# METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript



1	REGIONAL MEASURE 3 INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
2	MONDAY, MARCH 4 <sup>TH</sup> , 2024, 1:00 PM
3	
4	
5	THERESA ROMMELL: GOOD AFTERNOON EVERYBODY. OKAY. MY NAME IS MY
6	NAME IS THERESA ROMMEL. I WOULD LIKE TO CALL TO ORDER THIS
7	MEETING OF THE REGIONAL MEASURE THREE INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT
8	COMMITTEE. THIS MEETING IS BEING WEBCAST ON THE MTC WEB SITE.
9	COUNCIL MEMBERS AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATING BY
10	ZOOM WISHING TO SPEAK SHOULD USE THE RAISED HAND FEATURE OR
11	DIAL STAR NINE AND YOU WILL BE CALLED UPON AT THE APPROPRIATE
12	TIME. TELECONFERENCE ATTENDEES WILL BE CALLED UP BY THE LAST
13	FOUR DIGITS OF THEIR PHONE NUMBER. THAT BRINGS US TO ITEM ONE,
14	ROLL CALL.
15	
16	CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: I'LL CALL YOU BY YOUR LAST NAMES ONLY.
17	AMBROSE?
18	
19	TIM AMBROSE: PRESENT.
20	
21	CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: BAILEY? IS ABSENT. BRIDLEBOUGH.
22	
23	STEVE BRIDLEBOUGH: HERE.
24	
25	CT.FDK WALLY CHADIFS. FRISFILA IS ARSENT HACERTY?



1	
2	KEVIN HAGERTY: PRESENT.
3	
4	CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: HANSSON?
5	
6	FREDERICK ARN HANSSON: HERE.
7	
8	CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: HAYES?
9	
10	WILLIAM G. JERRY HAYES: HERE.
11	
12	PAMELA KINDIG: KINDIG?
13	
14	CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: HERE.
15	
16	CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: LESSLER? IS ABSENT. MAITLAND? IS ABSENT.
17	NATRAJAN? IS ABSENT. SHAW?
18	
19	BRIAN DAVID SHAW: PRESENT.
20	
21	CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: WEBSTER? IS ABSENT. WE HAVE QUORUM.
22	THANK YOU.
23	
24	THERESA ROMMELL: NEXT ITEM IS THE COMPENSATION ANNOUNCEMENT.
25	



- 1 CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: AS AUTHORIZED BY STATE LAW, I AM MAKING
- 2 THE FOLLOWING ANNOUNCEMENT. EACH MEMBER OF THE BOARD HERE
- 3 TODAY WILL BE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE \$100 PER MEETING ATTENDED UP
- 4 TO A MAXIMUM OF \$500 PER MONTH PER AGENCY. THIS AMOUNT IS A
- 5 PROVIDED AS A RESULT OF CONVENING A MEETING FOR WHICH EACH
- 6 MEMBER IS ENTITLED TO COLLECT SUCH AMOUNT. THANK YOU.

- 8 THERESA ROMMELL: OKAY. SO, NOW WE ARE ALREADY ON AGENDA ITEM
- 9 NUMBER 3A, THAT'S THE WELCOME AND ORIENTATION. I WANT TO SAY
- 10 WE'RE VERY HAPPY THAT YOU COULD ALL BE HERE TODAY FOR THE
- 11 INAUGURAL MEETING OF THE REGIONAL MEASURE 3 INDEPENDENT
- 12 OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE. YOU WILL BE GETTING AN OVERVIEW
- 13 PRESENTATION AS PART OF THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA. I'LL KEEP
- 14 MY REMARKS IN THIS SECTION BRIEF. AS YOU KNOW SENATE BILL
- 15 NUMBER 595, WHICH WAS SIGNED INTO LAW IN 2017, PROVIDED
- 16 LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK FOR THE REGIONAL MEASURE 3, INCLUDING AN
- 17 EXPENDITURE PLAN CONSISTING OF 35 CAPITAL PROJECTS, TOTALING
- 18 \$4.45 BILLION AND THREE OPERATING PROGRAMS, WHICH COMBINED ADD
- 19 UP TO 16% OF ANNUAL REGIONAL MEASURE 3 REVENUES, UP TO \$60
- 20 MILLION ANNUALLY. THE LEGISLATION ALSO REQUIRED ESTABLISHMENT
- 21 OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR TRANSIT OPERATING PROGRAMS, THE
- 22 SUBMISSION OF INITIAL PROJECT REPORTS FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS. IT
- 23 OUTLINES HOW MTC CAN MODIFY THE CAPITAL PROGRAM, AND IT
- 24 REQUIRES THAT THE BAY AREA TOLL AUTHORITY INCLUDE REGIONAL
- 25 MEASURE 3 PROJECTS IN THE LONG RANGE BRIDGE TOLL PLAN. SB595



- 1 ALSO REQUIRED THAT BATA, THE BAY AREA TOLL AUTHORITY,
- 2 ESTABLISH AN INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE WITHIN SIX MONTHS
- 3 OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE TOLL INCREASE, AND SUBSEQUENT
- 4 LEGISLATION INCLUDED REQUIREMENTS REGARDING COMMITTEE
- 5 MEMBERSHIP. THOSE REQUIREMENTS ARE SPELLED OUT IN YOUR MEETING
- 6 MATERIAL. BAY AREA VOTERS APPROVED RM3 IN JUNE 2018, AND,
- 7 ACCORDINGLY, BATA ADOPTED THE TOLL SCHEDULE ESTABLISHING TOLLS
- 8 AND THE INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE IN JUNE 2019 AND
- 9 FILLED ITS ROSTER FOR THE REQUISITE FOUR-YEAR TERM. DUE TO
- 10 LAWSUITS CHALLENGING RM3 INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE DID
- 11 NOT MEET FOR THE ENTIRE TERM OF JULY 2019 THROUGH JUNE OF
- 12 2023. DURING THAT TIME ALL COLLECTED REGIONAL MEASURE 3 FUNDS
- 13 WERE HELD IN ESCROW PENDING THE LITIGATION RESOLUTION. AND
- 14 FOLLOWING THAT FINAL RESOLUTION OF THE LITIGATION OF RM3 IN
- 15 JANUARY 2023, BATA RELEASED THE TOLL FUNDS HELD IN ESCROW AND
- 16 TERMINATED THE ESCROW AGREEMENT IN MARCH ALLOWING FOR THE
- 17 START OF THE ALLOCATIONS AND EXPENDITURES OF THE FUNDS.
- 18 APPOINTEES WERE SOLICITED BY COUNTIES FOR A NEW TERM FROM JULY
- 19 2023 THROUGH JUNE 2027. BECAUSE THE COMMITTEE DID NOT MEET
- 20 DURING THE FIRST TERM, THE 2023 TO 2027 TERM SHALL COUNT AS
- 21 THE FIRST TERM FOR THE PURPOSES OF FULFILLING TERMS LIMITED
- 22 TERM LIMITS OUTLINED IN THE STATUTE. AS FOR THE
- 23 RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE COMMITTEE, THE STATUTE OUTLINES THAT
- 24 THE PURPOSE OF THE COMMITTEE TO ENSURE THAT RM3 TOLL REVENUES
- 25 ARE EXPENDED CONSISTENT WITH APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS IN THE



- 1 STREETS AND HIGHWAYS CODE. THE DUTY OF THE COMMITTEE IS TO
- 2 ANNUALLY REVIEW THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR THE RM3
- 3 EXPENDITURE PLAN AND PREPARE AND SUBMIT A REPORT TO THE
- 4 TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE OF EACH HOUSE OF THE LEGISLATOR
- 5 SUMMARIZING THOSE FINDINGS. BATA IS ALSO REQUIRED TO ANNUALLY
- 6 PREPARE A REPORT TO THE LEGISLATOR -- LEGISLATURE ON THE
- 7 STATUS OF THE PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS FUNDED BY RM3. FROM 2019
- 8 THROUGH 2023, BATA HAS PREPARED THIS REPORT ON A CALENDAR-YEAR
- 9 BASIS AND SENT IT TO THE LEGISLATURE IN DECEMBER. BUT WITH
- 10 ALLOCATIONS AND EXPENDITURES NOW UNDERWAY, STAFF PLANS TO
- 11 SHIFT THAT TO A FISCAL-YEAR BASIS, STARTING WITH THE FISCAL
- 12 2023- '24 REPORT. IN TERMS OF A PROPOSED SCHEDULE, MTC STAFF
- 13 PROPOSES THAT THE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE ADOPT AN ANNUAL REPORT
- 14 SCHEDULE TIED TO THE FISCAL YEAR JULY 1ST THROUGH JUNE 30TH,
- 15 WHICH WE THINK WILL ALIGN WELL WITH THE START OF THE ACTUAL
- 16 RM3 EXPENDITURES AND ALLOCATIONS AND WITH THE TERMS OF THE
- 17 APPOINTEES AND WITH THE PLAN SHIFT TO FISCAL-YEAR BASIS
- 18 REPORTS BY BATA ITSELF. THAT WOULD ALLOW US TO BE ON THE SAME
- 19 TIME FRAME IN TERMS OF PROVIDING THE REPORT TO LEGISLATURE.
- 20 BATA RESOLUTION NUMBER 131 REVISED PROVIDES GUIDANCE FOR
- 21 RECEIPTS OF STIPENDS AND TRAVEL EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT FOR UP
- 22 TO FOUR MEETINGS PER YEAR. FOR THE 2023-'24 REPORT YEAR, STAFF
- 23 WELCOMES COMMITTEE DISCUSSION AND FEEDBACK ON THE IDEAL NUMBER
- 24 OF MEETINGS IN ADDITION TO TODAY. STAFF WAS ENVISIONING TWO
- 25 MEETINGS PER YEAR, ONE IN JANUARY OR FEBRUARY AND ANOTHER IN



- 1 JUNE. WE BELIEVE THAT WOULD BE SUFFICIENT. HOWEVER, GIVEN
- 2 TODAY'S MEETING IS FOCUSED ON ORIENTATION, THE MEMBERSHIP MAY
- 3 WISH TO SCHEDULE ANOTHER MEETING BETWEEN MARCH AND JUNE TO GET
- 4 INTO THE DETAILS OF THE INFORMATION. THAT'S ENTIRELY UP TO
- 5 YOU. THAT CONCLUDES MY REPORT FOR THE WELCOME AND ORIENTATION.
- 6 IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS FROM THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS, I WOULD
- 7 BE HAPPY TO ANSWER THOSE NOW OR WE CAN MOVE ON TO THE NEXT
- 8 ITEM WHEN YOU'RE READY.
- 10 PAMELA KINDIG: COMMENT, PLEASE?
- 12 THERESA ROMMELL: MEMBER KINDIG.
- 14 PAMELA KINDIG: YOU TALKED ABOUT THE POTENTIAL MEETINGS BEING
- 15 IN JANUARY AND JUNE, IS THAT CORRECT?
- 17 THERESA ROMMELL: POTENTIALLY, JANUARY OR FEBRUARY, WINTER, AND
- 18 THEN JUNE.
- 20 PAMELA KINDIG: THE JUNE MEETING, I FIND THAT TO BE DIFFICULT
- 21 BECAUSE THE FISCAL YEAR CLOSES AT THE END OF JUNE, AND TO GET
- 22 ANY INFORMATION THAT'S PERTINENT FOR THAT SIX-MONTH PERIOD OF
- 23 TIME REALLY SHOULD BE HELD IN JULY OR AUGUST.

24

9

11

13

16



- 1 THERESA ROMMELL: YEAH. THAT WOULD BE FINE. I WOULD SAY THAT
- 2 TYPICALLY WE'LL KNOW WHAT THE ALLOCATIONS ARE AND COMING UP IN
- 3 JUNE WE MAY NOT HAVE THE EXPENDITURE INFORMATION FOR THAT
- 4 MONTH, BUT WE WOULD BE AWARE OF THE ALLOCATIONS THAT WERE TO
- 5 BE MADE IN JUNE.

6

7 PAMELA KINDIG: OKAY.

8

- 9 SPEAKER: FOR SCHEDULING PURPOSES, ONCE WE DECIDE HOW MANY
- 10 MEETINGS IT WOULD BE, IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF WE COULD AGREE
- 11 ON, LIKE, A FIRST MONDAY OF WHATEVER MONTH WE'RE GOING TO
- 12 MEET, MONDAY OR WEDNESDAY, OR WHATEVER, SO THAT WE CAN GET IT
- 13 ON OUR CALENDARS.

14

- 15 SPEAKER: SO, MY OUESTION IS, ARE WE AGREEING TO DO FOUR
- 16 MEETINGS? IS THAT OPEN FOR DISCUSSION? ARE WE DOING TWO
- 17 MEETINGS? I'M NOT CLEAR.

18

- 19 THERESA ROMMELL: IT'S OPEN FOR DISCUSSION. AND, HONESTLY, YOU
- 20 DON'T HAVE TO MAKE THE DECISION RIGHT NOW. YOU CAN MAKE IT
- 21 LATER ON. AND THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS ACTUALLY TO
- 22 SELECT A CHAIR AND A VICE CHAIR, AND ONCE THAT SELECTION IS
- 23 MADE, THEN THERE CAN BE ADDITIONAL CONVERSATIONS WITHOUT US
- 24 BEING THE FACILITATOR.



