: | Bicis del Pueblo will provide loaner bicycles | 11.11 | | \$452,200 |

| | | | | Total AHSC Funds |
|---------------------|---|------------------------------|---|------------------|
| Project Title | Applicant Organization(s) | City | County | Requested |
| | BRIDGE Housing Corporation, City and County of San | | | |
| Potrero Block B | Francisco | San Francisco | San Francisco | \$29,829,178 |
| Affordable Housing: | Potrero Block B: 157 unit affordable housing development | t replacing 118 units of | existing section 8 public housing. | \$20,000,000 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | - |
| | Cesar Chavez/Bayshore/Potrero Intersection Improveme | | | |
| Sustainable | bikeway between Pennsylvania and Illinois Streets, in both | · · | | |
| Transportation | operations and traffic safety on Folsom and Howard Sts. the | | • | |
| Infrastructure: | management; Walkway/Sidewalk Improvements: 2,524 l | | | \$8,000,000 |
| Transportation- | Minnesota Grove Extension: Extend the Minnesota Grove | e 'street park' along the | e east side of a short stretch of Minnesota Street, | |
| Related Amenities: | between 24th and 25th Streets. | | | \$1,500,000 |
| | Monthly Muni transit pass for all restricted units for 3 year | ars; support a Walking | School Bus for students to walk to school | |
| Programs: | together. | | | \$329,178 |
| | The Related Companies of California, LLC, Mercy | | | |
| Sunnydale HOPE SF | Housing California, and City and County of San | | | |
| Block 3B | Francisco | San Francisco | San Francisco | \$29,287,000 |
| | Sunnydale HOPE SF Block 3B: The AHD project comprises | 92 new affordable unit | s for households between 30% and 60% TCAC AMI | |
| Affordable Housing: | plus approximately 5,100 square feet of ground floor neig | hborhood retail. | | \$20,000,000 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | - |
| Sustainable | Electric Bus Procurement: Procure three 40' battery elect | ric buses for SFMTA e-b | ous pilot program; Visitacion Avenue Corridor Bike | |
| Transportation | & Ped Improvements: Create class IV and Class I bike lane | s connecting Mansell S | treet to Visitacion Valley Middle School, add | |
| Infrastructure: | crossing with flashing beacons and new sidewalk. | | | \$7,322,000 |
| Transportation- | Visitacion Ave. Corridor Transit Related Amenities: Instal | lation of lighting, seatir | ng, planting, wayfinding, and traffic control signs | |
| Related Amenities: | along new paths, sidewalks, and bike lanes. | | | \$1,465,000 |
| | Monthly transit pass provided to residents for 3 years; en | nploy a Transit Deman | d Management (TDM) Coordinator to implement | |
| Programs: | TDM plan. | | | \$500,000 |

| | | | | Total AHSC Funds |
|---------------------|--|---|---|-------------------|
| Project Title | Applicant Organization(s) | City | County | Requested |
| | Mid-Peninsula The Farm, Inc., East Palo Alto | | | |
| | Community Alliance Neighborhood Development | | | |
| 965 Weeks Street | Organization, and City of East Palo Alto | East Palo Alto | San Mateo | \$29,068,250 |
| Affordable Housing: | 965 Weeks Street: 136 unit affordable housing pro | oject. | | \$20,000,000 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | - |
| | Safe Routes to Schools (SRTS) Improvements and | | · | |
| Sustainable | and construct new sidewalks to close SRTS gaps; B | • | • | |
| Transportation | Sam Transit Limited Stop Route – East Palo Alto to | o San Bruno: Acquire three elec | ctric buses to support new SamTrans express | |
| Infrastructure: | route between East Palo Alto and San Bruno. | | | \$7,322,000 |
| | Pedestrian Lighting and Urban Greening Improve | | | |
| Transportation- | Stop Lighting: Provide increased street lighting at 3 | | | |
| Related Amenities: | Site: Provide new street trees, lighting, and furnish | <u> </u> | | \$1,465,000 |
| Programs: | Provide free SamTrans Way2Go transit passes to all resi rides and bikepool, and equipment. | idents for 3 years; Bicycle Ridersni | p and Education Program including education, blke | \$281,250 |
| | riacs and bikepool, and equipment. | | | Ų201,230 |
| Gateway | | | | 4 |
| Apartments | MidPen Housing Corporation | Menlo Park | San Mateo | \$19,523,403 |
| Affordable Housing: | Gateway Apartments: New construction of 140 un | nits of affordable housing in Me | nlo Park. | \$11,903,778 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | - |
| | Willow Road Pedestrian Improvements: Construct | • | | |
| | crosswalks, new pedestrian signals, curb ramps and | | • | |
| Sustainable | Class III Bike Facility: Install Class IV bikeway along | | • | |
| Transportation | SamTrans Limited Stop Route – East Palo Alto to S | San Bruno: Acquire two electric | buses to support new SamTrans express route | 46.400.000 |
| Infrastructure: | between East Palo Alto and San Bruno. | | | \$6,188,900 |
| Transportation- | Bus Shelters: Install 2 new bus shelters on Willow | | • | ć1 07F 0F0 |
| Related Amenities: | greening and streetscape improvements for the ne | | | \$1,075,850 |
| D | Annual Transit Passes for Residents for three year | • • | ement services; Bicycle Ridership and Education | ¢2E4 07E |
| Programs: | Program including education, bike rides and bikepo | ooi, and equipment. | | \$354,875 |

