

TRANSPORTATION REVENUCE MEASURE SELECT COMMITTEE 1 MONDAY, OCTOBER  $21^{ST}$ , 2024, 9:00 AM 2 3 4 5 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE. THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING OUR MEETING TODAY. IT'S GOING TO BE OUR FINAL 6 MEETING AND WE HOPE TO MOVE SOME CONSIDERATIONS TO THE LEG 7 8 COMMITTEE AT MTC AND THEN ON TO THE FULL COMMISSION. I WOULD LIKE TO CALL TO ORDER THIS MEETING OF THE TRANSPORTATION 9 REVENUE MEASURE SELECT COMMITTEE. THIS MEETING IS MEETING 10 JOINTLY WITH THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION. THIS 11 MEETING IS BEING WEBCAST ON THE MTC WEB SITE. COMMISSIONERS, 12 COMMITTEE MEMBERS, AND MEMBERS OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING BY ZOOM 13 WISHING TO SPEAK SHOULD USE THE RAISED HAND FEATURE OR DIAL 14 STAR NINE AND I WILL CALL UPON YOU OR STAFF WILL. 15 16 TELECONFERENCE ATTENDEES WILL BE CALLED UPON BY THE LAST FOUR DIGITS MUCH THEIR PHONE NUMBER. ROLL CALL VOTE WILL BE TAKEN 17 FOR ACTION ITEMS DUE TO THE REMOTE COMMITTEE MEMBER 18 PARTICIPATION TODAY. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE CLERK TO CALL 19 ROLL OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE 20 21 22 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: SPERING? 23 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: HERE. 24 25



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: BAPTIST? ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: HERE. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: JOSEFOWITZ IS ABSENT. LAWRENCE? ALICIA LAWRENCE: HERE. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: LEONE? LEVIN? CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MEMBER LEVIN IS --ADINA LEVIN: HERE. MEMBER LINDSAY? JAMES LINDSAY: PRESENT. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MAHAN? IS IN THE HALLWAY? MEMBER MILEY? IS ABSENT. MEMBER MOHTASHEMI? RAAYAN MOHTASHEMI: HERE. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MEMBER MOULTON-PETERS? STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: HERE. 



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MEMBER NOACK? RABBITT? 1 2 3 DAVID RABBITT: HERE. 4 5 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: WU? IS ABSENT. MEMBER WUNDERMAN? 6 7 JIM WUNDERMAN: WE DO HAVE AN IN-PERSON QUORUM. THANK YOU. 8 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU. WE HAVE A REQUEST FOR REMOTE 9 PARTICIPATION UNDER AB2449 JUST CAUSE FROM COMMITTEE MEMBER 10 CINDY CHAVEZ. CINDY, DO YOU WANT TO CHECK IN? 11 12 CINDY CHAVEZ: YES. I'M AT 70 WEST HEDDING, ON THE 10th FLOOR. 13 AND I HAVE NOBODY PARTICIPATING WITH ME HERE. 14 15 16 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU CINDY. REALLY APPRECIATE YOUR ATTENDING. CINDY IS GOING TO BE LEAVING US HERE IN THE REGION 17 AND WE'RE GOING TO BE LOSING A STRONG ADVOCATE FOR 18 TRANSPORTATION IN THE REGION. SO CINDY THANK YOU FOR ALL THE 19 WORK YOU HAVE DONE OVER THE YEARS. 20 21 22 CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU, JIM. 23

## OCTOBER 21, 2024

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: NEXT WE HAVE ALFREDO PEDROZA, REQUESTING 1 PARTICIPATION UNDER AB2449 JUST CAUSE FROM COMMITTEE MEMBER 2 3 PEDROZA. 4 5 ALFREDO PEDROZA: I DO REQUEST REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER THIS RULE NO ONE IS HERE WITH ME UNDER 18. AND MY REASONS ARE FOR 6 7 BUSINESS TRAVEL. 8 9 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THANK YOU, CHAIR. WILL THE CLERK 10 CALL THE ROLL OF THE COMMISSIONERS, PLEASE? 11 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU, CHAIR PEDROZA. 12 13 ALFREDO PEDROZA: HERE. 14 15 16 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: VICE CHAIR JOSEFOWITZ IS ABSENT. COMMISSIONER ABE-KOGA? IS ABSENT. COMMISSIONER AHN? IS ABSENT. 17 COMMISSIONER CANEPA? COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ? 18 19 CINDY CHAVEZ: HERE. 20 21 22 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: COMMISSIONER DUTRA-VERNACI? IS 23 ABSENT. COMMISSIONER EL-TAWANSY? IS ABSENT. COMMISSIONER FLEMING? ABSENT. COMMISSIONER GIACOPINI? IS ABSENT. 24



COMMISSIONER GLOVER IS ABSENT. COMMISSIONER MAHAN? IS ABSENT. 1 COMMISSIONER MILEY? IS ABSENT. COMMISSIONER MOULTON-PETERS? 2 3 STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: HERE. 4 5 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: COMMISSIONER NOACK? COMMISSIONER 6 7 PAPAN? IS ABSENT. COMMISSIONER RABBITT? 8 9 DAVID RABBITT: HERE. 10 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: COMMISSIONER RONEN? IS ABSENT. 11 SCHAFF IS ABSENT. SPERING? 12 13 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: PRESENT. 14 15 16 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THAO IS ABSENT. AND LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT VICE CHAIR JOSEFOWITZ IS PRESENT. THANK YOU. 17 18 19 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THANK YOU. 20 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: WE DO NOT HAVE A QUORUM WITH THE 21 22 COMMISSION, CHAIR. I'M SORRY. 23 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: I WOULD WANT TO START BY THANKING ALL THE 24 MEMBERS OF THIS COMMITTEE FOR YOUR TIME OVER THE PAST FIVE 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

MONTHS. TOGETHER WE HAVE MADE PROGRESS AND EACH OF YOU HAVE 1 MADE VALUABLE CONTRIBUTIONS BETWEEN MYSELF AND STAFF WE HAVE A 2 3 LOT OF AFTER MEETING AND TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION, JUST ABOUT EVERYTHING THAT WE HEAR AT THESE MEETINGS. EVEN IF WE HAVEN'T 4 5 OUITE MET OUR GOAL OF CONSENSUS AGREEMENT ON A SINGLE PREFERRED OPTION FOR A REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE 6 MEASURE, WE HAVE MOVED THE BALL A LONG WAYS DOWN THE FIELD AND 7 8 I FEEL BETTER NOW THAT I -- BETTER THAN I DID BACK IN JUNE ABOUT THE CHANCE OF SUCCESS AT GETTING A BILL INTRODUCED IN 9 SACRAMENTO THAT WILL NOT ONLY WIN THE SUPPORT OF THE 10 LEGISLATORS BUT ALSO LEAD TO THE REGIONAL MEASURE THAT WE CAN 11 WIN THE SUPPORT OF THE BAY AREA VOTERS. AS WE CONTINUE TO WORK 12 ON THIS CHALLENGE, I WANT TO REITERATE THE THREE THINGS THE 13 MEASURE MUST EVENTUALLY DO. AND WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO KEEP 14 FAITH TO THESE THREE ISSUES. FIRST IS IT NEEDS TO GENERATE 15 16 ENOUGH REVENUE TO ADDRESS OUR MOST IMMEDIATE TRANSIT SHORTFALL. TWO IS IT NEEDS TO INTRODUCE TRANSFORMATIVE CHANGES 17 TO OUR TRANSIT SYSTEM THAT THE BAY AREA RESIDENTS HAVE BEEN 18 ASKING FOR, AND, THREE, IT NEEDS TO BE A MEASURE THAT VOTERS 19 WILL SUPPORT WITH COORDINATION AND VISION. WE MUST FIND THAT 20 21 BALANCE OF THOSE THREE. THE IMPORTANT ISSUES. MAYBE THE BIGGEST PART OF WHAT WE HAVE DONE TOGETHER IS TO ACKNOWLEDGE 22 THE INTERCONNECTEDNESS AND IMPORTANCE OF OUR PUBLIC TRANSIT 23 SYSTEM, FROM OUR RAIL OPERATORS, BART AND CALTRAIN, TO THE 24 REGION'S HIGHEST RIDERSHIP BUS SYSTEMS, SFMTA AND AC TRANSIT. 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