SPEAKER: THANK YOU. 1 2 3 THERESA ROMMELL: I DON'T SEE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS. OH, I'M SORRY. MEMBER HAYES? 4 5 WILLIAM G. JERRY HAYES: YES, YOU COMMENTED ABOUT BATA 6 REPORTING TO THE LEGISLATURE. AND THEN YOU MENTION ALSO ABOUT 7 8 THE FACT THAT THIS GROUP WILL BE REPORTING TO THE LEGISLATURE. COULD YOU EXPLAIN TO ME, BRIEFLY, WHAT THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 9 THOSE TWO REPORTS ARE? 10 11 CRAIG BOSMAN: SURE. CRAIG BOSMAN WITH MTC. BOTH ARE 12 STATUTORILY REQUIRED. THE ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE IS 13 ONE OF MANY THINGS THAT MTC OR BATA MUST DO AS PART OF 14 OVERSEEING RM3. IT'S REALLY THE KEY PURPOSE OF ALL OF THIS 15 16 INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE. THEY'RE SEPARATE REPORTS. AS 17 THERESA MENTIONED, WE WOULD LIKE TO ALIGN THEM TIMING WISE. BUT AS TO THE CONTENT, WE'LL GET INTO THE SPECIFIC CHARGE IN 18 THE NEXT COUPLE OF ITEMS. THE REPORT BY THIS COMMITTEE IS 19 INTENDED TO BE SEPARATE AND INDEPENDENT OF OUR OWN REPORT. 20 21 22 SPEAKER: I THINK IT MIGHT BE USEFUL FOR US TO HAVE A SAMPLE OF THE DATA BEFORE WE START TALKING ABOUT HOW OFTEN WE'RE GOING 23 TO MEET. I HAVEN'T FOLLOWED THE PARTICULAR RM3 ACTIVITIES 24

CLOSELY. IS THERE ANY WAY TO GET THAT?



1 THERESA ROMMELL: THERE SHOULD BE SOME INFORMATION ALREADY IN 2 3 YOUR MATERIALS THAT YOU WERE PROVIDED FOR THIS MEETING, SO YOU CAN CERTAINLY TAKE A LOOK AT THAT. AND THEN, WE'RE HAPPY, IN 4 5 THE NEXT PRESENTATION -- NOT -- LATER IN THIS PRESENTATION, TO GET A LITTLE BIT MORE IN DETAIL AS TO WHAT YOU WOULD BE 6 EXPECTED TO BASE YOUR FINDINGS ON THE INFORMATION THAT YOU 7 8 WILL BE USING. OKAY. I DON'T SEE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS. WE CAN MOVE INTO THE NEXT AGENDA ITEM, WHICH IS THE SELECTION OF THE 9 CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR. 10 11 KATHLEEN KANE: ACTUALLY, AS A LITTLE BIT OF LEGAL 12 HOUSEKEEPING. THIS IS OFFICIALLY A BROWN ACT MEETING, SO, WE 13 HAVE TO ASK IF THERE IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE LAST ITEM. 14 15 16 CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITH THEIR HAND RAISED AND NO SPEAKER CARDS IN THE ROOM HERE FOR PUBLIC 17 COMMENT. 18 19 THERESA ROMMELL: OKAY. WITH THE THAT WE CAN MOVE ON TO AGENDA 20 21 ITEM 4A, NOMINATION AND ELECTION OF THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR OF THE REGIONAL MEASURE THREE INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE. 22 AND THIS IS AN ACTION ITEM. I'LL GO AHEAD AND EXPLAIN WHAT IS 23 ABOUT TO TAKE PLACE AND THEN PERHAPS PRIOR TO THE ACTUAL 24

NOMINATION AND VOTE, WE WOULD ALLOW THE MEMBERS HERE TODAY TO



- 1 GO AHEAD AND INTRODUCE YOURSELVES A LITTLE BIT SO THAT YOUR
- 2 COMEMBERS CAN GET TO KNOW WHO YOU AND A LITTLE BIT OF
- 3 BACKGROUND ABOUT YOU. BUT SINCE THE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE HAS
- 4 BEEN CONVENED TO BEGIN THE WORK OF THE RM3 EXPENDITURE REVIEW
- 5 AND HAS THE TASK OF SUBMITTING A REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
- 6 EACH YEAR, STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMMITTEE ELECT A CHAIR
- 7 AND VICE CHAIR OF THE RM3 OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEETING TO
- 8 FACILITATE MEETINGS OF THIS BODY. AND GIVEN THAT THERE ARE
- 9 ONLY 2 TO 4 MEETINGS PER YEAR, STAFF FURTHER RECOMMENDS THAT
- 10 THE CHAIR SERVE FOR A TWO-YEAR TERM. MTC STAFF IS HAPPY TO
- 11 ENTERTAIN ADJUSTMENTS, IF DESIRED, BY THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS.
- 12 SO, WITH THAT, I WOULD LIKE TO GO AHEAD AND ALLOW THE MEMBERS
- 13 TO INTRODUCE THEMSELVES AND GIVE A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND
- 14 WHO YOU ARE. SO, CAN WE START WITH MEMBER HAGERTY?
- 16 KEVIN HAGERTY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MY NAME IS KEVIN HAGERTY.
- 17 I AM ONE OF TWO MARIN COUNTY REPRESENTATIVES. I'M CURRENTLY
- 18 SERVING AS THE VICE CHAIR OF THE TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY OF
- 19 MARIN CITIZENS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE. I'M CURRENTLY PRESIDENT OF
- 20 THE MARIN COUNTY LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS. I AM RETIRED NOW. BUT
- 21 WHEN I WAS WORKING, AMONG THE JOBS I HAD WAS I WAS DIRECTOR OF
- 22 THE SAN FRANCISCO PARKING AUTHORITY FOR A WHILE. I WORKED FOR
- 23 BART AS THE DEPARTMENT MANAGER FOR CUSTOMER ACCESS. AND I ALSO
- 24 WORKED FOR UC BERKELEY AS HEAD OF THEIR TRANSPORTATION
- 25 DEPARTMENT.



1 THERESA ROMMELL: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M HAVING A HARD 2 3 TIME WITH MY EYES. MEMBER HANSON? 4 5 FREDERICK ARN HANSSON: IT'S HAN-SSON. 6 7 THERESA ROMMELL: HANSSON. THANK YOU. 8 FREDERICK ARN HANSSON: IT'S A SWEDISH NAME. IT'S, LITERALLY, 9 10 HANSSON. 11 THERESA ROMMELL: GOT IT. 12 13 FREDERICK ARN HANSSON: WELL, GOOD AFTERNOON. FIFTH GENERATION, 14 15 ACTUALLY, SAN FRANCISCO AN NOW LIVES IN THE PENINSULA. 16 EMERITUS IN BUSINESS PLANNING FROM STANFORD AND CURRENTLY ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION FOR SAN MATEO COUNTY. AND MY 17 BACKGROUND OF STUDY IS IN URBAN PLANNING. 18 19 THERESA ROMMELL: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MR. SHAW? 20 21 22 BRIAN DAVID SHAW: GOOD AFTERNOON. BRIAN SHAW. I AM THE 23 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF STANFORD TRANSPORTATION. THAT'S WHAT PAYS THE BILLS. I LIVED IN SAN FRANCISCO FOR TEN YEARS NOW. 24 DOWN THE STREET. I GOT TO WALK HERE, WHICH WAS NICE. MOST 25



- 1 MEETINGS I DON'T GET TO WALK TO. CURRENTLY CHAIR OF THE
- 2 CALTRANS CITIZEN'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE. I HAVE BEEN DOING THAT
- 3 FOR 6 TO 7 YEARS NOW. I HAVE BEEN ON THE COMMITTEE SINCE 2014.
- 4 VICE CHAIR OF THE TRANSBAY JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY. THE BIG BUS
- 5 BUILDING DOWN THE STREET, BEEN DOING THAT FOR THE LAST YEAR.
- 6 ALSO SECRETARY OF THE CALIFORNIA MOBILITY AND PARKING
- 7 ASSOCIATION. WHICH IS A GROUP OF CITIES AND UNIVERSITIES THAT
- 8 GET TOGETHER TO TALK ABOUT ALL THE THINGS PARKING. WE HAVE A
- 9 LOT TO TALK ABOUT -- (LAUGHTER) -- AS YOU CAN IMAGINE. I DON'T
- 10 OWN A CAR. I TAKE CALTRAIN, MUNI, BART. I TAKE THE FERRY TO
- 11 GET AROUND. I'M PROBABLY THE BIGGEST TRANSIT ADVOCATE YOU
- 12 COULD FIND. NOT THAT I HAVE ANYTHING AGAINST CARS. I JUST
- 13 DON'T USE THEM. THAT'S IT ABOUT ME. THANK YOU FOR HAVING ME.
- 14 APPRECIATE IT.

15

16 THERESA ROMMELL: THANK YOU. MEMBER AMBROSE?

- 18 TIM AMBROSE: GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYONE. I AM TIM AMBROSE. I AM
- 19 A FULL-TIME RESIDENTIAL REALTOR IN CASTRO VALLEY. I'M WITH THE
- 20 ALAMEDA COUNTY -- I GUESS WHO APPOINTED ME IS NATE MILEY. I AM
- 21 A DIRECTOR WITH THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS FOR THE
- 22 PAST SEVERAL YEARS. AND I AM CURRENTLY SERVING ON A BOARD,
- 23 WITH "LOVE THAT NEVER FAILS" THAT TAKES CARE OF HUMAN
- 24 TRAFFICKING TRYING TO HELP THOSE WHO GET OUT OF IT AND



- 1 TRANSITION BACK INTO THE WORK WORLD, AND I AM HAPPY TO BE
- 2 HERE. THANK YOU.

3

4 THERESA ROMMELL: THANK YOU. MEMBER KINDIG?

- 6 PAMELA KINDIG: WELL, GOOD AFTERNOON. I, TOO, AM HAPPY TO BE
- 7 HERE. I REPRESENT NAPA COUNTY TODAY. MY BACKGROUND IS PRETTY
- 8 MUCH INVOLVED IN THE ACTIONS OF THE COUNTY, ITSELF, FOR 22
- 9 YEARS. ACTUALLY, IN 1986, I WAS ELECTED AS THE COUNTY'S
- 10 AUDITOR CONTROLLER. AND DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME, MANY, MANY
- 11 THINGS HAVE HAPPENED, OF COURSE IN ALL COUNTIES, BUT
- 12 SPECIFICALLY IN NAPA, WHETHER IT WAS FIRES, WHETHER IT WAS
- 13 FLOODS, OR WHETHER IT WAS EARTHQUAKES. IT'S ALWAYS THAT KIND
- 14 OF THINGS THAT AS THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER, HAD YOU TO DEAL
- 15 WITH. BUT NOT ONLY THAT, WAS BASICALLY THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE
- 16 FOR ALL THE FINANCIAL ASPECTS OF THE COUNTY. IT WAS, INDEED,
- 17 AN HONOR TO BE ABLE TO SERVE THE CITIZENS OF NAPA FOR 22
- 18 YEARS. I DID RETIRE IN 2009. SINCE I RETIRED I HAVE TAKEN AN
- 19 ACTIVE ROLE IN THE COUNTY -- ACTUALLY BEFORE I RETIRED,
- 20 MEASURE A WAS PASSED, THE HALF CENT SALES TAX TO TAKE CARE OF
- 21 THE FLOOD CONTROL ISSUES IN THE COUNTY. AND PART OF THAT WAS
- 22 ESTABLISHING AN OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND BEING THE STAFF
- 23 RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT COMMITTEE. AND THEN IT WAS SHORTLY AFTER
- 24 I RETIRED MEASURE A BECAME MEASURE-T WHEN THE MEASURE WAS
- 25 EXTENDED, AND WHAT NOW IT TAKES CARE OF ARE ROADS AND



- 1 MAINTENANCE FOR THE COUNTY ROADS. AND I SIT ON THAT OVERSIGHT
- 2 COMMITTEE, CURRENTLY, NOW. FROM A LOCAL STANDPOINT, ONE OF MY
- 3 INVOLVEMENTS IS SITTING ON THE BOARD FOR PROVIDENCE HEALTH
- 4 SYSTEM FOR THE REGION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA. OTHER THAN THAT,
- 5 IT'S GREAT TO SEE RM3 GETTING STARTED, BEING PUT ASIDE FOR
- 6 FOUR YEARS WAS CERTAINLY NOT WHAT WAS INTENDED. SO, I LOOK
- 7 FORWARD TO BEING ON THIS COMMITTEE.

8

- 9 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: THANK YOU. AND MEMBER BRIDLEBOUGH?
- 10 BRIDLEBOUGH.

