

1 Policy Advisory Council Equity and Access Committee 2 Friday, December 4, 2020 3 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU, AND WELCOME TO 4 5 THE POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL EQUITY & ACCESS SUBCOMMITTEE. I'M 6 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR, HERE WITH ANNE OLIVIA ELDRED, VICE CHAIR, 7 SO WE'RE MEETING TODAY AT 10:05 A.M. ON DECEMBER 4TH. I WOULD 8 LIKE TO CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER, AND WE HAVE STARTED THE RECORDING. SO MAY I HAVE A ROLL CALL TO CONFIRM A OUORUM? 9 10 CLERK OF THE BOARD: PLEASE ROLL THE BROADCASTING TAPE. 11 12 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: PLEASE ROLL THE BROADCASTING TAPE. DUE TO 13 14 COVID-19, THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED AS A ZOOM WEBINAR, 15 PURSUANT TO THE GOVERNOR'S EXECUTIVE ORDER N-29-20 WHICH 16 SUSPENDS CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS OF THE BROWN ACT. THIS MEETING 17 IS BEING WEBCAST ON THE BAAOMD WEB SITE. THE CHAIR WILL CALL UPON COMMISSIONERS, PRESENTERS, STAFF, AND OTHER SPEAKERS BY 18 19 NAME, AND ASK THAT THEY SPEAK CLEARLY AND STATE THEIR NAMES BEFORE GIVING COMMENTS OR REMARKS. PERSONS PARTICIPATING VIA 20 21 WEBCAST AND ZOOM, WITH THEIR CAMERAS ENABLED, ARE REMINDED 22 THAT THEIR ACTIVITIES ARE VISIBLE TO VIEWERS. COMMISSIONERS 23 AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATING VIA ZOOM WISHING TO SPEAK SHOULD USE THE RAISED HAND FEATURE OR DIAL STAR NINE, 24 AND THE CHAIR WILL CALL UPON THEM AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME. 25

December 4, 2020

TELECONFERENCE ATTENDEES WILL BE CALLED UPON BY THE LAST FOUR 1 DIGITS OF THEIR PHONE NUMBER. IT IS REQUESTED THAT PUBLIC 2 3 SPEAKERS STATE THEIR NAMES AND ORGANIZATION, BUT PROVIDING SUCH INFORMATION IS VOLUNTARY. WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENTS 4 5 RECEIVED AT INFO@BAYAREAMETRO.GOV BY 5:00 P.M. YESTERDAY WILL BE POSTED TO THE ONLINE AGENDA AND ENTERED INTO THE RECORD, 6 7 BUT WILL NOT BE READ OUT LOUD. IF AUTHORS OF THE WRITTEN 8 CORRESPONDENCE WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK, THEY ARE FREE TO DO SO, 9 AND SHOULD RAISE THEIR HAND AND THE CHAIR WILL CALL UPON THEM ON THE APPROPRIATE TIME. A ROLL CALL VOTE WILL BE TAKEN FOR 10 11 ALL ACTION ITEMS. PANELISTS SHOULD NOTE THAT THE CHAT FEATURE IS NOT ACTIVE TO ATTENDEES. IN ORDER TO GET THE FULL ZOOM 12 EXPERIENCE, PLEASE MAKE SURE YOUR APPLICATION IS UP TO DATE. 13 14 CLERK OF THE BOARD: VEDA, YOU ARE MUTED. I AM ASSUMING YOU 15 16 ASKED FOR A ROLL CALL. FLOREZ? 17 18 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: HERE. 19 CLERK OF THE BOARD: ELDRED? 20 21 22 ANNE OLIVIA ELDRED, V. CHAIR: HERE. 23 CLERK OF THE BOARD: BURNETT? COATS? 24 25



```
1
    RICK COATES: HERE.
2
    CLERK OF THE BOARD: GOTUACO?
3
4
5
    KRISTINA GOTUACO: HERE.
6
7
    CLERK OF THE BOARD: HEDGES? I SAW YOU.
8
9
    RICHARD HEDGES: YES. HERE THANK YOU.
10
11
    CLERK OF THE BOARD: HERNANDEZ? LOPEZ? LOPEZ? MOMOH?
12
13
    RAHMON MOMOH: HERE.
14
    CLERK OF THE BOARD: OZIM? KINMAN, ALTERNATE?
15
16
    RANDI KINMAN: HERE.
17
18
19
    CLERK OF THE BOARD: SCOTT, ALTERNATE?
20
    TERRY SCOTT: HERE.
21
22
23
    CLERK OF THE BOARD: QUORUM IS PRESENT. ALTERNATES ARE NOT
    NEEDED FOR A VOTE.
24
25
```

December 4, 2020

VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: MOVING TO ITEM THREE APPROVAL OF THE 1 NOVEMBER 6TH MINUTES. DO I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND TO APPROVE 2 3 THE NOVEMBER 6TH, 2020 MEETING MINUTES? 4 5 RICK COATES: I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE MINUTES. 6 7 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: GO AHEAD. 8 9 RICK COATES: THEY SEEM RATHER SPARSE. AND I NOTE THAT THE 10 COMMITTEE MEMBERS COMMENTS DON'T SEEM TO BE INCLUDED IN THE MINUTES. IS THAT NORMAL PROCEDURE? 11 12 CLERK OF THE BOARD: YES. WE SWITCHED TO ACTION MINUTES WHEN WE 13 14 MOVED TO GRANICUS YEARS AGO. 15 16 RICK COATES: I SEE. THANK YOU. 17 RANDI KINMAN: SO, IF I MIGHT, RICK, IF YOU ARE EVER LOOKING 18 19 FOR WHAT HAPPENED AT THE MEETING, YOU CAN GO BACK ON TO LEGISTAR AND WATCH THE MEETING. 20 21 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. AND DO I HEAR A MOTION TO 22 23 ACCEPT THE MINUTES? 24 ANNE OLIVIA ELDRED, V. CHAIR: SO MOVE. 25



1

December 4, 2020

2 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THAT'S ANNE OLIVIA ELDRED. AND DO I HEAR A 3 SECOND? 4 5 RAHMON MOMOH: SECOND. RAHMON. 6 7 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU RAHMON MOMOH, SECOND. ALL THOSE 8 IN FAVOR, MAY I HAVE A ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE. 9 CLERK OF THE BOARD: [ROLL CALL VOTE] IT PASSES UNANIMOUSLY BY 10 ALL MEMBERS PRESENT. 11 12 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. APPRECIATE THAT. 13 OKAY. ON TO ITEM NUMBER FOUR, AGENDA ITEM, PLANNED BAY AREA 14 15 2050 EQUITY ANALYSIS, COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN UPDATE AND EQUITY 16 ANALYSIS REPORT FRAMEWORK. SO, PLEASE GO AHEAD AND MAKE YOUR 17 PRESENTATION. 18 ANUP TAPASE: THANK YOU VEDA, AND GOOD MORNING COUNCIL MEMBERS. 19 I WILL JUST WAIT FOR OUR TEAM TO PULL UP THE SLIDES. THANK 20 21 YOU. GOOD MORNING, AGAIN, MY NAME IS ANUP TAPASE, PLANNER AT 22 MTC, ABAG AND I AM LEADING THE EQUITY ANALYSIS FOR PLANNED BAY AREA 2050. I AM ALSO JOINED BY DAVE VAUTIN, PLANNED BAY AREA 23 2050 PROJECT MANAGER. TODAY, WE ARE BRINGING YOU, AGAIN, THE 24 25 MATERIALS THAT WERE SHARED ABOUT A MONTH AGO, WITH THE SAME

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

SUBCOMMITTEE, BUT WITH SOME ADDITIONAL BACKGROUND AND DETAIL, 1 2 AND ALSO TO ENABLE MORE DISCUSSION, SINCE THE ITEM WAS QUITE 3 RUSHED LAST TIME, GIVEN THE LIMITED TIME WE HAD. SO LET'S START WITH THE AGENDA ON PAGE TWO. WE HAVE -- WE ORGANIZED THE 4 5 AGENDA TO CREATE MORE BITE SIZED CHUNKS AND WE HAVE DISCUSSION BREAKS AT THE END OF EACH ITEM. WE HAVE COMMUNITIES OF 6 7 CONCERN, AND LAST TIME THERE WAS SOME CONFUSION ON HOW WE USE 8 THIS NOT ONLY IN THE PLAN BUT OUTSIDE THE PLAN AS WELL, AND ALWAYS BACKGROUND AND HIGH RESOURCE AREAS. WE WILL GET INTO 9 HOW DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGES USING THE MOST RECENT DATA AFFECTING 10 THE LOCATION OF COCS SINCE LAST TIME THEN GET INTO DISCUSSION 11 WHAT WE THINK LIMITATIONS ARE GIVEN COMMUNITIES ARE CONCERN 12 AND CURRENT METHODOLOGY, AND BEGIN THE CONVERSATION ON HOW WE 13 14 WANT TO REFRAME THIS IN THE LONGER TERM, BUT WHAT ACTIONS WE 15 CAN TAKE IN THE SHORT-TERM WITHIN THE COURSE OF THE PLAN TO 16 ADDRESS THE LIMITATIONS. AND, WE WILL ALSO TALK ABOUT THE 17 NOMENCLATURE, COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN. AND THEN FINALLY, WE 18 WILL GIVE A OUICK OVERVIEW OF WHAT WE PLAN TO INCLUDE IN THE 19 EQUITY ANALYSIS REPORT FOR THE PLAN AND ALSO DISCUSS TIMELINE AND NEXT STEPS. SO THERE WERE ALSO ATTACHMENTS POSTED ALONG 20 21 WITH THE MATERIALS, WHICH ARE THE SAME AS LAND ROUND LAST 22 MONTH, IN ADDITION RESPOND DIRECTLY TO SOME COUNCIL MEMBERS 23 REQUESTS, WE CREATED A SUPPLEMENTAL HAND OUT WHICH WAS POSTED LAST NIGHT, WE CREATED AN INTERACTIVE WEB MAP WITH CREATED 24 25 CONSENSUS TRACTS WHICH SHOW CHANGES, I APOLOGIZE WE TRIED TO

December 4, 2020

GET THIS OUT SOONER SO YOU WOULD HAVE TIME TO REVIEW BUT OUR 1 DAYS ARE CRUNCHED WITH FINAL BLUEPRINT RELEASE SO IF DESIRED 2 3 WE CAN PULL UP THE WEB MAP ON THE SCREEN AND WALK THROUGH SOME TRACKS AS WELL IF YOU WANT TO DURING THE DISCUSSION. I WANT TO 4 5 MAKE THIS MEETING AS INTERACTIVE AS POSSIBLE DUE TO THE NATURE OF THE CONTENT RATHER THAN ONE WITH JUST PRESENTATIONS, SO 6 7 FEEL FREE TO ASK QUESTIONS AT ANY POINT. FIRST COMMUNITIES OF 8 BACKGROUND, HOW WE USE THEM AND DEMOGRAPHICS. SOME OF THESE 9 SLIDES GIVEN WE HAVE ALREADY SHARED WITH YOU I MIGHT GO OVER THEM QUICKER BUT WILL TAKE THE NEWER SLIDES SLOWLY. 10 11 COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN ARE DESIGNATED GEOGRAPHIES, MORE SPECIFICALLY CENSUS TRACTS THAT HAVE HIGH CONCENTRATIONS OF 12 UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS BASED ON PREDETERMINED THRESHOLDS FOR 13 EIGHT DISADVANTAGE FACTORS. AND FACTORS BEYOND INCOME STATUS 14 SUCH AS RACE, ETHNICITY, DISABILITY STATUS AND LANGUAGE. SINCE 15 16 OUR MODEL CANNOT PREDICT WHERE THESE DISADVANTAGED POPULATIONS MAY LOCATE IN THE FUTURE. FOR PURPOSES DISPAR RAT IMPACT 17 18 ANALYSIS LOCATIONS OF COCS WITHIN BAY AREA ARE ASSUMED TO BE 19 SIMILAR TO TODAY IN 2050. CENSUS DATA AVAILABLE EVERY FOUR YEARS AS PART OF OUR PLANNED BAY AREA PROCESS SINCE 2009 20 FURTHER AND INTERMITTENT UPDATE PROVIDED IN 20182 YEARS AGO. 21 22 EVERY TIME WE UPDATE THEM WE SHARE AN UPDATE WITH THIS COUNCIL 23 AND OTHER COMMITTEES, GO OVER THE MAJOR CHANGES. AS MENTIONED LAST MONTH WHEN WE PICKED UP THE PLAN AND ENGAGEMENT WITH THE 24 REGIONAL EQUITY WORKING GROUP IN 2019, WE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

THE PROCESS OF DESIGNATED COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN HAS 1 2 WEAKNESSES, AND WE DO NEED TO RETHINK THE METHODOLOGY. AND 3 ALSO, THAT THIS WOULD NOT BE FEASIBLE DURING THE PLAN TIMELINE GIVEN WE WANTED TO SPEND STAFF RESOURCES TO TACKLE EOUITY AND 4 5 JUSTICE IN THE PLAN HEAD ON AND BE UP FRONT HOW WE SHAPE THE 6 STRATEGIES IN THE PLAN TO BE MORE EQUITABLE RATHER THAN COMING 7 UP WITH MITIGATED ACTIONS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE PLAN. TODAY 8 IS A PRESENTATION TO MAKE US ALL AWARE OF THE IMPACT, AND 9 START CONVERSATION HOW WE MIGHT REFRAME THE CONCEPT AND METHODOLOGY OF COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN. NEXT PAGE PLEASE. LET 10 ME ALSO PROVIDE BACKGROUND ON HOW WE USE COMMUNITIES OF 11 CONCERN AND THIS WAS NOT SHARED LAST MONTH. WITHIN PLANNED BAY 12 AREA, WE USE IT FOR, OF COURSE, THE EQUITY ANALYSIS OF PLAN 13 OUTCOMES, THE DISPARATE IMPACT AND ANALYSIS. ALSO WE USED IT 14 15 FOR TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PERFORMANCE WHEN WE WERE 16 DETERMINING DURING THE PROJECT PERFORMANCE PROCESS WHERE WE WERE PRIORITIZING MAJOR TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS WE DID AN 17 18 ANALYSIS OF HOW THEY IMPACT COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN FURTHERMORE 19 ALSO USE IT FOR THE EJ ANALYSIS. ALSO IN MANY OF OUR PLAN STRATEGIES, SUCH AS COMMUNITY LED INVESTMENTS OR EMERGENCY 20 21 RENTAL ASSISTANCE, OR PARK AND STREET INVESTMENTS WE DO 22 OUTLINE IN THE DEFINITION OF THE STRATEGIES THAT THESE 23 INVESTMENTS WILL BE PRIORITIZED IN COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN. SO WHILE THIS IS STILL JUST PLANNED BAY AREA, APART FROM OF PLAN 24 AND PROGRAMS MORE IMPORTANTLY COMMUNITIES ARE CONCERN ARE USED 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

IN OTHER FUNDING PROGRAMS TO DETERMINE ALLOCATIONS, ALTHOUGH 1 THEY USE DIFFERENTLY IN DIFFERENT PROGRAMS. FOR EXAMPLE, IN 2 3 THE REGIONAL ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM, THIS PROGRAM ALLOWS AGENCIES TO USE MTC'S COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN DEFINITION 4 5 TO OUALIFY AS A DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY, AS DEFINED BY SB535 STATE PROGRAM GUIDELINES. EACH CYCLE, THE REGION MUST MEET THE 6 7 STATE'S 25 PERCENT DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY MINIMUM FUNDING 8 REQUIREMENT IN THE REGIONAL PROGRAM. SO THE COMMUNITIES OF 9 CONCERN DEFINITION MEETS THE LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND MTC RELIES ON COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN TO MEET THE STATE REQUIREMENTS SINCE 10 11 THE START OF THE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM IN 2013. THE BIKESHARE EQUITY PROGRAM USES COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN AS A 12 CRITERIA TO INFORM WHERE THEY PRIORITIZE INSTALLATION OF 13 BIKESHARE FACILITIES. IN THE LIFELINE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM 14 15 COUNTIES CAN APPLY FOR GRANTS TO CREATE COMMUNITY-BASED 16 TRANSPORTATION PLANS FOR COMMUNITIES OR NEIGHBORHOODS OF CONCERN. THE LIFETIME TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM FUNDS PROJECTS 17 18 RECOMMENDED BY THESE PLANS IN THOSE AREAS. NOT SHOWN ON THE 19 SLIDE HERE, ALSO, FOR THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES PROGRAM, COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN ARE USED LIGHTLY, 20 21 THEY ARE GIVEN THE SAME PRIORITY AS DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES 22 FOR GRANTS. SO, WHY STATE HEAVILY RELIES ON SB 535, 23 DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES INFORM BY CALENVIROSCREEN, MTC ABAG USES COC DESIGNATIONS WHERE POSSIBLE AS A CRITERIA BUT THERE 24 ARE QUITE A FEW AREAS THAT COCS CAPTURE, THERE ARE QUITE A FEW 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