OUR REGIONAL TRANSIT SYSTEM HAS BEEN BUILT ON A NEW OUTDATED 1 BUSINESS MODEL THAT ASSUMED THE VAST MAJORITY OF OPERATING 2 3 COSTS WOULD BE COVERED BY MOVING LARGE NUMBERS OF COMMUTERS INTO SAN FRANCISCO IN THE MORNING AND RETURNING THEM HOME IN 4 5 THE EVENING THE END THATCH BUSINESS MOLD AFFECTS THE ENTIRE REGION AND FIGURING OUT WHAT TO PUT IN PLACE REQUIRES A 6 REGIONAL EFFORT. THE COMMISSION HAS DONE SINCE MARCH 2020 WITH 7 8 YOUR SUPPORT AND ENGAGEMENT TO ADVOCATE FOR THE EXPEDITED ALLOCATIONS FOR CRITICAL FEDERAL COVID RELIEF FUNDS TO SUSTAIN 9 PUBLIC TRANSIT. I WAS CHAIR OF THE BLUE RIBBON TRANSIT 10 RECOVERY EFFORT AND THAT CENTERED OUR WORK ON WHAT MATTERS, 11 THE TRANSIT RIDERS. SINCE THAT TIME WE HAVE DOUBLED DOWN ON 12 OUR EFFORTS TO DELIVER CUSTOMER OUTCOMES THROUGH REGIONAL 13 NETWORK MANAGEMENT MTC ALSO CHAMPIONS EFFORTS TO EXTEND RELIEF 14 FUNDS THROUGH THE STATE BUDGET. AS PART OF THAT ADVOCACY MTC 15 16 ALSO COMMITTED TO THIS IMPORTANT WORK, FORGING COMMON GROUND ON THE IMPORTANCE OF PUBLIC TRANSIT FOR OUR REGIONAL --17 REGION'S MOST VULNERABLE RESIDENTS, FOR OUR CLIMATE GOALS AND 18 FOR OUR ECONOMIC FUTURE. SO WHILE WE STILL HAVE WORK AHEAD TO 19 RAISE NEW REVENUE, MTC REMAINS COMMITTED TO THE VALUE AND 20 21 NECESSITY OF THIS UNDERTAKING. WE'RE GOING TO TALK MORE TODAY 22 ABOUT SOME NEW APPROACHES TO FUNDING TRANSIT OPERATIONS FOR AC TRANSIT, BART, CALTRAIN, AND SFMTA, AND THE SMALLER TRANSIT 23 AGENCIES. YOUR INPUT, ANALYSIS, AND VALUATION WILL BE 24 EXTREMELY HOSPITAL AND TO BOTH MYSELF AND TO MTC COMMISSION 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

COLLEAGUES AS WE TAKE THE BATON FROM THIS COMMITTEE AND WORK 1 2 SENATOR WIENER, CORTESE AND WAHAB IN PURSUIT OF ENABLING 3 LEGISLATION IN SACRAMENTO. AS WE DIVE INTO THE AGENDA FOR THIS LAST MEETING OF OUR COMMITTEE I WANT TO SAY AGAIN WHAT AN 4 5 HONOR IT HAS BEEN TO CHAIR THIS COMMITTEE AND TO WORK WITH ALL OF YOU. YOUR KNOWLEDGE, TIME, PERSPECTIVES AND WILLINGNESS TO 6 REALLY LISTEN TO OTHER'S POINTS OF VIEW ARE DEEP APPRECIATED 7 8 BY ME AND MTC STAFF. THROUGH THIS PROCESS IT'S IMPORTANT TO HAD ARE FROM AS MANY INDIVIDUALS AND AGENCIES AS POSSIBLE WE 9 10 MAY NOT AGREE ON A SOLUTION BUT REST ASSURED WE HAVE HEARD YOU. BEFORE TURNING TODAY'S AGENDA OVER, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK 11 MTC'S EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, ANDY FREMIER, TO SPEAK ABOUT A FEW 12 ITEMS WE HAVE BRUISED OR INCLUDED AS ATTACHMENTS TO MY CHAIR'S 13 REPORT. AND RESPONSE TO THE CONVERSATION AT THE LAST SELECT 14 15 COMMITTEE MEETING. FURTHER I'M ANY TO ASK ANDY TOW PROVIDE A 16 REPORT ON THE EXECUTIVE GROUP HE CONVENED LAST THUDS AND LASTLY I HAVE ASKED HIM TO REVIEW NEXT STEPS ONCE WE CONCLUDE 17 OUR FINAL SELECT COMMITTEE MEETING. AND I JUST WANT TO THANK 18 OUR STAFF, ANDY, ALIX, AND STUART, THE NUMBER OF MEETINGS WE 19 HAVE BEEN ATTENDING ON THE PHONE, LATE AT NIGHT, TALKING ABOUT 20 21 A LOT OF THE ISSUES THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED. BUT I THINK WE HAVE BEEN FORTUNATE TO HAVE THAT TEAM WITH ALL THE SUPPORT THEY 22 HAVE AT MTC. SO I JUST WANTED TO THANK THEM FOR JUST A LOT OF 23 HARD WORK. AND I'M SURE THEY'RE KIND OF LIKE ME, WILL BE GLAD 24 WHEN WE'RE DONE WITH THIS. SO, WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO TURN IT 25



OVER TO ANDY. WHEN YOU'RE DONE, TURN IT OVER TO STUART SO WE
 CAN KEEP GOING.

3

ANDREW FREMIER: SURE CHAIR SPERING. AND I NOTICED YOU'RE NOW 4 5 DELEGATING WORK. THAT'S A GOOD SIGN. GOOD MORNING EVERYBODY, HOPE EVERYONE IS STRAPPED IN FOR A LONG DAY WE HAVE COFFEE 6 OVER HERE IF YOU NEED IT. WE HAVE A YOU COUPLE OF SLIDES 7 8 ATTACHED TO CHAIR SPERRY'S LETTER BECAUSE THEY'RE IMPORTANT TO REFLECT ON AS GOOD INFORMATION. AS A REMINDER, CALIFORNIA IS 9 ONE OF THE STATES THAT DOES NOT PROVIDE A LOT OF FUNDING TO 10 THE TRANSIT OPERATIONS WHEN COMPARED TO THEIR PEERS AROUND THE 11 UNITED STATES. BUT WE GET MONEY FROM THE STATE, THERE IS SALES 12 TAX ON THE TDA, DIESEL TAX STA AND VEHICLE TAX THAT COMES TO 13 THE BAY AREA. THOSE DOLLARS ARE AT RISK AS THE YEARS GO BY AND 14 WE CONVERT TO A CLEANER FLEET. WE DID GET ASSISTANCE IN SB25 15 16 RELATIVE TO THE BAY AREA TRANSIT SHORTFALLS, AND ALSO THE CAP-17 AND-TRADE MONEY THAT HAS BEEN BROUGHT FORWARD. SO, THERE IS SOME WORK THERE, BUT WE WANT TO ALSO CONTINUE TO REFLECT ON 18 THE FACT THAT WE DO THINK THAT WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO PARTNER 19 WITH THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO BRING ADDITIONAL FUNDS INTO 20 21 THE PROGRAM TO REALLY MEET OUR CLIMATE AND EQUITY GOALS. NEXT SLIDE. WE ALSO WANTED TO RECOGNIZE HOW MUCH LOCAL SELF-HELP 22 THERE IS, AND SO WHAT THIS CHART REALLY DOES OUTLINE IS HOW 23 THE NINE COUNTIES REALLY DO SUPPORT TRANSIT AND OTHER MEASURES 24 THROUGH SALES TAX. YOU CAN SEE THE VARYING RATES IN SALES TAX 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

