

**Metropolitan Transportation Commission  
Programming and Allocations Committee**

September 14, 2022

Agenda Item 2d - 22-1291

**MTC Resolutions No. 4035, Revised and 4202, Revised**

---

**Subject:**

Revisions to the One Bay Area Grant programs (OBAG 1 and 2), including extending obligation deadlines for the OBAG 2 County and Safe & Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike Programs.

**Background:**

The OBAG 1 and 2 programs adopted by the Commission establish the commitments and policies for investing Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) funds for regional and county programs from FY 2012-13 through FY 2021-22.

This month, staff recommend the following revisions to the OBAG 1 and OBAG 2 programs:

**1) Transit Performance Initiatives (TPI) Programs:**

Redirect \$1.3 million for SolanoExpress bus purchases from the City of Fairfield, which operates Fairfield and Suisun Transit (FAST), to SolTrans within the following OBAG 1 programs:

- \$333,719 from the TPI Incentive Program, and
- \$1,000,000 from the TPI Capital Investment Program.

The Commission previously awarded \$1.3 million in TPI funding to Fairfield for SolanoExpress replacement bus procurements. In fall 2021, the Solano Transportation Authority (STA) Board voted to consolidate SolanoExpress operations under SolTrans, transitioning routes previously operated by FAST to SolTrans. Given the associated turnover of SolanoExpress rolling stock procurement and ownership from Fairfield to SolTrans, staff recommends reassigning the \$1.3 million from Fairfield to SolTrans.

These funds were originally programmed to Fairfield as part of an in-county fund swap, wherein Fairfield would use the federal funds for a bus procurement in order to free up non-federal funds for the Fairgrounds Drive Solano Express Bus Stop Improvement project, a TPI eligible project. The transit improvements at the Fairgrounds Drive bus stop have already been completed, but the bicycle and pedestrian elements are pending construction of overall interchange improvements at Fairgrounds Drive and State Route 37. Therefore, this action would also revise the project names to clarify that the local fund swap resulting from the federally-funded bus

procurement will also include an additional TPI-eligible Solano Express Bus Stop Improvement project at West Texas Street.

**2) Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Grant Program:**

Reprogram \$525,000 in project savings and unprogrammed balances to PCA Grant Implementation within the following OBAG 1 and OBAG 2 regional programs:

- \$145,000 in savings from completed OBAG 1 PCA projects, and
- \$380,000 in OBAG 2 unprogrammed balances.

This revision supports PCA Grants implementation and grant program administration.

**3) Project Obligation Deadlines:**

Extend the adopted OBAG 2 project obligation deadlines by one year, as follows:

- From September 30, 2022 to September 30, 2023 for the Safe & Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike Program, and
- From January 31, 2023 to January 31, 2024 for all other OBAG 2 projects and programs.

As of July 31, 2022, project sponsors throughout the region had obligated roughly 80% of available OBAG 2 funding, leaving an additional 20%, or approximately \$200 million, left to obligate in the remaining 6 months of the OBAG 2 cycle.

In August, MTC staff surveyed sponsors with unobligated funds about their project delivery schedules and anticipated ability to meet the current obligation deadlines of January 31, 2023 and September 30, 2022 for the OBAG 2 and Quick-Strike funds, respectively. While the bulk of remaining projects are anticipated to still meet these deadlines, many sponsors cited ongoing staffing shortages, turnover, supply chain delays, and extended project approval processes as common factors in project delays preventing timely obligation of funds. Extending the existing program deadlines by one year acknowledges the challenges that project sponsors have faced in recent years in delivering these regional and local priority projects.

**Issues:**

None.

**Recommendation:**

Refer MTC Resolutions No. 4035, Revised and No. 4202, Revised to the Commission for approval.

**Attachments:**

MTC Resolution No. 4035, Revised, Attachment B-1

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised, Attachments A, A-11, and B-1



---

Therese W. McMillan

Date: May 17, 2012  
W.I.: 1512  
Referred by: Planning  
Revised: 10/24/12-C 11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C  
01/23/13-C 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C  
09/25/13-C 11/20/13-C 12/18/13-C  
01/22/14-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C  
04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C 06/25/14-C  
07/23/14-C 09/24/14-C 12/17/14-C  
03/25/15-C 05/27/15-C 06/24/15-C  
07/22/15-C 09/23/15-C 10/28/15-C  
11/18/15-C 12/16/15-C 01/27/16-C  
02/24/16-C 03/23/16-C 05/25/16-C  
07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 01/25/17-C  
04/26/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C  
07/26/17-C 09/27/17-C 10/25/17-C  
11/15/17-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C  
05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C  
09/26/18-C 12/19/18-C 01/23/19-C  
04/24/19-C 09/25/19-C 03/25/20-C  
09/23/20-C 11/20/20-C 07/28/21-C  
09/22/21-C 09/28/22-C

### ABSTRACT

#### Resolution No. 4035, Revised

This resolution adopts the Project Selection Policies and Programming for federal Surface Transportation Authorization Act following the Safe, Accountable, Flexible and Efficient Transportation Equity Act (SAFETEA), and any extensions of SAFETEA in the interim. The Project Selection Policies contain the project categories that are to be funded with various fund sources including federal surface transportation act funding available to MTC for its programming discretion to be included in the federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The resolution includes the following attachments:

- Attachment A – Project Selection Policies
- Attachment B-1 – Regional Program Project List
- Attachment B-2 – OneBayArea Grant (OBAG 1) Project List

Attachment A (page 13) was revised on October 24, 2012 to update the PDA Investment & Growth Strategy (Appendix A-6) and to update county OBAG fund distributions using the most current RHNA data (Appendix A-1 and Appendix A-4). The Commission also directed \$20 million of the \$40 million in the regional PDA Implementation program to eight CMAs and the San Francisco Planning Department for local PDA planning implementation. Attachment B-1 and B-2 were revised to add new projects selected by the Solano Transportation Authority and Santa Clara Valley Transportation

Authority and to add projects under the Freeway Performance Initiative and to reflect the redirection of the \$20 million in PDA planning implementation funds.

Attachment A (pages 8, 9 and 13) was revised on November 28, 2012 to confirm and clarify the actions on October 24, 2012 with respect to the County PDA Planning Program.

Attachment A (page 12) was revised on December 19, 2012 to provide an extension for the Complete Streets policy requirement. Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to add new projects selected by the Solano Transportation Authority, Sonoma County Transportation Authority and Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority; add funding for CMA Planning activities; and to shift funding between two San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency projects under the Transit Performance Initiatives Program.

Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised on January 23, 2013 to add new projects selected by various Congestion Management Agencies and to add new projects selected by the Commission in the Transit Rehabilitation Program.

As referred by the Programming and Allocations Committee, Attachment B-1 and Appendix A-2 were revised on February 27, 2013 to add Regional Safe Routes to School programs for Alameda and San Mateo counties, and to reflect previous Commission actions pertaining to the Transit Capital Rehabilitation Program, and to reflect earlier Commission approvals of fund augmentations to the county congestion management agencies for regional planning activities. As referred by the Planning Committee, Attachments A and B-1 were revised to reflect Commission approval of the regional Priority Development Area (PDA) Planning and Implementation program and Priority Conservation Area (PCA) program.

As referred by the Programming and Allocations Committee, Attachments B-1 and B-2 and Appendix A-2 to Attachment A were revised on May 22, 2013 to shift funding between components of the Freeway Performance Initiative Program with no change in total funding; and split the FSP/Incident Management project into the Incident Management Program and FSP/Callbox Program with no change in total funding; and redirect funding from ACE fare collection equipment to ACE positive train control; and add new OBAG projects selected by the Contra Costa Transportation Authority, Napa County Transportation and Planning Agency, City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo (CCAG), and the Solano Transportation Authority, including OBAG augmentation for CCAG Planning activities.

Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised on September 25, 2013 to add new projects selected by various Congestion Management Agencies in the OneBayArea Grant, Regional Safe Routes to School, and Priority Conservation Area Programs.

Attachment A, Attachments B-1 and B-2 and Appendix A-2 to Attachment A were revised on November 20, 2013 to add new projects and make grant amount changes as directed by various Congestion Management Agencies in the OneBayArea Grant Program. Also the deadline for jurisdictions' adoption of general plans meeting the latest RHNA was updated to reflect the later than scheduled adoption of Plan Bay Area.

Attachment B-1 to the resolution was revised on December 18, 2013 to add an FPI project for environmental studies for the I-280/Winchester I/C modification.

Attachment B-2 was revised on January 22, 2014 to adjust project grant amounts as directed by various Congestion Management Agencies in the OneBayArea Grant Program, including changes as a result of the 2014 RTIP.

Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised on February 26, 2014 to add six OBAG projects selected by the CMA's, make adjustments between two Santa Clara OBAG projects, and add three PDA Planning Program projects in Sonoma County.

Attachment B-1 was revised on March 26, 2014 to add 15 projects to the Transit Performance Initiative Program and 3 projects in Marin County to the North Bay Priority Conservation Area Program.

On April 23, 2014, Attachment B-1 was revised to add 13 projects to the Priority Conservation Grant Program, revise the grant amount for the BART Car Exchange Preventative Maintenance Project in the Transit Capital Rehabilitation Program, and add three projects to the Climate Initiatives Program totaling \$14,000,000.

As referred by the Planning Committee, Attachment B-1 was revised on May 28, 2014 to reflect Commission approval of the selection of projects for the PDA Planning Technical Assistance and PDA Staffing Assistance Programs.

As referred by the Programming and Allocations Committee, Attachment A and Attachment B-2 were revised on May 28, 2014 to change the program delivery deadline from March 31, 2016 to January 31,

2017, and to adjust two projects as requested by Congestion Management Agencies in the OneBayArea Grant Program.

On June 25, 2014, Attachment B-1 was revised to add an additional \$500,000 to the Breuner Marsh Project in the regional PCA Program and to identify a transportation exchange project (Silverado Trail Phase G) for the Soscol Headwaters Preserve Acquisition in the North Bay PCA Program, and to Redirect \$2,500,000 from Ramp Metering and Traffic Operations System (TOS) elements to the Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS), within the Freeway Performance Initiatives (FPI) Program.

On July 23, 2014, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$22.0 million from the Cycles 1 & 2 Freeway Performance Initiatives (FPI) Programs and \$5 million from other projects and savings to the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent System.

On September 24, 2014, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to add 5 projects totaling \$19M to the Transit Performance Initiative Program (TPI), to shift funding within the Freeway Performance Initiative Program; to add a project for \$4 million for SFMTA for priority identified TPI funding; to provide an additional \$500,000 to the Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI); and to amend programming for two projects in Santa Clara County: San Jose's The Alameda "Beautiful Way" Phase 2 project, and Palo Alto's US-101/Adobe Creek Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge project.

On December 17, 2014, Attachments A, B-1, and B-2 and Appendices A-1 and A-2 to Attachment A were revised to add a fifth year – FY 2016-17 - to the Cycle 2/OBAG 1 program to address the overall funding shortfall and provide additional programming in FY 2016-17 to maintain on-going commitments in FY 2016-17; make adjustments within the Freeway Performance Initiatives Program; rescind the Brentwood Wallace Ranch Easement Acquisition from the Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program reducing the PCA program from \$5 million to \$4.5 million and use this funding to help with the FY 17 shortfall; identify two Santa Clara Local Priority Development Area Planning Program projects totaling \$740,305 to be included within MTC's Regional Priority Development Area Program grants; make revisions to local OBAG compliance policies for complete streets and housing as they pertain to jurisdictions' general plans update deadlines; add five car sharing projects totaling \$2,000,000 under the climate initiatives program; and add the Clipper Fare Collection Back Office Equipment Replacement Project to the Transit Capital Priority Program for \$2,684,772.

On March 25, 2015, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to: add FY 2016-17 regional planning funds to Attachment B-1 per Commission action in December 2014; Redirect \$1.0 million from the ALA-I-

680 Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI) project to Preliminary Engineering (PE) for various FPI corridors and redirect \$270,000 in FPI Right of Way (ROW) savings to the SCL I-680 FPI project to cover an increase in Caltrans support costs; direct funding to the statewide local streets and roads needs assessment; identify specific Priority Development Area (PDA) planning grants in San Mateo County; delete the \$10.2 million Masonic Avenue Complete Streets project and add the SF Light Rail Vehicle Procurement project in San Francisco County; and redirect \$0.5 million from the Capitol Expressway Traffic ITS and Bike/Pedestrian Improvement project to the San Tomas Expressway Box Culvert Rehabilitation project in Santa Clara County.

On May 27, 2015, Attachment B-1 was revised to add Round 3 (\$9,529,829) of the Transit Performance Incentive Program which involves 7 new projects and augmentations to 7 existing projects; and to add the Grand Avenue Bicycle / Pedestrian Improvements Project (\$717,000) in San Rafael to the Safe Routes to School Program, and delete the Bicycle sharing project (\$6,000,000).

On June 24, 2015, Attachment B-1 was revised to identify a \$265,000 Local Priority Development Area Planning Grant for the City of Palo Alto.

On July 22, 2015, Attachments B-1 and Attachment B-2 were revised to redirect \$3,000,000 from the SFMTA N-Judah Mobility Maximization project to the SFMTA Colored Lanes on MTC Rapid Network project within the Transit Performance Initiative program, identify a \$252,000 Safe Routes to Schools grant for San Mateo County, redirect \$2,100,000 in Freeway Performance Initiative funding from the Alameda County I-680 project to the Various Corridors – Caltrans Preliminary Engineering project, delete \$500,000 from the SMART Vehicle Purchase project in Sonoma County (revised from \$6,600,000 to \$6,100,000), and add the SMART Clipper Card Service project in Sonoma County for \$500,000.

On September 23, 2015, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$6,100,000 from the SMART Vehicle Purchase project to the SMART San Rafael to Larkspur Extension project.

On October 28, 2015, Attachment B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$350,000 from Vacaville's Ulatis Creek Bicycle/Pedestrian Pathway and Streetscape project to Vallejo's Downtown Streetscape – Phases 3 and 4 project, and to redirect \$122,249 from Marin Transit's Preventive Maintenance program to the preliminary engineering phase of Marin Transit's Relocate Transit Maintenance Facility project.



On November 18, 2015, Attachment B-1 and Appendix A-3 to Attachment A were revised to increase the program amount for the Safe Routes to School Program by \$2.35 million increasing the FY 2016-17 program amount to \$5.0 million.

On December 16, 2015, Attachment B-1 was revised to add six parking management and transportation demand management projects totaling \$6,000,000 under the Climate Initiatives Program.

On January 27, 2016, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to: add the Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District's Advanced Communications and Information System (ACIS) project for \$2,000,000 under the Transit Capital Rehabilitation program; redirect \$10,000,000 under the Transit Capital Rehabilitation program from SFMTA's New 60' Flyer Trolley Bus Replacement project to SFMTA's New 40' Neoplan Bus Replacement project; and add \$74,000 in grant funding to the City of San Rafael's Grand Avenue Bicycle/Pedestrian Improvements project under the Regional Safe Routes to School program; and redirect \$67,265 from the San Francisco Department of Public Work's ER Taylor Safe Routes to School project to the Chinatown Broadway Complete Streets Phase IV project; and redirect \$298,000 from Menlo Park's Various Streets and Roads Preservation project and \$142,000 from San Bruno's San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian Improvements project to Daly City's John Daly Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements project (\$290,000) and San Carlo's Streetscape and Pedestrian Improvements project (\$150,000); and redirect \$89,980 from Vacaville's Ulatis Creek Bicycle and Pedestrian Path and Streetscape project to Suisun City's Driftwood Drive Path project.

On February 24, 2016, Attachment B-1 and Appendix A-2 were revised to transfer \$75,000 from BCDC Planning to MTC Planning within the Regional Planning Activities program, to enable an equivalent amount of MTC funds to support Bay Area Regional Collaborative Consultant expenses.

On March 23, 2016, Attachment B-1 was revised to transfer \$280,000 from MTC's 511- Traveler Information to MTC's Regional Performance Initiatives Implementation; identify funding for Service Authority for Freeways and Expressways (SAFE) separately from MTC funding (no change in total funding), direct \$1,073,000 to the Alameda County Safe Routes to School Program within the Regional Safe Routes to School Program; and identify three Priority Development Area planning grants in Santa Clara County within the Priority Development Area Planning and Implementation Program.

On May 25, 2016, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$68,228 in cost savings from MTC/VTA's SR 82 Relinquishment Exploration Study to ABAG PDA Planning within the Priority Development Area (PDA) Planning and Implementation Program; redirect \$20.0 million in unobligated balances and

cost savings within the Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI) for Caltrans to direct towards support and capital needs related to the close-out of active ramp metering projects and/or delivery of any outstanding ramp metering projects; transfer \$1,171,461 from Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District's Advanced Communications and Information System (ACIS) to its MS Sonoma Refurbishment project; and add Round 4 (\$23,457,614) of the Transit Performance Initiative (TPI) Incentive Program, which involves 14 new projects and augmentations to nine existing projects.

On July 27, 2016, Attachment B-1 and B-2 were revised to: reflect updated cost savings numbers within the Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI); direct \$360,000 to the San Francisco Department of Public Health's Safe Routes to School Non-Infrastructure Program, direct \$314,000 to the Solano Transportation Authority's Solano County Safe Routes to School Non-Infrastructure Program and redirect \$791,000 from San Rafael's Grand Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements project to Marin County's North Civic Center Drive Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements project within the Regional Safe Routes to School Program; direct \$9 million to AC Transit's Higher Capacity Bus Fleets/Increased Service Frequencies program and \$1 million to MTC's West Grand Avenue Transit Signal Priority project within the Transit Performance Initiative – Capital Investment Program; identify a transportation exchange project (Vineyard Road Improvements) for Novato's Thatcher Ranch Easement and Pacheco Hill Parkland Acquisitions in the North Bay PCA Program; redirect \$52,251 from San Francisco Department of Public Works' (SF DPW) ER Taylor Safe Routes to School project to the Second Street Complete Streets project in the One Bay Area Grant County Program; and update the Second Street Complete Streets project to reflect that it will be implemented by SF DPW.

On December 21, 2016, Attachments B-1 and B-2 and appendices A-1, A-2 and A-4 were revised to: transfer \$100,000 from BCDC Planning to MTC Planning within the Regional Planning Activities program to support Bay Area Regional Collaborative expenses; redirect \$500,000 from MTC/SAFE's Incident Management Program within the Freeway Performance Initiative and \$338,000 from Hayward's Comprehensive Parking Management Plan Implementation project to MTC's Spare the Air Youth Program within the Climate Initiatives program; revise the project title of the Incident Management Program to clarify the focus on I-880 Integrated Corridor Management and direct \$383,000 in program savings for future use; direct \$5,820,000 from the Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation project under the Freeway Performance Initiative program as follows: \$1,100,000 to CCTA's San Pablo Dam Road project to facilitate an exchange of an equivalent amount of local funds to support MTC's Bay Bridge Forward Commuter Parking Initiative, \$1,100,000 to CCTA's SR 4 Operational Improvements, and \$3,620,000 for MTC's Bay Bridge Forward Commuter Parking Initiative - Related Activities project; repurpose \$10,000,000 in Transit Oriented Affordable

Housing (TOAH) loan funds to a new Affordable Housing Jumpstart Program; transfer \$40,000 from San Anselmo's Sunny Hill Ridge and Red Hills Trail project to Mill Valley's Bayfront Park Recreational Bay Access project within the North Bay Priority Conservation Area (PCA) program; transfer \$100,000 from Emeryville's Hollis Street Preservation project to Berkeley's Hearst Avenue Complete Streets project within the County Program; and transfer \$14,000 from MTC's Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation to Caltrans' to reflect actual obligations for their Ramp Metering and TOS Elements Program within the Freeway Performance Initiative. Appendices A-1, A-2 and A-4 were revised to reflect programming actions taken by the Commission with this action or in prior actions pertaining to the overall funding levels for Climate Initiatives, Safe Routes to School, Transit Capital Priorities, and Transit Performance Initiative programs within the Regional Program and the final amounts distributed to each county through the County Program.

On January 25, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to add Round 3 of the Transit Performance Initiative (TPI) Capital Investment Program, which involves five new projects; the programming for these projects is derived from \$14,962,000 in unprogrammed balances and \$3,991,000 redirected from Round 2 TPI projects, for a total of \$18,953,000.

On April 26, 2017, Attachment B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$345,000 in Regional Safe Routes to School Program funding and redirect \$150,000 from Cloverdale's Safe Routes to School Phase 2 project in Sonoma County Program funding to the Sonoma County Safe Routes to School Program; reprogram \$859,506 within the Transit Performance Initiatives (TPI) – Incentive Program, and \$1,118,681 within Round 3 of the TPI – Investment Program.

On May 24, 2017, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$3,440,000 from Sunnyvale's East & West Channel Multi-Use Trail to Milpitas' Montague Expressway Pedestrian Bridge at Milpitas BART; reprogram \$223,065 from Duane Avenue Preservation to Maude Avenue Bikeway and Streetscape within Sunnyvale; reprogram \$550,928 from San Tomas Expressway Box Culvert Rehabilitation to the Capitol Expressway Traffic ITS and Bike/Pedestrian Improvements within Santa Clara County; and re-name San Jose's Downtown San Jose Bike Lanes and De-couplet to Almaden Ave. & Vine St. Safety Improvements to reflect a revised scope.

On June 28, 2017, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$265,000 from Palo Alto Local PDA Planning to VTA for Local PDA Planning – Santa Clara within the Regional PDA Planning Program; redirect \$412,000 in cost savings from Fremont's Various Streets and Roads Preservation to Fremont's City Center Multi-Modal Improvements within the Alameda County Program; revise the

name of the Sonoma County Safe Routes to School (SRTS) project to clarify that the funds are supplemental to the OBAG County Program base SRTS funds; and redirect \$264,000 in cost savings from the Santa Rosa Complete Streets Road Diet on Transit Corridors project and \$100,000 from the Sonoma County SRTS to an unprogrammed balance for the Sonoma County Program.

On July 26, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$2,322,000 in unprogrammed balances within the Transit Performance Initiative (TPI) Capital Investment Program, for four new North Bay projects.

On September 27, 2017, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$94,000 in cost savings from Dixon's West A Street Preservation to Solano County's Redwood-Fairgrounds Drive Interchange Bike/Transit Improvements within the Solano County Program.

On October 25, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$44,000 from Caltrain's Map-Based Real-Time Train Display to its Control Point Installation project and redirect \$96,000 from Napa Valley Transportation Authority's Comprehensive Operational Analysis to its Imola Avenue and SR 29 Express Bus Improvements project within the Transit Performance Initiative – Incentive Program; and program \$73 in remaining program balances to the NVRTA Imola Avenue and SR-29 Express Bus Improvements Project within the Transit Performance Initiative – Investment Program.

On November 15, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$105,000 in Regional Safe Routes to School (SRTS) to Napa Valley Transportation Authority for Napa County's SRTS Program, \$225,000 to San Mateo County Office of Education for San Mateo County's SRTS Program, and \$1,000,000 to Los Altos for the Miramonte Ave Bicycle and Pedestrian Access Improvements within Santa Clara County; and to redirect \$783,000 in the Climate Initiatives Program from Walnut Creek's Parking Guidance System Pilot to the N Main St Rehabilitation project as part of a funding exchange arrangement.

On February 28, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$607,000 to Moraga's Moraga Way and Canyon Rd/Camino Pablo Improvements project and \$215,000 to Concord's Willow Pass Repaving and Safe Routes to School (SRTS) project within the Regional SRTS program; program \$364,000 to Santa Rosa's US 101 Bike/Pedestrian Overcrossing project within the Sonoma County Program; and reprogram the SFPark to Cycle 1 and clarify exchange projects within the program.

On March 28, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to reduce the amount programmed within the Regional Climate Initiatives Program to the Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) Car Share4All project to \$573,453 to reflect a change in scope; redirect \$630,000 in project savings from the NextGen

Arterial Operations Program (AOP), a subcomponent of the Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS), to the AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time Improvements project; and to identify Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) as the sponsor of the Montague Expressway Pedestrian Overcrossing at Milpitas BART.

On May 23, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$20,587 from Union City's Single Point Login Terminals on Revenue Vehicles to its South Alameda County Major Corridor Travel Time Improvements project within the Transit Performance Initiative program; and reflect the redirection of \$4,350,000 in Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) funds from Palo Alto's US 101/Adobe Creek Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge to San Jose's West San Carlos Urban Village Streetscape Improvements project within Santa Clara County's OBAG 1 County Program.

On June 27, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$820,000 from MTC's Bay Bridge Forward Commuter Parking Initiatives Related Activities project to CCTA's I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements; \$636,763 from ECCTA's Replacement of Eleven 40' Buses project to the Clipper® Next Generation Fare Collection System project within the Transit Capital Rehabilitation Program; and to program \$400,411 in unprogrammed balances within the Climate Initiatives Program to MTC's Carsharing Implementation project.

