Metropolitan Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments Joint MTC Legislation Committee and ABAG Legislation Committee

July 12, 2019 Agenda Item 5b

SB 330 (Skinner): Housing Crisis Act of 2019

Subject:

SB 330 aims to accelerate new housing construction by speeding up project approvals; prohibiting downzoning in high-rent, low-vacancy areas; and providing project proponents with a higher degree of certainty as to the rules and standards that apply when submitting a preliminary application for a housing development.

Background:

MTC and ABAG took a "Seek Amendments" position on SB 330 in May. The bill has since been substantially amended. The following amendments to the bill address our concerns:

- Removal of provisions that would have limited a city's ability to apply parking minimums;
- Removal of the provision that would have frozen impact fees at January 1, 2018 levels;
- Removal of provisions that would have hampered voters' ability to set supermajority requirements or to require a vote of the people before certain land use changes are made; and
- Removal of the "look back" provision on allowable densities to allow a developer to build at densities in effect "prior to" January 1, 2018.

Other substantial amendments made since our May action include:

- Elimination of a provision that would have allowed residents to remain in "substandard buildings" that didn't meet state building code as long as the code violations were not "health and safety" related;
- Reduced duration of the bill's provisions to just five years (through 2025) rather than 2030;
- Aligns the new requirements related to housing applications with the existing Permit Streamlining Act (1970), rather than creating new duplicative process.

Discussion:

Staff continues to find SB 330's provisions related to streamlining zoning-compliant projects reasonable and likely to speed up the construction of new housing in highrent, low-vacancy jurisdictions throughout the Bay Area. Eight Bay Area cities do not meet the SB 330 high-rent, low vacancy criteria and would therefore be exempted from the bill, as detailed in Attachment A. Many of the concerns raised by MTC and ABAG as well as the Housing Legislative Working Group have been addressed through the amendments to the bill, such as restrictions on imposing minimum parking requirements (struck); requirement that a project be approved within 12 months (struck); cap on impact fees (struck); and the allowance for a developer to build at densities that had been allowed *prior to* January 1, 2018 (struck); and a provision related to occupied substandard buildings (struck).

Project Approval Acceleration

Given the amendments made to the bill, it is now focused on providing developers with greater certainty as to what is required when proposing a new housing development in a given jurisdiction and speeding up the overall project review process. Specifically, SB 330 establishes new criteria applicable to determining when a housing development project proponent has submitted a "preliminary application." The bill has been amended to require that each local agency compile its own checklist

and application form that applicants for housing development projects can use to submit a preliminary application but also requires the Department of Housing and Community Development to adopt a standardized form for this purpose if a local agency hasn't adopted its own form. This change is responsive to concerns we heard at the Housing Legislative Working Group: Don't mandate that cities use a state form; let them develop the form but rely on HCD if they need to do so. Importantly, the bill includes a list of 13 general categories of information that shall be included in the checklist for a preliminary application to be deemed complete and prohibits a jurisdiction from requiring additional items.

The bill continues to require that a project may not be subject to new ordinances, rules or fees after a preliminary application is submitted except under certain circumstances, such as when necessary for health and safety, to mitigate a project under the California Environmental Quality Act, or the project has not begun construction within three years of receiving final approval. If a project complies with existing zoning and the general plan, the bill provides that a local government may not: (1) require more than five hearings or 2) delay a decision about whether or not to issue a permit beyond 12 months, with an extension allowed in certain circumstances.

Prohibit Downzoning in Affected Areas

The bill would prohibit a local agency, or its voters, from (1) adopting any policy that would result in a "less intensive" residential use than what was in effect on January 1, 2018; (2) imposing a moratoria or cap on housing development, unless HCD determines that it is to protect against an immediate health and safety threat for persons residing in or near the area subject to the moratorium or to protect projects identified as existing restricted affordable housing; or (3) imposing or enforcing design standards that are not objective. Importantly, the bill allows for a reduction in residential density if the local agency adjusts zoning elsewhere to ensure no net loss in residential capacity.

Because SB 330 is the most significant "production" related housing policy bill still moving in 2019 and because the major areas of concern expressed by MTC and ABAG in our May action have since been addressed, staff recommends a support position on the bill.

Recommendation:

Support

Bill Positions:

Page 2 of 2

See attached

Attachments:

Attachment A: SB 330 (Skinner) Definitions and Affected Cities and Counties in the

Bay Area

Attachment B: SB 330 (Skinner) Bill Positions **Attachment C:** Preliminary Checklist items

Therese W. McMillan

Theresol Mos

Definitions of Affected Cities and Counties in SB 330 (Skinner)

"Affected city or county" in the bill means a city, or city and certain unincorporated areas of a county, including a charter city, for which the Department of Housing and Community Development determines that the average of both of the following amounts is greater than zero:

- The percentage by which the city's average rate of rent differed from 130 percent of the national median rent in 2017, based on the federal 2013–2017 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates.
- The percentage by which the vacancy rate for residential rental units differed from the national vacancy rate, based on the federal 2013–2017 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates.

Additionally, "affected city" does not include any city that has a population of 5,000 or less and is not located within an urban core "Affected county" means unincorporated portions of a county that are wholly within the boundaries of an urbanized area or urban cluster, as designated by the United States Census Bureau, for which the Department of Housing and Community Development determines that the average of both of the following amounts is greater than zero:

- The percentage by which the average rate of rent for residential uses in the unincorporated portions of the county that are wholly within the boundaries of an urbanized area or urban cluster, as designated by the United States Census Bureau, differed from 130 percent of the national median rent in 2017, based on the federal 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates.
- The percentage by which the vacancy rate for residential rental units in the unincorporated portions of the county that are wholly within the boundaries of an urbanized area or urban cluster, as designated by the United States Census Bureau, differed from the national vacancy rate, based on the federal 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates.