ON THE TWO LEFT COLUMNS. THE MIDDLE STARTS TO TALK ABOUT HOW 1 2 MUCH OF THAT GOES TO TRANSPORTATION, ITSELF. AND THEN AT THE 3 END, ON THE FAR RIGHT, WE TALK ABOUT WHEN THESE TAXES START TO SUNSET, WHICH HAS ALSO BEEN A REAL IMPORTANT PART OF THE 4 5 DISCUSSION. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WAS IN FRONT OF YOU. BECAUSE THESE QUESTIONS DO COME FROM UP OUR STAKEHOLDERS AND 6 THE POLICY MAKERS THROUGHOUT THE REGION. WE WANT THIS 7 8 INFORMATION DIRECTLY IN FRONT OF YOU FOR REFERENCE, AND ALSO FOR TRANSPARENCY. IN TERMS OF THE NEXT ASSIGNMENT, WHICH IS 9 REPORTING ON THE EXECUTIVE GROUP, I WANT TO THANK OUR FRIENDS 10 IN THE TRANSIT OPERATION GENERAL MANAGER REALM, AS WELL AS THE 11 EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS OF THE TRANSPORTATION AGENCIES. THEY HAVE 12 PUT IN OUITE A BIT OF TIME INTO THE EFFORT THAT'S BEEN VERY 13 HELPFUL IN TERMS OF TALKING TO THIS TEAM AS WELL AS CHAIR 14 SPERING WHO HAS SHOWN UP AT THE LAST THREE MEETINGS AND THEY 15 16 HAVE BEEN VERY REFLECTIVE. LAST THURSDAY WE DID DISCUSS POTENTIAL POLICY ITEMS THAT WE'LL TALK ABOUT TODAY TO 17 INCORPORATE INTO THE ENABLING LEGISLATION AND THAT'S ITEM FIVE 18 ON THE AGENDA TODAY. A FEW KEY THEMES THAT WERE MENTIONED OVER 19 AND OVER AGAIN WERE STARTING WITH TO KEEP IT SIMPLE AND, ALSO, 20 TO SUPPORT ACCOUNTABILITY PROVISIONS. AND I THINK THOSE ARE 21 THINGS THAT WE HAVE HEARD FROM MANY FOLKS. ACCOUNTABILITY IS 22 THE NUMBER ONE CHALLENGE THAT WE NEED GOAT IN FRONT OF THE 23 VOTERS AND SIMPLICITY IS ALSO IMPORTANT AS WE DO HAVE TO 24 EXPLAIN A COMPLICATED LANDSCAPE TO THE PUBLIC AND TO THE 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

VOTERS. THAT SUPPORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY DID COME FROM SEVERAL 1 2 OF THE TRANSIT AGENCY GENERAL MANAGERS DIRECTLY AS WELL AS THE 3 TRANSPORTATION AGENCY EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS, RECOGNIZING, I THINK, HOW IMPORTANT THOSE ACCOUNTABILITY PROVISIONS ARE FOR 4 5 THE POLICY MAKERS AND THE VOTERS ALIKE. WE ALSO HEARD SUPPORT FOR FLEXIBILITY WHEN IT COMES TO PROJECT ELIGIBILITY FOR 6 COUNTY FLEX ON PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE REGIONAL NETWORK 7 8 MANAGEMENT. EXPRESSED VIEWS THAT MULTI-LAYERS FRAMEWORK THAT WAS FORMALIZED VOLUNTARY BY THE COMMISSION IN THE REGION IN 9 2023 IS NEW AND DOES REPRESENT INPUT FROM A BROAD ARRAY OF 10 TECHNICAL EXPERTS AND IT'S WORKING QUITE WELL THEREFORE IT'S 11 PREMATURE TO MAKE ANY CHANGES TO THE LAW TODAY. CONSISTENT 12 WITH KEEPING IT SIMPLE A MEMBER RAISED COMPONENTS RELATED TO 13 CONSOLIDATION WOULD DISTRACT FROM THE PRIMARY PURPOSE OF THE 14 GOAL OF THE MEASURE. AC TRANSIT SHARED THEY CONTINUE TO HAVE 15 16 CONCERNS ABOUT THE FUNDING TARGETS FOR SCENARIO ONE BEING FOCUSED ON LOST FARE REVENUE AND WOULD LIKE TO SEE FUNDING 17 DISTRIBUTION IN A WAY THAT TAKES EQUITY AND RIDERSHIP INTO 18 ACCOUNT. THE EXECUTIVE GROUP RECEIVED AND DISCUSSED 19 PRESENTATIONS YOU WILL HEAR TODAY FROM THE SAN FRANCISCO MTA 20 21 STAFF REGARDING A PORTABLE ALTERNATIVE FRAMEWORK WITH A VARIABLE RATE PARCEL TAX THAT ALLOWS FOR FINANCING AND UPDATES 22 TO THE SEPTEMBER SELECT COMMITTEE SCENARIOS THAT STUART WILL 23 PRESENT. BART STAFF EXPRESSED SOME SUPPORT FOR A SHORTER TEN 24 YEAR MEASURE, AS PROPOSED IN SCENARIO 1A. AND SO MOVING ON TO 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

THE NEXT STEPS, I WANTED TO ADD DETAILS ON THE NEXT STEPS. 1 FIRST, STAFF WILL SUMMARIZE THE WORK AND OUTCOME OF THE SELECT 2 3 COMMITTEE, WHICH HELLO BE PRESENTED TO THE JOINT MTC ABAG LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ON NOVEMBER 8TH, HERE IN THIS BUILDING. 4 5 THEN ON NOVEMBER 9TH -- I'M SORRY -- DECEMBER 9TH, WE'RE HOLDING A SPECIAL COMMISSION MEETING HERE DEDICATED TO THIS 6 TOPIC WHERE WE ANTICIPATE THE COMMISSION WILL ACT ON WHETHER 7 8 TO SPONSOR A REVENUE TRANSPORTATION BILL IN 2025 AND IF SO WHAT ARE THE KEY COMPONENTS OF THAT LEGISLATION. GIVEN THAT WE 9 10 DON'T ANTICIPATE COMPLETING POLLING UNTIL MID-JANUARY, WE WOULD EXPECT THE COMMISSION TO FURTHER REFINE THE SCOPE OF ANY 11 SPONSORED LEGISLATION AFTER REVIEWING RESULTS OF THE POLLS IN 12 THE NEW YEAR. SO, FINALLY, I JUST WANTED TO ECHO, CHAIR 13 SPERING'S APPRECIATION FOR EVERYONE'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS 14 WORK AND ESPECIALLY FOR DEDICATING MORE THAN HALF OF TODAY TO 15 16 REALLY DISCUSS THIS CRITICAL SUBJECT. THE LAST MEETING IS FAR FROM CEREMONIAL WE HAVE ROBUST CONTENT TO DISCUSS AND AS 17 DIMPLE IT IS TO REACH AGREEMENT ACROSS OUR DIVERSE REGION 18 THERE IS NO BETTER FORUM THAN THIS ONE FOR FIGURING OUT THE 19 PATH TO SUCCESS ON THIS TOPIC. I'M HOPEFUL WE'LL END TODAY 20 WITH A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHERE EVERYONE STANDS AND 21 WHAT THE NEXT STEPS ARE. SO WITH THAT I WOULD LIKE TO TURN 22 THIS OVER TO STUART COHEN FROM SC STRATEGIES TO OUTLINE GOALS. 23 24

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

STUART COHEN: THANK YOU ANDY FREMIER WE COULD PUT ON THE GOALS 1 MEETING SLIDE THAT WOULD BE GREAT. GOOD MORNING EVERYBODY AND 2 3 THANK YOU CHAIR SPERING FOR TAKING US THROUGH THIS OVER THE LAST FOUR AND A HALF MONTHS. IT'S A LONG AND IMPORTANT MEETING 4 5 TODAY. SO, I REALLY WANT TO GET CLEAR ON OUR GOALS FOR THE DAY. AND WE'RE GOING TO START KIND OF THE SUBSTANTIVE PART 6 WILL BE ITEM 4A. WE'RE GOING HEAR ABOUT A NEW SCENARIO FROM 7 8 SFMTA ON BEHALF OF SEVERAL TRANSIT OPERATORS, WE'LL THEN HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT ON THAT ITEM. ON ITEM 4B WE'LL REVIEW SCENARIO 9 ONE IN HYBRID SCENARIO. YOU WILL ALSO LEARN ABOUT SOME NEW 10 VARIATIONS DEVELOPED BASED ON YOUR FEEDBACK AND SINCE OUR LAST 11 MEETING. THEN WE'LL DIVE DEEPER DURING THIS PRESENTATION INTO 12 THE PROPOSED TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM. WE GOT A LOT OF 13 QUERIES ABOUT THAT. SO, AFTER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS ON THAT, 14 WE'LL TAKE PUBLIC COMMENT AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO GO THROUGH A 15 16 RATING EXERCISE, LIKE WE DID LAST TIME USING GRADIENTS OF AGREEMENT AND THIS TIME THOUGH WE'RE GOING TO BE GRADING ON 17 ELEMENTS SUCH AS FUNDING MECHANISMS AND GEOGRAPHY, RATHER THAN 18 JUST ON THE SCENARIOS THEMSELVES. WE'LL THEN HAVE A SHORT 19 BREAK. WE'LL BE ABLE TO SEE WHAT THE RESULTS WERE, IN 20 21 AGGREGATE, AND BE ABLE TO CONSIDER A RESOLUTION BASED ON THE CONVERSATION AND THOSE RESULTS. FINALLY, IN ITEM 4C, WE'LL 22 REVIEW THE POLICY PROVISIONS THAT COULD BE CONSIDERED AS PART 23 OF THE ENABLING LEGISLATION. AND AFTER PUBLIC COMMENT ON THAT, 24 25 YOU MIGHT CONSIDER A MOTION RECOMMENDING OR ADVISING AGAINST



CERTAIN OF THESE POLICIES WE DISCUSS. SO, IF THERE ARE ANY
 CLARIFYING QUESTIONS ON THE MEETING GOALS AND OTHERWISE I'LL
 HAND IT BACK TO CHAIR SPERING.