| | | | | Total AHSC Funds |
|---------------------|---|---|--|------------------|
| Project Title | Applicant Organization(s) | City | County | Requested |
| Middlefield | | North Fair Oaks | | |
| Junction | Mercy Housing California | (unincorporated) | San Mateo | \$22,171,437 |
| Affordable Housing: | Middlefield Junction: 179 unit affordable | housing for low-income families and form | erly homeless individuals. | \$15,000,000 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | - |
| | | ent Project: Reconfigure Middlefield Road f | • | |
| | | | ghting, and flashing beacons and bulbouts; | |
| | | | m Ave. Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements | |
| Sustainable | | edestrian improvements including curb exte | · | |
| Transportation | • | Limited Stop Route: Acquire two electric b | ouses to support new SamTrans express route | 4 |
| Infrastructure: | between East Palo Alto and San Bruno. | | | \$5,562,440 |
| Transportation- | • | • | es and landscaping, pedestrian lights, street art, | 4 |
| Related Amenities: | public spaces, and stormwater managem | | | \$1,108,997 |
| | | SamTrans Way2Go program; Bicycle Riders | hip and Education Program including | 4 |
| Programs: | education, bike rides and bikepool, and e | quipment. | | \$500,000 |
| | MidPen Housing Corporation, City of Sar | nta Rosa, and | | |
| Roseland Village | SMART | Santa Rosa | Sonoma | \$25,780,623 |
| | Roseland Village Family Apartments: Ne | w construction of 75 units of affordable ho | using in the Roseland neighborhood of Santa | |
| Affordable Housing: | Rosa. | | | \$15,685,007 |
| Housing-Related | | | | |
| Infrastructure: | None | | | - |
| | | <u> </u> | il line 3.17 mi to a new Windsor station. Class 1 | |
| | - | | rail and SMART Santa Rosa Station; Bike and | |
| | | | bikeway along West Street to the Joe Rodota | |
| Sustainable | | vements/New Intersection at key 3 rd St cr | _ | |
| Transportation | • | • | intersection to link two sections of SMART trail, | |
| Infrastructure: | Safe Routes to School and Transit pedest | | | \$8,435,616 |
| | | | amenities (platform shelters, etc.); Enhance | |
| Transportation- | - | mprove passenger amenities at bus stops a | and transit centers on high-frequency corridors | 4 |
| Related Amenities: | including wayfinding. | | | \$1,525,000 |
| _ | | ars; job training and employment placemer | nt services through the Roseland | 6425.000 |
| Programs: | Neighborhood Local Hire Program. | | | \$135,000 |

Agenda Item 2d - Attachment C

Regional Criteria for Prioritizing Applications under the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program (Adopted November 15, 2017 by MTC Commission)

Overview

The Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities (AHSC) final guidelines provide regional agencies an opportunity to advise on AHSC project selection. After an initial screening of applications for Plan Bay Area 2040 supportive elements, MTC staff will review applications and make project recommendations to the Commission for approval and transmittal to the Strategic Growth Council (SGC). The role for regional agencies in this process is advisory, meaning that SGC has the ultimate project selection authority.

Regional Bid Target

Based on program results thus far, MTC proposes a regional program target of 40%, and will prioritize applications for up to 60% of the available funding.

Project Prioritization Process

MTC staff proposes to conduct a **project prioritization process** to provide SGC with a set of regional priority projects, based on the following principles. Although these criteria are not "thresholds" that must be achieved, staff will look most favorably on applications achieving most to all of the following elements, which are listed here roughly in rank order of importance:

- 1. Significant Greenhouse Gas Reduction (GHG). Prioritize projects that demonstrate significant GHG reduction. While the SGC will employ a statewide methodology in the final applications for quantifying GHG benefits, MTC staff also reserves the right to conduct additional GHG analysis as needed using a regional methodology.
- 2. Support Plan Bay Area 2040's Focused Growth Investment Strategies. Develop priorities for each of the three project area types: Transit Oriented Development (TOD), Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP), and Rural Innovation Project Area (RIPA). Prioritize projects including affordable housing developments. Where applicable, prioritize ready-to go projects within Priority Development Areas (PDAs) in high growth jurisdictions and corridors that provide access to jobs and services. Prioritize projects providing both a greater share and total number of affordable units, while also considering unit size, to address concerns about community stability and displacement. When applicable, also prioritize projects that provide funds for active Transit Oriented Affordable Housing (TOAH) projects, all of which have a strong nexus to transit and PDAs and have ownership of land for development. Projects that meet the criteria for TOAH and are at the same state of readiness will also be considered favorably.