11

- 12 STEVE BRIDLEBOUGH: STEVE BRIDLEBOUGH. I AM A RETIRED LAWYER. I
- 13 WAS THE ADVOCATE FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL FOR ABOUT 20 YEARS
- 14 IN SACRAMENTO, NOW LOCATED IN SANTA ROSA. I SERVE ON THE
- 15 CITIZEN'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR THE SONOMA TRANSPORTATION --
- 16 SONOMA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY AND THE REGIONAL
- 17 CLIMATE PROTECTION AUTHORITY. I CARE ABOUT FOUR COMMITTEES FOR
- 18 THE SIERRA CLUB, ALL FOCUSED ON TRANSPORTATION AND LAND USE.
- 19 AND I ALSO CHAIR THE TRANSPORTATION AND LAND USE COALITION FOR
- 20 SONOMA COUNTY. MY EXPERIENCE WITH LARGE BUDGETS IS LARGELY
- 21 WHEN I WAS WITH THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL. BUT I FOLLOWED RM3
- 22 PRETTY CLOSELY, AND WE'RE VERY INTERESTED IN WHAT IT'S GOING
- 23 TO BE ABLE TO DO FOR THE NORTH BAY. THANK YOU.

24

25 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: AND MEMBER HAYES?



1 2 WILLIAM G. JERRY HAYES: MY NAME IS JERRY HAYES. I AM A LONG 3 TIME RESIDENT, AND FORMER MAYOR OF THE CITY OF BENICIA. I CAME TO THIS MEETING TODAY VIA THE VALLEJO FERRY. AND I SERVED FOR 4 5 FIVE YEARS ON THE SOLANO TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY. I HAD A PARTICULAR INTEREST IN TRANSPORTATION, TRANSPORTATION FUNDING. 6 AND I SERVED AS CHAIR OF THE STA FOR TWO YEARS. I, ALSO, 7 8 SERVED FOR FIVE YEARS ON THE BCDC BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DURING WHICH TIME I REALLY LEARNED HOW TO CONDUCT A MEETING. 9 BECAUSE AS SOME OF YOU MAY KNOW, BCDC HAS 27 MEMBERS. MOST OF 10 WHOM ARE ATTORNEYS, AND THEY ALL LIKE TO TALK. AND TO WITNESS 11 AND OBSERVE HOW THEY WERE ABLE TO CONDUCT THEIR MEETINGS WITH 12 THAT MANY MEMBERS, AND MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE 13 MEMBERSHIP, THE PUBLIC, THE STAFF, AND, ACTUALLY GO THROUGH AN 14 15 AGENDA AND GET SOMETHING ACCOMPLISHED, WAS A LEARNING 16 EXPERIENCE FOR ME. AS I SAY, I HAVE ALWAYS HAD AN INTEREST IN TRANSPORTATION FUNDING. AND I'M DELIGHTED TO SERVE. I CAME OUT 17 OF RETIREMENT, ACTUALLY, AND WAS RECRUITED BY THE COUNTY BOARD 18 OF SUPERVISORS TO SERVE ON THIS COMMITTEE. THANK YOU. 19 20 THERESA ROMMELL: OKAY. THANK YOU, ALL, FOR INTRODUCING 21 YOURSELVES. I NOW INVITE NOMINATIONS FOR CHAIR OF THE REGIONAL 22

22 TOURSELVES. I NOW INVITE NOMINATIONS FOR CHAIR OF THE REGIV

- 23 MEASURE 3 OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TAKE OFFICE TODAY, MARCH 4TH,
- 24 2024. ANY COMMITTEE MEMBER WHO WOULD LIKE TO NOMINATE HIMSELF
- 25 OR HERSELF IS WELCOME TO DO SO, AS WELL.



1	
2	STEVE BRIDLEBOUGH: WELL, I WOULD LIKE TO NOMINATE RYAN, WHO
3	CAN EASILY WALK TO TALK WITH YOU GUYS, AS CHAIR. AND I
4	WOULD LIKE TO NOMINATE PAMELA AS VICE CHAIR. BECAUSE SHE KNOWS
5	NUMBERS.
6	
7	SPEAKER: DO WE NEED A SECOND? OR DO WE NEED TO ACCEPT? HOW
8	DOES THAT WORK? BECAUSE I AGREE.
9	
10	KATHLEEN KANE: LET'S GET ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS OUT ON THE
11	TABLE, AND THEN WE'LL NEED A FORMAL MOTION THAT WE CAN VOTE ON
12	TO HAVE THE ELECTION FOR THE IF THERE IS ADDITIONAL FOLKS,
13	THEN YOU WILL HAVE TO DECIDE ON WHAT MOTION TO MAKE.
14	
15	THERESA ROMMELL: MEMBER HANSSON?
16	
17	FREDERICK ARN HANSSON: I WAS GOING TO AGREE WITH STEVE. THOSE
18	ARE GREAT CHOICES. ALL THE PEOPLE, I THOUGHT EITHER OF THOSE
19	TWO WOULD BE A GOOD POSITION, AND IT WOULD WORK FOR ME, AND I
20	WOULD BE VERY BLEEDS. AND IF NOBODY ELSE WISHES TO NOMINATE,
21	I'LL SAY, I'LL SECOND THE MOTION OF STEVE.
22	
23	THERESA ROMMELL: OKAY. MEMBER HAYES?



1	WILLIAM G. JERRY HAYES: I WAS ABOUT TO SECOND THE MOTION, BUT
2	I FORGOT TO INCLUDE THE FACT THAT I SERVED IN THE UNITED
3	STATES ARMY FOR A PERIOD OF TIME, AND I LEARNED NEVER TO
4	VOLUNTEER.
5	
6	THERESA ROMMELL: (LAUGHTER) OKAY. SO, I BELIEVE ARE THERE
7	ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS? LAST CALL FOR EITHER CHAIR OR VICE
8	CHAIR. OKAY. DO I HAVE OH, SO, I HAVE A NOMINATION FOR
9	CHAIR BRIAN DAVID SHAW, AS CHAIR, AND A NOMINATION FOR PAMELA
10	KINDIG AS VICE CHAIR.
11	
12	KATHLEEN KANE: AND TO CLARIFY, SORRY IF I MISPRONOUNCE YOUR
13	NAME AGAIN. BRIDLEBOUGH? THE MOTION AND IT WAS FIRST SECONDED
14	BY HANSSON.
15	
16	FREDERICK ARN HANSSON: I THINK I WAS THE FIRST ONE THAT JUMPED
17	ON IT, BUT WHATEVER WORKS.
18	
19	KATHLEEN KANE: WE'LL ASSIGN IT TO MEMBER AMBROSE. BEFORE WE
20	HAVE A VOTE, WE NEED TO CHECK IF THERE IS ANY PUBLIC COMMENT.
21	I'LL NOTE, WHILE DOING THAT, FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO HAVE EITHER
22	NOT SERVED ON A BROWN ACT BODY BEFORE I KNOW SOME OF YOU

HAVE -- BUT FOR THOSE WHO HAVEN'T OR IT'S BEEN A WHILE AND

THINGS HAVE CHANGED, I'LL MAKE SURE YOU GET MY INFORMATION SO

23



1	WE CAN GIVE AN UPDATE REFRESHER SO YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THE
2	PROCEDURE. IT'S PRETTY EASY, BUT DOES INTERFERE SOMETIMES.
3	
4	SPEAKER: I FOUND THAT EACH OF THESE BODIES RUN THEIR MEETINGS
5	A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENTLY. BROWN ACT IS THE SAME, BUT THE
6	PROCESSES VARY A LITTLE BIT. I THINK ALL THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS
7	WOULD APPRECIATE THAT. JUST SO WE KNOW THE PS AND Q'S. THIS IS
8	MY FIRST TIME SERVING AS A MTC MANAGED BODY. GIVEN I HAVE DONE
9	THIS IN OTHER PLACES THAT'S FINE BUT EACH ONE IS A BIT
10	DIFFERENT. THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR ALL OF US TO GET THAT
11	INFORMATION SO WE ALL KNOW EXACTLY HOW THESE MEETINGS SHOULD
12	BE CONDUCTED.
13	
14	THERESA ROMMELL: SURE THING. WE'LL GET THAT SCHEDULED FOR
15	EVERYBODY AND WE ARE THE APEX OF BROWN ACT HERE. (LAUGHTER)
16	
17	SPEAKER: ONE QUESTION, TOED IT LINE. DO WE NEED TO FILL OUT
18	FORM 700 WITHIN 30 DAYS AS AN ASSUMING MEMBER OF A COMMITTEE?
19	
20	SPEAKER: YES.
21	
22	KATHLEEN KANE: THANK YOU FOR BEING SENSITIVE TO THAT.



- 1 CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: NO PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED IN WRITING.
- 2 NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITH THEIR HANDS RAISED, AND NO
- 3 SPEAKER CARD IN THE ROOM.

4

- 5 THERESA ROMMELL: OKAY. BASED ON THE NOMINATIONS BEING THE ONLY
- 6 ONES RECEIVED FOR CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR, THE CHAIR OF THE RM3
- 7 OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE.

8

9 KATHLEEN KANE: LET'S, ACTUALLY, HAVE THE VOTE.

10

11 THERESA ROMMELL: SO, WE NEED A VOTE?

12

- 13 KATHLEEN KANE: YEAH. ALL IN FAVOR? (AYES) ANY OPPOSED?
- 14 ABSTENTIONS? THANK YOU. MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY BY ALL
- 15 MEMBERS PRESENT.

16

- 17 THERESA ROMMELL: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO, THE CHAIR OF THE RM3
- 18 OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE IS MEMBER BRIAN DAVID SHAW, REPRESENTING
- 19 SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY, AND THE VICE CHAIR OF THE RM3
- 20 INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE WILL BE PAMELA KINDIG,
- 21 REPRESENTING NAPA COUNTY. EFFECTIVE -- [APPLAUSE] EFFECTED
- 22 TODAY, MARCH 4, 2024. AND WALLY, IS THAT AS OF RIGHT THIS
- 23 SECOND? SO, THE CHAIR WILL TAKE OVER WITH THE TALKING PAPERS.
- 24 I AM SURE YOU WILL DO A BETTER JOB THAT I HAVE.



CHAIR, BRIAN DAVID SHAW: I APPRECIATE THE CONFIDENCE THE REST 1 OF YOU HAVE PUT INTO ME BEING THE LEAST VETERAN FOLK ON THE 2 3 TABLE. BUT I WILL BE ASKING FOR HELP FROM THE REST OF YOU AND THE REST OF THE COMMITTEE, AS WELL, BECAUSE THIS IS IMPORTANT 4 5 WORK. GREAT. ALL RIGHT. I DON'T HAVE THE GAVEL, SO I'LL JUST USE MY PEN. ON TO AGENDA ITEM FIVE AT REGIONAL MEASURE THREE 6 PROGRAM OVERVIEW WE HAVE A PRESENTATION FROM CRAIG BOSMAN WITH 7 8 MTC ON THE INFORMATION THAT WE ALL NEED IN ORDER TO DO OUR 9 WORK HERE. 10 11 CRAIG BOSMAN: GOOD AFTERNOON I'M CRAIG BOSMAN WITH THE RM3 PROGRAM COMMITTEE IN THE FUNDING POLICY PROGRAM SECTION HERE 12 AT MTC BY WAY OF ORIENTATION IN YOUR PACKET I WANT TO NOTE 13 THAT AGENDA ITEM 3A INCLUDES BATA RESOLUTION NO. 131 REVISED 14 WHICH LAYS OUT THE APPOINTEES TO THE COMMITTEE AND SOME OF THE 15 16 LOGISTICS THAT THERESE SPOKE ABOUT EARLIER AND ALSO INCLUDES EXCERPTS FROM THE CALIFORNIA STREETS HIGHWAY CODE SECTION 17 30914.7 THAT LISTS RM3 EXPENDITURE PLAN AND CALIFORNIA STREETS 18 HIGHWAYS SECTION 90 ASPECT OF RM3 UPON LIMITATION INCLUDING 19 RULES AROUND THE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE. WITH THAT I CAN JUMP 20 21 INTO THE PRESENTATION. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. RM3 STARTED WHEN VOTERS APPROVED A \$3 TOLL INCREASE ON THE STATE OWNED BRIDGES 22 23 TO BE PHASED IN OVER SIX YEARS THAT ELECTION HAPPENED IN JUNE 2018 WITH THE TOLL INCREASE STARTING IN JANUARY 2019. RM3 24 25 EXPENDITURE PLAN IDENTIFIED CAPITAL OPERATION THROUGH THE