On July 25, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$150,000 from Oakland's Transportation Impact Review Streamlining Technical Assistance grant within the Regional PDA Planning Grant program, with \$65,000 directed to Rohnert Park's Central Rohnert Park PDA/Creekside Neighborhood Subarea Connector Path Technical Assistance grant, and \$85,000 directed to Windsor's PDA Planning and Implementation Staffing Assistance grant.

On September 26, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$1,000,000 from Los Altos' Miramonte Ave Bicycle and Pedestrian Access Improvements project and \$346,000 in Santa Clara County's Safe Routes to School program (SRTS) unprogrammed balances to Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements project within the Regional SRTS program; redirect \$794,000 from Santa Clara County's Capitol Expressway Traffic Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) and Bike/Pedestrian Improvements project to Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements project within the Santa Clara County Program; direct \$2,332,747 from Caltrain's Control Point Installation project to its Positive Train Control project within the Transportation Performance Initiative (TPI) Incentive program; and direct \$500,000 within the TPI Investment program

from Novato's Downtown SMART Station project to Novato Pavement Rehabilitation as part of a local funding exchange to support the Downtown SMART Station project.

On December 19, 2018, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$794,000 from Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements project to Sunnyvale's Peery Park Sense of Place Improvements within the Santa Clara County Program.

On January 23, 2019, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$500,000 from Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority's (VTA's) Santa Clara Pocket Track Light Rail Interlocking to VTA's Light Rail Crossovers and Switches project within the Transit Performance Initiative Capital Investments Program.

On April 24, 2019, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$1,600,000 from AC Transit's Bay Bridge Forward (BBF) Higher Capacity Bus Fleets and Increased Service Frequencies project to its Double Decker Bus Wash project within the Transit Performance Initiative (TPI) Investment Program.

On September 25, 2019, Attachment B-1 was revised to change the sponsor of the I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements project from the Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) to the City of Richmond.

On March 25, 2020, Attachment B-1 was revised to reflect the redirection of \$25,000 from the Bay Bridge Forward Commuter Parking Initiatives to the Fruitvale Quick Build project within the exchange program.

On September 23, 2020, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to reflect actual obligations on various Caltrans' Ramp Metering and Traffic Operating System (TOS) Elements projects within the Freeway Performance Initiative and to redirect \$310,804 in project savings from San Jose's Citywide Safe Routes to School Infrastructure Program to Campbell's Harriet Ave Sidewalk Project within the Santa Clara County Program.

On November 20, 2020, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$817,297 from ECCTA's Non-ADA Paratransit to Fixed-Route Program to ECCTA's Hydrogen Fueling Maintenance Infrastructure Upgrade project within the Transit Performance Initiative Incentive Program; and redirect \$241,868 in project savings from San Jose's Better Bikeway project to Campbell's Harriet Ave Sidewalk Improvements project within the Santa Clara County Program.

On July 28, 2021, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$1,475,000 in project close-out savings from the Hercules Transit Center to the City of Danville's San Ramon Valley Blvd. Improvements project (in lieu of the Diablo Road Trail which is receiving non-federal funds from CCTA as part of an exchange, and was nominated for the recent regional safe and seamless quick strike program but did not make the final funding cut) within the Contra Costa County Program.

On September 22, 2021, Attachment B-1 was revised to change the name of MTC's Carsharing Implementation project to the Carsharing/Mobility Hubs Implementation project; and to redirect \$225,411 from MTC's Carsharing/Mobility Hubs Implementation project to BART's MacArthur BART Station Mobility Hubs Pilot Program project within the Climate Initiatives program.

On September 28, 2022, Attachment B-1 was revised to reassign two existing awards totaling \$1,333,719 from the City of Fairfield to Solano County Transit (SolTrans) for SolanoExpress bus purchases, including clarifications to the associated local fund swap for bus stop improvements.

Further discussion of the Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policies is contained in the memorandum to the Joint Planning Committee dated May 11, 2012; to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated October 10, 2012; to the Commission dated November 28, 2012; to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated December 12, 2012 and January 9, 2013; to the Joint Planning Committee dated February 8, 2013; to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated February 13, 2013, May 8, 2013, September 11, 2013, November 13, 2013, December 11, 2013, January 8, 2014, February 12, 2014, March 5, 2014, April 9, 2014; to the Planning Committee dated May 9, 2014; to the MTC Programming and Allocations Committee Summary Sheet dated May 14, 2014, June 11, 2014, July 9, 2014, September 10, 2014, December 10, 2014, March 11, 2015, May 13, 2015; to the Administration Committee on May 13, 2015; to the Programming and Allocations Committee on June 10, 2015, July 8, 2015, September 9, 2015, October 14, 2015, November 4, 2015, December 9, 2015, January 13, 2016, February 10, 2016, March 9, 2016, April 13, 2016, May 11, 2016, July 13, 2016, December 14, 2016, January 11, 2017, April 12, 2017, May 10, 2017, June 14, 2017, July 12, 2017, September 13, 2017, October 11, 2017, November 8, 2017, February 14, 2018, March 7, 2018, May 9, 2018, June 13, 2018, July 11, 2018, September 12, 2018, December 12, 2018, January 9, 2019, April 10, 2019, September 4, 2019, March 11, 2020, September 9, 2020, November 4, 2020, and July 14, 2021; to the Joint Planning Committee dated September 10, 2021, and to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated September 14, 2022.

Date: May 17, 2012  
W.I.: 1512  
Referred By: Planning

RE: Federal Cycle 2 Program covering FY 2012-13, FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16:  
Project Selection Policies and Programming

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION  
RESOLUTION NO. 4035

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for the San Francisco Bay Area pursuant to Government Code Section 66500 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area region and is required to prepare and endorse a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) which includes federal funds; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated recipient for federal funding administered by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) assigned to the MPO/RTPA of the San Francisco Bay Area for the programming of projects (regional federal funds); and

WHEREAS, the federal funds assigned to the MPOs/RTPAs for their discretion are subject to availability and must be used within prescribed funding deadlines regardless of project readiness; and

WHEREAS, MTC, in cooperation with the Association of Bay Area Governments, (ABAG), the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), the Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC), California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs), transit operators, counties, cities, and interested stakeholders, has developed criteria, policies and procedures to be used in the selection of projects to be funded with various funding including regional federal funds as set forth in Attachments A, B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution, incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS, using the policies set forth in Attachment A of this Resolution, MTC, in cooperation with the Bay Area Partnership and interested stakeholders, has or will develop a program of projects to be funded with these funds for inclusion in the federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), as set forth in Attachments B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution, incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and



WHEREAS the federal TIP and subsequent TIP amendments and updates are subject to public review and comment; now therefore be it

RESOLVED that MTC approves the "Project Selection Policies and Programming" for projects to be funded with Cycle 2 Program funds as set forth in Attachments A, B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution; and be it further

RESOLVED that the federal funding shall be pooled and redistributed on a regional basis for implementation of Project Selection Criteria, Policies, Procedures and Programming, consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP); and be it further


RESOLVED that the projects will be included in the federal TIP subject to final federal approval; and be it further

RESOLVED that the Executive Director or his designee can make technical adjustments and other non-substantial revisions, including updates to fund distributions to reflect final 2014-2022 FHWA figures; and be it further

RESOLVED that the Executive Director or designee is authorized to revise Attachments B-1 and B-2 as necessary to reflect the programming of projects as the projects are selected and included in the federal TIP; and be it further

RESOLVED that the Executive Director shall make available a copy of this resolution, and such other information as may be required, to the Governor, Caltrans, and to other such agencies as may be appropriate.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Adrienne J. Tissier, Chair

The above resolution was entered into by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at the regular meeting of the Commission held in Oakland, California, on May 17, 2012

**Attachment B-1**

**OBAG 1 Regional Programs  
FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17**

**September 2022**

11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C  
 11/20/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C  
 06/25/14-C 07/23/14-C 09/24/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C  
 05/27/15-C 06/24/15-C 07/22/15-C 10/28/15-C 11/18/15-C 12/16/15-C  
 01/27/16-C 02/24/16-C 03/23/16-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C  
 01/25/17-C 04/26/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C 10/25/17-C 11/15/17-C  
 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C  
 01/23/19-C 04/24/19-C 09/25/19-C 03/25/20-C 09/23/20-C 11/20/20-C  
 02/17/21-C 06/18/21-C

**OBAG 1 Regional Programs Project List**

Project Category and Title	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ	Total Other RTIP/TAP/TFCA	Total OBAG 1
<b>OBAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS</b>				
<b>1. REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES (STP Planning)</b>		<b>\$438,146,000</b>	<b>\$53,080,000</b>	<b>\$492,046,000</b>
ABAG Planning	ABAG	\$3,393,000	\$0	\$3,393,000
BCDC Planning	BCDC	\$1,526,000	\$0	\$1,526,000
MTC Planning	MTC	\$3,568,000	\$0	\$3,568,000
<b>1. REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES (STP Planning)</b>		<b>TOTAL: \$8,487,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$8,487,000</b>
<b>2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO)</b>				
511 - Traveler Information	MTC	\$57,520,000	\$0	\$57,520,000
Clipper® Fare Media Collection	MTC	\$21,400,000	\$0	\$21,400,000
SUBTOTAL		\$78,920,000	\$0	\$78,920,000
Incident Management Program - I-880 Integrated Corridor Management	MTC	\$11,357,000	\$0	\$11,357,000
FSP/Call Box Program	MTC/SAFE	\$14,462,000	\$0	\$14,462,000
SUBTOTAL		\$25,819,000	\$0	\$25,819,000
<b>2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO)</b>		<b>TOTAL: \$104,739,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$104,739,000</b>
<b>3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FPI)</b>				
Regional Performance Initiatives Implementation	SAFE	\$7,750,000	\$0	\$7,750,000
Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation	MTC	\$7,480,000	\$0	\$7,480,000
Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)	MTC	\$8,370,000	\$0	\$8,370,000
PASS - LAVTA Dublin Blvd Transit Performance Initiative	MTC	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
PASS - AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time Imps	MTC	\$1,130,000	\$0	\$1,130,000
I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements	Richmond	\$820,000	\$0	\$820,000
Bay Bridge Forward - Commuter Parking Initiative (Funding Exchange)	MTC	\$0	\$3,875,000	\$3,875,000
Bay Bridge Forward - Fruitvale Quick Build (Funding Exchange)	MTC	\$0	\$25,000	\$25,000
CC-I-80 San Pablo Dam Rd I/C (Funding Exchange)	CCTA	\$1,100,000	\$0	\$1,100,000
SUBTOTAL		\$587,506	\$3,080,000	\$31,050,000
<b>Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - MTC Program</b>				
FPI - ALA SR92 & I-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Decoto Road	Caltrans	\$1,243,506	\$0	\$1,243,506
FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 1	SAFE	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000
FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2	Caltrans	\$6,867,786	\$0	\$6,867,786
FPI - CC SR 4 Operational Improvements	CCTA	\$1,100,000	\$0	\$1,100,000
FPI - MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)	Caltrans	\$402,152	\$0	\$402,152
FPI - Various Corridors Caltrans Preliminary Engineering (PE) and Right of Way (ROW) (Revised)	Caltrans	\$1,241,298	\$0	\$1,241,298
FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations	Caltrans	\$170,000	\$0	\$170,000
FPI - SCL US 101: San Benito County Line to SR 85	Caltrans	\$3,099,206	\$0	\$3,099,206
FPI - SON 101 - MRN Co Line - Men Co Line	MTC	\$350,000	\$0	\$350,000
FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. Line	Caltrans	\$134,052	\$0	\$134,052
Unprogrammed Future RTIP	TBD	\$0	\$34,000,000	\$34,000,000
SUBTOTAL		\$15,358,000	\$34,000,000	\$49,358,000
<b>Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - Caltrans Program</b>				
FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from Caltrans ROW)	Caltrans	\$270,000	\$0	\$270,000
FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)	Caltrans	\$3,417,000	\$0	\$3,417,000
FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)	Caltrans	\$4,686,000	\$0	\$4,686,000
FPI Caltrans - ALA I-580 - SJ Co. Line to I-238	Caltrans	\$4,808,000	\$0	\$4,808,000
FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101	Caltrans	\$6,819,000	\$0	\$6,819,000
SUBTOTAL		\$20,000,000	\$0	\$20,000,000
<b>3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FPI)</b>		<b>TOTAL: \$62,508,000</b>	<b>\$37,080,000</b>	<b>\$100,408,000</b>
<b>4. PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (PMP)</b>				
Pavement Management Program (PMP)	MTC	\$1,547,000	\$0	\$1,547,000
Pavement Technical Advisory Program (PTAP)	MTC	\$7,500,000	\$0	\$7,500,000
Statewide Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Needs Assessment	MTC/Caltrans	\$53,000	\$0	\$53,000
<b>4. PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (PMP)</b>		<b>TOTAL: \$9,100,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$9,100,000</b>
<b>5. PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREA (PDA) PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION</b>				
<b>Regional PDA Implementation</b>				
PDA Planning - ABAG	ABAG	\$2,068,228	\$0	\$2,068,228
SUBTOTAL		\$2,068,228	\$0	\$2,068,228
<b>Affordable Housing Jumpstart Program</b>				
Affordable Housing Jumpstart Program (Funding Exchange)	MTC	\$0	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000
SUBTOTAL		\$0	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000
<b>Local PDA Planning</b>				
Local PDA Planning - Alameda	ACTC	\$3,905,000	\$0	\$3,905,000
Local PDA Planning - Contra Costa	CCTA	\$2,745,000	\$0	\$2,745,000
Local PDA Planning - Marin	TAM	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000
Local PDA Planning - City of Napa	Napa	\$275,000	\$0	\$275,000
Local PDA Planning - American Canyon	American Canyon	\$475,000	\$0	\$475,000
Local PDA Planning - San Francisco	SF City/County	\$2,380,000	\$0	\$2,380,000
Local PDA Planning - San Mateo	SMCCAG	\$218,000	\$0	\$218,000

**Attachment B-1**

**OBAG 1 Regional Programs  
FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17**

**September 2022**

11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C  
 11/20/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C  
 06/25/14-C 07/23/14-C 09/24/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C  
 05/27/15-C 06/24/15-C 07/22/15-C 10/28/15-C 11/18/15-C 12/16/15-C  
 01/27/16-C 02/24/16-C 03/23/16-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C  
 01/25/17-C 04/26/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C 10/25/17-C 11/15/17-C  
 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C  
 01/23/19-C 04/24/19-C 09/25/19-C 03/25/20-C 09/23/20-C 11/20/20-C  
 02/17/21-C 09/17/21-C

**OBAG 1 Regional Programs Project List**

Project Category and Title	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ	Total Other RTIP/TAP/TFCA	Total OBAG 1	
<b>OBAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS</b>					
		<b>\$438,146,000</b>	<b>\$53,080,000</b>	<b>\$492,046,000</b>	
Belmont Village Specific/Implementation Plan	Belmont	\$440,000	\$0	\$440,000	
Millbrae PDA Specific Plan	Millbrae	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	
Redwood City Downtown Sequoia Station and Streetcar Planning Study	Redwood City	\$450,000	\$0	\$450,000	
Mountain View El Camino Real Streetscape Study	Mountain View	\$260,000	\$0	\$260,000	
San Jose Stevens Creek/Santana Row/Winchester Specific Plan	MTC/San Jose	\$640,305	\$0	\$640,305	
Santa Clara El Camino Corridor Precise Plan	MTC/Santa Clara	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000	
North 1st Street Urban Village Plan	San Jose	\$369,962	\$0	\$369,962	
Berryessa BART Urban Village Plan	San Jose	\$331,630	\$0	\$331,630	
Local PDA Planning - Santa Clara	VTA	\$3,647,103	\$0	\$3,647,103	
Local PDA Planning - Solano	STA	\$1,066,000	\$0	\$1,066,000	
Santa Rosa - Roseland/Sebastopol Road PDA Planning	Santa Rosa	\$647,000	\$0	\$647,000	
Sonoma County - Sonoma Springs Area Plan	Sonoma County	\$450,000	\$0	\$450,000	
Sonoma County - Airport Employment Center Planning	Sonoma County	\$350,000	\$0	\$350,000	
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>\$20,000,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$20,000,000</b>	
<b>Regional PDA Planning</b>					
<i>Regional PDA Implementation Priorities</i>					
Bay Area Transit Core Capacity Study	MTC	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000	
Public Lands Near Rail Corridors Assessment	MTC	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	
PDA Implementation Studies/Forums	MTC	\$156,500	\$0	\$156,500	
State Route 82 Relinquishment Exploration Study	MTC/VTA	\$206,772	\$0	\$206,772	
<i>PDA Planning</i>					
Oakland Downtown Specific Plan	Oakland	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000	
South Berkeley/ Adeline/Ashby BART Specific Plan	Berkeley	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000	
Bay Fair BART Transit Village Specific Plan	San Leandro	\$440,000	\$0	\$440,000	
Alameda Naval Air Station Specific Plan	Alameda	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000	
Del Norte BART Station Precise Plan	El Cerrito	\$302,500	\$0	\$302,500	
Mission Bay Railyard and I-280 Alternatives	San Francisco	\$700,000	\$0	\$700,000	
Santa Clara El Camino Corridor Precise Plan	Santa Clara	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000	
Sunnyvale El Camino Corridor Precise Plan	Sunnyvale	\$587,000	\$0	\$587,000	
San Jose Stevens Creek/Santana Row/Winchester Specific Plan	San Jose	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000	
<i>Staff Assistance</i>					
Alameda PDA TDM Plan	Alameda	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000	
Downtown Livermore Parking Implementation Plan	Livermore	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000	
Oakland Transportation Impact Review Streamlining	Oakland	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000	
Oakland Complete Streets, Design Guidance, Circulation Element Update	Oakland	\$235,000	\$0	\$235,000	
Downtown Oakland Parking Management Strategy	Oakland	\$200,000	\$0	\$200,000	
Windsor Parking Management and Pricing	MTC	\$85,000	\$0	\$85,000	
<i>Technical Assistance</i>					
Concord Salvio Streetscape	Concord	\$50,000	\$0	\$50,000	
South Richmond Affordable Housing and Commercial Linkage	Richmond	\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
San Mateo Planning/Growth Forum Series	San Mateo	\$25,000	\$0	\$25,000	
South San Francisco El Camino/Chestnut Ave Infrastructure Financing Analysis	SSF	\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Milpitas Transit Area Parking Analysis	Milpitas	\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Morgan Hill Housing/Employment Market Demand/Circulation Analysis	Morgan Hill	\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Sab Jose West San Carlos Master Streetscape Plan	San Jose	\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Sunnyvale Mathilda Ave Downtown Plan Line	Sunnyvale	\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Downtown Sunnyvale Block 15 Sale/Land Exchange	Sunnyvale	\$59,000	\$0	\$59,000	
Sunnyvale El Camino Street Space Allocation Study	Sunnyvale	\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Central Rohnert Park PDA/Creekside Neighb. Subarea Connector Path	MTC	\$65,000	\$0	\$65,000	
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>\$7,931,772</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$7,931,772</b>	
<b>5. PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREA (PDA) PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION</b>		<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$30,000,000</b>	<b>\$10,000,000</b>	<b>\$40,000,000</b>

<b>6. CLIMATE INITIATIVES PROGRAM (CIP)</b>				
<i>Car Sharing</i>				
Hayward RFP for Car Sharing Services	Hayward	\$200,480	\$0	\$200,480
Oakland Car Share and Outreach Program	Oakland	\$320,526	\$0	\$320,526
CCTA Car Share4All	CCTA	\$573,453	\$0	\$573,453
TAM Car Share CANAL	TAM	\$125,000	\$0	\$125,000
City of San Mateo Car Sharing - A Catalyst for Change	San Mateo	\$210,000	\$0	\$210,000
Santa Rosa Car Share	SCTA	\$170,130	\$0	\$170,130
<i>Transportation Demand Management</i>				
goBerkeley Residential Shared Parking Pilot	Berkeley	\$950,000	\$0	\$950,000
Oakland Demand-Responsive Parking and Mobility Mgmt Initiative	Oakland	\$1,300,000	\$0	\$1,300,000
Walnut Creek N Main St Rehab (for Parking Guidance System Pilot)	Walnut Creek	\$783,000	\$0	\$783,000
Downtown San Mateo Parking Technology Implementation	San Mateo	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$1,500,000
Peery Park Rides	VTA/Sunnyvale	\$1,129,000	\$0	\$1,129,000
Public Education Outreach	MTC	\$312,000	\$0	\$312,000
EV Charging Infrastructure and Vehicles (Programmed by BAAQMD)*	BAAQMD	\$0	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000
Spare the Air Youth Program - 2	MTC	\$838,000	\$0	\$838,000

**Attachment B-1**

**OBAG 1 Regional Programs  
FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17**

**September 2022**

11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C  
 11/20/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C  
 06/25/14-C 07/23/14-C 09/24/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C  
 05/27/15-C 06/24/15-C 07/22/15-C 10/28/15-C 11/18/15-C 12/16/15-C  
 01/27/16-C 02/24/16-C 03/23/16-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C  
 01/25/17-C 04/26/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C 10/25/17-C 11/15/17-C  
 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C  
 01/23/19-C 04/24/19-C 09/25/19-C 03/25/20-C 09/23/20-C 11/20/20-C  
 02/17/22-C 09/18/22-C

**OBAG 1 Regional Programs Project List**

Project Category and Title	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ	Total Other RTIP/TAP/TFCA	Total OBAG 1
<b>OBAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS</b>				
<i>Mobility Hubs Pilot Program</i>				
Carsharing/Mobility Hubs Implementation	MTC	\$189,495	\$0	\$189,495
BART: MacArthur BART Station	BART	\$210,916	\$0	\$210,916
<b>6. CLIMATE INITIATIVES PROGRAM (CIP)</b>		<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$6,000,000</b>	<b>\$14,812,000</b>

\* Selected and funded by the BAAQMD. Listed here for informational purposes only

<b>7. REGIONAL SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL (RSRTS)</b>				
<i>Specific projects TBD by CMAAs</i>				
Alameda County SRTS Program	ACTC	\$5,366,000	\$0	\$5,366,000
Cavallo Rd, Drake St, and 'G' Street Safe Routes to School Imps	Antioch	\$330,000	\$0	\$330,000
Actuated Ped /Bicycle Traffic Signal on Oak Grove Rd at Sierra Rd	Concord	\$504,900	\$0	\$504,900
Concord: Willow Pass Repaving & SRTS	Concord	\$215,000	\$0	\$215,000
Port Chicago Hwy/Willow Pass Rd Pedestrian & Bicycle Imps	Contra Costa County	\$441,700	\$0	\$441,700
West Contra Costa SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program	Contra Costa County	\$709,800	\$0	\$709,800
Vista Grande Street Pedestrian Safe Routes to School Imps	Danville	\$157,000	\$0	\$157,000
Happy Valley Road Walkway Safe Routes to School Imps	Lafayette	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
Moraga Road Safe Routes to School Bicycle/Pedestrian Imps	Moraga	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
Moraga: Moraga Way and Canyon Rd/Camino Pablo Imps.	Moraga	\$607,000	\$0	\$607,000
Orinda Sidewalk Imps	Orinda	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
Pittsburg School Area Safety Imps	Pittsburg	\$203,000	\$0	\$203,000
Pleasant Hill - Boyd Road and Elinora Drive Sidewalks	Pleasant Hill	\$395,000	\$0	\$395,000
San Ramon School Crossings Enhancements	San Ramon	\$247,600	\$0	\$247,600
North Civic Center Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps	Marin County	\$791,000	\$0	\$791,000
Napa County SRTS Program - 2	NVTA	\$105,000	\$0	\$105,000
Napa County SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program	NVTA	\$420,000	\$0	\$420,000
San Francisco SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program	SFDPH	\$1,799,000	\$0	\$1,799,000
San Mateo County SRTS Program	SMCCAG	\$2,382,000	\$0	\$2,382,000
Campbell - Virginia Avenue Sidewalks	Campbell	\$708,000	\$0	\$708,000
Mountain View - El Camino to Miramonte Complete Streets	Mountain View	\$840,000	\$0	\$840,000
Mountain View SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program	Mountain View	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Palo Alto - Arastradero Road Schoolscape/Multi-use Trail	Palo Alto	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
San Jose - Walk N' Roll Phase 2	San Jose	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
City of Santa Clara SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program Phase 2	Santa Clara	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Santa Clara County SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program	Santa Clara County	\$838,000	\$0	\$838,000
Sunnyvale: East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements	Sunnyvale	\$1,346,000	\$0	\$1,346,000
Solano County SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program	STA	\$1,570,000	\$0	\$1,570,000
Sonoma County SRTS Program	SCTA	\$345,000	\$0	\$345,000
Sonoma County SRTS Program	Sonoma County TPW	\$1,379,000	\$0	\$1,379,000
<b>7. REGIONAL SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL (RSRTS)</b>		<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$25,000,000</b>

