METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript



1	METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
2	POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL
3	FARE COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION SUBCOMMITTEE
4	FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 2021, 2:00 P.M.
5	
6	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: SO, GOOD AFTERNOON EVERYONE. AT THIS TIME
7	STAFF WILL CALL THE ROLL.
8	
9	CLERK OF THE BOARD: CHAIR LEVIN.
10	
11	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: HERE.
12	
13	CLERK OF THE BOARD: VICE CHAIR KALLINS.
14	
15	WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: HERE.
16	
17	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MEMBER ALLEN. MEMBER ALLEN? NEW MEMBER,
18	ZACK DEUTSCH. CAN YOU SAY IT AGAIN?
19	
20	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MEMBER ELDRED? MEMBER ELDRED IS EXCUSED.
21	
22	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MEMBER GOTUACO.
23	
24	CHRISTINA GOTUACO: HERE.
25	



1	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MEMBER GRIFFITHS: IAN GRIFFITHS.
2	
3	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MEMBER
4	
5	TISHA DEE HARTMAN: HERE.
6	
7	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MEMBER HEDGES.
8	
9	RICHARD HEDGES: HERE.
10	
11	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MEMBER KASS.
12	
13	JONATHON KASS: HERE.
14	
15	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MEMBER LITVAK. MEMBER LITVAK. MEMBER
16	MALLON
17	
18	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MEMBER MENDOZA:
19	
20	ADRIAN MENDOZA: HERE.
21	
	CLERK OF THE BOARD: AND MEMBER STANKE.
23	
24	BRIAN STANKE: HERE.
25	



1	CLERK OF THE BOARD: WE HAVE A QUORUM
2	
3	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: SO, THAT IS EXCITING. SO, WITH THE QUORUM,
4	WE WOULD LIKE TO START OFF WITH AGENDA ITEM THREE, APPROVING
5	THE MINUTES OF THE AUGUST 2ND.
6	
7	RICHARD HEDGES: MOVE APPROVAL OF THE AUGUST 2ND MINUTES. DID
8	YOU CATCH THAT? MOVE APPROVAL?
9	
10	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: IS THERE A SECOND FOR THE MOTION TO
11	APPROVE THE MINUTES?
12	
13	JONATHON KASS: SECOND
14	
15	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: SO, CAN THE CLERK HELP US WITH THE VOTE?
16	
17	CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE WAS NO PUBLIC COMMENT SUBMITTED ON
18	THIS ITEM, AND THERE ARE NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITH THEIR
19	HANDS RAISED THE MOTION WAS BY HEDGES AND SECOND BY KASS.
20	[ROLL CALL VOTE].
21	
22	CLERK OF THE BOARD: PASSED UNANIMOUSLY BY ALL MEMBERS PRESENT
23	
24	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANKS. SO, WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON TO THE
25	MOMENT THAT WE HAVE ALL BEEN WAITING FOR, WHICH IS WHERE STAFF



WILL PRESENT THE RESULTS OF THE FARE COORDINATION AND 1 INTEGRATION STUDY INCLUDING THE DETAILED RECOMMENDATIONS FROM 2 3 THE STUDY. AND -- DO WE ASK FOR THE PUBLIC COMMENTS NOW OR ASK FOR THAT AFTER THE STAFF DOES THE PRESENTATION OF THE STAFF 4 5 REPORT? 6 7 CLERK OF THE BOARD: AFTER 8 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. GREAT. WE ARE GOING TO NOW HERE 9 10 THE RESULTS OF THE STUDY. LOOKING FORWARD TO HEARING THAT FROM STAFF. 11 12 WILLIAM BACON: GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYBODY. BILL BACON FROM THE 13 PROJECT MANAGEMENT TEAM. IT IS GREAT TO SEE YOU ALL TODAY AND 14 15 I HOPE YOU HAD A NICE HOLIDAY WEEKEND LAST WEEKEND. IF WE 16 COULD GO AHEAD AND BRING THE SLIDES UP. OKAY. WELL AS WAS ALLUDED A FEW MINUTES AGO, IT IS A VERY LONG PRESENTATION. WE 17 HAVE A LOT OF CONTENT WE WANT TO TALK ABOUT. WE WANT TO GET 18 STARTED SO WE COULD GO ON TO THE NEXT SLIDE. SO, AS THE CHAIR 19 WAS JUST ALLUDING TO WE ARE GOING TO BEGIN SHARING DRAFT 20 21 RECOMMENDATIONS WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE FOR YOUR FEEDBACK AND ANALYSIS. SO, THIS SLIDE HERE WE ARE JUST TRYING TO PROVIDE A 22 OUICK OVERVIEW OF WHERE THE PRESENTATION WILL TAKE US TODAY. 23 WE WANT TO PROVIDE SOME BACKGROUND AGAIN TO REMIND FOLKS ABOUT 24

SOME OF THE ANALYSIS WORK THAT WE HAVE DONE TO GET TO WHERE WE



- 1 ARE NOW, GUIDE YOU THROUGH THE BUSINESS CASE APPROACH, WHICH
- 2 IS REALLY CORE TO THIS STUDY AND THE ANALYSIS THAT WE HAVE
- 3 BEEN DOING. REALLY DIG INTO OUR EMERGING FINDINGS AND TALK IN
- 4 OUITE A BIT OF DETAIL ABOUT THE ANALYSIS THAT HAS LED TO THOSE
- 5 FINDINGS. AGAIN, SUMMARIZE HOW THE BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS
- 6 PROCESS LED US TO OUR RECOMMENDATIONS. AND TALK AGAIN A LITTLE
- 7 BIT ABOUT WHAT OUR RECOMMENDED NEAR TERM ACTIONS ARE AND HOW
- 8 THAT FITS INTO SORT OF THE BROADER PICTURE OF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 9 FROM THE PROJECT. WE CAN GO FORWARD TO THE NEXT SLIDE. AND
- 10 THEN ACTUALLY PROCEED ONE MORE AS WELL. MOST OF YOU HERE WILL
- 11 BE FAMILIAR ON THE SUBCOMMITTEE WITH THE CONSENT ON THIS
- 12 SLIDE. IF YOU GO WAY BACK TO LAST YEAR WHEN THE PROJECT WAS
- 13 GETTING GOING, WE DEFINED A PROBLEM STATEMENT AND THERE WERE
- 14 FOUR KEY ISSUES, CUSTOMER VALUE, THE PAYMENT EXPERIENCE,
- 15 EOUITY AND FUTURE TRANSIT. REALLY MEANING FUTURE INVESTMENTS
- 16 IN OUR TRANSIT SYSTEM THAT WERE CORE TO THE PROBLEM OF HOW DO
- 17 FARES WORK IN THE BAY AREA AND HOW CAN THIS STUDY TO MAKE FARE
- 18 POLICY A PART OF A MORE INTEGRATED SYSTEM OF TRANSIT IN OUR
- 19 REGION. THE NEXT SLIDE ROW HERE ON THIS SLIDE AFTER OUITE A
- 20 BIT OF WORK, ABOUT 8-10 MONTHS AGO, THE PROJECT TEAM BEGAN TO
- 21 SHORTEN THE LIST ACTION FOR OUR BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS. AND
- 22 YOU CAN SEE THOSE LISTED THERE AS NUMBER ONE THROUGH SIX. AND
- 23 THEN I THINK AT OUR LAST MEETING WE TALKED OUITE A BIT ABOUT
- 24 THE FOUR DIFFERENT TIERS OF INTEGRATION. I THINK THIS IS
- 25 PROBABLY THE SIMPLEST WAY TO REAL THINK ABOUT TODAY'S MEETING,



- 1 ARE THESE FOUR TIERS. FIRST BEING OVERLAYS ON TOP OF OUR
- 2 EXISTING FARE POLICY STRUCTURE, SPECIFICALLY PAST PRODUCTS AND
- 3 CAPS, EITHER PRICE BASED CAPS OR NUMBER OF TRIPS BASED CAPS.
- 4 THE NEXT BEING DISCOUNTS WHEN CUSTOMERS TRANSFER BETWEEN
- 5 AGENCIES. FREE TRANSFERS FROM LOCAL SERVICES OR DISCOUNTED
- 6 TRANSFERS BETWEEN REGIONAL SERVICES AND LOCAL SERVICES. THE
- 7 NEXT LEVEL BEING REGIONAL CHANGE WHERE ALL THE REGIONAL
- 8 TRANSIT SERVICES WOULD BE BROUGHT INTO A COMMON FARE STRUCTURE
- 9 AND THEN THE FINAL TIER BEING REGIONAL AND LOCAL CHANGE THAT
- 10 WOULD BRING ALL TRANSIT SERVICES IN THE BAY AREA INTO A COMMON
- 11 FARE STRUCTURE. SO, THESE FOUR TIERS ARE REALLY FUNDAMENTAL TO
- 12 UNDERSTANDING THE ANALYSIS THAT WE WILL BE PRESENTING THIS
- 13 AFTERNOON. WE CAN GO ON TO THE NEXT SLIDE. SO, WHERE ARE WE
- 14 NOW? SO, TODAY WE ARE GOING TO BE PRESENTING RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 15 AND THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS, AS I MENTIONED A MINUTE AGO, WILL
- 16 BE PRESENTED THROUGH THE FRAMEWORK OF OUR BUSINESS CASE
- 17 PROCESS WHICH HAS A STRATEGIC LENS, COST BENEFIT OR ECONOMIC
- 18 LENS, THE DELIVERABILITY LENS AND A CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE LENS.
- 19 WE ARE SEEKING YOUR FEEDBACK AS THE SUBCOMMITTEE TODAY ABOUT
- 20 THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS AND HOW THEY ALIGN WITH OUR PROJECT
- 21 GOALS THAT WE INITIALLY SET OUT WHEN THE PROJECT BEGAN. HOW
- 22 THE RECOMMENDATIONS ALIGN WITH A VISION FOR LONG-TERM CHANGE
- 23 OF REGIONAL FARE POLICY, HOW THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS ALIGN WITH
- 24 THE NEEDS OF TRANSIT OPERATORS TO CONTINUE TO SUSTAIN SERVICE
- 25 AND BE ABLE TO DELIVER A ROBUST AND EVER IMPROVING TRANSIT



1	LEVEL OF SERVICE. AND OUR CAPACITY TO BE ABLE TO DELIVER THE
2	RECOMMENDATIONS. SO, THESE ARE ALL QUESTIONS THAT WE WANT THE
3	SUBCOMMITTEE TO THINK ABOUT WHEN YOU'RE PROVIDING FEEDBACK ON
4	THE RECOMMENDATIONS. AND FINALLY, WHAT WE'RE REALLY TRYING TO
5	ANSWER ARE HOW CAN THOSE FOUR FARE INTEGRATION TIERS SET THE
6	STAGE FOR THE LONG-TERM RECOMMENDATIONS OF FARE POLICY CHANGE
7	IN THE REGION THAT COME OUT OF THIS PROJECT AND THE POSSIBLE
8	IMMEDIATE ACTIONS THE PROJECT RECOMMENDS THAT THE REGION MOVE
9	FORWARD WITH RIGHT NOW. AND THOSE COULD BE IN THE FORM OF
10	DEMONSTRATIONS OR PILOTS THAT WOULD BE IMPLEMENTED IN THE VERY
11	NEAR TERM. YOU CAN GO ON TO THE NEXT SLIDE. SO, THIS GETS A
12	LITTLE BIT TO THE PUNCH LINE RIGHT AT THE BEGINNING TO HELP
13	FOLKS THINK ABOUT IT. THE RECOMMENDATIONS WE ARE GOING TO BE
14	TALKING ABOUT TODAY ARE IN THE VERY NEAR TERM, AS IN THE NEXT
15	FEW MONTHS. CONDUCT A PILOT OF WHAT WE ARE CALLING A BULK
16	INSTITUTIONAL PASS PRODUCT. WE WILL TALK IN A LOT MORE DETAIL
17	ABOUT WHAT THAT MEANS LATER ON. AND I THINK WE TALKED A BIT
18	ABOUT IT AT PAST MEETINGS. BUT IT WOULD BE A PRODUCT THAT
19	WOULD AVAILABLE INITIALLY TO EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS TO
20	PROVIDE STUDENTS WITH ACCESS TO THE ENTIRE TRANSIT SYSTEM IN
21	ITS FIRST PHASE AND EXPANDING THAT COULD INCLUDE EMPLOYERS AND
22	AFFORDABLE HOUSING THAT WOULD FOLLOW SEVERAL MONTHS AFTER THE
23	INITIAL LAUNCH. THE SECOND RECOMMENDATION WE WANT TO TALK
24	ABOUT TODAY IS IN THE MEDIUM TERM ONCE THE CLIPPER SYSTEM IS

AVAILABLE IN FALL OF 2023, DEPLOY REGION-WIDE FREE TRANSFER.



- 1 IF YOU TRANSFER BETWEEN BUSES IT WOULD BE ONE SYSTEM. YOU
- 2 WOULD NOT BE PAYING A SECOND FARE. AND THEN COUPLED WITH THAT,
- 3 CREATE A ROBUST DISCOUNT FOR TRANSFERS BETWEEN REGIONAL
- 4 SERVICES AND LOCAL SERVICES. I'M SORRY. YES. BETWEEN REGIONAL
- 5 SERVICES AND LOCAL SERVICES. SO, IN EFFECT WHAT THAT COULD
- 6 MEAN IS THAT IF YOU TRANSFER BETWEEN SAMTRANS AND CALTRAIN,
- 7 THE LOCAL FARE IS THAT YOU PAID FOR SAMTRANS WOULD BE DEDUCTED
- 8 OFF YOUR CALTRAIN FARE. IN THE LONGER TERM, CONTINUE TO
- 9 EVALUATE THE REGION'S CAPACITY TO DELIVER THAT THIRD TIER OF
- 10 INTEGRATION WHICH IS BRINGING ALL THE REGIONAL SERVICES INTO A
- 11 COMMON FARE STRUCTURE AND SYSTEM. THESE REGIONAL SERVICES MEAN
- 12 AGENCIES LIKE BART, CALTRAIN, REGIONAL EXPRESS BUS SERVICE,
- 13 THE FERRIES, THOSE TYPES OF SERVICES. WE ARE GOING TO BE GOING
- 14 INTO A LOT OF DETAIL ABOUT ALL THESE RECOMMENDATIONS WITH YOU
- 15 TODAY AND THE ANALYSIS FOR HOW WE CAME TO THESE
- 16 RECOMMENDATIONS. THIS BACKGROUND SECTION I AM GOING TO GO
- 17 THROUGH QUITE QUICKLY AND THEN I WILL TURN THE PRESENTATION
- 18 OVER TO MIKE EISEMAN FROM BART WHO WILL WALK YOU THROUGH A LOT
- 19 OF THE DETAIL.
- 21 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: BILL, CAN I GET A QUICK CLARIFICATION
- 22 BECAUSE I JUST GOT CONFUSED.
- 24 WILLIAM BACON: YES.

25

23



- 1 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: IF YOU COULD GO BACK TWO SLIDES. SO,
- 2 IN NUMBER TWO, COULD YOU KIND OF RUN THROUGH THAT AGAIN
- 3 BECAUSE I DIDN'T -- IT GOT CONFUSING BECAUSE YOU STUMBLED A
- 4 BIT THERE.

5

- 6 WILLIAM BACON: MY APOLOGIES. WE ARE GOING TO GO INTO THIS IN A
- 7 LOT OF DETAIL IN THE PRESENTATION.

8

9 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: OKAY.

10

- 11 WILLIAM BACON: BUT VERY QUICKLY FREE TRANSFERS FROM LOCAL TO
- 12 LOCAL SERVICES. IF YOU ARE GOING FROM A SAMTRANS BUS TO A VTA
- 13 BUS, YOU DON'T PAY A SECOND FARE. AS A USER YOU WOULD
- 14 EXPERIENCE ONE SYSTEM. YOU WOULD PAY ONE FARE. THIS IS PERHAPS
- 15 WHERE I STUMBLED. I'M SORRY IF I MISSPOKE. THE SECOND PART OF
- 16 THIS SECOND RECOMMENDATION IS THAT THERE WOULD BE A COMMON
- 17 DISCOUNT BETWEEN LOCAL AND REGIONAL SERVICES OR BETWEEN
- 18 REGIONAL SERVICES.

19

20 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: OKAY.

- 22 WILLIAM BACON: THAT WAS THE EXAMPLE I SAID ABOUT SAMTRANS AND
- 23 CALTRAIN. WE WILL TALK MORE IN DETAIL ABOUT THE PRESENTATION.
- 24 I HOPE THAT CLARIFIES IT A LITTLE BIT. BUT IF WE COULD
- 25 CONTINUE ALONG FOR NOW UNTIL WE GET INTO THE DETAIL PROBABLY



IN THE INTEREST OF TIME. SO, AGAIN, I THINK MOST OF THE 1 SUBCOMMITTEE IS FAMILIAR WITH THE PROJECT PROBLEM STATEMENT --2 3 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: HANG ON FOR A MOMENT. THERE IS AN EMAIL 4 5 FROM MEMBER LITVAK WHO HAS NOT RECEIVED THE PANELIST LINK FOR THE MEETING. CAN SOMEONE ON THE STAFF TEAM GET --6 7 8 CLERK OF THE BOARD: IT WAS RESENT TO HER EARLIER TODAY. IF SHE IS STILL HAVING ISSUES, SHE SHOULD CONTACT ME DIRECTLY 9 10 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU. WILL DO. 11 12 WILLIAM BACON: I THINK MOST OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS WILL 13 REMEMBER THE PROJECT PROBLEM STATEMENT AND WHY WE ARE FOCUSING 14 ON FARE INTEGRATION. I TOUCHED ON THE FOUR KEY ISSUES EARLIER 15 16 IN THE PRESENTATION BUT THESE ARE THE KEY USE GUIDING THIS WORK. THINKING ABOUT CUSTOMER VALUE. HOW FARES CONNECT WITH 17 THE VALUE THAT CUSTOMERS PLACE ON THEIR TRIP AND WHAT THAT 18 TRIP MEANS TO THEM. THE PAYMENT EXPERIENCE. HOW THE FARES AND 19 PRODUCTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY OFFERED ALIGN WITH THE 20 TECHNOLOGIES AND OUR ABILITY TO DELIVER A PAYMENT EXPERIENCE 21 THAT REALLY MEETS CUSTOMERS' NEEDS AND ALLOWS THEM TO BENEFIT 22 FROM THE BREADTH OF OUR TRANSIT SYSTEM. THE FUTURE TRANSIT, 23 REALLY MEANING HOW DO OUR FARES ALLOW US TO OPTIMIZE THE 24 BENEFITS FROM OUR CAPITAL ONE INVESTMENTS IN THE SYSTEM. EVEN 25



- 1 MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS OR INCREASES IN FREQUENCY. HOW CAN WE
- 2 REALLY LEVERAGE OUR FARE SYSTEM TO ALLOW THOSE CAPITAL
- 3 INVESTMENTS TO PERFORM TO THEIR HIGHEST POTENTIAL. AND
- 4 UNDERLYING EVERYTHING IS EQUITY. HOW CAN OUR CURRENT FARE
- 5 POLICIES AND CHANGES THAT WE MAKE REALLY CONSISTENTLY MEET THE
- 6 NEEDS OF THE EQUITY PRIORITY COMMUNITIES IN OUR REGION AND
- 7 REALLY ALLOW USERS TO HAVE ACCESS TO OPPORTUNITY AROUND THE
- 8 BAY AREA. GO ON TO THE NEXT SLIDE. AGAIN, THIS IS INFORMATION
- 9 THAT WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT IN THE PAST. BUT IF YOU LOOK BACK
- 10 BEFORE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC, SO, THIS IS UP TO FEBRUARY 2020,
- 11 THERE WERE ABOUT 57,000 TRIPS EACH DAY THAT WERE MADE USING
- 12 CLIPPER CARDS THAT TOUCHED MULTIPLE TRANSIT OPERATORS. AND
- 13 WHAT WE'RE SHOWING IN THIS TABLE IS THE MIX OF WHERE THOSE
- 14 TRIPS WERE TAKING PLACE BETWEEN AGENCIES. SO, YOU CAN SEE IF
- 15 YOU FOCUS ON BART WHICH HAS THE LARGEST BAR THERE. YOU CAN SEE
- 16 THE PAIRINGS OF WHERE BART CUSTOMERS WERE TRANSFERRING TO OR
- 17 FROM. YOU CAN SEE AC TRANSIT IN RED. SORT OF ONE OF THE LARGER
- 18 TRUNKS AND THE BART TRANSFERS TO MUNI. YOU COULD SEE THE
- 19 LARGEST GROUPINGS ARE BETWEEN BART, MUNI, AC TRANSIT AND
- 20 SMALLER EAST BAY OPERATORS. I THINK WHAT WE WANT TO HIGHLIGHT
- 21 AGAIN HERE IS JUST THERE'S A RELATIVELY SMALL GROUP OF
- 22 OPERATORS THAT ARE REALLY CARING BY FAR THE LARGEST CHUNK OF
- 23 THOSE INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS. HOW CAN ANY POLICY
- 24 RECOMMENDATIONS WE MAKE REALLY BENEFIT THOSE TYPES OF USERS IS
- 25 AN IMPORTANT LENS TO THINK ABOUT. WE CAN CAN GO FORWARD



- 1 ANOTHER SLIDE. SO, WHEN WE THINK ABOUT WAYS THAT CHANGES TO
- 2 FARE POLICY THROUGH A LENS OF INTEGRATION CAN HELP IMPROVE THE
- 3 CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE, WHAT ARE THE REAL WAYS THAT WE CAN
- 4 ACTUALLY MAKE AN IMPACT THROUGH CHANGES TO POLICY? SO, THERE
- 5 ARE THREE MAIN AREAS THAT WE HAVE IDENTIFIED THROUGH THIS
- 6 PROJECT. WE HAVE TALKED AGAIN ABOUT SOME OF THIS IN THE PAST.
- 7 PRIORS BARRIERS, LEARNIBILITY AND LEGIBILITY OF THE SYSTEM AND
- 8 EOUITY. I THINK IT IS CLEAR THROUGH OUR WORK THAT FARE
- 9 INTEGRATION CAN MAKE A DIFFERENCE. SORT OF OUTSIDE THE SCOPE
- 10 OF THIS PROJECT IS THE CORE QUESTION OF AFFORDABILITY IN TERMS
- 11 OF HOW AFFORDABLE BAY AREA TRANSIT IS FOR ALL INCOME LEVELS.
- 12 IT IS CONNECTED TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS ULTIMATELY AND IS
- 13 CONNECTED TO OTHER ONGOING PROGRAMS SUCH AS CLIPPER START THAT
- 14 ARE REALLY SOLELY FOCUSED ON TACKLING THAT. REDUCING PRICE AND
- 15 MAKING SURE IT IS COMPETITIVIVE FOR ALL TYPES OF TRIPS. MAKING
- 16 SURE IT IS EASY TO UNDERSTAND AND LEARN HOW TO NAVIGATE OUR
- 17 FARE STRUCTURE AND OUR FARE SYSTEM AND ENSURING THAT OUR
- 18 PRICING AND THE STRUCTURE OF FARES IS EQUITABLE FOR ALL USERS
- 19 ARE REAL THE CORE FOCUSES OF WHAT WE ARE THINKING ABOUT HERE.
- 20 WE CAN GO FORWARD ANOTHER SLIDE. AT THIS POINT, I'M GOING TO
- 21 TURN IT OVER TO MIKE WHO IS GOING TO BEGIN TO WALK THROUGH
- 22 SOME OF THE VERY CORE DATA ANALYSIS PORTIONS WE WANT TO SHARE
- 23 WITH YOU TODAY AND I WILL BE BACK A LITTLE BIT LATER IN THE
- 24 PRESENTATION.



- 1 MICHAEL EISEMAN: THANKS, BILL. GOOD AFTERNOON EVERYBODY. MIKE
- 2 EISEMAN HERE FROM BART. WE WILL JUST DIVE IN HERE TO THE NEXT
- 3 SLIDE, PLEASE. SO, JUST TO RECAP HERE OUR ANALYSIS APPROACH.
- 4 THIS IS HOW WE STRUCTURED IT IN A BUSINESS CASE FORMAT. FOUR
- 5 MAIN EVALUATION CRITERIA. OUR STRATEGIC DIMENSION. THAT FIRST
- 6 ONE IS IN BLUE. BASICALLY MEETS THE POLICY GOALS. TO WHAT
- 7 DEGREE DOES EACH OPTION MEET THE POLICY GOALS IN OUR PROBLEM
- 8 STATEMENT. ON THE TOP RIGHT, TRYING TO QUALIFY THE
- 9 SOCIOECONOMIC BENEFITS AND COSTS OF EACH OPTION. ON THE BOTTOM
- 10 LEFT IS THE FINANCIAL DIMENSION. THAT IS WHERE WE ASSESS RISKS
- 11 AND FINANCIAL IMPACTS TO THE OPERATING TRANSIT AGENCIES. ON
- 12 THE BOTTOM RIGHT DELIVERABILITY AND OPERATIONS CONSIDERATIONS.
- 13 WE WILL WALK THROUGH A BUNCH OF INFORMATION RELATED TO SEVERAL
- 14 OF THOSE CRITERIA TODAY. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. JUST TO REMIND
- 15 YOU REAL QUICKLY, THE CATEGORIES OF WORK THAT HAVE FED INTO
- 16 THIS, CERTAINLY FORECASTING AND MODELING IS A BIG FACTOR THAT
- 17 WE WILL TALK ABOUT TODAY. USER RESEARCH COMPONENT WITH
- 18 TECHNICAL EXPERTISE OF OUR SUB CONSULTANT OXD. A LOT OF
- 19 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT INCLUDING WITH MEMBERS OF THIS
- 20 COMMITTEE AS WELL AS OTHER STAKEHOLDERS. AND THEN
- 21 CONTRIBUTIONS FROM ALL OF THE AGENCIES INVOLVED. SO, A LOT OF
- 22 DIFFERENT STREAMS OF INFORMATION FEEDING IN HERE. NEXT SLIDE,
- 23 PLEASE. SO, ONCE AGAIN TO RESTATE THE FRAMEWORK THAT WE HAVE
- 24 COME TO HERE, BILL DID A GOOD JOB OF LAYING THIS OUT. I WILL
- 25 JUST SHOW IT AGAIN FOR YOU HERE. OVERLAYS TO THE FARE



METROPOLITAN

- 1 STRUCTURES, PASSES AND CAPS IS PERHAPS THE SIMPLEST STEP OF
- 2 FARE INTEGRATION. NEXT IN BLUE, TRANSFER DISCOUNTS OF VARIOUS
- 3 KINDS AS BILL LAID OUT. AND THEN MOVING INTO THE GREEN BOX
- 4 THERE, REGIONAL CHANGE AND FINALLY TO THE TIER FOUR IN RED,
- 5 LOCAL AND REGIONAL CHANGE. AND IT IS PERHAPS HELPFUL IN THE
- 6 CONTEXT OF THESE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THINK OF THESE AS
- 7 POTENTIALLY BUT NOT NECESSARILY PROGRESSIVE. YOU COULD MOVE
- 8 THROUGH EACH STEP TO THE NEXT OR YOU COULD SORT OF JUMP TIERS.
- 9 BUT IT IS AT TIMES HELPFUL TO THINK OF THESE AS POTENTIALLY
- 10 PROGRESSIVE. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. SO, JUST TO REMIND EVERYONE
- 11 OUR PRIMARY TOOL HERE FOR THE RIDERSHIP AND REVENUE ANALYSIS
- 12 IS THE REGIONAL TRAVEL MODEL WHICH CONSIDERS THE EXISTING AND
- 13 TRANSIT NETWORK. IT HAS INFORMATION AND EDUCATED ASSUMPTIONS
- 14 ABOUT TRAVEL TIMES, POPULATION AND DEPLOYMENT, TRIP PATTERNS
- 15 AND FARES AND WE USE IT TO RUN SCENARIOS WHERE WE ADJUST FARES
- 16 AND DOCUMENT THE IMPACT ON THOSE METRICS WE ARE INTERESTED IN.
- 17 NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. SO, AGAIN, THIS IS A NETWORK MODEL.
- 18 INDIVIDUAL HOUSEHOLDS AND TRAVELERS ARE REPRESENTED IN THE
- 19 MODEL WITH THE CHOICE OF TRAVEL MODES. EACH VIRTUAL TRAVELER
- 20 GETS A CHOICE ABOUT THEIR MODE AND ROUTE THEY TAKE BASED ON
- 21 FACTORS LIKE TRAVEL TIMES, RELIABILITY WAIT TIMES AND COSTS.
- 22 AND AGAIN, WE HOLD MOST OF THESE FACTORS CONSTANT WITH TARGET
- 23 AND PRICE CHANGES TO EVALUATE THE OPTIONS. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE.
- 24 WHY DON'T WE SKIP THIS ONE. GO TO THE NEXT ONE, PLEASE. SO,
- 25 OUR CONSULTANT TEAM WITH A LOT OF SUPPORT FROM THE MODELERS AT