- 1 TRANSFER SYSTEM REGION-WIDE AND AS WE KNOW LEGAL CHALLENGES
- 2 DELAYED THE RELEASE OF RM3 FUNDS UNTIL CHALLENGES WERE
- 3 DISMISSED IN EARLY 2023. NEXT SLIDE. CALIFORNIA STREETS AND
- 4 HIGHWAYS CODE LAYS OUT THE CAPITAL PROGRAM AND THE OPERATING
- 5 PROGRAM FOR RM3. THERE ARE 35 NAMED CAPITAL PROJECTS AND
- 6 PROGRAMS TOTALLING \$4.45 BILLION, ON THE OPERATING SIDE THERE
- 7 IS THREE NAMED PROJECTS UP TO 16% OF RM3 REVENUES ANNE YOU
- 8 IDEALLY UP TO MAXIMUM OF 60 MILLION ANNUALLY. NEXT SLIDE
- 9 PLEASE. BRIEFLY TO CALIFORNIA STREETS AND HIGHWAYS 30923 THE
- 10 PURPOSE OF THIS INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE IS TO ENSURE
- 11 THAT RM3 TOLL REVENUES ARE EXPENDED CONSISTENT WITH THE
- 12 APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THE EXPENDITURE PLAN
- 13 ANNUALLY REVIEWING EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS AND FOR PROJECTS AND
- 14 PROGRAMS SPECIFIED IN THE EXPENDITURE PLAN. ANNUAL REVIEW OF
- 15 CAPITAL AND OPERATIONS AND ANNUAL REVIEW OF REIMBURSEMENT
- 16 AMOUNTS FOR CAP OPERATING ALLOCATION. NEXT SLIDE. EARLY ON
- 17 ONCE THE LEGISLATION -- ONCE THE ELECTION WENT THROUGH MTC
- 18 ADOPTED RESOLUTION 4404 WHICH IS THE RM3 POLICIES AND
- 19 PROCEDURES TO PROVIDE GUIDANCE FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THIS
- 20 PROGRAM BOTH CAPITAL AND OPERATING. THESE ARE POLICIES AND
- 21 PROCEDURES THAT ARE ABOVE AND BEYOND THE STATUTORY
- 22 REQUIREMENTS AND THEY COVER ALLOCATION AND THE FUNDING
- 23 AGREEMENT PROCESS EXPENDITURES AND REIMBURSEMENTS, TIMELY USE
- 24 OF FUNDS, MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS, AND MORE.
- 25 NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. WE WANTED TO NOTE THAT THE UPDATES ARE



- 1 ALLOWED TO THE RM3 EXPENDITURE PLAN LAID OUT IN THE STREETS
- 2 AND HIGHWAYS CODE. LEGISLATORS ANTICIPATED CHANGES MIGHT BE
- 3 NEEDED OVER TIME AND THEY SPELLED OUT THE PROCESS TO DO SO IN
- 4 THE LEGISLATION. CIRCUMSTANCES INCLUDE IF A PROJECT HAS COST
- 5 SAVINGS AFTER COMPLETION CANNOT BE COMPLETED OR CANNOT
- 6 CONTINUE OR IS GOING TO BE IMPLEMENTED WITH NON-TOLL FUNDS,
- 7 THE PROCESS BRIEFLY IS THAT MTC MUST FIRST CONSULT WITH THE
- 8 PROJECT SPONSOR AND HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING THAT MAY THEN VOTE
- 9 TO MODIFY THE SCOPE DECREASE LEVEL OF FUNDING OR MODIFY
- 10 PROJECT FUNDING TO ANOTHER PROJECT NAMED. NEXT SLIDE. ONE
- 11 PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS TO DATE HAPPENED JUST A FEW MONTHS AGO.
- 12 PUBLIC HEARING DATE WAS DECEMBER 13TH, 2023. FOLLOWING THAT,
- 13 THE NEXT MONTH IN JANUARY, THE EXPENDITURE PLAN MODIFICATION
- 14 WAS APPROVED AND IT MODIFIED FIVE PROJECTS AND REASSIGNED
- 15 \$16.7 MILLION IN CAPITAL FUNDS FROM ONE PROJECT TO THE NEXT.
- 16 THESE CHANGES BROADLY CLARIFIED SCOPE ELEMENTS AND PROJECT
- 17 LIMITS AND RECONCILED A LOAN ARRANGEMENT BY TRANSFERRING
- 18 FUNDING RESULTING IN A NET ZERO CHANGE. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
- 19 CAPITAL ALLOCATIONS BEGAN IN JUNE 2023 AND THROUGH FEBRUARY,
- 20 SO INCLUSIVE OF THE COMMISSION'S ACTION LAST WEEK, THERE IS
- 21 911 MILLION IN ALLOCATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE OVERALL. AND OF
- 22 THE 35 PROJECTS LISTED IN STATUTE, 21 HAVE RECEIVED
- 23 ALLOCATIONS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. AND A BRIEF UPDATE ON THE
- 24 OPERATING PROGRAM -- NEXT SLIDE PLEASE -- SO, THIS PIE CHART
- 25 BREAKS DOWN HOW THE OPERATING PROGRAM IS SPLIT. SO, OF THE 16%



- 1 OF MAXIMUM RM3 REVENUES, THAT CAN GO TO OPERATING ASSISTANCE,
- 2 58% GOES TO THE EXPANDED FERRY SERVICE PROGRAM, 34% GOES TO
- 3 THE REGIONAL EXPRESS BUS PROGRAM AND 8% TO ON THE OPERATIONS
- 4 AT SALESFORCE TRANSIT CENTER. THESE COMPONENTS AND FUNDING
- 5 LEVELS ARE LISTED OUT IN STATUTE. AND GENERALLY THE OPERATING
- 6 PROGRAM IS TO SUPPORT EXISTING, MORE FREQUENT, OR NEW TRANSIT
- 7 SERVICE. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. FOR THE FIRST YEAR OF RM3
- 8 OPERATING ALLOCATIONS THE COMMISSION ADOPTED INTERIM
- 9 METHODOLOGY TO IDENTIFY THE EXPRESS BUS FUNDING LEVELS FOR FY
- 10 '23 ONLY FUNDING LEVELS BASED ON RECENT RM2 OPERATING PROGRAM
- 11 REVENUE REDUCTIONS PLUS RIDERSHIP AND FUTURE FUNDING
- 12 DISTRIBUTIONS ARE CONSIDERING FACTORS INCLUDING RIDERSHIP,
- 13 EOUITY E REGIONAL CONNECTIVITY ALIGNMENT WITH REGIONAL
- 14 POLICIES. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. THIS IS A DETAILED TABLE SHOWING
- 15 THE INITIAL FY '23 OPERATING PROGRAM. I WONT GET INTO THE LINE
- 16 ITEMS, BUT YOU CAN SEE THE EXPRESS BUS FUNDING WENT TO SEVERAL
- 17 DIFFERENT OPERATORS. TRANSBAY JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY, TJPA
- 18 RECEIVED OPERATING ASSISTANCE, AND IT TOTALLED NEARLY \$19
- 19 MILLION. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. AND A BRIEF UPDATE ON THE CAPITAL
- 20 PROGRAM TO DATE. SO, ONE WAY TO LOOK AT THE EXPENDITURE PLAN
- 21 IS THREE BRIDGE CORRIDORS, CENTRAL, SOUTH, AND NORTH. THE
- 22 CENTRAL BRIDGE, BASICALLY BEING THE SAN FRANCISCO OAKLAND BAY
- 23 BRIDGE, THE SOUTH BRIDGES BEING SAN MATEO, HAYWARD, AND
- 24 DUMBARTON, AND THE NORTH RICHMOND BEING SAN RAFAEL, BENICIA,
- 25 CARQUINEZ AND ANTIOCH BRIDGES. THERE ARE SEVERAL PROJECTS OR



- 1 PROGRAMS IDENTIFIED AS REGIONAL. AND IN ALL TOLD ADD UP TO
- 2 4.45 BILLION, AS MENTIONED. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. GETTING BACK
- 3 TO THE RM3 POLICIES AND PROCEDURES, WE WANT TO POINT OUT THERE
- 4 ARE CERTAIN, SORT OF, GUIDE RAILS THAT WE HAVE AROUND THE
- 5 ALLOCATION AND EXPENDITURE PROCESS. THESE INCLUDE PROTECTIONS
- 6 AND DISCRETION FOR MTC AND REVIEWING CAPITAL ALLOCATION
- 7 REOUESTS FROM PROJECT SPONSORS. THESE INCLUDE STAFF REVIEW OF
- 8 THE ALLOCATION REQUESTS AGAINST THE RM3 STATUTE AND RM3
- 9 POLICIES AND PROCEDURES. THE PROJECT SPONSORS ADOPT RESOLUTION
- 10 OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE RM3 STATUTE WITH POLICIES AND
- 11 PROCEDURES AND ALLOCATIONS MADE ON REIMBURSABLE BASIS REQUIRES
- 12 INVOICING OF ELIGIBLE COST INCURRED AFTER ALLOCATIONS
- 13 APPROVAL. THERE IS A LIST OF ALLOCATION PRINCIPLE TO BE
- 14 CONSIDERED IN ALLOCATION DECISIONS. AND WE ALSO HAVE TIMELY
- 15 USE OF FUNDS INCLUDING ALLOCATION EXPIRATION DATE BASED ON THE
- 16 PROJECT'S CASH FLOW PLAN. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. THE GENERAL
- 17 TIMELINE THAT WE FOLLOWED, SO, AS I MENTIONED, IN JUNE 2023,
- 18 THE FIRST ALLOCATIONS WERE MADE. AND THESE WERE LARGELY BASED
- 19 ON PROJECTS THAT RECEIVED LETTERS OF NO PREJUDICE THAT'S
- 20 ANOTHER FEATURE IN THE POLICIES LETTERS THAT ALLOWED US TO
- 21 RECEIVE PROJECTS THAT WERE READY TO GO BUT FOR THE AVAILABLE
- 22 OF R ME THREE FUNDS PROJECT SPONSORS WERE ABLE TO REQUEST A
- 23 LETTER OF NO PREJUDICE, THE COMMISSION REVIEWED AND APPROVED
- 24 THAT LETTER AND THEY WERE THEN FREE TO START IMPLEMENTING THE
- 25 PROJECTS WITH THEIR OWN FUNDS, AND AT-RISK, FOR FUTURE RM3



- 1 ALLOCATION. SO WE'RE FINALLY ABLE TO MAKE THE RM3 ALLOCATIONS
- 2 FOR SOME OF THESE PROJECTS IN JUNE 2023. STARTING IN JULY, WE
- 3 STARTED CONSIDERING ALLOCATIONS FOR OTHER PROJECTS THAT WERE
- 4 READY TO GO. AND, AGAIN, SUBJECT TO THE RM3 POLICY SUPERVISOR
- 5 PROCEDURES AND GUARDRAILS. AND IN THE MONTHS FOLLOWING THAT,
- 6 WE HAVE CONSIDERED -- WE HAVE CONTINUED TO CONSIDER
- 7 ALLOCATIONS AS REQUESTED BY SPONSORS ON A ROLLING BASIS. THE
- 8 POLICIES REQUIRE SPONSORS TO SUBMIT MATERIALS 60 DAYS PRIOR TO
- 9 THEIR REQUESTED COMMITTEE DATE. WHICH IS THE COMMITTEE GOES
- 10 THROUGH THE PROGRAMMING AND ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE AT MTC,
- 11 WHICH MEETS TWO WEEKS BEFORE THE COMMISSION, GENERALLY. NEXT
- 12 SLIDE, PLEASE. SO, THAT'S THE END OF MY FIREHOSE OF
- 13 INFORMATION. I WANT TO NOTE THAT RALEIGH MCCOY IS ALSO HERE,
- 14 ON THE RM3 OPERATING PROGRAM, AND HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
- 15 QUESTIONS. AND THE NEXT ITEM WE'LL GET FURTHER INTO SOME OF
- 16 THE DETAILS OF THE ALLOCATION, AS WELL.
- 18 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: THANK YOU FOR THE PRESENTATION. WE'RE GOING
- 19 TO DO PUBLIC COMMENT FIRST. ARE THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS
- 20 ONLINE? THEY NEED TO DIAL STAR NINE TO BE ABLE TO GET IN LINE.
- 21 DO WE HAVE ANY OF THOSE?
- 23 CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: NO. NO PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED IN
- 24 WRITING. NO ONE HAS A HAND RAISED ONLINE, AND THERE IS NO ONE
- 25 HERE WITH A CARD IN THE ROOM. THANK YOU.

17



1

#### MARCH 4, 2024

CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: ALL RIGHT. THEN WE'LL MOVE ON TO COMMENTS 2 FROM OUR COMMITTEE MEMBERS. FOR MY OWN SAKE I WOULD LIKE TO 3 PICK A SIDE AND GO AROUND TO KEEP TRACK. (LAUGHTER) I'LL START 4 5 ON THE FAR END. ANY COMMENTS FROM YOU? 6 WILLIAM G. JERRY HAYES: I HAVE A OUESTION. OF ALL THE FUNDS 7 8 ALLOCATED, WERE ANY OF THE REGIONAL MEASURE 3 FUNDS, OTHER THAN TOLLS, WAS THERE ANY BONDING. 9 10 DEREK HANSEL: GOOD AFTERNOON. DEREK HANSEL, CHIEF FINANCIAL 11 OFFICER. WE HAVE NOT ISSUED ANY BONDS TO SUPPORT RM3 PROJECTS 12 YET. WE DO ANTICIPATE DOING SO LATER THIS YEAR. 13 14 WILLIAM G. JERRY HAYES: THANK YOU. 15 16 STEVE BRIDLEBOUGH: SO, WE HAD ABOUT FOUR YEARS OF ACCUMULATED 17 FUNDS, HOW ARE WE DEALING WITH THAT, PARTICULARLY AS TO 18 TRANSIT OPERATIONS? IS THAT BACKLOG OF MONEY BEING DELIVERED 19 MORE QUICKLY OR STRETCHED OUT? HOW ARE WE DOING THAT? 20 21 22 THERESA ROMMELL: THANK YOU. IT IS STILL A ACCUMULATED IN THE ESCROW ACCOUNT. MOST OF THOSE FUNDS RELATE TO THE EXPRESS BUS 23 CATEGORY, BECAUSE THOSE ARE -- WERE INTENDED TO BE DISTRIBUTED 24 ON AN ANNUAL BASIS. WE ARE HOLDING THOSE IN ESCROW. AND 25



- 1 BECAUSE AT THE MOMENT WE'RE HAVING -- WE'RE IN THE MIDST OF A
- 2 TRANSIT CRISIS IN TERMS OF FUNDING, SO, WE ARE HOLDING THOSE
- 3 AS A POTENTIAL, YOU KNOW, TOOL IN, YOU KNOW, FUNDING THAT,
- 4 WHAT WE'RE CALLING THE FISCAL CLIFF. THE OTHER TWO OPERATING
- 5 PROJECTS, THE WETA FUNDING IS -- HAS BEEN -- IS STILL
- 6 AVAILABLE TO WETA, WE HAVEN'T ALLOCATED IT YET, BUT THEY HAVE
- 7 A SPECIAL PROVISION IN THE LAW THAT ALLOWS THEM TO ROLL OVER
- 8 ANY UNNEEDED OPERATING FUNDS INTO A RESERVE ACCOUNT. SO THAT'S
- 9 WHAT WE HAVE DONE WITH THEM. WETA IS THE WATER EMERGENCY
- 10 TRANSIT AUTHORITY. THEY'RE OUR REGIONAL FERRIES. THOSE FUNDS
- 11 HAVE MOVED OVER INTO RESERVE. TRANSBAY JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY,
- 12 TJPA, THE OTHER OPERATING PROJECT, THEY HAVE TO WHAT AMOUNTS
- 13 TO A LETTER OF NO PREJUDICE. WE WERE ABLE TO ALLOCATE THEIR
- 14 FUNDS GOING BACK A COUPLE OF YEARS SINCE THE MEASURE WAS
- 15 ADOPTED, I THINK, AT LEAST THROUGH FISCAL YEAR '20, I BELIEVE,
- 16 WAS THE YEAR THEY HAD A LETTER OF NO PREJUDICE SO WE WERE ABLE
- 17 TO GO AHEAD AND GIVE THEM THOSE FUNDS.