PARTS OF THE REGION THAT COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN CAPTURE THAT 1 2 WE DON'T FEEL THE DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES ADEQUATELY 3 CAPTURE, AT LEAST FOR THE PURPOSES OF RACIAL AND INCOME EQUITY ANALYSIS, ESPECIALLY IN NORTH BAY LIKE SONOMA, NAPA, MARIN, 4 PARTS OF SF, SAN FRANCISCO, LIKE MISSION DISTRICT, FOR 5 6 EXAMPLE, ARE NOT CAPTURED BY DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES 7 ADEQUATELY, AREAS IN HAYWARD, SAN JOSE AS WELL. BEYOND MTC AND 8 ABAG, THIS COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN FRAMEWORK IS USED BY OTHER BAY AREA TRANSIT AGENCIES AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION 9 AUTHORITIES AS WELL, AND WE KNOW THAT THIS METHODOLOGY HAS 10 ALSO BEEN USED AS AN INSPIRATION FOR AGENCIES OUTSIDE THE BAY 11 AREA AS WELL. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. THE EIGHT DISADVANTAGED 12 FACTORS ARE ON THE NEXT PAGE, THE TWO DEFINITIONS WE USE TO 13 IDENTIFY SENSE US TRACTS, THOSE OF SIGNIFICANT CONCENTRATION 14 15 OF COLOR AND PEOPLE WITH LOW INCOME AND SECOND DEFINITION IS, 16 IF IT HAS A CONCENTRATION OF PEOPLE WITH LOW INCOME, AS WELL AS ANY THREE OF THE SIX REMAINING FACTORS. SO WE PROPOSE FOR 17 PLANNED BAY AREA 2050 THAT WE WILL RETAIN THESE DEFINITIONS, 18 19 BUT REFRESH THE UNDERLYING DATA ACKNOWLEDGING THAT LONGER TERM REFINEMENTS MAY BE NEEDED. THIS UPDATE, AS I MENTIONED, LAST 20 21 MONTH, HAS BEEN POSTED ONLINE WITH THE FULL TECHNICAL 22 DOCUMENTATION AND MAP LAYERS. NEXT PAGE PLEASE. SO, AGAIN, 23 THIS IS WHERE WE CALCULATE THE CONCENTRATION THRESHOLDS, TO DETERMINE THOSE DESIGNATIONS. THE FIRST STEP -- WELL, THE 24 FIRST COLUMN IS THE EIGHT DISADVANTAGED FACTORS. THE FIRST 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

STEP IS TO DETERMINE THE SHARE OF BAY AREA POPULATION FOR EACH 1 OF THESE FACTORS. THE FIRST COLUMN WITH THE NUMBERS IS THE 2 3 SHARE CALCULATED DURING PLANNED BAY AREA 2040 SECOND COLUMN SHOWS PLANNED BAY AREA 2050. NOW THE REASON WE DO THIS IS TO 4 5 CAPTURE THE FACT THAT MAYBE CONCENTRATIONS ARE LOWER OR HIGHER ACROSS THE REGION, AS IS THE CASE WITH LOW INCOME. SO YOU CAN 6 7 SEE IN THE SECOND ROW, PEOPLE WITH LOW INCOME, THAT IS BELOW 8 200 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL THAT SHARE DECREASED FROM 25 TO 21 PERCENT ACROSS THE REGION. SHARE OF PEOPLE OF 9 COLOR TWO PERCENT WHEREAS IMPACTORS HAVE CONSISTENTLY CHANGED 10 BY ONE PERCENT. THE CONCENTRATIONS OF THE MAP EXERCISE 11 CALCULATED AS THE MEAN FROM THE FIRST STEP PLUS A HALF 12 STANDARD OF DEVIATION ACROSS CENSUS TRACTS. THIS IS MEANT TO 13 CAPTURE OVERALL TRENDS ACROSS THE REGION, FOR EXAMPLE, 14 15 GENTRIFICATION IS WIDESPREAD, WHICH WE KNOW THIS IS, IF THEY 16 HAVE DECREASE THAT MEANS TRACTS ARE LESS CONCENTRATED WITH THE DISADVANTAGED POPULATIONS THIS METHODOLOGY HELPS CAPTURE SOME 17 18 OF THAT. JUST GOING OVER THE THRESHOLDS THEMSELVES, IN PLANNED 19 BAY AREA 2040 WE SAID IF A TRACT HAS 70 PERCENT POPULATION OF PERSON OF COLOR AND 30 PERCENT CONCENTRATION OF PEOPLE WITH 20 21 LOW INCOME THEN THE TRACT QUALIFIES AS IMMEDIATE CONCERN. SO 22 NOW IN PLANNED BAY AREA 2050 WE ARE SAYING IF A TRACT HAS 70 23 PERCENT OF PEOPLE OF COLOR BUT 28 PERCENT PEOPLE OF LOW INCOME, IT WILL QUALIFY AS A COMMUNITY OF CONCERN. SAME GOES 24 FOR THE OTHER CONCENTRATION AS WELL. AND YOU CAN SEE THAT MOST 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

OF THESE THRESHOLDS HAVE DECREASED, SO IF ALL ELSE BEING EQUAL 1 WE SHOULD BE CAPTURING MORE TRACTS AS COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN 2 3 IN PLANNED BAY AREA 2050 VERSUS PLANNED BAY AREA 2040. NEXT PAGE PLEASE. SO, OUICKLY, LET ME WALK THROUGH SOME 4 5 DEMOGRAPHICS OF COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN. SO THEY ARE DEFINITELY DISTINCT FROM THE REGION AS A WHOLE. THESE BARS ARE SHOWING 6 7 YOU THE CONCENTRATIONS, OR THE SHARE OF POPULATION, THAT A 8 PERSON OF COLOR OR LOW INCOME OR OTHERWISE FOR THE WHOLE BAY 9 AREA FOR PLANNED BAY AREA 2040 COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN AND PLANNED BAY AREA 2050 COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN. SO YOU CAN SEE 10 THE SHARE OF POPULATIONS OF PERSONS OF COLOR AND LOW INCOME 11 ARE SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER THAN THE REGIONAL AVERAGE. ALTHOUGH 12 THEY HAVE DECREASED SLIGHTLY WITH THE NEW DESIGNATIONS OF 13 PLANNED BAY AREA 2050. THE TWO POPULATION TYPES, OLDER ADULT 14 15 AND PEOPLE WITH DISABILITY, ARE THE TWO GROUPS THAT ARE 16 GENERALLY MUCH LESS CONCENTRATED AND MORE EVENLY SPREAD OUT ACROSS THE REGION. SO YOU CAN SEE THE BARS ARE ABOUT SIMILAR 17 18 SIZE FOR THE REGION AS WELL AS THE COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN. SO 19 THE EOUITY ANALYSIS MAY NOT DO A GREAT JOB OF CAPTURING IMPACTS ON THESE POPULATIONS, SO THAT IS THE REASONS WE HAVE A 20 21 COORDINATED PLAN, WHICH IS A SEPARATE PLAN THAT IS REFRESHED 22 EVERY THREE YEARS THE NEXT WILL KICK OFF IN 2021, AND WE WILL 23 BE COORDINATING WITH THAT PLAN EFFORT TO SEE THAT PLANNED BAY AREA, SO THAT PLAN JUMPS OFF SOME OF THE PLANNED BAY AREA 2050 24 STRATEGIES. NEXT PAGE PLEASE. NOW, LOOKING AT THE OTHER SIDE 25

December 4, 2020

OF THE COIN, WHICH IS HIGH RESOURCE AREAS, YOU CAN SEE, AGAIN, 1 THAT THEIR DEMOGRAPHICS ARE VERY DIFFERENT FROM COMMUNITIES OF 2 3 CONCERN, YOU CAN SEE THE BARS ARE VIRTUALLY LOWER MUCH LOWER IN VIRTUALLY EVERY OTHER CATEGORY. NEXT PAGE PLEASE. SO WE'LL 4 5 JUMP INTO THE NEXT AGENDA ITEM AND THEN PAUSE FOR DISCUSSION AFTER THIS. SO I WANT TO GO OVER HOW THESE DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGES 6 7 HAVE AFFECTED THE LOCATIONS OF COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN. NEXT 8 PAGE PLEASE. SO, THERE ARE THREE MAIN -- COMMUNITIES OF 9 CONCERN, ALL ARE VALIDATED AND I AM SURE NOT SURPRISING TO YOU, DECLINE FROM 365 TO 339, THAT DOESN'T MEAN WE LOST 34 OR 10 NOTHING -- 26 TRACTS, BUT THERE WERE SOME TRACTS THAT WERE 11 ADDED AND SOME TRACTS THAT WERE LOST. AND THIS IS 12 PREDOMINANTLY DRIVEN BY A REDUCTION IN THE SHARE OF HOUSEHOLD 13 IN INCOME BELOW 200 PERCENT OF THE POVERTY LEVEL AS POINTED 14 15 OUT IN THE CONCENTRATION FACTORS SLIDE. SECOND THE POPULATION 16 SHARING DISADVANTAGED WITH COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN TRACTS HAS MOSTLY DECLINED INCLUDING LOWER GEOGRAPHIC CONCENTRATION OF 17 18 DISADVANTAGED AND THIRD SHIFTS IN THE LOCATIONS OF TRACTS ARE 19 INDICATIVE OF DISPLACEMENT AND THEY ALIGN WITH OTHER AREAS OF DISPLACEMENT CONCERN. FIRST DECREASE IN NUMBER OF TRACTS 20 DESIGNATED AS COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN THIS CHART COMPARES 21 22 TRACTS WITHIN PLANNED BAY AREA 2040 AND PLANNED BAY AREA 2050 23 BY DEFINITION USED TO DESIGNATE THE COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN. AS YOU CAN SEE THE DECREASED BY DEFINITION ONE DUE TO THE LOW 24 INCOME SHARE OF HOUSEHOLDS. THE REASONS THIS MAY BE HAPPENING 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

ARE DISPLACEMENT, GENTRIFICATION, BUT AS POINTED OUT EARLIER 1 THE REGION'S OVERALL SHARE OF LOW INCOME HOUSEHOLDS IS 2 3 DECREASING, AND WHILE WE ADJUSTED THE CONCENTRATION HOUSE THRESHOLD TO DETERMINE THE EFFECT, THERE IS STILL DECREASE IN 4 5 NUMBER OF TRACTS GIVEN THE SPREAD OUT OF THE POPULATION OR MAYBE THERE IS MIGRATION OF LOW LOW INCOME HOUSEHOLDS OUTSIDE 6 7 THE BAY AREA, AND PERHAPS MINIMUM WAGE INCREASE AS WELL. THE 8 NEXT SLIDE, WE HAD A TABLE EARLIER, I JUST PUT THIS IN A CHART 9 TO SHOW THAT A SHARE OF UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS THAT LIVES WITHIN THE DESIGNATED COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN, BETWEEN PLANNED 10 BAY AREA 2040 AND '50 THEY HAVE DECREASED SLIGHTLY ACROSS THE 11 BOARD. OVERALL, 21 PERCENT OF THE UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS LIVE 12 WITHIN THIS NEWLY DESIGNATED COMMUNITY OF CONCERN INDICATING 13 THAT THE POPULATIONS ARE MORE SPREAD OUT OVER THE REGION. NEXT 14 15 SLIDE PLEASE. THE SHIFT IN LOCATIONS OF THE TRACTS ARE QUITE 16 INDICATIVE OF DISPLACEMENT TRENDS. I HAVE SHOWN THIS MAP BEFORE BUT LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. THIS IS SHOWING 17 18 HOW THE NUMBER OF TRACTS DESIGNATED HAS CHANGED AT THE COUNTY 19 LEVEL. AND YOU CAN SEE THAT, IN TOTAL, 53 TRACT NEWLY DEEMED DEFINITION OF COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN 79 TRACTS LOST THE 20 21 DESIGNATION, AND THIS HAPPENED MOST IN ALAMEDA AND SANTA CLARA 22 COUNTIES. SO IN SAN JOSE, WE SAW LARGE DECREASES PARTICULARLY 23 IN THE EASTERN PART OF THE CITY, BUT NEW COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN TRACTS IN SUNNYVALE, AND SANTA CLARA, AND COMMUNITIES 24 NORTHEAST QUADRANT INCLUDING WESTERN, WHILE THERE IS NEW COC 25

TRACT, IN SAN FRANCISCO, THERE ARE LOSSES IN THE SAME AREAS 1 TOO. SO THESE ARE ALL IMPACTS OF THE DATA REFRESHED 2 3 THEMSELVES. I MEAN WE OBVIOUSLY WANT -- THIS IS WHY WE'RE BRINGING THIS TO YOU TO ROUND TRIP THIS DATA TO UNDERSTAND 4 5 WHERE DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGES -- WELL, THE DATA SAYS WHAT THE DATA 6 SAYS, BUT OF COURSE WE ARE INTERESTED IN HEARING FROM YOU IF 7 YOU THINK THIS IS NOT MIMIC WHAT YOUR PERCEPTIONS OF THESE 8 AREAS ARE. NEXT PAGE PLEASE. OKAY. SO WE'LL PAUSE FOR DISCUSSION HERE. TO HEAR ANY THOUGHTS, SO FAR. ANY OUESTIONS? 9 10 IF DESIRED, WE CAN EVEN BRING UP THAT SUPPLEMENTAL HAND OUT 11 THAT WALKS -- THAT GIVES A LITTLE MORE DETAIL ON HOW THESE CONCENTRATIONS CHANGED ACROSS TRACTS WITHIN EACH COUNTY. 12

13

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

14 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I REALLY APPRECIATE 15 THE PRESENTATION. IT WOULD BE GREAT TO SEE THAT, IF YOU COULD 16 BRING UP THAT A LITTLE BIT LATER, THAT MAP THAT YOU INDICATED, 17 AND I SEE ONE QUESTION FROM RICK COATES. GO AHEAD AND UNMUTE 18 YOURSELF.

19

20 RICK COATES: YEAH. I HAVE SOME COMMENTS. FIRST OF ALL, THANK 21 YOU FOR THE ADDENDUM THERE, THAT WAS HELPFUL IN UNDERSTANDING 22 HOW THIS WAS USED. I'M STILL HAVING A GREAT DEAL OF PROBLEM 23 UNDERSTANDING WHY WE'RE CONNECTING COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN TO 24 CENSUS TRACTS, RATHER THAN CONCENTRATING ON WHAT THEY NEED. 25 YOU KNOW, YOU CAN TAKE ALL OF THE PEOPLE IN A COMMUNITY OF

December 4, 2020

CONCERN AND SPREAD THEM OUT OVER THE ENTIRE AREA, THE PROBLEMS 1 THEY HAVE DON'T GO AWAY, THEY'RE STILL THERE. AND SO 2 3 IMPROVEMENT IN THE REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF CENSUS TRACTS THAT ARE COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN WOULDN'T BE A VERY GOOD 4 5 MEASURE OF WHETHER YOU HAVE ACTUALLY SOLVED ANY PROBLEMS OR NOT. IT'S THE METHODOLOGY I'M HAVING TROUBLES WITH. AND IT 6 7 LOOKS TO ME LIKE WE'RE DOING IT PRIMARILY BECAUSE IT'S 8 TRADITIONAL AND IT'S BEEN DONE THAT WAY BEFORE. AND I HAVE NEVER THOUGHT THAT TRADITION WAS A GOOD REASON FOR CONTINUING 9 10 TO DO SOMETHING. AFTER ALL, RACISM IS TRADITIONAL IN THE UNITED STATES. AND I DON'T THINK IT SHOULD BE CONTINUED. SO, I 11 WISH -- HERE IS ANOTHER SITUATION, ESPECIALLY WITH THE 12 ELDERLY, AND DISABLED, AS YOU HAVE POINTED OUT, THE SENSE YOU 13 14 TRACTS REALLY DON'T PINPOINT MUCH OF ANYTHING, I THINK. I'M 15 THINKING OF AN AREA IN SONOMA COUNTY CALLED OAKMONT, WHICH IS 16 BASICALLY A REQUIREMENT COMMUNITY OF RELATIVELY AFFLUENT 17 ELDERLY FOLKS, YET, THEY STILL ARE HAMPERED IN REACHING ANY 18 TRANSPORTATION. WE CAN'T ASSUME THAT THEY ALL HAVE CHAUFFEURS. 19 SO, YOU KNOW, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOMETHING BASED MORE IN WHAT EACH COMMUNITY OF CONCERN HAS, AS DIFFICULTIES IN ACCESSING 20 21 TRANSIT AND TRANSPORTATION. AND I'M WONDERING IF YOU HAVE A --22 LET'S SAY A COMMUNITY OF CONCERN THAT NEEDS TRANSPORTATION, IF 23 YOU RUN A FREEWAY THROUGH THE MIDDLE OF THAT COMMUNITY OF CONCERN, DOES THAT SOMEHOW ALLEVIATE THEIR PROBLEM? OR DOES IT 24 JUST EXACERBATE ALL OF THE OTHER PROBLEMS THAT THEY MIGHT HAVE 25