- 1 MTC HAS RUN A LOT OF ITERATIONS OF THIS MODEL AND THEY ARE
- 2 CONTINUING TO TWEAK ITERATIONS EVEN TODAY. ONE GOAL IS WE
- 3 ITERATE ON THOSE OPTIONS IS GET APPLES TO APPLES IN TERMS OF
- 4 SUBSIDIES EACH CONTAINS. IT IS LAID ON THE SLIDE. WE TESTED A
- 5 TIER TWO OPTION TO GET A BALL PARK LEVEL OF KNEW SUBSIDY
- 6 NEEDED TO ELIMINATE THOSE PRICE BARRIERS BETWEEN AGENCIES.
- 7 THEN WE RAN A COUPLE OF SCENARIOS FOR THE SIMPLE ACROSS THE
- 8 BOARD GLOBAL FARE DISCOUNT. 2.5% AND 5%. THOSE ARE NOT POLICY
- 9 PROPOSALS. THE PURPOSE IS JUST TO ASSESS WHETHER SUBSIDY
- 10 TARGETED AND FARE INTEGRATION HAS INCREMENTAL BENEFITS
- 11 COMPARED TO JUST LOWERING FARES ACROSS THE BOARD. SO, NEXT WE
- 12 DID VERSIONS OF MORE AGGRESSIVE INTEGRATION, MORE TARGETED OR
- 13 GLOBAL RATHER INTEGRATION OPTIONS IN TIERS THREE AND FOUR
- 14 BASED ON SORT OF SOME CUSTOMER FRIENDLY PRICING SCENARIOS WITH
- 15 MORE SUBSIDY. AND THEN WE ITERATED TO BRING THE SUBSIDY IN
- 16 LINE WITH OUR TIER TWO OPTIONS. SO, FINALLY, WE ALSO DID SOME
- 17 ADDITIONAL TRAVEL AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OUTSIDE OF THE MODEL
- 18 BASED ON ACTUAL DATA FROM NTD, CLIPPER AND FROM THE AGENCIES.
- 19 THAT'S A REALITY CHECK ON WHAT WE ARE SEEING FROM MODEL AND TO
- 20 TEST SOME OPTIONS THAT AREN'T READILY TESTABLE IN THE MODEL
- 21 SUCH AS PASSES AND CAPS. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. MOVING NOW INTO
- 22 THE EMERGING FINDINGS. I GUESS AS A WORD OF WARNING, THERE'S A
- 23 LOT OF DETAIL IN HERE. WE ARE GOING TO TRY TO HIT THE
- 24 HIGHLIGHTS BUT BEAR WITH US AS WE WORK THROUGH SOME
- 25 PARTICULARS OF THE DATA ANALYSIS. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. I WANT



- 1 TO START WITH AN OVERVIEW OF HOW WE ARE SEEING PASSES AND CAPS
- 2 IN THIS ANALYSIS. MULTI-AGENCY PASS CAPS PRODUCT OFFERING
- 3 WHICH WE DON'T HAVE WOULD POTENTIALLY PROVIDE A LARGE FARE
- 4 DISCOUNT WHICH WOULD BE WEIGHTED HEAVILY TOWARDS THE MOST
- 5 FREQUENT RIDER AND IMPROVE LEARNIBILITY AND LEGIBILITY FOR
- 6 MULTI-AGENCY RIDERS. YOU WILL RECALL THERE'S SORT OF TWO MAIN
- 7 CATEGORIES OF OPTIONS HERE. PASSES YOU PAY UP FRONT COVERS
- 8 YOUR FARES FOR A PERIOD OF TIME. A CAP OR ACCUMULATOR. YOU PAY
- 9 NORMALLY UP TO SOME THRESHOLD AND THEREAFTER YOU RIDE FREE. WE
- 10 ARE FOCUSED INITIALLY ON CAPS TO GET A SENSE OF HOW THESE
- 11 CHOICES WOULD WORK SORT OF APPLIED TO THE WHOLE POPULATION OF
- 12 RIDERS. AND WE CONSIDERED TWO BASIC PRICING MODELS. THE FIRST
- 13 IS FARE BASED MEANING YOUR FARES ARE CAPPED AT A PARTICULAR
- 14 DOLLAR VALUE FOR THE PERIOD. THE SECOND ONE WOULD BE TRIP
- 15 BASED MEANING YOU ARE CAPPED AFTER A DEFINED NUMBER OF TRIPS
- 16 DURING THE PERIOD. THE DATA ON THIS SLIDE REPORTS SOME
- 17 FINDINGS THAT WE THINK DEMONSTRATE A TRIP-BASED MODEL WHICH IS
- 18 SHOWN IN BLUE IS A BETTER FIT FOR OUR EXISTING FARE SYSTEM
- 19 THAN A FARE-BASED MODEL WHICH IS IN ORANGE. ON THE LEFT SIDE
- 20 IN THE BLUE BARS YOU CAN SEE THE TOTAL DISCOUNTED FARE OR
- 21 AGENCY REVENUE ESTIMATED FOR A TRIP-BASED CAP AT EACH OF
- 22 SEVERAL MONTHLY THRESHOLDS FROM 35-45 TRIPS. AND WE ARE
- 23 ESTIMATING THE NET IMPACT ON REGIONAL FARE REVENUE IS BETWEEN
- 24 4-8%. WE ESTIMATED NET IMPACT OF ABOUT 1% RESULTING FROM THOSE
- 25 -- THAT POLICY BEING APPLIED. MEANING RIDERSHIP INCREASE OF



- 1 ABOUT 1%. SO, A COMMON BENEFICIARY WOULD BE A HIGH VOLUME MUNI
- 2 RIDE WHO ARE TAKES OCCASIONAL BART TRIPS. THE EFFICIENCY IN
- 3 TERMS OF ADDED SUBSIDY PER TRIP HERE IS PRETTY GOOD. ALTHOUGH
- 4 IT IS NOT AS STRONG AS SOME OF THE TIER TWO OPTIONS WE WILL
- 5 SHOW YOU IN A MOMENT. SO, SHOWN HERE IN ORANGE, THE COMPARABLE
- 6 FARE-BASED CAP WE ARE SHOWING JUST THIS COULD BE SIMILAR FOR
- 7 SINGLE AGENCIES. RIDERSHIP IMPACTS HERE ARE ALSO RANGING UP TO
- 8 1% BUT THE POTENTIAL FARE IMPACT IS MUCH GREATER, 16-18%. AND
- 9 ALL THE BENEFIT WOULD BE TOWARDS RIDERS WHO TAKE LOTS OF
- 10 EXPENSIVE TRIPS. MOSTLY LONG DISTANCE TRIPS. NEXT SLIDE,
- 11 PLEASE. BASED ON THAT INSIGHT, WE HAVE THESE RECOMMENDATIONS
- 12 DEPRIORITIZED FARE BASED CAPS AND FOCUSED ON TRIP-BASED CAPS
- 13 AND PASSES. I WILL SAY THAT BROAD FARE CAPTION IS VERY
- 14 ATTRACTIVE TO THE CUSTOMER. OFFERS A GREAT BENEFIT TO THE
- 15 CUSTOMER. IT WOULD REQUIRE A LOT OF NEW SUBSIDY TO IMPLEMENT
- 16 THE THRESHOLD TO BE MEANINGFUL TO A LOT OF RIDERS. FOR THAT
- 17 REASON WE FOCUSED PARTICULAR ATTENTION ON RELATED PAST PRODUCT
- 18 THAT WE THINK COULD OFFER A GOOD PROOF OF CONCEPT. WE WILL
- 19 REFER TO IT AS PUGET MODEL SINCE IT HAS BEEN USED IN THE PUGET
- 20 SOUND REGION. AND IN THIS VERSION, RIDERS ARE OFFERED THEIR
- 21 CHOICE OF PASSES AT A PRICE POINT THEY CHOOSE. FOR EXAMPLE, IF
- 22 YOUR TYPICAL TRIP COSTS \$3, YOU WOULD BUY A PASS THAT COSTS
- 23 THREE TIMES 18 ROUND TRIPS OF \$108. AND ALL TRIPS UP TO \$3 ARE
- 24 COVERED. IF YOU TAKE A TRIP THAT COSTS MORE YOU PAY THE
- 25 DIFFERENCE. FOR CONTEXT, ABOUT 13% OF THE FARES IN PUGET SOUND



- 1 ARE PAID USING THIS TYPE OF PASS AS WELL AS FARE RAILS IN THE
- 2 D.C. METRO RAIL. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. I AM GOING TO MOVE NOW A
- 3 LITTLE MORE QUICKLY INTO A SUMMARY OF BROADER EMERGING
- 4 FINDINGS FROM THE BUSINESS CASE, STARTING WITH THE STRATEGIC
- 5 DIMENSION WITH POLICY GOALS LIKE RIDERSHIP, VMT, EQUITY AND
- 6 CUSTOMER EQUITY

7

- 8 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: I SEE MEMBER HARTMAN HAS HAD A HAND AND
- 9 THIS INFORMATION IS REALLY DENSE. I DON'T WANT TO HAVE A
- 10 REALLY LONG CONVERSATION BUT THERE FEES A CLARIFYING QUESTION
- 11 THAT MIGHT BE GOOD.

12

- 13 TISHA DEE HARTMAN: CAN YOU GO BACK TO THE SLIDE -- ONE MORE
- 14 SLIDE BACK. OKAY. ANOTHER SLIDE BACK. SO, HERE WHEN YOU ARE
- 15 LOOKING AT THE CHANGE IN MONTHLY CLIPPER REVENUE BY CAP TYPE
- 16 AND YOU ARE COMPARING TRIP NUMBER VERSUS FARE BASED CAPS,
- 17 WHERE DID YOU GUYS PULL THESE FARES OUT OF? BECAUSE IT IS
- 18 SHOWING, OBVIOUSLY, A SIGNIFICANT LOSSES IN THE FARE-BASED
- 19 CAP. WHERE DID THESE FIGURES COME FROM AS FAR AS THE FARES ARE
- 20 COMING FROM?

- 22 MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH. GOOD QUESTION. THAT \$81 FIGURE IS
- 23 ROUGHLY THE 80TH PERCENTILE OF WHAT PEOPLE PAY FOR FARES OUT
- 24 OF THE CLIPPER DATA. \$162 IS TWICE THAT FIGURE. THESE ARE ALSO
- 25 MORE OR LESS COMPARABLE TO THE RANGE OF PRICES PEOPLE PAY FOR



PASSES ON THE LARGE LOCAL AGENCIES. MUNI PASSES ARE BETWEEN 1 \$80 AND \$100. 2 3 TISHA DEE HARTMAN: THIS IS THIS MAJORITY OF WHAT FARES ARE 4 5 BEING PAID TODAY? 6 MICHAEL EISEMAN: \$81 IS THE 80TH PERCENTILE FIGURE IF YOU LOOK 7 8 AT THE CLIPPER CARDS THAT WE HAVE OVER THE PERIODS WE LOOKED AT. THE TYPICAL \$80% OF FOLKS PAY UNDER EIGHT IS. 9 10 TISHA DEE HARTMAN: OKAY. AND SO, TO EXPAND THAT, WE WOULD BE 11 LOOKING AT SIGNIFICANT LOSSES. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I AM 12 UNDERSTANDING THIS. THANK YOU. 13 14 MICHAEL EISEMAN: THANKS. GOOD QUESTION AND I DID MEAN TO STOP 15 16 FOR QUESTIONS. THANKS FOR INTERRUPTING ME, ADINA 17 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THERE'S A COUPLE OF PEOPLE THAT HAVE A 18 19 HAND. WE WILL SHARE OPINIONS LATER. BUT CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, MEMBER GRIFFITHS. 20 21 22 IAN GRIFFITHS: YEAH. IF YOU GO BACK TO THE SAME SLIDE. WHEN YOU SAY A TRIP-BASED CAP, THAT MEANS 36 TRIPS BUT ON ANY 23 AGENCY AND NO MATTER WHAT THE FARE WOULD BE. ONE TRIP COULD BE 24



1	AN \$8 BART TRIP OR \$2.50 LOCAL TRANSIT TRIP. IS THAT THAT
2	IS MY FIRST QUESTION AND YOU ARE NODDING YOUR HEAD.
3	
4	MICHAEL EISEMAN: WE THINK OF THESE AS LAYERED ON TOP OF THE
5	INTERAGENCY TRANSFER DISCOUNTS. THIS WOULD BE FARES PAID, NOT
6	TRIPS TAKEN. IF YOU HAD A FREE FARE, THAT WOULDN'T COUNT
7	TOWARDS THE TOTAL.
8	
9	IAN GRIFFITHS: THAT WAS MY OTHER QUESTION. DOES THIS ASSUME
10	THAT A TRIP IS AGAIN IF IT IS A THREE PART TRIP THAT USES
11	THREE AGENCIES THAT IS STILL ONE TRIP. THAT IS NOT THREE
12	TRIPS.
13	
14	MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH.
15	
16	IAN GRIFFITHS: THANK YOU.
17	
18	MICHAEL EISEMAN: SURE
19	
20	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: GREAT. AND THEN CLARIFYING QUESTIONS,
21	MEMBER GOTUACO.
22	
23	CHRISTINA GOTUACO: YEAH. SAME SLIDE. I WANTED TO KNOW HOW DOES
24	THE TRIP CAP WORK IN RELATION TO MONTHLY PASSES?



MICHAEL EISEMAN: LET'S SEE. OUESTION BEING IF YOU HAVE A 1 MONTHLY PASS, A SINGLE AGENCY MONTHLY PASS, WOULD THAT COUNT 2 3 TOWARDS YOUR TRIP COUNT? 4 5 CHRISTINA GOTUACO: YEAH. 6 MICHAEL EISEMAN: I THINK GOING WITH THE DEFINITION I LAID OUT 7 8 IF YOU ARE NOT PAYING INCREMENTALLY TOWARDS YOUR TRIP, IT WOULDN'T COUNT TOWARDS THE CAP, MEANING THAT YOU -- BILL, HELP 9 10 ME OUT. 11 WILLIAM BACON: THAT IS RIGHT. THE ASSUMPTION HERE -- IT IS A 12 GOOD QUESTION. THIS IS ONE OF THE CHALLENGES BUILT INTO OUR 13 VERY DISPARITY FARE SYSTEM RIGHT NOW. SOME AGENCIES HAVE 14 15 PASSES. SOME DON'T. IF YOU HAVE A PASS, YOU'RE NOT PAYING AN 16 INCREMENTAL COST FOR EACH TRIP YOU ARE TAKING. SO, IT ISN'T ASSUMED TO BE COUNTED IN THIS. THIS IS A STRENGTH OR WEAKNESS 17 DEPENDING ON YOUR PERSPECTIVE OF THAT TRIP-BASED MODEL. I WILL 18 LEAVE IT AT THAT. 19 20 MICHAEL EISEMAN: ON THAT, LET ME CLARIFY. SOMETIMES THESE 21 QUESTIONS HAVE TWO DIFFERENT KINDS OF ANSWERS. ONE IS HOW 22 WOULD THIS WORK IN THE REAL POLICY AND THE OTHER IS HOW DOES 23 IT WORK IN THE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OR THE RIDERSHIP ANALYSIS. 24

WHEN THIS ANALYSIS HERE DOESN'T -- WAS BASED ON THE NUMBER OF



- 1 TRIPS THAT PEOPLE TAKE WITHOUT REGARD TO WHETHER THEY HAD A
- 2 PASS OR NOT. SO, IF, FOR EXAMPLE, THE TRIP-BASED CAP ENDED UP
- 3 BEING MORE FAVORABLE TO PEOPLE, THEN THE EXISTING PASS SYSTEMS
- 4 BASICALLY THIS INTERPRETATION IS THEY WOULD START SWITCHING
- 5 OVER TO THE OTHER PASS MODEL AND NOT BUYING PASSES

6

- 7 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I AM NOT SEEING ANY
- 8 OTHER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS. SO, MOVE ON TO MORE OF THE
- 9 PRESENTATION.

- 11 MICHAEL EISEMAN: SURE. OKAY. LET'S SEE. YOU CAN GO FORWARD TO
- 12 -- ONE MORE I THINK. OKAY. ONE CONCEPT AS WE GET INTO THE
- 13 RIDERSHIP ANALYSIS, WE WANT TO DISTINGUISH A LITTLE BIT
- 14 BETWEEN RIDERSHIP ON MULTI-AGENCY OR INTERCOUNTY TRIPS, WHICH
- 15 TO SOME DEGREE REPRESENTS OUR SUCCESS IN INTEGRATING OUR
- 16 DIVERSE SYSTEMS. AND SEPARATELY CHANGES TO SITTING AGENCY
- 17 TRIPS WHICH WOULD TYPICALLY WOULD BE EITHER THE RESULT OF
- 18 ADJUSTMENTS OR NEW FARE SUBSIDY IN THOSE SYSTEMS. BUT NOT
- 19 NECESSARILY RELATED TO INTEGRATION WITH THE BROADER SYSTEM.
- 20 NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. SO, THIS ONE IS PRETTY DENSE. SO, LET ME
- 21 JUST POINT OUT A FEW ASPECTS OF THIS. HERE ON THE TOP GRAPHIC,
- 22 TEN DIFFERENT MODEL RUNS. IN THE TOP, GRAPHIC ON THE TOP RIGHT
- 23 WE HAVE TWO SETS OF GLOBAL DISCOUNT SCENARIOS JUST FOR
- 24 COMPARISON. THAT IS OUR 2.5% SCENARIO, WHICH YIELDED 0.9% IN
- 25 RIDERSHIP. AGAIN, THIS IS ALL IN PRE-COVID TERMS. SO, BEAR



THAT IN MIND. MOVING TO THE FAR LEFT OF THE TOP, GRAPHIC, WE 1 ARE SHOWING OUR OPTION O. TRANSFER DISCOUNT FOR LOCAL SERVICE 2 3 AND OPTION THREE WHERE WE ADD TRANSFER DISCOUNTS FOR REGIONAL SERVICES AS WELL. YOU SEE THE INTERAGENCY TRANSFER DISCOUNTS 4 5 GENERATE MEANINGFULLY FOR RIDERSHIP THAN THE GLOBAL DISCOUNTS AT A COMPARABLE LEVEL. IN THE MIDDLE OF THE GRAPHIC, WE HAVE 6 THREE BOLDER INTEGRATION PROPOSALS ALL AT 7% SUBSIDY LEVELS. 7 8 THAT MEANS 7% LESS FOR THE SYSTEM AS A WHOLE IN PRE-COVID TERMS. OPTION 3(B), WE HAVE OPTION FOUR WHICH DOES THE SAME. 9 STANDARDIZES LOCAL FLAT FARES. AND OPTION FIVE WHICH APPLIES 10 TO SMALL ZONES TO ALL SERVICES BOTH LOCAL AND REGIONAL. OUT OF 11 THAT GROUP, OPTION 3(B) THAT PRODUCED THE HIGHEST INCREMENTAL 12 INCREASE OF RIDERSHIP. FROM THAT GROUP NEXT TO THE RIGHT WE 13 HAVE A VERSION OF OPTION FIVE WHERE THE SUBSIDY IS REDUCED SO 14 15 IT IS COMPARABLE TO THE TRANSFER DISCOUNT SCENARIOS. THIS ONE 16 WE SEE A NET DECREASE IN RIDERS AS WE HAVE SHORT TRIPS THAT END UP WITH HIGHER FARES THAN THE STATUS QUO. WANT TO POINT 17 OUT OUR TEAM IS DOING FINAL NUMBERS FOR OPTIONS THREE, FOUR 18 AND SIX AS WELL. BUT YOU CAN EXPECT GENERAL PATTERN ACROSS 19 THESE BARS TO HOLD FOR THOSE LOWER SUBSIDY SCENARIOS. ON THE 20 BOTTOM OF THIS GRAPHIC, YOU SEE THE SAME RESULTS BROKEN OUT 21 BETWEEN INTERCOUNTY AND INTRA-COUNTY TRIPS GENERATED. MOST OF 22 THE TRIPS GENERATED ARE INTERCOUNTY SUGGESTING THE SUBSIDY IS 23 BEING TARGETED TOWARDS INTEGRATION BETWEEN SYSTEMS. AS WE MOVE 24 INTO THE STANDARDIZED ZONE OPTIONS WE START TO SEE RIDERSHIP 25



- 1 BEING GENERATED PRINCIPALLY THROUGH LOWER FARES AND SINGLE
- 2 AGENCY TRIPS. MAYBE ONE MORE SLIDE HERE AND THEN WE CAN TAKE
- 3 SOME CLARIFYING QUESTIONS. JUST LOOKING AT THE VMT REDUCTION.
- 4 OPTIONS TWO AND THREE PERFORM EFFICIENTLY AT LOW LEVELS OF
- 5 SUBSIDY ON VMT. 3(B) AND FOUR REDUCE A LOT OF VMT. AND OPTION
- 6 FIVE AT 7% SUBSIDY GENERATES MOSTLY SHORTER TRIPS. WE SEE A
- 7 NET INCREASE IN VMT AT THE LOWER VERSION OF THAT. MAYBE THERE
- 8 SHOULD WE TAKE A PAUSE AND SEE IF THERE'S ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT
- 9 THIS DATA?

10

12

- 11 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: I SEE A BUNCH OF QUESTIONS. CHRISTIAN.
- 13 CHRISTINA GOTUACO: I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY. YOU SAID THIS
- 14 DATA IS PRE-COVID INFORMATION? RIGHT. SO, IT IS MOSTLY
- 15 COMMUTER TRIPS AS OPPOSED TO INCENTIVIZING LOCAL?
- 17 MICHAEL EISEMAN: THERE ARE COMMUTER TRIPS IN PRE-COVID WORLD.
- 18 ONE THING WE WILL BE LAYERING IN COVID RECOVERY SCENARIOS BY
- 19 THE FARE INTEGRATION TASK FORCE. WHAT WE WILL BE DOING IN
- 20 THERE IS HAVING OVERALL SOMEWHAT LOWER RIDERSHIP HERE IN THE
- 21 RECOVERY PHASE BUT HAVING LOCAL TRIPS OR NON-COMMUTER TRIPS
- 22 RECOVER SUBSTANTIALLY FASTER THAN COMMUTER TRIPS. SO YOU WOULD
- 23 SEE A DIFFERENT PATTERN.



CHRISTINA GOTUACO: A DIRECT COMPARISON ON THE COMMUTE SIDE 1 VERSUS THE NON-COMMUTE SIDE MIGHT BE A HELPFUL WAY TO LOOK AT 2 3 THEM 4 5 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: COOL. BRIAN STANKE. 6 BRIAN STANKE: THANK YOU, ADINA. ON THIS SLIDE AND THE PREVIOUS 7 8 SLIDE, I DON'T KNOW IF I MISSED IT. I THOUGHT YOU TALKED ABOUT OPTIONS 2-5 BUT I DIDN'T HEAR ABOUT OPTION SIX. AND ON OPTION 9 SIX, I AM ALSO WONDERING THERE DOESN'T SEEM TO BE A 7% FOR 10 11 OPTION SIX. 12 MICHAEL EISEMAN: THERE'S NOT. GOOD CATCH ON THERE. IN FACT, 13 THERE IS ONE. IT IS JUST STILL IN THE QUEUE THAT NEEDS TO BE 14 ADDED. OPTION SIX IN OUR INITIAL RUN OF IT HERE CAME OUT AS 15 16 CITY SUBSIDY. VERY LARGE SUBSIDY. IT WAS OUR LARGE ZONE OPTION WITH SORT OF CUSTOMER ATTRACTIVE PRICING FOR LARGER ZONES. AND 17 THEN -- THOSE ZONES ARE BUILT AROUND THE EXISTING REGIONAL 18 NETWORK AND IT INCLUDES A LOCAL FLAT FARE. AND IT DOES HAVE --19 IT HAS A SUBSTANTIAL DECREASE OF VMT HERE. WE ARE PLANNING TO 20 ADD IN FOR EACH OF THESE REGION OPTIONS HERE -- FOR FULLY 21 22 INTEGRATED OPTIONS A LOW SUBSIDY VERSUS COMPARABLE TO WHAT YOU 23 SEE FOR OPTION FIVE



ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MEMBER GRIFFITHS. IAN, ARE YOU 1 2 MUTED? 3 IAN GRIFFITHS: SORRY. ON THE PRIOR SLIDE, IF YOU CAN GO THERE. 4 5 THE LEGEND. I WASN'T SURE IF IT WAS ACCURATE. YOU SAID FOR EXAMPLE OPTION FIVE SAW A DECREASE IN -- I THOUGHT WHAT YOU 6 SAID WAS SHORT -- WHAT I WOULD CONSIDER INTRA, MEANING WITHIN 7 8 THE SAME COUNTY TRIPS AND AN INCREASE IN INTER, BETWEEN COUNTIES. BUT THE LEGEND INDICATES THE OPPOSITE. I AM JUST 9 WANTING -- IS THE LIGHT BLUE BETWEEN COUNTIES AND THE DARK 10 BLUE WITHIN THE SAME COUNTY? 11 12 MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH. THAT'S RIGHT. I MAY HAVE FLIPPED MY 13 TERMS THERE. OPTION FIVE WE GENERATE A LOT OF TRIPS WITHIN THE 14 SAME COUNTY. PARTICULARLY IN SAN FRANCISCO WHERE PRICES WOULD 15 16 BE GOING DOWN. 17 IAN GRIFFITHS: OKAY. THE LEGEND IS ACCURATE THEN. AND MY 18 UNDERSTANDING OF INTER AND INTRA IS RIGHT. BECAUSE I NOTICED 19 THROUGHOUT ABOUT OPTION FIVE JUST IN TERMS OF UNDERSTANDING 20 HOW IT WAS MODELED, YOU HAD SAID THAT PEOPLE CROSSING SMALL --21 FOUR AND SIX SAY THERE'S A FLAT LOCAL FARE. I AM WONDERING WAS 22 23 THAT APPLIED TO OPTION FIVE? IT IS NOT WRITTEN INTO THE TEXT BECAUSE THAT MAKES ME BONDER WHETHER THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 24 FOUR AND SIX AND FIVE -- FOUR AND SIX HAVE A FLAT LOCAL FARE 25



AND FIVE DOESN'T. AND THE OTHER MAYBE BECAUSE IT IS THE SAME 1 ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION. WHICH IS THAT THE SMALL ZONES, LIKE, 2 3 THERE'S A CONCEPT THAT THE FIRST TWO ZONES WOULD BE THE SAME PRICE? YOU WOULDN'T BE CHARGED FOR THE FIRST ZONE BOUNDARY. I 4 5 WAS WONDERING IF THAT WAS AN ASPECT OF ZONE FIVE AND THE WAY IT WAS MODELED THAT YOU LIKE THE SMALL ZONES -- YOU WOULDN'T 6 BE CHARGED JUST FOR CROSSING THAT FIRST BOUNDARY FOR A LOCAL 7 8 TRIP. 9 MICHAEL EISEMAN: LET'S SEE. MY UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THIS 10 PARTICULAR MODEL RUN WORKS IS IT DOES NOT DO THE SECOND ZONE 11 FREE. THAT IS A GOOD OBSERVATION. AND IT IS -- THAT'S CORRECT. 12 THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN FIVE AND THEN FOUR AND SIX IS OPTION 13 FIVE IS ZONES THROUGHOUT. NO FLAT LOCAL FARE IN OPTION FIVE. 14 15 16 IAN GRIFFITHS: AND THE PRICE GOES UP AS SOON AS YOU EXIT THAT FIRST ZONE INTO THE SECOND ZONE. OKAY. THANK YOU FOR THE 17 18 CLARIFICATION 19 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: MEMBER HARTMAN. 20 21 22 TISHA DEE HARTMAN: THANK YOU. FIRST QUESTION WITH REGARDS TO 23 THE DATA IN THIS CHART DO WEST THE COMPETENCE LEVEL AS FAR AS 24 ACCURACY?