18

19 STEVE BRIDLEBOUGH: THANK YOU.

20

- 21 PAMELA KINDIG: SO, NAPA COUNTY'S MEASURE-T HAS AN END DATE.
- 22 BECAUSE IN THE MEASURE IT WAS ALLOCATED FOR SO MANY YEARS. HOW
- 23 DOES THIS WORK IN RELATIONSHIP TO AN END DATE?



- 1 DEREK HANSEL: THERE IS NO END DATE FOR THIS. SO, THE TOLLS
- 2 HAVE INCREASE -- \$2 OF THE \$3 TOLLS WILL BE INCREASED
- 3 IMPLEMENTING THE THIRD DOLLAR NEXT JANUARY. THERE ARE
- 4 PROVISIONS IN THE STATUTE THAT ALLOW ESCALATION AFTER THAT. AT
- 5 THE DISCRETION OF BATA. THE BATA BOARD. AND, AGAIN,
- 6 FUNDAMENTALLY, THE OBLIGATION OF BATA IS TO FUND THAT 16% OF
- 7 REVENUE FROM THE MEASURE, CAPPED AT 60 MILLION ANNUALLY, AND
- 8 TO FUND THE \$4.45 BILLION OF CAPITAL PROGRAMS UTILIZING
- 9 REVENUES AS THE SOURCE FOR THOSE OBLIGATIONS.

11 PAMELA KINDIG: HOW LONG WILL IT TAKE TO EXTEND THE \$4.54

12 BILLION?

10

13

14 DEREK HANSEL: THAT IS THE MAGIC QUESTION AND FRANKLY ONE WE

- 15 CARE ABOUT FRANKLY ON THE FINANCE SIDE. BECAUSE CERTAINLY KIND
- 16 OF AS WE GET PAST MAYBE THE FIRST YEAR, WE WON'T HAVE ALL OF
- 17 THE FUNDS NECESSARY TO MEET THE OBLIGATIONS TO THE EXTENT THAT
- 18 THEY'RE REOUIRED. AND, SO, WE'RE WORKING VERY CLOSELY WITH THE
- 19 PROGRAMMING TEAM. WE'RE WORKING VERY CLOSELY WITH THE PROJECT
- 20 SPONSORS TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE LIKELY CASH FLOW IS GOING TO
- 21 BE, SO THAT WE CAN BORROW -- AS WAS ASKED BEFORE, OUT IN THE
- 22 CREDIT MARKETS. SO, WE'RE WORKING ON THAT. I WE WANT SAY
- 23 DAILY, BUT REGULARLY.

25 PAMELA KINDIG: THANK YOU.



1 2 SPEAKER: SO, BEING THE NEW GUY ON THE BLOCK, THIS MAY BE 3 BORING TO SOME OF YOU. YOU MAY HAVE TO HEAR IT AGAIN. I AM NOT OUITE CLEAR, FROM A PROCEDURAL STANDPOINT, DO THESE AGENCIES 4 5 SEE SHORT COMES AND COME TO Y'ALL AND ASK FOR FUNDS? DOES SOMEONE IDENTIFY IF THERE IS A PROBLEM? HOW DOES ANYONE EVEN 6 COME TO YOU FOR FUNDS, FOR SOMEONE LIKE MYSELF WHO DOESN'T 7 8 EVEN KNOW HOW THIS PROCEDURE WORKS? 9 THERESA ROMMELL: RM3 HAS AN EXPENDITURE PLAN THAT WAS PROVIDED 10 PRIOR TO THE VOTE OF THE PUBLIC. SO, THAT IS OUR KIND OF OUR 11 GROUND TRUTH. SO EVERY PROJECT SPONSOR HAS AN AMOUNT ALREADY 12 DESIGNATED UNLESS THERE IS A PROJECT THAT HAS MULTIPLE 13 SPONSORS, AND IS WHAT WE CALL AN UMBRELLA PROJECT THAT STILL 14 15 HAS YET TO BE DEFINED. IN WHICH CASE, THERE IS A PROCESS THAT 16 WE GO THROUGH TO DETERMINE, OF THE ELIGIBLE SPONSORS, HOW MUCH EACH ONE WILL GET. BUT FOR THE MOST PART, REGIONAL MEASURE 3 17 CAME WITH AN ADOPTED EXPENDITURE PLAN. SO THERE WAS ALREADY 18 FORE THOUGHT INTO WHAT ARE NEEDS ARE OF EACH PROJECT. 19 20 TIM AMBROSE: SO GOING FORWARD THAT'S WHEN WE HAVE TO DETERMINE 21 HOW AND WHO GETS WHAT AND HOW MUCH THEY GET AND TO YOUR POINT, 22 HERE'S A BIG PILE OF THINGS WE NEED DONE HERE'S THE MONEY GO 23 DO IT. THAT'S PRETTY MUCH WHERE WE ARE NOW. CORRECT? 24



- THERESA ROMMELL: YEAH. I MEAN, THERE WAS AN EXTENSIVE PROCESS 1 THAT WAS GOING ON PRIOR TO RM3 WHERE WE VOTED BY THE PUBLIC 2 3 WHAT WE NEED FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS, AND VARIOUS PROJECTS IN REGIONS WERE SPELLED OUT WELL AHEAD OF TIME. THERE IS NO 4 5 SHORTFALL PROCESS OR ANYTHING THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT TO SEE IF THE PROJECT NEEDS MONEY. WE HAVE ALREADY KIND OF PROGRAMMED 6 7 THE FUNDING, SO TO SPEAK, THROUGH THAT PROJECT THROUGH THE 8 EXPENDITURE PLAN PROCESS. 9 TIM AMBROSE: THANK YOU. 10
- 10 IIM AMBROSE: IHANK 100
- 12 **SPEAKER:** NO QUESTIONS.
- 14 SPEAKER: SO JUST FOLLOWING UP. SO THERE IS NO SUNSET PART OF
- 15 RM3. YOU SAID THERE'S A DEDICATED BRIDGE TOLL INCREASES FOR
- 16 THE NEXT NUMBER OF YEARS.
- 18 KEVIN HAGERTY: AND YOU ALSO SAID AFTER THAT, THERE IS A
- 19 PROVISION FOR SOME KIND OF ADDITIONAL INCREASE AFTER THAT. IS
- 20 THAT CORRECT?
- 22 DEREK HANSEL: CORRECT. SUBSEQUENT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE
- 23 THIRD DOLLAR TOLL INCREASE NEXT JANUARY, THE AUTHORITY, AT ITS
- 24 DISCRETION, COULD ESCALATE THE \$3 FOR CPI, SHOULD IT CHOOSE TO
- 25 DO SO.

11

13

17



1	
2	KEVIN HAGERTY: OKAY. ONCE THESE DEFINED EXPENDITURE PLAN
3	PROJECTS ARE COMPLETED, WHAT'S THE PROCESS FOR ADDING
4	ADDITIONAL PROJECTS, IF ANY?
5	
6	DEREK HANSEL: THERE IS NO PROCESS FOR DOING THAT.
7	
8	KEVIN HAGERTY: SO, YOU WOULD HAVE TO GO BACK TO THE VOTERS
9	WITH ANOTHER INITIATIVE? IS THAT
10	
11	DEREK HANSEL: CORRECT. THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE SOMETHING ALONG
12	THOSE LINES AND THERE ARE OPPORTUNITIES WITHIN YOU KNOW,
13	FOR THE REDESIGNATION OF CAPITAL FUNDS. BUT IT'S IMPORTANT TO
14	NOTE THAT, YOU KNOW, AGAIN, WE ARE GOING TO BE ISSUING BONDS
15	TO SUPPORT THIS, BECAUSE WE DON'T IF WE LOOK AT THE TIMING
16	OF RECEIPT OF REVENUES, VERSUS THE SPENDING OF THE MONEY, WE
17	WILL BE SPENDING \$4.45 BILLION ON CAPITAL PROJECTS LONG BEFORE
18	WE HAVE RECEIVED 4.45 BILLION IN TOLL REVENUE, YOU KNOW, WHEN
19	WE ALSO LOOK AT THE REDUCTIONS THAT HAVE TO BE SPENT-OUT FOR
20	OPERATING TRANSFERS. SO WE'RE GOING TO BE ISSUING BONDS THAT
21	HAVE A VERY LONG LIFE FOR THESE PROJECTS.
22	
23	KEVIN HAGERTY: GREAT. THANK YOU.



- CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: I HAVE GOT A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS, ON SLIDE 1 2 NINE, THERE IS A DISCUSSION OF SOME MODIFICATIONS THAT WERE MADE LATE LAST YEAR AND APPROVED EARLIER THIS YEAR, ARE WE 3 GOING TO BE GETTING DETAILS OF THOSE CHANGES IN THE NEXT 4 5 PRESENTATION? 6 CRAIG BOSMAN: I BELIEVE SO, AND I'M HAPPY TO SPEAK MORE TO 7 8 THEM AS WELL. 9 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: SOME OF MY QUESTIONS ARE GOING TO BE 10 ANSWERED LATER. THAT'S FINE. AND THEN, DO WE KNOW HOW MUCH WE 11 HAVE COLLECTED TO DATE IN TOLL REVENUE? 12 13 CRAIG BOSMAN: YES. SEVEN -- SO, THROUGH DECEMBER 31ST -- THESE 14 15 ARE NUMBERS HOT OFF OF DEREK'S E-MAIL. SO, THROUGH DECEMBER 16 31ST RM3 HAD GENERATED \$760 MILLION. 17 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: OKAY. KIND OF PICKING UP ON KEVIN'S 18 QUESTION. THE OPERATING FUNDS OF 16%, THOSE ARE IN PERPETUITY, 19 CORRECT? 20 21 22 THERESA ROMMELL: THAT'S CORRECT. 23
- 25 OR IS IT CPI BASE OR IS IT A SET AMOUNT OF MONEY FOREVER?

CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: OKAY. DOES THAT HAVE ANY ESCALATORS IN IT



1 DEREK HANSEL: 16% CAPPED AT 60 MILLION ANNUALLY AND THE 60 2 3 MILLION IS NOT ESCALATED FOR CPI. 4 5 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: OKAY. AND THEN ON SLIDE 15, THERE'S THE OPERATING EXPENSE ALLOCATION, AND I GATHER, THAT MONEY HAS 6 7 BEEN PROVIDED TO THE VARIOUS TRANSIT AGENCIES AT THIS POINT? 8 9 SPEAKER: YES. 10 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: SO IT'S BACKDATED TO WHEN A MEASURE WAS 11 APPROVED? 12 13 THERESA ROMMELL: SOME OF IT IS BACK DATED. NOT ALL THE WAY TO 14 APPROVAL, BUT A COUPLE OF YEARS -- 2 TO 3 YEARS. 15 16 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: OKAY. AND I'M CURIOUS, AGAIN, SOME OF THESE 17 18 QUESTIONS ARE GOING TO BE ADDRESSED IN THE SUBSEQUENT PRESENTATION THAT'S FINE. ON SLIDE 17, THERE IS THE BREAKDOWN 19 OF FUNDING BY LOCATION, BRIDGE CORRIDOR. IS THERE A FORMULA 20 21 FOR THAT? WAS THAT SPECIFIED IN THE LEGISLATION? HOW THAT WAS 22 BROKEN DOWN, IS IT SO MUCH PER BRIDGE? IS IT BY VEHICLE MILES 23 TRAVELED? I'M JUST CURIOUS WHAT THE PROCESS IS TO DECIDE WHAT THOSE ALLOCATIONS ARE. 24



- 1 CRAIG BOSMAN: I CAN SPEAK TO THIS SLIDE THEN ALIX CAN GIVE
- 2 MORE OF THE BACKGROUND. THIS IS A STAFF LOOK AT THE
- 3 EXPENDITURE PLAN THAT WAS DEVELOPED HOW WE KIND OF ALLOCATE
- 4 THAT BETWEEN REGIONALS AND THESE THREE BRIDGE CORRIDORS THAT
- 5 ARE IDENTIFIED. THE -- AND I THINK WE DON'T HAVE IT IN YOUR
- 6 PACKET TODAY, BUT WE'RE HAPPY TO PROVIDE KIND OF MORE
- 7 INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT THAT BREAK DOWN IS. IN TERMS OF THE
- 8 DEVELOPMENT OF THE EXPENDITURE PLAN, I THINK, YOU KNOW,
- 9 THERESA STARTED TALKING ABOUT IT A LITTLE BIT MORE, AND
- 10 PERHAPS ALIX CAN GET MORE INTO THE HISTORY THERE.