WITH POLLUTION AND WHAT NOT? I'LL -- [INDISCERNIBLE] [AUDIO 1 2 DIFFICULTIES] 3 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: YOU'RE BREAKING UP A LITTLE BIT, RICK. 4 5 ANUP, WOULD YOU LIKE TO FOLLOW UP? 6 7 ANUP TAPASE: SURE. -- SORRY. GO AHEAD. 8 RICK COATES: I WAS GOING TO SAY I'M SORRY IF I'M BREAKING UP 9 MY WIFE IS ALWAYS ON ZOOM AND WE HAVE LIMITED BANDWIDTH. 10 11 ANUP TAPASE: SURE. RICK, I COMPLETELY AGREE WITH WHAT YOU'RE 12 SAYING, AND THAT IS WHY I THINK WHEN WE DEVISE PLANNED BAY 13 14 AREA THIS TIME AND THE PROCESS, WE TRY TO TAKE FOCUS AWAY FROM 15 GEOGRAPHY BASED DECISIONS TO DECISIONS BASED ON WHAT IMPACTS 16 PEOPLE WITH LOW INCOME AT-LARGE OR PEOPLE OF COLOR, AT-LARGE, 17 SO FOR EXAMPLE, SIGNIFICANT TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PERFORMANCE WE, IN THE PREVIOUS PLANS, WE ARE -- OR THE EOUITY ANALYSIS 18 19 FOR PROJECTS BASED ON, DOES THE PROJECT TOUCH A COMMUNITY OF CONCERN? BUT THAT DOES NOT GIVE US INSIGHT INTO WHAT ARE 20 21 PEOPLE WITH LOW INCOME OR OTHER DISADVANTAGED POPULATIONS ARE 22 ACTUALLY USING IN THE TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS THAT'S WHY WE 23 DID OUR ANALYSIS ON EOUITY ANALYSIS BASED ON RESULTS WITH POPULATIONS AND EVEN WITH THE STRATEGIES FOR EXAMPLE, MEANS 24 BASED DISCOUNTS OR MEANS BASED TOLLS THESE ARE BASED ON 25

December 4, 2020

POPULATIONS NO MATTER WHERE THEY LIVE. AND WHEN YOU GET INTO
 CONVERSATIONS ABOUT INVESTMENTS THIS ALWAYS COMES UP TO WHERE
 SHOULD WE PRIORITIZE THESE INVESTMENTS. SO YES I UNDERSTAND
 THE CONFLICT BETWEEN THE TWO. IS

5

6 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WE'LL GO TO RANDI, CHRISTINA,
7 AND THEN MICHAEL.

8

RANDI KINMAN: THANK YOU. JUST TO KIND OF STEP BACK. THE CENSUS 9 TRACT IS THE ONLY WAY WE HAVE OF ACTUALLY CAPTURING DISCRETE 10 INFORMATION. AND I WOULD LIKE US TO LOOK AT THESE MORE OF AS 11 ABANDONED COMMUNITIES SOMETIMES. THESE ARE COMMUNITIES WITH 12 HISTORICALLY NEGLIGENT -- THAT HAVE SUFFERED FROM HISTORICALLY 13 NEGLIGENT POLICIES THAT DID NOT PROVIDE BASIC INFRASTRUCTURE. 14 15 JUST -- AND I'M ONLY GOING ON MY COUNTY AND MY NEIGHBORHOODS, 16 AND THE ONES THEY KNOW. BUT I HAVE THE LARGEST COUNTY HERE, SO I'M PRETTY CONVERSANT IN SOME OF THESE COMMUNITIES. SO IT IS A 17 WAY OF IDENTIFYING THEM. AND WE HAVE IDENTIFIED THEM IN THE 18 19 PAST WHEN TRANSIT ROUTES, FOR INSTANCE, ARE BEING CUT. TRANSIT ROUTES THAT ELIMINATE THAT. I THINK THAT WHAT'S GOING TO 20 21 HAPPEN WHEN WE SEE THE MEANS-BASED FARE ANALYSIS THAT COME OUT 22 OF THAT PILOT PROGRAM, WE'RE GOING TO ACTUALLY SEE WHERE THE -23 - WHERE OUR OUTLYING -- PEOPLE WHO AREN'T IN COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN, BUT WHO USE THAT PROGRAM, THAT'S GOING TO BE REALLY 24 BENEFICIAL TO US. MY QUESTION, HOWEVER, IS, ARE YOU LOOKING AT 25



STRICTLY COUNTS, OR PERCENTAGES BY CENSUS TRACT? BECAUSE I
 HAVE ONE HERE THAT I WANT TO TALK ABOUT.

3

ANUP TAPASE: WITHIN THE CENSUS TRACT, THE TOTAL OF POPULATIONS
WITHIN MIGHT CHANGE BUT THOSE CONCENTRATIONS OF BASED ON THE
SHARE OF POPULATIONS THAT IS LOW INCOME OR PERSON OF COLOR, ET
CETERA.

8

RANDI KINMAN: OKAY. SO WHEN I HAVE A CENSUS TRACT THAT IS IN 9 THE "TO BE REMOVED" SITUATION, AND I KNOW FOR A FACT THAT 10 WITHIN THAT CENSUS TRACT, THE POPULATION HAS INCREASED BECAUSE 11 WE HAVE DONE INFILL MARKET RATE DEVELOPMENT, HOW DO WE ADDRESS 12 THAT? BECAUSE -- AND THIS IS ONE THING THAT ALL OF US ARE 13 14 GOING TO HAVE TO KIND OF JUMP IN AND LOOK AT THE MAPS, BECAUSE 15 MY COMMUNITY THAT WAS THERE, THAT WAS UNDERSERVED IS STILL 16 THERE, EVEN THOUGH WE MOVED IN A BUNCH OF TECH PEOPLE. NO 17 OFFENSE TO TECH PEOPLE, BUT THEY HAVE MONEY THAT THE REST OF THE COMMUNITY DOESN'T HAVE. 18

19

20 ANUP TAPASE: YES, I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING.
21 THE -- SO THAT'S WHAT THE SUPPLEMENTAL HANDOUT TRIES TO
22 ILLUSTRATE A BIT ON HOW THE ABSOLUTE NUMBERS OF THE
23 DISADVANTAGED POPULATIONS CHANGED. NOW, I DON'T KNOW IF WE
24 WANT TO PULL THAT UP NOW, OR NOT, BUT OF THE 79 TRACTS THAT
25 LOST THE COC DESIGNATION THAT WERE FORMERLY COCS IN PLANNED

December 4, 2020

BAY AREA 2040, 69 OF THOSE TRACTS CHANGED THEIR DESIGNATIONS 1 2 BECAUSE THE LOW INCOME POPULATION SHARE FELL UNDER THE 3 THRESHOLD. AND IN ALL THE 69 TRACTS, THERE WERE ABSOLUTE LOSS IN THE NUMBERS OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH LOW INCOME. BUT IT RANGED 4 5 WIDELY. SOME LOST OUITE A LOT; SOME LOST AS LITTLE AS 100 6 HOUSEHOLDS LOW INCOME. AND ON THE OTHER HAND, YOU'RE RIGHT, 7 THE POPULATIONS, NOT WITH LOW INCOME, INCREASED, AGAIN, WITH 8 THE WIDE RANGE, RANGING WITH AS FEW AS 30 TO AS MANY AS MANY HUNDREDS. SO IN THOSE TRACTS WHERE, SAY, THE POPULATION WITH 9 10 LOW INCOME DECREASED BY A SMALLER MARGIN, BUT THE POPULATION NOT WITH LOW INCOME INCREASED BY A WIDER MARGIN THAT'S EXACTLY 11 THE KIND OF THOUGHT YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT RANDI. AND YES, 12 THAT IS A WEAKNESS OF THIS METHODOLOGY. AND THAT'S WHY WE ARE 13 14 HERE TO DISCUSS, LIKE, HOW WE DISCUSS THOSE LIMITATIONS IN THE 15 SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM.

16

RANDI KINMAN: SO I KNOW I HAVE ONE CENSUS TRACT WHERE THE 17 18 IMBALANCE IS BECAUSE WE LEVELED A LARGE APARTMENT COMPLEX AND 19 PUT IN PROBABLY FIVE TIMES THE NUMBER OF MARKET RATE HOUSING, SO THAT ONE, THOSE PEOPLE ARE AWFUL DISPLACED, THEY'RE ALL 20 21 MOVED OVER TO NEW ZONES. SO THAT ONE I'M NOT AS CONCERNED 22 ABOUT, WHAT I AM CONCERNED ABOUT, IS THAT WE FLAG FOR THE 23 COMMISSION, THE ONES THAT THE LEVEL, THE TRIGGER THAT REMOVES IT AS A COMMUNITY OF CONCERN IS FLAGGED TO NOTE THAT THERE WAS 24 25 A LARGE POPULATION, SIGNIFICANT POPULATION GROWTH, IN THAT



PARTICULAR CENSUS TRACT THAT ACCOUNTS FOR THE FACT THAT THERE 1 2 IS THIS IMBALANCE NOW. HOW -- CAN WE DO THAT? 3 ANUP TAPASE: SORRY. I DON'T THINK I UNDERSTOOD EXACTLY. CAN WE 4 5 DO WHAT? 6 7 RANDI KINMAN: SO CAN WE FLAG THE CENSUS TRACTS THAT ARE DUE TO 8 BE REMOVE AS A COMMUNITY OF CONCERN BECAUSE THEY'RE 9 PROPORTIONAL LEVELS HAVE CHANGED DUE TO AN INCREASE IN HOUSING 10 THAT IS MARKET RATE, NOT LOW INCOME. SO IT SERVES A HIGHER 11 ECONOMIC LEVEL OF THE POPULATION. IS THERE A WAY OF FLAGGING THAT? SO, I HAVE 800 UNITS THAT WERE BUILT IN CENSUS TRACT 12 502201 WHICH HOLDS -- THESE ARE REALLY EXPENSIVE APARTMENTS; 13 AND SO THAT HAS SHIFTED THE BALANCE OF THE POPULATION IN THAT 14 CENSUS TRACT. HOW DO WE DENOTE THE FACT THAT WE DIDN'T REMOVE 15 16 ANYBODY. THEY HAVEN'T GONE ANYWHERE, THE ONES THAT WERE --THAT BUILT UP THAT LEVEL TO CALL IT A COMMUNITY OF CONCERN; WE 17 JUST SIMPLY ADDED MORE WEALTHIER PEOPLE. >DAVE VAUTIN: MAYBE I 18 19 CAN JUMP IN ON THIS QUESTION. THIS IS DAVE VAUTIN FROM PLANNED 20 BAY AREA. SIN.

21

22 RANDI KINMAN: THANK YOU. WE HAD THIS CONVERSATION. >DAVE
23 VAUTIN: WITH THE DATA WE WOULD BE ABLE TO PINPOINT CAUSE AND
24 EFFECT HERE BUT FOR REALITY I'LL USE THE HOUSING -- IT'S LIKE
25 SWISS CHEESE THERE IS MANY HOLES AND MISSING PIECES IN THE

MIX. SO WE DID HAVE A PERMIT DATA COLLECTION PROJECT THAT WAS 1 2 GOING ON FOR A FEW YEARS, IT WASN'T ABOUT WHEN CONSTRUCTION 3 WAS COMPLETED, WHICH MAKES IT TRICKY TO TIE TO CAUSE AND EFFECT TO GIVEN YEAR IN CENSUS DATA WE HAD DATA ON PERMITTING 4 5 INCLUDING ONES THAT ARE AFFORDABLE IN MARKET RATE. THAT PROJECT HAS BEEN TAKEN ON BY THE STATE, WITH CONSTRUCTION AND 6 7 PERMIT AND COMPLETION GIVE US THAT IDEAL DATA SET TO ANSWER 8 YOUR QUESTION. THAT DATA SET IS STILL A WORK-IN-PROGRESS. THEY 9 ARE CONTINUING TO BUILD-OUT THAT INVENTORY IN TIME WE WILL HAVE AN ANNUAL DATA SET THAT WILL GIVE US THE TYPOLOGY SETS 10 11 GIVEN IN THE YEAR AND WE'LL BE ABLE TO SORT OUT WHAT CAUSES THAT CHANGE ON A YEAR BY YEAR BASIS BUT FOR NOW THERE IS 12 DEFINITELY A LOT OF THE PIECES OF THE PUZZLE MISSING WHICH 13 DEFINITELY MAKES IT HARD FOR US TO PINPOINT THAT. IN CERTAIN 14 15 CITIES IN THE RESOURCE DATA IN THE REGION-WIDE PICTURE THAT'S 16 WHERE WE'RE TRYING TO STANDARDIZE ALL THE DATA AND GIVE US 17 THAT CRITICAL INFORMATION SO WE CAN ANSWER QUESTIONS LIKE 18 YOURS GOING FORWARD.

19

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

20 RANDI KINMAN: OKAY. SO WE HAD THIS SAME ISSUE COME UP LAST
21 TIME WITH ALVISO THAT POPPED OFF THE MAP AS A COMMUNITY OF
22 CONCERN. AND I SAID NO THAT'S BECAUSE WE PUT IN SEVERAL LARGE
23 COMPLEXES. IT'S A LOW POPULATION AREA ANYWAY AND THAT'S WHY WE
24 LEFT AS A NON COMMUNITY OF CONCERN BECAUSE I COULD SHOW YOU
25 AND YOU GUYS COULD GO BACK TO THE CITY OF SAN JOSE AND SEE

December 4, 2020

THAT SO HOW DO WE -- AND I AM CONCERNED THAT -- AND I'LL THROW 1 THIS AT MY SUPERVISORS IS MAKE THEIR STAFF DO THIS BUT HOW DO 2 3 WE MAKE SURE THAT THAT, ON THE GROUND KNOWLEDGE IS BEING INCORPORATED SO WE DON'T ACCIDENTALLY ELIMINATE SOMEBODY THAT 4 5 OUALIFIES UNDER THESE GUIDELINES? >DAVE VAUTIN: SO MY UNDERSTANDING IS -- MY UNDERSTANDING OF HOW WE HANDLED THIS 6 7 LAST TIME AND WILL CONTINUE TO HANDLE IT THIS TIME IS WE USE 8 ANALYSIS USING REGIONAL THRESHOLDS SYSTEM DATA AND APPLY IT 9 CONSISTENTLY, BUT WE ALLOW THE COUNTIES TO AUGMENT THE REGIONAL SET OF COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN BASED ON SITUATIONS 10 11 LIKE WHAT YOU'RE JUST DESCRIBING.

12

13 RANDI KINMAN: OKAY. >DAVE VAUTIN: THIS UPDATE IS CONSISTENT.
14 YOU KNOW, BY THE NUMBERS, YOU KNOW, FOLLOWING THE EXACT
15 METHODOLOGY APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION, BUT ULTIMATELY IN THE
16 CASE OF SOUTH OF SOUTH BAY IT WILL BE VTA STAFF THAT WOULD
17 APPROVE THIS THAT WOULD THEN AUGMENT SITUATIONS LIKE WHAT YOU
18 ARE DESCRIBING.

19

20 RANDI KINMAN: THANK YOU.

21

22 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. CHRISTINA? DID YOU HAVE A 23 QUESTION?