MICHAEL EISEMAN: MODELS ARE MODELS. I WOULDN'T BE TOO 1 CONFIDENT IN MODEL RESULTS. FOR ANY MODEL ESPECIALLY IN THIS 2 3 UNFAMILIAR WORLD. I THINK IT IS THE BEST TOOL WE HAVE TO INTEGRATE ALL OF THESE DIFFERENT KINDS OF INFORMATION THAT 4 5 WE'RE LOOKING AT HERE. BUT I WOULD SAY TAKE IT WITH A GRAIN OF SALT FROM ANY MODEL. I WILL SAY IN DEFENSE OF THE MODEL THAT 6 IT IS THE SAME TOOL THAT IS BEING USED FOR PLAN BAY AREA AND 7 8 IN THAT SENSE IT IS COMPARABLE ACROSS DIFFERENT ENOUGHS INVESTMENTS THE REGION IS LOOKING AT. BUT I THINK -- ONE THING 9 I DO WANT TO EMPHASIZE HERE IS THAT WE THINK -- PART OF WHAT 10 IS DRIVING OUR RECOMMENDATIONS HERE IS WE'RE TRYING TO GET TO 11 SOME RECOMMENDATIONS THAT MAKE SENSE IN LIGHT OF ACTUALS, IN 12 LIGHT OF COMMON SENSE AND BEST PRACTICES FROM CLOSELY RELATED 13 PEER REGIONS. NOT JUST FROM MODEL FINDINGS. 14 15 16 TISHA DEE HARTMAN: THANK YOU. TO FOLLOW THAT WHAT IS THE CONFIDENCE OR CHALLENGES IN OBTAINING SOME OF THESE ADDITIONAL 17 SUBSIDY? HOW CONFIDENT IS THE TEAM SAY IF WE WENT WITH OPTION 18 C AND WE NEEDED A 7% INCREASE IN SUBSIDIES WHAT IS THE 19 LIKELINESS OF THAT HAPPENING? WHAT ARE THE CHALLENGES? CAN YOU 20 21 GET SOME COLOR TO THAT? 22 23 MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH. THAT IS A SUBJECT WE THINK ABOUT A LOT HERE IN MY DAY JOB, BART AND FINANCIAL PLANNING. THE REALITY 24

AS YOU GUYS KNOW WE CAN'T REALLY SUSTAIN THE SERVICE THAT WE



- 1 HAVE WITHOUT THE RETURN OF A LOT OF FARE PAYING CUSTOMERS.
- 2 WHEN AND IF THAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN, WE HAVE GUESSES ONLY. SO,
- 3 RIGHT NOW I THINK THE BIGGER QUESTION IS NOT JUST SUBSIDY
- 4 RELATED TO FARE INTEGRATION BUT HOW DO WE PAY FOR THIS NETWORK
- 5 THAT WE HAVE AND THE NETWORK THAT WE NEED. I WOULD SAY SOME
- 6 STEPS ARE GOING TO HAVE TO BE TAKEN TO MAKE SURE THAT WE CAN
- 7 PAY FOR THIS NETWORK. AND I THINK THESE PROPOSALS ARE JUST
- 8 GOING TO HAVE TO FIT INTO THAT FRAMEWORK.

9

- 10 TISHA DEE HARTMAN: MY FINAL QUESTION, THANK YOU. I SEE WHERE
- 11 IN THE VERY BEGINNING YOU ADDRESSED THE BULK INSTITUTIONAL
- 12 PASSES AND INITIALLY ROLLING THAT OUT TO STUDENTS. BUT I
- 13 DIDN'T SEE WHERE WE TOUCHED ON HOW THESE -- HOW THIS PROPOSAL
- 14 THAT YOU GUYS ARE RECOMMENDING TODAY IS GOING TO SERVE THE
- 15 SENIORS, THE DISABLED AND OTHER ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED
- 16 GROUPS. WHAT AM I MISSING THERE?

- 18 MICHAEL EISEMAN: THAT IS A GOOD OUESTION. WE WILL GET INTO A
- 19 LOOK AT EOUITY ISSUES AS TO LOWER AND HIGHER NORTH CAROLINA
- 20 FOLKS AND THOSE THESE PROPOSALS AFFECT THEM. THERE IS A
- 21 BROADER QUESTION ABOUT SYSTEM AFFORDABILITY THAT IS RELATED TO
- 22 BUT NOT THE SAME. WHETHER OUR CURRENT LEVEL OF SENIOR DISCOUNT
- 23 OR CLIPPER SMART DISCOUNT IS ADEOUATE TO THE CHALLENGE OF
- 24 THOSE POPULATIONS IN THIS AREA IS IMPORTANT, BUT OUTSIDE OF
- 25 OUR SCOPE.



1 2 TISHA DEE HARTMAN: OKAY. 3 WILLIAM BACON: THIS IS BILL. I WILL CHIME IN OUICKLY. WHEN I 4 5 MENTIONED THAT EARLIER AS WELL, TISHA, IT WAS IN THE SPECIFIC CONTEXT OF SORT OF AN EARLY PILOT OR DEMONSTRATION PROJECT 6 SOMETHING IN THE NEAR TERM. WE WILL TALK MORE ABOUT THAT LATER 7 8 IN THE PRESENTATION AS WELL. BUT I THINK THAT IS SOMETHING WE ARE SEEKING FEEDBACK FROM THIS GROUP ON AS WELL. THAT WHEN WE 9 DETAILED THAT PROPOSAL, WE WILL TALK ABOUT AN INITIAL PHASE 10 11 ONE BEING FOCUSED ON STUDENTS AND THEN A PHASE TWO THAT WOULD FOLLOW THAT, INCLUDING A BROADER RANGE OF INSTITUTIONS AND 12 ORGANIZATIONS THAT WOULD HAVE THIS SORT OF ALL YOU CAN USE BAY 13 AREA TRANSIT PASS THAT HAS NEVER EXISTED BEFORE. BUT WE WOULD 14 BE OPEN TO HEARING THE COMMITTEE'S THOUGHTS ABOUT IS THAT AN 15 16 APPROPRIATE GROUP TO START WITH AND WE CAN TALK ABOUT OUR REASONS FOR WHY WE ARE THINKING ABOUT THAT AND WHY THAT IN OUR 17 OPINION MAKES SENSE TO START WITH THAT GROUP. BUT THAT IS WHAT 18 WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU. 19 20 TISHA DEE HARTMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU 21 22 23 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. MEMBER KALLINS, CLARIFYING QUESTIONS ONLY. COMMENTS AT THE END. 24



- 1 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: GOING BACK TO OPTION SIX WHICH HAS
- 2 THE HIGH RATE OF SUBSIDY, IT OBVIOUSLY, ALSO HAS THE HIGHEST
- 3 TRIP INCREASE AND DECREASE IN VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED. SURE
- 4 THAT HAS TO DO WITH THE HIGH RATE OF SUBSIDY. IN YOUR
- 5 PRESENTATION YOU DIDN'T REALLY TOUCH ON THAT ONE. IS THAT
- 6 BECAUSE THE RATE OF SUBSIDY IS UNREALISTIC? AND IF SO WHY ARE
- 7 WE KEEPING IT IN THERE IF IT IS AN UNATTAINABLE?

8

- 9 MICHAEL EISEMAN: APOLOGIES IF THAT IS NOT QUITE READY TO GO
- 10 HERE. I THINK FOR -- ONE THING I AM PARTICULARLY INTERESTED IN
- 11 THAT WE WILL TALK ABOUT IN JUST A MINUTE IS THE EFFICIENCY IN
- 12 TERMS OF COST FOR A NEW RIDER FOR EACH OF THESE. THERE'S
- 13 PROBABLY AN INFINITE LEVEL OF SUBSIDIES THAT YOU COULD CHOOSE
- 14 DEPENDING ON THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE.

15

- 16 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: IF HYPOTHETICALLY WE PASSED NEW
- 17 FUNDING SOURCES THAT ACTUALLY MADE IT POSSIBLE TO GIVE THIS
- 18 LEVEL OF SUBSIDY, THIS WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WE COULD
- 19 CONSIDER?

20

- 21 MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH. CERTAINLY WE CAN CONSIDER IT. I THINK -
- 22 WELL, WE WILL TALK ABOUT COST EFFICIENCY, RETURN ON THE
- 23 DOLLAR A LITTLE BIT IN JUST A MINUTE.

24

25 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: OKAY. THANKS.



1	
2	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: I'M GOING TO SAY IT WRONG NOW. MEMBER
3	DEUTSCH.
4	
5	ZACH DEUTSCH: WALK ME THROUGH THE DISTANCE HAVING INTERCOUNTY
6	RIDERSHIP.
7	
8	MICHAEL EISEMAN: IT DOES. IT DEPENDS ON WHOSE FARE IS GOING UP
9	AND WHOSE IS GOING DOWN. PARTLY WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THESE
10	SCENARIOS THAT GENERATED LOTS OF INTRACOUNTY TRIPS IS WE END
11	UP WITH A SOMEWHAT LOWER FARE IN SAN FRANCISCO THAT HAS A
12	LARGE SHARE OF REGIONAL RIDERSHIP.
13	
14	ZACK DEUTSCH: THANK YOU. BUT IT IS NOT ENDEMIC OF THE MODELS.
15	IT IS ABOUT THE PRICE LINK GOING UP OR DOWN.
16	
17	MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH
18	
19	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: MEMBER HEDGES.
20	
21	RICHARD HEDGES: MY QUESTION IS REGARDING THE TRANSFER
22	DISCOUNTS. AND MOST PEOPLE, ESPECIALLY ON THE PENINSULA IF
23	THEY ARE COMMUTING TO WORK TAKE MORE THAN ONE MODE. SO, I AM
24	GOING TO LAY OUT A TRIP THAT SOMEONE MIGHT TAKE, I HAVE TAKEN.
25	FROM SAMTRANS TO CALTRAIN, TO BART, TO THE FERRY TO VALLEJO,



- 1 TO SOUL TRAINS, HOW WOULD THOSE DISCOUNTS WORK ON THOSE
- 2 MULTIPLE TRIPS? [LAUGHTER].

3

- 4 WILLIAM BACON: I CAN TRY TO TAKE THAT ONE. RICH, WE WILL TALK
- 5 A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THIS LATER IN THE PRESENTATION, TOO.
- 6 BUT I THINK IN THAT EXAMPLE, AND I MIGHT HAVE MISSED ONE LINK.
- 7 ANY TYPE OF SERVICE THAT IS CONSIDERED A LOCAL TRANSIT
- 8 SERVICE, THAT IS PRIMARY LOCAL BUS, LOCAL LIGHT RAIL,
- 9 GENERALLY IN OUR AREA IS A FLAT FARE RIGHT NOW IN OUR CURRENT
- 10 SYSTEM. YOU WOULD BE PAYING ONLY THAT VERY FIRST FARE. IF YOUR
- 11 FIRST TRIP WAS ON SAMTRANS AND THAT FARE WAS \$2.25, THAT IS
- 12 WHAT YOU WOULD PAY AND YOU WOULDN'T PAY ANOTHER LOCAL FAREMENT
- 13 IF YOU WERE TAKING A SOUL TRANS LOCAL BUS AT THE END, YOU
- 14 WASN'T BE PAYING ANOTHER SAMTRANS FARE. WHEN YOU GOT ON
- 15 CALTRAIN OR BART, YOU WOULD BE GETTING A DISCOUNT FOR THAT
- 16 LOCAL FARE THAT YOU PAID. IN THE CASE IF IT WAS \$2.25 FOR
- 17 SAMTRANS, YOU WOULD BE GETTING \$2.25 OFF THE CALTRAIN FARE.
- 18 YOU COULD BE GETTING THAT DISCOUNT ON WHATEVER CHANGE YOU MADE
- 19 IN THE REGIONAL SERVICE. WE COULD TRY TO TALK ABOUT THAT MORE
- 20 LATER IN THE PRESENTATION, TOO.

21

- 22 RICHARD HEDGES: HOW ABOUT CALTRAIN TO BART? TAKE A SHUTTLE TO
- 23 CALTRAIN.



- 1 WILLIAM BACON: STILL -- IN A REGIONAL SCENARIO, AGAIN WE WILL
- 2 DETAIL THIS IN THE PRESENTATION, THERE WOULD BE -- THERE'S --
- 3 COULD BE A DIFFERENT AMOUNT. BUT THERE WOULD BE A FIXED
- 4 DISCOUNT FOR REGIONAL TO REGIONAL TRANSFERS. AND THAT'S WHERE
- 5 YOU COULD SET IT AT DIFFERENT LEVEL, DEPENDING ON WHAT YOU ARE
- 6 TRYING TO ACHIEVE.

7

9

8 RICHARD HEDGES: THANK YOU

10 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: AND THE QUESTION THAT I HAD, HAD TO DO

- 11 WITH THE INTRACOUNTY AND INTERCOUNTY. AND THE CLARIFYING
- 12 OUESTIONS IN THAT SPACE ARE WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT
- 13 INTRACOUNTY, IS THIS STILL MULTI-AGENCY? FOR EXAMPLE, IF I AM
- 14 TAKING -- IF I LIVE IN EAST PALO ALTO AND I AM GOING TO EAST
- 15 SAN MATEO SO I TAKE CALTRAIN, I GUESS THAT WOULD WIND UP GOING
- 16 TO PALO ALTO. PRETEND IT IS IN ONE COUNTY BUT STILL
- 17 MULTIAGENCY, IS THAT WHAT IS BEING DESCRIBED HERE OR IS IT
- 18 INTRACOUNTY AND ONE AGENCY VERSUS INTRACOUNTY AND TWO
- 19 AGENCIES? CAN YOU EXPLAIN ARE YOU ALSO LOOKING AT INTRACOUNTY,
- 20 MORE THAN ONE AGENCY?
- 22 MICHAEL EISEMAN: WHAT IS BEING REPORTED HERE, THE METRIC IS
- 23 INTRA-AND INTERCOUNTY. WE ARE USING IT AS A PROXY FOR MULTI-
- 24 AGENCY, ALTHOUGH THEY ARE MANY CASES WHERE PEOPLE USE MULTIPLE
- 25 AGENCIES ALL WITHIN ONE COUNTY



1 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: SO, IT IS BEING USED AS A PROXY. IS THERE 2 3 ANY DATA ABOUT THE EXTENT OF INTRACOUNTY MULTI-AGENCY TRIPS? I REMEMBER SESSION COUNTY CONNECTION HAVING A STAT THAT SHOWED A 4 5 LARGE NUMBER OF MULTI-AGENCY INTRACOUNTY TRIPS. 6 MICHAEL EISEMAN: IT IS NOT THE EASIEST THING TO REPORT FROM A 7 8 MODEL RUN. I WOULD RELY MORE ON ACTUALS FOR THAT TO THE EXTENT THEY ARE AVAILABLE 9 10 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY. THANKS. I'M NOT SEEING ANYMORE 11 HANDS. SO, WE CAN GO ON TO MORE OF THE ROBUST INFORMATION IN 12 THIS PRESENTATION. WE --13 14 MICHAEL EISEMAN: WE COVERED SLIDE 25 ALREADY. SO FOR THE 15 EQUITY ANALYSIS, OUR CONSULTANT TEAM IS STILL REVIEWING THE 16 FINAL NUMBERS ON A RANGE OF METRICS. WHAT WE WANTED TO GIVE 17 YOU HERE IS A LOOK AT THE RESULTS HIGHLIGHTING EQUITY 18 PERFORMANCE FOR ONE OPTION, WHICH IS TIER TWO. INTRA-AGENCY 19 TRANSFER DISCOUNTS, TO GIVE YOU A SENSE OF HOW WE ARE 20 21 MEASURING AND REPORTING EQUITY TO GET YOUR FEEDBACK. SO, HERE WE SHOW THE ESTIMATED ON THIS SLIDE PERCENT CHANGE IN FARES IN 22 OUR TIER TWO INTRA-AGENCY DISCOUNTS. THE METRIC REPORTING IS 23 PERCENT CHANGE IN FARE IS BALANCED. AND THAT \$30,000 TO 24 \$60,000 HOUSEHOLD INCOME LEVEL IS GETTING THE LARGEST PERCENT 25



- 1 SUBSIDY HERE. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. ANOTHER WAY TO LOOK AT
- 2 EQUITY ACROSS INCOME GROUPS IS WHICH GROUP WOULD GET THE MOST
- 3 TOTAL DOLLARS IN SUBSIDY. AGAIN, WE ARE FAIRLY BALANCED HERE.
- 4 ALTHOUGH YOU CAN SEE ON THE FAR RIGHT ABOUT 36% SAME OPTION,
- 5 TIER TWO, IS GOING TO RIDERS WITH HOUSEHOLD INCOMES OVER
- 6 \$100,000. ALTHOUGH NOTE THAT THAT GROUP NUMERICALLY CLOUDS 36%
- 7 OF THE RIDERS SO IT IS NOT A BAD MATCH. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE.
- 8 JUST IN THE INTEREST OF TIME, MAYBE WE WILL SKIP OVER THIS
- 9 ONE. ACTUALLY, BEFORE WE MOVE ON TO CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE, IS
- 10 THERE ANY QUESTIONS OR CLARIFICATIONS ON THOSE EQUITY SLIDES?
- 11 ALL RIGHT. FOR CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE, THE MODEL GIVES US
- 12 INFORMATION OR TRIES TO GIVE US INFORMATION ABOUT TRADE-OFFS,
- 13 TIME AND COST. BUT IT DOESN'T REALLY SPEAK TO THE QUALITY OF
- 14 THE EXPERIENCE. THE BEST OPTIONS SHOULD BE ONES THAT HELP
- 15 IMPROVE LEARNIBILITY AND LEGIBILITY AS BILL MENTIONED. WE
- 16 DISCUSSED A LOT OF OUR USER RESEARCH ACTIVITIES WITH THIS
- 17 GROUP. BUT HERE I JUST WANT TO BRIEFLY RECAP SOME SUMMARY
- 18 FINDINGS OUT OF THE USER RESEARCH. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. AGAIN,
- 19 WE HAD SEVERAL DIFFERENT KINDS OF USER RESEARCH ACTIVITIES LED
- 20 BY A SPECIALTY, OXD, THAT HELPED US OUT. WE WANTED TO TRY TO
- 21 UNDERSTAND HOW CUSTOMERS RESPONDED TO DIFFERENT OPTIONS IN
- 22 TERMS OF VALUE, LEGIBILITY AND FAIRNESS. ON PASSES AND CAPS,
- 23 GENERALLY THE EXPERIENCE AND THE REACTION FROM USERS WAS
- 24 POSITIVE TO MOST OF THE PROPOSALS. AS WAS THE PERCEPTION OF
- 25 FAIRNESS ACROSS OPTIONS. SOME OF THE PASS AND CAP OPTIONS ARE



- 1 EASY TO UNDERSTAND AND SOME, PARTICULARLY THE USER PASS OPTION
- 2 PRESENTS CHALLENGES FOR SOME CUSTOMERS TO UNDERSTAND IN THE
- 3 FIRST DESCRIPTION. IT IS AN OVERALL BACK THERE WAS MIXED.
- 4 GENERALLY CUSTOMERS WERE STRONGLY POSITIVE ABOUT TRANSFER
- 5 DISCOUNT OPTIONS. FINDING THEM TO BE A GOOD VALUE AND READILY
- 6 UNDERSTANDING THE PROPOSALS. ON OPTION 3(B) STANDARDIZE FARE,
- 7 WE HAD GENERALLY POSITIVE FEEDBACK ON VALUE AND FAIRNESS. SOME
- 8 RIDERS NEEDED ASSISTANCE IN DETERMINING THE STRUCTURE TO GET
- 9 TO THEIR FARE. AND ON OPTION FIVE, THE SMALL ZONE STRUCTURE,
- 10 WE GOT MIXED FEEDBACK. SOME USERS RAISED VALUE CONCERNS
- 11 RELATED TO CONVERTING FLAT LOCAL FARES TO MULTI-ZONE TRIPS.
- 12 AMONG THOSE BOLDER INTEGRATIONS, OPTION FOUR AND SIX WERE EASY
- 13 ON LEGIBILITY. SOME RAISED FAIRNESS CONCERNS WHEN THEY SAW THE
- 14 POTENTIAL FOR A FARE INCREASE. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. SO, JUST TO
- 15 SUMMARIZE ACROSS THE STRATEGIC DIMENSION, WE GENERALLY SEE
- 16 POSITIVE RIDERSHIP IMPACTS AND POSITIVE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE
- 17 BENEFITS FROM FARE INTEGRATION MORE BROADLY IS ONE CONCLUSION.
- 18 HIGHER LEVELS OF SUBSIDY, OF COURSE, PRODUCE THE GREATEST
- 19 RIDERSHIP GAINS. BUT WE SEE NOTABLE RIDERSHIP GAINS JUST FROM
- 20 OPTION TWO WITH JUST 2.5%. WE HAVE MORE EQUITY NUMBERS TO ADD
- 21 HERE BUT SORT OF TWO POTENTIAL EQUITY CONCERNS I WANT THE
- 22 RAISE. ONE ON OPTIONS WHERE WE SEE FARE INCREASES FOR
- 23 SIGNIFICANT NUMBERS OF SINGLE AGENCY BUS RIDERS. AND THE OTHER
- 24 WOULD BE ON PASSES WHERE THE NEED TO PAY UP FRONT COULD
- 25 EXCLUDE LOWER INCOME RIDERS FROM BENEFITS. EITHER ONE OF THOSE



WOULD REQUIRE SOME MITIGATION FOR EQUITY IMPACTS, PERHAPS. 1 2 NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. I THINK WE SORT OF DISCUSSED MOST OF THE 3 KEY FINDINGS HERE. SO, MAYBE WE CAN PAUSE THERE AND SEE IF THERE ARE NEED FOR MORE CLARIFICATION? 4 5 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: I AM NOT SEEING ANYMORE CLARIFYING 6 7 QUESTIONS. SO, I THINK WE CAN MOVE ON. 8 MICHAEL EISEMAN: OKAY. SO, ON THE NEXT SLIDE I WILL MOVE INTO 9 OUR FINANCIAL DIMENSION. HERE WE ARE LOOKING AT TOTAL SUBSIDY 10 REQUIRED WHICH WE DISCUSSED AT SOME LENGTH AS WELL AS COST 11 EFFICIENCY COST PER NEW RIDER. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. THIS IS 12 STARTING WITH A DIFFERENT VIEW OF REQUIRED SUBSIDY FOR EACH OF 13 THOSE OPTIONS. ONCE AGAIN, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT PRE-COVID FARE 14 REVENUE AS A STARTING PLACE. WE HAVE ALREADY DISCUSSED TOTAL 15 16 SUBSIDY LEVELS FOR EACH OPTIONS BUT I WILL JUST SAY HERE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A BASE OF ABOUT A BILLION DOLLARS A FARE. 17 JUST UNDER A BILLION IN PRE-COVID TERMS. WE HAVE GOT OPTIONS 18 RANGING FROM 12-25 MILLION FOR LOWER SUBSIDY ALL THE WAY UP TO 19 115 MILLION FOR HIGHEST SUBSIDY FULL INTEGRATION SCENARIO. 20 21 NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. HERE'S WHERE WE SHIFT TO COST EFFICIENCY 22 USING COST PER NEW RIDER AS OUR METRIC. ONE THING I WANT TO EMPHASIZE, OUR ANALYSIS DOES SUGGEST THAT FARE INTEGRATION 23 STRATEGIES ARE REASONABLY EFFICIENT GENERATORS OF RIDERSHIP 24

COMPARED TO GLOBAL DISCOUNTS. THERE'S A SMALL PREMIUM FOR



- 1 INTEGRATION. AND THE OVERALL SUBSIDY LEVEL, ALSO EFFICIENT
- 2 COMPARED TO THE OVERALL SUBSIDY LEVEL OF THE EXISTING TRANSIT
- 3 SYSTEM OR COMBINED CAPITAL COST. GENERALLY OUR ANALYSIS
- 4 SUPPORTS FARE INTEGRATION STRATEGIES BROADLY. THE MOST
- 5 TARGETED OPTIONS HERE ON THE LEFT OF THIS GRAPHIC ARE THE MOST
- 6 EFFICIENT IN THIS ANALYSIS. THE TARGETED OPTIONS TWO AND 3(A).
- 7 COST EFFICIENCY TENDS TO DECREASE AS THE SCALE INTEGRATION
- 8 DECREASES BECAUSE THE FARE IS ACROSS A WIDER POOL ACROSS FOR
- 9 SOME THESE LIMITED RIDERSHIP. FARE DECREASE, SOME CUSTOMERS
- 10 WHO SEE A FARE INCREASE THAT CAN BE PRICED OFF OF TRANSIT.
- 11 MAYBE I WILL JUST PAUSE ON THE NEXT SLIDE WHICH KIND OF GIVES
- 12 A SUMMARY OF THAT VIEW AND SEE IF THERE'S CLARIFYING
- 13 QUESTIONS.
- 14
- 15 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: I MAY NOT BE THE ONLY PERSON WHO IS
- 16 READING THIS AND DIGESTING THIS SO YOU WOULD WELCOME ANOTHER
- 17 FEW SECONDS ON THIS.
- 18
- 19 MICHAEL EISEMAN: SURE. OF COURSE.
- 20
- 21 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY. AND I SEE THAT MEMBER STANKE HAS A
- 22 HAND.
- 23



BRIAN STANKE: YEAH. CLARIFYING OUESTION. FOR THE OTHER SUBSIDY 1 LEVELS OF OPTION SIX, DO YOU KNOW WHEN THOSE WOULD BE 2 3 AVAILABLE? 4 5 MICHAEL EISEMAN: SOON. CERTAINLY BEFORE WE -- WE HAVE GOT OUR FARE INTEGRATION TASK FORCE MEETING IN TEN DAYS HERE. SO, 6 BEFORE THAT. 7 8 BRIAN STANKE: BECAUSE IT IS HARD TO LOOK AT THESE FINANCIAL 9 10 THINGS WHEN YOU ARE COMPARING APPLES, ORANGES AND, COMKWATS 11 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: A FEW CLARIFYING OUESTIONS AND WE WILL 12 COMPANIES ON RECOMMENDATIONS. MEMBER GOTUACO. 13 14 15 CHRISTINA GOTUACO: THERE IS AN ASSUMPTION THERE WILL BE 16 DIFFERENT PRICE TIERS FOR THIS PASS AT THE END OF THE DAY? IT IS NOT JUST ONE. 17 18 MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH. YES. LET'S SEE. I THINK THE SINGLE 19 REPORTED METRIC HERE ON THE PASS IS A LITTLE MISLEADING. I 20 WOULD ACTUALLY ASK YOU TO SET THAT ASIDE. I THINK BASED ON 21 22 THIS CONVERSATION I WOULD PROBABLY PRESENT THAT A LITTLE DIFFERENTLY NEXT GO-ROUND. THERE'S SEVERAL DIFFERENT KINDS OF 23 PASSES. WE TESTED, AS I SAID, BOTH FARE AND TRIP-BASED CAPS. 24

WE THINK THAT THE LIKELIEST PERFORMANCE OF THE PUGET PASS



- 1 WOULD BE SIMILAR TO AN INDIVIDUAL RIDER LEVEL. VERY SIMILAR TO
- 2 THE BROAD FARE CAPTION, SLIGHTLY MORE AGENCY FRIENDLY IN TERMS
- 3 OF REVENUE. THE EXACT AMOUNT OF RIDERSHIP GENERATED, FRANKLY,
- 4 IS HARD TO PIN DOWN AT THIS POINT. IT IS ONE OF THE REASONS
- 5 OUR RECOMMENDATIONS REALLY EMPHASIZE A PILOT OF THE PASS
- 6 PRODUCT

7

9

13

19

22

8 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANKS.