- 12 ALIX BOCKELMAN: YEAH. GOOD AFTERNOON. ALIX BOCKELMAN. JUST ON
- 13 THE HISTORY -- YOU KNOW, I WAS TRYING TO THINK OF THE BILL
- 14 NUMBER. I WANT TO SAY IT WAS SB595 FOR REGIONAL MEASURE 3. AND
- 15 SO THE LEGISLATURE, YOU KNOW, AGAIN, PUT THE PROJECTS INTO --
- 16 YOU KNOW, INTO LAW, BEFORE THE VOTERS VOTED ON IT. SO IN TERMS
- 17 OF -- THERE WAS OBVIOUSLY A LOT OF CONVERSATION AMONG BAY AREA
- 18 LEGISLATORS ABOUT, YOU KNOW, THINKING ABOUT WHO PAYS THE TOLL
- 19 AND KIND OF WHERE THE PROJECT NEEDS WERE, ET CETERA, ET
- 20 CETERA, SO THERE IS NOT A FORMULA, BUT I THINK THERE WAS A LOT
- 21 OF THOUGHT AND CONVERSATION AROUND THAT WHEN THEY WERE
- 22 DEVELOPING THE LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY THAT ACTUALLY ENUMERATED
- 23 EACH OF THE PROJECTS, AND WHEN THE VOTERS VOTED ON IT, I THINK
- 24 THE PAMPHLET DID SPEAK TO A SET OF REGIONAL PROGRAMS AND A SET
- 25 OF PROJECTS THAT WERE SUPPORTIVE OF THE CENTRAL, SAN FRANCISCO



- 1 OAKLAND BAY BRIDGE, THESE CATEGORIES THAT YOU SEE HERE. SO THE
- 2 VOTERS -- SO THIS IS JUST, SORT OF, ADDING UP THE THERE
- 3 AMOUNTS THAT EITHER WERE IN THE STATUTE, AND IN THE PAMPHLET
- 4 WHEN VOTERS VOTED ON IT. HOPEFUL THEY'S HELPFUL.

5

- 6 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: YEAH THIS IS JUST AN ACCOUNTING OF WHAT'S
- 7 LISTED VERSUS FORMAL ACT. THAT'S FINE. THAT ANSWERS ALL MY
- 8 QUESTIONS. ANYTHING ELSE FROM THE REST OF THE COMMITTEE? ALL
- 9 RIGHT. SEEING NONE. WE'LL GO TO OUR NEXT AGENDA ITEM. ALL
- 10 RIGHT. AGENDA ITEM 5B, BACKGROUND ON REGIONAL MEASURE 3 AND
- 11 EXPENDITURES TO DATE. THIS WILL BE A BRIEF HISTORY OF RM3 AND
- 12 OVERVIEW OF FIRST MTC ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE. AND
- 13 CRAIG IS BACK TO GIVE US THAT INFORMATION.

- 15 CRAIG BOSMAN: YES, OVERLAPPING WITH SOME OF OUR TOPIC AREAS
- 16 TODAY, WE DID WANT TO HIGHLIGHT THE ANNUAL REPORT SUBMITTED TO
- 17 THE LEGISLATURE IN DECEMBER. IT WAS INCLUDED IN YOUR PACKET,
- 18 ACTUALLY UNDER THE ITEM -- ITEM FIVE, YEAH. SO, THE KEY TOPICS
- 19 HERE ARE THE TOLL IMPLEMENTATION AND SO IT'S -- YOU KNOW, IT'S
- 20 WORTH REMINDING US ALL, THAT THE FIRST DOLLAR WENT IN, IN
- 21 JANUARY 2019. THE SECOND DOLLAR WENT INTO PLACE IN 2022, AND
- 22 THE THIRD AND FINAL DOLLAR WILL GO INTO PLACE IN 2025. WE ALSO
- 23 TALK ABOUT THE RESOLUTION OF THE LITIGATION, THE REVENUE AND
- 24 COLLECTION IN ESCROW, AND WE DID HAVE AN UPDATE ON THAT. YOU
- 25 KNOW, AS MENTIONED THROUGH DECEMBER, RM3 HAS GENERATED



- 1 APPROXIMATELY \$760 MILLION. THE SECOND OUARTER OF THIS FISCAL
- 2 YEAR, OCTOBER 1ST THROUGH DECEMBER 31ST WAS APPROXIMATELY \$51
- 3 MILLION. AS MENTIONED EARLIER, THERE'S -- THERE'S A PROVISION
- 4 IN THE LEGISLATION THAT FOR THE FERRY OPERATING PORTION, TO
- 5 THE EXTENT THAT THOSE FUNDS ARE NOT REQUESTED FOR EXPENDITURE
- 6 BY THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA WATER EMERGENCY TRANSPORTATION
- 7 AUTHORITY, OR WETA, ANY GIVEN YEAR, THE FUNDS ARE HELD IN
- 8 RESERVE UNTIL SUCH TIME WETA REQUESTS THOSE FUNDS FOR CAPITAL
- 9 OPERATING PURPOSE. AND AS OF DECEMBER, THAT RESERVE AMOUNT WAS
- 10 APPROXIMATELY \$60 MILLION. MTC'S REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
- 11 GIVES AN UPDATE ON THIS INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND
- 12 THE APPOINTMENTS THAT HAD BEEN MEAT TO DATE. A BRIEF UPDATE ON
- 13 THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURE THAT WE TALKED ABOUT EARLIER AND
- 14 GOING OVER THE LETTERS OF NO PREJUDICE AS I SPOKE OUT A BIT IN
- 15 THE PRESENTATION, WE INCLUDE ALL THE LETTERS OF NO PREJUDICE
- 16 THAT WERE ISSUED TO DATE. AND THEN, PERHAPS MOST
- 17 INTERESTINGLY, THE ALLOCATIONS AND EXPENDITURES TO DATE. SO,
- 18 THE 2023 ANNUAL REPORT NOTED THOSE THROUGH NOVEMBER. AND THEN,
- 19 AGAIN, THROUGH THIS PAST MONTH, FEBRUARY, WE HAVE MADE A TOTAL
- 20 OF \$911 MILLION IN ALLOCATIONS ON THE CAPITAL SIDE, ALMOST 94
- 21 MILLION OF THAT HAS BEEN REIMBURSED TO PROJECT SPONSORS, AND
- 22 THEN ON THE OPERATING SIDE, WE HAVE MADE A TOTAL OF NEARLY \$31
- 23 MILLION IN ALLOCATIONS, INCLUDING SOME FY '24 ALLOCATIONS THAT
- 24 WERE NOT IN THE PREVIOUS SLIDE, AND DISBURSED NEARLY 25
- 25 MILLION OF THAT TO PROJECT SPONSORS. SO, IN THIS ITEM,



- 1 ATTACHMENT B IS A -- SORRY -- SO, ATTACHMENT A IS THE REPORT
- 2 TO THE LEGISLATURE. I WANT TO NOTE THAT ON PAGE FIVE, WE
- 3 SPARED YOU 150 EXTRA PAGES OF PRINTOUTS, BUT THERE IS A LINK
- 4 WITH ATTACHMENTS A THROUGH G THAT INCLUDES SEVERAL KEY
- 5 RESOLUTIONS INCLUDING ALL THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES, LETTERS
- 6 OF NO PREJUDICE, THE CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PLAN, AND A FEW OTHER
- 7 KEY RESOLUTIONS. THAT IS AVAILABLE, YOU KNOW, IN THE LINK,
- 8 AND, ALSO, BY REQUEST. ATTACHMENT B TO THIS ITEM IS A TABLE
- 9 THAT WE TAKE EVERY MONTH WHEN WE BRING THE CAPITAL
- 10 ALLOCATIONS. AND, SO, IT RUNS THROUGH ALL THE LISTED 35
- 11 PROJECTS, AND ANY IDENTIFIED SUBPROJECTS TO DATE. THOSE
- 12 SUBPROJECTS COULD BE IDENTIFIED AS REQUESTED BY PROJECT
- 13 SPONSORS, OR AS OTHERWISE PROGRAMMED BY THE COMMISSION, ALL
- 14 THE PROJECT TITLES, FUNDING AMOUNTS, THE IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES
- 15 AND PROJECT SPONSORS. SOMETIMES THOSE ARE SPECIFIED IN THE
- 16 LEGISLATION, OTHER TIMES THAT IS SPECIFIED WHEN MAKING THE
- 17 ALLOCATION, BECAUSE IT'S ONE OF THOSE UMBRELLA PROJECTS THAT
- 18 WE SPOKE OF EARLIER. WE NOTICED IF THERE WAS A LETTER OF NO
- 19 PREJUDICE ISSUED, AND THE TOTAL AMOUNT ALLOCATED TO THAT
- 20 PROJECT OR SUBPROJECT, AS WELL AS THAT REIMBURSED THROUGH
- 21 FEBRUARY, THERE IS A LIST OF THE ALLOCATING RESOLUTIONS.
- 22 GENERALLY EACH PROJECT GETS ITS OWN ALLOCATING RESOLUTION,
- 23 SOMETIMES SEVERAL SUBPROJECTS WILL HAVE -- WILL BE IN ONE
- 24 RESOLUTION, AND IT GETS UPDATED PERIODICALLY, AS WELL AS THE
- 25 MOST RECENT ALLOCATION DATE. SO, THAT'S A GREAT OVERSAW OF,



- 1 SORT OF, YOU KNOW, WHERE THE CAPITAL PROGRAM IS TO DATE SO YOU
- 2 KNOW WHERE THE CAPITAL PROGRAM IS. HUNDREDS OF PAGES OF
- 3 PRINTOUTS IS LINKED TO ALL OF THE COMMISSION ITEMS THAT HAVE
- 4 APPROVED THE VARIOUS ALLOCATIONS BOTH THE OPERATING PROGRAM
- 5 AND THE CAPITAL PROGRAM. AND WE TEND TO HAVE ONE ALLOCATION
- 6 ITEM PER MONTH THAT WILL LUMP THE VARIOUS PROJECTS RECEIVING
- 7 ALLOCATIONS TOGETHER, AND, SO, THESE ARE THE AGENDA TITLES
- 8 FROM THOSE COMMISSION ACTIONS. WE HAVE A RESOLUTION THAT LAY
- 9 OUT IN DETAIL THE SCOPE OF THE ALLOCATION, THE OVERALL PROJECT
- 10 FUNDING PLAN AND SCHEDULE, THE STATUTORY INFORMATION FOR THAT
- 11 PROJECT, THE CASH FLOW AND ANY CONDITIONS THAT MAY BE ATTACHED
- 12 TO THE ALLOCATION IS MAKING. THERE WAS ALSO A OUESTION ABOUT
- 13 THE PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS. THAT ALSO HAPPENED IN JANUARY
- 14 2024. THERE IS, TO BRIEFLY READ FROM THE COMMISSION ITEM,
- 15 \$16.7 MILLION IN FUNDS WERE DIRECTED FROM THE SOLANO COUNTY
- 16 I80, I 680 STATE RULE 12 INTERCHANGE PROJECT TO THE SOLANO I80
- 17 EXPRESS LANES TOLL SYSTEM PROJECT. SO THAT ACTUALLY MOVED THAT
- 18 FUNDING FROM THE 86-8012 INTERCHANGE UNDER THE EXPRESS LANE
- 19 PROJECT WITH AN OVERALL NEUTRAL AMOUNT TO THE RM3 PROGRAM. THE
- 20 SCOPE OF THE SONOMA-MARIN AREA RAIL TRANSIT OR SMART PROJECT
- 21 WAS MODIFIED TO CLARIFY ELIGIBILITY OF THE MULTI-USE PATHWAY
- 22 ALONG THE SMART RIGHT OF WAY FOR THAT PROGRAM. THE SCOPE OF
- 23 THE HIGHWAY 101 MARIN SONOMA NARROWS PROJECT WAS MODIFIED TO
- 24 CLARIFY THE SCOPE LIMITS TO CONFORM WITH THE ACTUAL PROJECT,
- 25 AND MTC WAS ADDED AS A JOINT PROJECT SPONSOR TO THE STATE



- 1 ROUTE 37 IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT. SO, THAT'S THE BRIEF OVERVIEW
- 2 AS REQUESTED BY CHAIR SHAW. SO, HAPPY TO TAKE ANY QUESTIONS ON
- 3 THESE. IT'S A TON OF INFORMATION TO REFER TO, AND KIND OF
- 4 REPRESENTS THE REAL BASIS OF WHAT YOU ALL WILL BE LOOKING AT,
- 5 AND WHAT WE HAVE BEEN UP TO, TO DATE.