CHRISTINA GOTUACO: YES. KIND OF GOING OFF OF WHAT RANDI WAS 1 TALKING ABOUT. ON THE SLIDE WITH ALL THE MAPS, I WAS JUST 2 3 WONDERING HOW YOU SAW THE SHIFT IN LOCATIONS AS INDICATIVE OF DISPLACEMENT. AND THEN ALSO IN THE SLIDE PRIOR TO THAT, IF --4 5 I DON'T KNOW IN IT -- I'M JUST THROWING AN IDEA OUT THERE, BUT WOULD IT MAKE SENSE TO MAKE THE METHODOLOGY MATCH SO THAT A 6 7 CERTAIN LEVEL OF THE PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION OF THE BAY 8 AREA IS CAPTURED IN THE COC, SO INSTEAD OF, YOU KNOW WHERE YOU 9 SAID IT DROPPED FROM 23 PERCENT TO 21 PERCENT IN THE BAY AREA INSTEAD IF YOU WERE JUST TARGETING THAT 25 PERCENT OF THE BAY 10 AREA POPULATION WOULD FALL INTO THESE COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN 11 SO THAT IT'S MORE LIKE YOU'RE ADDRESSING THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE 12 RATHER THAN JUST THE METHODOLOGY IS DRIVING THE NUMBER. 13

14

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

15 ANUP TAPASE: CERTAINLY. SO, WHEN I SAID INDICATIVE OF 16 DISPLACEMENT TRENDS IT'S VISIBLE IN PARTS OF SAN FRANCISCO, IN THE MISSION DISTRICT, VISIBLE IN AREAS SUCH AS EAST CONTRA 17 18 COSTA, WITH NEW TRACTS BEING DESIGNATED AS COMMUNITIES OF 19 CONCERN LIKE AROUND OAKLEY AND PITTSBURGH, VARIOUS OTHER AREAS ACROSS THE BOARD. THE SUGGESTION THAT YOU'RE GIVING, YES, I 20 21 THINK THESE ARE THE KIND OF THINGS WE SHOULD BE TAKING INTO 22 ACCOUNT WHEN WE CONSIDER REVISING THE METHODOLOGY. AGAIN, LIKE 23 THIS PRESENTATION, IT'S -- WE'RE NOT TRYING -- I THINK IT WOULD BE EXTREMELY HARD FOR US TO -- THEY SAY IT'S NOT FEEBLE 24 WITHIN THE 2050 TIMELINE FOR CHANGING THE METHODOLOGY FOR HOW 25

December 4, 2020

WE DESIGNATE THIS, BUT IN THE NEAR-TERM, 2021, OR 2022, WE DEFINITELY WANT TO REVISIT THIS METHODOLOGY, AND THESE ARE THE KIND OF, LIKE WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, WHERE WE TARGET MAYBE A SET PERCENT OF POPULATION THAT SHOULD BE WITHIN THESE COMMUNITIES, THAT'S DEFINITELY A SUGGESTION WE CAN TAKE.

6

7 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MICHAEL?

8

9 MICHAEL LOPEZ: THANK YOU FOR THE PRESENTATION. MY OUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISADVANTAGED FACTOR IS THAT YOU INCLUDE THE SENIORS 10 AND PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES, AND I'M WONDERING, IN TERMS OF 11 CENSUS TRACTS, HOW DOES THAT REFLECT THE CONCENTRATION OF 12 RETIREMENT COMMUNITIES OR BOARD AND CARE FACILITIES? DOES THAT 13 DISTORT IT AT ALL? DOES THAT EVEN OUT OVER THE LARGE 14 POPULATION YOU'RE LOOKING AT IN IT SEEMS TO ME YOU DON'T HAVE 15 16 THOSE THINGS IN EVERY CENSUS TRACT SO IT'S KIND OF HARD TO CONSIDER IT EQUALLY DISTRIBUTED. DOES MY QUESTION MAKE SENSE? 17 18

ANUP TAPASE: YES IT DOES. I DO BELIEVE THE CENSUS DATA DOES
CAPTURE SENIOR LIVING FACILITIES, SO THERE PROBABLY WOULD BE
TRACTS WHERE YOU DO SEE THIS HIGHER CONCENTRATION OF SENIOR
POPULATIONS OR DISABLED POPULATIONS. BUT I DON'T THINK I CAN
ELABORATE FURTHER. I MEAN, THAT'S JUST THE SURVEY DATA THAT IS
AVAILABLE.

25



1 MICHAEL LOPEZ: OKAY. THANK YOU.

2

3 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: RICH HEDGES?

4

5 RICHARD HEDGES: YES, THANK YOU. I HAVE A LOT OF CONCERNS. SOME OF THEM BASED ON WHAT RANDI SAID. SHE'S CERTAINLY RIGHT IN HER 6 7 OWN AREA, AND IT MAY EXTEND TO OTHER AREAS, BUT WHEN WE HAVE 8 DISAPPEARING CENSUS TRACTS, PEOPLE WHO ARE LESS WELL OFF THAN 9 THE REST OF IT, THAT HAS A LOT OF IMPLICATIONS. FIRST OF ALL, 10 HOW DO WE PLAN FOR HELP THESE FOLKS, AND SECONDLY THESE ARE PEOPLE THAT ARE OFTEN THE BACKBONE OF OUR ECONOMY, AND ARE 11 THEY LEAVING? OR ARE THEY JUST NOT BEING RECOGNIZED AS 12 EXISTING ANYMORE. I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY OF YOU HAVE EVER READ 13 "NICKEL AND DIMED IN AMERICA" OR "NOT GETTING BY IN AMERICA" 14 15 WHICH IS THE SUBTITLE, BY BARBARA HURLEY. SHE IS A WELL 16 EDUCATED YOUNG WOMAN WHO I THINK WAS WORKING FOR THE NEW YORK TIMES TOOK THREE DIFFERENT JOBS AT MINIMUM WAGE IN VARIOUS 17 18 INDUSTRIES AND WROTE A BOOK ABOUT HOW SHE COULDN'T DO THESE 19 MINIMUM WAGE JOBS WITHOUT THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN DOING IT FOR SO MANY YEARS BECAUSE IT REOUIRED MORE SKILL THAN HER 20 21 ECONOMIC STRATA -- I HOPE IT'S CORRECT BY RAISING MINIMUM WAGE 22 AND WITH MULTIPLE FAMILIES HOUSEHOLDS WORKING WE'RE BEGINNING 23 TO RAISE PEOPLE ABOVE THE REST OF THE NUMBERS THAT WE COULD CONSIDER AREAS OF CONCERN BUT I THINK MORE LIKELY PEOPLE ARE 24



LEAVING OR DISAPPEARING BECAUSE OF INFILLING OF PEOPLE WITH
 HIGHER INCOMES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

3

VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. AS I LOOK AT THE TIME, WE HAVE 4 5 ABOUT A HALF HOUR LEFT. AND I WOULD LIKE TO HOLD THE COMMENTS FROM PEOPLE WHO HAVE ALREADY SPOKEN UNTIL OUR NEXT ROUND OF 6 7 DISCUSSION AT THE END. I'LL GO AHEAD AND ADD MY OUESTIONS. MY 8 -- WE SEEM TO BE BACK AT THE SAME PLACE WE WERE FOUR YEARS AGO 9 WHEN THE METHODOLOGY CHANGED. AND THERE WERE A NUMBER OF ISSUES THAT WERE BROUGHT UP FROM THIS COMMITTEE AT THAT TIME. 10 11 ABOUT NOT REACHING ALL OF THE CENSUS TRACTS, THE AREAS THAT RANDI HAD MENTIONED. SO HERE WE ARE AGAIN, AND YOU'RE MAKING 12 THOSE SLIGHT ADJUSTMENTS BUT I STILL SEE THOSE PROBLEMS IN THE 13 FUTURE. AND I DON'T -- I GUESS WE'LL HAVE TO WAIT FOR ANOTHER 14 15 THREE YEARS TO MAKE FURTHER CHANGES TO INCLUDE THE NEW CENSUS 16 AT THAT TIME. SO, I GUESS I JUST HAD A COMMENT RATHER THAN A OUESTION. SO I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO THE SECOND PART OF THIS 17 18 DISCUSSION SO WE CAN MOVE FORWARD. MARTHA DO WE HAVE ANY 19 COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC AT THIS TIME?

20

21 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE ARE NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITH 22 THEIR HANDS RAISED BUT RANDY AND RICK STILL HAVE THEIR HANDS 23 UP.

24

VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: YES, I SEE THAT, AND I'M ASKING THEM TO 1 HOLD THEIR COMMENTS UNTIL AFTER THE SECOND PRESENTATION. >DAVE 2 3 VAUTIN: IF I MAY, JUST FOR 30 SECONDS RESPOND TO YOUR COMMENT. AS YOU WILL SEE IN THE SECOND HALF OF THE PRESENTATION WE'RE 4 5 NOT EXPECTING TO WAIT THREE OR FOUR YEARS WE'RE CONSIDERING THIS AS AN IMPLEMENTATION IN THE PLAN AND TACKLE IN THE NEXT 6 7 ONE AND A HALF YEARS. THE METHODOLOGY WAS OVERHAULED IN THE 8 UPDATE OF PLANNED BAY AREA 2040. THIS METHODOLOGY WE'RE NOT 9 CHANGING THE METHODOLOGY, IT'S THE SAME METHODOLOGY WE'RE JUST USING THE LATEST CENSUS DATA. SO SOME CHANGES WERE MADE TO THE 10 METHODOLOGY BASED ON FEEDBACK WE GOT FROM THE POLICY ADVISORY 11 COUNCIL IN THE LAST CYCLE. YOU KNOW, I THINK SOME OF THE 12 CRITIOUES OF THE COMMUNITY FRAMEWORK WERE MADE SO THAT'S WHY 13 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE NEXT GENERATION OR WHERE WE GO FROM 14 15 HERE. THE COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN ARE NOW BEING USED BY A LOT 16 OF DIFFERENT FOLKS FOR A LOT OF DIFFERENT THINGS SO A VERY THOUGHTFUL DELIBERATIVE PROCESS IS GOING TO BE REQUIRED TO 17 18 REINVENT THEM AND REDESIGN THEM ESPECIALLY IF WE WANT TO PIVOT 19 AWAY FROM THIS GEOGRAPHY BASED APPROACH THAT A FEW OF THE COMMENTERS HAVE BROUGHT UP SINCE SO MANY PEOPLE RELY ON THE 20 GEOGRAPHY BASED APPROACH TO DOLE OUT MONEY AND LOCATE 21 22 BIKESHARE STATIONS AND SO O WE UNDERSTAND YOUR CONCERNS AND 23 THAT'S WHY WE WANT TO TACKLE THIS PROJECT IN THE NEXT COUPLE 24 OF YEARS.

25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION



3

December 4, 2020

VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANUP WOULD YOU LIKE
 TO MOVE FORWARD WITH YOUR PRESENTATION?

ANUP TAPASE: SURE. THANK YOU. CAN WE BRING UP THE SLIDES 4 5 AGAIN, PLEASE? YES. I ALSO WANT TO REITERATE THAT MANY OF THE 6 CONCERNS YOU'RE BRINGING UP ARE CONCERNS THAT WE, AS STAFF, 7 EQUALLY SHARE, AND WANT TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT. SO GETTING 8 INTO A THIRD AGENDA ITEM IS, WE WANT TO SHARE WHAT -- OR RAISE SOME QUESTIONS SIMILAR TO THE QUESTIONS YOU ALL HAVE RAISED, 9 AND THINK ABOUT WHAT OUR PROPOSED SUGGESTIONS FOR THE NEAR-10 TERM AND LONG-TERM. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SO, AS YOU ALL 11 DESIGNATED THERE IS A NEED, AND WE AS STAFF FULLY ACKNOWLEDGE 12 THERE IS A NEED TO REEXAMINE THE METHODOLOGY. THERE ARE SOME 13 SMALL TIME QUESTIONS THAT WE SHOULD BE ASKING LIKE SHOULD WE 14 15 BE ADJUSTING THE DEFINITIONS OF LOW INCOME IN THE BAY AREA, 16 200 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL TOO LOW GIVEN THE COST OF LIVING HERE. HOW CAN WE CAPTURE UNDERSERVED 17 18 POPULATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN DISPLACED AND THE POPULATIONS OF 19 CONCERN, REALLY OUALIFYING AS COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN, AND REMAINING CENSUS TRACTS THAT HAVE BEEN GENTRIFIED AT RISK OF 20 21 DISPLACEMENT THERE ARE LARGER QUESTIONS WE NEED TO BE TALKING 22 ABOUT. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. JUST BY USING THIS FRAMEWORK AND HOW 23 WE FRAME THE DISCUSSION IN PLACE YES WE NEED TO BE CAPTURING EFFECTS ON POPULATIONS OF LOW INCOME OR PEOPLE OF COLOR AT-24

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

WE PRIORITIZE PLACES. SO WHEN WE FRAME THE DISCUSSION, ARE WE 1 CONCENTRATING ON POVERTY, ARE WE, BY SPEAKING OF THIS 2 3 FRAMEWORK, ARE WE POINTING AT POVERTY AS THE PROBLEM HERE? OR ARE THERE LARGER PROBLEMS WE SHOULD BE TALKING ABOUT AND 4 5 SHIFTING THAT NARRATIVE? HOW CAN WE CAPTURE THE INEOUITIES CREATED BY INVESTMENTS AND AFFLUENT WHITE COMMUNITIES. HOW CAN 6 7 WE CAPTURE HISTORICAL INEQUALITIES AND SEE HOW RED LINING HAVE 8 IMPACTED COMMUNITIES AND TAKE THAT INTO ACCOUNT AS TO HOW WE 9 PRIORITIZE THIS FRAMING INVESTMENTS. LIKE DAVE SAID ALL THESE 10 QUESTIONS REQUIRE MUCH MORE ENGAGEMENT WITH NOT ONLY YOU ALL BUT ALSO COMMUNITIES THEMSELVES AND UNDERSTANDING WHAT IS THE 11 MEANING OF OPPORTUNITY AND HOW SHOULD WE BE GOING ABOUT 12 SELECTING OR CHOOSING PLACES? IS IT JUST BASED ON FACTORS SUCH 13 14 AS SHARE OF LOW INCOME POPULATION OR SHARE OF PEOPLE OF COLOR? 15 OR, ALSO, REAL METRICS SUCH AS COMMUTE TIME, FOR EXAMPLE, OR 16 ANY PARK SPACE AND THINGS LIKE THAT. SO, IN THAT COUNCIL, WHICH IS THE MPO OF THE MINNEAPOLIS TWIN CITIES AREA HAS BEEN 17 DOING A STUDY ON THIS, THEY'RE STILL ENGAGED IN THAT, THEY 18 19 ALSO HAVE SOMETHING LIKE COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN WHICH THEY CALL AREAS OF POVERTY, AND THEY ARE DOING AN INVESTIGATION AND 20 21 STUDY AND ENGAGING IN CONCEPTS AND ADDRESSING THE QUESTION OF 22 WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY A PLACE OF OPPORTUNITY? BECAUSE PEOPLE 23 CHOOSE TO LIVE IN SO-CALLED HIGH PRIORITY NEIGHBORHOODS BECAUSE THEY WANT TO BE THERE, AND THEY HAVE SOCIAL 24 CONNECTIONS AND FAMILY THERE. SO WHY ARE WE TALKING ABOUT 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

THESE COMMUNITIES AS IF THEY ARE COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN, OR 1 COMMUNITIES WITH ISSUES. WE SHOULD TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE 2 3 COMPLEXITY OF THESE NEIGHBORHOODS, THEIR HISTORY, THEIR CULTURES, AND THE EXISTING BUILT IN ENVIRONMENT OR LACK OF 4 5 HISTORICAL INVESTMENT AND USE THAT ALL INTO ACCOUNT WHEN 6 DETERMINING WHICH PLACES WE PRIORITIZE FOR OUR STRATEGIES AND 7 OUR INVESTMENTS. NEXT PAGE PLEASE. IN THE REGIONAL EQUITY 8 WORKING GROUP, WHICH WE HAD LAST MONTH, WE POSED THE QUESTION, 9 WHICH WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO NOW, BUT WE ASKED IF MTC HAS IDENTIFIED COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN AS UNDERSERVED FOR TWO 10 DECADES NOW IT WAS NEW AND WAS A WELL THOUGHT OUT DEFINITION 11 AND METHODOLOGY, AND PERHAPS NOMENCLATURE AT THE TIME. TIMES 12 HAVE CHANGED AND WE WANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT IF THIS 13 TERMINOLOGY APPROPRIATELY CAPTURED THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THESE 14 15 COMMUNITIES. BECAUSE, AS STAFF, WE WERE -- I COULD SAY NOT TOO 16 HAPPY ABOUT THIS NAME ANYMORE, AT LEAST PERSONALLY, WHEN WE 17 POSED THIS QUESTION TO THE REGIONAL EQUITY WORKING GROUP, 18 ABOUT 40 PERCENT OF THEM WERE AT STRONGLY DISAGREE, ABOUT 19 ANOTHER 40 PERCENT OF THEM WERE AT NEUTRAL, AND THE REMAINING 20 PERCENT WERE KIND OF SPLIT MORE IN THE DISAGREE BUCKET. 20 21 WHEN WE GET TO THE DISCUSSION, I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM YOU 22 ALL AS WELL, WHAT YOU THINK OF THIS TERMINOLOGY. NEXT QUESTION 23 PLEASE. SORRY. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SO, WE ACKNOWLEDGE THE PART OF LANGUAGE, AND WE ARE SUGGESTING THAT WE CHANGE THE 24 NOMENCLATURE, EVEN FOR USING THIS PLAN. AND I HAVE SHARED THIS 25