4

5 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU STUART. I'LL SEE IF COMMITTEE MEMBERS HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ON THE OPENING 6 7 COMMENTS. OKAY. I WANT TO REMIND EVERYONE, YOU KNOW, IF YOU 8 HAVE A MINORITY POSITION OR IF YOU FEEL YOUR ISSUE NEEDS TO BE EXPLORED FURTHER, PLEASE GIVE US YOUR WHITE PAPER, AND WE'LL 9 SUBMIT IT WITH OUR REPORT TO THE COMMISSION AND ALSO TO THE 10 LEGISLATURE, SO WE'LL INCLUDE THOSE POSITION PAPERS. SO, 11 PLEASE INCLUDE THOSE SO EVERYONE KNOW WHAT IS YOUR POSITION IS 12 OR HOW YOU THINK WE SHOULD PROCEED. AT THIS TIME, IS THERE ANY 13 PUBLIC SPEAKERS ON CONVERSATION THAT WE HAVE MADE OR ANY 14 15 WRITTEN COMMENTS?

16

17 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: WE HAVE RECEIVED NOTHING IN WRITING 18 FOR THIS ITEM BUT WE DO HAVE TWO SPEAKERS IN THE ZOOM SPACE AS 19 WELL AS ONE PERSON IN THE BOARDROOM WHO WOULD LIKE TO PROVIDE 20 PUBLIC COMMENT. HOW MUCH TIME WOULD YOU LIKE TO PROVIDE?

21

22 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: GIVE THEM TWO MINUTES, PLEASE. THERE ARE23 ONLY A COUPLE PEOPLE.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WHO IS THE FIRST SPEAKER? 37 LAUREL
 PAGEANT SEKINS. YOU HAVE TWO MINUTES. MAKE SURE YOU TURN THE
 MIC ON.

4

5 SPEAKER: HELLO. DOES THAT WORK? LAUREL, PUBLIC ADVOCATES, VOICES FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION I WILL BE QUICK HERE I WANT 6 TO POINT YOUR ATTENTION TO A FEW LETTERS THAT CAME IN THAT ARE 7 8 IN THE PACKET PARTICULARLY HIGHLIGHTING THE LETTERS FROM NORTH BAY RIDERS AND ORGANIZATION. THERE IS A GROUP LETTER FROM A 9 NUMBER OF NORTH BAY ORGANIZATIONS INCLUDING FRIENDS OF SMART, 10 FRIENDS OF PETALUMA, [INDISCERNIBLE] LEGAL CENTER, GENESIS, 11 SEAMLESS, SONOMA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION CALLING ATTENTION TO 12 THE NEEDS OF TRANSIT NEEDS IN THE NORTH BAY HOPING THEY'RE NOT 13 LEFT OUT OF THE CONVERSATION HOPE YOU LOOK AT THAT LETTER AND 14 CONSIDER THE NEEDS OF THE NORTH BAY AS WELL IN THE REGIONAL 15 16 FUNDING COORDINATION THANK YOU.

17

18 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY THANK YOU.

19

20 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. OUR FIRST CALLER IN THE
21 ZOOM SPACE WILL BE OLGA VITALINA. GO AHEAD AND UNMUTE
22 YOURSELF. YOU HAVE TWO MINUTES. OLGA, ARE YOU THERE? OKAY
23 WE'LL MOVE ON TO 350 SAN FRANCISCO. GO AHEAD AND UNMUTE

24 YOURSELF. YOU HAVE TWO MINUTES.



1 SPEAKER: HI. CAN YOU HEAR ME?

3 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: WE CAN.

4

2

5 SPEAKER: OKAY. SARAH GREENWALD HERE WITH 350 BAY AREA TRANSPORTATION TEAM. TO ENCOURAGE YOU AND SET CONTEXT, LET ME 6 SHARE THIS FROM THE 2024 STATE OF THE CLIMATE REPORT IN BIO 7 8 SCIENCE, AS FOLLOWS: WE FIND OURSELVES AMID AN ABRUPT CLIMATE UPHEAVAL, A DIRE SITUATION, NEVER BEFORE ENCOUNTERED IN THE AN 9 ALES OF HUMAN EXISTENCE. WE HAVE NOW BROUGHT THE PLANET INTO 10 CLIMATIC CONDITIONS NEVER WITNESSED. END QUOTE. I EMPHASIZE 11 NEVER WITNESSED BY HOMO SAPIENS. YOU CAN HELP AT THIS DIRE 12 MOMENT. PUBLIC TRANSIT IS ONE OF OUR BEST HOPES FOR THE 13 CLIMATE. WE NEED STRONG INVESTMENT HERE IN OUR NINE-COUNTY BAY 14 15 AREA -- PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS TO HELP CUT EMISSION 16 TRENDS, SHORE UP FINANCES OF EXISTING SERVICES LIKE BART, SF MUNI AND AC TRANSIT AND INVEST EXPANSION INTO SERVICES AND 17 AREAS LIKE THE NORTH BAY WHERE LOCAL SERVICE AND REGIONAL 18 CONNECTIONS STRONGLY NEED IMPROVEMENT. YOU, AS MTC, AND 19 REGIONAL COUNTY LEADERS NEED TO MAKE SURE THIS CAN HAPPEN. SO, 20 21 I WISH YOU ALL SPEED.

22

23 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. AND THAT CONCLUDES
24 PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THIS ITEM.

## OCTOBER 21, 2024

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THANK YOU. LET'S MOVE ON INTO OUR 1 AGENDA. THIS IS THE CONSENT CALENDAR. THESE ARE ITEMS FROM OUR 2 3 SEPTEMBER 23RD MEETING. I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT CALENDAR. 4 5 SPEAKER: SO MOVED. 6 7 8 SPEAKER: SECOND. 9 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. IS THERE 10 11 ANY PUBLIC COMMENT BUSINESS? OR ANYONE IN THE ROOM WISHING TO SPEAK? 12 13 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THERE ARE NO WRITTEN COMMENTS 14 RECEIVED FOR THIS ITEM, AND NO MEMBERS IN THE BOARDROOM WITH 15 16 PUBLIC COMMENT AND IN THE ATTENDEE SPACE, NO MEMBERS WITH RAISED HANDS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AT THIS TIME. 17 18 19 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. TAKE THE ROLL PLEASE. 20 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. MOTION BY MEMBER NOACK. 21 22 SECOND RABBITT. SPERING? 23 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YES. 24 25



```
1
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: ORANTES?
2
3
    JOHN ARANTES: YES.
4
5
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: CANEPA? CHAVEZ?
6
7
    CINDY CHAVEZ: YES.
8
9
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: JOHN-BAPTISTE?
10
11
    ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: YES.
12
13
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: JOSEFOWITZ?
14
15
    NICK JOSEFOWITZ: YES.
16
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: LEONE? LEVIN?
17
18
19
    ADINA LEVIN: YES.
20
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: LINDSAY? MAHAN? MILEY? MOULTON-
21
22
    PETERS? NOACK? RABBITT?
23
    DAVID RABBITT: AYE.
24
25
```