<b>8. TRANSIT CAPITAL REHABILITATION PROGRAM</b>				
SolTrans - Preventive Maintenance	SolTrans	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
<b>Transit Capital Rehabilitation</b>				
<i>Specific Projects TBD by Commission</i>				
Advanced Communications and Information System (ACIS)	GGBHTD	\$828,539	\$0	\$828,539
MS Sonoma Ferry Refurbishment	GGBHTD	\$1,171,461	\$0	\$1,171,461
BART Car Exchange Preventative Maintenance	BART	\$2,831,849	\$0	\$2,831,849
Clipper Fare Collection Equipment Replacement	MTC	\$9,994,633	\$0	\$9,994,633
Clipper Back Office Fare Collection Equipment Replacement	MTC	\$2,684,772	\$0	\$2,684,772
Clipper Next Generation Fare Collection System	MTC	\$636,763	\$0	\$636,763
SFMTA - New 60' Flyer Trolley Bus Replacement	SFMTA	\$5,502,261	\$0	\$5,502,261
SFMTA - New 40' Neoplan Bus Replacement	SFMTA	\$10,000,000	\$0	\$10,000,000
VTA Preventive Maintenance (for vehicle replacement)	VTA	\$3,349,722	\$0	\$3,349,722
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>\$37,000,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$37,000,000</b>
<b>Transit Performance Initiative (TPI) Incentive Program</b>				
<i>Specific Projects TBD by Commission</i>				
TPI - AC Transit Spectrum Ridership Growth	AC Transit	\$1,802,676	\$0	\$1,802,676
TPI - AC Transit - East Bay Bus Rapid Transit	AC Transit	\$4,547,305	\$0	\$4,547,305
TPI - LAVTA - Wheels Marketing Initiatives	LAVTA	\$423,798	\$0	\$423,798
TPI - ACE Positive Train Control	SJRR/ACE	\$502,214	\$0	\$502,214
TPI - Union City - South Alameda County Major Corridors Travel Time Imps	Union City	\$160,587	\$0	\$160,587
TPI - CCCTA - 511 Real-Time Interface	CCCTA	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
TPI - CCCTA - Implementation of Access Improvement	CCCTA	\$685,196	\$0	\$685,196
TPI - CCCTA - Remix Software Implementation	CCCTA	\$35,451	\$0	\$35,451
TPI - ECCTA - Hydrogen Fueling Maintenance Infrastructure Upgrade	ECCTA	\$817,297	\$0	\$817,297
TPI - WCCTA - Purchase of Automatic Vehicle Locator System	WCCTA	\$344,513	\$0	\$344,513
TPI - GGBHTD - Building Ridership to Meet Capacity Campaign	GGBHTD	\$387,440	\$0	\$387,440
TPI - GGBHTD - Regional Customer Study: On-Board Bus and Ferry Surveys	GGBHTD	\$402,572	\$0	\$402,572
TPI - Marin Transit Preventive Maintenance (for low income youth pass)	Marin Transit	\$99,289	\$0	\$99,289
TPI - MCTD Preventative Maintenance (Youth Pass Program)	Marin Transit	\$239,808	\$0	\$239,808

**Attachment B-1**

**OBAG 1 Regional Programs  
FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17**

**September 2022**

11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C  
 11/20/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C  
 06/25/14-C 07/23/14-C 09/24/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C  
 05/27/15-C 06/24/15-C 07/22/15-C 10/28/15-C 11/18/15-C 12/16/15-C  
 01/27/16-C 02/24/16-C 03/23/16-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C  
 01/25/17-C 04/26/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C 10/25/17-C 11/15/17-C  
 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C  
 01/23/19-C 04/24/19-C 09/25/19-C 03/25/20-C 09/23/20-C 11/20/20-C  
 03/22/22-C 09/28/22-C

**OBAG 1 Regional Programs Project List**

Project Category and Title	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ	Total Other RTIP/TAP/TFCA	Total OBAG 1
<b>OBAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS</b>				
		<b>\$438,146,000</b>	<b>\$53,080,000</b>	<b>\$492,046,000</b>
TPI - Relocate Transit Maintenance Facility (PE only) (Youth Pass Program)	Marin Transit	\$122,249	\$0	\$122,249
TPI - NVTA - Am. Canyon Priority Signal Interconnection on SR 29	NVTA	\$91,757	\$0	\$91,757
TPI - NVTA - Bus Mobility Device Retrofits	NVTA	\$120,988	\$0	\$120,988
TPI - NVTA - Imola Ave and SR 29 Express Bus Improvements	NVTA	\$96,058	\$0	\$96,058
TPI - BART Train Car Accident Repair	BART	\$1,493,189	\$0	\$1,493,189
TPI - BART - Metro Priority Track Elements	BART	\$3,459,057	\$0	\$3,459,057
TPI - BART - Concord Shop Wheel Truing	BART	\$7,165,450	\$0	\$7,165,450
TPI - Caltrain - Off-peak Marketing Campaign	Caltrain	\$44,200	\$0	\$44,200
TPI - WETA - Central Bay Operations and Maintenance	WETA	\$1,325,466	\$0	\$1,325,466
TPI - BART 24th Street Train Control Upgrade	BART	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$2,000,000
TPI - SFMTA Light Rail Vehicle Rehabilitation	SFMTA	\$5,120,704	\$0	\$5,120,704
TPI - SFMTA - Light Rail Vehicle (LRV) Propulsion System	SFMTA	\$9,285,937	\$0	\$9,285,937
TPI - SFMTA Preventive Maintenance (for low income youth pass)	SFMTA	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$1,600,000
TPI - SFMTA Light Rail Vehicle Overhaul	SFMTA	\$5,337,401	\$0	\$5,337,401
TPI - Caltrain - Control Point Installation	Caltrain	\$1,802,415	\$0	\$1,802,415
TPI - Caltrain - Postitive Train Control	Caltrain	\$2,332,747	\$0	\$2,332,747
TPI - SamTrans - Preventative Maintenance (Service Plan Implementation)	SMCTD	\$1,344,917	\$0	\$1,344,917
TPI - VTA Preventive Maintenance (for low income fare pilot)	VTA	\$1,302,018	\$0	\$1,302,018
TPI - VTA - Montague Expressway Pedestrian Bridge at Milpitas BART	VTA	\$2,768,555	\$0	\$2,768,555
TPI - Fairfield - Expand bus service between Fairfield and Vacaville	Fairfield	\$372,216	\$0	\$372,216
<del>TPI - Fairfield - SolanoExpress Service Vehicle Replacement (for SolanoExpress Bus Stop Imps) (Removed)</del>	<del>Fairfield</del>	<del>\$333,719</del>	<del>\$0</del>	<del>\$333,719</del>
<b>TPI - SolTrans - SolanoExpress Bus Purchases (for STA Bus Stop Imps) (New)</b>	<b>SolTrans</b>	<b>\$333,719</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$333,719</b>
TPI - SolTrans - 40' Electric Bus Purchase & Hybrid-Diesel Bus Replacement	SolTrans	\$399,223	\$0	\$399,223
TPI - Petaluma - Transit Signal Priority, Phase I, II & III	Petaluma	\$378,692	\$0	\$378,692
TPI - Santa Rosa - CityBus COA and Service Plan	Santa Rosa	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
TPI - Santa Rosa - Reimagining CityBus Implementation	Santa Rosa	\$682,177	\$0	\$682,177
TPI - Sonoma County Transit - 30-foot CNG Bus Replacements	Sonoma County	\$173,052	\$0	\$173,052
TPI - Sonoma County Transit - 40-foot CNG Bus Replacements	Sonoma County	\$199,667	\$0	\$199,667
SUBTOTAL		\$60,000,000	\$0	\$60,000,000
<b>8. TRANSIT CAPITAL REHABILITATION PROGRAM</b>		<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$98,000,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>
			<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$98,000,000</b>

<b>9. TRANSIT PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (TPI)</b>				
<b>TPI - Capital Investment Program</b>				
<i>TPI - Round 1</i>				
AC Transit Line 51 Corridor Speed Protection and Restoration	AC Transit	\$10,515,624	\$0	\$10,515,624
SFMTA Potrero Ave Fast Track Transit and Streetscape Imps	SFMTA	\$4,133,031	\$0	\$4,133,031
SFMTA Colored Lanes on MTA Rapid Network	SFMTA	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000
SFMTA Mission Mobility Maximization	SFMTA	\$5,383,109	\$0	\$5,383,109
SFMTA N-Judah Mobility Maximization	SFMTA	\$2,383,860	\$0	\$2,383,860
VTA Light Rail Transit Signal Priority	VTA	\$1,587,176	\$0	\$1,587,176
VTA Stevens Creek - Limited 323 Transit Signal Priority	VTA	\$712,888	\$0	\$712,888
<i>TPI - Round 2</i>				
AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time Imps	AC Transit	\$5,000,000	\$0	\$5,000,000
MTC Clipper Phase III Implementation	MTC	\$8,000,000	\$0	\$8,000,000
LAVTA Dublin Blvd Transit Performance Initiative	LAVTA	\$1,009,440	\$0	\$1,009,440
SFMTA Colored Lanes on MTA Rapid Network	SFMTA	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
SFMTA Geary BRT Phase 1: Near-Term Improvements	SFMTA	\$3,990,560	\$0	\$3,990,560
VTA Prev. Maint. (for Mountain View Double Track Phase 1)	VTA	\$8,000,000	\$0	\$8,000,000
<i>TPI - Round 3</i>				
AC Transit San Pablo and Telegraph Ave Rapid Bus Upgrades	AC Transit	\$3,881,319	\$0	\$3,881,319
BART Train Seat Modification	BART	\$1,503,239	\$0	\$1,503,239
SamTrans Traffic Signal Priority on El Camino Real	SamTrans	\$3,459,000	\$0	\$3,459,000
SFMTA Geary BRT Phase 1: Near-Term Improvements	SFMTA	\$5,618,681	\$0	\$5,618,681
VTA Light Rail Crossovers & Switches	VTA	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
BBF - AC Transit Double Decker Bus Wash	AC Transit	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$1,600,000
BBF - AC Transit Higher Capacity Bus Fleets-Increased Service Freq.	AC Transit	\$7,400,000	\$0	\$7,400,000
BBF - West Grand Ave Transit Signal Priority	MTC	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
<i>TPI - Round 4</i>				
<del>Fairfield Solano Express Service Vehicle Repl. (for SolanoExpress Fairgrounds Dr/SR 37 Bus Stop) (Removed)</del>	<del>Fairfield</del>	<del>\$1,000,000</del>	<del>\$0</del>	<del>\$1,000,000</del>
<b>SolanoExpress Bus Purchases (for STA Bus Stop Improvements) (Revised)</b>	<b>SolTrans</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>
Novato Pavement Rehabilitation (for Novato Downtown SMART Station)	Novato	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
NVTA Imola Ave and SR 29 Express Bus Improvements	NVTA	\$411,073	\$0	\$411,073
Santa Rosa CityBus New Transit System Optimization	Santa Rosa	\$411,000	\$0	\$411,000
<b>9. TRANSIT PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (TPI)</b>		<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$82,000,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>
			<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$82,000,000</b>

<b>10. PRIORITY CONSERVATION AREA (PCA)</b>				
<b>North Bay PCA Program</b>				
<i>Specific projects TBD by North Bay CMAs</i>				
Marin PCA - Mill Valley - Sausalito Pathway Preservation	Marin County	\$320,000	\$0	\$320,000

**Attachment B-1**

MTC Res. No. 4035, Attachment B-1

Adopted: 05/17/12-C

Revised: 10/24/12-C

**OBAG 1 Regional Programs  
FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17**

**September 2022**

11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C  
 11/20/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C  
 06/25/14-C 07/23/14-C 09/24/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C  
 05/27/15-C 06/24/15-C 07/22/15-C 10/28/15-C 11/18/15-C 12/16/15-C  
 01/27/16-C 02/24/16-C 03/23/16-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C  
 01/25/17-C 04/26/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C 10/25/17-C 11/15/17-C  
 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C  
 01/23/19-C 04/24/19-C 09/25/19-C 03/25/20-C 09/23/20-C 11/20/20-C  
 03/22/22-C 09/28/22-C

**OBAG 1 Regional Programs Project List**

Project Category and Title	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ	Total Other RTIP/TAP/TFCA	Total OBAG 1
<b>OBAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS</b>				
		<b>\$438,146,000</b>	<b>\$53,080,000</b>	<b>\$492,046,000</b>
Marin PCA - Bayfront Park Recreational Bay Access	Mill Valley	\$140,000	\$0	\$140,000
Marin PCA - Thatcher Ranch Easement Acq. (Vineyard Rd Improvements)	Novato	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Marin PCA - Pacheco Hill Parkland Acq. (Vinyard Rd. Improvements)	Novato	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Marin PCA - Sunny Hill Ridge and Red Hill Trails	San Anselmo	\$40,000	\$0	\$40,000
Napa PCA: Napa Soscol Headwaters Preserve Acq. (SilveradoTrail Phase G Overlay)	Napa County	\$1,107,000	\$0	\$1,107,000
Napa PCA - Silverado Trail Yountville-Napa Safety Imps	Napa County	\$143,000	\$0	\$143,000
Solano PCA - Suisun Valley Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps	Solano County	\$1,175,000	\$0	\$1,175,000
Solano PCA - Solano PCA Assessment Plan	STA	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
Sonoma PCA - Sonoma County Urban Footprint Planning	Sonoma County	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Sonoma PCA - Bodega Hwy Roadway Preservation	Sonoma County	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>\$5,000,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
<b>Peninsula, Southern and Eastern Counties PCA Program</b>				
Bay Trail Shoreline Access Staging Area	Berkeley	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Breuner Marsh Restoration and Public Access	EBRPD	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
SF Bay Trail, Pinole Shores to Bay Front Park	EBRPD	\$119,711	\$0	\$119,711
Coyote Creek Trail: Brokaw Road to Union Pacific Railroad	San Jose	\$712,700	\$0	\$712,700
Pier 70 - Crane Cove Park	Port of SF	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
Twin Peaks Connectivity Conceptual Plan	SF Rec. and Parks	\$167,589	\$0	\$167,589
Southern Skyline Blvd. Ridge Trail Extension	SF PUC	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>\$4,500,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$4,500,000</b>
<b>10. PRIORITY CONSERVATION AREA (PCA)</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$9,500,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$9,500,000</b>
<b>OBAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS TOTAL</b>		<b>TOTAL: \$438,146,000</b>	<b>\$53,080,000</b>	<b>\$492,046,000</b>

\\fs4.ad.mtc.ca.gov\j\_drive\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\RES-4035\_ongoing\_OBAG1[tmp-4035\_Attach\_B-1\_Sept.xlsx]B-1 Sept 2022

Date: November 18, 2015  
W.I.: 1512  
Referred by: PAC  
Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C 12/21/16-C  
03/22/17-C 04/26/17-C 05/24/17-C  
06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C 09/27/17-C  
10/25/17-C 11/15/17-C 12/20/17-C  
01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C  
04/25/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C  
07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 11/28/18-C  
12/19/18-C 01/23/19-C 02/27/19-C  
03/27/19-C 06/26/19-C 07/24/19-C  
09/25/19-C 10/23/19-C 11/20/19-C  
02/26/20-C 05/27/20-C 07/22/20-C  
09/23/20-C 11/20/20-C 01/27/21-C  
02/24/21-C 04/28/21-C 05/26/21-C  
06/23/21-C 07/28/21-C 09/22/21-C  
11/17/21-C 12/15/21-C 01/26/22-C  
02/23/22-C 03/23/22-C 04/27/22-C  
05/25/22-C 06/22/22-C 09/28/22-C

### ABSTRACT

#### Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Adoption of the project selection policies and project programming for the second round of the One Bay Area Grant program (OBAG 2). The project selection criteria and programming policy contain the project categories that are to be funded with various fund sources including federal surface transportation act funding available to MTC for its programming discretion to be included in the federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the OBAG 2 funding period.

The resolution includes the following attachments:

- Attachment A – OBAG 2 Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy
- Attachment B-1 – OBAG 2 Regional Program Project List
- Attachment B-2 – OBAG 2 County Program Project List

On July 27, 2016, Attachment A, and Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to add additional funding and projects to the OBAG 2 framework, including \$72 million in additional Fixing America’s Surface Transportation Act (FAST) funding, and to incorporate housing-related policies.

On October 26, 2016, Attachment A, and Attachment B-1 were revised to clarify language related to the North Bay Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program in Attachment A and to deprogram

## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 2

\$2,500,000 from the Water Emergency Transportation Authority (WETA) Ferry Service Enhancement Pilot within the Regional Active Operational Management Program.

On December 21, 2016, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$417,000 in un-programmed balances from the Regional Active Operational Management program to MTC's Spare the Air Youth within the Climate Initiatives Program; divide MTC's Rideshare Program into three subcomponents totaling \$10,000,000: \$720,000 for Rideshare Implementation, \$7,280,000 for the Carpool Program, and \$2,000,000 for the Vanpool Program; direct \$1,785,000 from 511 Next Gen to the Commuter Benefits program; direct \$1,000,000 in un-programmed balances to SMART's Multi-Use Pathway; transfer \$1,000,000 from MTC's Casual Carpool project to MTC's Eastbay Commuter Parking project within the Bay Bridge Forward program, as the former will be funded with non-federal funds; transfer \$500,000 from the Freeway Performance Initiative program and \$500,000 in un-programmed balances to US 101/Marin Sonoma Narrow's B2 Phase 2 project in the Regional Active Operational Management Program; shift \$40,000,000 from the BART Car Replacement/Expansion project to the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent project and \$13 million from MTC's Clipper project to un-programmed balances within the Transit Priorities program as part of a RM2 funding action to address a cost increase on the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent project; and program \$5,990,000 to Alameda County's Safe Routes to School Program in the County Program.

On March 22, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$17,000,000 in un-programmed balances within the Regional Transit Priorities Program to MTC's Clipper Program, as part of the FY17 Transit Capital Priorities program.

On April 26, 2017, Attachment B-2 was revised to program \$1,655,000 to the Sonoma Safe Routes to School program; and redirect \$1,000 from Contra Costa Transportation Authority's Planning Activities Base to its discretionary balance and \$1,000 from San Francisco County Transportation Authority's Planning Activities Base to its discretionary balance to address an inconsistency between amounts programmed to planning activities in Appendix A-3 and reflect actual amounts obligated for planning.

On May 24, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$1,237,000 from 511 Next Gen to AOM Implementation within the Regional Active Operational Management program to reflect re-organization of staff between program elements; direct \$18,000,000 in Arterial/Transit Performance to the Program for Arterial System Synchronization (\$5,000,000) and the Next Gen Arterial



## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 3

Operations Program (\$13,000,000) within the Regional Active Operational Management program; direct \$19,000,000 from the Transportation Management System (TMS) Field Equipment Devices Operations and Maintenance to TMS Implementation (\$2,910,000), Performance-Based Intelligent Transportation Systems Device Maintenance and Rehabilitation (\$5,940,000), Transportation Management Center Asset Upgrade and Replacement (\$4,000,000), I-880 Communication Upgrade and Infrastructure Gap Closures (\$4,000,000) and a Detection Technology Pilot (\$5,000,000) within the Regional Active Operational Management program; and remove \$290,556 in un-programmed balances from the Regional Active Operational Management program to address over-programming in a previous cycles of the STP/CMAQ regional programs.

On June 28, 2017, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to reprogram \$1,000,000 from the SMART Pathway – 2<sup>nd</sup> to Andersen to San Rafael's Grand Ave Bike/Pedestrian Improvements within the Regional Climate Initiatives program as part of a funding exchange within the City of San Rafael, conditioned on San Rafael committing \$1 million in non-federal funds to the construction of the pathway, and a resolution of local support for the use of federal funds on the Grand Ave project, and TAM approval of the redirection of local measure funds between the projects; split out \$8,729,000 from the 511 Next Gen program to 511 Implementation within the Regional Active Operational Management program; program \$1,250,000 to Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District for the Bettini Transit Center as part of the Marin County Program; and program \$2,617,000 within the San Mateo County Program to the San Mateo County Office of Education for the SRTS program, including \$223,000 in supplemental funds from San Mateo's discretionary balance.

On July 26, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$12,000,000 to the US 101 Marin Sonoma Narrows project as part of a fund exchange agreement with Sonoma County Transportation Authority; \$11,000,000 in exchange funds are added to the program for tracking purposes, with the final \$1 million in exchange funds to be identified through a future Commission action.

On September 27, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to change the name of the Next Gen Arterial Operations Program (NGAOP) to Innovative Deployment for Enhanced Arterials (IDEA) to reflect program rebranding and additional focus on advanced technologies; program \$4,160,000 to Incident Management Implementation and \$8,840,000 to I-880 Integrated Corridor Mobility project within the Regional Active Operational Management program; split out the Connected Vehicles/Shared Mobility program into the Connected Vehicles/Automated Vehicles

## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 4

program for \$2,500,000 and the Shared Use Mobility program for \$2,500,000; and program \$16,000,000 for three corridors within the Freeway Performance Program, with \$8,000,000 for I-680, \$3,000,000 for I-880, and \$5,000,000 for SR-84.

On October 25, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$10,000,000 to the Bay Area Air Quality Management District for the Spare the Air program, in lieu of the Electric Vehicle Programs within the Regional Climate Initiatives Program, conditioned on the Air District contribution of an additional \$10 million to advance implementation of electric vehicles within the region.

On November 15, 2017, Attachment B-2 was revised to program \$200,000 in the Alameda County Program to the I-580 Corridor Study, to support a joint corridor study between Alameda County Transportation Commission (ACTC) and MTC; \$122,000 within the Napa County Program to Napa Valley Transportation Authority (NVTA) for the Napa County Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Program; and \$300,000 within the Contra Costa County Program to San Ramon for the San Ramon Valley Street Smarts Program.

On December 20, 2017, Attachments A, Appendix A-3, B-1, and B-2 were revised to program \$334 million in the County Program to local and county projects recommended by the nine Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs); redirect \$10,248,000 from BART Car Replacement/Expansion to Clipper within the Regional Transit Priorities Program; revise the CMA Planning Activities funding amounts to reflect the supplementary funds requested by several CMAs through their County Programs; and clarify the program details for the Local Housing Production Incentive program (also known as the *80K by 2020 Challenge Grant*).

On January 24, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$4,100,000 from Performance-Based ITS Device Maintenance and Rehabilitation to I-880 Communication Upgrade and Infrastructure Gap Closures, within the Transportation Management System program.

On February 28, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$13 million in Innovative Deployments to Enhance Arterials (IDEA) program grants within the Regional Active Operational Management Program; redirect \$822,000 within Contra Costa County's Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS) for future SRTS projects; program \$2,813,000 to San Francisco SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program within the San Francisco County Program; and clarify MTC exchange fund projects.

## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 5

On March 28, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to distribute the \$1.5 million Community-Based Transportation Planning Program among the nine county Congestion Management Areas (CMAs); clarify the limits of three Freeway Performance Program projects within the Regional Active Operational Management Program; and reflect the programming of \$30,000 in MTC exchange funds for Bay Area Greenprint Functionality Improvements, as part of the PCA program.

On April 25, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$8,200,000 in Priority Conservation Area (PCA) grants within the North Bay PCA Program; \$3,400,000 to Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) for the Marin Sonoma Narrows B2 Phase 2 project, as part of an exchange agreement in which an equal amount of SCTA's future Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) funds will be programmed at MTC's discretion; \$7,288,000 in PDA Planning and Implementation grants; and \$500,000 to MTC for PDA Implementation.

On May 23, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to change the project sponsor from MTC to VTA for the IDEA Program project at the Veteran's Administration Palo Alto Medical Center; redirect funds within the Santa Clara County OBAG 2 County Program to reduce San Jose's West San Carlos Urban Village Streetscape Improvements by \$2,050,000, redirecting \$1,000,000 from the project to Santa Clara's Saratoga Creek Trail Phase 1 and \$1,050,000 to Saratoga's Prospect Rd Complete Streets project; and direct an additional \$25,000 in unprogrammed balances within Santa Clara County OBAG 2 County Program to Saratoga's Prospect Rd Complete Streets project.

On June 27, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$800,000 to MTC's Carsharing Implementation and \$325,000 to Targeted Transportation Alternatives within the Climate Initiatives Program; redirect from MTC's 511 NextGen program \$8,271,000 to 511 Implementation, \$2,000,000 to Contra Costa Transportation Authority's (CCTA's) I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements project, and \$380,000 to an unprogrammed balance within the Regional Active Operational Management program; clarify the scope of MTC's Freeway Performance Program I-880 to reflect the project limits of I-80 to I-280; and redirect \$1,394,000 from Vallejo's Local Streets Rehabilitation project to Fairfield's Heart of Fairfield project within the Solano County Program.

## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 6

On July 25, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$1,600,000 to Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) for the SR 85 Transit Guideway Study as part of a fund exchange agreement; remove Rohnert Park's \$65,000 Central Rohnert Park PDA/Creekside Neighborhood Subarea Connector Path Technical Assistance grant from the Regional PDA Planning Grant program as it will be funded through a prior cycle; reduce the funding for Windsor's PDA Planning and Implementation Staffing Assistance grant by \$85,000 as this project will receive an equivalent amount of funds through a prior cycle; a total of \$150,000 balance created by these two revisions was returned to the Regional PDA Planning Grant Program un-programmed balance.