December 4, 2020

BEFORE, THERE ARE DIFFERENT TYPOLOGY WHICH IS WE CAN NAME 1 THESE AREAS. SOME OF THEM -- SOME REGIONS DO IT BASED ON JUST 2 3 CALLING IT ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES OR ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE AREAS. YOU CAN USE THE WORD DISADVANTAGED WHICH 4 5 EMPHASIZES DISADVANTAGED BUT USES THE DEFICIT BASED NARRATIVE WHICH WE KIND OF WANT TO MOVE AWAY FROM OR WE COULD USE THE 6 7 TERM LIKE EQUITY AREAS, WHICH MANY REGIONS DO, AS WELL. AND SO 8 ON THE RIGHT, THESE ARE DIFFERENT METROPOLITAN PLANNING 9 ORGANIZATIONS AS WELL AS HMC, AND THE TERMINOLOGY THEY USE 10 INCLUDING EQUITY ANALYSIS. NEXT PAGE PLEASE. INTERNALLY, WE 11 CAME UP -- WE DID AN EXERCISE WHERE WE DELIBERATED FOR AN HOUR AND WE CAME UP WITH FOUR SUGGESTIONS, EOUITY PRIORITIZED 12 OPPORTUNITY COMMUNITIES EQUITY PRIORITIZED INVESTMENT 13 14 OPPORTUNITIES, AND EQUITY FOCUS COMMUNITIES EQUITY ACCESS 15 ZONES. WE DON'T WANT TO -- WE WANT TO ENGAGE YOU. WE WILL HAVE 16 A WORKSHOP IN JANUARY AND WANT TO GIVE AN OVERVIEW IN HOW WE WANT TO CHARACTERIZE THESE COMMUNITIES AND WHILE WE DON'T WANT 17 18 TO CREATE MORE ACRONYMS WE WANT TO DO THE RIGHT THING AND USE 19 THE RIGHT NAME THAT APPROPRIATELY CHARACTERIZES THESE COMMUNITIES BUT WE WANT TO HIGHLIGHT THE OPPORTUNITY AND 20 PRIORITIZE THE COMMUNITIES BECAUSE THAT'S THE MAIN OBJECTIVE 21 22 HERE. NEXT PAGE PLEASE. HERE ARE THE NEXT STEPS WE ARE 23 PROPOSING. IN THE NEAR-TERM, WITHIN PLANNED BAY AREA 2050, WHICH IS BASICALLY WITHIN THE NEXT YEAR, WE WANT TO AUGMENT 24 OUR EQUITY ANALYSIS, WITH NOT JUST SHOWING DISPARITIES BETWEEN 25

December 4, 2020

COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN IN THE REST OF THE REGION BUT ALSO WITH 1 2 HIGH RESOURCE AREAS SO WE CAN ALSO SHOW THE EFFECTS OF 3 CONCENTRATED AFFLUENCE AS WELL, AND THEN AS SOME POINTED OUT, FOCUSING THIS DISPARITY ANALYSIS JUST BASED ON ANALYSIS JUST 4 5 NOT ENOUGH GIVEN THE SPREAD OF DISADVANTAGED POPULATIONS SO WE WANT TO MEASURE DISPARITY BASED ON INCOME STATUS AS WELL 6 7 UNFORTUNATELY WE'RE NOT ABLE TO DO THIS KIND OF DISPARITY 8 ANALYSIS ON OTHER FACTORS SUCH AS RACE AND ETHNICITY. WE ALSO 9 WANT TO REVISE THE NOMENCLATURE FOR USING THIS DOCUMENT, WE'LL FOCUS IN JANUARY BUT BY FEBRUARY OR MARCH WE HOPE TO LAND A 10 NEW NAME IF YOU ALL THINK THAT'S THE RIGHT PATH TOO. IN THE 11 LONG-TERM AS PART OF THE EOUITY PLATFORM INITIATIVE IN 2021 OR 12 SO, WE WANT TO REEXAMINE AND OVERHAUL THIS METHODOLOGY AND FOR 13 THAT, AS WE HAVE SAID, THIS WILL REQUIRE A SIGNIFICANT 14 15 ENGAGEMENT WITH EVERYONE. WE WANT TO SURVEY COMMUNITIES THAT 16 IDENTIFY NEEDS AND VALUES, AND PERHAPS ALSO REACH TOOLS AND METHODOLOGIES SO THAT WE CAN FORECAST THIS DISAGGREGATE 17 18 IMPACTS ON BASIS OF RACE AND ETHNICITY. WE WANT TO SHARE WHAT 19 WE HEARD FROM THE RECENT EOUITY WORKING GROUP A FEW WEEKS AGO. IT'S NOT TOO MUCH BACK AND FORTH DISCUSSION AS WE WOULD HAVE 20 21 LIKED GIVEN THE WORKSHOP FORMAT. BUT THESE WERE COMMENTS THAT 22 CAME IN. IN THE NEAR-TERM GIVEN PLANNED BAY AREA MORE 23 CONCENTRATION AND DISBURSAL OF LOW INCOME POPULATIONS WE WERE ASKED TO CONDUCT PERFORMANCE BASED EQUITY ANALYSIS ON POLICIES 24 AND INVESTMENTS ON WHO BENEFITS. AGAIN, THIS WAS SORT OF OUR 25

PROCESS AS PART OF THE TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PERFORMANCE, AS 1 PART OF THE HORIZON STUDY WHERE WE DID PERFORMANCE BASED 2 3 ANALYSIS ON EVERY SINGLE STRATEGY ALL THE EQUITY ANALYSIS, WE'RE FOCUSED ON THE PLAN AS A WHOLE. ON THE NOMENCLATURE 4 5 THERE WERE SUGGESTIONS FOR USING PRIORITY NEIGHBORHOODS OR AREAS, MAYBE A SINGLE TERM IS NOT THE SOLUTION. AND ALSO USE 6 7 LANGUAGE TO REFLECT WHAT MTC SPECIFICALLY IS ADDRESSING, THAT 8 IS REGARDING PROBLEM SOLVING FOR PRIORITIZING WHERE RESOURCES 9 AND PLANNING POLICY SOLUTIONS ARE MOST NEEDED. LANGUAGE INTERPRETER CAN BE IMPLEMENTED AND SPECIFIC LANGUAGE WOULD BE 10 BEST. FOR LONG-TERM IN SUGGESTIONS OF HOW WE REIMAGINE THE 11 COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN FRAMEWORK, LOOKING TO USING SMALLER 12 GEOGRAPHIES RATHER THAN CENSUS TRACTS, NEED TO ADDRESS RURAL 13 POVERTY, MORE EMPHASIS, LOOKING TO CALENVIROSCREEN GEOGRAPHY 14 15 WITH DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES WORKING WITH CTAS AND LOCAL 16 NON-PROFITS TO DESIGNATE AREAS OF CONCERN. WE DO NOT WANT TO LOSE THE CONCEPT OF PLACE BASED EQUITY THIS WILL BE NEEDED FOR 17 STATE FUNDING PROGRAMS, ENGAGEMENT WITH COMMUNITIES HOW THEY 18 19 IDENTIFY THEMSELVES BASED ON THEIR NEEDS WITH TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING. MOVING BEYOND TO SEE HOW IMPLEMENTATION WORKS 20 21 WHEN IT COMES TO FUNDING PROGRAMS, AND METHODOLOGY RESPONDING 22 TO ISSUES WITH THE 2020 CENSUS COLLECTION PROCESS. MOVE TO THE 23 NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. I'LL PAUSE FOR DISCUSSION HERE TO HEAR YOUR THOUGHTS, PLEASE. 24

25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

December 4, 2020

VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: FANTASTIC. I'M GOING TO START WITH RANDI 1 2 AND THEN RICK COATES. RANDI WOULD YOU LIKE TO ASK YOUR 3 QUESTION? 4 5 RANDI KINMAN: THE QUESTION FROM BEFORE? OR MY QUESTION NOW? 6 7 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: EITHER/OR. 8 RANDI KINMAN: EITHER/OR. I WANT TO RESPOND TO THE QUESTION 9 ABOUT THE CONCENTRATION OF SENIORS IN CENSUS TRACTS. WE DID 10 DISCUSS THAT LAST TIME SO PLACES LIKE WHERE THE VILLAGES ARE, 11 THE VILLAGE DOES NOT OUALIFY AS LOW INCOME BUT IT OUALIFIES AS 12 SENIORS. SO THERE WAS -- WE HAD ONE COMMUNITY IN SARATOGA THAT 13 14 WAS DECLARED A COMMUNITY OF CONCERN AND SINCE IT HAD SIX 15 DIFFERENT SENIOR REHAB FACILITIES THERE, WE ELIMINATED THAT, 16 SO MY GOAL, I JUST WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT THERE IS A 17 TRIGGER FOR DOING THAT. MY CONCERN, RIGHT NOW, IS THAT WE ARE KIND OF MIXING TRANSIT AND TRANSPORTATION WITH OTHER SOCIAL 18 JUSTICE ISSUES. AND IF WE'RE GOING TO DO THAT, AND WE'RE GOING 19 TO RENAME OUR COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN BASED ON OTHER SOCIAL 20 21 JUSTICE ISSUES, THEN ARE WE HAVING THAT CONVERSATION WITH 22 EVERYBODY IN THAT RESPECT? BECAUSE OUR FOCUS IS ON 23 TRANSPORTATION. SO, HOWEVER WE NAME IT, IT -- WE'RE NOT -- WE CANNOT, ON THE BASIS OF TRANSPORTATION, ADDRESS SCHOOL 24 25 INEQUITIES. WE CANNOT ADDRESS -- WE CAN THROUGH PLANNED BAY

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

AREA ADDRESS PARK INEOUITIES, BUT THEY NEVER GET ADDRESSED --1 SO I'M REALLY CONCERNED THAT WE'RE MIXING THINGS HERE THAT 2 3 AREN'T NECESSARILY IN OUR BUCKET OF THINGS TO DO. THE REALITY IS, THESE COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN -- AND I WILL OUOTE SOMEBODY 4 5 FROM 20 YEARS AGO WHO SAID THAT "SAN JOSE STRONG NEIGHBORS INITIATIVE WAS A PLAN THROUGH REDEVELOPMENT TO MAKE 6 REPARATIONS FOR DECADES OF NEGLECT" AND I THINK IF WE LOOK AT 7 8 IT THAT WAY IN SOME RESPECTS THAT THESE ARE NEGLECTED. WE CAN CALL IT WHAT IT IS, RACIAL DISCRIMINATION, RED LINING, BUT WE 9 KNOW WE HAVE PHYSICAL AREAS THAT SUFFER CONTINUALLY FROM THAT. 10 BASED ON THE FOUR -- 1, 2, 3, 4 ABBREVIATIONS THEY SEE HERE, I 11 WOULD REALLY HESITATE TO CALL THEM OPPORTUNITY -- EOUITY 12 PRIORITIZED OPPORTUNITY COMMUNITIES, OR INVESTMENT 13 COMMUNITIES. I WORK WITH A LOT OF -- I DON'T WORK WITH THEM, 14 15 BUT I DEAL WITH A LOT OF DIRT BAG INVESTORS AND HOUSING 16 DEVELOPERS WHO WOULD TAKE A LOOK AT THAT AND SAY, OH, THIS IS A CHEAP PLACE FOR ME TO DO WHAT I WANT TO DO AND DEVELOP 17 18 THINGS. SO WE NEED TO BE REALLY CAREFUL OF THAT. WE NEED TO BE 19 REALLY CAREFUL THAT WE'RE NOT CREATING A -- WE'RE NOT HOLDING UP A BIG FLAG AND SAY, HEY, GENTRIFY HERE, OR, HEY, BUILD YOUR 20 21 WHATEVER HERE THAT DOESN'T HELP THE COMMUNITY THAT LIVES IN. 22 BUT I AM CURIOUS AS TO HOW WE'RE GOING TO COORDINATE THIS WITH 23 ALL THE OTHER AGENCIES THAT CURRENTLY USE COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN OR ARE WE PLANNING ON COMING UP WITH A MORE REGIONAL 24 25 TERM? BECAUSE AIR QUALITY BOARD HAS DIFFERENT THINGS, THE



STATE HAS DIFFERENT NAMES, WE ALL HAVE DIFFERENT NAMES. SO
 THAT'S IT.

3

VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: ANUP, OR DAVE, WOULD YOU LIKE TO COMMENT? 4 5 >DAVE VAUTIN: I CAN TACKLE THE LAST PART OF RANDY'S OUESTION. THERE IS A COUPLE OF PIECES THERE I'LL LEAVE THE REST FOR 6 7 ANUP. ON THE LAST PART, TWO PHASES, THE NEAR-TERM FLESHING OUT 8 WHAT WE'RE DOING FOR PLANNED BAY AREA 2050 BEYOND THE GEOGRAPHY BASED APPROACH, THE NOMENCLATURE. WE WANT TO ENGAGE 9 WITH A NUMBER OF FOLKS ON BOTH THOSE FRONTS IN 2021, AND THE 10 SECOND PHASE WILL REQUIRE MORE ENGAGEMENT BECAUSE THERE IS A 11 LONG LIST OF PEOPLE WHO USE THE COC MAPPING FOR DIFFERENT 12 PROJECTS AND SO BEFORE WE OVERHAUL ALL THAT. [LAUGHTER] WE 13 NEED TO TALK TO THE DIFFERENT USERS OF IT. AND SO, WE'RE -- I 14 DON'T THINK IT'S -- I DON'T THINK IT'S REASONABLE TO EXPECT 15 16 THAT THE AIR DISTRICT OR THE STATE ARE GOING TO, YOU KNOW, STOP USING THEIR OWN UNIQUE EQUITY GEOGRAPHIES AND START USING 17 18 OURS, BUT FOR FOLKS WHO ARE USING, I THINK WE WANT TO TALK TO 19 THEM TO UNDERSTAND MORE ABOUT WHAT THEY'RE USING IT FOR TALK ABOUT THE INITIAL IDEAS OF PIVOTING TO A DIFFERENT APPROACH 20 21 ASK SEE IF THAT CONTINUES TO MEET THEIR NEEDS. SO THAT'S PART 22 OF THE REASON WHY THAT SECOND PHASE OF IT IS YOU KNOW PROBABLY 23 AN EFFORT FOR 2022, YOU KNOW IT'S JUST GOING TO TAKE TIME TO 24 HAVE THOSE CONVERSATIONS.



December 4, 2020

1 RANDI KINMAN: THANK YOU.

3 ANUP TAPASE: I WOULD ADD YES IT'S CHALLENGING TO CHANGE THE NAME ESPECIALLY WHEN IT'S USED SO WIDELY BY DIFFERENT 4 5 AGENCIES. BUT IS THAT A REASON TO USE A NAME IN A LIVING DOCUMENT IN THE PLANNED BAY AREA 2050 DOCUMENT, WHICH WILL 6 LIVE ON FOR A WHILE. THAT, TO ME, PERSONALLY, IS JUST, EVOKES 7 8 SOME SENSE OF SYMPATHY THAT WE'RE HAVING FOR THESE COMMUNITIES 9 OR MAY SEEM A LITTLE PATERNALISTIC IN TERMS OF COMMUNITIES OF 10 CONCERN, EXACTLY WHO DO THEY CONCERN OR WHY ARE THEY 11 CONCERNING. THIS WAS MY PERSONAL FEELINGS AS WELL AS SOME OF OUR INTERNAL STAFF'S FEELINGS. AND SO I -- I THINK THAT SHOULD 12 NOT -- IF THAT'S THE CASE, IF THAT'S HOW OTHER PEOPLE FEEL 13 TOO, ABOUT THIS TERM, THEN I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD BE USING 14 15 THE REASON OF, IT'S ALREADY SO, SO WELL ADOPTED BY VARIOUS 16 AGENCIES, MAYBE WE SHOULD NOT CHANGE THE NAME.

17

18 RANDI KINMAN: I'M NOT SAYING CHANGE THE NAME, OR NOT CHANGED 19 NAME. I'M SAYING BE AWARE OF WHAT YOU'RE PUTTING IN HERE. BECAUSE THE REALITIES, WITH THESE COMMUNITIES, AND I HAVE BEEN 20 21 DOING THIS SINCE WE NAMED THEM THAT FOR A LONG TIME IS WE 22 COULD REALISTICALLY CALL THIS THE COMMUNITIES THAT GOT SCREWED 23 OVER EVERY SINGLE TIME. AND WE DON'T WANT TO DO THAT WE'RE MORE POLITE AND WANT TO FIND A WAY OF SAYING THAT IN A MUCH 24 25 MORE PRODUCTIVE MANNER.



3

December 4, 2020

2 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: RICK COATES?