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: WU IS ABSENT. AND WUNDERMAN? 1 2 3 JIM WUNDERMAN: YES. 4 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY. 5 6 7 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THANK YOU. WE'LL NOW MOVE INTO ITEM 8 4A. THIS IS THE OPERATOR COORDINATED SCENARIOS. JONATHON REWERS WITH SFMTA, CHIEF STRATEGY OFFICER, WILL INTRODUCE THE 9 INFORMATION ITEM WITH ADDITIONAL INPUT FROM TRANSPORTATION 10 AGENCY REPRESENTATIVES. YOU KNOW, THIS ITEM IS NOT BEFORE THE 11 COMMITTEE FOR ANY DECISION. IT'S AN INFORMATION ITEM AND WE 12 FELT THE SELECT COMMITTEE WOULD BENEFIT FROM HEARING FROM THE 13 OPERATORS. SO, WITH THAT, JONATHON, I'LL TURN IT OVER TO YOU. 14 15 16 JONATHON REWERS: CAN YOU PUT UP THE SLIDES? THANK YOU. GOOD 17 MORNING CHAIR SPERING, COMMISSIONERS AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. JONATHON REWERS WITH SFMTA. JUST TO INTRODUCE THIS ITEM, WHAT 18 THE TRANSIT AGENCIES ATTEMPTED TO DO WAS REVIEW ALL THE 19 VARIOUS CONCERNS THAT MEMBERS OF THIS COMMITTEE, THE 20 21 LEGISLATURE, AND PARTNERS HAD IN THE OVERALL PROCESS. AND FROM LEARNING ABOUT THOSE INDIVIDUAL CONCERNS, REVERSE ENGINEER A 22 MEASURE THAT COULD POTENTIALLY WORK. NEXT SLIDE. SO, WHAT I 23 WANT TO STRESS IS THIS WAS MEANT TO BE A COMPROMISE WITH THE 24

25 INTENT THAT WHATEVER THE RESULT WOULD BE WOULD NOT BE PERFECT.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

WHAT WE DID WAS HAVING A SERIES OF DISCUSSIONS WITH OPERATORS 1 AND PARTNERS THROUGHOUT THE REGION ABOUT WHAT THEIR SPECIFIC 2 RED LINES WOULD BE AROUND A REGIONAL MEASURE. SO, THAT WOULD 3 BE SALES TAX VERSUS PARCEL TAX, REAUTHORIZATION DATES, LOCAL 4 5 FUNDING PRIORITIES, AMOUNT REOUIRED FOR TRANSIT OPERATIONS TO BE SUSTAINED WITHIN THE REGION. SO, WE SLOWLY BUT SURELY TOOK 6 EACH OF THOSE RED LINES TO DESIGN A COMPROMISE THAT IN THEORY 7 8 MANY COULD SUPPORT. NEXT SLIDE. SO, WHEN WE LOOKED AT THE COMPROMISE, THREE ELEMENTS EMERGED. FIRST THAT YOU NEEDED A 9 10 VERY CLEAR PRIORITIZED REGIONAL PROGRAM, MEANING WHAT WOULD THIS COMMITTEE, THE LEGISLATURE, THE PUBLIC WITHIN THE BAY 11 AREA, WHAT WOULD WE ALL AGREE WITHIN THE BAY AREA IS A 12 REGIONAL PROGRAM, A REGIONAL TRANSIT SYSTEM THAT EVERYONE 13 NEEDS TO SUPPORT. SECOND, WHAT FUNDING STRATEGIES COULD BE 14 15 EMPLOYED TO SUPPORT THAT REGIONAL SYSTEM, AND LOCAL OPERATORS 16 AND, ALSO SUPPORT LOCAL COUNTIES AND LOCAL CITIES WITH 17 PROJECTS AND REAUTHORIZATION MEASURES THAT THEY MIGHT HAVE, AND THEN LASTLY WHEN CONSIDERING BOTH THE REGIONAL PROGRAM AND 18 POTENTIAL FUNDING STRATEGIES, WHAT WOULD LEGISLATION LOOK LIKE 19 THAT WOULD ALLOW FOR THIS FLEXIBILITY. THE PROPOSAL INCLUDES 20 BOTH TOOLS AND TIME, MEANING VARIOUS TOOLS TO CRAFT A MEASURE 21 THAT WOULD BE SUCCESSFUL, AND ASSUMES TIME TO COME TO A MORE 22 PERFECT AND PERMANENT REGIONAL MEASURE TO FUND THE PRIORITIES 23 THAT EXIST ACROSS THE NINE COUNTIES. IT DID RECOGNIZE THE NEED 24 25 TO PROTECT LOCAL PRIORITIES, AGAIN, THAT'S REAUTHORIZATIONS

OCTOBER 21, 2024

POTENTIALLY ON THE BALLOT, SALES TAX CAPACITY WITHIN DIFFERENT 1 2 PARTS OF THE REGION, PARCEL TAX CAPACITY, MEANING THE VALUE OF 3 PARCELS WITHIN DIFFERENT COUNTIES, AND PROJECTS THAT DIFFERENT COUNTIES AND/OR TRANSIT AGENCIES WANTED TO PURSUE. IT DOES 4 5 PROVIDE TEN YEARS OF FUNDING FOR TRANSIT. THE AMOUNT OF TIME, AS CHAIR SPERING NOTED, AND OTHERS, FOR THE TRANSIT INDUSTRY 6 TO ADJUST TO THE NEW FORMS OF MOBILITY, IN A NEW FUNDING 7 8 STRUCTURE, WILL TAKE THAT AMOUNT OF TIME. OPERATORS AND COUNTIES HAVE OPTION, THAT WAS IMPORTANT. SO THE ABILITY TO 9 CRAFT, ESPECIALLY LOCALLY, I'LL TALK ABOUT THE VARIABLE TAX 10 RATE AND THE ABILITY TO DO THAT. AND LASTLY WHILE THERE ARE 11 REGIONAL PRIORITIES, AGAIN THINGS THAT WE ALL AGREE NEED TO BE 12 FUNDED, THERE IS FLEXIBILITY, AGAIN, FOR LOCAL COUNTIES, 13 TRANSIT AGENCIES, TO GET DOLLARS TO THE THINGS THAT THEY HAVE, 14 15 THAT THEY NEED FUNDED OVER THE PERIOD OF TIME. NEXT SLIDE. SO 16 TO COVER THOSE POTENTIAL FUNDING STRATEGIES, WHAT WE DESIGNED IS KIND OF A TWO PART. A SHORT-TERM MEASURE FOCUSED 17 SPECIFICALLY ON THE EMERGENCY, THE TRANSIT OPERATORS ARE 18 FACING WITHIN THE REGION. WE MODELED BOTH A FIVE COUNTY AND A 19 NINE COUNTY MEASURE, ASSUMING A 10-YEAR EXPENDITURE PLAN WHICH 20 I'LL COVER IN THE NEXT SLIDE AND A TAX THAT WILL LAST THROUGH 21 JUNE 2038 CONSISTENT WITH FISCAL YEARS FOR GOVERNMENT ENTITY, 22 AGAIN CONSIDERING A RANGE OF TOOLS BASED ON FEEDBACK THROUGH 23 THIS PROCESS, A VARIABLE RATE PARCEL TAX WAS USED THESE WOULD 24 BE BASED ON DEVELOPED SQUARE FOOT WITHIN A PARCEL AND THE RATE 25

TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript

METROPOLITAN

OCTOBER 21, 2024

WOULD VARY FROM \$0.11 PER SOUARE FOOT UP TO \$0.28 PER SOUARE 1 FOOT APPLYING TO SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY BASED ON THE FACT THAT 2 3 TAX RATES AND FUNDING RAISED BY COUNTY WOULD SUPPORT THOSE LOCAL NEEDS AND OVERALL REGIONAL PROGRAMS SIMULTANEOUSLY, 4 5 FUNDS WOULD SUPPORT IN A WATERFALL, A REGIONAL PROGRAM, THEN MOVING DOWN TO THE NEEDS OF LOCAL TRANSIT AGENCIES AND THEN 6 LASTLY TO COUNTY FLEX, AS YOU HAVE HEARD THROUGH THE THIS 7 8 PROCESS, AND THEN FINALLY NOTING THE NEED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY, RECOMMENDATION THAT INDEPENDENT AUDITOR BE PART OF THE 9 LEGISLATION TO SUPPORT AGAIN THOSE ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAMS AND 10 INTENT OF THE LEGISLATION. SIMULTANEOUSLY IT DOES MEAN YOU CAN 11 WORK ON LONG-TERM MEASURES WITHIN THE REAUTHORIZATION WITHIN 12 THE UPON WINDOW OF TIME FOR FUTURE TRANSPORTATION CONSIDERING 13 SETTLING OF TRANSIT AGENCIES AND THEIR ABILITY TO SUSTAINABLE 14 15 LONG-TERM FUNDING AND PROJECTS AND PROJECTS THAT OVER A LONGER 16 PERIOD OF TIME SOMETHING AROUND NOVEMBER 2036 THAT'S ALWAYS THE BEST TIME TO ATTEMPT SOMETHING LIKE THIS PROGRAMS ALLOWED 17 FOR FINANCING OVER THE PERIOD OF TIME TO MEET CASH FLOW NEEDS 18 FOR VARIOUS ENTITY ACROSS THE BAY AREA. NEXT SLIDE. WHEN YOU 19 LOOK AT THIS SLIDE THE LEFT IS THE DESIGN ITSELF OF THE 20 21 MEASURE. SO, OVER TEN YEARS, THE AMOUNT GENERATED IN REVENUE WOULD BE ABOUT 9.5 BILLION. THE EXPENDITURE PLAN IS TEN YEARS. 22 IT ALLOWS FOR MTC TO FINANCE TO THE CASH FLOW NEEDS OF BOTH 23 TRANSIT AGENCIES AND COUNTIES, DEPENDING ON THEIR INDIVIDUAL 24 NEEDS. SO, AGAIN, THE TAX IS 11.5 YEARS THE REASON FOR THAT IS 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

FIRST TO ALLOW DOLLARS TO BE FINANCED FROM THE END OF THE 1 PROGRAM TO WHAT I CALL YEAR ZERO MOST OF THE TRANSIT AGENCIES 2 3 ARE FACING THEIR DEFICITS IN FISCAL YEAR '27 THAT'S THE SAME YEAR THAT THIS INITIATIVE WOULD BE ON THE BALLOT IN NOVEMBER 4 5 2026 SO THOSE DOLLARS ARE IMMEDIATE AND SOME CASES AGENCIES CAN'T WAIT. THIS IS OPTION FOR OPT IN THIS SCENARIO SANTA 6 CLARA COUNTY LOWEST TAX RATE \$0.11 PER SOUARE FOOT SAN 7 8 FRANCISCO COUNTY HIGHEST TAX RATE AT \$0.28 PER SQUARE FOOT AND USING THIS BOTH CONTRIBUTION TO THE REGIONAL PROGRAM WHICH IS 9 LARGELY BASED ON AM BOARDINGS, FOR THOSE INDIVIDUAL TRANSIT 10 AGENCIES, AND THE COUNTY FLEX, WE GOT GENERALLY TO A 95% PLUS 11 RETURN TO SOURCE. ON THE PROGRAM ON THE UPPER SIDE, BOTH BART 12 AND CALTRAIN AT THE RECOGNIZED REGIONAL SYSTEM FOR THE BAY 13 AREA ARE FUNDED, GAPS LARGELY BUT NOT PERFECTLY CLOSED 14 15 ESPECIALLY IN THE CASE OF BART. SECOND CONSIDERING THE BUS 16 NETWORK, THAT IS IMPORTANT TO BRING PEOPLE TO THAT REGIONAL NETWORK THROUGH FEEDER AND OTHER MAJOR SERVICES WITHIN THE 17 REGION. LARGE OPERATORS, INCLUDING AC TRANSIT, VTA, SAMTRANS, 18 THE SMALL OPERATORS, ARE ALSO INCLUDED, AND THERE IS \$95 19 MILLION REGIONAL TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION FOCUSED LARGELY ON 20 MODERNIZING OUR FARE STRUCTURES AND CLEANLINESS AND SAFETY OF 21 THE TRANSIT SYSTEM. LOCAL DOLLARS THEN FLOW TO THE NEXT LEVEL 22 OF THE WATERFALL WITH MUNI GETTING \$1 BILLION, BEING FROM SAN 23 FRANCISCO, MTA, THAT DOES NOT FULLY CLOSE THE GAP BUT THROUGH 24 CASH FLOW WOULD MEET THE NEEDS OF SAN FRANCISCO IN A TIMELY 25

TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript

METROPOLITAN

OCTOBER 21, 2024

NEED, VTA 700 MILLION AC TRANSIT 428 MILLION AND BELOW DOLLARS 1 THAT REMAIN COUNTY FLEX. IN THE CASE OF COUNTY FLEX THAT CAN 2 3 BE USED FOR LOCAL PROJECTS, DEFERRING REAUTHORIZATIONS OR REDUCING THE TAX RATE WITHIN THE COUNTY. IF THE DOLLARS AREN'T 4 5 NEEDED VARIABLE RATE COULD BE REDUCED WITHIN THAT SPECIFIC COUNTY. NEXT SLIDE. IN ORDER TO DO SOMETHING LIKE THIS, THIS 6 IS THE PROPOSED FRAMEWORK. SO, THIS ASSUMES A SHORTER NEAR-7 8 TERM MEASURE, IT AGAIN CAN BE SALES OR PARCEL TAX WITH A VARIABLE RATE BY COUNTY. SO THAT'S AN IMPORTANT THING TO 9 REMEMBER. REVENUE NEEDS NEED TO BE SUFFICIENT FOR TRANSIT 10 NEEDS OVER A DECADE THERE SHOULD BE AGREED UPON SPECIFIED 11 REGIONAL PROGRAM THAT IS FUNDED FIRST AND AGAIN ALLOWS FOR THE 12 DESIGN OF A LONGER TERM REAUTHORIZATION MEASURE AFTER THAT 13 DECADE PERIOD. THE RECOMMENDED REGIONAL PROGRAM, AGAIN SI OUR 14 15 REGIONAL RAIL SYSTEM IN THE FORM OF BART AND CALTRAIN, YOU 16 WILL SEE BART TO SILICON VALLEY THERE CLOSING THE LOOP AROUND 17 THE BAY AREA, WHICH NECESSITATES THE NEED FOR REGIONAL TRANSIT SERVICE, BUS FEEDERS AND OTHER MAJOR BUS OPERATORS THAT FEED 18 THE REGION'S RAIL SYSTEM IN TURN SUPPORT THE RIDERSHIP AND 19 SUPPORT REVENUE MOVING TOWARDS SUSTAINABILITY LASTLY TRANSIT 20 21 TRANSFORMATION CLEANLINESS SAFETY AGAIN SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED TO DRIVE RIDERS BACK TO THE SYSTEMS. LASTLY ON THE LEGISLATIVE 22 POLICY, AGAIN, AUTHORIZATION FOR A RELATE TRANSACTION'S USE 23 TAX OTHERWISE KNOWN AS SALES TAX, PARCEL TAX ASSUMING VARIABLE 24 RATE METHOD BY CAN'T WITHIN A DISTRICT, AUTHORIZING QUALIFIED 25



1 VOTER INITIATIVE CITIZEN'S INITIATIVE REGION AND A HALF

2 PROGRAM SPECIFIED THEN INDEPENDENT AUDITOR FOR ACCOUNTABILITY.

- 3 THAT'S IT. THANK YOU
- 4

5 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. OUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS? I FELT IT WAS IMPORTANT THAT YOU SAW THIS. BECAUSE 6 I'M SURE THIS IS GOING TO BE BEFORE THE COMMISSION, THE LEG 7 8 COMMITTEE AND THE COMMISSIONS. AND, JONATHON, HAS THERE BEEN ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT CUTS THAT -- OR CHANGES THAT THE 9 10 OPERATORS ARE GOING TO BE MAKING IN THE MEANTIME? I DOUBT VERY MUCH THAT, YOU KNOW, THERE IS GOING TO BE AN APPETITE OF THE 11 VOTERS TO FULLY FUND THAT SHORTFALL. I JUST DON'T SEE THAT 12 HAPPENING. SO, WHAT HAS THAT DISCUSSION BEEN LIKE? BOTH A 13 REVENUE STRATEGY AND NEW BUSINESS MODELS? WHAT ARE THOSE 14 15 CONVERSATIONS LIKE AMONG THE OPERATORS?