Affected Cities and Counties in the Bay Area

The following cities are NOT considered "affected cities" for purposes of the bill:

- Belvedere, Marin County
- Ross, Marin County
- Yountville, Napa County
- Los Altos Hills, Santa Clara County
- Monte Sereno, Santa Clara County
- City of Brisbane, San Mateo County
- Town of Colma, San Mateo County
- Town of Portola Valley, San Mateo County

All other Bay Area cities are considered affected cities.

Joint MTC Legislation Committee and ABAG Legislation Committee July 12, 2019

Attachment B Agenda Item 5b

SB 330 (Skinner) Bill Positions

Support

Bay Area Council

Bridge Housing Corporation

Building Industry Association of the Bay

Area

California Apartment Association California Association of Realtors

California Building Industry Association

California Chamber of Commerce California Community Builders

California-Hawaii State Conference of the

NAACP

California YIMBY

Chan Zuckerberg Initiative East Bay for Everyone East Bay Leadership Council

Eden Housing Emerald Fund

Enterprise Community Partners

Facebook

Hamilton Families

Local Government Commission

Martin Luther King Jr. Freedom Center

MidPen Housing Corporation

Non-Profit Housing Association of Northern

California

North Bay Leadership Council Oakland Metropolitan Chamber of

Commerce

Orange County Business Council

PICO California Related California

The San Francisco Foundation

San Francisco Housing Action Coalition

Santa Cruz YIMBY Silicon Valley At Home Silicon Valley foundation

SPUR

Terner Center for Housing Innovation

TMG Partners

Urban Displacement Project, UC-Berkeley

Working Partnerships USA

Oppose

AIDS Healthcare Foundation

Association of California Cities - Orange

County

Boyle Heights Community Partners

Cities Association Of Santa Clara County

City of Bellflower
City of Beverly Hills
City of Burbank
City of Camarillo
City of Cloverdale
City of Clovis
City of Cupertino

City of Downey
City of Garden Grove
City of Glendale
City of La Mirada

City of Laguna Hills City of Los Alamitos City of Mountain View

City of Novato City of Orinda City of Paramount City of Pasadena

City of Rancho Cucamonga

City of San Carlos City of San Dimas City of San Marcos City of Solana Beach City of Thousand Oaks

City of Torrance City of Tulare City of Ventura City of Vista

Coalition for Economic Survival

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

Coalition for Valley Neighborhoods

Coalition to Preserve LA Cultural Action Network

Dolores Heights Improvement Club East Mission Improvement Association

Environmental Defense Center Grayburn Avenue Block Club Individuals Opposed to SB 330

Joint MTC Legislation Committee and ABAG Legislation Committee July 12, 2019

Attachment B Agenda Item 5b

Oppose, cont'd.

Jorge Castaneda
Keep Sunnyvale Beautiful
League of California Cities
Livable California
Los Angeles County Division, League of
California Cities
Marin County Council of Mayors and
Council Members
Paul Koretz, Councilmember, City of Los
Angeles

San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments Save our Heritage Organization Solano County Board of Supervisors South Bay Cities Council of Governments Spaulding Square Neighborhood Association Sustainable TamAlmonte Town of Colma Ventura Council of Governments Individuals - 96

Oppose Unless Amended

California State Association of Counties City of Morgan Hill Urban Counties of California

SB 330 (Skinner) Preliminary Application Checklist

Section 65941.1 (a) specifies the following information shall be included in a preliminary application for it to have been deemed submitted:

- (1) The specific location, including parcel numbers, a legal description, and site address, if applicable.
- (2) The existing uses on the project site and identification of major physical alterations to the property on which the project is to be located.
- (3) A site plan showing the location on the property, elevations showing design, color, and material, and the massing, height, and approximate square footage, of each building that is to be occupied.
- (4) The proposed land uses by number of units and square feet of residential and nonresidential development using the categories in the applicable zoning ordinance.
- (5) The proposed number of parking spaces.
- (6) Any proposed point sources of air or water pollutants.
- (7) Any species of special concern known to occur on the property.
- (8) Any portion of the property located within any of the following:
- (A) A very high fire hazard severity zone, as determined by the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection pursuant to Section 51178.
- (B) Wetlands, as defined in the United States Fish and Wildlife Service Manual, Part 660 FW 2 (June 21, 1993).
- (C) A hazardous waste site that is listed pursuant to Section 65962.5 or a hazardous waste site designated by the Department of Toxic Substances Control pursuant to Section 25356 of the Health and Safety Code.
- (D) A special flood hazard area subject to inundation by the 1 percent annual chance flood (100-year flood) as determined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in any official maps published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency.
- (E) A delineated earthquake fault zone as determined by the State Geologist in any official maps published by the State Geologist, unless the development complies with applicable seismic protection building code standards adopted by the California Building Standards Commission under the California Building Standards Law (Part 2.5 (commencing with Section 18901) of Division 13 of the Health and Safety Code), and by any local building department under Chapter 12.2 (commencing with Section 8875) of Division 1 of Title 2.
- (9) Any historic or cultural resources known to exist on the property.
- (10) The number of proposed below market rate units and their affordability levels.
- (11) The number of bonus units and any incentives, concessions, waivers, or parking reductions requested pursuant to Section 65915.
- (12) Whether any approvals under the Subdivision Map Act, including, but not limited to, a parcel map, a tentative map, or a condominium map, are being requested.
- (13) The applicant's contact information and, if the applicant does not own the property, consent from the property owner to submit the application.