On September 12, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$3,000,000 within the Freeway Performance Program to the US 101 corridor in San Mateo and Santa Clara counties; direct an additional \$6,000,000 within the Freeway Performance Program to the I-680 corridor within Contra Costa County, \$4,000,000 of which is part of an exchange agreement with Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA); redirect \$15,000 within the Innovative Deployment for Enhanced Arterials (IDEA) program from IDEA Technical Assistance to VTA's IDEA grant at the Veterans Affairs Palo Alto Medical Center; redirect \$48,000 from MTC's Clipper to the BART Car Replacement/Expansion project within the Transit Priorities program to reflect program amounts previously adopted through the Transit Capital Priorities (TCP) program; revise the amount programmed to VTA's SR 85 Transit Guideway Study within Regional Strategic Initiatives to \$1,200,000 to reflect amount previously approved; redirect \$1,214,000 from Berkeley's North Shattuck Avenue Rehabilitation project to its Southside Complete Streets and Transit Improvements project within the Alameda County Program; from Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements, redirect \$1,000,000 to Los Altos' Miramonte Ave Bicycle and Pedestrian Access Improvements and \$1,140,000 to the Safe Routes to School program balance within the Santa Clara County Program; and program \$4,500,000 available from a previous funding cycle to the following projects within Regional Strategic Initiatives: \$617,000 to Novato's Pavement Rehabilitation (for Downtown Novato SMART Station) as part of a local funding exchange, \$1,120,000 to the Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM) for the Old Redwood Highway Multi-Use Pathway project, \$763,000 for San Rafael's Grand Ave Bridge project, and \$2,000,000 to TAM for the US 101 Marin Sonoma Narrows project.

On November 28, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to make adjustments related to the MTC/SCVTA Funding Exchange Agreement MTC Resolution No. 4356 and to the MTC/CCTA

## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 7

Funding Exchange Agreement MTC Resolution No. 4357, and to program \$4,000,000 in MTC exchange funds in accordance with MTC Resolution 3989, to the following projects: \$619,000 to CCTA for Innovative Deployment for Enhanced Arterials; \$621,000 to the city of Walnut Creek for innovative Deployment for Enhanced Arterials; \$500,000 to the city of Richmond for the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Bikeway Access; \$1,160,000 to MTC for Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Forward; and \$1,100,000 to MTC for Napa Valley Transportation Demand.

On December 19, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$5,200,000 from MTC's I-880 Integrated Corridor Management (ICM) Central Segment to the I-880 ICM Northern Segment project within the Regional Active Operational Management Program; clarify the Diridon Integrated Station Area Concept Plan project within the Regional Priority Development Planning and Implementation Program to reference Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) as a project partner; within the Santa Clara County Program, redirect \$794,000 in unprogrammed balances to Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Sense of Place Improvements, clarify the remaining unprogrammed balance is discretionary, and clarify the division of funding for Santa Clara's Saratoga Creek Trail Phase 1 project between the county's Safe Routes to School program and its discretionary program.

On January 23, 2019, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$15,980,000 within the San Francisco County Program from the Better Market Street project to the Central Subway project.

On February 27, 2019, Attachment B-1 was revised to change the fund source of \$3,779,849 programmed to the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent in Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP) funds to federal Highway Infrastructure Program (STP Bump) funds provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2018. Of the \$3,779,849 freed up by this swap, \$1,000,000 is returned to the region's STP/CMAQ balance to help address the CMAQ shortfall as a result of the region becoming attainment for carbon monoxide (CO) and therefore receiving less CMAQ funds which are distributed based on air quality status. The remaining \$2,779,849 is held for future Commission action.

On March 27, 2019, Attachment A, Appendix A-8, Appendix A-10, and Attachment B-1 were revised to clarify provisions pertaining to the interim status report requirements for Priority Development Area (PDA) Investment & Growth Strategies; change the recipient of the Concord IDEA project from CCTA to the City of Concord and reduce the MTC Exchange funding from \$619,000 to \$589,000; and redirect the \$30,000 in MTC Exchange funds to a new MTC-led Concord IDEA project.

## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 8

On June 26, 2019, Attachment B-2 was revised to program \$822,000 in unprogrammed Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS) balances within the Contra Costa County Program to six existing projects; and to redirect \$251,000 within the San Mateo County Program from Atherton's Middlefield Road Class II Bike Lanes to its James Avenue Rehabilitation.

On July 24, 2019, Attachment A was revised to delegate authority to the Executive Director or designee to sign Letters of Understanding for the exchange of STP/CMAQ funds with other regions, within certain conditions and limitations, and to delegate to a Committee of the Commission the authority to approve exchanges beyond these conditions and limitations.

On September 25, 2019, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to clarify that the \$300,000 programmed to Alameda County Transportation Commission (ACTC) within the Community Based Transportation Plan (CBTP) Updates program will be directed to its Congestion Management Agency (CMA) Planning program as part of an internal fund exchange within ACTC; redirect \$9.6 million from 511 Implementation to 511 Next Gen within the Bay Area 511 Traveler Information Program; within the Freeway Performance Program redirect \$625,000 in from MTC's SR 84 (US 101 to I-880) to the environmental phase of MTC's I-580 WB HOV Lane Extension project and change the project sponsor of the I-80/Central Ave. Interchange Improvements project from the Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) to City of Richmond; within the Innovative Deployment to Enhance Arterials (IDEA) program, clarify that LAVTA is a partner agency for the Dublin Category 2 IDEA project; within the Transportation Management Systems (TMS) program, change the name of the overall program to Connected Bay Area, redirect \$2 million from the Detection Technology Pilot project and \$1.8 million from the Performance-Based ITS Device Maintenance and Rehabilitation project to provide an additional \$3.8 million to the I-880 Communications Upgrade and Infrastructure Gap Closures project; within the Incident Management program, redirect \$1 million from MTC's I-880 Integrated Corridor Management (ICM) Central Segment to the Northern Segment; within the San Francisco County program, redirect \$3,366,000 from John Yehall Chin Elementary Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Improvement; and within the Santa Clara County program, redirect \$1 million from Los Altos' Miramonte Ave Bicycle and Pedestrian Access Improvements project to Cupertino's McClellan Rd Separated Bike Lane project, and program \$1,346,000 in unprogrammed discretionary balances to Campbell's Harriet Ave Sidewalk project and Los Gatos Shannon Rd Complete Streets project.

## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 9

On October 23, 2019, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$3 million from MTC's Detection Technology Pilot project to establish the InterConnect Bay Area grant program within the Connected Bay Area program; direct \$5 million (\$4 million Solano County and \$1 million other North Bay counties) within the Housing Incentive Pool program to establish the Sub-HIP program, with specific projects to be recommended through future programming actions; and program \$1 million to BART for AB2923 Implementation from unprogrammed balances within the PDA Planning & Implementation program.

On November 20, 2019, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$6,023,000 in MTC exchange funds in accordance with MTC Resolution No. 3989 to 13 projects within the Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Grants program; and within the Contra Costa County program, redirect \$1,025,000 from Brentwood's Various Streets and Roads Preservation project to Pittsburg's Pavement Improvements project, redirect \$618,000 from San Pablo's Market Street Pavement Rehabilitation project to Giant Road Pavement Rehabilitation project; and revise the name of Walnut Creek's Ygnacio Valley Road Rehabilitation project to reflect the latest proposed scope of work.

On February 26, 2020, Attachments A, B-1, and B-2 were revised to program \$1 million to MTC for SR 37 corridor planning in Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma Counties and \$3 million to MTC for I-80 corridor planning from the Carquinez Bridge to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge (SFOBB) Toll Plaza within the Freeway Performance Program; revise the name of the Concord Willow Pass Road Rehabilitation and Safe Routes to School project within the Contra Costa County Program to reflect the project's current scope; and clarify language within the OBAG 2 Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy to reflect the Commission adoption of Housing Incentive Pool (HIP) program guidelines, MTC Resolution No. 4348.

On May 27, 2020, Attachment B-1 was revised to clarify the scope of MTC's Freeway Performance Program planning-only project on I-80 extends from Carquinez Bridge in Contra Costa to Fremont Street in San Francisco; change the sponsor for three projects within the Regional Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Grant program; and to redirect \$104,000 in the North Bay Priority PCA Grant program from Novato's Carmel Open Space Acquisition project to Novato's Hill Area National Recreation Area, as the former project has been cancelled.

On July 22, 2020, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$5 million to five projects in Solano, Marin, Napa, and Sonoma Counties within the Housing Incentive Pool Pilot Program (Sub-HIP)

## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 10

and program \$1 million to the Napa Valley Forward Traffic Calming and Multimodal Improvements project within the Freeway Performance Program (FPP); and incorporate \$7,681,887 in federal Highway Infrastructure Program apportionment provided through the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2020 to the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent.

On September 23, 2020, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$2,000,000 from Napa's Silverado Trail Five-way Intersection Improvement project to Napa Valley Transportation Authority's Vine Transit Bus Maintenance Facility within the Napa County Program, and \$1,394,000 from Fairfield's Heart of Fairfield Improvements to its Cadenasso Dr. repaving project within the Solano County Program.

On November 20, 2020, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$1,000,000 to SFCTA for the environmental phase of the Yerba Buena Island/Treasure Island Multi-Use Pathway project within the Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Grants program, with payback from BATA at a future date; \$647,000 in MTC exchange funds in accordance with MTC Resolution No. 3989 to four projects within the Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Grants program; and to clarify the project sponsor of the Old Redwood Highway Multi-Use Pathway project as Larkspur, rather than the Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM).

On January 27, 2021, Attachments A and Attachment B-1 were revised, and Appendix A-11 was added, to incorporate additional funding into the OBAG 2 framework, including \$52.9 million in STP/CMAQ program balances made available through FY2018-FY2020 appropriations of Federal Highway Infrastructure Program (FHIP) funds, and a \$1.5 million balance redirected from the Cycle 1 STP/CMAQ Climate Initiatives program, as part of the Safe & Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program.

On February 24, 2021, Attachment B-1 was revised to program a total of \$7.91 million in Federal Highway Infrastructure Program (FHIP) funds provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, and project savings from previous STP/CMAQ cycles to the Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District (GGBHTD) for shareable costs of an increase to the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent System. Because the final FFY 2021 FHIP amount is not yet available at the time of the Commission meeting, the final split between the two fund sources will be adjusted by staff as a technical change, with the total amount not to exceed \$7.91 million.



## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 11

On April 28, 2021, Attachment B-1 was revised to change the fund source of \$13,942,852 from Federal Highway Infrastructure Program (FHIP) funds to Surface Transportation Block Grant (STP) funds for the Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District (GGBHTD) for the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent System project; program \$61,708,245 in STP/CMAQ funds, and \$13,942,852 in FHIP funds redirected from the GGB suicide deterrent system, to the Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM) for the US-101 Marin-Sonoma Narrows Segment B7 project as part of the SB1/RMS alternative funding plan; and program \$99,840,510 in STP/CMAQ funds to the Solano Transportation Authority (STA) for the Solano I-80 Express Lanes project as part of the SB1/RMS alternative funding plan. The programmed funding to TAM and STA serves as a loan to the project sponsors to permit the projects to move to construction while Regional Measure 3 funds are unavailable. The loaned funds shall be repaid to MTC as non-federal funds and will be subject to future OBAG programming.

On May 26, 2021, Attachment B-1 and Appendix A-11 were revised to program \$34,593,076 in Federal Highway Infrastructure Program funds made available through federal Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act (CRRSAA) to augment the Regional Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program framework; and to program \$7,775,000 in Priority Development Area (PDA) Planning and Implementation grants and \$87,000 in Regional PDA Supportive Studies within the Regional PDA Planning and Implementation program.

On June 23, 2021, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$83,118,000 to various local and regional projects within the Regional Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program; and program \$1,000,000 in project savings from previous fund cycles to VTA's Diridon Station Planning and Studies project as part of the Regional Strategic Initiatives program.

On July 28, 2021, Attachments A, B-1, and B-2 were revised to: temporarily increase the delegated authority amount the Executive Director may authorize for STP/CMAQ exchanges from \$2 million per region to \$100 million in total for federal fiscal year 2020-2021; to program \$4,667,000 to AC Transit for Bus Purchases and to reflect changes in program amounts and projects proposed for MTC regional exchange funds (in accordance with MTC Resolution No. 3989) as part of the funding arrangement for the Solano I-80 Express Lanes project; to program \$1,750,000 within the Regional Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program; to transit integration planning efforts in Solano, Sonoma, and East Bay Counties; redirect \$130,000 in project savings from the County of Contra Costa Local Streets and Roads Preservation project to

## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 12

the City of Danville's San Ramon Valley Blvd. Improvements project (in lieu of the Diablo Road Trail project which will be provided an equivalent amount of non-federal funds from CCTA) and redirect \$350,000 in project savings from the County of Contra Costa Local Streets and Roads Preservation project to the City of Pinole's Safety Improvements at Appian Way and Marlesta Rd project within the Contra Costa County program; and to cancel the \$4,655,000 El Camino Real Pedestrian Safety & Streetscape Improvements project in Palo Alto, direct \$41,428 from the cancelled project to Campbell's Harriet Avenue Sidewalk project, and leave the remaining \$4,614,572 balance unprogrammed within the Santa Clara county program.

On September 22, 2021, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$4,191,538 to various projects within the Regional Safe & Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program; \$184,000 in prior cycle project savings to San Mateo County's Broadmoor SRTS Pedestrian Safety and Mobility Improvements project within the Regional Strategic Investments program; and to redirect \$800,000 from MTC's Carsharing Implementation project and \$1,848,099 from the Climate Initiatives unprogrammed balance to various projects within the Mobility Hubs Pilot Program.

On November 17, 2021, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$948,000 from the City of Redwood City's US-101/Woodside Rd. Class I Bikeway project to the following projects: Daly City's Southgate Avenue and School Street Safety Improvements (\$450,000) and Millbrae's Park Boulevard and Santa Teresa Way Improvements (\$347,000), leaving an unprogrammed balance of \$151,000 in the San Mateo County Program.

On December 15, 2021, Attachment B-2 was revised to program \$4,613,572 in unprogrammed balances from the Santa Clara County Program to the following projects: Campbell's PDA Enhancements (\$550,000), Mountain View's Shoreline Boulevard Pathway Improvements (\$1,996,000), and San Jose's Julian and St. James Livable Streets Couplet Conversion (\$2,067,572). In the San Mateo County Program, the project title for Millbrae's Park Blvd, San Anselmo Ave, and Santa Teresa Way Improvements was revised to clarify the project scope.

On January 26, 2022, Attachment B-1 was revised to direct \$12,000,000 in unprogrammed balances from the Freeway Performance Program to MTC's Bay Bridge Forward Preliminary Engineering project, and to revise SCTA/MTC's \$750,000 Sonoma Integration and Coordination Implementation Planning project to reflect that these funds will be used to advance the Blue Ribbon Transit Transformational Action Plan as part of a fund swap with SCTA.

## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 13

On February 23, 2022, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$120,000 in project savings within the Contra Costa County Program, from El Cerrito's Carson Boulevard and Central Avenue Pavement Rehabilitation project to the El Cerrito's El Cerrito del Norte TOD Complete Streets Improvements project.

On March 23, 2022, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$251,000 within the Priority Conservation Area grant program from Albany's Albany Hill Access Improvements project and \$249,000 in regional program balances to Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority's (VTA's) Highway 17 Bicycle/Pedestrian Trail and Wildlife Overcrossing Project as part of a fund exchange agreement with the Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District; revise \$2,322,000 in Regional Active Operational Management program funds to reflect the amounts awarded to projects, technical advisory services, and project evaluations through the MTC's Connected Vehicles/Automated Vehicles program; and direct \$14,495 in unprogrammed balances within the Climate Initiatives program to the Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) MacArthur BART Station Mobility Hub project as part of a fund source change between fund cycles, with no net change in the total amount programmed to the project.

On April 27, 2022, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$2,240,000 within the Freeway Performance Program for MTC's I-880 Optimized Corridor Operations project; reprogram \$1,800,000 in Safe & Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike funds from Vallejo's Bay Trail/Vine Trail Gap Closure Segment to Vallejo's Springs Road Pavement Preservation project as part of a local funding exchange; revise MTC's \$1.4 million Blue Ribbon Centralized Program Eligibility project within the Safe & Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program to redirect \$900,000 to Clipper for Regional Transit Connection contract expenses in support of the project; rename MTC's Interconnect Bay Area Program project to Regional Communications Infrastructure Upgrade; reprogram \$2,206,000 within the Napa County Program to NVTA's Vine Trail Calistoga to St. Helena project from St. Helena's \$1,206,000 Main Street Pedestrian Improvements project and American Canyon's \$1,000,000 Green Island Road Improvements; and rename the City of Alameda's City-Wide Pavement Rehabilitation project within the Alameda County Program to Grand Street Pavement Resurfacing and Safety Improvements.

On May 25, 2022, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect funds within the Santa Clara County Program, including \$2,449,000 from Santa Clara's San Tomas Aquino Creek Trail Underpass and \$790,000 Hetch Hetchy Trail Phase 1 projects, and \$919,000 from Palo Alto's Waverly Multi-Use Path, East Meadow Drive and Fabian Way Enhanced Bikeways project, and

## ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 14

reprogram \$3,351,000 of these funds to Los Gatos' Creek Trail to Highway 9 Trailhead Connection and \$807,000 to Cupertino's new Stevens Creek Boulevard Class IV Bike Lanes project; redirect funds within the Alameda County Program, including \$1,662,000 from Hayward's Winton Avenue Complete Streets project and \$225,000 from Emeryville's Slurry Seal of Frontage Road, 65<sup>th</sup> Street, and Powell Street projects, and reprogram \$620,000 of these funds to ACTC's Alameda County Safe Routes to School Non-Infrastructure Program, leaving an unprogrammed balance of \$1,267,000 within the Alameda County Program.

On June 22, 2022, Attachment B-1 was revised to deprogram \$400,000 from Cupertino's VTA Cores and Corridors PDA Plan, leaving an unprogrammed balance of \$400,000 within the PDA Planning & Implementation program; program \$100,000 in regional program balances to the Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority's (CCJPA's) State Route 84 Ardenwood Intermodal Bus Facility project within the Regional Strategic Investments program as part of a fund exchange agreement with CCJPA; and reprogram \$1,250,000 within the Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program to MTC's Bay Bridge Forward project's preliminary engineering phase, including \$500,000 from MTC's Blue Ribbon Centralized Program Eligibility project and \$750,000 in remaining program balance from the Blue Ribbon Transit Recovery Action Plan.

On September 28, 2022, Attachments A, A-11, and B-1 were revised to extend project obligation deadlines, and program a \$380,000 balance within the Regional Active Operational Management program and \$145,000 in prior cycle savings to MTC's Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Grant Implementation.

Further discussion of the project selection criteria and programming policy is contained in the memorandum to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated November 4, 2015, July 13, 2016, October 12, 2016, December 14, 2016, February 8, 2017 (action deferred to March 2017), March 8, 2017, April 12, 2017, May 10, 2017, June 14, 2017, July 12, 2017, September 13, 2017, October 11, 2017, November 8, 2017, December 13, 2017, January 10, 2018, February 14, 2018, March 7, 2018, and April 11, 2018; the Planning Committee dated April 6, 2018; the Programming and Allocations Committee dated May 9, 2018, June 13, 2018, July 11, 2018, September 12, 2018, November 14, 2018, December 12, 2018, January 9, 2019, February 13, 2019, March 6, 2019, June 12, 2019, July 10, 2019, September 4, 2019, October 9, 2019, November 13, 2019, February 12, 2020, May 13, 2020, July 8, 2020, September 9, 2020, November 4, 2020, January 13, 2021, February 10, 2021, April 14, 2021, and May 12, 2021; the Planning Committee dated May 14, 2021; the Programming and Allocations Committee dated

ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4202, Revised

Page 15

June 9, 2021, July 14, 2021; and September 8, 2021; the Planning Committee dated September 10, 2021; and the Programming and Allocations Committee dated November 10, 2021, December 8, 2021, January 12, 2022, February 9, 2022; Operations Committee dated February 11, 2022; and the Programming and Allocations Committee dated March 9, 2022, April 13, 2022, May 11, 2022, June 8, 2022, and September 14, 2022.

Date: November 18, 2015  
W.I.: 1512  
Referred By: Programming & Allocations

RE: One Bay Area Grant Program Second Round (OBAG 2) Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION  
RESOLUTION NO. 4202

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for the San Francisco Bay Area pursuant to Government Code Section 66500 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area region and is required to prepare and endorse a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) which includes federal funds; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated recipient for state and federal funding assigned to the RTPA/MPO of the San Francisco Bay Area for the programming of projects; and

WHEREAS, state and federal funds assigned for RTPA/MPO programming discretion are subject to availability and must be used within prescribed funding deadlines regardless of project readiness; and

WHEREAS, MTC, in cooperation with the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), the Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC), California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs), county Transportation Authorities (TAs), transit operators, counties, cities, and interested stakeholders, has developed criteria, policies and procedures to be used in the selection of projects to be funded with various funding including regional federal funds as set forth in Attachments A, B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution, incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS, using the policies set forth in Attachment A of this Resolution, MTC, in cooperation with the Bay Area Partnership and interested stakeholders, will develop a program of projects to be funded with these funds for inclusion in the federal TIP, as set forth in Attachments B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution, incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS the federal TIP and subsequent TIP amendments and updates are subject to public review and comment; now therefore be it

RESOLVED that MTC approves the “Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy” for projects to be funded in the OBAG 2 Program as set forth in Attachments A, B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution; and be it further

RESOLVED that the regional discretionary funding shall be pooled and distributed on a regional basis for implementation of project selection criteria, policies, procedures and programming, consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP); and be it further

RESOLVED that the projects will be included in the federal TIP subject to final federal approval and requirements; and be it further

RESOLVED that the Executive Director or designee may make technical adjustments and other non-substantial revisions, including updates to fund sources and distributions to reflect final funding criteria and availability; and be it further

RESOLVED that the Executive Director or designee is authorized to revise Attachments B-1 and B-2 as necessary to reflect the programming of projects as the projects are selected, revised and included in the federal TIP; and be it further

RESOLVED that the Executive Director or designee shall make available a copy of this resolution, and attachments as may be required and appropriate.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION



---

Dave Cortese, Chair

The above resolution was entered into by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at the regular meeting of the Commission held in Oakland, California, on November 18, 2015

Date: November 18, 2015  
W.I.: 1512  
Referred by: P&A  
Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C  
12/20/17-C 03/27/19-C  
07/24/19-C 02/26/20-C  
01/27/21-C 07/28/21-C  
**09/28/22**

Attachment A  
Resolution No. 4202

**OBAG 2**  
**One Bay Area Grant Program**  
**Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy**



*This page intentionally left blank*

**OBAG 2 – One Bay Area Grant Program  
Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Background..... 4**

**Revenue Estimates and Program Architecture..... 4**

**Program Categories and Project List..... 9**

**General Programming Policies ..... 9**

**Regional Programs..... 14**

**County Programming Policies ..... 18**

**County Programs..... 23**

**Appendices**

- Appendix A-1 Regional and County Program Categories
- Appendix A-2 County Program Fund Distribution
- Appendix A-3 Regional and County Planning Activities
- Appendix A-4 County Federal-Aid Secondary (FAS)
- Appendix A-5 County Safe Routes to School (SRTS)
- Appendix A-6 Priority Conservation Area (PCA)
- Appendix A-7 CMA Call for Projects Guidance
- Appendix A-8 County PDA Investment and Growth Strategy
- Appendix A-9 Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Implementation
- Appendix A-10 Checklist for CMA and Local Jurisdiction Compliance with MTC Resolution 4202
- Appendix A-11 Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike

The One Bay Area Grant Program (OBAG 2) is the second round of the federal funding program designed to support the implementation of *Plan Bay Area*, the region's first Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS). OBAG 2 covers the five-year period from FY 2017-18 to FY 2021-22. The proposed revenue estimates, funding approach, programming policies, project guidance, and timeline for OBAG 2 are outlined in this attachment.

## **BACKGROUND**

The inaugural One Bay Area Grant Program (OBAG 1) was approved by the Commission in May 2012 (MTC Resolution 4035). The OBAG 1 program incorporated the following program features:

- Targeting project investments to the region's Priority Development Areas (PDAs);
- Rewarding jurisdictions that accept housing allocations through the Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) process and produce housing;
- Supporting open space preservation in Priority Conservation Areas (PCAs); and
- Providing a larger and more flexible funding pot to deliver transportation projects in categories such as Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC), bicycle and pedestrian improvements, local streets and roads preservation, and planning activities, while also providing dedicated funding opportunities for Safe Routes to School activities and PCAs.

The early outcomes of the OBAG 1 program are documented in the One Bay Area Grant Report Card located at: ([http://files.mtc.ca.gov/pdf/OBAG\\_Report\\_Card.pdf](http://files.mtc.ca.gov/pdf/OBAG_Report_Card.pdf)). The key findings of the report highlight a variety of improvements as compared to previous federal highway funding programs, including: increased grant and project size, complexity, and multi-modality; significant investments in active transportation and TLC projects; region wide achievement of PDA investment targets; and compliance with local performance and accountability requirements. Considering the positive results achieved in OBAG 1, and in order to further extend the timeframe for OBAG to meet its policy goals, OBAG 2 maintains largely the same framework and policies.