- 10 ZACK DEUTSCH: THANK YOU. WHAT IS THE COST OF THE RIDER IN THE
- 11 STATUS QUO AND ARE EACH OF THESE THINGS IN ADDITION TO THAT
- 12 COST PER RIDER OR IS THAT FACTORED IN?
- 14 MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH. COST PER INCREMENTAL NEW RIDER IS WHAT
- 15 IS BEING REPORTED HERE. THE EXISTING SYSTEM SORT OF IF YOU USE
- 16 NTD DATA TO DO TOTAL COST IS BETWEEN \$5 AND \$6 FOR BOTH RAIL
- 17 AND BUS. OPERATING SUBSIDY PLUS CAPITAL COSTS. RAIL IS TILTED
- 18 IN THE CAPITAL DIRECTION. BUS IN THE OPERATING DIRECTION.
- 20 ZACK DEUTSCH: SO, THIS IS TALKING ABOUT \$2 TO \$4 INCREASE IN
- 21 ADDITION TO THAT?
- 23 MICHAEL EISEMAN: I WOULD SAY IT A LITTLE DIFFERENTLY. IF WE
- 24 ADD NEW SUBSIDY INTO THE SYSTEM COORDINATED WITH FARE



INTEGRATION, HOW MANY NET NEW RIDERS -- HOW MANY NEW DOLLARS 1 OF SUBSIDY DOES OUT COST FOR EACH NEW RIDER. 2 3 ZACK DEUTSCH: THANK YOU 4 5 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: MEMBER HEDGES. 6 7 8 RICHARD HEDGES: THANK YOU, ADINA. JUST TO COMMENT BEFORE MY 9 OUESTION, SEEMS TO ME WE NEED TO HIT A SWEET SPOT WITH ALL OF THESE DIFFERENT EXAMPLES TO GET THE MOST EQUITY AND GET THE 10 11 OPERATORS TO AGREE BECAUSE THAT IS THE FINAL ANALYSIS. THE OPERATORS HAVE TO AGREE. THEY'RE NOT GOING TO AGREE TO 12 SOMETHING THAT BANKRUPTS THEM. SO, WITH MY QUESTION ON THIS IS 13 IT SEEMS TO ME THERE MAY NOT BE A LOT OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 14 THE \$11 SUBSIDY AND THE \$115 SUBSIDY BECAUSE IT IS SHARED WITH 15 16 FOR MORE AGENCIES. AM I RIGHT ON WITH THAT? 17 18 MICHAEL EISEMAN: LET ME JUST CLARIFY WHAT IS BEING REPORTED 19 HERE. THOSE ARE IN MILLIONS. THE OPTION TWO, DISCOUNTS ON LOCAL SERVICES ONLY FOR INTERAGENCY TRANSFER DISCOUNTS \$11 20 MILLION ANNUALLY ALL THE WAY UP TO \$115 MILLION ANNUALLY 21 22 ACROSS THE WHOLE SYSTEM.



RICHARD HEDGES: RIGHT. IT SEEMS THE COST OF THE SUBSIDY WOULD 1 FALL ON MULTIPLE AGENCIES. SO, PER AGENCY, IT MAY NOT BE ANY 2 3 WORSE THAN THIS SUBSIDY ON THEIR BOTTOM LINE. 4 5 MICHAEL EISEMAN: I THINK THE WAY WE WOULD ENCOURAGE FOLKS TO THINK ABOUT IT NOW IS WHAT IS THE TOTAL -- HOW DO THESE COSTS 6 AND BENEFITS RELATE TO THE WHOLE SYSTEM. THERE WOULD BE A LOT 7 8 OF WORK TO DO ON REVENUE SHARING OR IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES AROUND REVENUE TO MAKE THESE THINGS WORK. BUT OVERALL THIS IS 9 ABOUT A \$3 BILLION SYSTEM TO OPERATE. PRIOR TO COVID WE WERE 10 RUNNING ABOUT A BILLION DOLLARS IN FARE REVENUE. I WOULD PUT 11 THE NUMBERS IN THAT CONTEXT. 12 13 RICHARD HEDGES: I APPRECIATE WHAT YOU ARE SAYING. MOST OF THE 14 OPERATORS ARE GOING TO LOOK AT WHAT IT COSTS THEM. 15 16 MICHAEL EISEMAN: CERTAINLY. 17 18 RICHARD HEDGES: I WANT TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN IS WHY I AM TELLING 19 YOU. I HAVE BEEN HERE WHEN WE WERE WORRYING ABOUT --20 21 [INDISCERNIBLE]. AND ONLY GOT BART TO IMPLEMENT IT. THANK YOU 22 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANKS. OUESTIONS FROM MEMBER GRIFFITHS. 23



- 1 IAN GRIFFITHS: THE RIDERSHIP MODELING, IS THERE ANYTHING THAT
- 2 WAS USED IN THE MODEL PROCESS? I'M PRETTY SURE I KNOW THE
- 3 ANSWER. BUT I WANT TO CLARIFY. DIDN'T JUST KIND OF USE THE
- 4 MODEL OF BAY AREA IS LIKE THIS RIGHT NOW. LET'S PLAY WITH
- 5 PRICES. LET'S PLAY WITH THESE THINGS AND LET'S MODEL IT. WAS
- 6 THERE ANY COMPARISON TO REGIONS IN OTHER PLACES THAT HAVE
- 7 OVERALL HIGHER RATES OF -- WHERE THESE SYSTEMS ARE IN PLACE
- 8 AND WHERE THERE'S AN ASSOCIATED HIGHER RATE OF TRANSIT
- 9 RIDERSHIP? AND THE REASON I AM ASKING IS I THINK THERE'S SOME
- 10 THINGS THAT WOULD BE VERY HARD FOR A MODEL TO PICK UP ON, LIKE
- 11 -- ESPECIALLY -- I THINK SOME OF THIS MIGHT COME OUT IN THE
- 12 USER RESEARCH YOU DID. BUT THE SIMPLICITY OF A SYSTEM FOR THE
- 13 USERS WOULD IDEALLY TRANSLATE INTO RIDERSHIP. BUT I WOULD
- 14 QUESTION WHETHER THE MODEL IS ABLE TO PICK UP ON THAT OR
- 15 ESTIMATE THAT. I AM PRETTY SURE THE RESPONSE IS GOING TO BE NO
- 16 THAT IS NOT IN THIS MODEL.
- 18 MICHAEL EISEMAN: YOU'RE RIGHT. IT IS NOT IN THE MODEL. TO THE
- 19 EXTENT THAT THERE'S RIDERSHIP GAIN FROM LEARNIBILITY,
- 20 LEGIBILITY BENEFITS, IT IS ADDITIONAL TO OR EXTERNAL TO WHAT
- 21 THE MODEL IS SHOWING.
- 23 IAN GRIFFITHS: THANK YOU.

24

22



MICHAEL EISEMAN: I WOULD SAY ON THAT -- WE WILL GET TO A 1 LITTLE MORE ON USER RESEARCH. I GUESS WE ALREADY SUMMARIZED 2 3 IT. BUT NOT TOTALLY -- I WOULDN'T CALL IT CONCLUSIVE FROM OUR USER RESEARCH. BUT CERTAINLY SOME USERS INDICATE A PREFERENCE 4 5 FOR MORE STANDARDIZED OR HARMONIZE SYSTEMS. 6 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND I DON'T SEE 7 8 ANYMORE HANDS. SO, IT WOULD BE GREAT TO MOVE ON. 9 MICHAEL EISEMAN: OKAY. LET'S SEE. WHERE WERE WE HERE? NEXT 10 SLIDE, PLEASE. FINALLY HERE ON OUR DELIVERABILITY DIMENSION, 11 LOOKING FOR CHALLENGES, RISKS AND OPPORTUNITIES RELATED TO 12 SYSTEM MANAGEMENT, TECHNOLOGY AND OPERATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE 13 AND CHANGE MANAGEMENT FOR CUSTOMERS. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. SO, 14 WE HAVE GOT A LITTLE BIT OF DETAIL ON EACH OPTION IN THIS 15 16 DECK. TO SORT OF GIVE THE OVERALL VIEW HERE IN OUR TIERS FRAMEWORK. MOST PASS AND CAP OPTIONS LOOK TO US TO BE PRETTY 17 STRAIGHTFORWARD TO DELIVER IN THE CLIPPER TWO SYSTEM TO LAUNCH 18 IN FALL 2023. WE HAVE ONE EXISTING AGENCY PASS OPTION THAT 19 ALREADY EXISTS IN THE CLIPPER ONE SYSTEM AND THAT IS PLAN WE 20 ARE PROPOSING TO USE AS A BASIS FOR THE PILOT WHICH WE WILL 21 DISCUSS MORE IN A MOMENT. TIER TWO IN BLUE, TRANSFER DISCOUNTS 22 IS READILY DELIVERABLE IN CLIPPER TWO. IN THE CLIPPER TWO 23 SYSTEM -- CLIPPER ONE SYSTEM, WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO ADJUST 24

BUT NOT EASILY ADD NEW DISCOUNTS. WE THINK VERSIONS OF TIERS



- 1 ONE AND TWO COULD BE IMPLEMENTED WITHIN THE EXISTING
- 2 INSTITUTIONAL AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE FOR TRANSFERS IN OUR
- 3 REGION. WE COULD DO SO GOVERNED BY BROAD INTERAGENCY MOUS AND
- 4 EXECUTED BY AGENCY STAFF. SIMILAR ARRANGEMENTS EXIST IN TIER
- 5 REGIONS IN THIS COUNTRY. TIER THREE INTEGRATION, THAT IS
- 6 STANDARDIZED FARES FOR REGIONAL SERVICES WOULD REQUIRE CHANGES
- 7 TO THE CLIPPER TWO SYSTEM BEYOND WHAT IS CURRENTLY SPECKED.
- 8 BUT MORE SIGNIFICANTLY CLOSER INTEGRATION OF MANAGEMENT
- 9 BETWEEN REGIONAL OPERATORS. INCLUDING ADJUSTMENTS TO OR
- 10 DELEGATION OF FARE SETTING AUTHORITY BY AGENCY BOARDS. WE
- 11 WOULD NEED SOME ADJUSTMENTS TO INFRASTRUCTURE EQUIPMENT FOR
- 12 SERVICES THAT SWITCHED FROM FARE -- DISTANCE-BASED FARES TO
- 13 ZONE OR VICE VERSA. REGIONAL BUSES WOULD REQUIRE TAG ON, TAG
- 14 OFF CAPABILITY. FINALLY, TIER FOUR SIMILAR SET OF CHALLENGES
- 15 APPLY ACROSS ALL AGENCIES. THAT IS MUCH MORE EXPANSIVE
- 16 IMPLEMENTATION EFFORT. ALSO THERE A LARGER NUMBER OF EXISTING
- 17 TRANSIT CUSTOMERS WOULD REQUIRE CHANGE MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.
- 18 NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. I GUESS ACTUALLY I AM GOING TO PASS IT
- 19 BACK TO BILL. THIS IS JUST A SUMMARY OF OUR -- WHAT I JUST
- 20 DESCRIBED. BUT I WILL OPEN IT UP AND SEE IF ANYONE HAS
- 21 CLARIFICATIONS.
- 23 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: I AM NOT SEEING ANY HANDS.
- 25 MICHAEL EISEMAN: BILL, TAKE IT AWAY.

22



2	WILLIAM BACON: THANK YOU. WE COULD GO FORWARD TO THE NEXT
3	SLIDE, PLEASE. THIS NEXT SECTION IS GOING TO TRY TO WALK
4	THROUGH A BUSINESS CASE LENS OF EACH OF THE DIFFERENT OPTIONS
5	AND TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT WHAT WE ANALYZED AND SOME OF
6	THE SEE PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES WHEN VIEWED THROUGH THE BUSINESS
7	CASE LENS. I WILL RIGHT OFF THE BAT, THOUGH, SAY THE ECONOMIC
8	PORTION OF THE ANALYSIS, WHICH IS REALLY FOCUSED ON PRESENT
9	VALUE SORT OF COST BENEFIT CALCULATION IS STILL BEING REFINED
10	BY THE CONSULTANT TEAM. SO, YOU WON'T SEE THE RESULTS OF THAT
11	YET. BUT WE ARE WORKING AS FAST AS WE CAN TO TRY TO GET THAT
12	FINALIZED. WE CAN GO FORWARD TO THE NEXT SLIDE. THIS FIRST ONE
13	TALKS ABOUT OPTION ONE WHICH IS WITHIN TIER ONE. THESE ARE THE
14	PASSES AND CAPS. AS THE CONVERSATION WAS GETTING AT JUST A FEW
15	MINUTES AGO, THERE ARE A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT PASSES AND CAPS
16	THAT WE HAVE ACTUALLY ANALYZED. CAPS AND PASSES THEMSELVES ARE
17	QUITE DIFFERENT IN TERMS OF HOW THEY ARE STRUCTURED. IS IT A
18	TRIP BASED CAP OR A PRICE-BASED CAP? IS IT A TIERED PASS?
19	THERE ARE DIFFERENT STRUCTURES FOR EACH OF THESE. WHAT IS
20	SHOWN HERE IS SIMPLIFICATION BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF
21	DIFFERENT VARIABLES AND WE ARE STILL WORKING TO FIGURE OUT HOW
22	BEST TO COMMUNICATE THIS INFORMATION, I THINK. BUT I THINK THE
23	KEY MAIN TAKE-AWAYS HERE IS THAT BASED ON ALL OF THE MODELING
24	FOR THE DIFFERENT OPTIONS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT PROBABLY KNIT
25	RANGE OF 25.000 NEW DAILY TRIPS FROM OFFERING SOME TYPE OF A



- 1 PASS PRODUCT. IT'S A LITTLE BIT HARDER TO KNOW I THINK FROM A
- 2 CAP BECAUSE IT IS GOING TO IMPACT PEOPLE'S BEHAVIOR IN A
- 3 VARIETY OF DIFFERENT WAYS DEPENDING ON IF IT IS A TRIP-BASED
- 4 CAP OR PRICE-BASED CAP. BUT I THINK IT IS SAFE TO ASSUME WE
- 5 ARE IN THAT BALL PARK OF AROUND 25,000 NEW TRIPS PER DAY. AND
- 6 THE FINANCIAL QUADRANT HERE ON THE RIGHT HAND SIDE YOU COULD
- 7 SEE ESTIMATES FOR WHAT IT MIGHT COST IN TERMS OF NEW SUBSIDY
- 8 TO THE SYSTEM TO BE ABLE TO DELIVER A CAP. YOU COULD SEE OUR
- 9 THINKING IS AROUND \$40 MILLION FOR A PUGET PASS TYPE TIERED
- 10 PASS. THIS WOULD BE AVAILABLE AGAIN TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC. IF
- 11 WE HAD A TRIP-BASED CAP, AGAIN IT DEPENDS ON WHERE YOU SET THE
- 12 PRICE. IT IS VERY VARIABLE IN TERMS OF THE SUBSIDY NEEDED. IT
- 13 COULD BE UP TO \$80 MILLION. IT COULD BE LOWER. AND THEN IF WE
- 14 ARE TALKING ABOUT JUST A MORE EQUITY FOCUSED CAP AND THIS IS
- 15 SOMETHING WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE IN THE
- 16 PAST. IF WE WERE TO OFFER CLIPPER START CARD HOLDERS A FARE
- 17 CAP THAT WOULD COVER THE ENTIRE BAY AREA, WE THINK THAT IS
- 18 SOMETHING ACHIEVABLE. DELIVERING A CAP OR PASS THE RELATIVELY
- 19 STRAIGHTFORWARD. IT IS LAYERED -- [AUDIO DIFFICULTIES].
- 20 CLIPPER TWO SYSTEM WILL MAKE THE DELIVERY OF A CAP VERY
- 21 STRAIGHTFORWARD. RIGHT NOW IT WOULD BE VERY CHALLENGING TO DO
- 22 THAT IN CLIPPER ONE. PASS PRODUCTS TO SOME EXTENT ARE
- 23 DELIVERABLE RIGHT NOW IN THE CURRENT CLIPPER SYSTEM WHICH GETS
- 24 AT WE THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO MOVE FORWARD WITH A
- 25 DEMONSTRATION OR PILOT WITH A PASS PRODUCT SO WE COULD



- 1 IMPLEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THIS PROJECT WITHIN OUR
- 2 EXISTING TECHNOLOGY CAPACITY TO BEGIN TO ALSO GET A BETTER
- 3 SENSE OF HOW USERS ACTUALLY REACT TO HAVING A PASS PRODUCT. I
- 4 THINK THAT REALLY WILL INFORM THE WORK AS WE MOVE FORWARD OVER
- 5 THE COMING MONTHS AND YEARS TO UNDERSTAND WHAT'S THE RIGHT
- 6 BALANCE IN TERMS OF PRICE AND SUBSIDY IN TERMS OF OR A PASS OR
- 7 A CAP. WHAT TYPE OF STRUCTURE WE WANT TO PURSUE WITHIN A CAP
- 8 OR A PASS OFFERING. WE CAN GO FORWARD TO THE NEXT SLIDE. THE
- 9 SLIDE IS REALLY NOW FOCUSING ON WHAT FITS WITHIN TIER TWO. SO,
- 10 THESE ARE OPTIONS TWO AND 3(A). YOU CAN SEE WHAT WE TESTED.
- 11 OPTION TWO, THIS REPRESENTS A FULL DISCOUNT FOR ALL LOCAL TO
- 12 LOCAL TRANSFERS. AND THEN OPTION 3(A) IS A FULL DISCOUNT FOR
- 13 ALL LOCAL TO REGIONAL TRIPS. I'M SORRY. LOCAL TO REGIONAL
- 14 TRANSFERS. THIS AGAIN SPEAKS TO SOMETHING WE WERE TALKING
- 15 ABOUT EARLIER DURING THIS MEETING WHICH WAS IF YOU WERE MAKING
- 16 A TRANSFER FROM SAMTRANS TO CALTRAIN THAT COULD BE CREDITED TO
- 17 YOU. YOU WOULD BE GETTING THE DISCOUNT, THE \$2.25. IF YOU LOOK
- 18 AT THE BENEFITS, THEY ARE PRETTY SIGNIFICANT. IF YOU SEE
- 19 OPTION TWO WHICH REQUIRES RELATIVELY SMALL SUBSIDY, ONLY ABOUT
- 20 11 TO \$12 MILLION ANNUALLY, YOU WOULD GET BETWEEN 11 AND
- 21 12,000 NEW TRIPS PER DAY. AND OPTION THREE WHERE AGAIN YOU ARE
- 22 PROVIDING BOTH LOCAL TO LOCAL AND LOCAL TO REGIONAL TRANSFERS
- 23 AND DISCOUNTS, YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT UPWARDS OF 25,000 NEW
- 24 TRIPS PER DAY. FROM AN EQUITY PERSPECTIVE, THERE ARE LIKELY TO
- 25 BE PRETTY MEANINGFUL SAVINGS FOR EQUITY PRIORITY POPULATIONS.



ALTHOUGH IT IS WORTHWHILE NOTING THERE COULD BE SOME 1 2 ADDITIONAL SUBSIDY TO HIRE INCOME RIDERS. THOSE WOULD BE 3 RECIPIENTS OF THE TOTAL NEW SUBSIDY THAT WOULD BE REQUIRED. YOU LOOK AT THAT FINANCIAL BOX TO THE RIGHT AT THE BOTTOM. YOU 4 5 WILL SEE AGAIN THE COST PER NEW RIDER OF OPTION TWO AND THREE IS RELATIVELY LOW. ESPECIALLY IF YOU LOOK AT OPTION THREE. 25 6 CENTS PER RIDER. THIS TIES BACK TO SOME OF THE CONTENT MIKE 7 8 WAS SPEAKING ABOUT A FEW MOMENTS AGO. OPTION TWO AND THREE FROM A RIDER PERSPECTIVE ARE OUITE HIGH PERFORMING. I WILL 9 NOTE WE KNOW FROM PRE-COVID RIDERSHIP THAT THERE'S REALLY 10 STRONG DEMAND FOR LOCAL TO REGIONAL TRANSFERS. THE MAJORITY OF 11 INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS IN OUR REGION INVOLVES THOSE TYPES OF 12 TRIPS. CUSTOMERS TRANSFERRING FROM A LOCAL SERVICE TO AND FROM 13 BART, FROM A LOCAL TO AND FROM FERRIES OR CALTRAIN. THOSE 14 TYPES OF TRANSFERS REALLY ARE THE CORE OF THESE EXISTING OR 15 16 PRE-PANDEMIC INTERAGENCY PATTERNS. SO, IF WE ARE TRYING TO TARGET OUR WORK AND OUR FARE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS TO BENEFIT 17 A REALLY SIGNIFICANT SEGMENT OF RIDERSHIP, THIS IS THE AREA 18 THAT IS WORTHWHILE -- [INDISCERNIBLE]. 19 20 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: I WANT TO OUICKLY JUMP IN AND CONFIRM THAT 21 22 I UNDERSTAND. I THINK ANOTHER PERSON ASKED THIS QUESTION. I

WANT TO MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND IT. SO THIS \$2.84 IS -- SO, IF

YOU WERE GOING TO EXTEND THE LINE, IT WOULD BE MORE \$5 TO 69

23



PER NEW RIDER AND IF YOU WERE IMPLEMENTING -- AM I 1 UNDERSTANDING THAT CORRECTLY? 2 3 MICHAEL EISEMAN: I WILL JUMP IN. 4 5 6 WILLIAM BACON: GO AHEAD, MIKE. 7 8 MICHAEL EISEMAN: TO CLARIFY THE EARLIER COMMENTS. THE FIVE OR \$6 FIGURE WAS TAKING THE TOTAL COST OF THE SYSTEM AS EXPRESSED 9 IN NTD AND DIVIDING IT BY THE TOTAL NUMBER OF RIDERS. SO, BIG 10 PICTURE, THAT'S WHAT THAT FIGURE WAS. IN TERMS OF LIKE 11 EXTENSIONS OF THE SYSTEM, IF YOU LOOK AT PLAN BAY AREA, 12 THERE'S JUST A VERY WIDE RANGE OF COSTS INVOLVED IN THE VERSUS 13 KIND OF PROPOSED PROJECTS. WE HAVE SOME DIFFERENT SNAPSHOTS WE 14 ARE GOING TO SHOW WHAT THE CATEGORIES ARE. BIG PICTURE THESE 15 16 MORE EFFICIENT FARE INTEGRATION PROPOSALS ARE TOWARDS THE BOTTOM OF THE SCALE THERE NEVER COST PER NEW RIDER. HIGH 17 PERFORMING 18 19 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: LOW COST HIGH PERFORMING. THANK YOU. 20 21 22 WILLIAM BACON: I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO THINK ABOUT THESE OPTIONS TWO AND 3(A) HERE AS SOMETHING THAT, AGAIN, FITS 23 WITHIN OUR EXISTING FARE POLICY MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE. SO, 24

AGENCIES WOULD BE ABLE TO CONTINUE TO SET THEIR OWN FARES AND



- 1 HAVE THEIR OWN PRICE LEVELS. BUT THERE WOULD BE SOME FRAMEWORK
- 2 AND WE NOTE ON THIS SLIDE A MULTI-AGENCY MOU OR SOME TYPE OF
- 3 AGREEMENT THAT WOULD SET THESE FREE TRANSFERS FOR LOCAL
- 4 SERVICES AND THE LOCAL TO REGIONAL TRANSFER DISCOUNT THAT YOU
- 5 WOULD RECEIVE AND VICE VERSA. REGIONAL TO REGIONAL REGIONAL TO
- 6 LOCAL. BUT IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY REQUIRE A SIGNIFICANT CHANGE
- 7 IN HOW FARE POLICY IS SET IN THE REGION. IT IS ALSO READILY
- 8 DELIVERABLE WITHIN THE CLIPPER TWO FRAMEWORK THAT WILL BE
- 9 AVAILABLE IN 2023. WE CAN GO FORWARD TO THE NEXT SLIDE. NOW WE
- 10 ARE LOOKING AT TIER THREE WHICH GETS AGAIN TO BRINGING ALL OF
- 11 THE REGIONAL SERVICES WITHIN SOME TYPE OF COMMON FARE
- 12 STRUCTURE. AND AGAIN, THESE ARE SORTED ADDED AND BUILDING.
- 13 WHILE MAINTAINING THE LOCAL TO LOCAL TRANSFERS WE TALKED ABOUT
- 14 IN THE EARLIER TIERS. WHAT WE TESTED SPECIFICALLY AGAIN WAS A
- 15 FREE LOCAL TO LOCAL TRANSFER. LOCAL TRIPS PAYING ONE FARE
- 16 REGARDLESS OF THE AGENCIES. SAME THING AGAIN APPLYING FOR
- 17 LOCAL TO REGIONAL SERVICES. BUT NOW REGIONAL SERVICES, BART,
- 18 CALTRAIN, FERRIES, EXPRESS BUS SERVICES ARE ALL BROUGHT INTO A
- 19 SINGLE EITHER DISTANCE-BASED OR ZONE STRUCTURE. WE TESTED A
- 20 BART TYPE DISTANCE-BASED STRUCTURE FOR ALL SERVICES. BUT IN
- 21 ESSENCE A ZONE-BASED SYSTEM OR DISTANCE-BASED SYSTEM FROM A
- 22 FINANCIAL PERFORM STANDPOINT IS SIMILAR. IT IS MORE USER
- 23 EXPERIENCE AND COMMUNICATION PERSPECTIVE WHERE THERE'S
- 24 DIFFERENCES. IF YOU LOOK AT THE PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT OF
- 25 THIS, IT IS AGAIN RELATIVELY HIGH PERFORMING. IT IS PERHAPS A



- 1 LITTLE BIT MORE EXPENSIVE IN THE SENSE OF THE TOTAL SUBSIDY
- 2 REQUIRED ON AN ANNUAL BASIS. ABOUT 70 MILLION PER YEAR. BUT IN
- 3 TERMS OF THE NUMBER OF NEW TRIPS IT IS A RELATIVELY
- 4 SIGNIFICANT NUMBER. A LITTLE LESS THAN 70,000 TRIPS PER DAY.
- 5 THIS IS A LARGE AMOUNT OF NEW SUBSIDY. UP TO \$70 MILLION A
- 6 YEAR. SO, WHILE ALL RIDERS WOULD BENEFIT TO SOME EXTENT FROM
- 7 THE KNEW REGIONAL FARE STRUCTURE, THERE'S THE POTENTIAL THAT
- 8 SOME LOWER INCOME RIDERS MIGHT SEE THEIR FARES INCREASE TO
- 9 FACILITATE THAT REGIONAL STANDARDIZATION. IF ALL OF THOSE
- 10 REGIONAL SERVICES WERE ON A COMMON DISTANCE-BASED FARE
- 11 STRUCTURE OR A ZONE-BASED STRUCTURE, SOME INDIVIDUALS MIGHT
- 12 SEE THEIR FARE GO UP TO ALLOW IT TO ALL COME INTO ONE
- 13 STRUCTURE. THAT IS SOMETHING THAT IS IMPORTANT TO THINK ABOUT.
- 14 WHERE WE MOVE THE FARE STRUCTURE INTO A NEW SYSTEM. SOME
- 15 CUSTOMERS HAVE TO SEE THEIR FARES GO UP AND SOME HAVE TO SEE
- 16 THEIR FARES GO DOWN IF YOU CREATE A STANDARD STRUCTURE IN MOST
- 17 SUBSTANCES WITH THE LEVEL OF SUBSIDY THAT IS WITHIN THE
- 18 FINANCIAL CAPACITY WE LIKELY HAVE. SOME KEY THINGS AGAIN TO
- 19 NOTE HERE ABOUT WHAT WE LEARNED FROM ANALYZING THIS OPTION IS
- 20 IT DOES HAVE A SIGNIFICANT ABILITY TO GROW OUR RIDERSHIP ABOVE
- 21 WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT IN TIER TWO. TIER THREE WHERE WE ARE
- 22 HERE, REALLY IS PROBABLY WORTHWHILE EXAMINING MORE AND
- 23 THINKING SERIOUSLY ABOUT OPPORTUNITY THAT -- IF WE WERE TO
- 24 IMPLEMENT A TIER THREE FRAMEWORK, WHAT THAT COULD REALLY DO
- 25 FOR RIDERSHIP AND WHAT THAT COULD MEAN FOR CUSTOMERS. FROM OUR



- 1 USER RESEARCH, CUSTOMERS IDENTIFIED A TIER THREE OPTION AS
- 2 GENERALLY BEING FAIR AND REFLECTS THE VALUE OF THE TRIPS THEY
- 3 WERE TAKING. AND IS GENERALLY RELATIVELY SIMPLE TO UNDERSTAND.
- 4 I THINK THE BIGGEST SORT OF OUESTION MARK AND THING TO REALLY
- 5 THINK ABOUT HERE ALONG WITH THE NEW SUBSIDY WOULD BE REQUIRED
- 6 ARE THE DELIVERY REQUIREMENTS IN TERMS OF CHANGES OUR
- 7 STRUCTURE, FARE POLICY GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT. RIGHT NOW
- 8 ALL THESE REGIONAL AGENCIES HAVE THEIR OWN FARE STRUCTURES AND
- 9 OWN FARE POLICIES. IF WE WERE TO MOVE INTO TIER THREE THAT
- 10 WOULD REQUIRE NEW AGREEMENTS OR STRUCTURE TO ALLOW THOSE
- 11 REGIONAL AGENCIES TO TRANSITION INTO A COMMON STRUCTURE. MOST
- 12 OF THE TECHNOLOGY ON CONSIDERATIONS WITH DEPLOYING TIER THREE
- 13 WOULD BE MET THROUGH THE NEXT GENERATION CLIPPER SYSTEM. BUT
- 14 THERE ARE LIKELY SOME ADDITIONAL TECHNOLOGY COSTS WE WOULD
- 15 LIKELY NEED NEW ADDITIONAL CLIPPER READERS. I THINK MIKE
- 16 MENTIONED EARLIER REGIONAL EXPRESS BUS SERVICES WOULD LIKELY
- 17 NEED A TAG ON TAG OFF CAPACITY ON ALL OF THOSE SERVICES. THERE
- 18 ARE SOME CONSIDERATIONS THERE AS WELL. AND IT WOULD REQUIRE
- 19 FOLKS WHO ARE TRAVELING ON REGIONAL SERVICES TO LEARN A NEW
- 20 STRUCTURE IN THAT TRANSITION. I DON'T KNOW IF I SEE THERE'S A
- 21 HAND RAISED IF THERE'S A CLARIFYING QUESTION ABOUT THE SLIDE,
- 22 I COULD STOP AND ANSWER THAT OR I COULD CONTINUE FORWARD. GO
- 23 AHEAD.