6

- 7 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE PUBLIC
- 8 COMMENT FIRST, THEN WE'LL GET TO COMMITTEE QUESTIONS AND
- 9 COMMENTS. ANYONE FROM THE PUBLIC WHO WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON
- 10 THIS ITEM, USE THE HAND RAISED FEATURE OR DIAL STAR NINE. FOR
- 11 IN-PERSON, SHOULD YOU PROVIDE A LINE AT THE PODIUM, AND WE'LL
- 12 GO FROM THERE.

13

- 14 CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: THANK YOU. THERE IS NO PUBLIC COMMENTS
- 15 RECEIVED IN WRITING. THERE IS NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ONLINE
- 16 WITH THEIR HAND RAISED, AND THERE IS NO ONE WITH A SPEAKER
- 17 CARD. THANK YOU.

18

- 19 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. WE'LL MOVE ON TO
- 20 QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS FROM THE COMMITTEE. WE'LL START TO MY
- 21 LEFT WITH KEVIN.

- 23 KEVIN HAGERTY: THANKS FOR THE REPORT IT'S MOST INFORMATIVE.
- 24 I'M CURIOUS YOU MENTIONED WHAT THE STAFF REPORT COVERED, WHAT
- 25 WILL -- ARE THE CAC COVER -- IT WILL COVER THE SAME SUBJECTS?



- 1 IS THERE A SAMPLE FORMAT, MADE POSSIBLY USED BY ANOTHER COC
- 2 ORGANIZATION THAT WE WOULD WANT TO TAKE A LOOK AT IN
- 3 FOLLOWING? IS THERE ANY LEGAL OR MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS SET
- 4 DOWN BY THE LEGISLATURE IN TERMS OF WHAT SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN
- 5 OUR REPORT?

6

- 7 THERESA ROMMELL: WE'RE HAPPY TO TRY AND SOURCE SOME SAMPLE
- 8 COMMITTEE REPORTS, IF THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL. AS FAR AS THE
- 9 LEGISLATION IS CONCERNED, I THINK THE ONLY THING THAT IT
- 10 REQUIRES IS THE REVIEW OF EXPENDITURES MADE DURING, OVER THE
- 11 COURSE OF THE YEAR. SO, YES. SO, THE FORMAT OF THE REPORT IS
- 12 REALLY UP TO YOU. BUT WE'RE HAPPY TO TRY AND FIND SOME
- 13 TEMPLATES OR SOME EXAMPLES TO GUIDE YOU.

14

- 15 KEVIN HAGERTY: FOR EXAMPLE, IS THE STAFF REPORT GOING TO BE
- 16 DONE BEFORE OUR REPORT? WOULD WE COMMENT ON THE STAFF REPORT
- 17 SAYING THAT WE FOUND THE STAFF REPORT TO BE CONSISTENT WITH
- 18 OUR OVERVIEW? OR IS IT COMPLETELY INDEPENDENT?

19

- 20 THERESA ROMMELL: I DON'T KNOW THAT I HAVE AN ANSWER ON THAT.
- 21 WE WOULD HAVE TO REALLY CONSIDER WHETHER THAT WOULD MEET THE
- 22 LEGISLATIVE PURPOSE.

23

24 SPEAKER: IF I COULD DIVE IN HERE.



KATHLEEN KANE: YOU'RE OBVIOUSLY GOING TO NEED SOME STAFF 1 REPORT IN PUTTING TOGETHER THE REPORT. BUT YOU ALL SET THE 2 3 DIRECTIONS FOR MAKING SURE THE FINDINGS ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE WORK THAT YOU ARE DOING. SO I THINK IT'S GOING TO BE A 4 5 LITTLE BIT OF AN ITERATIVE PROCESS. BECAUSE IT IS YOUR REPORT, BUT STAFF IS HERE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH THE COMPONENTS TO PUT IT 6 TOGETHER, AND THEN YOU CAN, YOU KNOW, MAKE YOUR OWN 7 8 DETERMINATIONS ABOUT WHETHER IT'S APPROPRIATE. I THINK THAT YOU KNOW, IT IS JUSTIFIED WITHIN THE AMBIT OF THE STATUTE THAT 9 YOU ARE LOOKING AT THE EXPENDITURES THAT ARE MADE SO YOU NEED 10 TO CONFINE YOUR REPORT TO THAT SUBJECT MATTER BUT BEYOND THAT 11 THERE'S A FAIR AMOUNT OF DISCRETION IN TERMS OF EXACTLY WHAT 12 THAT LOOKS LIKE WHEN IT'S PUT TOGETHER. 13 14 KEVIN HAGERTY: THROUGH THE CHAIR, I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL 15 16 TO HAVE STAFF PROVIDE SAMPLES OF SOME SIMILAR REPORTS FROM OTHER COUNTIES OR AGENCIES. 17 18 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: I AGREE. THIS IS KIND OF A NEW ANIMAL BUT 19 IF WE HAVE SOMETHING TO AT LEAST TRY TO FIGURE OUT HOW BEST TO 20 FORMULATE THE DOCUMENTATION. I DEALT WITH THIS CALTRAIN WHEN 21 MEASURE RR WAS PASSED AND THE CAC WAS GIVEN THE ASSIGNMENT OF 22

AUDITING FUNCTIONALITY SO EVERY YEAR WE HAVE TO REVIEW A

REPORT THAT WAS PREPARED BY STAFF AND SUPPLEMENTED BY AN

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR AND REVIEW HOW THOSE MONEYS ARE BEING

23

24



- 1 SPENT. MUCH SMALLER SET OF MONEY (LAUGHTER) AND SINGULAR
- 2 PURPOSE FOR THOSE MONEYS, BUT IN TERMS OF PROCESS YOU KNOW
- 3 WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT HERE BUT IT'S ULTIMATELY A PARTNERSHIP
- 4 BETWEEN STAFF AND A GROUP LIKE THIS. I AGREE WITH KEVIN WE
- 5 NEED GUIDANCE TO CREATE A FRAMEWORK. I APPRECIATE THAT
- 6 SUGGESTION.

7

- 8 THERESA ROMMELL: THROUGH THE CHAIR, I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO NOTE
- 9 THERE'S A SAMPLE, A COPY OF OUR REPORT TO LEGISLATURE IS IN
- 10 YOUR MEETING MATERIALS AND OUR INTENT IS TO HAVE THE REPORTS
- 11 ALIGN WITH EACH OTHER. THEY SHOULD BE SEPARATE REPORTS,
- 12 LIKELY, BUT YOU WILL BE LOOKING AT THE INFORMATION TOGETHER.

13

- 14 SPEAKER: FOR ME, THE REAL HEART OF THE QUESTION IS COMPLIANCE.
- 15 YOU KNOW, WHEN I LOOK AT THIS, AND, YOU KNOW, WE SPEND ALL
- 16 THIS MONEY, WHAT WE EXPECTED OF WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO FIX. WHEN
- 17 I LOOK DOWN ON THE NEXT SECTION WHERE WE HAVE THIS
- 18 SPREADSHEET, WHERE YOU SAW THE ALLOCATION OF MONEY, HOW DO I
- 19 KNOW THAT WAS REALLY EFFECTIVE?

- 21 FREDERICK ARN HANSSON: DID WE ACHIEVE THE GOALS, DID WE MAKE
- 22 THE PROCESS BETTER, WORSE, OR STATIC? WE SPEND ALL THIS MONEY,
- 23 IT EITHER PREVENT A PROBLEM OR FIX THE PROBLEM. IS THAT PART
- 24 OF OUR JURISDICTION, TO MAKE SURE EVERYTHING WAS EFFECTIVE FOR
- 25 WHAT WE PUT OUR MONEY OUT FOR?



1	
2	ALIX BOCKELMAN: THROUGH THE CHAIR. LAUGH LAST I WAS TRYING TO
3	FIND THE SPECIFIC LANGUAGE. IT'S REALLY THE OVERSIGHT
4	COMMITTEE IS TO REVIEW THE EXPENDITURE TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY
5	ARE TRYING TO LOOK FOR THE EXACT LANGUAGE THAT THEY ARE
6	CONSISTENT WITH THE AUTHORITY I'M LOSING THE LANGUAGE RIGHT
7	NOW. SORRY. I HAD IT A SECOND AGO. ANYWAYS, I'M WANTING TO
8	REFER BACK IN YOUR FIRST ITEM WE HAD THE STREETS AND HIGHWAY
9	CODES WHICH REALLY LISTS THE PROJECTS. SO ONE OF THE THINGS TO
10	MAKE SURE IS THAT THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS IS CONSISTENT WITH
11	THE LANGUAGE THAT'S IN THAT IN THOSE STATUTES, RIGHT? FOR
12	EXAMPLE, THE FIRST ONE WHICH I HAD OPEN A WHILE AGO, NOW I
13	DON'T TALKS ABOUT THE CAPITAL PROJECT 500 MILLION FOR BART CAR
14	REPLACEMENT, REPLACEMENT OF B.A.R.T. CARS. AGAIN YOUR CHARGE
15	IS REALLY TO MAKE SURE THE FUNDS WERE EXPENDED ACCORDING TO
16	THE REQUIREMENTS IN THE STATUTE. I THINK THAT'S SLIGHTLY
17	DIFFERENT THAN WHAT YOU WERE MENTIONING IS WHETHER THEY WERE
18	EFFECTIVE, RIGHT. SO, AGAIN, IF I HEAR WHAT YOU ARE SAYING,
19	YOU COULD SAY, WELL, WE DON'T LIKE THE BART CARS. THAT'S
20	DIFFERENT IN WHETHER THE TOLL REVENUES WERE SPENT FOR THE BART
21	CARS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STATUTE. THERE MIGHT BE A
22	DISTINCTION THERE FROM WHAT I HEARD YOU SAY. IT'S TO ENSURE
23	ANY TOLL REVENUE GENERATED PURSUANT TO SECTION ARE EXPECTED
24	CONSISTENT WITH THE REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THE STATUTE
	<u> </u>

WHICH IS SECTION 30914.7 WHICH WE DID INCLUDE THAT IN THE



- 1 FIRST ITEM. ONE OF THE THINGS WE DIDN'T SPEND A LOT OF TIME ON
- 2 IS WE HAVE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES THAT THE COMMISSION HAS
- 3 ADOPTED WHICH AGAIN, CONSISTENT WITH THE STATUTE THAT DOES,
- 4 YOU KNOW, REALLY SET FORTH, ALSO, THE POLICIES AROUND US
- 5 MAKING ALLOCATIONS AND THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS. SO, THAT'S
- 6 JUST ANOTHER DOCUMENT, AS WELL.

7

- 8 FREDERICK ARN HANSSON: I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. AT THE
- 9 SAME TIME, YOU KNOW, OF WHAT -- WHAT -- IN MY ROLE, AS
- 10 PLANNING COMMISSIONER, THERE IS THE INTENT, AND THERE'S WHAT
- 11 WAS WRITTEN, AND SOMETIMES THEY DON'T MATCH, AND YOU KNOW IF
- 12 WE GO IN AND SAY, WELL, I WANT TO FIX THE HIGHWAY, AND WE'RE
- 13 GOING TO POUR 400 MILLION TONS OF CONCRETE, BUT IT DIDN'T FIX
- 14 THE PROBLEM OF WHAT -- ADDING A LANE, YOU JUST POURED THE
- 15 CONCRETE, YOU KNOW, WHAT DID GET OUT OF IT, YOU KNOW? IN ONE
- 16 SENSE THE INTENT, TO ME, IS IMPORTANT, DID WE RESOLVE WHAT WE
- 17 D AS OPPOSED TO THE LETTER OF WHAT WAS WRITTEN. BECAUSE WHAT
- 18 WE DID, THE INTENT WAS TO FIX THIS.

19

- 20 ALIX BOCKELMAN: THROUGH THE CHAIR. ONE THING I WOULD ALSO NOTE
- 21 IS ON THE OPERATING PROGRAMS, THERE IS SOME REQUIREMENTS
- 22 RELATED TO PERFORMANCE METRICS AND HOW WELL THOSE OPERATING
- 23 PROJECTS PERFORM. SO, THAT IS SOME -- THAT'S AN ELEMENT OF
- 24 WHAT YOU ARE MENTIONING ON THE OPERATING SIDE.