RICK COATES: I WANT TO SUGGEST AN ALTERNATE METHODOLOGY FOR 4 5 FUTURE, I REALIZES THIS HINGES BY TIME RIGHT NOW, SUPPOSE YOU DEFINE THE COMMUNITIES NOT IN TERMS OF GEOGRAPHY, BUT IN TERMS 6 7 OF PEOPLE, SO, THE ELDERLY, PEOPLE OF COLOR, AND CONSIDER 8 THOSE A GROUP OF PEOPLE, AND THEN LOOK AT THE CHALLENGES THAT THEY MIGHT HAVE. FOR EXAMPLE, LOW INCOME, MAYBE THEY CAN'T 9 AFFORD A CAR. MAYBE THEY CAN'T EVEN AFFORD THE TRANSIT FARE. 10 DO THEY HAVE BICYCLE ACCESS? SAFE BICYCLE ACCESS? THAT'S AN 11 ELEMENT THAT THEY MIGHT NEED. TO DEVELOP A LIST OF THE 12 ELEMENTS THAT THEY NEED, THAT WOULD MAKE IT -- MAKE THEIR 13 TRANSPORTATION MORE ACCESSIBLE. THEN YOU LOOK AT THE CENSUS 14 15 TRACTS AND SAY, WHICH CENSUS TRACTS HAVE THESE ELEMENTS, AND 16 WHICH DO NOT. THE CENSUS TRACT OF CONCERN WOULD BE THE ONES THAT LACK THE ELEMENTS THAT SATISFIED THOSE PEOPLE'S NEEDS. 17 HAVE I MADE MYSELF CLEAR HERE? 18

19

20 ANUP TAPASE: YES. UH -- YEAH. I THINK THIS'S EXACTLY WHAT WAS
21 ONE OF THE POINTS ON THE SLIDE WHERE I WAS TRYING TO SAY
22 PERHAPS WE DON'T CHARACTERIZE COMMUNITIES BASED ON DEMOGRAPHIC
23 CHARACTERIZATION, BUT BASED ON TRANSPORTATION NEEDS, THAT ARE
24 THE REASON WHY MANY OF THESE POPULATIONS EXPERIENCE
25 DISADVANTAGE.



December 4, 2020

2 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. ANNE OLIVIA? 3 ANNE OLIVIA ELDRED, V. CHAIR: THANK YOU. SO I HAVE BEEN 4 5 LISTENING TO THIS AND I HAVE HAD A LOT OF DIFFERENT THOUGHTS GO THROUGH MY HEAD AND I WISH I HAD LONGER TO SORT THEM SO 6 7 APOLOGIES FOR INTERRUPTION OF THE NATURE OF THESE COMMENTS. I 8 REALLY APPRECIATE THE FRAMEWORK THAT YOU'RE BRINGING UP, TO WHOM ARE THEY CONCERNING AND WHY ARE THEY CONCERNED IS A 9 10 REALLY GOOD QUESTION. AND SO -- AND I REALLY APPRECIATE NOT INCLUDING THAT AS WE MOVE FORWARD IN A DOCUMENT THAT'S GOING 11 TO LIVE FOR SO LONG. I ALSO -- I ALSO FEEL LIKE THERE HAS TO 12 BE A WAY TO SAY, LIKE, LIKE, WE WANT TO LIVE IN THESE 13 14 COMMUNITIES BECAUSE THIS IS MY COMMUNITY, LIKE, I DON'T KNOW 15 WHAT YOUR ISSUE IS, BUT I LIKE IT HERE, AND ALSO IT'S TRUE THAT IT'S HARDER, IN SO MANY WAYS, RIGHT? LIKE, AREN'T 16 17 ECONOMIC RESOURCES, THERE ARE HIGHER LEVELS OF INTIMIDATING 18 PRESENCE IN ALL KINDS OF WAYS, RIGHT? LIKE, AND THERE ARE LESS 19 ECONOMIC RESOURCES, AND THERE IS BEEN LESS INVESTMENT AND WHEN INVESTMENT DOES COME WHAT IT USUALLY MEANS IS I LOSE MY 20 21 NEIGHBORS. SO IF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION INCREASES IN 22 NEIGHBORHOODS, I USUALLY LOSE MY NEIGHBORS, THAT'S CURRENTLY 23 HAPPENING IN NEW NEIGHBORHOOD. AS WE MOVE FORWARD TO TIE IDENTIFICATION WITH PRESERVATION OF EXISTING POPULATIONS SO 24 YOU CAN HAVE HIGHER INFILL, SO WE GET HIGHER DENSITY AND MORE 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

MIXED USE, WHICH TENDS TO BE GOOD, BUT MAKING SURE THERE IS 1 2 SOME KIND OF TIE TO PRESERVING EXISTING COMMUNITIES, I THINK 3 THIS'S REALLY IMPORTANT. AND TO ACKNOWLEDGE HISTORICAL DISPARITIES AND NOT JUST GLOSS OVER THE DIS-- LIKE, HOW MUCH 4 5 HARDER WE'RE ASKING FOLKS TO WORK, TO LIVE IN THE SAME PLACE. AND I DON'T -- I DON'T KNOW HOW TO CAPTURE THE COMMUNITIES 6 7 THAT ARE STILL THERE, BUT ARE BEING SWALLOWED BY HIGHER INCOME 8 FOLKS MOVING IN, AND SO I WOULD LIKE TO DO SOME OUTREACH IN COMMUNITIES AND BE LIKE, HEY, WHAT DO YOU GUYS THINK OF THIS. 9 WHAT'S -- HOW DO YOU THINK THIS SHOULD BE APPROACHED? BECAUSE 10 I KNOW THOSE CONVERSATIONS HAVE BEEN HAPPENING FOR A LONG 11 TIME. I KNOW THAT UNDERSERVED HAS HAD A LOT OF BACKLASH, AS 12 WELL, BECAUSE FOLKS ARE SERVED LOTS OF THINGS, THEY'RE JUST 13 NOT VERY GOOD THINGS. AND SO I -- IF YOU HAVE OUTREACH 14 15 MATERIALS, I DON'T THINK A MONTH IS VERY LONG TO HAVE 16 LEGITIMATE INTERACTION WITH THOSE COMMUNITIES IN THOSE KINDS OF DISCUSSIONS BUT I WOULD LOVE TO START DOING THAT TODAY TO 17 18 START DOING OUTREACH IF YOU HAVE MATERIALS BECAUSE IT'S 19 IMPORTANT DISCUSSION WORTH HAVING. AND REALLY LOOKING AT THE NEEDS, BECAUSE RANDI IS RIGHT, WHEN YOU SAY, HERE IS AN 20 21 OPPORTUNITY ZONE, LIKE, I'M LIKE, OH NO. [LAUGHTER] LIKE, WHAT 22 THAT MEANS IS A DISPLACEMENT ZONE. AND IF YOU ARE SWITCHING, 23 IF YOU ARE SWITCHING TERMS, IT WOULD ALSO BE REALLY COOL TO SWITCH AWAY FROM WHITE BEING THE -- I DON'T KNOW -- LIKE WHITE 24 AND WEALTHY BEING THE NORM, AS OPPOSED TO THIS -- BECAUSE I 25

December 4, 2020

DON'T THINK THAT'S ACTUALLY NORMAL FOR MOST PLACES. BUT, LIKE, 1 2 REALLY CALLING OUT, LIKE, HERE IS A PLACE THAT HAS 3 TRADITIONALLY EXCLUDED PEOPLE, OR SHOULD WAY TO CALL THAT OUT TOO. SO I LIKE THAT YOU HAVE CONCENTRATION OF RESOURCES THAT 4 5 DON'T HAVE MIXED POPULATIONS IN A VARIETY OF FACTORS. SO, I JUST REALLY WANT TO SUPPORT YOUR EFFORT IN THAT AND SAY I 6 WOULD LIKE TO BE A PART OF SUPPORTING OUTREACH BECAUSE I DON'T 7 8 THINK THAT MONTH IS A VERY LONG TIME FRAME. BUT, WHEN I GET 9 THAT THOUGHT MORE CLEAR, I'LL E-MAIL IT TO YOU.

10

11 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. TERRY SCOTT, DID YOU HAVE YOUR 12 HAND UP? YOU'RE MUTED. I THINK THAT I MAY HAVE LOWERED YOUR 13 HAND WHEN I LOWERED SOMEONE ELSE'S HAND. ANNE OLIVIA. AND YOU 14 ARE CURRENTLY MUTED.

15

16 TERRY SCOTT: YES. I WANTED TO REFER BACK TO THE METHODOLOGY AND THE TERMS THAT ANUP WAS REFERRING TO IN HIS REPORT IN 17 REFERENCE TO COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN. I, FOR ONE, PREFER THE 18 19 USE OF ZONES VERSUS COMMUNITIES. AS MANY COMMUNITIES HAVE VARIOUS ECONOMIC ZONES WITHIN IT. SO THERE ARE CERTAIN STRIPS 20 21 THAT ARE, YOU KNOW, HIGHER ECONOMIC ZONES, ET CETERA BUT THERE 22 ARE AREAS OF, YOU KNOW, POVERTY AND SO FORTH THAT I THINK NEED 23 TO BE ADDRESSED. WE DO NEED TO LOOK AT RURAL COMMUNITIES, THAT YOU MENTIONED EARLIER, BECAUSE THEY'RE VERY -- THEY'RE VERY 24 25 UNIQUE IN TERMS OF THE RESTRICTIONS, AND THE NEEDS, AND

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

THEY'RE CONCERNS SUCH AS WORKFORCE HOUSING WITHIN VERY SMALL 1 2 URBAN AREAS. AND THIS PARTICULAR COUNTY, NAPA, IS A GOOD 3 EXAMPLE OF THAT WITH LESS THAN 140,000 RESIDENTS AND FOUR AND A HALF MILLION VISITORS A YEAR. AN EXAMPLE OF THIS HOUSING, IS 4 5 THAT OUR GENERAL PLAN SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIES AGRICULTURE AS THE HIGHEST AND BEST USE OF THE LAND. SO ANYTHING ELSE, 6 7 INCLUDING HOUSING, HAS TO COMPETE WITH THAT, AND WE'RE LIMITED 8 TO FIVE VERY SMALL URBAN AREAS IN TERMS OF THREE CITIES --9 WELL, FOUR CITIES AND A TOWN, IF YOU WILL. IN THIS PARTICULAR 10 COUNTY. AND THE COMMERCIAL AREAS WITHIN THE COUNTY, THERE ARE ONLY NINE IN THE ENTIRE COUNTY. AND THEY'RE VERY SMALL. AND 11 THEY'RE ALREADY DEVELOPED. SO, IT INVOLVES A CHANGE. THIS 12 FURTHER RESTRICTS THE DEVELOPMENT OF BOTH LOW INCOME AND ENTRY 13 LEVEL HOUSING. AND THAT'S BECOMING CRITICAL, BECAUSE WE NOW 14 15 HAVE BETWEEN 25 AND 30,000 CARS A DAY THAT ARE COMMUTING IN 16 AND OUT OF NAPA COUNTY. AND THAT'S -- THAT'S A SIGNIFICANT 17 PORTION OF THE COMMUNITY. AND THOSE ARE PEOPLE THAT, 18 BASICALLY, THEY DON'T CHOOSE TO COMMIT HERE; THEY WOULD LIVE 19 HERE IF THEY COULD, AND IF HOUSING WERE AVAILABLE, BUT IT'S VERY RESTRICTIVE. AND THERE ARE, AS YOU WELL KNOW, VERY, VERY 20 21 DEMANDING ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS. AND THEY HAVE TO BE MET. 22 AND FRANKLY IT KIND OF STRANGLES THE DEVELOPMENT OF HOUSING, 23 AT LEAST HERE. THIS MAY BE UNIQUE TO SOME OF THE OTHER COUNTIES AND COMMUNITIES THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. BUT THAT'S 24



ONE THAT I -- AND I AGREE WITH YOU, ANUP, THAT THAT NEEDS TO 1 2 BE ADDRESSED. 3 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. CHRISTINA? 4 5 CHRISTINA GOTUACO: I HAD AN IDEA BASED ON WHAT ANNE OLIVIA 6 7 SAID EARLIER WHICH IS PART OF THE NOMENCLATURE NEEDS TO BE 8 NAMED AS ANTI- DISPLACEMENT AREAS THAT SEEMS TO BE THE MAIN 9 PRIORITY OF DESIGNATING THESE ZONES. 10 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. RICH HEDGES? 11 12 RICHARD HEDGES: YES, THANK YOU. I ACTUALLY WANTED TO STEP BACK 13 14 AND DRAW ATTENTION, IT WAS BROUGHT UP BY A COUPLE OF PEOPLE, 15 THE SENIOR POPULATION, ESPECIALLY SENIOR DISABLED POPULATION 16 AND THAT CUTS ACROSS ALL INCOME LINES. AND I KNOW FROM 17 PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, TAKING -- I MET HIM WHEN HE WAS 93, NOW A 18 99-YEAR-OLD GENTLEMAN WHO HAS PARTICIPATED A LOT IN OUR 19 ECONOMY, FROM WORLD WAR II ON, AND HE LIVES IN A VERY EXPENSIVE NEIGHBORHOOD AND HAS NOT A CENT TO HIS NAME BECAUSE 20 21 HE SPENT ALL OF HIS RESOURCES, BUT ON PAPER IT LOOKS LIKE HE'S 22 WEALTHY BECAUSE OF THE VALUE OF HIS HOUSE. SO WE NEED TO THINK 23 ABOUT THE PEOPLE AND DIFFICULTY GETTING AROUND EVEN WHEN THEY LIVE IN THE HIGHER INCOME NEIGHBORHOODS BECAUSE THEY HAVE 24 OBVIOUSLY OUTLIVED THEIR MONEY. I SPENT A LOT OF TIME TAKING 25

December 4, 2020

THIS GENTLEMAN AROUND, ALL DAY, BECAUSE HE HAS SO MANY 1 2 ERRANDS, HAIR CUTS, HE'S ACTUALLY A JOY FOR ME TO DO BECAUSE 3 HE WAS A VERY INTERESTING GENTLEMAN. THIS IS ONE SMALL PORTION OF WHAT WE NEED TO THINK ABOUT, AND I THINK THIS IS ONE THING. 4 5 JUST A COMMENT ON WHAT TERRY SAID, TERRY, WE REPRESENT A NUMBER OF FARM WORKERS IN THE NAPA AREA AT DIFFERENT VINEYARDS 6 7 AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT'S SORELY NEEDED IS HOUSING. I DON'T 8 KNOW HOW THAT COULD BE INCORPORATED, BUT WE NEED TO GET HELP FOR HOUSING THOSE PEOPLE. 9

10

VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. SOME PEOPLE LIVE IN THESE 11 COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN BY CHOICE. I WANT YOU TO KNOW MANY 12 PEOPLE LIVE IN COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN BECAUSE THEY CAN'T 13 AFFORD TO LIVE ANYWHERE ELSE. BUT ALSO THE OPPORTUNITY FOR 14 15 MOVING OUT OF THESE COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN IS SO EXPENSIVE, SO 16 PEOPLE CAN'T AFFORD TO MOVE OUT OF THEM. SO, THERE IS CERTAINLY A COMMUNITY, BUT THERE IS A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF PEOPLE 17 18 WHO WERE LOCKED INTO THESE NEIGHBORHOODS. SO IF WE CAN KEEP 19 THAT IN MIND, AS WELL, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO LOOK AT. AND FINALLY, TO BE HONEST WITH YOU, I'M NOT FOND OF ANY OF THE 20 21 FOUR NAME OPTIONS THAT YOU GAVE US. IN THE REPORT, THERE WAS A 22 MENTION OF ORGANS METRO -- ORGAN METRO AREAS HISTORICALLY 23 MARGINALIZED COMMUNITIES, AND I THINK THAT REPRESENTS THE IDEA A LITTLE BIT BETTER. THESE AREAS ARE MARGINALIZED FOR MANY 24 DIFFERENT REASONS, SO IT WOULD BE BETTER IN MY OPINION IF WE 25

December 4, 2020

WENT WITH THE HISTORICALLY MARGINALIZED COMMUNITIES I ALSO 1 LIKE THE IDEAS OF BREAKING DOWN OUR CENSUS TRACTS INTO ZONES 2 3 SO WE CAN BE MORE ACCURATE IN OUR CALCULATIONS. SO IF THERE ARE NO OTHER MEMBERS OF OUR COMMITTEE WHO HAVE QUESTIONS, WE 4 5 WILL GO AHEAD AND MOVE TO PUBLIC COMMENTS. MARTHA DO WE HAVE 6 PUBLIC COMMENTS? 7 8 CLERK OF THE BOARD: YES. ADRIENNE MENDOZA HAS HIS HAND RAISED 9 HOW MUCH TIME WOULD YOU LIKE TO GIVE HIM. 10 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: LET'S GIVE HIM THREE MINUTES. 11 12 13 CLERK OF THE BOARD: ADRIENNE GO AHEAD AND UNMUTE YOURSELF. 14 SPEAKER: GREETINGS AND HAPPY HOLIDAYS TO ALL. THIS IS AN 15 16 INTERESTING DISCUSSION, AND I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT I -- I KIND OF AGREED WITH WHAT CHRISTINA HAD TO SAY IS TO JUST SAY 17 WHAT IT IS, SAY WHAT IT DOES. BUT YOU KNOW, AS SOMEONE WHO WAS 18 19 FIRST TERM MEXICAN AMERICAN, AND THEN CHICANO AND THEN HISPANIC, NOW THE TERM IS LATINX, THE DYNAMICS OF THE LANGUAGE 20 21 KIND OF -- KIND OF KEEPS US FROM, AS A COMMUNITY, AND I AM 22 SURE THAT'S THE CASE WITH MANY OTHER ETHNICITIES AS WELL, 23 WHERE, YOU KNOW, WHAT ONE TERM MIGHT GAIN ACCEPTANCE IN ONE COMMUNITY, MIGHT BE UNACCEPTABLE TO OTHERS. FOR EXAMPLE, THERE 24 ARE MANY LATINOS, CHICANOS WHO REJECT THE TERM LATINX AND SAY 25

December 4, 2020

THAT DOESN'T APPLY TO ME. WE SHOULD SPEND MORE TIME IN
 ANALYZING AND DISCUSSING THIS BEFORE DECIDING ON A FINAL
 RESULT OF THAT. SO THAT'S ALL I REALLY HAVE TO SAY. AND I'LL
 SEE YOU ALL NEXT WEEK.