1

September 10, 2021

CHRISTINA GOTUACO: SORRY. I DO. I WAS GOING TO ASK DOES

- 2 REGIONAL IMPLY TRAINS AND LOCAL IMPLY BUSES OR NOT REALLY? 3 BECAUSE IF NOT, I FEEL LIKE IT WILL BE CONFUSING FOR PASSENGERS TO UNDERSTAND IF THEY ARE ON A REGIONAL OR LOCAL. 4 5 WILLIAM BACON: VERY GOOD OUESTION. AND I THINK THIS IS 6 SOMETHING THE TRANSIT OPERATIONERS HAVE REALIZED OVER THE 7 8 PANDEMIC. IT IS NOT CLEAR TO USERS. FOR THE PURPOSES OF OUR STUDY, WE USED A FRAMEWORK THAT THE TRANSIT OPERATIONERS 9 DEVELOPED OVER THE LAST YEAR THAT CLASSIFIES LOCAL SERVICES 10 AND REGIONAL SERVICES AND WHAT IS ONE AND WHAT IS THE OTHER. 11 BUT YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT. USERS TODAY DON'T HAVE A GOOD 12 UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THAT MEANS. 13 14 CHRISTINA GOTUACO: THAT FRAMEWORK IS BASED ON THE PRE-EXISTING 15 16 SYSTEM OF FARES, RIGHT? 17
- 18 WILLIAM BACON: YEAH. IT IS NOT FARES. IT IS BASED ON THE
- 19 SERVICES. AND TO REALLY ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, WHAT A LOCAL
- 20 TRIP IS CONSIDERED IN OUR ANALYSIS ARE ESSENTIALLY ALL OF THE
- 21 LOCAL BUS SERVICES THAT ARE OPERATED BY MOST OF TRANSIT
- 22 OPERATORS.. THESE ARE SERVICES WITHIN A SINGLE SERVICE AREA.
- 23 THEY ARE GENERALLY NOT CROSSING COUNTY LINES. REALLY A FLAT
- 24 FARE FOR MOST OPERATORS. THESE ARE YOUR AC TRANSIT OR VTA
- 25 TRIPS. IF YOU ARE ON MUNI OR VTA, IT IS LIGHT RAIL.



1 2 CHRISTINA GOTUACO: SO LIKE THE GOLDEN GATE BUSES WOULD BE --3 WILLIAM BACON: THAT IS A GOOD OUESTION. THERE ARE SERVICES 4 5 CONFUSING AND THIS IS ONE OF THE TECHNOLOGY CHALLENGES WE HAD TO THINK ABOUT. GOLDEN GATE OPERATES SERVICES IN MULTIPLE 6 COUNTIES. SOMETIMES THOSE SERVICES FUNCTION AS A LOCAL SERVICE 7 8 WITHIN A SINGLE COUNTY. AC TRANSIT IS SIMILAR. TAKE THE NL, FOR EXAMPLE, IN OAKLAND, PEOPLE RIDE IT AS A LOCAL BUS. BUT 9 YOU COULD STAY ON AND GO TO THE TRANSBAY TERMINAL IN SAN 10 FRANCISCO. HOW DO YOU COMMUNICATE TO CUSTOMERS THAT THOSE 11 TYPES OF SERVICES WOULD SORT OF BE BOTH REGIONAL AND LOCAL? 12 THESE ARE SOME OF THE NITTY-GRITTY IMPLEMENTATION QUESTIONS 13 YOU HAVE TO GET AT THE YOU TRY TO DEPLOY A TIER THREE OPTION. 14 15 AND I DON'T THINK WE HAVE THE ANSWER TO EVERY SINGLE UNIOUE 16 CIRCUMSTANCE RIGHT NOW THAT EXISTS. BUT I DON'T THINK IT IS SO WIDESPREAD THAT IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO OVERCOME. THERE AREN'T A 17 HUNDRED DIFFERENT THINGS THAT FIT IN THAT CATEGORY. IT IS A 18 RELATIVELY MANAGEABLE NUMBER OF CHALLENGES AND SERVICES THAT 19 EXIST NOW THAT WE COULD TACKLE. MIKE, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU HAVE 20 21 ANYTHING TO ADD. 22 23 MICHAEL EISEMAN: I WAS THROWING A PERSONAL GUESS. IF WE WERE GOING TO DO THIS, IF OUR SYSTEM OVER THE YEARS PROGRESSED IN 24 THIS DIRECTION, LONGER TERM, LET'S SEE HOW WE DO 25



RECOMMENDATION IN OUR FRAMEWORK, THAT IT WOULD START WITH RAIL 1 FIRST BECAUSE -- AND THEN THE EXPRESS BUS ISSUES ARE 2 DIFFERENT. THEN IT WOULD PROBABLY EXPAND OUTWARD FROM THERE. 3 BUT THAT'S JUST REALLY A GUESS. 4 5 CHRISTINA GOTUACO: CAN I ASK ONE MORE QUESTION WHICH IS HOW 6 MANY RIDERS PARTICIPATED IN THE USER RESEARCH? BECAUSE I FEEL 7 8 LIKE THE LONG DISTANCE TRAVELER SAYING THEY FEEL LIKE IT IS WORTH THEIR TIME OR MONEY PAYING MORE IS KIND OF COUNTER TO 9 WHAT HAS COME OUT OF THE EOUITY DISCUSSIONS ON THE POLICY 10 ADVISORY COUNCIL IN TERMS OF PEOPLE GETTING PUSHED OUT INTO 11 LONGER COMMUTES. RIGHT NOW I THINK IN OUR SYSTEM PEOPLE HAVE 12 DIFFERENT OPTIONS OF MODES THAT THEY CAN TAKE. IF THEY ARE 13 WILLING TO TAKE MORE TIME, THEY COULD STILL PAY A LOWER FARE. 14 15 BUT IF THERE'S ONLY ONE REGIONAL DISTANCE BASED, EVERYONE 16 DISTANCE WISE WOULD HAVE TO PAY THE SAME AMOUNT. 17 WILLIAM BACON: THERE IT WOULD BE TWO PRICE STRUCTURES. FERRY 18 OR BUSES. TAKE A LOCAL BUS. AN EXAMPLE WOULD BE IN THE EAST 19 BAY IF YOU WERE TRAVELING FROM OAKLAND TO FREMONT, YOU COULD 20 21 TAKE BART OR TRANSIT. THEY ARE RUNNING PARALLEL. THEY WOULD STILL HAVE THREE FARE STRUCTURES IN OPTION THREE. CONCEIVABLY

THE LOCAL BUS FARE MIGHT BE LESS EXPENSIVE THAN THE NEW COMMON

REGIONAL DISTANCE-BASED FARE THAT WOULD APPLY IN OPTION THREE

OR REGIONAL ZONE STRUCTURE. THERE COULD STILL BE TWO DIFFERENT

22

23

24



- 1 ONES. THAT WAS PART OF YOUR OUESTION. I THINK THE OTHER PART
- 2 OF YOUR QUESTION WAS HOW MANY USER PARTICIPANTS DID WE HAVE. I
- 3 SHOULD KNOW THE ANSWER. BUT I BELIEVE SAY IT IS PROBABLY IN
- 4 THE LOW HUNDREDS PLUS PEOPLE WHO WE HAVE ENGAGED WITH OVER THE
- 5 COURSE OF THE PROJECT. WE HAD A FOCUS GROUP A COUPLE OF NIGHTS
- 6 AGO. IT IS SOMETHING WE ARE STILL CONTINUING TO TEST AND
- 7 ENGAGE THESE PROPOSALS AND CONCEPT WITH RIGHT NOW. I CAN'T
- 8 TELL YOU A SPECIFIC NUMBER. I DON'T ACTUALLY KNOW IF WE EVEN
- 9 HAVE THAT NUMBER READILY AVAILABLE. WE CAN GO TO THE NEXT
- 10 SLIDE. THIS SLIDE AND A COUPLE OF HERE'S WILL FOCUS ON OPTION
- 11 FOUR. THIS AGAIN IS THE OPTION THAT REQUIRES THE MOST
- 12 SIGNIFICANT CHANGE. FOR THE FIRST OPTION WE ARE TALKING ABOUT
- 13 IS OPTION FOUR IN TIER FOUR. THE MOST SIGNIFICANT CHANGE TO
- 14 BOAST REGIONAL AND LOCAL FARES. AND IN OPTION FOUR WE LOOK AT
- 15 A FARE BY DISTANCE STRUCTURE FOR REGIONAL SERVICES, SIMILAR TO
- 16 WHAT WE WERE JUST TALKING ABOUT IN OPTION THREE. THIS COULD
- 17 BRING ALL THE LOCAL BUSES AND RAIL INTO A SINGLE PRICE. WE
- 18 WOULD BE MERGING THE NINE DIFFERENT LOCAL FARES THAT EXIST
- 19 ACROSS OUR OPERATORS INTO ONE FARE. SOME AGENCIES WOULD SEE
- 20 THEIR PRICES COME UP LIKELY. AND SOME WOULD SEE THEIR FARE
- 21 PRICES COME DOWN, LOCAL FARES. AS A RESULT OF THAT, YOU WOULD
- 22 HAVE FREE TRANSFERS BETWEEN SERVICES BECAUSE THERE WOULD ONLY
- 23 BE ONE LOCAL FARE. THE SUBSIDY IS RELATIVELY CLOSE. IT IS
- 24 ABOUT \$75 MILLION PER YEAR. THE COST PER NEW RIDER DOESN'T
- 25 PERFORM AS WELL [AUDIO DIFFICULTIES]. PER NEW RIDER. I THINK



- 1 ONE OF THE BIGGEST CONCERNS IS THE EOUITY NUMBER OF RIDERS AND
- 2 -- [AUDIO DIFFICULTIES] IT IS LIKELY SOME RIDERS WITH LOWER
- 3 INCOMES WOULD SEE THEIR FARES INCREASE FOR LOCAL TRANSIT TRIPS
- 4 TO ACHIEVE THAT REGIONAL STANDARDIZATION. SOME OF OUR LARGEST
- 5 TRANSIT OPERATORS, MUNI, VTA HAVE SOME OF THE HIGHEST LOCAL
- 6 FARES AND A NUMBER OF OUR SMALLER BUS OPERATORS HAVE
- 7 SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER FARES. WE WILL LIKELY TO TO INCREASE THE
- 8 LOCAL FARES IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE STANDARDIZATION OF LOCAL
- 9 FARES. AND THAT PRESENTS A LOT OF CHALLENGING QUESTIONS ABOUT
- 10 WHAT'S EQUITABLE AND WHAT THAT MEANS FOR THOSE LOCAL
- 11 COMMUNITIES. AND IS A SIGNIFICANT HURDLE LIKELY FOR
- 12 IMPLEMENTATION IF WE WANTED TO BRING ALL THE OPERATORS INTO
- 13 ONE SYSTEM. YOU COULD SET ASIDE SOME OPERATORS AND SAY WE ARE
- 14 NOT GOING TO INCLUDE THEM. BUT FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS
- 15 ANALYSIS, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE WHOLE BAY AREA AND ALL OF
- 16 OUR TRANSIT OPERATORS. SO, THAT IS AN IMPORTANT THING TO
- 17 CONSIDER HERE. THIS ALSO DOVETAILS INTO THE QUESTION OF FARE
- 18 POLICY MANAGEMENT IN GOVERNANCE WHERE IN OPTION 3(B) YOU HAVE
- 19 RELATIVELY LIMITED NUMBER OF AGENCIES THAT HAVE TO RESTRUCTURE
- 20 THEIR FARE POLICY. HERE WE HAVE ALL TWO DOZEN WHO WOULD BE
- 21 COMPACTED IN TERMS OF HOW THEY SET THEIR FARE POLICY AND HOW
- 22 THEIR INDIVIDUAL AGENCY BOARDS WOULD HAVE TO CHANGE HOW THEIR
- 23 AUTHORITY TO SET FARES. WE CAN GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. AGAIN,
- 24 THERE ARE SEVERAL SLIDES HERE THAT TALK ABOUT TIER FOUR. I
- 25 WILL KIND OF GO THROUGH THESE RELATIVELY QUICKLY. THIS OPTION



- 1 LOOKS AT ZONES FOR ALL SERVICE AND I THINK THIS IS SOMEWHAT
- 2 COMPARABLE TO WHAT BAY AREA PUT FORWARD. YOU CAN SEE THERE A
- 3 SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF ZONES. ASSUMED IN THE ZONAL MAP WE USED.
- 4 FARES INCREASE BASED ON THE NUMBER OF ZONES THAT YOU TRAVEL
- 5 THROUGH. AND THAT THERE'S A COMMON ADDITIONAL FARE FOR TRAVEL
- 6 ACROSS ZONES FOR ALL DIFFERENT MODES. WE TESTED A VARIETY OF
- 7 DIFFERENT SUBSIDY LEVELS AND YOU CAN SEE THE POTENTIAL
- 8 RIDERSHIP GAINS OR IN THE LIST SUBSIDY LEVEL, ACTUALLY A LOSS
- 9 OF TRIPS THAT WERE MODELED. YOU CAN SEE THIS DOES GENERATE A
- 10 LOT OF NEW TRIPS AT A HIGHER LEVEL OF SUBSIDY. THE HUNDRED
- 11 MILLION DOLLARS A YEAR WOULD GENERATE AROUND 75,000 NEW TRIPS
- 12 PER DAY. AND YOU CAN SEE THAT THE LEVEL -- AS THE LEVEL OF
- 13 SUBSIDY DECLINES, THE LEVEL OF TRIPS PROJECTED DECREASES.
- 14 AGAIN, IF YOU LOOK AT THE COST PER RIDER -- SORRY, COST PER
- 15 NEW RIDER AS THE METRIC TO THINK ABOUT THE FINANCIAL IMPACTS,
- 16 AGAIN, IT'S -- MAY BE A MODERATE PERFORMER AT THE 10% LEVEL
- 17 AND RELATIVELY EXPENSIVE PER NEW TRIP AS YOU WORK DOWN THE
- 18 AMOUNT OF SUBSIDY THAT WILL BE AVAILABLE. THE DELIVERY IMPACTS
- 19 ARE OUITE SIMILAR TO WHAT WE JUST TALKED ABOUT ON THE LAST
- 20 SLIDE. THIS TOUCHES ALL AGENCY. EVERY AGENCY WOULD HAVE TO
- 21 RESTRUCTURE THEIR FARE POLICY. WE CAN GO ON ONE MORE SLIDE. I
- 22 HAVE TO NOTE I THINK THERE'S AN ERROR HERE IN THE FIRST
- 23 BULLET. I'M SORRY ABOUT THAT. THE NUMBER OF ZONES THAT WERE
- 24 TESTED IS NOT 81 ZONES HERE. THESE ARE -- IN OPTION SIX, WE
- 25 ARE LOOKING AT LARGE ZONES JUST FOR THE REGIONAL TRANSIT



- 1 SYSTEM AND THEN A LOCAL FLAT FARE SIMILAR TO WHAT WE SAW TWO
- 2 SLIDES AGO. SO, HERE THE REGIONAL SERVICES, INSTEAD OF HAVING
- 3 FARE BY DISTANCE, HAVE ZONE MAP THAT WOULD APPLY. AND THERE
- 4 ARE I THINK AROUND 25 ZONES. IT IS A SMALLER NUMBER OF ZONES
- 5 THAT WERE ANALYZED FOR THAT SORT OF BIG ZONE MAP FOR REGIONAL
- 6 SERVICES. AND THEN THERE'S A LOCAL FLAT FARE. IT IS VERY
- 7 SIMILAR TO WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT IN OPTION FOUR. AGAIN, YOU CAN
- 8 SEE THERE'S A RELATIVELY HIGH LEVEL OF SUBSIDY THAT WOULD
- 9 LIKELY BE NEEDED TO BE ABLE TO DELIVER THIS OPTION AND THAT
- 10 ALSO, AGAIN, CORRESPONDS TO A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PASSENGERS
- 11 THAT THE EXISTING SYSTEM SORT OF NEEDING TO SEE THEIR FARES GO
- 12 UP OR DOWN DEPENDING ON WHICH DIRECTION THEIR FARES NEEDED TO
- 13 GO TO ACHIEVE THAT COMMON STANDARDIZATION. WE CAN GO FORWARD
- 14 ONE MORE SLIDE. I THINK THIS SUMMARY TABLE IS A WAY TO LOOK AT
- 15 THIS. THERE'S A LOT OF INFORMATION THAT WE JUST SHARED. I WILL
- 16 START BY SORT OF WALKING YOU THROUGH THE KEY METRIC COLUMNS.
- 17 YOU CAN SEE THIS IS ORGANIZED BY THE FOUR DIMENSIONS OF OUR
- 18 BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS. STRATEGIC, ECONOMIC, FINANCIAL AND
- 19 IMPLEMENTATION. AND THEN WITHIN STRATEGIC, THERE'S ARE THE
- 20 METRICS THAT MIKE WALKED US THROUGH EARLIER IN THE
- 21 PRESENTATION. RIDERSHIP, VMT, EQUITY AND THE CUSTOMER
- 22 EXPERIENCE. AS YOU CAN SEE THE RIDERSHIP NUMBERS THERE, THESE
- 23 ARE NEW DAILY BOARDINGS. YOU CAN SEE THE COMPARISON BETWEEN
- 24 THE DIFFERENT OPTIONS. GREEN IS REPRESENTING STRONGER
- 25 PERFORMANCE. SORT OF THE RIDER ORANGE IS MODERATE AND RED



1	REPRESENTS WEAKER PERFORMANCE. THE VMT CATEGORY REPRESENTS
2	CHANGES IN VMT. THESE ARE EITHER POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE
3	DEPENDING ON THE OPTION. EQUITY, THIS IS ACTUALLY SOMETHING WE
4	WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE A LITTLE BIT MORE
5	ABOUT IN TERMS OF IF WE WERE TO HAVE A TABLE LIKE THIS, WHAT
6	ARE SOME OF THE BEST MEASURES TO REFLECT EQUITY IMPACTS. WE
7	COULD TALK ABOUT THE EQUITY IMPACTS THAT WE HAVE ANALYZED AND
8	THAT WE HAVE SEEN SORT OF INDIVIDUALLY BY OPTION. BUT WE
9	WEREN'T EXACTLY SURE WHAT THE BEST WAY TO REFLECT IT WAS IN
10	[AUDIO DIFFICULTIES]. COME BACK AND ASK FOR YOUR INPUT. AND
11	THE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE HERE IS FOCUSED ON WHAT WE HAVE HEARD
12	THROUGH OUR USER RESEARCH WORK. AS I NOTED THE ECONOMIC, THE
13	BENEFIT COST RATIO, THAT IS WHAT BCR STANDS FOR AND THAT
14	PRESENT VALUE AND PV, THAT WORKING IS WRAPPING UP RIGHT NOW
15	WITH OUR CONSULT TEAM. AND YOU CAN SEE THE COST PER NEW RIDER
16	AND THE TOTAL SUBSIDY THAT WOULD BE REQUIRED ALONG WITH OUR
17	ASSESSMENT OF WHAT THE IMPLEMENTATION SORT OF HURDLES MIGHT
18	BE. WHETHER THE TECHNOLOGY OR AGENCY MANAGEMENT GOVERNANCE
19	CHANGES THAT WOULD BE NECESSARY TO DELIVER LOW, MEDIUM OR HIGH
20	IMPACT. I WILL STOP THERE AGAIN SINCE THERE'S A LOT OF CONTENT
21	AND SEE IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS

23 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: I SEE THAT MEMBER GOTUACO HAS A HAND.

24



CHRISTINA GOTUACO: I WANTED TO ASK WHAT THE BENEFITS ARE OF 1 ZONES THAT YOU'RE PROPOSING OPTIONS FIVE AND SIX. YEAH. I 2 3 THINK FIVE AND SIX. 4 5 WILLIAM BACON: DO YOU MEAN THE BENEFITS OF ZONES RELATIVE TO A FARE BY DISTANCE MODEL? 6 7 8 CHRISTINA GOTUACO: UM, YEAH. FARE BY DISTANCE OR BY AGENCY AND ARE THE ZONES BASED ON BY AGENCY OR NOT REALLY? 9 10 WILLIAM BACON: IT IS A VERY GOOD QUESTION. IN THE EXAMPLE 11 WHERE THERE WERE OVER 80 ZONES, I'M NOT SURE IF YOU ARE 12 FAMILIAR WITH THE MAP THAT SEAMLESS BAY AREA THAT RELEASED 13 THAT HAD THE BAY AREA DIVIDED UP INTO AROUND 80 ZONES. I THINK 14 15 THE BENEFITS THAT WE HAVE SEEN FOR USER RESEARCH AT LEAST OF 16 ZONES IS THAT PEOPLE CAN LOOK AT THE MAP AND UNDERSTAND A ZONE-BASED STRUCTURE TO SYSTEM EXTENT. I THINK ONE OF THE 17 CHALLENGES WE SAW THREW USER RESEARCH IS THAT AS YOU GOT MORE 18 AND MORE ZONES, IT WASN'T IMMEDIATELY INTUITIVE TO PEOPLE 19 THAT, YOU KNOW, AM I CHARGED EVERY TIME? HOW DOES OUT WORK? 20 21 THERE'S JUST A LOT OF ZONES. AND IT BECAME CHALLENGING FOR 22 PEOPLE TO INTERPRET THAT. DOES THAT MEAN THAT PEOPLE CAN'T UNDERSTAND THAT OR THEY CAN'T GET TO A PLACE OF COMFORT WITH 23 THAT TYPE OF ZONE-BASED SYSTEM? NO. THERE ARE MANY OTHER 24

REGIONS AROUND THE WORLD THAT HAVE VERY COMPLICATED ZONE



- 1 STRUCTURES AND PEOPLE UNDERSTAND IT VERY WELL AND IT WORKS
- 2 VERY WELL. IT ISN'T TO SAY THAT THAT'S -- BUT IT IS SOMETHING
- 3 TO THINK ABOUT. THERE'S A LEARNING CURVE AND THERE'S A
- 4 TRANSITION IF YOU MOVE TO A REGION-WIDE ZONE STRUCTURE. A LOT
- 5 OF PEOPLE THROUGH OUR USER RESEARCH SEEM TO INTUITIVELY
- 6 UNDERSTAND SORT OF A DISTANCE-BASED MODEL AND THEY DID
- 7 GENERALLY TEND TO BELIEVE THAT SORT OF THE LONGER YOU TRAVEL,
- 8 THERE'S SOME FAIRNESS IN PAYING MORE. BUT IT KIND OF DEPENDS.
- 9 AND THAT I THINK GETS TO YOUR QUESTION. AS PEOPLE WITH LOWER
- 10 INCOMES HAVE BEEN DISPLACED FURTHER AND FURTHER OUT IN THE BAY
- 11 AREA, IS IT EQUITABLE THAT THEY ALWAYS HAVE TO PAY MORE? AND
- 12 AGAIN, DO WE OFFER PRODUCTS LIKE CAPS OR PASSES THAT REALLY
- 13 HELP ADDRESS SOME OF THOSE ISSUES. I'M NOT SURE IF I AM FULLY
- 14 ANSWERING YOUR QUESTION BUT THAT IS WHAT I AM TRYING TO GET
- 15 AT.
- 16
- 17 CHRISTINA GOTUACO: PARTIALLY WHY NOT CITIES AND COUNTIES AND
- 18 ZONES.

- 20 WILLIAM BACON: YEAH. MIKE, YOU CAN CHIME IN HERE, TOO. THAT IS
- 21 SOMETHING WE SPENT A LOT OF TIME EARLY IN THE STUDY THINKING
- 22 ABOUT IS CAN YOU HAVE JURISDICTIONAL BASED BOUNDARIES OR ZONES
- 23 AT THE COUNTY OR CITY LEVEL. IN SOME WAYS, THAT IS NOT THAT
- 24 DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE HAVE RIGHT NOW. WE TEND TO HAVE THESE
- 25 TRANSIT SERVICE AREAS THAT MOSTLY, YOU KNOW, ALIGN TO



- 1 JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES. NOT ALWAYS COUNTY BOUNDARIES. BUT
- 2 TYPICALLY CITY BOUNDARIES OR GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARIES IN TERMS OF
- 3 HILLS OR VALLEYS THAT SEPARATE PLACES. SO, WE HAVE THAT SORT
- 4 OF EXISTING BREAKDOWN. THE ISSUE AGAIN IS HOW DO WE BRING
- 5 MULTIPLE AGENCIES WITH DIFFERENT STRUCTURES INTO THAT COMMON
- 6 SYSTEM? AND I THINK THROUGH WHAT WE'RE PUTTING FORWARD HERE,
- 7 WE'RE SAYING IS THAT MAYBE FOR THOSE LOCAL SERVICES IN
- 8 PARTICULAR, IT ISN'T SO MUCH ABOUT THE MAP AND ZONE-BASED
- 9 STRUCTURE. IT IS MORE ABOUT THE DISCOUNTS BETWEEN AGENCIES AND
- 10 WHERE DO YOU GET THE BIGGEST RIDERSHIP BANG FOR YOUR SUBSIDY
- 11 INVESTMENT. I THINK WHAT WE ARE GENERALLY SAYING HERE IS THAT
- 12 YOU GET A BIGGER BANG THROUGH THAT FREE TRANSFER BETWEEN
- 13 AGENCIES RATHER THAN BRINGING ALL OF THOSE LOCAL SERVICES INTO
- 14 A SINGLE ZONE SYSTEM

15

16 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANKS. MEMBER ALLEN.

- 18 BOB ALLEN: THANKS, EVERYONE, FOR ALL THIS INFORMATION. I KNOW
- 19 IT IS LOT TO DIGEST. I APPRECIATE IT. JUST ONE CLARIFYING
- 20 QUESTION ON THE COST PER NEW RIDER AND YOUR FINANCIAL PIECE.
- 21 GOING BACK EARLIER I THINK FORMULATION MOST OF US ARE FAMILIAR
- 22 WITH THE COMBINED SUBSIDIES OVERALL, RAIL BEING A LITTLE MORE
- 23 ON THE CAPITAL SIDE. AND THE BUSES BEING MORE HEAVY ON THE
- 24 OPERATING SIDE. IN MY NOTES I HAD -- IF THIS IS TOO
- 25 COMPLICATED, I COULD TAKE IT OFFLINE. DID YOU SAY YOUR WORKING



ASSUMPTION WAS THE SAME LEVEL OVERALL SUBSIDY COMBINED 1 OBVIOUSLY WITH THE BREAKDOWN BEING DIFFERENT BETWEEN DIFFERENT 2 3 OPERATORS OR MODES OR DID I MAKE THAT NOTE WRONG? WHAT I --4 5 MICHAEL EISEMAN: ALL OF THE COSTS OF ALL THE SYSTEMS IN OUR 6 REGION ADDED TOGETHER AS REPORTED TO NTD. 7 8 BOB ALLEN: I WILL FOLLOW UP. I DON'T WANT TO TAKE TIME. THAT IS HELPFUL, MIKE. THANK YOU. 9 10 MICHAEL EISEMAN: SURE 11 12 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: MEMBER GRIFFITHS. 13 14 IAN GRIFFITHS: I WANT TO CLARIFY HOW LONG WE ARE GOING FOR 15 16 BECAUSE WE HAVEN'T REALLY BEEN ASKED TO GIVE FEEDBACK AND I HAVE A BUNCH OF IT. BUT I ALSO -- ADINA, CAN YOU CLARIFY WHAT 17 WE ARE DOING TO DO AS FAR AS TIMING? ARE WE GOING TO HAVE TIME 18 FOR ACTUAL SUBSTANTIVE FEEDBACK VERSUS JUST CLARIFYING 19 OUESTIONS? 20 21 22 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: YES. HOW CLOSE TO -- HOW LONG CAN STAFF 23 RUN THIS? THIS IS OBVIOUSLY A REALLY SUPER COMPLICATED PRESENTATION. I DON'T WANT TO BE TAKING US THROUGH 9:00 AT 24 NIGHT. WHAT IS REASONABLE FOR STAFF?