16

JONATHON REWERS: AS NOTED IN THIS PARTICULAR OPTION AND ARE IN 17 DISCUSSIONS, THIS IN ITSELF DOESN'T CLOSE THE GAP FOR LARGE 18 OPERATORS IT FOCUSES ON REGIONAL RAIL OPERATORS. ONE, BECAUSE 19 THEY ARE FARE DEPENDENT, THEIR LARGEST SOURCE OF FUNDING AND 20 21 SECOND THEY HAVE A HIGH-LEVEL OF FIXED COST. WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE A SCENARIO WHERE WE SENDING OUR MAJOR RAIL SYSTEMS BART 22 AND CALTRAIN INTO WHAT'S REFERRED TO AS TRANSIT DEATH SPIRAL 23 CURRENT BUSINESS MODEL ORGANIZATIONS WITHOUT SOME SOURCE TO 24 STAND THEM UP TO ALLOW THEM TO TRANSFORM TO GET RIDERSHIP AND 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

MODERNIZE FUNDING SOURCES THEY WON'T MAKE IT IN THE NEXT TEN 1 YEARS THE BUS OPERATORS A LOT OF INVESTING IN RELIABILITY OF 2 3 THEIR SYSTEM BOTH AC TRANSIT AND MUNI, CERTAINLY WE HAVE BEEN DOING THAT IN SAN FRANCISCO BUT THIS DOESN'T COMPLETELY CLOSE 4 5 THE GAP FOR EITHER ORGANIZATION AND NO MEASURE WILL. IN SAN FRANCISCO WE PUT TOGETHER A MUNI FUNDING WORKING GROUP KNOWING 6 WE WILL NEED SOMETHING ELSE ON TOP OF THIS OR AS WE HAVE LAID 7 8 OUT TO THAT SPECIFIC GROUP THERE WILL BE SERVICE REDUCTIONS NECESSARY AND I THINK MOST ORGANIZATIONS ARE PREPARING FOR 9 10 POTENTIAL SERVICE REDUCTIONS IF NECESSARY.

11

12 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THANK YOU. SUE?

13

14 SUE NOACK: I JUST HAD A QUESTION. YOU LAID OUT THE VARIABLE
15 RATES FOR THE PARCEL TAX. I APPRECIATE THAT. YOU INDICATED IT
16 COULD BE SALES OR PARCEL TAX. DID YOU MAP OUT THE VARIABLE
17 SALES TAX NEEDED?

18

19 SPEAKER: IT'S A QUESTION WE GOT FROM THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE 20 LAST WEEK. PART OF THE REASON WE FOCUSED ON PARCEL TAX AND 21 VARIABLE RATE HAS TO DO WITH VALUABLE OF PROPERTIES ACROSS THE 22 BAY AREA AND SIZE. ONE OF THE CONCERNS WAS THAT SAN FRANCISCO 23 HAS HUGE NEED BUT DOESN'T GENERATE ENOUGH SALES TAX WHICH IS 24 DEFINITELY TRUE IN A SALES TAX SCENARIO IN PARCEL TAX SAN 25 FRANCISCO IS STRONGER DUE TO DENSITY AND VALUE OF ITS



PROPERTIES WITHIN SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY. AGAIN IF THAT WAS A 1 SPECIFIC CONCERN THEN YOU PIVOT TO PARCEL TAX BUT WE WILL LOOK 2 3 AT A VARIABLE SALES TAX RATE TO SEE IF WE CAN GET TO THE SAME PROGRAM YOU SAW. 4 5 SUE NOACK: THANK YOU. 6 7 8 DAVID CANEPA: JIM, I HAVE A QUESTION. 9 10 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OH SORRY. 11 DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THIS IS INTRIGUING AND 12 THANK YOU FOR BRINGING IT FORWARD. YOU HAD TALKED A LITTLE BIT 13 ABOUT FUNDING FOR TEN YEARS. WOULD FIVE YEARS WORK? AND WHY 14 WOULDN'T IT WORK? 15 16 JONATHON REWERS: THE REASON I BRING UP 10 AND 5 YEARS WE 17

OCTOBER 21, 2024

LOOKED AT BOTH HAS TO DO WITH TRANSIT AGENCIES, LET'S USE 18 SFMTA AS AN EXAMPLE, MUNI WITHIN THE REGION, SFMTA AND MUNI 19 PROBABLY HAS ONE OF THE MOST VARIED SOURCES OF ANY TRANSIT 20 AGENCY WITHIN THE BAY AREA BUT THE ABILITY TO TRANSFORM THOSE 21 22 GET A SECOND BALLOT MEASURE ON THE BALLOT LOOKING TO OTHER FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES TO PARKING AND DIFFERENT TRANSPORTATION 23 SOURCES. THE CONVINCING, THE DEVELOPING, THE COLLECTING OF 24 THOSE SOURCES WILL TAKE FIVE YEARS. SO, YOU WILL START SEEING 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

DIVIDENDS AT YEAR FIVE BUT THOSE REVENUES WILL NOT GENERATE
 ENOUGH DOLLARS TO CLOSE OPERATING GAPS THAT THE TRANSIT
 AGENCIES ARE FACING. I WOULD SAY THAT'S TRUE OF SAN FRANCISCO
 HAVING THAT STRENGTH. IT'S NOT NECESSARILY TRUE OF AC TRANSIT.
 IT DEFINITELY IS NOT TRUE FOR THE RAIL OPERATORS BOTH BART AND
 CALTRAIN.

7

8 DAVID CANEPA: THIS IS DIFFERENT THAN IN THE PAST I'M CURIOUS,
9 ALL FIVE COUNTIES ARE INCLUDED IN THIS MEASURE? IF YOU COULD
10 OUTLINE THE DIFFERENCES THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

11

JONATHON REWERS: ALL OF THE OPERATORS HAVE LOOKED AT DIFFERENT 12 OPTIONS FROM A THREE COUNTY TO FIVE COUNTY NEEDS OF THE 13 REGIONAL SYSTEM ALMOST ALWAYS WATERFALL AT FIVE COUNTIES WHEN 14 YOU CONSIDER COUNTIES THAT PARTICIPATE LARGELY IN BART AND 15 16 CALTRAIN YOU ALMOST ALSO END UP AT FIVE COUNTY WHEN YOU CONSIDER A LAYER ON THE MAJOR BUS OPERATORS ON TOP OF THAT 17 RAIL SYSTEM, AC TRANSIT, MUNI, VTA, YOU END UP WITH FIVE 18 COUNTY MEASURE. WHEN YOU NEED TO GENERATE DOLLARS TO SUSTAIN 19 ORGANIZATIONS OVER THE NEXT DECADE. 20

21

DAVID CANEPA: I'LL CLOSE WITH THIS. YOU KNOW, I THINK IT'S
GREAT, I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT, AND YOU KNOW, I'M JUST
PUTTING ALL MY CARDS OUT THERE, A FIVE-YEAR -- FIVE YEARS
THERE IS NO WAY IT COULD WORK OUT AT FIVE-YEAR MARK, IT HAS TO



BE TEN YEARS OF FUNDING. IS THERE CREATIVITY OR THOUGHT TO 1 2 THAT MAYBE YOU CAN COME BACK? 3 JONATHON REWERS: YOU COULD DEFINITELY LOOK AT A FIVE-YEAR 4 5 MEASURE BUT THAT WOULD START THE PROCESS OF CONSIDERING GOING BACK TO THE BALLOT IN 18 TO 24 MONTHS. SO, YOU COULD DO THAT 6 YOU WOULD JUST END UP HAVING THE SAME PROCESS OCCUR 24 MONTHS 7 8 FROM NOW TO FIGURE OUT WHAT REAUTHORIZATION IS GOING TO BE. 9 DAVID CANEPA: IS THERE ANY THOUGHT TO -- WHAT YEAR WOULD YOU 10 RECEIVE, IF LOCAL JURISDICTIONS WANTED TO MOVE FORWARD WITH 11 CAPITAL WHAT YEAR IS THAT IN TERMS OF FLEX? 12 13 JONATHON REWERS: IN THEORY WITH THIS PROGRAM THE ONE VALUE 14 15 THAT I WOULD LIKE TO STRESS, THE VALUE OF MTC AND A COLLECTIVE 16 TAX BASE FOR A PROGRAM LIKE THIS IS THE ABILITY TO FINANCE ACROSS ALL THE COUNTIES FOR INDIVIDUAL NEEDS BASED ON CASH 17 FLOW FOR EITHER CAPITAL PROJECTS OR IN THE CASE OF THE SFMTA, 18 WE HAVE A LARGER DEFER SIT UP FRONT AS WE GENERATE ADDITIONAL 19 REVENUES, HOPEFUL THEY DEFICIT WILL GO DOWN IN THE OUT-YEARS 20 21 SO MANAGING CASH FLOW AND FINANCING IS IMPORTANT. IN THIS SCENARIO, THIS IS JUST A SCENARIO, IF YOU HAVE AN 11 AND A 22 HALF YEAR TAX IN A TEN YEAR PROGRAM, THAT LAST YEAR CAN BE 23 FINANCED INTO YEAR ONE, SO THAT WOULD BE FISCAL YEAR '27, YOU 24 KNOW, IN THEORY FISCAL YEAR '27 STARTS IN JUNE OF 2026. SECOND 25



HALF YEAR WAS MEANT TO MOVE FROM CALENDAR TO FISCAL YEAR BASIS
 THEN ALSO PROVIDE EXTRA DOLLARS TO ALLOW FOR THAT FINANCING
 BASED ON WHAT COUNTY NEEDS ARE.