## **REVENUE ESTIMATES AND PROGRAM ARCHITECTURE**

OBAG 2 funding is based on anticipated future federal transportation program apportionments from the regional Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Programs. Originally, the programming capacity estimated for OBAG 2 amounted to \$790 million (down from \$827 million programmed with OBAG 1). The estimated decrease in revenues between program cycles reflects annual apportionment amounts in the federal surface transportation act (Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Act, or MAP-21) authorized after approval of OBAG 1 not keeping pace with estimated growth rates, as well as changes in state and federal programs that impacted estimated regional funding levels (such as the elimination of the Transportation Enhancements (TE) program). Subsequent to the Commission's original adoption of OBAG 2, Congress approved the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, providing an additional estimated \$72 million during the OBAG 2 period. The revised total STP/CMAQ funding for OBAG 2 is \$862 million.

The OBAG 2 program continues to integrate the region's federal transportation program with California's climate statutes and the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS), and contributes to the implementation of the goals and objectives of the Regional Transportation Plan. Funding distribution formulas to the counties will continue to encourage land-use, housing and complete streets policies that support the production of housing with supportive transportation investments. This is accomplished through the following principles:

**1. Realistic Revenue Assumptions:**

OBAG 2 funding is based on anticipated future federal transportation program apportionments. In past years, the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program/Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement programs (STP/CMAQ) have not grown, and changes in the federal and state programs (such as elimination of the Transportation Enhancement (TE) program) resulted in decreases that were not anticipated when OBAG 1 was developed. For the initial OBAG 2 estimates, a 2% annual escalation rate above current federal revenues was assumed, consistent with the mark-up of the Developing a Reliable and Innovative Vision for the Economy (DRIVE) Act by the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee. Even with the 2% escalation, revenues for OBAG 2 were expected to be 4% less than OBAG 1 revenues. Following the Commission's original adoption of OBAG 2, an additional \$72 million in FAST Act revenue was made available, for a total of \$862 million for OBAG 2 - an increase of 4% over the OBAG 1 funding level.

If there are significant changes in federal apportionments over the OBAG 2 time period, MTC will return to the Commission to recommend adjustments to the program. These adjustments could include increasing or decreasing funding amounts for one or more programs, postponement of projects, expansion of existing programs, development of new programs, or adjustments to subsequent programming cycles.

Upon enactment and extension of the federal surface transportation authorizations expected during the OBAG funding period, MTC will need to closely monitor any new federal programs, their eligibility rules, and how funding is distributed to the states and regions. It is anticipated that any changes to the current federal programs would likely overlap to a large extent with projects that are currently eligible for funding under 23 U.S.C., although the actual fund sources may no longer mirror the current STP and CMAQ programs. Therefore, any reference to a specific fund source in the OBAG 2 programming serves as a proxy for replacement fund sources for which MTC has discretionary project selection and programming authority.

OBAG 2 programming capacity is based on apportionment rather than obligation authority. Because obligation authority (the amount actually received) is less than the apportionment level, there is typically a carryover balance from year to year of unfunded commitments. MTC's current negative obligation authority imbalance is \$51 million, and has held steady the past few years as a result of the region's excellent delivery record. Successful project delivery has allowed MTC to capture additional, unused obligation authority (OA) from other states, enabling the region to deliver additional projects each year. Because this negative balance has held steady, there does not appear to be a need to true-up the

difference at this time. MTC staff will continue to monitor this OA shortfall throughout the OBAG 2 period and make adjustments as necessary in the next round of programming.

**2. Support Existing Programs:**

Originally, the OBAG program was expected to face declining revenues from \$827 million in OBAG 1 to \$790 million in OBAG 2. Therefore, no new programs were introduced with OBAG 2 and the anticipated funding reduction was spread among the various transportation needs supported in OBAG 1. With the \$72 million in additional revenues from the FAST Act, funding for OBAG 2 increased to \$862 million.

The OBAG 2 program categories and commitments for the regional and county programs are outlined in Appendix A-1.

**3. Support Plan Bay Area’s Sustainable Communities Strategy by Linking OBAG Funding to Housing:**

County Program Distribution Formula

OBAG 1’s county distribution formula leveraged transportation dollars to reward jurisdictions that produce housing and accept housing allocations through the Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) process. The formula also considered the share of affordable housing within housing production and RHNA allocations.

In OBAG 2, the county distribution formula is updated to use the latest housing data from the Association of Bay Area Government (ABAG). The formula is also based on housing over a longer time frame, considering housing production between 1999 and 2006 (weighted 30%) and between 2007 and 2014 (weighted 70%) in order to mitigate the effect of the recent recession and major swings in housing permit approvals.

The OBAG 2 formula places additional emphasis on housing production and the share of affordable housing within both production and RHNA. The formula also expands the definition of affordable housing to include housing for moderate-income households in addition to low- and very low-income households. Furthermore, housing production is capped at the total RHNA allocation.

The distribution formula factors for OBAG 2 are detailed in the table below.

**OBAG 2 County Distribution Formula Factors**

	<b>Population</b>	<b>Housing RHNA</b>	<b>Housing Production</b>	<b>Housing Affordability *</b>
<b>OBAG 2</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>60%</b>

\*OBAG 2 housing affordability factor includes housing at the very low, low and moderate income levels which are weighted within both housing production and RHNA allocation.

The distribution formula is further adjusted to ensure that CMA base planning funds are no more than 50% of the total distribution for that county. The resulting proposed county program formula distributions are presented in Appendix A-2.

#### Priority Development Areas (PDAs)

OBAG 2 continues to support the SCS for the Bay Area by promoting transportation investments in Priority Development Areas (PDAs).

- PDA Investment targets remain at OBAG 1 levels: 50% for the four North Bay counties and 70% for the remaining counties.
- PDA Investment and Growth Strategies should play a strong role in guiding the County CMA project selection and be aligned with the Plan Bay Area update cycle.

#### Priority Conservation Areas (PCAs)

OBAG 2 maintains the two separate Priority Conservation Area (PCA) programs as introduced in OBAG 1, with one program dedicating funding to the four North Bay counties and one competitive program for the remaining counties.

#### **4. Continue Flexibility and Local Transportation Investment Decision Making:**

OBAG 2 continues to provide the same base share of the funding pot (40%) to the county CMAs for local decision-making. The program allows CMAs the flexibility to invest in various transportation categories, such as Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC), bicycle and pedestrian improvements, local streets and roads preservation, and planning and outreach activities.

In addition to the base county program, two previously regional programs, Safe Routes to School and the Federal-Aid Secondary (rural roads), have been consolidated into the county program with guaranteed minimum funding amounts to ensure the programs continue to be funded at specified levels.

#### **5. Cultivate Linkages with Local Land-Use Planning:**

As a condition to access funds, local jurisdictions need to continue to align their general plans' housing and complete streets policies as a part of OBAG 2 and as separately required by state law.

##### Complete Streets Requirement

Jurisdictions must adopt a complete streets resolution by the date the CMAs submit their OBAG 2 project recommendations to MTC, incorporating MTC's required complete streets elements as outlined in [MTC's Complete Streets Guidance](#).

Alternatively, to recognize local jurisdictions' efforts to update their general plan circulation element to incorporate the provisions of the 2008 Complete Streets Act in

response to the provisions stated in OBAG 1, a jurisdiction may adopt a significant revision to the circulation element of the general plan that complies with the Act after January 1, 2010 and before the date the CMAs submit their OBAG 2 project recommendations to MTC.

The approach above focuses on the adoption of local complete streets resolutions, while acknowledging the jurisdictions that took efforts to update their circulation element in anticipation of future OBAG requirements.

#### Housing Element Requirement

Jurisdictions (cities and counties) must have a general plan housing element adopted and certified by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) for 2014-2022 RHNA by May 31, 2015. Jurisdictions that have failed to meet this deadline must have their housing elements certified by HCD by June 30, 2016 in order to be eligible to receive OBAG 2 funding.

Furthermore, under state statute, jurisdictions are required to submit Housing Element Annual Reports by April 1 every year. All cities and counties receiving OBAG 2 funding must comply with this requirement during the entire OBAG 2 funding period or risk deprogramming of OBAG 2 funding.

The complete streets and housing requirements are not required for jurisdictions with no general plan or land use authority such as Caltrans, CMAs or transit agencies under a JPA or district (not under the governance of a local jurisdiction). However, in such instances the jurisdiction in which the project is physically located must meet these requirements, except for transit/rail agency property such as, track, rolling stock or a maintenance facility.

#### Surplus Land Requirement

Cities and counties receiving funds through the County Program must adopt a surplus land resolution by the date the CMAs submit their OBAG 2 project recommendations to MTC. The resolution must verify that any disposition of surplus land undertaken by the jurisdiction complies with the State Surplus Land Act, as amended by AB 2135, 2014. MTC will issue guidance to assist cities and counties in drafting a resolution to meet this requirement. This guidance will be posted on the OBAG 2 website:  
<http://mtc.ca.gov/our-work/fund-invest/federal-funding/obag-2>.

This requirement shall not apply to charter cities unless and until a final court decision is rendered that charter cities are subject to the provisions of the Act. In addition, the resolution is not required for public agencies with no general plan or land use authority.

### **6. Continue Transparency and Outreach to the Public Throughout the Process:**

CMAs will continue to report on their outreach process as part of their solicitation and selection of projects for OBAG. Each CMA will develop a memorandum addressing outreach efforts, agency coordination, distribution methodology and Title VI compliance. CMA reporting requirements are provided in Appendix A-10, the Checklist for CMA and Local Jurisdiction Compliance with MTC Resolution 4202.

## PROGRAM CATEGORIES AND PROJECT LIST

Appendix A-1 outlines the OBAG 2 program categories and commitments.

Attachment B of Resolution 4202 contains the list of projects to be programmed under the OBAG 2 program. Attachments B-1 and B-2 list the projects receiving OBAG 2 funding through the regional programs and county programs respectively. The project lists are subject to project selection actions (conducted by MTC for most of the regional programs and by the CMAs for the county programs and other funds distributed to them). MTC staff will update Attachments B-1 and B-2 as projects are selected or revised by the Commission and CMAs and are included in the federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

## GENERAL PROGRAMMING POLICIES

The following programming policies apply to all projects funded in OBAG 2:

- 1. Public Involvement.** MTC is committed to a public involvement process that is proactive and provides comprehensive information, timely public notice, public access to key decisions, and opportunities for continuing involvement. MTC provides many methods to fulfill this commitment, as outlined in the *MTC Public Participation Plan*, Resolution No. 4174. The Commission's adoption of the OBAG 2 program, including policy and procedures, meets the provisions of the *MTC Public Participation Plan*. MTC's advisory committees and the Bay Area Partnership have been consulted in the development of funding commitments and policies for this program; and opportunities to comment have been provided to other stakeholders and members of the public.

Furthermore, investments made in the OBAG 2 program must be consistent with federal Title VI requirements. Title VI prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, income, and national origin in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance. Public outreach to and involvement of individuals in low income and minority communities covered under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and the Executive Order pertaining to Environmental Justice is critical to both local and regional decisions. Additionally, when CMAs select projects for funding at the county level, they must consider equitable solicitation and selection of project candidates in accordance with federal Title VI requirements (as set forth in Appendix A-7).

- 2. Commission Approval of Programs and Projects and the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).** Projects approved as part of the OBAG 2 program must be amended into the TIP. The federally-required TIP is a comprehensive listing of all San Francisco Bay Area surface transportation projects that receive federal funds, and/or are subject to a federally required action, such as federal environmental clearance, and/or are regionally significant for air quality conformity or modeling purposes. It is the project sponsor's responsibility to ensure their project is properly programmed in the TIP in a timely manner. Where CMAs are responsible for project selection, the Commission will revise the TIP to include the resulting projects and Attachment B to this Resolution may be updated by MTC staff to reflect these revisions. Where responsibility for project selection is assigned to MTC, TIP amendments and a revision to Attachment B to add or delete a project will be reviewed and approved by the Commission.



Changes to existing projects in Attachment B may be made by MTC staff following approval of a related TIP revision.

- 3. Minimum Grant Size.** Funding grants per project must be a minimum of \$500,000 for counties with a population over 1 million (Alameda, Contra Costa, and Santa Clara counties) and \$250,000 for counties with a population under one million (Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Solano, and Sonoma counties). The objective of a grant minimum requirement is to maximize the efficient use of federal funds and minimize the number of federal-aid projects which place administrative burdens on project sponsors, CMAs, MTC, Caltrans, and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) staff.

To provide flexibility, an alternative averaging approach may be used. For this approach, a CMA may program grant amounts no less than \$100,000 for any project, provided that the overall average of all grant amounts within their County CMA Program meets the county minimum grant amount threshold. This lower threshold of \$100,000 also applies to Safe Routes to School projects, which are typically of smaller scale.

Furthermore, all OBAG 2 programming amounts must be rounded to thousands.

- 4. Air Quality Conformity.** In the Bay Area, it is the responsibility of MTC to make a regional air quality conformity determination for the TIP in accordance with federal Clean Air Act requirements and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conformity regulations. MTC evaluates the impact of the TIP on regional air quality during the update of the TIP. Non-exempt projects that are not incorporated in the current finding for the TIP will not be considered for funding in the OBAG 2 program until the development of a subsequent air quality finding for the TIP. Additionally, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has designated the Bay Area as a non-attainment area for fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). Therefore, based on consultation with the MTC Air Quality Conformity Task Force, projects deemed Projects of Air Quality Concern (POAQC) must complete a hot-spot analysis as required by the Transportation Conformity Rule. Generally, POAQC are those projects that result in significant increases in, or concentrations of, emissions from diesel vehicles.
- 5. Environmental Clearance.** Project sponsors are responsible for compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code § 21000 et seq.), the State Environmental Impact Report Guidelines (14 California Code of Regulations Section § 15000 et seq.), and the National Environmental Protection Act (42 U.S.C. § 4321 et seq.) standards and procedures for all projects with federal funds.
- 6. Application and Resolution of Local Support.** Once a project has been selected for funding, project sponsors must submit a completed project application for each project through MTC's Funding Management System (FMS). The project application consists of two parts: 1) a project submittal and/or TIP revision request to MTC staff through FMS, and 2) a Resolution of Local Support approved by the project sponsor's governing board or council and submitted in FMS. A template for the Resolution of Local Support can be downloaded from the MTC website using the following link: <http://mtc.ca.gov/our-work/fund-invest/federal-funding/obag-2>.
- 7. Project Screening and Compliance with Regional and Federal Requirements.** MTC staff will perform a review of projects proposed for OBAG 2 to ensure 1) eligibility; 2) consistency with

the region's long-range plan; and 3) project readiness. In addition, project sponsors must adhere to directives such as the Complete Streets Requirements, Housing Element Requirements, and the Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606), as outlined below, and provide the required matching funds. Project sponsors should note that fund source programs, eligibility criteria, and regulations may change as a result of the passage of new surface transportation authorization legislation. In this situation, MTC staff will work to realign new fund sources with the funding commitments approved by the Commission.

- ▶ **Federal Project Eligibility:** STP is the most flexible source of federal funding, with a wide range of projects that may be considered eligible. Eligible projects include roadway and bridge improvements (construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration), public transit capital improvements, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, transportation system management, transportation demand management, transportation control measures, mitigation related to an STP project, surface transportation planning activities, and safety. More detailed eligibility requirements can be found in 23 U.S.C § 133 and at: <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/map21/factsheets/stp.cfm>.

CMAQ is a more targeted funding source. In general, CMAQ funds may be used for new or expanded transportation projects, programs, and operations that help reduce emissions. Eligible project categories that meet this basic criteria include: Transportation activities in an approved State Implementation Plan (SIP), Transportation Control Measures (TCMs), alternative fuels, traffic flow improvements, transit expansion projects, new bicycle and pedestrian facilities and programs, travel demand management, outreach and rideshare activities, telecommuting programs, intermodal freight, planning and project development activities, and experimental pilot projects. For more detailed information, refer to FHWA's revised guidance provided at: [http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air\\_quality/cmaq/policy\\_and\\_guidance/](http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air_quality/cmaq/policy_and_guidance/).

MTC reserves the right to assign specific fund sources to projects based on availability and eligibility requirements. In the event that a new surface transportation authorization is enacted during implementation of OBAG 2 that materially alters these programs, MTC staff will work with the CMAs and project sponsors to match projects with appropriate federal fund programs.

- ▶ **RTP Consistency:** Projects funded through OBAG 2 must be consistent with the adopted Regional Transportation Plan (currently *Plan Bay Area*). Project sponsors must identify each project's relationship with meeting the goals and objectives of the RTP, including the specific RTP ID number or reference. RTP consistency will be verified by MTC staff for all OBAG 2 projects. Projects in the County program will also be reviewed by CMA staff prior to submitting selected projects to MTC.
- ▶ **Complete Streets Policy:** Federal, state and regional policies and directives emphasize the accommodation of bicyclists, pedestrians, and persons with disabilities when designing transportation facilities. MTC's Complete Streets Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3765) created a checklist that is intended for use on projects to ensure the accommodation of non-motorized travelers is considered at the earliest conception or design phase. The county CMAs ensure that project sponsors complete the checklist before projects are

considered by the county for OBAG 2 funding and submitted to MTC. The CMAs are required to make completed checklists available to their Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) for review prior to CMAs' project selection actions.

Related state policies include: Caltrans Complete Streets Policy Deputy Directive 64 R1, which stipulates pedestrians, bicyclists and persons with disabilities must be considered in all programming, planning, maintenance, construction, operations, and project development activities and products; and the California Complete Streets Act of 2008, which requires local agency general plan circulation elements to address all travel modes.

- **Project Delivery and Monitoring:** OBAG 2 funding is available in the following five federal fiscal years: 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20, 2020-21, and 2021-22. Funds may be programmed in any of these years, conditioned upon the availability of federal apportionment and obligation authority (OA), and subject to TIP financial constraint requirements. In addition, in order to provide uninterrupted funding to ongoing efforts and to provide more time to prepare for the effective delivery of capital projects, priority of funding for the first year of programming apportionment (FY 2017-18) will be provided to ongoing programs, such as regional and CMA planning, non-infrastructure projects, and the preliminary engineering phase of capital projects.

Specific programming timelines will be determined through the development of the Annual Obligation Plan, which is developed by MTC staff in collaboration with the Bay Area Partnership technical working groups and project sponsors. Once programmed in the TIP, the funds must be obligated by FHWA or transferred to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) within the federal fiscal year the funds are programmed in the TIP. Additionally, all OBAG 2 funds must be obligated no later than **January 31, 2024** **January 31, 2023**.

Obligation deadlines, project substitutions and redirection of project savings will continue to be governed by the MTC Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606 and any subsequent revisions). All funds are subject to obligation, award, invoicing, reimbursement and project close-out requirements. The failure to meet these deadlines may result in the de-programming and redirection of funds to other projects.

To further facilitate project delivery and ensure all federal funds in the region are meeting federal and state regulations and deadlines, every recipient of OBAG 2 funding is required to identify and maintain a staff position that serves as the single point of contact (SPOC) for the implementation of all FHWA-administered funds within that agency. The person in this position must have sufficient knowledge and expertise in the federal-aid delivery process to coordinate issues and questions that may arise from project inception to project close-out. The agency is required to identify the contact information for this position at the time of programming of funds in the TIP, and to notify MTC immediately when the position contact has changed. This person will be expected to work closely with FHWA, Caltrans, MTC and the respective CMA on all issues related to federal funding for all FHWA-funded projects implemented by the recipient.

Project sponsors that continue to miss delivery milestones and funding deadlines for any federal funds are required to prepare and update a delivery status report on all projects with FHWA-administered funds they manage, and participate, if requested, in a consultation meeting with the county CMA, MTC and Caltrans prior to MTC approving future programming or including any funding revisions for the agency in the TIP. The purpose of the status report and consultation is to ensure the local public agency has the resources and technical capacity to deliver FHWA federal-aid projects, is fully aware of the required delivery deadlines, and has developed a delivery timeline that takes into consideration the requirements and lead-time of the federal-aid process within available resources.

By applying for and accepting OBAG 2 funding, the project sponsor is acknowledging that it has and will maintain the expertise and staff resources necessary to deliver the federal-aid project within the project-funding timeframe.

- ▶ Funding Exchange: Sometimes federal funds may not be the best fit for projects being implemented to meet plan and program goals and objectives. In such cases, federal OBAG funding may be exchanged with non-federal funds. MTC staff will work with the CMAs when such opportunities arise. Such exchanges must be consistent with MTC's fund exchange policy (MTC Resolution No. 3331) and the locally-funded project must be included in the federal TIP.
- ▶ Local Match: Projects funded with STP or CMAQ funding require a non-federal local match. Although local match requirements are subject to change, the current local match requirement for STP and CMAQ funded projects in California is 11.47% of the total project cost, with FHWA providing up to 88.53% of the total project cost through reimbursements. For capital projects, sponsors that fully fund the project development or Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with non-federal funds may use toll credits in lieu of a match for the construction phase. For these projects, sponsors must still meet all federal requirements for the PE phase.
- ▶ Fixed Program and Specific Project Selection: Projects are chosen for the program based on eligibility, project merit, and deliverability within established deadlines. The OBAG 2 program is project-specific and the funds programmed to projects are for those projects alone.

The OBAG 2 program funding is fixed at the programmed amount; therefore, any project cost increases may not be covered by additional OBAG 2 funds. Project sponsors are responsible for securing the necessary match, and for cost increases or additional funding needed to complete the project, including contingencies.

- 8. Regional STP/CMAQ Exchanges.** It is often difficult for smaller regions to fully utilize their federal funds and deliver projects through the federal-aid process. This can place these more rural regions in conflict with state and federal timely use funds provisions, such as Sections 182.6 and 182.7 of the State Streets and Highways Code which require federal apportionment to be secured (obligated) within three years of federal eligibility, or when Congress enacts

rescissions of unobligated funds. The SF Bay Area region is often in the opposite situation – more projects are ready for delivery than funds available each year.

Regions also find themselves in situations where a project or activity is ineligible for a certain federal fund source such as CMAQ, and may require STP, while another region can easily use either fund source.

To avoid the lapsing of funds and address these funding issues, regions may enter into exchange agreements, where older unused STP/CMAQ funds subject to lapse or rescission from one region are “exchanged” with future funds from a region that can use the funds by the deadline. Or a simple fund source exchange is needed. Such exchanges benefit both regions by avoiding the loss of funds in one region, while another region can advance projects that may be stalled due to a lack of eligible funding.

To facilitate such exchanges, the MTC Executive Director or designee is hereby authorized to sign letters of understanding with Caltrans and other regions for the exchange of STP/CMAQ funds with the following conditions and limitations.

- The exchange does not negatively impact the delivery of regional STP/CMAQ projects.
- The amount exchanged does not exceed \$2 million per region per year, with the exception of federal fiscal year 2020-21 when the amount exchanged may not exceed \$100 million in total.
- The exchange is a dollar for dollar exchange.
- The exchange is allowed under Caltrans’ obligation authority management policy.
- The Letter of Understanding can be executed in time for the MTC to secure the funds prior to any lapse or rescission.
- If any timely use of funds deadlines or Caltrans processes are not met in time and therefore result in the loss of apportionment balance, MTC’s apportionment shall not be negatively affected and the Letter of Understanding is null and void.

Exchanges beyond these conditions and limitations may be approved by a standing Committee of the Commission.

## **REGIONAL PROGRAMS**

The programs below comprise the OBAG 2 Regional Programs, managed by MTC. Funding amounts for each program are included in Appendix A-1. Individual projects will be added to Attachment B-1 and B-2 as they are selected and included in the federal TIP.

### ***1. Regional Planning Activities***

This program provides funding to support regional planning and outreach activities.

Appendix A-3 details the funding amounts and distribution for planning and outreach activities.

### ***2. Pavement Management Program***

This continues the region’s acclaimed Pavement Management Program (PMP) and related activities including the Pavement Technical Assistance Program (PTAP), training, and regional and statewide local streets and roads needs assessment. MTC provides grants to local jurisdictions to perform

regular inspections of their local streets and roads networks and to update their pavement management systems which is a requirement to receive certain funding. MTC also assists local jurisdictions in conducting associated data collection and analysis efforts including local roads needs assessments and inventory surveys and asset management analysis that feed into regional planning efforts. MTC provides, training, research and development of pavement and non-pavement preservation management techniques, and participates in the statewide local streets and roads needs assessment effort.

To support the collection and analysis of local roads asset conditions for regional planning efforts and statewide funding advocacy, and to be eligible for OBAG 2 funding for local streets and roads, a jurisdiction must:

- Have a certified Pavement Management Program (StreetSaver® or equivalent) updated at least once every three years (with a one-year extension allowed); and
- Fully participate in the statewide local streets and road needs assessment survey (including any assigned funding contribution); and
- Provide updated information to the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) at least once every 3 years (with a one-year grace period allowed).