1 2 MICHAEL EISEMAN: I CAN STAY AS LONG AS FOLKS CAN STAY. 3 WILLIAM BACON: WE ARE AVAILABLE. 4 5 MICHAEL EISEMAN: THIS IS A GOOD LITTLE TEST RUN FOR US HERE, 6 GUYS. WE DIDN'T MEAN TO TALK -- TAKE UP ALL YOUR TIME. THANKS 7 8 FOR BEARING WITH US HERE ON A LONG PRESENTATION 9 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: IF WE CAN RUN LIKE UP TO 5:00 AT A MAX, I 10 REALLY -- WE REALLY DO WANT TO BE ABLE TO SHARE COMMENTS WITH 11 YOU, WHICH IS OUR MISSION AS A GROUP. WE WANT TO LISTEN TO 12 WHAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE. 13 14 WILLIAM BACON: WE ONLY HAVE A FEW SLIDES LEFT. WOULD IT BE 15 16 HELPFUL IF WE SORT OF GO THROUGH AND FINISH THE PRESENTATION? WE ONLY HAVE MAYBE FOUR OR SO SUBSTANTIVE SLIDES YES 17 18 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: YES, PLEASE. 19 20 WILLIAM BACON: OKAY. WE CAN COME BACK AND LOOK AT THIS AGAIN 21 WHEN WE GO TO COMMENTS. WE CAN GO FORWARD ONE MORE SLIDE. THIS 22 IS TRYING TO SUM RISE AND WRAP UP, AGAIN, THOSE DIFFERENT 23 TIERS AND HOW THEY PERFORM THROUGH THE BUSINESS CASE FRAMEWORK 24

AND WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SORT OF STRENGTHS AND POTENTIAL ISSUES



- 1 AND WEAKNESSES FOR EACH OF THEM. THIS IS SUMMARIZING WHAT WE
- 2 HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT FOR THE LAST COUPLE OF HOURS. BUT
- 3 GENERALLY OVERLAYS -- PASSES AND CAPS RELATIVELY SIMPLE AND
- 4 EASY FOR FOLKS TO UNDERSTAND AND EASILY DELIVERABLE RIGHT NOW
- 5 WITHIN OUR EXISTING STRUCTURE. POTENTIAL WEAKNESSES ARE
- 6 FOCUSED ON IF OUR GOAL IS TO GROW RIDERSHIP AND MAKE THE
- 7 SYSTEM BETTER FOR USERS, NOT EVERYONE WILL BENEFIT FROM A CAP
- 8 IF YOU DON'T HIT THE CAP. AND NOT EVERYONE BENEFITS FROM A
- 9 PASS IF YOU DON'T PURCHASE A PASS. SO, THINKING ABOUT THAT.
- 10 FOR TIER TWO WHEN YOU LOOK AT TRANSFER DISCOUNTS, IT REALLY
- 11 DOES ADDRESS A LOT OF THOSE INTEGRATION BARRIERS WE HAVE RIGHT
- 12 NOW. IT COULD BE SIMPLE TO COMMUNICATE IF YOU TELL FOLKS THAT
- 13 YOU ARE NEVER GOING TO PAY MORE THAN ONE LOCAL FARE A DAY. I'M
- 14 SORRY. PER TRIP.
- 15
- 16 WILLIAM BACON: WHAT WE'RE SAYING IS YOU GET A BIGGER BANG
- 17 THROUGH FREE TRANSFER BETWEEN AGENCIES RATHER THAN BRING ALL
- 18 OF THOSE LOCAL SERVICES INTO A SINGLE ZONE AND IT REALLY
- 19 CREATES MORE COHESIVE ON SORT OF THE BAY AREA REGIONAL TRANSIT
- 20 BACKBONE AND WEAKNESSES AGAIN IT MORE CHALLENGING THAN PLANNED
- 21 BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT'S CONSIDERATION OR WITH TIER FOUR, I
- 22 WON'T BELABOR THIS, THERE IS A LOT OF COMPLEXITY IN TERMS OF
- 23 BRINGING TWO DOZEN AGENCIES UNDER A COMMON STRUCTURE AND WHAT
- 24 THAT MEANS FROM A GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT PERSPECTIVE. WE
- 25 CAN GO FORWARD ONE MORE SLIDE. COMING BACK TO WHAT WE TALKED



- 1 ABOUT EARLIER, DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS AS WE STAND RIGHT NOW AND
- 2 CONTINUE TO EVALUATE THE BEST WAY TO HAVE A TIERED PASS OR TOP
- 3 PRODUCT AVAILABLE TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC. THAT'S THE VERY NEAR-
- 4 TERM IN TERMS OF MONTHS THAT'S THE TIME FRAME THAT WE'RE
- 5 THINKING ABOUT. PHASE B, SORT OF, WHAT COMES NEXT REALLY GETS
- 6 AT TIER TWO, SO THIS IS TRYING TO DEPLOY, ALONG WITH CLIPPER
- 7 TWO, OR NEAR THE LAUNCH OF THE CLIPPER TWO SYSTEM IN 2023,
- 8 FREE DISCOUNT -- I'M SORRY -- FREE TRANSFERS TWO LOCAL
- 9 SERVICES, AND THE DISCOUNTED TRANSFER BETWEEN LOCAL AND
- 10 REGIONAL SERVICES. SO OPTION 3A THAT WE TALKED ABOUT IN THE
- 11 PRESENTATION. AND THEN MOVING FORWARD, TIER THREE, IN THE
- 12 LONGER TERM, AFTER THE DEPLOYMENT OF C2, REALLY CONTINUING TO
- 13 WORK TOWARDS THAT COMMON REGIONAL STRUCTURE, FOR ALL OF OUR
- 14 REGIONAL SERVICES. SO THE BUSES -- I'M SORRY -- REGIONAL
- 15 EXPRESS BUS SERVICE, FERRIES, RAIL SERVICE, AND BRINGING THAT
- 16 EITHER INTO A COMMON DISTANCE-BASED OR ZONAL STRUCTURE,
- 17 DEPENDING ON WHERE WE WANT TO GO. THE IMPORTANT THING, AGAIN,
- 18 IN THERE TO REMEMBER, ARE THE CONSIDERATIONS AROUND GOVERNANCE
- 19 FOR INDIVIDUAL FARE POLICIES AND THE AMOUNT OF SUBSIDY THAT'S
- 20 AVAILABLE TO OPERATE ARE SUBSYSTEM NOT IN A BROADER SENSE, BUT
- 21 JUST TO, SORT OF, DELIVER THE MODEL CALCULATED NUMBER WE
- 22 TALKED ABOUT HERE, BUT IN THE LONGER TERM, YOU KNOW, AS THE
- 23 BAY AREA, HOPEFULLY RECOVERS FROM THE PANDEMIC HOW MUCH
- 24 FUNDING IS AVAILABLE TO OPERATE OUR TRANSIT SYSTEM TO SUSTAIN



1

September 10, 2021

OUR TRANSIT SYSTEM AS IT LOOKS TODAY. I'M GOING TO TURN IT

2 OVER TO MIKE THEN WE'LL BE DONE. 3 MICHAEL EISEMAN: NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. NEXT ONE AFTER THAT, 4 5 SO, I JUST WANT TO TAKE A MINUTE TO FOCUS ON OUR NEAR-TERM ACTION PROPOSAL. SIENNA HAS BEEN PUTTING A LOT OF 6 EFFORT INTO FIGURING OUT THE LOGISTICS. THE PROPOSAL IS TO 7 8 PILOT TEST ORIGINAL INSTITUTIONAL EMPLOYER PASS PROGRAM ALL AGENCY RIDE PASSES OFFERED TO INSTITUTIONS TO BUY-IN BULK. 9 ULTIMATELY THE GOAL OF THE PROGRAM WOULD BE TO ACHIEVE ROUGH 10 SUBSIDY PARITY OFFERED WITH OTHER FARES AROUND THE REGION. THE 11 MODEL, WE'RE, SORT OF, MODELING OUR EFFORTS HERE ON THE 12 REGION'S CORE PASS, THIS HAS BEEN IN PLAY SUCCESSFULLY IN 13 SEVERAL AGENCIES HERE IN THE BAY AREA, CALTRANS, VTA IS DOING 14 IT AS WELL. WHAT'S DIFFERENT ABOUT THE PASS IN THE PUGET SOUND 15 16 REGION IS MULTI-AGENCY THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO HERE. WE'RE SETTING UP THE PRICING MODEL BASED ON LOCATION OF THE 17 BUSINESS TRYING TO OFFER PASSES TO DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE 18 REGION. WITH OUR PILOT THE AGENCY PASS BUILDING TOWARD BROADER 19 INTEGRATION. WE ALSO WANT TO TRY TO ENGAGE THE INSTITUTIONS IN 20

23 AT THE DATA THAT CAN BE USED AS THE BASIS FOR REVENUE MODEL

THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY AND TRANSIT SUCCESS AS WE LOOK FORWARD

TO COVID RECOVERY TO THE EXTENT THAT WE CAN, AND THENALLY LOOK

- 24 PERMANENTLY. WE, SORT OF, SPLIT THIS OUT AS WE WORK THROUGH
- 25 THE LOGISTICS INTO TWO POTENTIAL PHASES. FIRST ONE AIMING TO

21



START IS EARLY IN 2022, AS WE CAN, ALTHOUGH THERE IS A LOT OF 1 GROUND TO COVER TO GET THIS UP AND RUNNING. WE WOULD LIKE TO 2 3 FOCUS ON COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND LEVERAGE RELATIONSHIPS THAT OUR AGENCIES HAVE WITH THEIR EXISTING INSTITUTIONAL 4 5 CUSTOMERS, AS QUICKLY AS CAN. FROM THERE LEARN AS MUCH FROM THE INITIAL PILOT PHASE, AND THE INTENT WOULD BE TO WORK WITH 6 PRIVATE -- WE THINK THERE IS A LOT OF POTENTIAL CONSIDERING 7 8 WHAT PUGET SOUND HAS DEVELOPED OVER DECADES WITH THE SYSTEM AND THE WAY PEOPLE COMMUTE IN THE REGION. IT'S A LOT OF 9 POTENTIAL AND WORTH INVESTMENT. CHALLENGES, THERE IS GOING TO 10 HAVE TO BE A FOCUS ON GETTING EQUITY BALANCE. THESE PROGRAMS 11 NATURALLY TEND TOWARDS WHITE COLLAR WORKERS SO WE NEED TO 12 FOCUS ON BALANCE THERE IS A LOT OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND STAFFING 13 REQUIREMENTS. THIS IS A HIGH INVESTMENT ADMINISTRATIVELY TYPE 14 15 OF PROGRAM, WE NEED ALL OF THE AGENCIES TO SIGN ON TO IT. IT'S 16 AN ALL AGENCY PASS INCLUDED INTO ONE. AND THEN THERE IS SOME REVENUE RISK FOR THE AGENCIES, AND SO THERE WILL HAVE TO BE 17 SOME KIND OF FUNDING BACKSTOP. I'LL LEAVE OFF THERE, AND I 18 THINK BILL IS GOING WRAP UP HERE. 19 20 WILLIAM BACON: WE CAN GO FORWARD TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 21 MIKE. VERY QUICKLY HERE, THE NEXT STEPS. AS MIKE MENTIONED, 22 IN ABOUT TEN DAYS, WE'RE SCHEDULED TO PRESENT TO OUR FARE 23 INTEGRATION TASK FORCE THE DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS, SO, SORT OF, 24

SUMMARIZED VERSION OF THIS PRESENTATION, TO THEN TO REALLY



- 1 START THE DISCUSSION AT THE GENERAL MANAGER LEVEL OF THE
- 2 TRANSIT OPERATORS, AND THE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LEVEL.
- 3 STARTING RIGHT AFTER THAT, ACTUALLY, THAT FOLLOWING WEEK,
- 4 WE'RE INTENDING TO BEGIN A SERIES OF PRESENTATIONS TO TRANSIT
- 5 AGENCY BOARD MEETINGS, AND WE WILL SHARE THE MEETINGS THAT
- 6 CURRENTLY ARE AGENDAIZED WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEES SO YOU CAN ALL
- 7 SEE THE DATES OF THOSE MEETINGS, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF
- 8 DIFFERENT BOARD MEETINGS OR COMMITTEE MEETINGS THAT ARE ON THE
- 9 SCHEDULE OR ARE ABOUT TO BE. THAT WILL GIVE OPPORTUNITY FOR
- 10 THE AGENCY'S BOARDS TO LEARN MORE ABOUT THE PROJECT AND
- 11 RECOMMENDATIONS IN A MUCH MORE CONDENSED FORMAT THAN WHAT YOU
- 12 SAW TODAY. ON OCTOBER 18TH, THE FARE INTEGRATION TASK FORCE
- 13 WILL MEET AGAIN AND THAT WILL BE FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO CONSIDER
- 14 ADOPTING SOME RECOMMENDATIONS OR TAKING, SORT OF, A POSITION
- 15 ABOUT WHAT DIRECTION THE FARE INTEGRATION TASK FORCE WOULD
- 16 LIKE TO GO. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT WILL BE THE FINAL MEETING OF
- 17 THE TASK FORCE OR NOT, IT'S VERY POSSIBLE THERE COULD BE
- 18 SUBSEQUENT MEETINGS OF THE TASK FORCE BEYOND OCTOBER 18TH, BUT
- 19 THAT'S, SORT OF, THE DATES THAT ARE CURRENTLY ON THE CALENDAR.
- 20 ONE OTHER THING I WILL CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO, THAT IS
- 21 IMPORTANT TO THIS PROJECT IS, ON OCTOBER 27TH AND 28TH, THE
- 22 COMMISSION WILL BE HAVING A WORKSHOP THAT WILL BE FOCUSED
- 23 SIGNIFICANTLY ON THE BLUE RIBBON TRANSIT RECOVERY TASK FORCE
- 24 TRANSFORMATION ACTION PLAN, AND, SORT OF, THE KEY STRATEGIES
- 25 THAT WERE IDENTIFIED THERE. FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO AREN'T AS



- 1 FAMILIAR, SOME OF THE KEY STRATEGIES THAT WERE IDENTIFIED ARE
- 2 THIS PROJECT, THE FARE COORDINATION, INTEGRATION OPPORTUNITY
- 3 THAT IS AVAILABLE TO THE REGION THROUGH THE WORK THAT WE HAVE
- 4 BEEN DOING HERE, TRANSIT NETWORK PRIORITY IN TERMS OF ALLOWING
- 5 BUSES, IN PARTICULAR, TO MOVE MORE RELIABLY AND FASTER ON THE
- 6 ROAD SYSTEM, THE FREEWAY SYSTEM. AND MAPPING AND WAYFINDING OF
- 7 OUR SYSTEM. SO, AT THAT WORKSHOP, THIS PROJECT WILL HAVE AN
- 8 OPPORTUNITY TO, AGAIN, PRESENT ITS RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE
- 9 COMMISSION, WHERE THE COMMISSION WILL REALLY HAVE AN
- 10 OPPORTUNITY TO WEIGH IN ABOUT WHAT FINANCIAL COMMITMENTS MIGHT
- 11 BE ABLE TO BE MADE TO ALLOW PILOTS TO BE DELIVERED TO ALLOW
- 12 EARLY ACTIONS TO TAKE PLACE. AGAIN, FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO
- 13 HAVEN'T BEEN FOLLOWING THIS AS CLOSELY, THE AMERICAN RESCUE
- 14 PLAN, WHICH IS THE FEDERAL COVID RELIEF PACKAGE APPROVED IN
- 15 APRIL OF THIS YEAR, THE MTC COMMISSION HAS SET-ASIDE FUNDS IN
- 16 THE BAY AREA TO SUPPORT DELIVERY OF THOSE BLUE RIBBON
- 17 TRANSFORMATION ACTIONS. THOSE THINGS NETWORK PRIORITY,
- 18 WAYFINDING, THERE IS OPPORTUNITY TO HELP SUPPORT THE DELIVERY
- 19 OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THIS WORK, AND THAT'S SOMETHING THAT
- 20 WILL LIKELY BE ON THE AGENDA AT THAT MEETING ON THE 27TH AND
- 21 28TH OF OCTOBER. THAT CONCLUDES OUR PRESENTATION. THANK YOU
- 22 ALL. I'M SORRY IT'S GONE SO LONG. BUT WE ARE LOOKING FORWARD
- 23 TO THOSE OF YOU WHO CAN STAY, TO HEARING YOUR COMMENTS AND
- 24 FEEDBACK.



ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: WE WANTED TO REALLY EXPRESS GRATITUDE 1 TOWARDS STAFF AND THE CONSULTANTS TO REALLY DOING A LOT OF THE 2 WORK ON SOMETHING THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT, AND DESIRED BY A 3 LOT OF PEOPLE. IN TERMS OF TAKING COMMENTS, WHAT I WOULD LIKE 4 5 TO DO, IF THERE IS ANYONE WHO HAS A HARD STOP, SOONER RATHER THAN LATER, CAN -- I WOULD LIKE THEM TO BE ABLE TO GO FIRST. 6 SO, I'M SORRY -- ANYBODY WHO HAS A HAND RAISED, WHO HAS A HARD 7 8 START MOMENTARILY? RICH? IS THAT -- ARE YOU SAYING THAT YOU HAVE A HARD STOP? CAN'T HEAR YOU. HEDGE I'M AWAITING A CALL 9 10 FROM STANFORD ON SOME ISSUES. 11 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: GOT IT. 12 13 RICHARD HEDGES: WELL, I JUST HAVE A SIMPLE QUESTION. 14 15 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: GO AHEAD. 16 17 RICHARD HEDGES: THANK YOU. IT SEEMS TO ME IN LOOKING -- AND I 18 THINK EQUITY IS OUR PRIME ISSUE HERE, THAT PROBABLY, WE REACH 19 THE MOST PEOPLE ON AN EOUITY BASIS WITH LOCAL TO LOCAL. AND 20 21 THEN THE REST, LOCAL TO REGIONAL. IS THERE ANYONE, INCLUDING STAFF, THAT WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON THAT? 22 23 WILLIAM BACON: YEAH I THINK YOU'RE PROBABLY RIGHT, RICH, IN 24

THE SENSE THAT LOCAL TO LOCAL TRANSFERS ARE A SIGNIFICANT PART



- 1 OF IT ONE THING I WOULD CALL FOLK'S ATTENTION TO AGAIN IS IF
- 2 YOU LOOK AT PRECOVID, THE TYPES OF INTER-AGENCY TRANSFERS THAT
- 3 WERE TAKING PLACE MOST INVOLVED REGIONAL SERVICE, BART,
- 4 CALTRAIN, SOME TYPE OF WHAT WE CALL REGIONAL TRANSIT. SO I
- 5 THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER, YOU KNOW, HOW CAN WE BENEFIT
- 6 THOSE USERS, AS WELL. SO, I DON'T KNOW, THAT'S MY ONLY
- 7 COMMENT. I MEAN, NUMERICALLY, MOST PEOPLE OF COURSE ARE RIDING
- 8 THOSE SERVICES, MUNI, AC TRANSIT, VTA, A LOT OF THOSE
- 9 TRANSFERS WHERE PEOPLE EXPERIENCE FRICTION IN OUR FARE SYSTEM
- 10 INVOLVE REGIONAL AGENCY.
- 12 RICHARD HEDGES: I'M LOOKING AT THIS IN THE PORK CHOP GUY THAT
- 13 I AM THAT I CAN AFFORD FARES ON A PERSONAL LEVEL, THE PEOPLE
- 14 WHO RIDE SAMTRANS WORKING DOWNTOWN AND SERVICE JOBS ARE
- 15 GETTING OFF AND I SEE THEM GETTING OFF IN EQUITY PRIORITY
- 16 COMMUNITIES SO I'M, SORT OF, CONCENTRATED ON THOSE FOLKS.
- 17 THAT'S MY MAIN COMMENT. I UNDERSTAND WE NEED TO OPERATE A
- 18 WHOLE SYSTEM BUT I WANT TO SECURE AS MUCH EQUITY AS POSSIBLE.
- 20 SPEAKER: I CAN JUST THROW IN A COMMENT, ARE YOU CONSIDERING
- 21 THE PILOTS MAYBE IN ORDER TO BE FLIPPED SO THAT THE AFFORDABLE
- 22 HOUSING GROUPS GETS PILOTED FOR STUDENTS JUST TO SEE WHERE
- 23 PEOPLE ARE ACTUALLY TRAVELING SO WE CAN HAVE THIS DATA?

24

19



- 1 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THAT WAS GOING TO BE ONE OF MY
- 2 RECOMMENDATIONS, AFFORDABLE HOUSING WILL BE SERVING A BROADER
- 3 RANGE OF EQUITY PRIORITY PEOPLE. SO, YEAH. I'LL HAVE MORE
- 4 COMMENTS, BUT THANK YOU FOR MAKING THAT COMMENT. AND,
- 5 CHRISTINA, DO YOU HAVE MORE COMMENTS? OR IS THAT THE ONE THAT
- 6 YOU HAD? ALL RIGHT. TISHA DEE HARTMAN.

7

- 8 TISHA DEE HARTMAN: I UNDERSTAND YOU WENT INTO OVER TIME AND I
- 9 WANT TO THANK YOU FOR ALL THE WORK THAT'S GONE INTO THAT. WHEN
- 10 I LOOK AT SLIDE 38 AND SEE THE RECOMMENDATION FOR MOVING
- 11 THROUGH THE TIERS, I CAN'T HELP FEEL LIKE WE'RE MISSING A
- 12 COMPONENT THERE. I FEEL 3D IS THE SWEET SPOT FOR THE SHORT-
- 13 TERM IN MY MIND IT WOULD BE SHORT-TERM OBVIOUSLY GOT SOME
- 14 SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN RIDERSHIP COUPLED WITH SIZABLE
- 15 DECREASES IN VMT MOSTLY POSITIVE FEEDBACK AND MID-LINE ON
- 16 IMPACTS FINANCIALLY OF COURSE THAT'S THE SWEET SPOT BUT AS WE
- 17 LOOK TO THE SHORTER TERM IN CALIFORNIA AND LONGER TERM MOVING
- 18 INTO THE FUTURE REACHING BEDROOM COMMUNITIES AND CONTINUE TO
- 19 GROW AND HOUSING GETS PUSHED, ON THE TABLE OUR LONGER TERM,
- 20 AND LOSING SITE OF THE LONGER TERM INITIATIVE AND BRINGING
- 21 CALIFORNIA TO A MORE UNIFIED CONNECTED STATE AS A WHOLE. AND
- 22 MY NEXT COMMENT REGARDING TIER THREE WE'RE CLEAR ON ROLLING
- 23 OUT TIER 1 AND 2, BUT I DIDN'T GET A CLEAR TIMELINE FOR TIER
- 24 THREE.



MICHAEL EISEMAN: GOOD OBSERVATION ON THAT. I THINK, I MEAN, TO 1 ME -- AND THIS IS, I THINK, REALLY COMING FROM A BART 2 3 PERSPECTIVE HERE, THAT WHAT HAPPENS NOW IS THAT THERE IS A BUNCH OF DIFFERENT BROADER CONVERSATIONS BEYOND JUST FARE 4 5 INTEGRATION, THAT THIS IS GOING HAVE TO BE A PART OF. ONE, BECAUSE IT'S MY DAY JOB, AND ONE I'M MOST FOCUSED ON IS HOW 6 ARE WE GOING TO PAY FOR SERVICE IN TWO OR THREE YEARS. IF 7 8 HYBRID REMOTE WORK BECOMES STANDARD, THE FUNDING MODEL IS GOING TO HAVE TO SHIFT. FUNDING IS IMPORTANT AND GOVERNANCE 9 10 CONVERSATIONS UNDERWAY RELATED TO THE REGIONAL NETWORK. THIS IS NOT A STAND ALONE AFTER THIS PROJECT IS OVER. IT BECOMES 11 PART OF THE BROADER COORDINATION AND NEEDS TO BE A SET OF 12 SOLUTIONS. SO I DON'T THINK OUR PROPOSAL HERE DOES NOT COMMIT 13 TO ANY PARTICULAR OUTCOME OR TIMELINE, INTENTIONALLY, BUT 14 15 CERTAINLY, OPEN TO YOUR FEEDBACK ON THAT. 16 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I AM SURE THAT THERE 17 ARE OTHER MEMBERS, IN ADDITION ME, THAT HAVE COMMENTS TO MAKE. 18 MEMBER GRIFFITHS? 19 20 IAN GRIFFITHS: SURE. I WAS GOING TO -- IF ANYONE ELSE HAS HAD 21 TIME TO STOP I'LL LET THEM GO FIRST BUT IT SOUND LIKE THOSE 22 PEOPLE HAVE GONE. SO, I'LL SAY A FEW OF MY COMMENTS THEN 23

PAUSE IF THERE IS TIME AND FOLLOW UP AFTER IN E-MAIL I'LL TRY

TO GET TO THEM ALL BUT IN LEVEL OF PRIORITY. IN GENERAL, I

24



- 1 SUPPORT THE NEAR-TERM RECOMMENDATIONS, AND I THINK THAT'S
- 2 REALLY EXCITING ABOUT, YOU KNOW, FREE TRANSFERS, AND
- 3 INSTITUTIONAL PASSES, THAT SOUNDS GREAT. I'M A LITTLE -- I
- 4 WILL SAY, I WAS JUST -- IT WAS FRUSTRATING TO ONLY GET THESE
- 5 MATERIALS AT MIDNIGHT LAST -- YOU KNOW, LIKE, NOT HAVING A LOT
- 6 OF TIME TO REVIEW T BECAUSE IT IS SOMETHING THEY WISH WE WOULD
- 7 HAVE HAD MORE TIME TO LOOK INTO PRIOR TO THIS MEETING TODAY,
- 8 AND I'M A LITTLE BIT CONCERNED ABOUT THE WAY, ABOUT SOME OF
- 9 THE THINGS I'M SEEING IN TERMS OF HOW OPTIONS 4 AND 6 ARE
- 10 BEING MODELED, AND THE TIME -- AND THE FACT THAT WE DON'T --
- 11 WE MAY NOT HAVE TIME TO MAKE -- IT SOUND LIKE THERE IS
- 12 PROBABLY NOT TIME TO REDO ANY OF THAT IN ADVANCE OF THIS BEING
- 13 BROUGHT TO THE TASK FORCE, AND THEN TO THE, YOU KNOW, THE SET
- 14 VARIOUS BOARD MEETINGS THESE ARE GOING TO BE DISPLAYED AT. SO
- 15 SOME OF THE THINGS I'M THINKING IS. ONE CUSTOMER INSURANCE
- 16 CHARACTERIZATION EVALUATION, IT'S ALL A WASH IN TERMS OF
- 17 CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE IF YOU LOOK AT COLOR CODING IT'S LIKE, 2,
- 18 3, YOU KNOW, ALMOST ALL OF THEM ARE, LIKE, BETTER THAN NOW,
- 19 SLIGHTLY BETTER THAN NOW AND THEN OPTION FIVE IS WORSE NOW.
- 20 THAT'S KIND OF HOW IT COMES OFF, AND I CAN'T, FOR THE LIFE OF
- 21 ME, FIGURE -- SEE HOW THAT WOULD BE TRUE. BECAUSE I THINK THE
- 22 MORE COMPREHENSIVE OPTIONS, I THINK, EARLIER ON, WE AS A
- 23 GROUP, SORT OF, EVALUATED THOSE AS BEING SIMPLER TO UNDERSTAND
- 24 FOR USERS. AND I AM SURPRISED THAT THE USER -- IT'S HARD TO
- 25 KNOW EXACTLY HOW THE USER RESEARCH WAS DONE BUT IT SEEMS