Agenda Item 2d - Attachment C

- 3. Level of Housing Affordability. For proposals including an affordable housing development as a capital project, prioritize projects in a manner consistent with the Strategic Growth Council's AHSC scoring criteria, which places the highest priority on rental restricted units for households at lower percentages of Area Median Income.
- **4.** Communities of Concern/Disadvantaged Communities. Prioritize projects located in or providing benefits to the region's Communities of Concern as well as CalEPA's defined Disadvantaged Communities. Prioritize projects that provide affordable housing in High Opportunity Areas.
- 5. Support for the Region's Adopted Transit Priorities. Prioritize projects that support the Commission's adopted transit priorities. These include the Regional Transit Expansion program of projects (Resolution 3434), Plan Bay Area 2040's regional transit funding priorities, projects under the Core Capacity Challenge Grant program, projects that support the implementation of the Transit Sustainability Project, and recommendations of the Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Plan.
- **6.** Funding Leverage/Cost Effectiveness. Prioritize projects leveraging other funding sources for local match, and projects providing greater amounts of housing at lower costs.
- 7. **OBAG Policy.** When applicable, OBAG's policy requirements should be applied to help determine a project's alignment with the SCS. These requirements include adherence with state and regional Complete Streets policies, General Plan Housing Element adoption and certification, and Surplus Land requirement. These policies should be applied based on the jurisdiction of where the project is located (rather than whether the local jurisdiction is listed as co-applicant).

MTC Programming and Allocations Committee
March 11, 2020
Agenda Item 2d - Attachment D
Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program
Round 4
Evaluation Issues and Highlights

- Significant Greenhouse Gas Reduction: The emissions reduction results reported by applicants total over 475,000 metric tons of CO₂ equivalent. Results have not yet been verified by Air Resources Board and are subject to correction. GHG reductions are calculated based on affordable housing development, provision of transit passes or bike share to residents, amount of new bicycle facilities or walkways, new or expanded transit service, and solar energy generation. Several proposed housing developments are located near existing, high capacity rail stations (including BART and Muni stations) and have the opportunity to reduce vehicle miles traveled and increase opportunity in a way that is not fully captured in the program's greenhouse gas reduction analysis. These transit stations are noted in the right-most column of Attachment A, "Transit Station Emphasis".
- Supporting Plan Bay Area's Focused Growth Investment Strategies: All but two projects provide affordable housing within Priority Development Areas (PDAs). MTC's regional criteria states that additional priority may be given to projects providing a higher total number of affordable units, including consideration of unit size this is displayed through staff's calculation of a "housing score" that averages the number of affordable units for a project and the number of bedrooms in those units. This metric and a further calculation comparing housing score to AHSC funds requested, in keeping with the region's criteria to prioritize projects providing greater amounts of housing at lower costs, are shown in Attachment A.
- Level of Housing Affordability: In general, the region's applications would increase the accessibility and affordability of housing. In total, Bay Area projects would help construct 1,614 units of restricted affordable housing, at an average of 47% of Area Median Income. The units range from studios to four-bedroom units. All are rental units.
- Communities of Concern/Disadvantaged Communities: The program has a 50% set-aside for projects located in and benefitting Disadvantaged Communities. Four Bay Area projects totaling 31% of the region's funding request are located in Disadvantaged Communities, while all applications benefit Communities of Concern through the location of affordable housing development, transportation improvements, or both.
- Support for the Region's Adopted Transit Priorities: In general, the region's applications support the region's priorities (Regional Transit Expansion program of projects, Plan Bay Area's Next Generation Transit program, etc.)
- Funding Leverage/Cost Effectiveness: Funding leverage for this program is calculated by dividing the total non-AHSC project costs (as submitted by the applicant) by the AHSC request amount. Applications in the region have a funding leverage range of 211%-630%. Cost effectiveness may be considered by assessing the amount of AHSC dollars requested compared to the "housing score" (calculated as the average of affordable units and number of bedrooms).
- *OBAG Policy*: All projects are located in jurisdictions that adhere with state and regional Complete Streets policies, General Plan Housing Element adoption and certification, and Surplus Land requirement.