### **3. Regional Priority Development Area (PDA) Planning & Implementation**

Funding in this program implements the following:

Regional PDA Planning and Implementation: The PDA Planning Program places an emphasis on intensifying land uses at and near transit stations and along transit corridors in PDAs. The key goals of the program are to: increase supply of affordable and market rate housing, jobs and services within the PDA planning area; boost transit ridership and thereby reduce vehicle miles traveled by PDA residents, employees and visitors; increase walking and bicycling by improving multi-modal access and effectively managing parking; and locate key services and retail within the PDA planning area. Funding is available for regional planning and implementation efforts and grants to jurisdictions to provide PDA planning support, and typically fund specific plans and programmatic Environmental Impact Reports. PDA plans funded through the program focus on a range of transit-supportive elements including market demand analysis, affordable housing strategies, multi-modal connectivity including pedestrian-friendly design standards, parking demand analysis, infrastructure development, implementation planning and financing strategies and implementation of the best practices identified in the Air District's Planning Healthy Places guidelines.

The PDA Planning Program will give priority to cities with high risk of displacement in order to support the development of local policies and programs to meaningfully address identified housing issues.

Community-Based Transportation Planning: A portion of this program will be dedicated to the Community-Based Transportation Planning (CBTP) grant program. These locally-led plans address the mobility needs of low-income households in the region's 35 Communities of Concern. Grant funds will be used to update CBTPs that are in many cases more than 10 years old.

Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing (NOAH): Consistent with the OBAG 2 framework and PDA Planning Program, a NOAH revolving loan fund will be established as a complement to the existing

TOAH loan products for new construction. NOAH loans would be used to buy apartment buildings to create long-term affordability where displacement risk is high and to secure long-term affordability in currently subsidized units that are set to expire. NOAH investments will be made in PDAs or Transit Priority Areas.

#### **4. Climate Initiatives Program**

The purpose of the OBAG 2 Climate Initiatives Program is to support the implementation of strategies identified in Plan Bay Area to achieve the required CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reductions per SB375 and federal criteria pollutant reductions. Investments focus on projects and programs with effective greenhouse gas emission reduction results.

Spare the Air Youth: A portion of the Climate Initiatives program would be directed to the implementation of Spare the Air Youth program.

#### **5. Regional Active Operational Management**

This program is administered at the regional level by MTC to actively manage congestion through cost-effective operational strategies that improve mobility and system efficiency across freeways, arterials and transit modes. Funding continues to be directed to evolving MTC operational programs such as next generation 511, Freeway Service Patrol (FSP), incident management program, managed lanes and regional rideshare program. Funding will also be directed to new initiatives such as the Columbus Day Initiative that deploys advanced technologies and Transportation Management Systems that ensures the existing and new technology infrastructure is operational and well-maintained.

##### Columbus Day Initiative

The Columbus Day Initiative (CDI) builds on the proven success of its predecessor program (the Freeway Performance Initiative), which implemented traditional fixed time-of-day freeway ramp metering and arterial signal timing projects that achieved significant delay reduction and safety on Bay Area freeways and arterials at a fraction of the cost of traditional highway widening projects. The CDI aims to deliver cost-effective, technology-driven operational improvement projects such as, adaptive ramp metering, hard shoulder running lanes, queue warning signs, connected vehicle technologies, shared mobility technologies, and regional arterial operations strategies. Projects would target priority freeway and arterial corridors with significant congestion. Funding for performance monitoring activities and corridor studies is included to monitor the state of the system and to identify and assess the feasibility of operational strategies to be deployed.

##### Transportation Management Systems

This program includes the operations and management of highway operations field equipment; critical freeway and incident management functions; and Transportation Management Center (TMC) staff resources needed to actively operate and maintain the highway system.

##### Bay Bridge Forward Project

As part of the overall OBAG 2 framework, this project encompasses the implementation of several near-term, cost-effective operational improvements that offer travel time savings, reliability and lower costs for carpooling and bus/ferry transit use to increase person throughput and reduce congestion, incidents, and emissions in the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge corridor.

## **6. Transit Priorities Program**

The objective of the Transit Priorities Program is to assist transit operators to fund major fleet replacements, including the BART Car Replacement Phase 1 project, fixed guideway rehabilitation and other high-scoring capital needs, including replacement of Clipper equipment and development of Clipper 2.0, that are consistent with MTC's Transit Capital Priorities policy for programming federal transit funds (MTC Resolution 4140 or successor resolution).

The program also implements elements of the Transit Sustainability Project by making transit-supportive investments in major transit corridors that can be carried out within two years through the Transit Performance Initiative (TPI). The focus of TPI is on making cost-effective operational improvements on significant trunk lines which carry the largest number of passengers in the Bay Area including transit signal prioritization, passenger circulation improvements at major hubs, boarding/stop improvements and other improvements to improve the passenger experience.

## **7. Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program**

The Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program provides funding for the development of plans and projects to assist in the preservation and enhancement of rural lands. Specifically, projects must support Plan Bay Area by preserving and enhancing the natural, economic and social value of rural lands and open space amidst a growing population across the Bay Area, for residents and businesses. The PCA program includes one approach for the North Bay counties (Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma) and a second approach for the remaining five counties.

In the North Bay, each of the four CMAs will take the lead to develop a county-wide program, building on PCA planning conducted to date to select projects for funding.

For the remaining counties, MTC will partner with the Coastal Conservancy, a California State agency, to program the PCA funds. MTC will provide federal funding which will be combined with the Coastal Conservancy's own program funds in order to support a broader range of projects (i.e. land acquisition and easement projects) than can be accommodated with federal transportation dollars alone. The Coastal Conservancy, MTC, and ABAG staff will cooperatively manage the call for proposals.

The minimum non-federal match required for PCA-program funding is 2:1.

As a part of the update to *Plan Bay Area*, MTC is exploring implementing a Regional Advance Mitigation Planning (RAMP) Program. RAMP would mitigate certain environmental impacts from multiple planned transportation projects, rather than mitigating on a less-efficient per-project level. Partnering arrangements can be established to leverage multiple fund sources in order to maximize benefits of the RAMP and PCA programs. As such, PCA funds may be used to deliver net environmental benefits to a RAMP program project.

In instances where federal funds may not be used for this purpose, sponsors may exchange OBAG 2 funds with eligible non-federal funds. Such exchanges must be consistent with MTC's fund exchange policy (MTC Resolution No. 3331).

Appendix A-9 outlines the framework for this program including goals, project screening, eligibility, eligible sponsors, and project selection.



### **8. Housing Production Incentive**

As part of the OBAG 2 framework, MTC developed a challenge grant program for the production and preservation of affordable housing. The purpose of the program is to reward local jurisdictions that produce the most housing units at the very low, low, and moderate income levels.

The funds provided for the HIP program through OBAG 2 would be STP/CMAQ, and would need to be used only for federally-eligible transportation purposes. Additional funds may be added outside of OBAG 2 to increase the size of the challenge grant program.

### **9. Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike**

The Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program is a regional, competitive grant program to fund projects that can be implemented quickly to benefit communities. The program emphasizes bicycle/pedestrian safety and mobility, transit and transit access improvements, connected mobility, advancing equitable mobility, or other near-term strategies to advance transit recovery and connected mobility.

Appendix A-11 outlines the framework for this program including program focus areas, project eligibility, evaluation criteria, and the project nomination and selection process.

### **10. Regional Strategic Initiatives**

The Regional Strategic Initiatives program reflects regional funding commitments to projects not captured in the original OBAG 2 framework as well as projects funded through unspent STP/CMAQ balances from prior cycles and various funding exchanges.

## **COUNTY PROGRAMMING POLICIES**

The policies below apply to the programs managed by the county Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) or substitute agency:

- ▶ **Program Eligibility:** The CMA, or substitute agency, may program funds from its OBAG 2 county fund distribution to projects that meet the eligibility requirements for any of the following transportation improvement types:
  - Planning and Outreach Activities
  - Local Streets and Roads Preservation
  - Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements
  - Transportation for Livable Communities
  - Safe Routes To School
  - Priority Conservation Areas
  - Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) Improvements
- ▶ **Fund Sources & Formula Distribution:** OBAG 2 is funded primarily from two federal fund sources: STP and CMAQ. The CMAs will be provided a breakdown of specific OBAG 2 fund sources, with the understanding that actual fund sources are subject to change. Should there be significant changes to federal fund sources, MTC staff will work with the

CMAs to identify and realign new fund sources with the funding commitments approved by the Commission. Furthermore, due to strict funding availability and eligibility requirements, the CMAs must adhere to the fund source limitations provided. Exceptions may be granted by MTC staff based on actual fund source availability and final federal apportionment levels.

Consistent with OBAG 1, 60% of available OBAG 2 funding is assigned to Regional Programs and 40% assigned to the base County CMA Programs. The Safe Routes to School (SRTS) and Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) programs augment the county base funding, bringing the final proportionate share to 55% regional and 45% county. The Base county funds (SRTS & FAS have their own formula distribution) are distributed to each county based on the OBAG 2 county distribution formula (see page 3). Counties are further guaranteed that the funding amount for planning purposes will not exceed 50% of their total distribution. This results in the county of Napa receiving additional funding. This planning guarantee clause results in a slight deviation in the final OBAG 2 fund distribution for each county. The base County CMA Program fund distribution after the planning guarantee adjustment is shown in Appendix A-2.

► Priority Development Area (PDA) Policies

- PDA minimum investment: CMAs in larger counties (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Mateo, San Francisco, and Santa Clara) shall direct at least 70% of their OBAG 2 investments to PDAs. For North Bay counties (Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma) this minimum target is 50% to reflect the more rural nature of these counties. CMA planning and outreach costs partially count towards PDA minimum investment targets (70% or 50%, in line with each county's PDA minimum investment target). The guaranteed minimum for Priority Conservation Area (PCA), Safe Routes to School (SRTS), and Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) do not count towards PDA targets. The PDA/non-PDA funding split is shown in Appendix A-2.
- PDA boundary delineation: Refer to [http://gis.mtc.ca.gov/interactive\\_maps/](http://gis.mtc.ca.gov/interactive_maps/) which provides a GIS overlay of the PDAs in the Bay Area to exact map boundaries including transportation facilities. This map is updated as ABAG approves new PDA designations.
- Defining proximate access to PDAs: The CMAs may determine that a project located outside of a PDA provides proximate access to the PDA, and thus counts towards the county's minimum PDA investment target. The CMA is required to map these projects along with the associated PDA(s) and provide a policy justification for designating the project as supporting a PDA through proximate access. This information should assist decision makers, stakeholders, and the public in evaluating the impact of the investment on a nearby PDA, to determine whether or not the investment should be credited towards the county's PDA minimum investment target. This information must be presented for public review when the CMA board acts on OBAG programming decisions.

- PDA Investment & Growth Strategy: Updates to each county's PDA Investment & Growth Strategy are required every four years and must be adopted by the CMA Board. The updates should be coordinated with the countywide plan and Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) updates to inform RTP development decisions. Interim status reports are required two years after each update to address needed revisions and provide an activity and progress status. The interim status report required for 2019 will be satisfied through a collaborative effort between the CMAs and MTC. See Appendix A-8 for details.
- ▶ Project Selection: County CMAs or substitute agencies are given the responsibility to develop a project selection process. The process should include solicitation of projects, identifying evaluation criteria, conducting outreach, evaluating project applications, and selecting projects.
  - Public Involvement: In selecting projects for federal funding, the decision making authority is responsible for ensuring that the process complies with federal statutes and regulations. In order to ensure that the CMA process for administering OBAG 2 is in compliance with federal regulations, CMAs are required to lead a public outreach process as directed by Appendix A-7.
  - CMAs must adopt a specific scoring methodology for funding allocation to projects within PDAs or Transit Priority Areas (TPAs) that rewards jurisdictions with the most effective housing anti-displacement policies.
  - MTC and the CMAs will conduct an analysis of the impact of this incentive-based scoring methodology on project selection and local anti-displacement and affordable housing production policy development. The findings will be used to inform future planning and funding priorities.
  - Unified Call for Projects: CMAs are requested to issue one unified call for projects for their OBAG 2 program. Final project lists are due to MTC by July 31, 2017, with all associated project information submitted to MTC using the Fund Management System (FMS) by August 31, 2017. On a case-by-case basis and as approved in advance by MTC staff, these deadlines may be waived to allow coordination with other county-wide call for projects or programming needs. The goal is to coordinate the OBAG2 call for projects, and provide project sponsors the maximum time to deliver projects.
  - Project Programming Targets and Delivery Deadlines: CMAs must program their block grant funds over the OBAG 2 period (FY 2017-18 through FY 2021-22). In general, the expectation is that on-going activities such as CMA planning, non-infrastructure projects and the Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase of projects would use capacity in the first year, followed by the capital phases of project in later years.
  - OBAG 2 funding is subject to the provisions of the Regional Project Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution 3606, or its successor) including the deadlines for Request for Authorization (RFA) submittal and federal authorization/ obligation.

Additionally, the following funding deadlines apply for each county, with earlier delivery strongly encouraged:

- At least half of the OBAG 2 funds, must be obligated (federal authorization/FTA Transfer) by January 31, 2020.
- All remaining OBAG 2 funds must be obligated by **January 31, 2024**  
**January 31, 2023**.

► Performance and Accountability Policies: Jurisdictions need to comply with the following policies, as well as other requirements noted in the document, in order to be eligible recipients of OBAG 2 funds.

- Adopt a complete streets resolution by the date the CMAs submit their OBAG 2 project recommendations to MTC, incorporating MTC's required complete streets elements as outlined in [MTC's Complete Streets Guidance](#).

Alternatively, to recognize local jurisdiction's efforts to update their general plan circulation element to incorporate the provisions of the 2008 Complete Streets Act in response to the provisions stated in OBAG 1, a jurisdiction may adopt a significant revision to the circulation element of the general plan that complies with the Act after January 1, 2010.

For compliance, a substantial revision of the circulation element, passed after January 1, 2010, shall "...plan for a balanced, multimodal transportation network that meets the needs of all users of streets, roads, and highways for safe and convenient travel in a manner that is suitable to the rural, suburban, or urban context of the general plan," while complying with the other provisions of CA Government Code Section 65302 and Complete Streets Act of 2008.

The approach above focuses on the adoption of local complete streets resolutions, while acknowledging the jurisdictions that took efforts to update their circulation element in anticipation of future OBAG requirements.

- Jurisdictions (cities and counties) must have a general plan housing element adopted and certified by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) for 2014-2022 RHNA by May 31, 2015. Jurisdictions that have failed to meet this deadline must have their housing elements certified by HCD by June 30, 2016 in order to be eligible to receive OBAG 2 funding.
- Furthermore, under state statute, jurisdictions are required to submit Housing Element Annual Reports by April 1 every year. All cities and counties receiving OBAG 2 funding must comply with this statute during the entire OBAG 2 funding period or risk deprogramming of OBAG 2 funding.
- General law cities and counties must adopt a surplus land resolution by the date the CMAs submit their OBAG 2 project recommendations to MTC. The resolution must verify that any disposition of surplus land undertaken by the jurisdiction complies with the State Surplus Land Act, as amended by AB 2135, 2014. MTC will issue guidance to assist cities and counties in drafting a resolution to meet

this requirement. This guidance will be posted on the OBAG 2 website:  
<http://mtc.ca.gov/our-work/fund-invest/federal-funding/obag-2>.

Charter cities do not have to adopt a surplus land resolution unless and until a final court decision is rendered that charter cities are subject to the provisions of the Act.

- For jurisdictions with local public streets and roads, to be eligible for OBAG 2 funding, the jurisdiction must:
  - Have a certified Pavement Management Program (StreetSaver® or equivalent) updated at least once every three years (with a one-year extension allowed);
  - Fully participate in the statewide local streets and road needs assessment survey; and
  - Provide updated information to the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) at least once every 3 years (with a one-year grace period allowed).
- For a transit agency project sponsor under a Joint Powers Authority (JPA) or district (not under the governance of a local jurisdiction), or an agency where housing and complete streets policies do not apply, the jurisdiction where the project is located (such as station/stop improvements) will need to comply with the policies and other requirements specified in this attachment before funds may be programmed to the project sponsor. However, this is not required if the project is transit/rail agency property such as, track, rolling stock or a transit maintenance facility.
- OBAG 2 funds may not be programmed to any jurisdiction out of compliance with the policies and other requirements specified in this attachment.
- The CMA will be responsible for tracking progress towards all OBAG 2 requirements and affirming to MTC that a jurisdiction is in compliance prior to MTC programming OBAG 2 funds to its projects in the TIP.

CMAs will provide the following prior to programming projects in the TIP (see Appendix A-10):

- Documentation of the approach used to select OBAG 2 projects including outreach efforts, agency coordination, Title VI compliance, the methodology used for distributing funds within the county, and the specific scoring methodology used for allocating funds to projects within PDAs or TPAs that rewards local jurisdictions with the most effective housing anti-displacement policies;
- The board adopted list of projects recommended for OBAG 2 funding;
- Self-certification that all projects recommended for funding are consistent with the current RTP (including documentation) and have completed project-specific Complete Streets Checklists (including documentation);

- Identification of the Single-Point of Contact assigned by the jurisdiction for all FHWA-funded projects, including OBAG 2 projects;
- Documentation of local jurisdiction compliance with MTC's Complete Streets Policy, including a list of the status of each jurisdiction, a letter from the CMA for each jurisdiction describing how the jurisdiction meets the policy requirements, and supporting documentation for each local jurisdiction (resolutions and/or circulation elements)
- Documentation of local jurisdiction compliance with MTC's Housing Element requirements, including a list of the status of each jurisdiction's Annual Housing Element Progress Report as well as any supporting documentation for each jurisdiction (progress reports and copies of submittal letter to HCD). This documentation will be required annually from CMAs (April 30 each year) throughout the OBAG 2 programming period;
- Documentation of compliance with the State's Surplus Land Act requirements, for each applicable jurisdiction (copy of adopted resolution).
- Documentation for any projects recommended for funding that apply toward the county's minimum PDA investment target. This includes mapping of all mappable projects (projects with a physical location). For projects that are not physically located within a PDA, the CMA is required to map each project along with the associated PDA(s) and provide a policy justification for designating each project as supporting a PDA through proximate access. CMAs must also document that this information was used when presenting its program of projects to their board and the public; and
- Self-certification that the PDA Investment and Growth Strategy has been completed and adopted by the CMA Board, or will be adopted in coordination with the RTP update. The interim progress report requirement for 2019 will be satisfied through a collaborative effort between the CMAs and MTC. Documentation of subsequent required updates and interim progress reports must also be submitted by the CMAs throughout the OBAG 2 period.

## **COUNTY PROGRAMS**

The categories below comprise the eligible OBAG 2 County Programs, administered by the nine county CMAs. The CMAs should ensure that the project selection process and selected projects meet all eligibility requirements throughout this document as well as in federal statutes and regulations. MTC staff will work with CMAs and project sponsors to resolve any eligibility issues which may arise, including air quality conformity exceptions and requirements.

### **County CMA Program**

The base OBAG 2 County program accounts for 40% of the total funding available through OBAG 2 and is distributed to each county according to the OBAG 2 county formula after accounting for the

CMA Planning minimum guarantee (see Appendices A-2 and A-3). This program includes CMA planning and outreach as well as the various projects selected through each county's competitive call for projects. Projects selected through the base county program are subject to the PDA investment minimum requirements.

### **1. CMA Planning and Outreach**

This category provides funding to the county Congestion Management Agency (CMA) or substitute agency to support programming, monitoring and outreach activities. Such efforts include, but are not limited to: county-based planning efforts for development of the RTP/Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS); development of PDA growth strategies; development and implementation of a complete streets compliance protocol; establishing land use and travel forecasting process and procedures consistent with ABAG/MTC; ensuring the efficient and effective delivery of federal-aid local projects; and undertaking the programming of assigned funding and solicitation of projects.

The minimum funding level for the CMA planning and outreach program continues OBAG 1 commitments by escalating FY 2016-17 amounts at 2% per year. In addition, counties are guaranteed that the base funding level for the CMA's planning and outreach program will not exceed 50% of the county's total OBAG 2 County Program distribution. Actual CMA planning and outreach amounts for each county, are shown in Appendix A-3.

At their discretion, the CMAs may choose to designate additional funding from their County Program to augment their planning and outreach efforts.

All funding and activities will be administered through an interagency agreement between MTC and the respective CMA.

### **2. Local Streets and Roads Preservation**

This category is for the preservation of local streets and roads on the federal-aid system. To be eligible for funding of any Local Streets and Roads (LSR) preservation project, the jurisdiction must have a certified Pavement Management Program (StreetSaver® or equivalent). In addition, selected pavement projects should be based on the needs analysis resulting from the established Pavement Management Program (PMP) for the jurisdiction. This requirement ensures that streets selected for investment are cost effective. MTC is responsible for verifying the certification status of jurisdictions. The current certification status of area jurisdictions can be found at <http://www.mtc.ca.gov/services/pmp/>.

Furthermore, to support the collection and analysis of local roads asset conditions for comprehensive regional planning efforts and statewide funding advocacy, a jurisdiction must fully participate in the statewide local streets and road needs assessment survey to be eligible for OBAG 2 funding for pavement rehabilitation.

Eligibility requirements for specific project types are included below:

▶ Pavement Rehabilitation:

All pavement rehabilitation projects, including projects with pavement segments with a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) below 70, must be consistent with segments recommended for treatment within the programming cycle by the jurisdiction's PMP.

▶ Preventive Maintenance:

Only projects where pavement segments have a PCI of 70 or above are eligible for preventive maintenance. Furthermore, the local agency's PMP must demonstrate that the preventive maintenance strategy is a cost effective method of extending the service life of the pavement.

► Non-Pavement:

Eligible non-pavement activities and projects include rehabilitation or replacement of existing features on the roadway facility, such as bridge structures, storm drains, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), curbs, gutters, culverts, medians, guardrails, safety features, signals, signage, sidewalks, ramps, complete streets elements and features that bring the facility to current standards. Jurisdictions must have a certified PMP to be eligible to receive funding for improvements to non-pavement features.

Activities that are not eligible for funding include: Air quality non-exempt projects (unless granted an exception by MTC staff), new roadways, roadway extensions, right of way acquisition for future expansion, operations, routine maintenance, spot application, enhancements that are above and beyond repair or replacement of existing assets (other than bringing roadway to current standards or implementing complete streets elements) and any pavement application not recommended by the PMP unless otherwise allowed above.

Federal-Aid Eligible Facilities: Federal-aid highways as defined in 23 U.S.C. 101(a)(6) are eligible for local streets and roads preservation funding. A federal-aid highway is a public road that is not classified as a rural minor collector or local road (residential) or lower. Project sponsors must confirm the eligibility of their roadway through the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) prior to the application for funding.

### **3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements**

This category funds a wide range of bicycle and pedestrian improvements including Class I, II and III bicycle facilities; cycle tracks; bicycle education, outreach, sharing and parking; sidewalks, ramps, pathways and pedestrian bridges; user safety and supporting facilities; and traffic signal actuation. Bicycle and pedestrian projects may be located on or off the federal-aid highway system.

Additional eligibility requirements will apply to bicycle and pedestrian projects that are funded with CMAQ funds rather than STP funds, given the more limited scope of the CMAQ funding program. According to CMAQ eligibility requirements, bicycle and pedestrian facilities must not be exclusively recreational and should reduce vehicle trips resulting in air pollution reductions. Also, the hours of operation need to be reasonable and support bicycle/pedestrian needs, particularly during commute periods. For example, the policy that a trail be closed to users before sunrise or after sunset may limit users from using the facility during the portions of peak commute hours, particularly during times of the year with shorter days.

### **4. Transportation for Livable Communities**

The purpose of Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) projects is to support community-based transportation projects that bring new vibrancy to downtown areas, commercial cores, high-density neighborhoods, and transit corridors; enhancing their amenities and ambiance and making them places where people want to live, work and visit. The TLC program supports the RTP/SCS by



investing in improvements and facilities that promote alternative transportation modes rather than the single-occupant automobile.

