

**Organizing Principles for Reviewing Housing Legislation**

(Updated per discussion on April 11, 2019; changes highlighted and in italics)

1. Funding: More funding is needed. Does the bill provide more funding to help address the housing crisis related to one or more of the 3Ps of protection, production and preservation?
2. Production: More housing is needed across the affordability spectrum. Does the bill propose policy changes that are expected/intended to increase affordable and market rate housing production?
3. Protection: Does the bill propose ways to reduce displacement pressure on vulnerable Bay Area residents?
4. Flexibility: Our communities are unique. Does the bill account for differences across communities?
5. Jobs/Housing Balance: Does the bill help reduce jobs/housing imbalances across the region and account for different degrees of imbalance, and allow people to live closer to their jobs?
6. Reward Best Practices: Some communities have made great strides in production, preservation, and protection. Does the bill recognize prior actions taken locally consistent with intent of the bill to address the housing crisis?
7. Financial Impact: Are there potential financial impacts or other unintended consequences on local jurisdictions and/or taxpayers?
8. Transportation & Infrastructure Impacts: Does the bill address transportation or other infrastructure impacts (*e.g. schools, water, parks*) resulting from increased housing?
9. Parallel Policy Mandate: Does the bill support other state policies/priorities (e.g. GHG reduction/SB375)
10. Resilience: Does the bill improve resilience in local communities *with respect to sea level rise, earthquakes, fire, flooding, etc.?*