- DEREK HANSEL: THROUGH THE CHAIR. I WANT TO FOLLOW UP ON ONE 1 POINT THAT MISS BOCKELMAN MADE RELATIVE TO THE ACTUAL 2 3 EXPENDITURES AND THE VERIFICATION OF THE EXPENDITURES AND JUST NOTE WE DO HAVE AN INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTING FIRM. IT'S NOT A 4 5 FINANCIAL AUDIT, BUT THEY DO AGREED UPON PROCEDURES WHERE THEY REVIEW THE ACTUAL EXPENDITURES AND MAKE DETERMINATIONS AS TO, 6 HEY, YOU ARE, IN FACT, THESE -- THESE PAYMENTS WENT FOR THESE 7 8 PROJECTS, PURSUANT TO THE PLAN, SO, THAT WILL -- THAT WORK WILL ALSO BE PROVIDED TO YOU. 9 10 SPEAKER: CHAIR? 11 12 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: YES? 13 14 SPEAKER: THAT WAS YOU JUST MY EXACT QUESTION I WAS GOING TO BE 15 16 ASKING NEXT. WHAT AUDIT PROCEDURES WILL THERE BE TAKEN AND WILL THIS BE INCLUDED AS PART OF MTC'S FINAL AUDIT REPORT? 17
- 10

18

- 19 DEREK HANSEL: AGAIN. IT'S SUBJECT TO AGREED UPON PROCEDURES,
- 20 WE DON'T USE OUR INDEPENDENT FINANCIAL AUDITOR, WE USE A
- 21 DIFFERENT FIRM TO DO THOSE AGREED UPON PROCEDURES. WE'RE
- 22 ALREADY DOING THAT WITH RM2 AND WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING THAT
- 23 IN A CONSISTENT MANNER FOR RM3.



1	CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: PICK UP ON FREDERICK'S QUESTION THERE IS A
2	PARAGRAPH IN OUR INFORMATION ITEM AGENDA 3A THE STATUTE
3	OUTLINES BOTH THE PURPOSE AND DUTY OF THE INDEPENDENT
4	OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE, REVENUES ARE EXTENDED CONSISTENT WITH THE
5	REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THE SENATE BILL THAT'S THE RM3
6	CAPITAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE PLAN AND ASSOCIATED GUIDANCE IN
7	THE STATUTE THE DUTY OF THE COMMITTEE IS TO ANNUALLY REVIEW
8	THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR THE RM3 EXPENDITURE PLAN AND
9	SUBMIT A REPORT TO THE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE OF EACH HOUSE
10	LEGISLATURE SUMMARIZING FINDING BEYOND THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING
11	ELSE WE HAVE TO DISCUSS AND DECIDE IF THAT'S A SET OF WORK
12	THAT THIS COMMITTEE WOULD LIKE TO TAKE ON. WE'RE NOT REQUIRED
13	TO LOOK AT WHETHER PROJECTS AS THEY HAVE BEEN EXECUTED ASSUME
14	THAT'S BEING DONE PROPERLY, WHATEVER HAVING WHATEVER EXPECTED
15	OUTCOME IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE, BEYOND THE PURVIEW OF THIS
16	COMMITTEE NOT THAT IT COULDN'T BE BUT CURRENTLY IT'S NOT
17	MANDATED IN THE LEGISLATION. I THINK THE LEGISLATION WAS WELL-
18	CRAFTED AND DESIGNED TO FIX CERTAIN CHALLENGES THAT THE REGION
19	IS FACING AND IT'S SUPPOSITION WHETHER IT'S GOING TO WORK OR
20	NOT THERE ARE QUESTIONS TO DEAL WITH, I THINK THAT IT'S
21	APPROPRIATE, WE HAVE TO SEE WHERE THE MONEY IS GOING FIRST

THEN SEE IF IT'S WORKING. TWO DIFFERENT QUESTIONS. GOOD TO

KEEP ON THE TABLE. ALL RIGHT. OTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?

24

22



SPEAKER: I APPRECIATE MY FELLOW COMMITTEE MEMBER ASKING THAT 1 QUESTION. MAKING SURE WHAT HAPPENS HERE. AGAIN, MR. CHAIR 2 3 AFTER HEARING THE DESCRIPTION, I THINK ONCE WE UNDERSTAND WHAT OUR ROLE IS HERE, THE OUESTIONS THAT YOU CAME UP WITH HELPS US 4 5 BETTER UNDERSTAND WE'RE HERE, AND THIS IS WHAT OUR ROLE IS TO DO THIS, BUT IF WE WANT TO DO OR ASK SOMETHING ELSE THAT'S FOR 6 US TO TAKE ON BUT THE ROLE IS TO CONFIRM THAT YOU ALL ARE 7 8 DOING WHAT WAS ASKED OF YOU TO DO AND THE FUNDS ARE BEING ALLOCATED PROPERLY, THE DOLLAR AMOUNTS ARE BEING PROPERLY 9 UTILIZED AND WHAT HAVE YOU. ONCE WE KNOW THAT'S WHAT WE'RE 10 SUPPOSED TO BE DOING, I THINK IT WILL HELP YOU AND ME WHO ARE 11 WONDERING HEY WHAT'S GOING ON OVER THERE. I APPRECIATE THE 12 QUESTION AND THE CLARIFICATION FROM THE CHAIR. 13 14 STEVE BRIDLEBOUGH: I HAVE TWO OUESTIONS; ONE RELATES TO THE 15 16 INFLATION ISSUES. AND AT ONE POINT, THE PRICE OF A PROJECT WAS SET AT, SAY, \$40 MILLION FOR THE EXTENSION OF THE SMART TRAIN 17 TO WINDSOR. THE FUNDS WERE DELAYED FOR A PERIOD OF FOUR YEARS, 18 AND PRICES WENT UP A GREAT DEAL. THEY'RE STILL STUCK WITH THE 19 \$40 MILLION? OR IS THERE A WAY FOR THAT TO BE DEALT WITH? 20 21 DEREK HANSEL: THE AMOUNTS IN THE EXPENDITURE PLAN ARE THE 22 AMOUNTS IN THE EXPENDITURE PLAN. SO THERE IS NO PROVISION FOR 23 INCREASING THOSE AMOUNTS. NOTE LIKEWISE, YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT --24 THE TOLL INCREASE HASN'T -- WAS NOT INDEXED IN THE SAME WAY



EITHER, AND WE HAVE SEEN MATERIAL DROPS IN TRAFFIC, THAT'S ALL 1 2 AT THE RISK OF BATA. 3 STEVE BRIDLEBOUGH: AND THEN WHEN WE COME TO ISSUES LIKE 4 5 HIGHWAY 37, WHICH, APPARENTLY, WAS NOT IN THE ORIGINAL AMOUNT, 6 HOW ARE DECISIONS MADE ABOUT PICKING UP ON THOSE KINDS OF 7 PROJECTS? 8 ALIX BOCKELMAN: SPECIFIC TO STATE ROUTE 37 THERE, IS A LINE 9 ITEM IN THE CAPITAL PLAN FOR THAT. I THINK MAYBE YOU MIGHT BE 10 REFERRING TO WHAT ARE OUR CURRENT -- AND THERE IS ACTUALLY 11 MULTIPLE SPONSORS LISTED. SO, I THINK WHEN MISS ROMMELL WAS 12 SPEAKING, SHE TALKED ABOUT SOME PROJECTS DON'T HAVE ONE 13 SPONSOR, BUT THEY HAVE MULTIPLE SPONSORS. SO, THE STATE ROUTE 14 15 37 CORRIDORS IN THERE, AND I THINK MAYBE WHAT YOU ARE SPEAKING 16 TO IS DIFFERENT -- DIFFERENT THOUGHTS AND REQUESTS FROM DIFFERENT SPONSORS ABOUT HOW TO USE THAT FUNDING, VERSUS -- I 17 DON'T KNOW, MAYBE I SHOULD ASK YOU TO CLARIFY THAT QUESTION. 18 BECAUSE IT IS IN THE EXPENDITURE PLAN STATE ROUTE 37. 19 20 STEVE BRIDLEBOUGH: WAS IT IN FOR A SPECIFIC AMOUNT? 21 22 ALIX BOCKELMAN: YES. 23

STEVE BRIDLEBOUGH: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

24



1 2 SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. MY QUESTION IS NOT SO MUCH 3 ABOUT SPECIFIC PROJECTS --4 5 WILLIAM G. JERRY HAYES: BUT OVERALL CONCEPTS OF WHAT WE'RE DOING HERE. AND I PRESUME WHAT WE'RE DOING HERE IS TRYING TO 6 GET OUR HEADS AROUND THE TOTALITY OF RM3, AND ALL OF THESE 7 8 PROJECTS, WITH AN AIM TO SUBMIT, AS WE HAVE BEEN ASKED TO DO, A REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE. SO, MY OUESTION IS ABOUT REGIONAL 9 10 MEASURE TWO. WAS THERE AN OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE? DID REGIONAL MEASURE ONE HAVE AN OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE? 11 12 THERESA ROMMELL: THERE WAS NO OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE FOR REGIONAL 13 MEASURE TWO, AND I DON'T BELIEVE THERE WAS ONE FOR REGIONAL 14 15 MEASURE ONE. 16 WILLIAM G. JERRY HAYES: SO, WE'RE -- (LAUGHTER) WE'RE STARTING 17 OFF ON OUR OWN. THE REASON I ASK THE OUESTION IS I WAS ABOUT 18 TO ASK, IF THERE WAS SUCH A REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE 19 20 LEGISLATURE, IS THERE A COMPARABLE REPORT SUBMITTED BY BATA ON 21 THOSE TWO MEASURES? 22 THERESA ROMMELL: WE BELIEVE THERE WAS ONE -- THERE IS AN 23 OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE THAT OVERSEES THE EXPENDITURE OF THE 24 SEISMIC RETROFIT DOLLARS, SO WE WILL HAVE TO LOOK TO SEE IF



1

## MARCH 4, 2024

THAT REPORT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO

2 USE AS A BENCHMARK. 3 WILLIAM G. JERRY HAYES: THAT'S WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR, AND IF 4 5 STAFF COULD COME UP WITH SOME CONCEPT OF US, TO GIVE TO US, TO LOOK AT TO SAY, THIS IS SIMILAR TO THE TYPE OF REPORT WE 6 7 EXPECT YOU TO GENERATE. 8 THERESA ROMMELL: ABSOLUTELY. AND I BELIEVE THERE ARE OTHER 9 EXAMPLES AROUND THE REGION THAT COULD SERVE AS THAT PURPOSE AS 10 WELL, NOT JUST FROM BATA. 11 12 WILLIAM G. JERRY HAYES: THANKS VERY MUCH. 13 14 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: I WOULD LIKE TO PROSE PROPOSE A REVIEW OF 15 16 MODELS OF INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE REPORTS FOR OUR NEXT MEETING WHICH IS YET TO BE SCHEDULED BUT I THINK THAT WOULD BE 17 A TREMENDOUS USE OF OUR TIME AS WE GET STARTED AND IF 18 MATERIALS COULD BE PROVIDED IN ADVANCE FOR US TO TRY TO PER 19 RUSE AND GET FAMILIAR WITH, THAT WOULD BE FANTASTIC. SO, I'LL 20 21 ASK STAFF TO HELP US WITH THAT. AND TO STEVE'S QUESTION, 22 NOTICED IN ITEM NUMBER 23 IN THE APPENDIX LIST OF PROJECTS STATE ROUTE 37, \$1 MILLION THERE IS ALPHABET SOUP OF AGENCIES 23 OBVIOUSLY ACROSS MANY AGENCIES AND JURISDICTIONS AND PROJECTS 24 THAT HAVE TO LOOK AT THERE. ARE THERE QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? 25



- 1 THIS IS FOR INFORMATION. WE'LL MOVE TO AGENDA ITEM SIX, WHICH
- 2 IS ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT OR OTHER BUSINESS FOR THE
- 3 COMMITTEE? ARE THERE ANY -- I'LL READ MY NOTES HERE SO I DON'T
- 4 MESS UP THIS. I'LL ASK THE CLERK TO LIST ITEMS RECEIVED UNDER
- 5 PUBLIC COMMENT ASSOCIATED TO THIS ITEM AT
- 6 INFO@BAYAREAMETRO.GOV BY 5:00 P.M., YESTERDAY, INTO THE
- 7 RECORD. I WOULD ALSO ASK IF THERE ARE ANY MEMBERS OF THE
- 8 PUBLIC PARTICIPATING BY TELECONFERENCE WITH GENERAL COMMENTS
- 9 NOT RELATED TO AN ITEM ON TODAY AGENDA, PLEASE USE THE RAISED
- 10 HAND FEATURE OR DIAL STAR NINE. IN-PERSON MEMBERS OF THE
- 11 PUBLIC SHOULD FORM A LINE NEAR THE PODIUM, AND I WILL CALL
- 12 UPON YOU TO SPEAK.
- 14 CLERK, WALLY CHARLES: NO PUBLIC COMMENTS WERE RECEIVED IN
- 15 WRITING. NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITH THEIR HAND RAISED
- ONLINE, AND NO SPEAKER CARDS IN THE ROOM FROM MEMBERS OF THE
- 17 PUBLIC ON THIS ITEM. THANK YOU.
- 19 CHAIR, DAVID SHAW: THANK YOU. THAT GETS US TO AGENDA ITEM
- 20 NUMBER SEVEN WHICH IS ADJOURNMENT AND OUR NEXT MEETING. WE
- 21 HAVE NOT SET THAT DATE YET. WE NEED TO DETERMINE THAT AND
- 22 NOTICES WILL BE SENT OUT ONCE IT'S DETERMINED. DO WE NEED A
- 23 MOTION AND SECOND TO ADJOURNED? OKAY. THEN WE ARE ADJOURNED.
- 24 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

25

13





Broadcasting Government