General project categories include the following:

- Transit station improvements such as plazas, station access, pocket parks, and bicycle parking.
- Transit expansions serving PDAs.
- Complete Streets improvements that improve bicycle and pedestrian access and encourage use of alternative modes.
- Cost-effective, technology-driven active operational management strategies for local arterials and for highways when used to augment other fund sources or match challenge grants.
- Transportation Demand Management (TDM) projects including car sharing, vanpooling traveler coordination and information, and Clipper®-related projects.
- Transit access projects connecting high density housing/jobs/mixed land use to transit, such as bicycle/pedestrian paths and bridges and safe routes to transit.
- Streetscape projects focusing on high-impact, multi-modal improvements or associated with high density housing/mixed use and transit, such as bulb outs, sidewalk widening, crosswalk enhancements, audible signal modification, mid-block crossing and signals, new striping for bicycle lanes and road diets, pedestrian street lighting, medians, pedestrian refuges, wayfinding signage, tree grates, bollards, permanent bicycle racks, signal modification for bicycle detection, street trees, raised planters, planters, costs associated with on-site storm water management, permeable paving, and pedestrian-scaled street furniture including bus shelters, benches, magazine racks, garbage and recycling bins.
- Mobility management and coordination projects that meet the specific needs of seniors and individuals with disabilities and enhance transportation access for populations beyond those served by one agency or organization within a community. Examples include the integration and coordination of services for individuals with disabilities, seniors, and low-income individuals; individualized travel training and trip planning activities for customers; the development and operation of one-stop transportation traveler call centers to coordinate transportation information on all travel modes and to manage eligibility requirements and arrangements for customers among supporting programs; and the operation of transportation brokerages to coordinate providers, funding agencies and passengers. Selected project sponsors may need to transfer the STP/CMAQ funds received to FTA.
- PDA planning and implementation, including projects that incentivize local PDA transit oriented development housing (within funding eligibility limitations unless exchanged).
- Density incentives projects and non-transportation infrastructure improvements that include density bonuses, sewer upgrade, land banking or site assembly (these projects require funding exchanges to address federal funding eligibility limitations).

Activities that are not eligible for funding include: air quality non-exempt projects (unless granted an exception by MTC staff), new roadways, roadway extensions, right of way acquisition for future expansion, operations, and routine maintenance.

## **Additional County Programs**

In addition to the base County CMA Program, OBAG 2 directs additional funds to the CMAs to distribute to eligible project types. These programs are the Safe Routes to School (SRTS) program, the Federal Aid Secondary Shares Continuation (FAS) program, and for the North Bay Counties, the Priority Conservation Area (PCA) program.

### **1. Safe Routes to School**

Eligible projects for the Safe Routes to School (SRTS) program include infrastructure and non-infrastructure projects that facilitate reduction in vehicular travel to and from schools. It is important to note that this program is funded exclusively by the CMAQ funding program. Given the intent of the CMAQ program to reduce vehicular emissions, the OBAG 2 SRTS program is targeted towards air quality improvement rather than the health or safety of school-aged children. Despite this limitation, project eligibility under CMAQ largely overlaps with typical eligibility requirements for Safe Routes to School programs. Detailed examples of eligible projects are provided below:

#### Eligible Non-Infrastructure Projects

##### Public Education and Outreach Activities

- Public education and outreach can help communities reduce emissions and congestion by inducing drivers to change their transportation choices
- Activities that promote new or existing transportation services, developing messages and advertising materials (including market research, focus groups, and creative), placing messages and materials, evaluating message and material dissemination and public awareness, technical assistance, programs that promote the Tax Code provision related to commute benefits, and any other activities that help forward less-polluting transportation options
- Air quality public education messages: Long-term public education and outreach can be effective in raising awareness that can lead to changes in travel behavior and ongoing emissions reductions; therefore, these activities may be funded indefinitely
- Non-construction outreach related to safe bicycle use
- Travel Demand Management (TDM) activities including traveler information services, shuttle services, carpools, vanpools, parking pricing, etc.

#### Eligible Infrastructure Projects

- Constructing bicycle and pedestrian facilities (paths, sidewalks, bike racks, support facilities, etc.), that are not exclusively recreational and reduce vehicle trips
- Programs for secure bicycle storage facilities and other facilities, including bicycle lanes, for the convenience and protection of bicyclists, in both public and private areas
- New construction and major reconstructions of paths, tracks, or areas solely for the use by pedestrian or other non-motorized means of transportation when economically feasible and in the public interest
- Traffic calming measures

#### Exclusions found to be ineligible uses of CMAQ funds

- Walking audits and other planning activities (Upon the CMA's request and availability of funds, STP funds will be provided for these purposes)
- Crossing guards, vehicle speed feedback devices, and traffic control that is primarily oriented to vehicular traffic rather than bicyclists and pedestrians
- Material incentives that lack an educational message or exceed a nominal cost

Within the SRTS program, funding is distributed among the nine Bay Area counties based on K-12 total enrollment for private and public schools as reported by the California Department of Education for FY 2013-14 (see Appendix A-5). SRTS funding distributed to CMAs based on enrollment is not subject to the PDA minimum investment requirements. However, if a CMA chooses to augment the SRTS program with additional funding from their base OBAG 2 County CMA program, this additional funding is subject to the PDA minimum investment requirements.

Before programming projects into the TIP, the CMAs shall provide the SRTS projects, recommended county program scope, budget, schedule, agency roles, and federal funding recipient(s).

In programming the funds in the TIP, project sponsors may consider using non-federal funds to fund SRTS activities ineligible for federal funding. In such instances, the sponsor is allowed to use toll credits for the federal project, conditioned upon a minimum of 11.47% in non-federal funds being dedicated for SRTS activities. Separate accounting of a federalized project and a non-federalized project to fund a single program can be challenging, so care should be taken when using this option.

CMAs with an established SRTS program may choose to program local funds for SRTS projects in lieu of OBAG 2 funds and use the OBAG 2 funding for other eligible OBAG 2 projects. In such instances the local SRTS project(s) must be identified at the time the CMA submits the county OBAG 2 program to MTC and subsequently programmed in the federal TIP.

## **2. Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) Shares**

The Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) program, which directed funding to rural roads, was eliminated in 1991 with the passage of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA). However, California statutes provide for the continuation of minimum funding levels to counties, guaranteeing their prior FAS shares for rural county roads.

The county CMAs are required to ensure the counties receive their guaranteed annual funding through the CMA-managed OBAG county program. The county of San Francisco has no rural roads, and therefore does not receive FAS funding. In addition, the counties of Marin, Napa, and San Mateo may exchange their annual guaranteed FAS funding with state funding from Caltrans, as permitted by state statute. Caltrans takes these federal funds "off the top" before distributing regional STP funds to MTC. The CMAs for these three counties are not required to provide FAS guaranteed funding to these three counties for years in which these counties request such an exchange, as the statutory requirement is met through this exchange with Caltrans.

Counties may access their FAS funding at any time within the OBAG 2 period for any project eligible for STP funding. Guaranteed minimum FAS funding amounts are determined by California's Federal-Aid Secondary Highways Act (California Code § 2200-2214) and are listed in Appendix A-4. This FAS funding is not subject to the minimum PDA investment requirement. Any additional

funding provided by the CMAs to the counties from the OBAG 2 county base formula distribution is subject to the minimum PDA investment requirements.

### **3. Priority Conservation Area (PCA)**

The Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program provides funding for the development of plans and projects to assist in the preservation and enhancement of rural lands and open space. Generally, eligible projects include PCA planning activities, bicycle and pedestrian access to open space and parklands, visual enhancements and habitat/environmental enhancements. Specifically, projects must support Plan Bay Area by preserving and enhancing the natural, economic and social value of rural lands amidst a growing population across the Bay Area, for residents and businesses.

Land acquisition for preservation purposes is not federally eligible, but may be facilitated through CMA-initiated funding exchanges.

The PCA funding program includes one approach for the North Bay program (Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma) and a second for the remaining five counties. In the North Bay, each CMA will receive dedicated funding, lead a county-wide program building on PCA planning conducted to date, and select projects for funding. For the remaining counties, MTC will partner with the Coastal Conservancy, a California State agency, to program the PCA funds. Appendix A-9 outlines the framework for this program including goals, project screening eligibility, eligible sponsors, and project selection.

Any CMA may use additional funding from its base OBAG 2 County Program to expand its dedicated PCA program (North Bay counties), augment grants received from the regionally competitive PCA program (remaining counties), or develop its own county PCA program (all counties).

The North Bay program framework is to be developed by the four North Bay CMAs, building upon their PCA planning and priorities carried out to date. Project eligibility is limited by the eligibility of federal surface transportation funding; unless the CMA can exchange these funds or leverage new fund sources for their programs.

As a part of the update to *Plan Bay Area*, MTC is exploring implementing a Regional Advance Mitigation Planning (RAMP) Program. RAMP would mitigate certain environmental impacts from multiple planned transportation projects, rather than mitigating on a less-efficient per-project level. Partnering arrangements can be established to leverage multiple fund sources in order to maximize benefits of the RAMP and PCA programs. As such, PCA funds may be used to deliver net environmental benefits to a RAMP program project.

In instances where federal funds may not be used for this purpose, sponsors may exchange OBAG 2 funds with eligible non-federal funds. Such exchanges must be consistent with MTC's fund exchange policy (MTC Resolution No. 3331).

## Appendix A-11: Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike Program

The Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike program is a one-time, competitive grant program within the One Bay Area Grant program (OBAG 2) framework. Federal funding is available to support local and regional projects that can be implemented quickly to benefit communities responding and adapting to the COVID-19 environment.

Available funding includes a mix of Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP), Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) and Federal Highway Infrastructure Program (FHIP) funds, with FHIP funds exchanged with STP/CMAQ funds to the extent possible to meet federal other funding deadlines and requirements. CMAQ funds will be used for eligible projects that demonstrate air quality benefits and implement Plan Bay Area's climate initiative goals and priorities.

### Project Eligibility & Focus Areas

The program emphasizes bicycle/pedestrian safety and mobility, connections to transit, and projects that advance equitable mobility. Eligible project types include:

- Quick-build bike, pedestrian, and transit improvements; including bike share enhancements.
- Local safe and seamless mobility projects, including projects that advance equitable mobility; invest in bicycle/pedestrian safety; improve connections to transit; or implement seamless strategies within a corridor.
- In addition to capital projects, programs that support safe and seamless mobility or advance equitable mobility are also eligible (ex. safe routes to school/transit programs); a limited amount of funding, (up to \$200,000 per county) may also be directed towards countywide implementation of safe and seamless mobility planning and programming efforts).
- Other near-term implementation of strategies emerging from the Blue-Ribbon Transit Recovery Task Force and Partnership Board's Connected Mobility Subcommittee.

Fund commitments for specific focus areas include:

- One-quarter of the total program is targeted for bicycle/pedestrian safety (including local road safety).
- \$5 million is set aside to support early implementation efforts anticipated from the Blue-Ribbon Transit Recovery Task Force.
- \$15.3 million directed to regional and corridor co-nominated projects and projects of interest to the Blue-Ribbon Transit Recovery Task Force.
- \$1.9 million is set aside for ongoing CTA planning and programming activities
- \$1.9 million is set aside for regional planning and programming activities, including Priority Development Area (PDA) planning and implementation and the FasTrak START Pilot program evaluation

### Evaluation Criteria

MTC staff will evaluate nominated projects against the following program criteria.

Nominated projects should:

- Align with Connected Mobility Framework Values and Goals (see inset below)
- Be the direct result or outcome of a community engagement process
- Be within or directly connected to a Priority Development Area (PDA) or Transportation Priority Area (TPA) and/or serve a Community of Concern (CoC), Community Air Risk Evaluation (CARE) program area, or similar local designation. PDAs and TPAs may be existing or recently designated as part of the Plan Bay Area 2050 growth framework.
- Addresses transit connectivity gaps, especially in areas significantly impacted from the pandemic
- Demonstrate partnership among jurisdictions, transit agencies, and counties.
- Demonstrate ability to quickly deliver, and meet federal funding requirements, as funds must be obligated by September 30, 2023 ~~September 30, 2022~~.

To ensure consistency with the implementation of county and regional plans and priorities, as well as encourage discussion and coordination in developing investment proposals, projects co-nominated by MTC and a CTA will be given extra consideration if meeting regional goals and priorities. Previously submitted co-nominated projects are to be funded out of the regional and corridor program component. New co-nominated projects may be funded out of either program.

Below are the regional connected mobility values and goals guiding these investments:

<b>CONNECTED MOBILITY VALUES AND GOALS</b>	
<b>Values</b>	<b>Goals</b>
<b>Think Regionally Act Locally</b>	Be coordinated, interconnected, and contiguous
<b>Provide Great Travel Choices</b>	Provide choices that are better than driving alone, are viable and intuitive for all trips
<b>Put the Traveler First</b>	Ensure a dignified traveler experience, focusing on customer care and needs
<b>Be Equitable &amp; Inclusive</b>	Address disparities and be transparent for all people and all trips
<b>Be Sustainable</b>	Strive for a healthy planet, people, and full-cost accounting

### **Project Nominations**

To address local needs throughout the region, and encourage community-based project investments, each County Transportation Agency (CTA) will act on MTC's behalf and submit project nominations for their county area. County targets have been provided as a guide, for each county (see table on following page). However, final project selection by MTC will not necessarily adhere to these targets. Target amounts are based on the OBAG 2 county program distribution.

In addition to county submissions, MTC may consider projects that would be implemented regionwide or in more than one county. Where applicable, MTC staff will work with CTAs to

coordinate on co-nominations for regional projects. Previously co-nominated projects are to be funded out of the regional and corridor program component. New co-nominated projects may be funded out of either program.

As the final program of projects must reflect regional or multi-county priorities, in addition to local priorities within each county, the final programming per county will not correspond exactly to nomination targets.

To ensure each county is provided sufficient funding to have a meaningful community impact, each county's nomination target will be a minimum of \$1 million.

### Project Selection Process

The prioritization process is designed to quickly distribute funds to competitive and impactful investments throughout the region.

- **Letters of Interest:** County Transportation Agencies (CTAs) submit Letters of Interest to nominate projects within their counties. In addition to basic project information (project description, sponsor, total cost, funding request), submittals should also describe how the project meets the program eligibility requirements and evaluation criteria, and how well the proposed project sponsor meets state and federal funding requirements.
- **Evaluation:** MTC staff evaluate CTA nominations as well as regional program considerations to develop a recommended program of projects. Program recommendations presented to Bay Area Partnership Board for review and discussion.
- **Project Applications:** MTC and CTA staff work with project sponsors to submit project applications with a detailed scope, delivery schedule, and funding plan.
- **Program Approval:** MTC Commission consideration and approval of projects and fund programming.

### Programming Policies and Requirements

Unless otherwise noted within these guidelines, OBAG 2 General Programming Policies (see MTC Resolution No. 4202, Attachment A, pages 6-11), and Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606) apply.

- **Project sponsors:** Eligible sponsors are those approved by Caltrans to receive FHWA federal-aid funds (including cities, counties, transit agencies, CTAs, and MTC). Sponsors must also have a demonstrated ability to meet timely use of funds deadlines and requirements (see Project Delivery and Monitoring, below).

### County Nomination Targets

(\$ millions, rounded)

	%
Alameda	19.9%
Contra Costa	14.6%
Marin	2.8%
Napa	2.1%
San Francisco	12.5%
San Mateo	8.4%
Santa Clara	27.0%
Solano	5.5%
Sonoma	7.2%
	<b>100.0%</b>

Note: Final project selection and fund programming will not correspond exactly to nomination targets.

- **Minimum Grant Size:** Project nominations should be consistent with OBAG 2 minimum grant size requirements per county (\$500,000 grant minimum for counties with population over 1 million, and \$250,000 minimum for all other counties). Final funding awards may deviate from grant minimums per county, should one or more grant awards span multiple counties or regionwide.

Additionally, deviations from the OBAG 2 minimum grant size requirements for project nominations may be considered on a project-by-project basis. However, grant awards must be at least \$100,000.

- **Local Match:** Toll credits may be requested in lieu of non-federal cash match.
- **Supplanting of Funds Prohibited:** Supplanting of existing funds on fully-funded projects is prohibited, as the program is intended to infuse transportation investment into communities responding and adapting to the COVID-19 environment. If funds are requested to address a funding shortfall on a project due to reduced local revenues, CTAs must demonstrate why the project should be a priority for regional funding, if it was not the highest priority for available local funding. In their nomination, CTAs should describe how the county and local jurisdictions determined which projects are prioritized for reduced local revenues.
- **Project Phases:** The Environmental (ENV), Plans, Specifications and Estimates (PS&E), Preliminary Engineering (PE) and Right Of Way (ROW) phases are eligible for capital projects as long as the construction (CON) phase of the project is delivered and funds obligated by September 30, 2023 ~~September 30, 2022~~.
- **Project Delivery and Monitoring:** Project sponsors must have a record of consistently meeting state and federal timely use of funds deadlines and requirements, or demonstrate/identify revised/new internal processes to ensure they will meet funding deadlines and requirements moving forward at the time of project nomination. In addition to the provisions of the Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606), the following specific funding deadlines/requirements apply:
  - Funds must be obligated (authorized in a federal E-76, or transferred to FTA) no later than September 30, 2023 ~~September 30, 2022~~.
  - Funds must be encumbered or awarded in a contract within 6 months of federal obligation.
  - Funds must be invoiced against within 3 months of encumbrance/award and invoiced against and receive a federal reimbursement quarterly thereafter.
  - If there could be complications with invoicing against the construction phase within 9 months of federal obligation, then the sponsor should consider including Construction Engineering (CE) in the federal obligation so that eligible costs may be invoiced in order to meet the invoicing deadline.



- Project sponsor must meet all other timely use of funds deadlines and requirements, for all other state and federal transportation funds received by the agency, during the duration of project implementation (such as, but not limited to, project award, federal invoicing, and project reporting).
- To help ensure compliance with state and federal invoicing requirements, as part of the application submittal, the Finance/Accounting Manager/Director for the agency receiving the funds must provide written documentation on the agency's internal process and procedures for complying with FHWA federal-aid timely use of funds requirements, especially with regards to meeting federal invoicing requirements.
- CTAs nominating successful projects must monitor the project sponsors within their respective county in meeting the timely use of funds deadline requirements in MTC Resolution No. 3606 and report quarterly to MTC on the agency's status in meeting regional, state, and federal timely use of funds deadlines and requirements.
- **Additional Requirements Apply:**
  - Project sponsor must comply with MTC's Complete Street Policy and submit a Complete Streets Checklist for the project.
  - Project sponsor must adopt a Resolution of Local Support prior to adding the project into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).
  - Project sponsor must satisfy the OBAG 2 housing policy requirements – have a certified Housing Element, submit the Annual Progress Report for the Housing Element, and have adopted a resolution affirming compliance with the California Surplus Lands Act.
  - CTAs must make each project's Complete Streets Checklist available for review by the appropriate Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) prior to MTC Commission approval of projects and fund programming. Documentation this has occurred must be included with the project application.

**Attachment B-1**  
**MTC Resolution No. 4202**  
**OBAG 2 Regional Programs**  
**FY 2017-18 through FY 2021-22**  
**September 2022**

MTC Res. No. 4202 Attachment B-1  
 Adopted: 11/18/15-C

Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C 12/21/16-C 03/22/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C  
 C 09/27/17-C 10/25/17-C 12/20/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 04/25/18-C  
 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 11/28/18-C 12/19/18-C 02/27/19-C  
 03/27/19-C 06/26/19-C 09/25/19-C 10/23/19-C 11/20/19-C 02/26/20-C 05/27/20-C  
 07/22/20-C 11/20/20-C 01/27/21-C 02/24/21-C 04/28/21-C 05/26/21-C 06/23/21-C  
 07/28/21-C 09/22/21-C 01/26/22-C 03/23/22-C 04/27/22-C 06/22/22-C 09/28/22-C

**OBAG 2 Regional Programs Project List**

PROJECT CATEGORY AND TITLE	SPONSOR	Total STP/CMAQ	Other
<b>OBAG 2 REGIONAL PROGRAMS</b>		<b>\$652,510,885</b>	<b>\$131,433,260</b>
<b>1. REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Regional Planning	MTC	\$9,555,000	
<b>1. REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$9,555,000</b>	
<b>2. PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM</b>			
Pavement Management Program	MTC	\$1,500,000	
Pavement Technical Advisory Program (PTAP)	MTC	\$7,500,000	
Statewide Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Needs Assessment	MTC/Caltrans	\$250,000	
<b>2. PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$9,250,000</b>	
<b>3. PDA PLANNING &amp; IMPLEMENTATION</b>			
PDA Planning and Implementation			
PDA Implementation	MTC	\$2,000,000	
PDA Supportive Studies	MTC	\$587,000	
PDA Planning			
Berkeley: San Pablo Avenue PDA Plan	MTC	\$750,000	
Oakland: MacArthur Transit Village PDA; North Oakland/Golden Gate PDA Plan	MTC	\$800,000	
Oakland: Eastmont Town Center/International Blvd; Fruitvale & Dimond; MacArthur	MTC	\$800,000	
Union City: Decoto Industrial Parkway Study Area Specific Plan 2.0	MTC	\$800,000	
El Cerrito: San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan and EIR Update/Amendments	MTC	\$308,000	
Moraga: Moraga Center Specific Plan Implementation Project	MTC	\$140,000	
Richmond: Hilltop PDA Plan	MTC	\$750,000	
San Pablo: Rumrill Blvd PDA Plan	MTC	\$250,000	
Marin County: Urbanized Corridor/Marin City PDA Plan	MTC	\$300,000	
San Rafael: Downtown Precise Plan	MTC	\$500,000	
San Francisco: HUB Area EIR	MTC	\$500,000	
San Francisco: Transit Corridors Study	MTC	\$500,000	
Burlingame: Broadway Planning Area PDA Plan	MTC	\$400,000	
South San Francisco: Downtown Station Area PDA Plan	MTC	\$500,000	
Milpitas: Midtown PDA Plan	MTC	\$500,000	
Palo Alto: University Ave/Downtown PDA Plan	MTC	\$800,000	
San Jose/VTA: Diridon Integrated Station Area Concept Plan	MTC	\$800,000	
San Jose: SW Expressway/Race Street Light Rail Urban Village Plans	MTC	\$500,000	
Santa Clara: Downtown PDA Plan	MTC	\$400,000	
Vacaville: Downtown Specific Plan	MTC	\$350,000	
Santa Rosa: Downtown Station Area Specific Plan Update/Amendment	MTC	\$800,000	
Unprogrammed balance		\$400,000	
Staffing Assistance			
Emeryville: Mitigate Regulation-Induced Displacement, Streamlined Asset Mngmt	MTC	\$180,000	
Fremont: SB743 Implementation	MTC	\$150,000	
Hayward: SB743 Implementation	MTC	\$150,000	
Oakland: ADU Initiative	MTC	\$200,000	
Oakland: Innovative Construction Initiative	MTC	\$200,000	
Concord: VMT-based Transportation Impact Standards	MTC	\$150,000	
Concord: Galindo Street Corridor Plan	MTC	\$200,000	
Lafayette: Updated Parking Ordinance and Strategies	MTC	\$150,000	
San Jose: PDA/Citywide Design Guidelines	MTC	\$200,000	
Windsor: Parking Management and Pricing	MTC	\$35,000	
Technical Assistance			
Marin/Sonoma VMT Implementation Group	MTC	\$170,000	
Napa/Solano VMT Implementation Group	MTC	\$170,000	
Various Jurisdictions: VMT Implementation Group	MTC	\$140,000	
Emeryville: Developing the Highest and Best Use of the Public Curb	MTC	\$65,000	
Hayward: Micro Mobility/Safety Program	MTC	\$75,000	
Oakland: General Plan Framework - PDA Community Engagement Program	MTC	\$65,000	
San Leandro: BayFair TOD Infrastructure Design/Finance	MTC	\$150,000	
San Francisco: Mission-San Jose PDA Housing Feasibility Analysis	MTC	\$65,000	
San Francisco: PDA Density Bonus Program	MTC	\$65,000	

**Attachment B-1**  
**MTC Resolution No. 4202**  
**OBAG 2 Regional Programs**  
**FY 2017-18 through FY 2021-22**  
**September 2022**

MTC Res. No. 4202 Attachment B-1  
 Adopted: 11/18/15-C

Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C 12/21/16-C 03/22/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C  
 C 09/27/17-C 10/25/17-C 12/20/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 04/25/18-C  
 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 11/28/18-C 12/19/18-C 02/27/19-C  
 03/27/19-C 06/26/19-C 09/25/19-C 10/23/19-C 11/20/19-C 02/26/20-C 05/27/20-C  
 07/22/20-C 11/20/20-C 01/27/21-C 02/24/21-C 04/28/21-C 05/26/21-C 06/23/21-C  
 07/28/21-C 09/22/21-C 01/26/22-C 03/23/22-C 04/27/22-C 06/22/22-C 09/28/22-C