5

6 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YES. TERMINOLOGY IS
7 VERY IMPORTANT THESE DAYS. SO, RANDI, DO YOU WANT TO WRAP IT
8 UP FOR US?

9

RANDI KINMAN: I DON'T NECESSARILY WANT TO WRAP IT UP, BUT I 10 WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WHEN WE LOOKING AT THESE COMMUNITIES, I 11 HAVE A FEELING SUNNYVALE MAY HAVE GROWN BASED ON SOME HOUSING 12 ISSUES WHICH LEADS ME TO WONDER WHAT CENSUS DISTRICTS WHERE WE 13 14 PUT IN A LOT OF HOUSING -- HOMELESS SHELTERS, OR WHERE WE HAVE 15 A HIGH HOMELESS POPULATION ARE FALLING INTO THIS. SO 16 COORDINATING WITH OUR HOMELESS OUTREACH TEAMS TO IDENTIFY THOSE ZONES AND AREAS WITHIN, WITHIN CENSUS TRACTS WOULD BE A 17 18 GOOD THING. JUST SO THAT WE HAVE AN OVER-- THEY HAVE A MAP SOMEWHERE, EVERYBODY HAS A MAP SOMEWHERE, SO WE CAN OVERLAY 19 THE MAPS AND MAKE SURE THEY'RE ALL LINING UP. 20

21

VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THAT UP. THE HOMELESS ISSUE IS HUGE, ESPECIALLY FOR THOSE WHO LIVE IN MOTOR HOMES WHO CAN BE MOBILE AND MOVE AROUND TO DIFFERENT AREAS. ANY FINAL THOUGHTS ANUP AND DAVE, BEFORE WE MOVE ON?



December 4, 2020

2 ANUP TAPASE: WELL, YOU KNOW, WE HAD ONE MORE ITEM ON THE 3 AGENDA. 4 5 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: OH, YES. ITEM NUMBER -- WE'LL MOVE ON TO 6 ITEM NUMBER FIVE, BUT IS YOUR PRESENTATION COMPLETE? 7 8 ANUP TAPASE: SORRY. I MEANT ANOTHER ITEM ON MY PRESENTATION. 9 10 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: OKAY. PLEASE. 11 ANUP TAPASE: OKAY. SO, WE'LL TRY TO KEEP THIS QUICK, BECAUSE I 12 KNOW WE'RE RUNNING OUT OF TIME. SO THIS IS THE FINAL ITEM ON 13 THE AGENDA. AND I WANT TO GIVE A QUICK OVERVIEW OF HOW WE 14 15 PRESENT THE EQUITY ANALYSIS REPORT. SO, AS YOU KNOW, FOR EVERY 16 PLAN, OR EVERY PLANNED BAY AREA WE PRODUCE A SUPPLEMENTAL 17 REPORT CALLED THE EQUITY ANALYSIS REPORT. AND SO WE WILL DO THAT THIS TIME AS WELL, AS IN LAST PLANS. SO HERE WE ARE 18 SEEKING GUIDANCE, OR YOUR SUGGESTIONS ON WHAT YOU MIGHT WANT 19 TO SEE IN THE EQUITY ANALYSIS REPORT. NEXT PAGE PLEASE. SO WE 20 21 ARE THINKING THIS REPORT WILL HAVE THREE MAIN SECTIONS. I 22 MEAN, OF COURSE IT WILL HAVE DEMOGRAPHICS ON, SAY, THE 23 COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN VERSUS HIGH RESOURCE AREAS FOR THE REST OF THE REGION BUT IN TERMS OF THE MAIN CONTENT OF THE REPORT 24 25 WE ARE THINKING OF THREE SECTIONS EQUITY LENS IN STRATEGIES,

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

THE INVESTMENT ANALYSIS, AND PLAN OUTCOMES ANALYSIS. NEXT PAGE 1 2 PLEASE. IN THIS PLAN WE REALLY HAVE TAKEN AN EQUITY AND 3 JUSTICE APPROACH TO WAVE INTO ALL THE PLANNED BAY AREA 2050 STRATEGIES AND WE HAVE ENGAGED WITH YOU ALL AND THE EOUITY 4 5 WORKING GROUP TO MODIFY THEM SEVERAL TIMES THERE ARE PLANS WE HAVE INCLUDED AS PART OF THE STRATEGIES THAT CANNOT BE 6 7 SUBMITTED IN OUR MODELS FOR EXAMPLE, YOUTH FARE DISCOUNTS OR 8 SUBSIDIES FOR INTERNET ACCESS OR HIGHER SUBSIDIES FOR BUILDING 9 RETROFITS FOR HOUSING WITH LOW INCOME. THIS WOULD NOT BE REFLECTED IN THE METRICS OF THE PLAN SO WE WOULD WANT TO DIVE 10 INTO THE STRATEGY COMPONENTS AS WELL AS FOR TRANSPORTATION 11 PROJECTS SPECIFICALLY WE WANT TO HIGHLIGHT THE COMMITMENTS 12 THAT PROJECT SPONSORS HAVE MADE TOWARDS EQUITY FOCUS POLICIES. 13 THE NEXT SECTION, NEXT PAGE PLEASE. IT IS THE METHODOLOGY 14 15 WHERE WE WILL SHOW THE DISTRIBUTION OF PLAN FUNDING TOWARDS 16 UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS. SO THERE WAS A VERSION OF THIS IN THE DRAFT BLUEPRINT OUTCOMES DOCUMENT, WHICH IS ALSO ONE OF YOUR 17 ATTACHMENTS. AGAIN, THIS SECTION TRIES TO CAPTURE WHO THE 18 19 FUNDING IN THE PLAN GOES TOWARDS. DOES IT DIRECTLY BENEFIT LOW INCOME POPULATIONS OR POPULATIONS OF COLOR, OR HOW IT AFFECTS 20 21 OTHER SEGMENTS OF POPULATION OTHERWISE. AND AS WE HAVE DONE IN 22 THE PAST THIS WILL INCLUDE TITLE SIX ANALYSIS ON TRANSIT 23 INVESTMENTS SPECIFICALLY. THIRD, NEXT PAGE PLEASE. IS THE OUTCOMES ANALYSIS, WHICH WILL MEASURE DISPARITIES AND PLAN 24 OUTCOMES BASED ON METRICS, AND THIS BUILDER METRICS WE 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

DEVELOPED DURING PLANNED BAY AREA 2040 DURING THE HORIZON 1 2 PROCESS AND HAVE EXPANDED IT OUITE A BIT AS YOU HAVE SEEN 3 DURING THE DRAFT BLUEPRINT ANALYSIS BUT FOR LOOKING AT DISPARITIES BETWEEN POPULATION GROUPS BETWEEN EXISTING 2050 4 5 PROJECT SCENARIO WHERE THERE IS NO PLAN IMPLEMENTATION, AND 2050 PLAN, AND WE WOULD HIGHLIGHT WHERE THE PLAN IS ABLE TO 6 7 DEGREES DISPARITIES. AND THIS WILL INFORM THE ENVIRONMENTAL 8 JUSTICE ANALYSIS THAT WE ARE REQUIRED TO INTRODUCE TO THE PLAN. THE TABLE ON THE RIGHT SHOWS TOPICS FOR WHICH WE WOULD 9 MEASURE THESE DISPARITIES, AGAIN IN THE DRAFT BLUEPRINT WE HAD 10 METRICS FOR MOST OF THESE. AND WE WILL HAVE A SIMILAR OUTCOMES 11 DOCUMENT FOR THE FINAL BLUEPRINT AS WELL, WHICH WE WILL 12 RELEASE LATER THIS MONTH, AND THAT WILL INFORM THIS 13 DISPARITIES ANALYSIS FOR THE EQUITY ANALYSIS REPORT. TO WRAP 14 15 UP, NEXT PAGE PLEASE. HERE IS WHAT YOU CAN EXPECT IN THE NEXT 16 FEW MONTHS. NON-SHOWN -- NOT SHOWN ON THE SLIDE WE KICKED OFF OUR IMPLEMENTATION PLAN ENGAGEMENT EFFORT, CRUCIAL PART OF THE 17 18 PLAN AND STRATEGY THAT GO INTO PLANNED BAY AREA 2050. WE HAVE 19 SOME WORKSHOPS NEXT MONTH AND HOPE YOU CAN ATTEND AND THAT PROCESS WILL CONTINUE IN THE NEXT MONTHS. WE WILL REVIEW THE 20 21 FINAL BLUEPRINT OUTCOMES. THEN SEEK APPROVAL FROM OUR BOARD 22 AND COMMISSION TO APPROVE THE FINAL BLUEPRINT AS THE DRAFT 23 PLAN IN JANUARY. AFTER THIS, WE WOULD BEGIN THE EIR -- WELL, I THINK WE HAVE ALREADY STARTED THE EIR, BUT ONCE WE ADOPT THE 24 DRAFT PLAN THAT WILL BE USED IN THE EIR PROCESS AND THEN WE 25

December 4, 2020

WILL ALSO BE DRAFTING THE DOCUMENT PLAN ITSELF AND 1 2 SUPPLEMENTARY REPORTING INCLUDING EQUITY ANALYSIS REPORT. 3 GIVEN THE FINAL BLUEPRINT TERM POTENTIALLY MARCH, WE HOPE TO SHARE OUR DRAFT OF THE REPORT WITH YOU. AND IF WE REVISE THE 4 5 NOMENCLATURE THIS IS WHERE WE WILL DISCUSS IT FURTHER. IN APRIL WE WOULD RELEASE THE DRAFT PLAN, AS WELL AS THE TITLE 6 7 SIX, AND EJ ANALYSIS. I THINK THIS'S OUR LAST SLIDE. NEXT PAGE 8 PLEASE. YEAH. SO, THAT WAS THE LAST SLIDE. SO, ANY THOUGHTS ON OTHER THINGS YOU WOULD LIKE TO SEE IN THE EOUITY AND ANALYSIS 9 10 REPORT WOULD BE WELCOME NOW.

11

12 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: I HAVE TO APOLOGIZE TO EVERYONE. I THOUGHT 13 WE JUST HAD TWO STOPS WITHIN THAT PRESENTATION, AND NOT THREE. 14 SO, WE HAVE GOT LIMITED TIME. AND, RICK COATES, CAN YOU GIVE 15 YOUR COMMENT IN ONE MINUTE?

16

RICK COATES: I THINK SO. UNDER CONNECTED, ON THAT FIRST SLIDE, 17 18 YOU LISTED PROXIMITY AND ACCESS, ACCESS TO JOBS, PROXIMITY TO 19 TRANSIT, THERE IS SOMETHING IN BETWEEN THERE THAT'S MISSING, AND THAT'S THE TRANSFER FROM ONE MODE TO THE OTHER, INTERMODAL 20 21 CONNECTEDNESS, AS WELL, THAT NEEDS TO BE IN THERE. SECONDLY, 22 WHEN YOU GO OVER INVESTMENT, YOU SHOULD ACTUALLY ASK WHETHER 23 THE INVESTMENT ACTUALLY ADDRESSES THE NEEDS OF THESE COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT JUST SPENDING 24 MONEY THERE DOESN'T NECESSARILY ADDRESS THE ISSUE. THAT'S IT 25



December 4, 2020

2 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. RICH HEDGES. 3 RICHARD HEDGES: YES, THANK YOU. I HAVE GOT A QUESTION, AND I 4 5 DON'T THINK IT'S OUT OF BOUNDS HERE, ABOUT HOW SOMETIMES BELOW MARKET RATE HOUSING CAN AFFECT THE ECONOMICS OF A 6 7 NEIGHBORHOOD. I WAS READING AN ARTICLE IN THE "SAN FRANCISCO 8 BUSINESS TIMES" ABOUT THE PROJECT IN MARKET, WHERE THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE TO CHANGE THE ZONING, TALL ZONING A LOT OF UNITS 9 WITH NO BELOW MARKET RATE HOUSING HOWEVER THEY'RE TAKING OVER 10 EMISSIONS DEVELOPMENT WHICH HAS BEEN A MONSTER IN MISSION AND 11 MAKE IT BELOW MARKET RATE BUT THOSE HOUSES WILL START IN THE 12 80 SOME THOUSAND IT SEEMS TO MOST PEOPLE THAT MOVE INTO THAT 13 DEVELOPMENT QUALIFY AT NEAR THE \$80,000 LIMIT I THINK IT'S 14 15 83,000, FOR INCOME, FOR THE FAMILY, THAT THAT WOULD CHANGE IN 16 MANY WAYS, THE ECONOMICS FOR PEOPLE THAT LIVE IN THAT NEIGHBORHOOD. I WONDER IF STAFF HAVE ANY COMMENTS ON THAT, HOW 17 18 IT COULD SORT OF DISLOCATE THE ACTUAL OVERALL NUMBERS. THANK 19 YOU.

20

21 ANUP TAPASE: THANK YOU. THANK YOU, RICH. SO, I KNOW IN OUR 22 LAND USE MODEL, TAKES INTO ACCOUNT HOW RELATIVE REALISTIC 23 PRICES MAY CHANGE BASED ON MORE BELOW MARKET RATE HOUSING OR 24 MORE ABOUT -- OR MORE HIGH INCOME HOUSING. I'M NOT SURE --

25

RICHARD HEDGES: 20,000 DOWN TO 30,000. I KNOW THE CITY I LIVE 1 IN HAS TWO LEVELS, 15 PERCENT IF YOU GO WITH ALL LEVELS OF 2 3 HOUSING, TEN PERCENT IF YOU DO LOW-LOW AND MOST DEVELOPERS DO LOW LOW AND IT MAKES SENSE FOR MORE HOUSING FOR SERVICE 4 5 WORKERS AND LOW INCOME PEOPLE. WHAT I'M SAYING IS THERE SEEMS TO BE A WIDE VARIETY OF INCOMES AND BELOW MARKET RATE HOUSING 6 7 AND HOW THAT ACCOUNT AFFECT OUR VIEW OF A NEIGHBORHOOD WHETHER 8 OR NOT IT IS IN FACT COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN, IF YOU HAVE 9 120,000 INCOME, MARKET RATE DEVELOPMENTS ARE REALLY DEPEND ON WHAT COMMUNITY ALLOWS. >DAVE VAUTIN: THOSE ARE DIFFERENT TYPES 10 OF AFFORDABLE AT DIFFERENT AFFORDABILITY LEVELS ARE GOING TO 11 DRIVEWAY THE CENSUS DEMOGRAPHICS THAT WE'RE USING TO IDENTIFY 12 THE COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN, SO, THOSE ARE ALL -- I WOULD SAY, 13 ALL KIND OF SORT OF POLICY DRIVERS THAT LEAD TO THE INCOME 14 15 PATTERN IN DIFFERENT -- IN THESE DIFFERENT COMMUNITIES. YOU 16 KNOW, I THINK, YOU KNOW, THAT -- THE SORT OF DISAGGREGATION THAT WE'RE STARTING TO SEE IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF BELOW MARKET 17 18 RATE UNITS IS VERY INTERESTING AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT IN OUR 19 MODEL WE'RE ACTUALLY -- SOMETHING WE'LL NEED TO FOCUS ON IN THE YEARS AHEAD AS WE CONTINUE TO ENHANCE HOW WE MODEL THE 20 21 BELOW MARKET RATE UNITS BUT IT IS SOMETHING THAT WHEN IT COMES 22 TO THE CENSUS DATA, THAT IT WOULD CAPTURE THE SPECTRUM OF THE 23 DIFFERENT INCOMES.