- 1 SURPRISING THAT THERE WAS NO NET BENEFIT SEEING AS IN THOSE
- 2 THAT'S SHOWING UP, OF ANY VALUE. THAT'S NOT SHOWING UP AS AN
- 3 ADVANTAGE FOR THOSE MORE COMPREHENSIVE OPTIONS, EVEN THOUGH
- 4 THEY PERFORM DIFFERENTLY ON RIDERSHIP. I DON'T KNOW HOW THAT
- 5 WAS DONE. I DON'T KNOW WHY WAS THE CASE. BUT IT'S SURPRISING
- 6 HOW UNIVERSALLY ADOPTED THOSE POLICIES ARE IN YOUR REGIONS OF
- 7 HIGH TRANSIT USE AND THE ARGUMENT THAT THERE ARE SIMPLER
- 8 OPTIONS FOR RIDERS. I DON'T KNOW IF THERE IS MORE NUANCE THAT
- 9 CAN BE ADDED TO THAT. MAYBE YOU WERE BEING CONSERVATIVE TO HOW
- 10 YOU WERE PRESENTING THOSE ASSESSMENTS, BUT IT SEEMS UNFAIR TO
- 11 SUGGEST AND INACCURATE TO SAY OPTION TWO IS PERFORMED EQUALLY
- 12 IN TERMS OF USER SIMPLICITY AND USER INTUITIVENESS AND
- 13 OPTIONS. THE -- [INDISCERNIBLE] SHOULD BE HIRE ON THE
- 14 COMPREHENSIVE OPTIONS AND USER EXPERIENCE IS NOT BEING MODELED
- 15 AT ALL IN TERMS OF RIDERSHIP. SO THE USER EXPERIENCE IS
- 16 TRANSLATING TO A 5% RIDERSHIP INCREASE OR A 10% RIDERSHIP
- 17 INCREASE THAT WE JUST WANT DON'T KNOW ABOUT, THAT WOULD
- 18 TOTALLY CHANGE THE RIDERSHIP AND REVENUE PROJECTIONS FOR THE
- 19 COMPREHENSIVE OPTIONS. CURRENTLY THIS MAKES OPTIONS 4 AND 5 --
- 20 3, 4 AND 5 -- OR 4, 5, AND 6 LOOK, PRESENTED -- IF YOU DON'T
- 21 REALLY LOOK INTO IT SEEMS LIKE THOSE AREN'T WORTH PURSUING AND
- 22 LET'S JUST FOCUS ON THE NEAR-TERM OPTIONS AND THE THIRD THING
- 23 I'LL SAY AND I'LL LET SOMEONE ELSE MAKE SOME COMMENTS IS THAT,
- 24 OBVIOUSLY I'M PARTIAL TO OPTION FIVE, BECAUSE WE KIND OF
- 25 DEVELOPED IT, AND YOU KNOW, PROPOSED IT, I DO NOT REALLY FEEL



LIKE -- TO ME OPTION FIVE IS SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 4 AND 6. FOUR 1 IS FARE BY DISTANCE, SIX IS HUGE THOUGH. FIVE IS SMALL THOUGH, 2 3 SO IT'S KIND OF A STEPPINGSTONE BETWEEN THE THREE. BUT THAT'S NOT THE WAY THOSE THREE WERE MODELED. YOU HAVE A LOCAL FLAT 4 5 FARE IN 4 AND 6 THEN A TOTALLY DIFFERENT ASSUMPTION IN FIVE. AND IT DOESN'T SEEM LIKE AN APPLES TO APPLES COMPARISON 6 BETWEEN THOSE. I DON'T FEEL LIKE WE HAVE A GOOD OPTION THAT 7 8 HAS SMALL ZONES THAT COULD BE BACKED UP AGAINST THE FARE BY DISTANCE AND THE LARGE ZONES FOR ONE. AND THAT, MAYBE, I THINK 9 10 THE ISSUE THAT POINTED OUT BEFORE, OR THAT YOU CLARIFIED ABOUT, BASICALLY, YOU'RE ASSUMING THAT YOU DON'T, YOU KNOW, 11 YOU PAY EXTRA, EVEN IN THOSE SMALL ZONES, THE FIRST ZONE THAT 12 YOU'RE PAYING MORE THAT'S A BIG DEAL AND NOT PART OF THE 13 PROPOSAL THAT WE CAME UP W TO ME, I WOULD WANT TO SEE THAT 14 15 FIXED AND 4, 5, AND 6 BE MORE APPLES TO APPLES IN THE 16 PRESENTATION OF THOSE OPTIONS TO, YOU KNOW, VARIOUS TRANSIT AGENCY BOARDS ARE GOING TO BE LOOKING AT THESE AND MAKING AN 17 ADJUSTMENT EARLY ON ABOUT WHAT THEY SEE AND WRITING OUT THOSE 18 OPTIONS OVER THE LONG-TERM. I'LL STOP THERE. 19 [LAUGHTER] THANK YOU. 20 21 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANKS. AND MEMBER KASS?

22

- JONOTHON KASS: THANK YOU. I'LL TRY TO MAKE A FEW BROAD 24
- COMMENTS. REALLY, AS EVERYONE SAID IT'S AN INCREDIBLE AMOUNT 25



- 1 OF REALLY, REALLY RICH WORK, AND I AM VERY GRATEFUL FOR
- 2 EVERYONE'S QUESTIONS. BECAUSE IT REALLY HELPED ME UNDERSTAND
- 3 BETTER, TOO. I THINK I THINK IT'S GREAT THAT WE DID THIS
- 4 MODELING IN A QUANTITATIVE PIECE. I WAS FEELING LIKE IT MIGHT
- 5 BE HELPFUL TO YOU HAVE, BOTH OF YOU, WHO ARE BETTER THAN WE
- 6 CAN BE AT PUTTING THE QUANTITATIVE PIECE IN CONTEXT TO HELP
- 7 SAY MORE ABOUT HOW TO THINK ABOUT THE NUMBERS, RELATIVE TO
- 8 WHAT'S NOT INCORPORATED. ONE PIECE WAS ALREADY BROUGHT UP
- 9 WHICH IS JUST, SORT OF, THE ACCURACY, THE ERROR BAND IN THE
- 10 MODEL. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN WAGER, LIKE, WHEN WE NOW SEE
- 11 THE DOLLARS PER NEW PASSENGER, YOU KNOW, IF THEY'RE WITHIN% OF
- 12 25% OF EACH OTHER, 10% OF EACH OTHER, A SENSE OF RANKING THEM
- 13 IN EFFICIENCY SUCH AS THE RANGE OF NATURAL ERROR BAND. RELATED
- 14 TO THAT, WE HAVE OBVIOUSLY TALKED A LOT ABOUT THE PANDEMIC
- 15 IMPACT, THIS IS ALL PREPANDEMIC AND WE HOPE THAT THERE IS
- 16 ONGOING RECOVERY, BUT I THINK WE ALL REALLY KNOW THERE WILL BE
- 17 NOT JUST DIFFERENCES, BUT SYSTEMATIC DIFFERENCES IN THE WAY
- 18 PEOPLE TRAVEL, AND I'M NOT SMART ENOUGH TO TRY TO -- WE DON'T
- 19 KNOW, BUT WE CAN PUT SOME GUESSES AT HOW THE MODELING WILL BE
- 20 OFF BASED ON THE KINDS OF CHANGES WE EXPECT. I HAVEN'T BEEN
- 21 ABLE TO DO THAT IN MY MIND, BUT IF YOU GUYS HAVE ANYTHING TO
- 22 SAY ABOUT THE DIRECTION OF CHANGE, WE MIGHT EXPECT, BASED ON
- 23 THE PANDEMIC, THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL. A LITTLE BIT RELATED TO
- 24 THAT, YOU KNOW, SO MUCH OF THIS BLUE RIBBON TRANSFORMATION
- 25 ACTION PLAN EFFORT WAS ABOUT CREATING A SYSTEM THAT'S MORE



- 1 INTEGRATED WHERE MORE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO MAKE MORE TRANSFERS
- 2 AND MAKE MORE MULTI-AGENCY REGIONAL TRIPS HAS BEEN, SORT OF,
- 3 THE FUNDAMENTAL CONVERSATION IN THERE, AND THAT FARE
- 4 INTEGRATION IS ONE PIECE OF THAT, BUT THERE IS A WHOLE BUNCH
- 5 OF OTHER PARTS OF THAT. WE DON'T KNOW HOW FAR WE'LL GET WITH
- 6 HUB -- INTEGRATED TRANSIT HUBS, AND BETTER SCHEDULED
- 7 COORDINATION. BUT THAT, DIRECTLY, OBVIOUSLY, AFFECTS NOT ONLY
- 8 HAS HELPED BY FARE COORDINATION, BUT IS AFFECTED BY THE
- 9 BENEFITS OF FARE COORDINATION AND I DON'T KNOW IF THERE IS A
- 10 WAY THAT YOU CAN SUMMARIZE, IF WE SUCCEED AT THAT, WHAT
- 11 DIRECTION IT WOULD PUSH SOME OF THESE CHOICES IN. BUT, I COULD
- 12 USE GUIDANCE ON THAT, I AM SURE SOME OF THE DECISION MAKERS
- 13 COULD USE GUIDANCE ON THAT. SORRY. JUST TWO OTHER QUICK
- 14 THOUGHTS. I -- ON THE EQUITY PIECE, I MEAN, THAT'S REALLY
- 15 FUNDAMENTAL TO ALL OF THIS, I FEEL LIKE THERE IS A DANGER OF
- 16 WALKING AWAY FROM OPTIONS THAT, WHILE THEY BENEFIT, YOU KNOW,
- 17 LOWER INCOME RIDERS, THEY MIGHT DISPROPORTIONATELY BENEFIT
- 18 MIDDLE INCOME RIDERS. AND I FEEL LIKE, YOU SAID A LOT ABOUT
- 19 THAT, MORE WAYS TO THINK ABOUT THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL, IN
- 20 PARTICULAR, SINCE IT SEEMS LIKE WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE
- 21 IS, BROADLY, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SOLUTIONS THAT DELIVER MORE
- 22 FOR TRANSIT THAN A LOT OF OTHER INTERVENTIONS THAT WE DO. MORE
- 23 TO GAIN RIDERS THAN CAPITAL INTERVENTIONS MORE TO GAIN RIDERS
- 24 THAN A LOT OF OPERATING INVESTMENTS SO IN THEORY THERE, IS A
- 25 BIGGER PIE TO DEVOTE TO THINGS LIKE MEANS BASED FARES, AND



PACKAGING THIS WITH MEANS BASED FARES IS A GREAT WAY TO DEAL 1 WITH THE EQUITY CONCERNS. HOWEVER YOU CAN GUIDE PEOPLE AWAY 2 3 FROM JUST ASSUMING IF IT'S -- IF IT'S NOT SERVING THE LOW-INCOME PEOPLE BEST, IT'S NOT AN EQUITABLE SOLUTION, I THINK IT 4 5 OPENS UP POSSIBILITIES FOR MORE EQUITABLE SOLUTIONS IF WE'RE COMMITTED TO PACKAGING THINGS PROPERLY. AND, YEAH, LASTLY, 6 MORE MINOR, I JUST -- I TOTALLY APPRECIATE WHY OPTION THREE 7 8 HAS AN UNCERTAIN TIME FRAME, BECAUSE IT TAKES US INTO A VERY INTEGRATED ONGOING CONVERSATION. I THINK A LOT OF PEOPLE FIND 9 IT HARDER TO LET GO OF SOME CONCRETE IMMEDIATE DECISION ABOUT 10 OPTION THREE, IF WE DON'T KNOW MORE FIRMLY, HOW IT'S GOING TO 11 COME BACK. AND I IF THERE IS A WAY TO COMMIT TO A DATE THAT 12 WILL REVIEW THE APPROPRIATENESS OF OPTION THREE ACTION, EVEN 13 IF IT'S JUST TO SAY IT'S NOT READY YET, IT'S EASIER TO KNOW 14 15 THERE IS A CONCRETE DATE THAT WE'RE GOING RECONSIDER. 16 YOU. 17 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: DOES STAFF HAVE A REPLY TO ANY OF THOSE 18 COMMENTS? 19 20 MICHAEL EISEMAN: THANKS FOR THAT JONATHON, THAT'S A LOT OF 21 REALLY INSIGHTFUL COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS THAT WE WANT TO THINK 22 ABOUT WHEN WE BUILD INTO OUR NEXT ITERATION OF THIS. WE HAVE 23 ALREADY TALKED A LOT ALREADY. I WANT TO LEAVE SPACE FOR 24

OTHERS. FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, AND TAKE THIS AS AGENCY STAFF IS,



I WOULD LIKE TO SEE HOW THESE INITIAL STEPS GO. AND, YOU 1 KNOW, HOW THE PILOT GOES, HOW THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THESE 2 3 OTHER MATTERS GO, AND WHAT HAPPENS WITH FUNDING GOVERNANCE, ET CETERA BEFORE MAKING THAT KIND OF COMMITMENT. BUT THERE MAY BE 4 5 OTHER VOICES THAT HAVE DIFFERENT VIEWS. 6 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: I WANT TO WEIGH IN, HAVING TRIED TO 7 8 REFRAIN FROM TALKING BEFORE, WHICH IS, FIRST I HAVE A 9 OUESTION, THEN I HAVE OTHER COMMENTS. THE OUESTION IS, THERE IS A STEP THAT IS RECOMMENDED, AND, AGAIN, I THINK THAT THE 10 INITIAL RECOMMENDATION IN TERMS OF THE INSTITUTIONAL PASSES 11 AND THE FREE TRANSFERS ARE GOOD PLACES TO START. THE OUESTION 12 IS, WITH REGARD TO THE FREE TRANSFERS, IS THERE A SENSE OF, 13 LIKE, HOW THAT WOULD BE FUNDED? OR IS THAT A FUTURE QUESTION? 14 15 GO AHEAD. 16 WILLIAM BACON: IT'S A REALLY IMPORTANT QUESTION. YOU KNOW, I 17 THINK, INITIALLY, THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY THROUGH THE AMERICAN 18 RESCUE PLAN FUNDS TO SUPPORT LAUNCHING SOMETHING LIKE THIS. 19 THINK WE DO OUICKLY, KIND OF, GO BACK TO THE BIGGER ISSUES 20 21 THAT MIKE WAS RAISING A MINUTE AGO, ABOUT WHAT DOES TRANSIT LOOK LIKE IN THE BAY AREA IN 2 TO 3 -- OR, YOU KNOW, IN THREE 22 PLUS YEARS, LET'S PUT IT AT THAT TIME FRAME IN TERMS OF WHAT 23 IS RIDERSHIP, HOW MUCH REVENUE DO WE HAVE TO SUPPORT THE 24

OPERATOR SYSTEM, DO WE HAVE NEW FUNDING TO MODEL OR IMPLEMENT



TO MAKE OUR TRANSIT SERVICE WHAT WE WANT IT TO BE AND TO 1 SUSTAIN IT IN A LONG-TERM. I DON'T THINK ANY OF US ON THIS 2 3 CALL CAN ANSWER WHAT THAT'S GOING TO BE RIGHT NOW. I THINK THERE IS A LOT OF, YOU KNOW, SMART IDEAS, AND INSIGHTS ABOUT 4 5 WHAT IS, MAYBE, MORE LIKELY TO HAPPEN. BUT THAT, WE DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER TO THAT RIGHT NOW, IF WE HAVEN'T HAD A PANDEMIC, I 6 THINK WE WOULD HAVE A BETTER ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION, ADINA, 7 8 ABOUT WHETHER THIS WOULD COST LONG-TERM, HOW DO WE GO FORWARD, BUT I THINK RIGHT NOW BEYOND THREE YEARS IT'S A LITTLE BIT 9 HARD FOR US TO KNOW HOW MUCH WE'LL HAVE TO OPERATE THE SYSTEM. 10 WE'RE SAYING LET'S DO THIS NOW, AND KIND OF PUSH FORWARD, AND 11 KIND OF -- YOU KNOW, CONTINUE TO EVALUATE THIS, AS WE GO 12 FORWARD. 13 14 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: UH-HUH. THANK YOU FOR THAT ANSWER. AND 15 16 NOW FOR A FLURRY OF COMMENTS, WHICH I MIGHT STOP IN THE MIDDLE AND, FOR OTHER PEOPLE TO COMMENT, IF THEY RAISE THEIR HAND OR 17 HAVE THEIR HAND ALREADY RAISED. YEAH, SO, AS I SAID BEFORE, I 18 THINK THAT INCLUDING AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN AN EARLY TIER IS 19 GOOD, HAVING BEEN DOING A LITTLE OUTREACH. I HAVE DONE SOME 20 21 OUTREACH TO AFFORDABLE ORGANIZATIONS, AND TO GO ANYWHERE TRANSIT PASS PROVIDES MORE MOBILITY TO RESIDENTS THAN SAMTRANS 22 OR VTA PASS. AND THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF ENTHUSIASM FOR THAT, 23 AND IN TERMS OF THE PERCEPTION OF BOTH -- BOTH THE PERCEPTION 24

OF THE PROGRAM IS SOMETHING THAT'S HELPFUL FOR EQUITY, AND



- 1 ALSO THE TESTING OF THE DIVERSITY OF PEOPLE WHO WOULD BENEFIT,
- 2 I THINK THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL IN TERMS OF GETTING THE FEEDBACK
- 3 ON THE DIVERSITY OF PEOPLE WHO WOULD BENEFIT, MORE THAN JUST
- 4 TRYING OUT WITH STUDENTS THAT ARE VERY SPECIFIC POPULATION.
- 5 IN TERMS OF SOME OF THE COMMENTS FROM JONATHON AND IAN, AND
- 6 MAYBE SOME OTHER PEOPLE, I THINK THAT THERE ARE, IN TERMS OF
- 7 BOTH THE PASSES, AND THEN -- LIKE, THE PASSES AND THE CAPS,
- 8 PARTICULARLY, AND THEN MORE OF THE TIER FOUR OPTIONS, THERE
- 9 ARE A BUNCH OF ASSUMPTIONS THAT WERE MADE AND TESTED ABOUT
- 10 FARE LEVELS. AND, YOU KNOW, LIKE OTHER BAKED IN ASSUMPTIONS
- 11 ONCE YOU GET IT MODELED, BUT TO SAY ONCE YOU TEST THIS OTHER
- 12 THING THAT MIGHT REVEAL A LITTLE BIT MORE. SO I THINK THAT FOR
- 13 THINGS THAT ARE NOT BEING IMPLEMENTED IMMEDIATELY, RATHER THAN
- 14 TAKE THINGS OFF THE TABLE THAT ARE NOT, LIKE, OBVIOUSLY
- 15 TERRIBLE, THEY'RE -- I THINK THAT THERE ARE OPPORTUNITIES TO
- 16 DO A LITTLE BIT MORE TESTING OF SOME OF THE, LIKE, FARE
- 17 LEVELS, AND ZONE SIZES, AND WHAT NOT. TO BE ABLE TO REALLY
- 18 ASSESS THE BENEFITS OF THEM. BECAUSE, LIKE I THINK THAT THERE
- 19 ARE A NUMBER OF THINGS WHERE, I FEEL IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO
- 20 TAKE THEM OFF OF THE TABLE. LIKE, BEFORE MORE ASSESSMENT OF
- 21 THAT, AND IN PARTICULAR, THE QUESTIONS THAT ARE ABOUT THE
- 22 LEVEL. SUBSIDY NEEDED. WHERE YOU HAVE SOMETHING THAT HAS A
- 23 PRETTY HIGH BENEFIT, BUT ALSO A HIGHER COST. I DON'T THINK
- 24 THAT -- I THINK IT WOULD BE BETTER TO ADDRESS THAT KIND OF A
- 25 QUESTION, LIKE, THAT IS A BROADER POLICY QUESTION TO THINK



- 1 ABOUT IN TERMS OF OVERALL SPENDING ON OUR TRANSIT SYSTEM AND
- 2 WHAT'S WORTH DOING IT. SO IF WE HAVE SOMETHING WHERE, INSTEAD
- 3 OF, LIKE IF WE WERE WANTING TO BE, SAY, UNIFYING LOCAL FARES,
- 4 BUT MAKING IT AT \$1, WHICH WOULD BE EXPENSIVE -- THAT SHOULD
- 5 BE A QUESTION TO BE CONSIDERED, KIND OF, YOU KNOW, IN A
- 6 BROADER CONTEXT ABOUT WHAT PEOPLE ARE WILLING TO SPEND MONEY
- 7 FOR. SO FOR THINGS THAT REQUIRE FUTURE MONEY, LIKE, I THINK IT
- 8 WOULD BE GOOD TO CONSIDER IN TERMS OF VALUE AND COMPARATIVE
- 9 VALUE TO OTHER IMPROVEMENTS TO THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM. WITH
- 10 REGARD TO WHAT MEMBER KASS SAID IN TERMS OF CONTINUITY AND THE
- 11 TIMELINE, I THINK IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO THINK ABOUT ITEMS THAT
- 12 ARE NOT BEING DONE IMMEDIATELY, LIKE, WHAT ARE THE OTHER
- 13 STEPS, PARTICULARLY IN THE CONTEXT OF THE TRANSFORMATION
- 14 ACTION PLAN, AND THE POTENTIAL FOR FUTURE FUNDING. WHAT
- 15 ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION SHOULD BE DONE IN THE
- 16 CONTEXT OF WHAT WE DO AS A REGION WANT TO FUND. I THINK THAT
- 17 WOULD BE HELPFUL TO NOT HAVE THINGS SIT ON A SHELF AND MOULDER
- 18 AND REALLY TIE THINGS INTO THAT ARE REALLY SHORT-TERM TO
- 19 MEDIUM TERM THINGS TO THE TRANSFORMATION ACTION PLAN FROM THE
- 20 BLUE RIBBON TASK FORCE. ONE THING THAT I AM NOT SEEING IN HERE
- 21 YET BUT I WONDER WHETHER IT MAKES SENSE TO FIT IN SOMEWHERE IS
- 22 THERE WERE SOME ELEMENTS ABOUT THE BENEFITS OF STANDARDIZED
- 23 WAYFINDING THAT DEPENDED ON FARE STRUCTURE, AND THERE WERE
- 24 PIECES -- THE MORE COMPREHENSIVE BITS OF THE WAYFINDING
- 25 RECOMMENDATION, THERE WERE THINGS THAT SAID THAT, LIKE, THAT



- 1 HAVE HUGE BENEFITS BUT WE COULDN'T RECOMMEND THEM BECAUSE THEY
- 2 DEPEND ON THE FARE STUDY. SO I WOULD LOVE TO SEE THOSE
- 3 BENEFITS REPRESENTED HERE, FIGURE OUT WHERE THEY FIT, AND THEN
- 4 SHOW THOSE BENEFITS TO THAT LEVEL OF WAYFINDING. BECAUSE I
- 5 THINK THOSE THINGS, LIKE, INTERSECT WITH EACH OTHER. AND --
- 6 LET ME SEE IF I HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS THAT I CAN DECIPHER
- 7 HERE, NOT AT -- JUST ONE MORE THING, IN TERMS OF, THERE IS
- 8 DIFFERENT LEVELS OF INSTITUTIONAL CHANGE IDENTIFIED, CERTAINLY
- 9 IN TERMS OF STANDARDIZING REGIONAL FARES AND GOING BEYOND
- 10 THAT, WHERE, I WANTED TO REPORT, AS A MEMBER OF THE POLICY
- 11 ADVISORY COUNCIL THAT WE HAVE PRETTY CONSISTENTLY SAID THAT WE
- 12 ARE OKAY WITH RECOMMENDING CHANGE THAT PROVIDES BENEFIT TO
- 13 RIDERS, AND THE EXISTENCE OF CHANGE SHOULDN'T BE A REASON NOT
- 14 TO GO AHEAD WITH SOMETHING THAT DOES HAVE BENEFIT FOR RIDERS
- 15 AND I'LL SAY ONE MORE THING AND THEN OPEN IT UP TO PEOPLE. IN
- 16 TERMS OF EQUITY, WE DO KNOW NOW, THAT WE SEE, OBSERVE, THAT
- 17 MOST LOCAL TRANSIT RIDERS ARE LOW-INCOME PEOPLE OF COLOR, AND
- 18 LOW-INCOME PEOPLE OF COLOR MOSTLY USE TRANSIT FOR SHORT LOCAL
- 19 TRIPS. BUT WHAT WE'RE ALSO SEEING AND WHAT I SEE AS AN
- 20 ADVOCATE WHEN I AM IN CONVERSATION WITH PEOPLE IN DIFFERENT
- 21 COMMUNITIES AROUND THE REGION, LIKE IN TALKING TO LUNA IN EAST
- 22 SAN JOSE, PEOPLE SAYING, THEY'RE -- WE WANT BETTER ACCESS TO
- 23 THE REGIONAL TRANSIT TO BART AND TO CALTRAIN. SO WE WANT MORE
- 24 ACCESS THAN WE GET RIGHT NOW FROM THE SYSTEM. AND SOMEONE WAS
- 25 HAVING A CONVERSATION WITH THE BAYVIEW-HUNTERS POINT ADVOCATES



- 1 WHO GAVE US ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE COMMUNITY-
- 2 BASED TRANSPORTATION PLAN THAT THEY WANT BETTER ACCESS TO
- 3 REGIONAL TRANSIT TO BART AND CALTRAIN AND THAT INCLUDES
- 4 SCHEDULES AND ACCESS AND OTHER ELEMENTS SO PEOPLE WHO USE
- 5 TRANSIT FOR SHORT LOCAL TRIPS THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN
- 6 THAT'S ALL PEOPLE WANT AND I WANT TO ENCOURAGE INCLUDING THAT
- 7 STUDY IS THAT OPENS UP THE POSSIBILITY TO THINK ABOUT OPENING
- 8 UP NOT JUST SUPPORTING THE WAY PEOPLE TAKE TRIPS NOW BUT
- 9 OPENING UP OPPORTUNITIES TO WHAT PEOPLE WANT. THANK YOU FOR
- 10 TAKING THOSE COMMENTS. CHRISTINA GOTUACO HAS HAD HER HAND UP
- 11 FOR A LONG TIME.