**OBAG 2 Regional Programs Project List**

PROJECT CATEGORY AND TITLE	SPONSOR	Total STP/CMAQ	Other
<b>OBAG 2 REGIONAL PROGRAMS</b>		<b>\$652,510,885</b>	<b>\$131,433,260</b>
Belmont: Transportation Demand Management Program	MTC	\$65,000	
San Mateo: TDM Ordinance	MTC	\$150,000	
Santa Rosa/Sonoma County: Renewal Enterprise District	MTC	\$150,000	
San Jose: Urban Villages District Parking & Rezoning	MTC	\$120,000	
BART AB2923 Implementation	BART	\$1,000,000	
Community-Based Transportation Plan (CBTP) Updates	MTC		
ACTC: CMA Planning (for Community-Based Transportation Plans)	MTC	\$300,000	
CCTA: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC	\$215,000	
TAM: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC	\$75,000	
NVTA: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC	\$75,000	
SFCTA: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC	\$175,000	
C/CAG: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC	\$120,000	
VTA: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC	\$300,000	
STA: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC	\$95,000	
SCTA: Community-Based Transportation Plans	MTC	\$110,000	
CBTP Program Evaluation	MTC	\$35,000	
<b>3. PDA PLANNING &amp; IMPLEMENTATION</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$20,000,000</b>	
<b>4. CLIMATE INITIATIVES</b>			
Climate Initiatives		\$9,012,406	
Spare the Air & EV Program Outreach (for Electric Vehicle Programs)	BAAQMD	\$10,000,000	
Mobility Hubs Pilot Program			
Mobility Hubs Technical Assistance	MTC	\$150,000	
BART: MacArthur BART Station	BART	\$539,084	
San Ramon: Bishop Ranch Business Park	San Ramon	\$387,600	
SFMTA: Temporary Transbay Terminal (Vacant Site)	SFMTA	\$340,760	
Burlingame: Caltrain Station - Burlingame Square Transit Hub	Burlingame	\$500,000	
Millbrae: BART and Caltrain Station - Millbrae Transit Center	Millbrae	\$345,150	
Mountain View: Caltrain Station - Mountain View Transit Center	Mountain View	\$200,000	
Vallejo: Vallejo Ferry Terminal	Vallejo	\$200,000	
Targeted Transportation Alternatives	MTC	\$325,000	
Spare the Air Youth Program - 2	MTC	\$1,417,000	
<b>4. CLIMATE INITIATIVES</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$23,417,000</b>	
<b>5. REGIONAL ACTIVE OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT</b>			
Active Operational Management			
AOM Implementation	MTC	\$23,737,000	
Bay Area 511 Traveler Information			
511 Next Gen	MTC	\$26,148,000	
511 Implementation	MTC	\$7,450,000	
Rideshare			
Rideshare Implementation	MTC	\$720,000	
Carpool Program	MTC	\$7,280,000	
Vanpool Program	MTC	\$2,000,000	
Commuter Benefits Implementation	MTC	\$674,000	
Commuter Benefits Program	MTC	\$1,111,000	
Napa Valley Transportation Demand Strategies (Fund Exchange)	MTC/NVTA		\$1,100,000
Bay Bridge Forward 2018			
Transbay Higher Capacity Bus Fleet/Increased Service Frequencies	AC Transit	\$1,200,000	
Pilot Transbay Express Bus Routes	AC Transit	\$800,000	
Eastbay Commuter Parking	MTC	\$2,500,000	
Transbay Higher Capacity Bus Fleet/Increased Service Frequencies	WestCat	\$2,000,000	
Bay Bridge Forward 2020			
Preliminary Engineering	MTC	\$12,000,000	
Dumbarton Forward			
SR 84 (US 101 to I-880) Dumbarton Forward	MTC	\$4,375,000	
Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Forward			
Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Bikeway Access (Fund Exchange)	Richmond		\$500,000

**Attachment B-1**  
**MTC Resolution No. 4202**  
**OBAG 2 Regional Programs**  
**FY 2017-18 through FY 2021-22**  
**September 2022**

MTC Res. No. 4202 Attachment B-1  
 Adopted: 11/18/15-C

Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C 12/21/16-C 03/22/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C  
 C 09/27/17-C 10/25/17-C 12/20/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 04/25/18-C  
 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 11/28/18-C 12/19/18-C 02/27/19-C  
 03/27/19-C 06/26/19-C 09/25/19-C 10/23/19-C 11/20/19-C 02/26/20-C 05/27/20-C  
 07/22/20-C 11/20/20-C 01/27/21-C 02/24/21-C 04/28/21-C 05/26/21-C 06/23/21-C  
 07/28/21-C 09/22/21-C 01/26/22-C 03/23/22-C 04/27/22-C 06/22/22-C 09/28/22-C

**OBAG 2 Regional Programs Project List**

PROJECT CATEGORY AND TITLE	SPONSOR	Total STP/CMAQ	Other
<b>OBAG 2 REGIONAL PROGRAMS</b>		<b>\$652,510,885</b>	<b>\$131,433,260</b>
Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Forward (Fund Exchange)	MTC		\$1,160,000
<b>Freeway Performance Program</b>			
Freeway Performance Program	MTC		
FPP: I-880 (I-80 to I-280)	MTC	\$3,000,000	
FPP: I-880 Optimized Corridor Operations	MTC	\$2,240,000	
FPP: I-580 WB HOV Lane Extension (SR 24 to I-80/SFOBB approach) PL & ENV Only	MTC	\$625,000	
FPP: I-80 (Carquinez Bridge to Fremont St., SF) PL only	MTC	\$3,000,000	
FPP: CC I-680 NB HOV/Express Lanes (Ala Co. to Sol Co.)	MTC	\$10,000,000	
FPP: I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements	Richmond	\$2,000,000	
FPP: SR 37 (US 101 to I-80) PL only	MTC	\$1,000,000	
FPP: Napa Valley Forward Traffic Calming & Multimodal Imps.	MTC	\$1,000,000	
FPP: US 101 (SR 85 to San Francisco Co. Line)	MTC	\$3,000,000	
FPP: SCTA US 101/Marin Sonoma Narrows (MSN) B2 Phase 2	SCTA	\$1,000,000	
Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)	MTC	\$5,000,000	
<b>Innovative Deployments for Enhanced Arterials (IDEA)</b>			
IDEA Technical Assistance	MTC	\$1,532,000	
<b>IDEA Category 1</b>			
AC Transit: Dumbarton Express Route (SR84)	MTC	\$2,300,000	
Alameda: Webster & Posey Tubes (SR 260), Park St	MTC	\$276,000	
Hayward: Various Locations	MTC	\$302,000	
Oakland: Bancroft Ave	MTC	\$310,000	
Pleasanton: Various Locations	MTC	\$290,000	
Union City: Union City Blvd & Decoto Rd	MTC	\$710,000	
San Ramon: Bollinger Canyon Rd & Crow Canyon Rd	MTC	\$563,000	
San Rafael: Downtown San Rafael	MTC	\$830,000	
South San Francisco: Various Locations	MTC	\$532,000	
San Jose: Citywide	MTC	\$1,400,000	
<b>IDEA Category 2</b>			
LAVTA/Dublin: Citywide	MTC	\$385,000	
Emeryville: Powell, Shellmound, Christie & 40th St	MTC	\$785,000	
Concord: Concord Blvd, Clayton Rd & Willow Pass Rd (Fund Exchange)	MTC		\$589,000
MTC Concord Blvd, Clayton Rd & Willow Pass Rd (Fund Exchange)	MTC		\$30,000
Walnut Creek: Various locations (Fund Exchange)	MTC		\$621,000
Los Gatos: Los Gatos Blvd	MTC	\$700,000	
VTA: Veterans Admin. Palo Alto Medical Center	VTA	\$845,000	
Connected Vehicles/Automated Vehicles (CAV)	MTC	\$178,000	
VTA: Palo Alto Advanced Transit Passenger Management	MTC	\$826,000	
SFCTA/TIMMA: Treasure Island Automated Shuttle Pilot	MTC	\$828,000	
Technical Advisory Services	MTC	\$268,000	
Project Evaluations	MTC	\$400,000	
Shared Use Mobility	MTC	\$2,500,000	
<b>Connected Bay Area</b>			
TMS Implementation	MTC	\$2,910,000	
TMC Asset Upgrade and Replacement	MTC	\$1,150,000	
I-880 Communication Upgrade and Infrastructure Gap Closures	MTC/Caltrans	\$11,940,000	
Regional Communications Infrastructure Upgrade	MTC	\$3,000,000	
<b>Incident Management</b>			
Incident Management Implementation	MTC	\$4,160,000	
I-880 ICM Northern	MTC	\$6,200,000	
I-880 ICM Central	MTC	\$2,640,000	
<b>Unprogrammed Balance (Revised)</b>	<b>TBD</b>	<b>\$380,000</b>	
<b>5. REGIONAL ACTIVE OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT</b>		<b>TOTAL: \$172,620,000</b>	<b>\$4,000,000</b>
<b>6. TRANSIT PRIORITIES</b>			
BART Car Replacement/Expansion	BART	\$99,800,000	
GGB Suicide Deterrent (for BART Car Replacement/Expansion)	GGBH&TD	\$9,760,668	\$30,239,332
Clipper	MTC	\$34,200,000	
Unprogrammed Balance		\$15,283,000	

**Attachment B-1**  
**MTC Resolution No. 4202**  
**OBAG 2 Regional Programs**  
**FY 2017-18 through FY 2021-22**  
**September 2022**

MTC Res. No. 4202 Attachment B-1  
 Adopted: 11/18/15-C

Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C 12/21/16-C 03/22/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C  
 C 09/27/17-C 10/25/17-C 12/20/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 04/25/18-C  
 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 11/28/18-C 12/19/18-C 02/27/19-C  
 03/27/19-C 06/26/19-C 09/25/19-C 10/23/19-C 11/20/19-C 02/26/20-C 05/27/20-C  
 07/22/20-C 11/20/20-C 01/27/21-C 02/24/21-C 04/28/21-C 05/26/21-C 06/23/21-C  
 07/28/21-C 09/22/21-C 01/26/22-C 03/23/22-C 04/27/22-C 06/22/22-C 09/28/22-C

**OBAG 2 Regional Programs Project List**

PROJECT CATEGORY AND TITLE	SPONSOR	Total STP/CMAQ	Other
<b>OBAG 2 REGIONAL PROGRAMS</b>		<b>\$652,510,885</b>	<b>\$131,433,260</b>
<b>6. TRANSIT PRIORITIES</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$159,043,668</b>	<b>\$30,239,332</b>
<b>7. PRIORITY CONSERVATION AREA (PCA)</b>			
<i>Regional Peninsula, Southern and Eastern Counties PCA Grant Program</i>			
Bay Area GreenPrint: PCA Functionality Imps	MTC/GreenInfo Network		\$30,000
<b>PCA Grant Implementation (Revised)</b>	<b>MTC/Coastal Conservancy</b>	<b>\$525,000</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>
Alameda County: Niles Canyon Trail, Phase 1	Alameda County		\$321,000
Livermore: Arroyo Road Trail	Livermore		\$400,000
WOEIP/Urban Biofilter: Adapt Oakland Urban Greening in West Oakland	WOEIP/Urban Biofilter		\$300,000
EBRPD: Bay Trail at Point Molate (RSR Bridge to Point Molate Beach Park)	EBRPD		\$1,000,000
JMLT: Pacheco Marsh/Lower Walnut Creek Restoration and Public Access	John Muir Land Trust		\$950,000
SFCTA: Yerba Buena Island Multi-Use Pathway (PE/ENV)	SFCTA	\$1,000,000	
San Francisco: McLaren Park and Neighborhood Connections Plan	SF Recreation and Parks		\$194,000
San Francisco/Coastal Conservancy: Twin Peaks Trail Improvement	SF Rec and Park/Conservancy		\$74,000
GGNPC/NPS: Rancho Corral de Tierra Unit Management Plan Engagement	National Parks Service		\$200,000
SMCHD: Pillar Point Public Access Improvements	San Mateo Co. Harbor District		\$298,000
Menlo Park: Bedwell Bayfront Park Entrance Improvements	Menlo Park		\$520,000
San Mateo Co.: Colma Creek Adaptation Study (Colma Creek Connector)	San Mateo Co.		\$110,000
San Mateo Co.: San Bruno Mtn. Habitat Conservation Plan Grazing Pilot	San Mateo Co.		\$137,900
South San Francisco: Sign Hill Conservation and Trail Master Plan	South San Francisco		\$135,100
Point Blue: Pajaro River Watershed: Habitat Restoration and Climate Resilient Imps.	Point Blue Conservation Science		\$379,000
SCVOSA: Coyote Ridge Open Space Preserve Public Access, Phase 1	Point Blue Conservation Science		\$400,000
SCVOSA: Tilton Ranch Acquisition	Santa Clara Valley Open Space Auth.		\$1,000,000
VTA: SR17 Bicycle/Ped Trail & Wildlife Overcrossing (Fund Exchange)	VTA	\$251,000	
<i>North Bay PCA Grant Program</i>			
Marin Co: Hicks Valley/Wilson Hill/Marshall-Petaluma Rehab. (for Corte Madera: Par	Marin County	\$312,000	
Marin Co: Hicks Valley/Wilson Hill/Marshall-Petaluma Rd Rehab	Marin County	\$869,000	
Novato: Nave Dr/Bell Marin Keys Rehabilitation (for Hill Recreation Area Imps.)	Novato	\$104,000	
Novato: Vineyard Rd Improvements (for Hill Recreation Area Imps.)	Novato	\$265,000	
National Parks Service: Fort Baker's Vista Point Trail	NPS	\$500,000	
NVTA: Vine Trail - St. Helena to Calistoga	NVTA	\$711,000	
Napa: Vine Trail - Soscol Ave Corridor	Napa	\$650,000	
Napa County: Silverado Trail Rehabilitation - Phase L	Napa County	\$689,000	
Solano County: Suisun Valley Farm-to-Market - Phase 3 Bike Imps	Solano County	\$2,050,000	
Sonoma County: Crocker Bridge Bike/Pedestrian Bridge	Sonoma County	\$1,280,000	
Sonoma County: Joe Rodota Trail Bridge Replacement	Sonoma County	\$770,000	
<b>7. PRIORITY CONSERVATION AREA (PCA)</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$9,976,000</b>	<b>\$6,949,000</b>
<b>8. BAY AREA HOUSING INITIATIVES</b>			
Bay Area Preservation Pilot (BAPP)	MTC		\$10,000,000
Housing Incentive Pool	TBD	\$25,000,000	
<i>Sub-HIP Pilot Program</i>			
Fairfield: Pavement Preservation/Rehabilitation (for One Lake Apts. Linear Park Trail) Fairfield		\$2,100,000	
Vacaville: Pavement Preservation/Rehabilitation (for Allison PDA Affordable Housing Vacaville		\$1,900,000	
Marin County: Marin City Pedestrian Crossing Imps.	Marin County	\$300,000	
NVTA: Imola Park and Ride	NVTA	\$300,000	
Santa Rosa: Downtown Multi-modal and Fiber Improvements	Santa Rosa	\$400,000	
<b>8. BAY AREA HOUSING INITIATIVES</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$30,000,000</b>	<b>\$10,000,000</b>
<b>9. SAFE &amp; SEAMLESS MOBILITY QUICK-STRIKE</b>			
<i>County &amp; Local</i>			
<i>Alameda</i>			
CTA planning & programming (for Youth and Adult Bicycle Promotion & Education)	ACTC	\$160,000	
Alameda County Safe Routes to Schools	ACTC	\$1,500,000	
CTA planning & programming	ACTC	\$354,000	
AC Transit Tempo Quick Build Transit Lane Delineation	AC Transit	\$300,000	
AC Transit Quick Builds Transit Lanes	AC Transit	\$954,000	
Anita Avenue Safe and Accessible Route to School and Transit	Alameda County	\$2,000,000	

**Attachment B-1**  
**MTC Resolution No. 4202**  
**OBAG 2 Regional Programs**  
**FY 2017-18 through FY 2021-22**  
**September 2022**

MTC Res. No. 4202 Attachment B-1  
 Adopted: 11/18/15-C

Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C 12/21/16-C 03/22/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C  
 C 09/27/17-C 10/25/17-C 12/20/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 04/25/18-C  
 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 11/28/18-C 12/19/18-C 02/27/19-C  
 03/27/19-C 06/26/19-C 09/25/19-C 10/23/19-C 11/20/19-C 02/26/20-C 05/27/20-C  
 07/22/20-C 11/20/20-C 01/27/21-C 02/24/21-C 04/28/21-C 05/26/21-C 06/23/21-C  
 07/28/21-C 09/22/21-C 01/26/22-C 03/23/22-C 04/27/22-C 06/22/22-C 09/28/22-C

**OBAG 2 Regional Programs Project List**

PROJECT CATEGORY AND TITLE	SPONSOR	Total STP/CMAQ	Other
<b>OBAG 2 REGIONAL PROGRAMS</b>		<b>\$652,510,885</b>	<b>\$131,433,260</b>
BART Fare Collection Equipment (for Oakland East Bay Greenway Segment II)	BART/Oakland		\$1,000,000
Fremont Boulevard/Walnut Avenue Protected Intersection	Fremont	\$1,271,000	
Fremont Boulevard/Grimmer Boulevard Protected Intersection	Fremont	\$1,415,000	
LAVTA Passenger Facilities Enhancements	LAVTA		\$2,000,000
Oakland 14th Street Complete Streets	Oakland		\$1,000,000
<b>Contra Costa</b>			
CTA planning & programming	CCTA	\$242,000	
BART Fare Collection Equipment (for Lafayette Town Center Pathway and BART Bike St	BART / Lafayette		\$1,825,000
BART Fare Collection Equipment (for Bicycle, Pedestrian, and ADA Imps. at Pittsburg/B	BART		\$1,510,000
East Downtown Concord PDA Access & Safe Routes to Transit	Concord	\$2,164,000	
Richmond 13th Street Complete Streets	Richmond		\$2,821,000
<b>Marin</b>			
CTA planning & programming	TAM	\$141,000	
Marin County Bus Stop Improvements	Marin Transit	\$1,200,000	
SMART Pathway - San Rafael McInnis Pkwy to Smith Ranch Road	SMART	\$1,858,000	
<b>Napa</b>			
CTA planning & programming	NVTA	\$162,000	
Napa Valley Safe Routes to School	NVTA	\$100,000	
Napa Valley Forward: SR 29/Rutherford & Oakville Roundabouts	MTC	\$1,000,000	
<b>San Francisco</b>			
CTA planning & programming	SFCTA	\$180,000	
Downtown San Francisco Congestion Pricing Study	SFCTA	\$200,000	
Embarcadero Station Platform Elevator Capacity & Redundancy	BART	\$3,144,000	
San Francisco Folsom Streetscape	SFMTA		\$5,000,000
Safe Routes to School Non-Infrastructure Program	SFMTA	\$2,100,000	
<b>San Mateo</b>			
CTA planning & programming	C/CAG	\$183,000	
Planning and Programming of safe and seamless mobility	C/CAG	\$200,000	
Burlingame City-Wide Pedestrian Safe Routes and Mobility Imps	Burlingame	\$200,000	
San Bruno Transit Corridor Pedestrian Connection Phase 4	San Bruno	\$385,000	
Broadmoor SRTS Pedestrian Safety & Mobility Imps	San Mateo County	\$1,419,000	
El Camino Real Grand Boulevard Initiative Phase III	South San Francisco	\$2,120,000	
East of 101 Transit Expansion Project	South San Francisco	\$49,924	\$430,076
<b>Santa Clara</b>			
CTA planning & programming	VTA	\$419,000	
Evaluating on-demand shuttle strategies for improved transit access	VTA	\$200,000	
VTA Electronic Locker Upgrade and Replacement	VTA	\$1,987,000	
Mountain View Stierlin Road Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements	Mountain View	\$2,521,000	\$1,486,000
San Jose Julian Street & McKee Road Vision Zero Complete Streets	San Jose		\$705,000
San Jose Bascom Avenue Protected Bike Lanes & Complete Street	San Jose		\$690,000
En Movimiento Quick Build Network for East San Jose	San Jose		\$1,325,000
San Jose - Downtown Bikeways	San Jose		\$4,025,000
Saratoga Blue Hills Elementary Pedestrian Crossing at UPRR	Saratoga	\$1,800,000	
Sunnyvale Bicycle, Pedestrian and SRTS Safety Improvements	Sunnyvale		\$1,900,000
<b>Solano</b>			
CTA planning & programming	STA	\$110,000	
STA Mobility Planning	STA	\$200,000	
Solano Safe Routes to School Non-Infrastructure Program	STA	\$600,000	
Fairfield/Vacaville Hannigan Station Capacity Improvements	Fairfield	\$1,900,000	
Vallejo Springs Rd Pavement Preservation	Vallejo	\$1,800,000	
<b>Sonoma</b>			
CTA planning & programming	SCTA	\$135,000	
Countywide Active Transportation Plan	SCTA	\$200,000	
Cotati Downtown- Civic Center Connectivity and Safety Improvements	Cotati	\$242,000	\$1,008,000
Healdsburg Bike Share	Healdsburg	\$250,000	
Rohnert Park Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety Improvements	Rohnert Park	\$522,000	

**Attachment B-1**  
**MTC Resolution No. 4202**  
**OBAG 2 Regional Programs**  
**FY 2017-18 through FY 2021-22**  
**September 2022**

MTC Res. No. 4202 Attachment B-1  
 Adopted: 11/18/15-C

Revised: 07/27/16-C 10/26/16-C 12/21/16-C 03/22/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C  
 C 09/27/17-C 10/25/17-C 12/20/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 04/25/18-C  
 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 11/28/18-C 12/19/18-C 02/27/19-C  
 03/27/19-C 06/26/19-C 09/25/19-C 10/23/19-C 11/20/19-C 02/26/20-C 05/27/20-C  
 07/22/20-C 11/20/20-C 01/27/21-C 02/24/21-C 04/28/21-C 05/26/21-C 06/23/21-C  
 07/28/21-C 09/22/21-C 01/26/22-C 03/23/22-C 04/27/22-C 06/22/22-C 09/28/22-C

**OBAG 2 Regional Programs Project List**

PROJECT CATEGORY AND TITLE	SPONSOR	Total STP/CMAQ	Other
<b>OBAG 2 REGIONAL PROGRAMS</b>		<b>\$652,510,885</b>	<b>\$131,433,260</b>
Santa Rosa Transit Mall Roadbed Rehabilitation	Santa Rosa		\$868,000
Sebastopol SR 116 and Bodega Ave Pedestrian Access and Mobility Enhancements	Sebastopol	\$476,000	
SMART Pathway - Petaluma Payran to Lakeville	SMART	\$806,000	
<b>Regional &amp; Corridor</b>			
<b>Regional Planning</b>			
FasTrak START Pilot Evaluation Study	MTC	\$900,000	
Diridon Station Planning & Studies	MTC	\$1,000,000	
<b>Regional and Corridor</b>			
Bay Bridge Forward: I-580 WB HOV Lane Extension	MTC/ACTC		\$7,000,000
San Pablo Giant Road Cycletrack Quick-Build	San Pablo	\$700,000	
Napa Valley Forward: SR 29/Rutherford & Oakville Roundabouts	MTC	\$6,000,000	
Redwood City Roosevelt Avenue Quick-Build	Redwood City	\$755,000	
<b>Transit Recovery Blue Ribbon Task Force</b>			
East Bay Integration and Coordination Implementation Planning	CCTA	\$500,000	
Solano Integration and Coordination Implementation Planning	STA	\$500,000	
Accessibility: Clipper for Centralized Program Eligibility Verification	MTC	\$900,000	
Bay Bridge Forward: Preliminary Engineering	MTC	\$1,250,000	
Customer Information: Mapping & Wayfinding	MTC	\$2,791,538	
<b>9. SAFE &amp; SEAMLESS MOBILITY QUICK-STRIKE</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$54,466,462</b>	<b>\$34,593,076</b>
<b>10. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI)</b>			
AC Transit Bus Purchase (for Solano I-80 Express Lanes)	AC Transit	\$4,667,000	
SR 84 Ardenwood Intermodal Bus Facility PA&ED (Fund Exchange)	CCJPA	\$100,000	
CC I-680 NB HOV/Express Lanes Ala Co to Sol Co (Fund Exchange)	CCTA/MTC	\$4,000,000	
GGB Suicide Deterrent System	GGBHTD	\$7,910,000	
Pavement Rehab (for Downtown Novato SMART Station)	Novato	\$617,000	
Old Redwood Highway Multi-Use Pathway	Larkspur	\$1,120,000	
Grand Ave Bridge	San Rafael	\$763,000	
Grand Ave Bike/Ped Imps (for SMART 2nd to Andersen Pathway)	San Rafael	\$1,000,000	
US 101 Marin-Sonoma Narrows	TAM	\$2,000,000	
US 101 Marin-Sonoma Narrows (MSN) B7 (Loan for RM3)	TAM	\$61,708,245	\$13,942,852
Diridon Station Planning & Studies	MTC	\$1,000,000	
VTA: Highway 17 Bicycle/Pedestrian Trail and Wildlife Overcrossing (Fund Exchange)	VTA	\$249,000	
Broadmoor SRTS Pedestrian Safety & Mobility Imps	San Mateo County	\$184,000	
I-80 Express Lanes in Solano County (Loan for RM3)	STA	\$63,464,510	\$3,255,000
I-80 Express Lanes in Solano County (Toll System)	BAIFA		\$28,454,000
US 101/Marin Sonoma Narrows (MSN) B2 Phase 2 (Fund Exchange)	SCTA	\$15,400,000	
<b>10. REGIONAL STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (RSI)</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$164,182,755</b>	<b>\$45,651,852</b>
<b>OBAG 2 REGIONAL PROGRAMS</b>		<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$652,510,885</b>
			<b>\$131,433,260</b>

\\fs4.ad.mtc.ca.gov\j\_drive\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\RES-4202\_ongoing\_OBAG2\[tmp-4202\_Attachment-B-1\_Sept.xlsx]Sept 2022