24

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

RICHARD HEDGES: YEAH IT SEEMS TO ME THAT WITH THOSE VARYING
RATES THAT COMMUNITIES CAN DO AND BELOW MARKET RATE HOUSING
THAT ESPECIALLY IN SUBURBAN COMMUNITIES THAT IT MIGHT DRIVE
THEM TO CHOOSE A WHOLE DIFFERENT DEMOGRAPHIC BY THE HIGHER
NUMBERS. AND SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT BELOW MARKET RATE HOUSING
WE'RE DEFINITELY TALKING ABOUT A LOT OF DIFFERENT THINGS. AND
WE NEED TO BE MORE SPECIFIC. THAT'S MY MAIN POINT.

8

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

9 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. RANDI?

10

RANDI KINMAN: HI. THANK YOU. I JUST WANT US TO BE REALLY CLEAR 11 ABOUT DISAGGREGATING OR MAYBE BEING ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE 12 DIFFERENCES WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ACCESSING TRANSIT OR 13 ACCESSING JOBS ON FOOT, ACCESSING JOBS BY TRANSIT, AND THE 14 15 AMOUNT OF DISTANCE AND TIME THAT THAT IS, WHEN WE TALKING ABOUT, REALLY, URBAN AREAS, AS OPPOSED TO THE RURAL AREAS. 16 BECAUSE WE COULD MAKE OUR NUMBERS LOOK A WHOLE LOT BETTER IF 17 18 WE ELIMINATED, YOU KNOW, LIKE NAPA COUNTY, AND SOUTH SANTA 19 CLARA COUNTY, AND SOME OF -- YOU KNOW, LIKE, LET'S HEAD OUT TO THE OTHER COASTAL COUNTIES -- WHICH ISN'T MY POINT. MY POINT 20 21 IS, THERE IS A DIFFERENT WAY OF MEASURING THOSE, OR WE SHOULD 22 BE LOOKING AT A DIFFERENT WAY OF MEASURING THOSE, SO WE'RE NOT 23 SKEWING DATA. WE CAN'T SAY WE'RE BEING SUCCESSFUL IF WE HAVE, YOU KNOW, 15 MINUTE THROUGHPUT TIME ON BUSES YET WE HAVE A 24 COUNTY THAT DOESN'T HAVE ACCESS TO ANYTHING. SO THAT'S PART OF 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

A TWO-PART THING. AND THE SECOND PART OF THAT IS, DO -- ARE WE 1 TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE NEW RHNA ASSIGNMENTS TO COMMUNITIES 2 3 THAT HAVEN'T BEEN MEETING LOW INCOME GOALS AND ARE NOW BEING TOLD, YOU HAVE GOT TO INCREASE -- AND I WILL PICK SARATOGA 4 5 ONLY BECAUSE I GOT A RAMP FROM THEM, THAT THEY'RE BEING TOLD THAT THEY'RE NOW -- THEIR NEW RHNA GOALS ARE TO INCREASE BY 30 6 7 PERCENT, THEIR HOUSING FOR LOW INCOME. NOW, THEY DON'T HAVE 8 TRANSIT RUNNING THROUGH THEIR CITY, THEY DON'T HAVE ACCESS TO JOBS. SO THOSE MEASUREMENTS ARE GOING TO SKEW, YOU KNOW, 9 THEY'RE GOING TO BE SKEWED BY THAT, BUT IS ALL OF THIS TAKING 10 INTO ACCOUNT THE NEW RHNA ALLOTMENTS? >DAVE VAUTIN: YEAH. I 11 CAN TACKLE THAT ONE. SO, YES, THE PLAN AND RHNA ARE SYNCED 12 WITH ONE ANOTHER. SOME OF THE PLAN DATA USES THE BASELINE FOR 13 14 RHNA. BUT REALLY IMPORTANT THING IN THE RHNA PROCESS, YOU 15 KNOW, THE STAKEHOLDERS WHO PARTICIPATED AND HOSPITAL DESIGN 16 THE RHNA METHODOLOGY FELT LIKE IT'S MORE IMPORTANT TO GROW IN AREAS THAT ARE HIGH RESOURCE AREAS EVEN IF THEY DON'T HAVE 17 18 TRANSIT, JUST BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY OF ACCESSING 19 THE SCHOOLS THERE, SOMETIMES BEING VERY CLOSE TO JOB CENTERS, ET CETERA SO WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE RHNA NUMBERS FOR THIS TIME, 20 21 YOU SEE THAT SOME OF THE JURISDICTIONS THAT MIGHT HAVE 22 MODERATE OR EVEN MORE LIMITED TRANSIT SERVICES ARE HAVING 23 HIGHER HOUSING ALLOCATIONS THAN IN THE PAST AND THAT'S BECAUSE THEY HAVE BEEN HISTORICALLY EXCLUSIONARY PLACE. AND THAT'S 24 25 SOMETHING THAT THE EQUITY COMMUNITY FELT STRONGLY ABOUT THAT



December 4, 2020

WHILE TRANSIT IS PART OF THE FORMULA FOR RHNA IT'S A MUCH
 SMALLER PORTION THAN IN THE PAST.

RANDI KINMAN: THAT'S KIND OF MY POINT. ARE WE WORKING AT ODDS 4 5 WITH EACH OTHER ON THE RHNA GOALS. I HAVE TO FIGHT FOR ONLY BUS LINE THAT RUNS OUT THERE BECAUSE MY CAMPUS IS OUT THERE. 6 7 SO I HAVE NO PROBLEM TELLING THEM, SUCK IT UP, YOU HAVEN'T 8 PROVIDED AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR THE PEOPLE THAT ACTUALLY WORK 9 IN YOUR CITY, BUT WHAT I DO HAVE A PROBLEM WITH, IS, IS 10 CREATING THE NEXT GENERATION -- WHAT WE WON'T CALL COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN. >DAVE VAUTIN: WELL I GUESS I WOULD SAY THAT SOME 11 OF THE NEIGHBORHOODS IN, ESPECIALLY IN WESTERN SANTA CLARA 12 COUNTY, ARE, WHAT WE WOULD CALL HIGH RESOURCE AREAS, WHICH 13 THEY HAVE BEEN THE VERY AREAS THAT HAVE BEEN EXCLUDING LOW 14 15 INCOME FOLKS IN THE PAST. SO THE RHNA NUMBERS, THE INTENT IS 16 NOT TO CHANGE THEIR TYPOLOGY FROM DISPROPORTIONATE FROM HIGH INCOME TO LOCATION, THE INTENT IS TO CREATE A BALANCED SET OF 17 18 DEMOGRAPHICS AND BALANCED SET OF HOUSING OPPORTUNITY IN THOSE 19 PLACES. SO, I DON'T THINK WE'RE WORKING ON THE CROSS PURPOSES HERE. THE PLAN HAS STRATEGIES THAT ARE ALL ABOUT, YOU KNOW, 20 21 BRINGING TRANSIT SERVICE BACK. MAKING SURE WE'RE NOT LOSING 22 THOSE BUS LINES MAKE SURE WE'RE INVESTING MORE IN TRANSIT AND 23 MODERNIZING AND ALL OF THAT SO WE'RE NOT LEAVING THEM BY THE WAYSIDE BUT WE'RE ALSO SAYING THESE COMMUNITIES NEED TO STEP 24 UP TO THE PLATE ESPECIALLY ON THE AFFORDABLE SIDE BRINGING 25



THOSE UNITS INTO THE MARKET SO FOLKS HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY, IF
 THEY SO CHOOSE, TO LIVE IN PLACES LIKE SARATOGA.

3

4 RANDI KINMAN: AND HOW DOES THAT TIE INTO THE RURAL ISSUES?
5 >DAVE VAUTIN: THE UNINCORPORATED COUNTY ISSUE?

6

7 RANDI KINMAN: YEAH. I MEAN WHEN WE'RE LOOKING AT -- WE HAVE 8 COUNTIES THAT ARE PRIMARILY AGRICULTURE, AND SOUTH SANTA CLARA 9 IS PRIMARILY AGRICULTURE, SO MY CONCERN IS WHEN WE MEASURE HOW 10 LONG DOES IT TAKE FOR SOMEBODY TO GET TO WORK, THAT WE'RE ACKNOWLEDGING THE FACT THAT THE CORE OF THE URBAN AREA 11 MEASURES DIFFERENTLY BECAUSE THERE IS REASON AND WE'RE NOT 12 HOLDING OURSELVES UP BECAUSE WE HAVE THESE OTHER AREAS. >DAVE 13 VAUTIN: UNDERSTOOD. A LOT OF THE RURAL AREAS IN THE RHNA 14 PROCESS -- LET ME CLARIFY, A LOT OF THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS 15 16 TO BE CLEAR, ARE A MIX OF RURAL, AND ALSO URBAN ISLANDS AND OTHER CHUNKS OF URBANIZED AREAS IT'S NOT JUST THE SOUTH BAY 17 WITH POCKETS ALL OVER INCLUDING STANFORD AREA BUT PLACES LIKE 18 19 CASTRO VALLEY IN THE EAST BAY THESE ARE UNINCORPORATED AREAS MANY OF THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS IN THE REGION, YOU KNOW, SAY, 20 21 TEND TO NOT HAVE GOOD ACCESS TO TRANSIT THEY TEND TO BE LOWER 22 RESOURCE AREAS LOOKING AT THE REGION OVERALL BUT THEY ARE 23 GETTING SOME RHNA BECAUSE THERE'S AN EXPECTATION THAT THOSE --WE'RE NOT SAYING THERE SHOULD BE DEVELOPMENT IN THE RURAL 24



AREAS, BUT THAT THOSE URBAN ISLANDS ALL OVER THE BAY AREA,
 THEY SHOULD HAVE ACCOMMODATE AS WELL.

3

4 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: RANDI, I'M GOING TO HAVE TO CUT YOU OFF,
5 DUE TO TIME. THIS CERTAINLY -- FURTHER CONVERSATION. IF YOU
6 HAVE QUESTIONS THAT WE DON'T GET TO, PLEASE FOLLOW UP WITH
7 STAFF AND WE WILL HAVE THEM PUT IN PUBLIC RECORD SO EVERYONE
8 CAN SEE. TERRY SCOTT WILL BE OUR FINAL SPEAKER. IN ONE MINUTE
9 PLEASE.

10

TERRY SCOTT: THANK YOU. MY QUESTION IS, TO THE METHODOLOGY, 11 THAT DETERMINES THE RHNA NUMBERS FOR EACH OF THE COUNTIES AND 12 THE COMMUNITIES OUT THERE. WE -- IN NAPA COUNTY, FOR EXAMPLE, 13 THE RHNA NUMBERS IN THIS CYCLE MORE THAN DOUBLED. AND THIS IS 14 15 AN AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITY WHERE AGRICULTURE IS THE HIGHEST 16 BEST USE OF THE LAND ACCORDING TO OUR GENERAL PLAN. SO IT'S DIFFICULT TO ACCOMMODATE THAT KIND OF A LARGE NUMBER OF 17 HOUSING WHEN YOU HAVE SO FEW URBAN AREAS IN THE COUNTY TO DO 18 19 SO. NOW I RECOGNIZE THAT IT KIND OF CONTRADICTS WITH THE NEED FOR THAT KIND OF HOUSING, BECAUSE IT'S NOT ADVANTAGEOUS TO 20 21 HAVE 30,000 CARS A DAY COMMUTING INTO A COUNTY OF LESS THAN 22 140,000 RESIDENTS. SO THAT MEANS THAT, OBVIOUSLY, THERE NEEDS 23 TO BE MORE HOUSING AVAILABLE, BUT IT CONTRADICTS WITH OUR GENERAL PLAN. HOW DO WE GET AROUND THAT? AND HOW DO WE MEET 24 THESE RHNA NUMBERS? NOW I REALIZE THERE IS SOME NEGOTIATIONS 25

THAT TAKE PLACE, AND THOSE NUMBERS WILL PROBABLY GO DOWN. BUT 1 IT'S A VERY DIFFICULT POSITION TO HAVE OUR COUNTY AND CITY 2 3 LEADERS PUT IN, IN TRYING TO NEGOTIATE THOSE NUMBERS, RECOGNIZING THAT THE AREAS AND THE OPPORTUNITIES JUST DON'T 4 5 EXIST HERE. THIS IS -- OUR BIGGEST BUSINESS IS AGRICULTURE, AND THE BIGGEST PART OF AGRICULTURE IS AGRA-TOURISM, AND THAT 6 7 DOESN'T LEAD TO AREAS FOR HOUSING. >DAVE VAUTIN: I WANT TO 8 ACKNOWLEDGE, I THINK WE HAVE GOTTEN OFF OF THE AGENDA ITEM 9 HERE AND ON TO THE RHNA TOPIC, I KNOW RHNA IS INTERESTING TO TALK ABOUT AND NEEDS TO BE TALKED ABOUT IT WILL BE TALKED 10 ABOUT THIS MONTH AND NEXT MONTH. TO ADDRESS YOUR QUESTION, 11 EVERY REGIONAL RHNA NUMBER WENT UP ALMOST EVERY JURISDICTION 12 IS SEEING A HIGHER ALLOCATION THIS TIME. SECOND PARTLY OF THAT 13 QUESTION IS YOU'RE CORRECT THERE ARE NEGOTIATIONS IN FACT 14 15 THERE IS A PROVISION IN LAW THAT ALLOWS UNINCORPORATED 16 COUNTIES TO TRANSFER THEIR RHNA TO NEIGHBORING CITIES IF BOTH PARTIES AGREE IS THAT'S A LAW THAT CAN BE EXERCISED STARTING 17 18 IN 2021. THERE IS A PROCESS FOR DEALING WITH THIS ISSUE, AND I 19 THINK FOR SOME COUNTIES THEY'RE GOING TO PURSUE THAT PROCESS. 20

21 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH EVERYONE. I APPRECIATE
22 YOUR FEEDBACK. SO, MARTHA DO WE HAVE -- IT LOOKS LIKE WE HAVE
23 ONE COMMENT FROM THE PUBLIC.

24

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION



CLERK OF THE BOARD: FROM WALTER WILSON. WALTER, GO AHEAD AND
 UNMUTE YOURSELF.

3

SPEAKER: HELLO GOOD MORNING EVERYONE. HOW ARE YOU DOING? SO 4 LISTEN, SO ONE OF THE THINGS I WOULD LIKE TO ASK A QUESTION 5 ABOUT, THEY SEE IN THE REPORT IS, YOU KNOW, WE'RE TALKING 6 7 ABOUT EQUITY, AND THE DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION, ABOUT 8 EMPLOYMENT, AND I DON'T SEE ANY OF THAT AND I DON'T KNOW IF 9 THIS COMMITTEE CAN HAVE ANY INFLUENCE ON THE CONTRACTING, THE BILLIONS OF DOLLARS THAT ARE SPENT IN TRANSPORTATION 10 CONTRACTING, AND HOW TO ADDRESS THAT DISPARITY IN TERMS OF 11 DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION FOR MINORITY OWNED BUSINESS AND 12 INCREASING THAT AS WELL, IS THAT SOMETHING THAT WE CAN 13 14 ADDRESS?

15

VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU. SO, BEFORE WE MOVE ON, WILL WE SEE YOU AGAIN WITHIN THE NEXT COUPLE OF MONTHS? ANUP? DAVE? 18

19 ANUP TAPASE: YOU CERTAINLY WILL BE SEEING DAVE, AS HE BRINGS 20 THE FINAL BLUEPRINT OUTCOMES TO THE LARGER COUNCIL, AND YOU 21 WILL PROBABLY SEE ME IN FEBRUARY OR MARCH WHEN I BRING IN A 22 DRAFT OF THE EQUITY ANALYSIS.

23

24 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO LET'S MOVE -25 CLOSE THIS ITEM OUT, AND MOVING ON TO ITEM FIVE, NEW BUSINESS.



MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE MAY BRING UP NEW BUSINESS FOR 1 DISCUSSION OR ADDITION TO FUTURE AGENDAS. 2 3 ANUP TAPASE: THANK YOU. 4 5 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: THANK YOU ANUP AND DAVE, YOU ARE WELCOME 6 7 TO STAY IF YOU CHOOSE. DO WE HAVE ANY MEMBERS WITH NEW 8 BUSINESS? SEEING NONE, LET'S MOVE ON TO PUBLIC COMMENT. ITEM 9 SIX. MARTHA, DO WE HAVE GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENTS AT THIS TIME? 10 11 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE ARE NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITH THEIR HANDS RAISED AND NO PUBLIC COMMENT WAS SUBMITTED FOR 12 13 THIS ITEM. 14 VEDA FLOREZ, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU EVERYBODY. MOVING ON TO 15 16 ITEM SEVEN, ADJOURNMENT. SO THE NEXT MEETING OF THE POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL EQUITY & ACCESS SUBCOMMITTEE WILL BE HELD AT 17 18 A TIME AND DATE TO BE DULY NOTICED. SO, THANK YOU EVERYONE. I 19 WILL CALL THIS MEETING ADJOURNED. [ADJOURNED]

20



Broadcasting Government