- 13 CHRISTINA GOTUACO: TWO COMMENTS. WHAT AY DINA MENTIONS LIKE
- 14 WHAT ARE PEOPLE WILLING TO PAY FOR, IF YOU GO TO THE AIRPORT
- 15 AND YOU HAVE SOMEONE DROP YOU OFF, YOU ENDS UP USING RIDE-
- 16 SHARE, TRANSIT IS MORE EXPENSIVE TO THE AIRPORT, THERE'S A
- 17 PREMIUM ON TOP OF IT COMPARED TO SEATTLE FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU
- 18 WERE USING TRAIN PASS, THE SAME STANDARD FARE AS GOING
- 19 ANYWHERE ELSE THINKING OF BEING TALKING ABOUT CALIFORNIA AS A
- 20 MEGA REGION, MAKING IT MORE CONVENIENT FOR PEOPLE, SFO,
- 21 OAKLAND AIRPORT, HIGH SPEED RAIL STATIONS, BASICALLY JUST
- 22 BETTER CONNECTING PEOPLE TO BOTH INSIDE AND OUTSIDE OF THE BAY
- 23 AREA. NOT TO MAKE YOUR PRESENTATION LONGER, BUT I THINK IT
- 24 WOULD BE NICE TO SEE OPTIONS, VISUALIZATION OR PERSONA OF A
- 25 REAL PERSON TAKING A TRIP, LIKE, TRYING TO GET FROM LIVERMORE



TO SAN FRANCISCO, LIKE YOU HAVE TO TODAY LAFTA TO BART, AND I 1 THINK ONE THING THAT WAS NOT REALLY MENTIONED AT ALL IN THIS 2 3 PRESENTATION WAS TOURISTS TOURISM, AND ALSO RIDERS WITH DISABILITIES ADDING IN THE PERSONA WOULD BE A GOOD WAY TO 4 5 MENTION THOSE PEOPLE, THAT THIS IS NOT ABOUT FARES IT'S NOT NECESSARILY ABOUT SPECIFIC TARGETING BUT JUST MAKE MENTION 6 SOMETIME IN THE PRESENTATION. AND I THINK MY LAST COMMENT IS, 7 8 I WORK IN AFFORDABLE HOUSING AT THE CALIFORNIA HOUSING PARTNERSHIP AND PREVIOUSLY AT CBH -- [INDISCERNIBLE] SO IF YOU 9 10 NEED OUTREACH IN THAT DIRECTION, I CAN SUPPORT OR CONNECT. 11 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. AND MOVING ON TO TISHA DEE 12 HARTMAN. 13 14 TISHA DEE HARTMAN: THANK YOU. I WANTED TO ADDRESS, STAFF HAD 15 16 POSED A QUESTION ON METTICS AND MEASURES OF SUCCESS IN EQUITY IMPLEMENTATION. I WANTED TO GIVE FEEDBACK ON THAT. METRIC ONE, 17 AND OF COURSE THIS WOULD BE, HOW YOU DO THIS LOGISTICALLY I 18 THINK THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE SOME WAY TO MEASURE THIS DATA 19 THAT MIGHT INCORPORATE SOME CHANGE BUT CHANGE IN RIDERSHIP 20 BROKEN DOWN BY DEMOGRAPHIC, STUDENTS, SENIORS, DISABLED, 21 ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED, THOSE ON EBT, UNEMPLOYMENT IN A 22 METRIC AND METRIC TWO, RIDERSHIP RELATION TO THE LOCAL 23 POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS, FINDING THAT REACH CAN MEASURE YOUR 24

REACHING POPULATION GROWTH IN YOUR RIDERSHIP AND BIO METRICS



- 1 FOR SUCCESS IN EQUITY IMPLEMENTATION AND I THINK ADDING THAT,
- 2 AFFORDABILITY AND ACCESS HAVE TO BE ONE COMPONENT, MY
- 3 GRANDMOTHER WHO WANTS TO GET TO CHURCH, AND SHE CAN'T DRIVE,
- 4 SHE'S GOT TO BE ABLE TO GET TO THAT BUS STOP, HOW EASY IS IT
- 5 FOR THEM TO GET TO THESE LOCATIONS AND HOW EASY IS IT TO
- 6 NAVIGATE AND BUY THAT PARTICULATE. YOU HAVE TO PUT A LOT OF
- 7 TIME AND THOUGHT INTO YOUR FOCUS GROUPS AND SENDING OUT ON
- 8 DEMOGRAPHICS AND REALLY GETTING INTO THE NITTY GRITTY AND
- 9 GRANULAR OF EACH OF THOSE THINGS AND AFFORDABILITY ACCESS FOR
- 10 EACH OF THOSE AND DEFINING AND CREATING MEASURING EQUITY
- 11 IMPLEMENTATION. AND WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT THE ROLL OUT OF
- 12 PROGRAMS AND COST AND HOW WE'RE GOING TO MAKE THAT LEAP IN
- 13 TERMS OF GETTING SUBSIDIES, WHEN I LOOK AT DECREASE IN VMT,
- 14 VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED, I THINK THERE IS A LOT OF OPPORTUNITY
- 15 THERE BOTH WITH OUR GOVERNOR BOTH IN SUBSIDIES AND OTHER
- 16 ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE LOOKING TO DRIVE EXACTLY THAT. WE WANT
- 17 A MORE ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY STATE, AND SO THESE
- 18 INITIATIVES HERE, YOU LOOK AT INITIATIVE SIX, THESE ARE
- 19 SUBSTANTIAL DECREASES IN VMT, AND WE HAVE TO FIND A WAY AS A
- 20 TEAM TO LEAN INTO THAT AND TO REACH OUT AND TO GET THE SUPPORT
- 21 FROM THOSE ORGANIZATIONS WHO WILL NOT ONLY BACK US UP WHEN WE
- 22 GO TO THE GOVERNOR WHEN WE LOOK AT THESE SUBSIDIES BUT ALSO TO
- 23 THE ORGANIZATIONS TO PUT THEIR MONEY WHERE THEIR MOUTH IS.
- 24 THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS.



ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANKS. RICH HEDGES? 1 2 3 RICHARD HEDGES: JUST A FINAL COMMENT. I JUST WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT THE ISSUES OF EQUITY ARE VERY IMPORTANT. AND THE COMMENTS 4 5 WHICH WERE MADE, I AGREE WITH, MOST LIKELY WITH THE HIGHEST EOUITY FROM LOCAL TO LOCAL TO REGIONAL. BUT I'M ALSO 6 INTERESTED IN GETTING RIDERSHIP BACK SO I AM INTEREST SAID IN 7 8 A MODEL THAT WILL DO THAT, NOT JUST EQUITY. I DON'T WANT TO OFFEND ANYBODY, BUT ONE OF THE MAIN ISSUES WITH RIDERS IS 9 PEOPLE FEELING SAFE AND WE HAVE TO CONVINCE THEM THAT IT'S 10 SAFE. QUITE FRANKLY IT'S VERY SAFE TO GET VACCINATED AND IF 11 YOU FEEL UNCOMFORTABLE, AT LEAST WEAR A MASK, AND MAYBE WE DO 12 SOME SPOTS ON THAT. BUT WE ALSO HAVE ANOTHER ELEPHANT IN THE 13 ROOM THAT MTC WAS BEGINNING TO TAKE ON BEFORE THE PANDEMIC, 14 15 AND THAT'S ALSO SAFETY. I HAVE ALWAYS FELT SAFE ON PUBLIC 16 TRANSIT, EXCEPT FOR A COUPLE OF INSTANCES WHERE I HAD 17 UNLEASHED PIT BULLS IN THE SAME CAR I WAS IN, BUT, THERE IS A FEELING, ESPECIALLY WITH PEOPLE THAT AREN'T NORMALLY RIDING 18 TRANSIT EVERY DAY, THAT THEY'RE UNSAFE, AND THERE IS SOME 19 REASONS THEY MIGHT FEEL THAT WAY. WE HAVE GOT TO GET OVER THAT 20 21 TOO. AND, SO, I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWERS TO THESE, BUT I WANT TRANSIT TO WORK. I WOULD MUCH PREFER TAKING TRANSIT EVERYWHERE 22 I GO THAN DRIVING. IT MAKES THE PLANET CLEANER. THIS IS THE 23 FIRST STEP BUT WE HAVE THIS HURDLE TO TALK ABOUT AND THERE IS 24



THE COUNCIL TO FIGURE IT OUT. THAT'S MY END OF PREACHING FOR 1 2 TODAY. 3 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: WENDI KALLINS. 4 5 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: IT'S BEEN ENCOURAGING LISTENING TO 6 WHAT OTHER PEOPLE HAVE TO SAY. JUST, YOU KNOW, THE NOTION, 7 8 THIS IS, AGAIN, AROUND EQUITY, AND, DO WE WANT TO FOCUS ON LOW-INCOME RIDERS WHEN -- AND I THINK THAT WE WANT TO MAKE THE 9 10 EXPERIENCE BENEFICIAL FOR THE LOW-INCOME RIDERS, AND I THINK THEY'RE THE ONES WHO NEED THE SUBSIDIES THE MOST, BUT WHEN IT 11 COMES TO ATTRACTING NEW RIDERS, I THINK WE WILL FIND MOST OF 12 THE NEW RIDERS WE'RE TRYING TO ATTRACT ARE GOING TO BE MIDDLE 13 AND UPPER INCOME, AND MOSTLY MIDDLE INCOME PEOPLE. AND I'M NOT 14 15 SURE HOW MUCH, ESPECIALLY FOR THE UPPER INCOME PEOPLE, THE 16 SUBSIDIES MATTER MORE THAN FREQUENCY THAN CONVENIENCE. SO THAT'S SOMETHING THAT I THINK WE WOULD NEED TO JUGGLE ON THAT 17 THE OTHER THING WAS JUST ABOUT, YOU KNOW, THE 18 PRESENTATION ITSELF. AND IT'S A HECK OF A LOT OF WORK, AND A 19 LOT OF INFORMATION, AND YOU HAVE TO BE QUITE A WONK TO REALLY 20 UNDERSTAND A LOT OF WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. I'M NOT THAT 21 MUCH WONK MYSELF, AND I THINK FOR PEOPLE WE REALLY NEED SOME 22 TOP-LINE CONCLUSIONS THAT SUMMARIZES THINGS WHERE YOU HAVE A 23

REPORT THAT DRILLS DOWN INTO WHERE THAT INFORMATION CAME FROM,

AND WHERE THE DECISIONS CAME FROM, BUT IN THE PRESENTATION,

24



- 1 ITSELF, STAY WITH, SORT OF, A MORE GENERAL CONCLUSIONS, AND
- 2 THE PEOPLE WHO ARE WONKY ENOUGH, THEY'RE GOING TO GO AND LOOK
- 3 AT THE REPORT AND DIG DOWN INTO THE DETAILS, SO THAT WOULD BE
- 4 A RECOMMENDATION I WOULD MAKE.

5

- 6 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: I SEE IAN GRIFFITHS HAS A HAND. I WANT TO
- 7 TAKE PRIVILEGE SO THEY DON'T WANT FORGET TO ASK STAFF IF THEY
- 8 HAVE A SUBSET OF THE TRANSIT AGENCY MEETINGS IF THEY COULD BE
- 9 SHARED, LIKE, EVEN TODAY, THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL, LIKE, WITH IT
- 10 DOESN'T NEED TO BE IN SPECIAL FORMATTING OR COLOR, IT MATTERS
- 11 WHAT ARE MEETING ARE, AND WHATEVER YOU HAVE TO SHARE THEM.
- 12 IAN GRIFFITHS?

13

- 14 IAN GRIFFITHS: QUESTION THEN COMMENT. ON SLIDE FIVE, THE
- 15 DELIVERY AND OPERATION MENTIONED, THERE IS A COLUMN THAT SAYS
- 16 CUSTOMERS AND YOU CAN EXPLAIN TO ME -- I THINK I SEE
- 17 EVALUATION -- BUT WHAT DOES THAT COLUMN MEAN? WHAT IS THAT
- 18 ASSESSING? IF YOU CAN KNOW WHAT I'M REFERRING TO ON SLIDE 39?

19

- 20 MICHAEL EISEMAN: SORRY FOLKS. THAT IS IMPACT -- LIKE, CHANGE
- 21 MANAGEMENT REQUIRED, OR CHANGES REQUIRED FOR EXISTING
- 22 CUSTOMERS. SO, IF A LOT OF CUSTOMERS HAVE TO LEARN A NEW
- 23 SYSTEM THEN THAT WOULD BE HIGH.





- 1 IAN GRIFFITHS: YEAH. I THINK THIS -- I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE --
- 2 I THINK THIS IS A PRETTY UNFAIR ASSESSMENT THAT IS -- YOU'RE
- 3 BASICALLY SAYING THIS IS FURTHER FROM THE STATUS QUO, AND
- 4 THEREFORE, THE ONES WHO ARE CLOSE TO THE STATUS OUO ARE THE
- 5 STRONGER PERFORMERS, AND THE FARTHER AWAY ARE THE ONES --
- 6 WHERE YOU GREENS AND REDS SHOW UP, I DON'T THINK -- I THINK
- 7 THAT'S PRETTY UNFAIR. IT'S LIKE -- YOU'RE UNFAIRLY PUNISHING
- 8 PEOPLE FOR STATUS QUO. I DON'T KNOW WHAT VALUE THAT PROVIDES
- 9 OTHER THAN REMINDING PEOPLE THAT OPTIONS 4, 5, AND 6 ARE MORE
- 10 CHANGE. BUT, AGAIN, IT WOULD SUGGEST, BASED ON WHAT WE KNOW IN
- 11 OTHER PARTS OF THE WORLD THAT THESE ARE ACTUALLY MORE POPULAR
- 12 AMONG CUSTOMERS, IF THEY'RE WORKING SO WELL IN OTHER PLACES.
- 13 SO, A COUPLE OF OTHER COMMENTS. I HAVE BROUGHT THIS UP BEFORE,
- 14 BUT SOMEWHERE IN -- IT FEELS APPROPRIATE IF SOMEWHERE IN --
- 15 YOU HAVE FOUR DIFFERENT ASPECTS OF THE BUSINESS CASE,
- 16 DIFFERENT LENSES AS YOU LOOK AT IT, THERE IS A BENEFIT OF
- 17 THESE MORE COMPREHENSIVE OPTIONS IN THAT THEY ENABLE GREATER
- 18 AND DEEPER INTEGRATION IN AREAS THAT GO BEYOND FARES. THE OF
- 19 COOLING OF FARE REVENUE AND THE, SORT OF, FINANCIAL FATE OF
- 20 EACH AGENCY ACTUALLY ENABLES MUCH MORE RAPID DECISION MAKING
- 21 AND COLLECTIVE DECISION MAKING THAT CAN FOCUS ON, LIKE, THE
- 22 RIDER, LIKE THE PIECE OF ONE RIDER AT THE END OF THE DAY AS
- 23 OPPOSED TO DIFFERENT SETS OF RIDERS. ADINA INDICATED THE
- 24 SYNERGIES DELIVERING BETTER WAY FINDING AND UNIFIED BRANDING.
- 25 IF YOU GO AND STANDARDIZE ALL FARES, YOU DO NOT NEED AN





- 1 INDIVIDUAL TRANSIT BRAND ANYMORE. YOU GO FROM 27 TRANSIT
- 2 BRANDS TO HAVING ONE. AND, AGAIN, THIS IS WHERE, IF YOU WERE
- 3 TO PRESENT THE USER EXPERIENCE RESEARCH IN SUCH A WAY WHERE
- 4 IT'S TO SAY, HERE IS ALL THESE FARE POLICIES AND THE OVERLAY
- 5 THAT'S WITH EXTREMELY SIMPLIFIED BRANDING SYSTEMS, AND
- 6 EXTREMELY SIMPLIFIED FARE TABLE, LIKE THEN I WOULD IMAGINE YOU
- 7 WOULD SEE SIGNIFICANTLY MORE USERS SAYING, OH MY GOSH, YEAH
- 8 THAT IS WAY MORE SIMPLE. I'M NOT ACTUALLY -- I DON'T --
- 9 [INDISCERNIBLE] 25 ZONES THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATUS OUO,
- 10 THAT IS HOW IT WOULD BE PRESENTED TO ME AS A USER. SO I FEEL
- 11 LIKE THAT SHOULD BE THAT KIND OF COBENEFIT, ENABLING DEEPER
- 12 INTEGRATION OF COBENEFITS WITH, YOU KNOW, OTHER KIND OF GOALS
- 13 OF -- YOU KNOW, OTHER -- AS AN ENABLER OF OTHER POLICY OF --
- 14 THAT OUGHT TO BE A COLUMN SOMEWHERE IN A ROW OR IN THE TABLE
- 15 ASSESSMENTS. AND I THINK WHERE THE OPTION THAT DO REQUIRE
- 16 INSTITUTIONAL CHANGE AND COLLECTIVE DECISION MAKING WOULD
- 17 SCORE BETTER ON THE CRITERIA, AND TO MAINTAIN THE STATUS QUO
- 18 AND 20,000 CLIPPER RULES WHATEVER THEY ARE LIKE WE SHOULD BE
- 19 REFLECTED ON THE WEEKLY CRITERIA. FOR ME, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE,
- 20 GOING BACK TO OPTION THREE, YOU KNOW, OR THE TIER THREE, I
- 21 MEAN, LET'S JUST SAY THE RECOMMENDATION IS, ADOPT A REGION
- 22 BASED SYSTEM LIKE LET THE RECOMMENDATION BE LET'S CONTINUE TO
- 23 EVALUATE THESE. YOU'RE SAYING THEY PROVIDE BENEFIT. I THINK
- 24 THE RECOMMENDATION SAY, LET'S DO IT, LET'S CONTINUE TO
- 25 EVALUATE WHICH OF THESE OPTIONS OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS SHOULD



- 1 BE THAT WE ADOPTED. I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT BECAUSE THERE IS
- 2 A LOT OF REFERRING BACK AND FORTH BETWEEN THE BLUE RIBBON TASK
- 3 FORCE AND THE FARE INTEGRATION TASK FORCE -- LIKE, STUD E
- 4 WHERE THEY'RE SAYING, WELL WE'RE GOING TO LISTEN TO WHAT THE
- 5 FARE INTEGRATION STUDIES, AND THE FARE INTEGRATION STUDY IS
- 6 SAYING WE'RE GOING TO LISTEN TO THE CONVERSATIONS OF THE BLUE
- 7 RIBBON TASK FORCE. I THINK I WOULD PREFER THE RECOMMENDATION
- 8 FROM THE TASK FORCE TO THE BOARD SHOULD BE WE SEE THE VALUE IN
- 9 THREE WE KNOW THERE IS MORE ANALYSIS THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE
- 10 WITH THE RECOMMENDATION, AND SHOULD BE STRONGER THAN IT IS
- 11 RIGHT NOW. AND THIS IS MY FINAL COMMENT. ON THE TRIP BASE
- 12 VERSUS PRICE BASED CAP ISSUE, I'M HAVING TROUBLE UNDERSTANDING
- 13 -- FIRST OF ALL, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT EXISTS ANYWHERE, MAYBE
- 14 YOU CAN CLARIFY IT, IF THERE IS AN EXAMPLE OF WHERE THAT
- 15 EXISTS, LIKE, I KNOW PRICES CAP I'M NOT SURE WHEREBY A REGION
- 16 WHERE YOU WOULD HAVE SUCH CAPS WHERE YOU WOULD HAVE SUCH
- 17 VARIATION IN THE DIFFERENT PRICES OF INDIVIDUAL TRIPS THAT
- 18 WOULD MAKE THAT CAP. I HAVE A COUPLE OF CONCERNS IN THE
- 19 STRATEGY OVERLAYING THAT TRIP BASED CAP ON OUR CURRENT SYSTEM
- 20 ONE IS IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY PROVIDE PREDICTABILITY TO THE
- 21 RIDER AS TO HOW MUCH THEY'RE GOING TO PAY IN A MONTH IS THE
- 22 POINT OF A PRICE CAP. IF YOU ARE BEING CAPPED AT 35 TRIPS AND
- 23 THOSE FIRST 35 TRIPS ARE \$8 A TRIP, THEN YOU'RE PAYING A LOT
- 24 MORE MONEY A MONTH THAN IF THE FIRST TRIPS YOU TAKE ARE \$2
- 25 MONTH. THAT DOESN'T MEET THE CRITERIA PREDICTABILITY. I WOULD



1	ALSO IMAGINE TO IT LEADING TO INN PREDICTABLE BEHAVIOR OF
2	PEOPLE TRYING TO MAKE SURE THEIR FIRST 35 TRIPS ARE ALL ON THE
3	CHEAPEST SYSTEMS AND FOR THE REST OF THE MONTH USING THE MOST
4	EXPENSIVE TRIP, LIKE SHIFTING BEHAVIOR IN WAYS LIKE THEY'RE
5	JUST TRYING TO SAVE MONEY I DON'T THINK THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN
6	EFFECTIVE AT THE END OF THE DAY. AND THE PRICE BASED CAP
7	VERSUS TRIP BASED CAP IT DOES INTERSECT, IT SEEMS LIKE THERE
8	IS A PRICE BASED CAP AND KIND OF HAS ITS OWN BASE SYSTEM OR
9	HAVING MORE LIKE, IF YOU HAVE OUR EXISTING SYSTEM THEN IT'S
10	LIKE, THEN YOU CAN'T HAVE A A PRICE BASED CAP HAS A
11	BIGGER IMPLICATION LIKE IF YOU HAD A PASS THERE ARE CERTAIN
12	ZONES FOR THE POTENTIAL SOUTH BAY EAST BAY NORTH BAY AND THAT
13	BECOMES ANY TRIPS WITHIN THAT SUBREGION THE WAY YOU HAVE THAT,
14	FOR, LIKE, OPTIONS 6, AND 5, POTENTIALLY E THEN I WOULD
15	IMAGINE THE RELATIVELY THE RELATIVE EXTRA COST OF THE PRICE
16	BASE LOWER, BECAUSE YOU GET MORE CONTROL OVER WHAT THAT WOULD
17	BE. I DON'T KNOW HOW THAT COULD BE REFLECTED. AND DESPITE ALL
18	MY COMMENTS, THANK YOU. I WANT TO EXPRESS THAT, THANK YOU FOR
19	ALL THE HARD WORK. THIS IS REALLY INTERESTING WORK AND I'M
20	JUST TRYING TO FOCUS ON THE THINGS
21	
22	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: YES. THANK YOU. I DO WANT TO TAKE PUBLIC
23	COMMENT BEFORE WE HEAR BACK FROM DOES ANYBODY HAVE

COMMENTS? I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM ROLAND WHO HAS A HAND.

This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

24



- 1 SPEAKER: THANK YOU. LET ME HELP A LITTLE BIT HERE, PLEASE
- 2 GOOGLE LONDON, AS YOU GO CAPS, AND YOU WILL LEARN A LOT ABOUT
- 3 CAPS, EITHER DAILY OR WEEKLY. I REALLY WANT TO THANK BILL AND
- 4 MIKE FOR BRINGING SOME COMMON SENSE AND SANITY TO THE SEAMLESS
- 5 CONVERSATION. I HAVE A QUICK COMMENT AND A COUPLE OF
- 6 QUESTIONS. THE COMMENT BILL MADE THAT VTA [INDISCERNIBLE] I
- 7 WANT TO YOU CONSIDER THAT VTA, IF YOU PAY TWO AND A HALF
- 8 BUCKS, AND YOU PAY, AND YOU USE THREE TRANSFERS, YOU CAN
- 9 TRAVEL FROM GILROY TO PALO ALTO, WHICH IS OVER 50 MILES FOR
- 10 TWO AND A HALF BUCKS, WHICH IS JUST UNDER \$0.05 A MILE, I
- 11 WOULD LIKE TO KNOW OF ANOTHER AGENCY IN THE BAY AREA THAT CAN
- 12 DO THIS. AND, SO THE FIRST QUESTION I HAVE GOT, IT'S WHEN YOU
- 13 LOOK AT THE COMPLEXITY OF THE ALGORITHMS, IN CLIPPER TWO, IS
- 14 WHETHER YOU'RE ALSO KEEPING IN LINE ON THE GPS STANDARD AND
- 15 WHETHER IT WOULD BE ABLE TO HANDLE THAT KIND OF COMPLEXITY,
- 16 AND IF NOT IT MAYBE WOULD BE A GOOD TIME TO REACH OUT TO
- 17 GOOGLE. AND THE REASON I BRING THIS TO YOUR ATTENTION, I AND
- 18 PEOPLE WHO COME FROM A BROAD, WHEN THEY ACTUALLY -- BEFORE
- 19 EVEN GETTING ON THE PLANE, THEY CLICK ON MAPS AND THEY KNOW
- 20 WHERE THAT I WANT TO GO, AND THEY'RE INTERESTED IN TWO THINGS,
- 21 HOW LONG THE TRIP IS GOING TO TAKE AND HOW MUCH IT'S GOING TO
- 22 COST, AND THAT REALLY IS IMPORTANT. BECAUSE RIGHT NOW, GOOGLE
- 23 IS NOT WORKING FOR ME, WHEN I ASK GOOGLE HOW MUCH IS IT GOING
- 24 TO COST FOR ME TO GO TO SAN FRANCISCO, FOR INSTANCE. THE OTHER
- 25 QUESTION I HAVE, AND I MAY HAVE ASKED THIS QUESTION BEFORE, IS



WHETHER HIGH SPEED RAIL AND CAPITAL CORRIDOR ARE IN THE 1 PICTURE. AND IF NOT, WHY NOT? THANK YOU. 2 3 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: AND IF STAFF COULD -- IF THERE IS TIME FOR 4 5 STAFF TO ADDRESS ANY FACTUAL QUESTIONS ON THAT, THAT WOULD BE 6 WELCOME. 7 8 MICHAEL EISEMAN: CAPITAL CORRIDOR IS NOT INCLUDED, MOSTLY BECAUSE WE WERE JUST TRYING TO STICK TO A MANAGEABLE SCOPE. 9 10 CLERK OF THE BOARD: AND WE RECEIVED NO CORRESPONDENCE BY THE 11 NOON DEADLINE. 12 13 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND I SEE THAT 14 15 CHRISTINA GOTUACO HAS HAD A HAND. DO WE HAVE TIME TO TAKE THAT 16 LAST COMMENT FROM MEMBER GOTUACO? CHRISTINA. 17 18 CHRISTINA GOTUACO: YEAH. I FORGOT. I WANTED TO COMMENT EARLIER, IF YOU COULD JUST LOOK BACK AT YOUR PRESENTATION AND 19 SEE IN TERMS OF PEOPLE BEING REPRESENTED IN ONE OF THE 20 COLUMNS, PER IAN'S COMMENT, IF THERE IS A USER EXPERIENCE 21 22 VERSUS A -- AN EQUITY IMPACT, SOMETIMES I THINK THEY GOT 23 PUNCHED INTO THE SAME COLUMN AND THAT IS KIND OF CAUSING CONFUSION, IS IT HIGH OR LOW AND MAYBE THERE IS A WAY TO 24 SEPARATE OUT THE EXPERIENCED RIDERS, AND USERS IN TERMS OF 25



- 1 EXPERIENCED RIDERS IN TERMS OF EQUITY IMPACTS IT MIGHT LAY OUT
- 2 DIFFERENTLY IN THE COLOR CODING. AND KIND OF TO WENDI'S
- 3 COMMENT, EARLIER, I THINK ESSENTIAL AND NON-ESSENTIAL WORKERS
- 4 ARE TWO GROUPS THAT WE MIGHT ALSO WANT TO CONSIDER THINKING
- 5 ABOUT IN THAT ESSENTIAL IS THE ONE THAT MAYBE STILL BE USING
- 6 TRANSIT A LOT MORE BECAUSE THEY'RE COMMUTERS OF THE FUTURE.

- 8 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANKS. I WANT TO TOSS IN A LAST
- 9 PIGGYBACKING ON TOP OF THE COMMENT ON THE PRICE BASED CAP
- 10 VERSUS THE TRIP-BASED CAP. I HAVE THE SAME CONFUSION ABOUT THE
- 11 TRIP-BASED CAP. WHO KNOWS IF YOU'RE TAKING 30 TRIPS VERSUS 26
- 12 TRIPS I WAS WONDERING IF THERE WAS A WAY TO ACTUALLY TWEAK THE
- 13 LEVELS SO THAT IF WE HAD A PRICE-BASED CAP THAT WAS AT, YOU
- 14 KNOW, ONE HUNDREDS 50, \$160 FOR ALL AGENCIES, AND THEN DID A
- 15 MEANS-BASED DISCOUNT, DOWN, TO LIKE, \$50 FOR VERY LOW-INCOME
- 16 PEOPLE, LIKE, WOULD WE GET THE BENEFITS OF THE RIDERSHIP
- 17 BENEFITS OF THE -- YOU KNOW, AS WELL AS EQUITY BENEFITS? I
- 18 THINK THAT BEAR SOME DIFFERENT LEVER THERE IS TO PULL ON THAT.
- 19 WITH THAT, I'M NOT SEEING ANY MORE HANDS FROM MEMBERS OF THIS
- 20 BODY, OR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. I REALLY WANTED TO THANK STAFF
- 21 AND THE CONSULTANTS FOR DOING THIS REALLY VERY IMPORTANT
- 22 PROJECT AND, YOU KNOW, LOOKING FORWARD TO THE FEEDBACK PROCESS
- 23 TO TAKE US TO THE DECISIONS THAT HOPEFULLY INCLUDE THE INITIAL
- 24 RECOMMENDATIONS OF THIS. TERRIFIC. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND
- 25 HAVE A GOOD EVENING.



1 2 CLERK OF THE BOARD: REGULAR OTHER ITEMS BEFORE YOU SIGN OFF, 3 PLEASE. 4 5 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: I'M SORRY. 6 7 CLERK OF THE BOARD: OTHER AND BUSINESS. 8 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: HERE. HANG ON. LET ME NAVIGATE BACK TO THE 9 10 AGENDA HERE. YEAH. ON NEW BUSINESS, MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE MAY BRING UP NEW BUSINESS FOR DISCUSSION OR 11 ADDITION TO A FUTURE AGENDA. DO WE HAVE ANY SUCH ITEMS? 12 NOT SEEING ANY OTHER THAN THE VIGOROUS PLEA FOR THE TRANSIT 13 BOARD ROAD SHOW AS SOON AS HUMANLY -- OR AS SOON AS 14 15 PRACTICALLY POSSIBLE. AGENDA ITEM SIX, PUBLIC COMMENTS. WE DID 16 HEAR ABOUT WHETHER THERE WERE ANY WRITTEN COMMENTS -- OR WE DIDN'T HEAR THAT. ARE THERE ANY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC THAT 17 HAVE COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT RELATED TO TODAY AGENDA? 18 AND --19 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE ARE NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITH 20 21 THEIR HAND RAISED, AND THERE WAS NO PUBLIC COMMENT SUBMITTED 22 ON THIS ITEM. BY THE NOON DEADLINE. 23 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND THE 24 NEXT ITEM IS ADJOURNMENT TO THE NEXT MEETING, WHICH IS MONDAY 25



- OCTOBER THE FOURTH, 2021, AT 10:00, BY WEBCAST. SO, ADJOURN
- 2 UNTIL THE NEXT MEETING. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR EVERYONE'S
- 3 ATTENTION. [ADJOURNED]



Broadcasting Government