4

5 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ADINA THEN ALAN, THEN CINDY.

6

ADINA LEVIN: SO, THANKS VERY MUCH FOR PRESENTING THIS, AND, 7 8 ALSO, FOR DISCLOSURE, YOUR TEAM EXPLANATION OF SOME OF THE DETAILS ON FRIDAY THE -- THIS IS PRETTY INTRIGUING IN TERMS OF 9 10 HOW THIS PROPOSED SOLUTION ADDRESSES A NUMBER OF THE UNDERLYING CONCERNS AND GOALS. SO, FIRST OF ALL, IT DOES FOCUS 11 ON PROVIDING THE FUNDING FOR, YOU KNOW, FOR TRANSIT TO BE ABLE 12 TO KEEP SERVICE RUNNING, AND THE -- YOU KNOW, TRANSIT 13 TRANSFORMATION TO IMPROVE THE SERVICE. AND THOSE ARE REALLY, 14 15 LIKE, VERY FUNDAMENTAL TO, YOU KNOW, WHAT THE NEEDS ARE, TO 16 CHIEF ANOTHER IMPORTANT THING, WHICH IS NOT FULLY WORKED OUT 17 AND WILL REQUIRE MORE WORK INSIDE SAN FRANCISCO IS OPENING UP A SPACE TO BOTH ADDRESSING MUNI'S REALLY SUBSTANTIAL NEEDS, 18 BUT NOT ASKING SAN FRANCISCO TO DO THE IMPOSSIBLE WITH THREE 19 OR FOUR BALLOT MEASURES IN A SINGLE YEAR WHICH IS -- WHICH 20 EMPLOYS A LOT OF RISK NOT ONLY FOR SAN FRANCISCO BUT FOR ALL 21 OF THE REGIONAL AGENCIES SERVING SAN FRANCISCO, AS WELL AS THE 22 NEIGHBORS DEPENDING ON A FUNCTIONING UNIT. SO, THE ONE, AND 23 HAVING THAT COORDINATED REGIONAL APPROACH, WHICH IS A RISK 24 REDUCTION FOR EVERYONE, THE ONE QUESTION AND CONCERN IS ABOUT 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

THE TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION, WHICH IN THIS PROPOSAL IS AT THE, 1 AT A 1% LEVEL WHICH IS SIGNIFICANTLY SMALLER AND NARROWER THAN 2 WHAT IS IN THE MTC PROPOSAL. THE TEAM HAD RESPONDED THAT THAT 3 WAS, YOU KNOW, DUE TO SOME EARLIER CONCERNS ABOUT GETTING TO A 4 5 HIGHER LEVEL OF RETURN TO SOURCE. HOWEVER, IN THE PUSH BACK AGAINST THE BIG CHUNK OF FUNDING, BIGGER CHUNK OF FUNDING FOR 6 TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION, THERE WERE ALSO SOME REALLY BIG 7 8 QUESTIONS ABOUT TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY, WHICH MTC HAS STARTED TO ADDRESS. AND THOSE TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION ITEMS ARE 9 THINGS THAT INCREASE RIDERSHIP, THEY'RE VERY POPULAR, AND THEY 10 ARE SOME THINGS THAT SOME OF THEM ARE LOCATED IN AN INDIVIDUAL 11 LOCATION, LIKE A WAYFINDING SIGNAGE IN ONE LOCATION, SO YOU 12 COULD ALLOCATE THE FUNDING IN A WAY WHICH IS VERY CLEAR AT 13 GEOGRAPHICAL RETURN SOME ARE WIN-WIN SO GOOD COMMUNICATION 14 ABOUT HOW IT'S DONE IS SOMETHING THAT COULD ADDRESS THOSE 15 16 CONCERNS. AND PARTICULARLY I WANT TO CALL OUT ESPECIALLY THE 17 ACCESSIBLE TRANSPORTATION, PARATRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS FOR A DISABLED PERSON TO GET FROM COUNTY TO COUNTY IS, LIKE, NEARLY 18 IMPOSSIBLE AND FOR THE WAYFINDING MAKING THAT ACCESSIBLE IS 19 ALSO VERY IMPORTANT. SO, WOULD ENCOURAGE, AS A FEEDBACK TO 20 21 LOOK AT HAVING A MORE ROBUST APPROACH TO TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION FOR ALL OF THOSE REASONS. 22

23

24 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU. ELLEN AND CINDY.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

ELLEN WU: I WANT TO SECOND WHAT CINDY SAID ABOUT THE 1 TRANSFORMATION ACTION PLAN AND HAVING ENOUGH FUND FOR IT 2 3 ESPECIALLY FOR ACCESS TO MOBILITY IMPROVEMENTS FOR SENIORS AND PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES. AND THE SECOND IS THAT -- THANKS FOR 4 5 DOING, THIS I AM SURE YOU ALL CRAMMED IT -- [LAUGHTER] LIKE, WE HAVE BEEN DOING THIS FOR MONTHS. THERE IS ENOUGH 6 FLEXIBILITY WITHIN THE PLAN. I THINK THE THEME OF TODAY MIGHT 7 8 BE THAT THERE -- WE NEED A FRAMEWORK THAT ENOUGH FLEXIBILITY WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK, BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO 9 HAPPEN. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING HAPPEN IN NOVEMBER NEXT 10 MONTH. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING HAPPEN IN HOPEFULLY FUTURE 11 FUNDING THAT IF IT HAPPENS THAT RAIL IS FUNDED SOMEHOW FROM A 12 DIFFERENT SOURCE, THAT THERE IS ENOUGH FLEXIBILITY WITHIN THAT 13 PROPOSAL THAT FUNDS THEN GO TO BUS, RIGHT? THAT MIGHT NEED IT, 14 THAT MIGHT NOT BE GETTING MONEY FROM THE STATE OR THE FEDS, 15 16 FOR EXAMPLE, JUST AS AN EXAMPLE.

17

18 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THANK YOU. CINDY?

19

20 CINDY CHAVEZ: I WANT TO START BY SAYING JUST REALLY HOW
21 HELPFUL THIS IS AND HOW GRATEFUL I AM THAT YOU DID ALL OF THIS
22 WORK. I WONDER IF YOU COULD TALK TO US FOR A MOMENT ABOUT THE
23 IMPLICATIONS OF THIS TYPE OF PLAN IN A 30 YEAR SCENARIO.

24

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

JONATHON REWERS: SO, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE TOOK INTO 1 CONSIDERATION IS THE MOOD OF THE VOTERS. THIS, BECAUSE THIS 2 3 WAS DESIGNED BY THE OPERATORS BASED ON CONCERNS THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED, AND, ALSO, POLLING, WHICH CONSISTENTLY IS ACTUALLY 4 5 SHOWING THE NUMBERS GOING DOWN FOR SOMETHING LIKE THIS IN THE CURRENT POLITICAL ENVIRONMENT. THE FOCUS ON A SHORTER MEASURE 6 WAS LARGELY TO DEAL WITH THE EMERGENCY THAT BAY AREA TRANSIT 7 8 IS DEALING WITH. IT DOESN'T MEAN THIS A 30-YEAR MEASURE IS NOT POSSIBLE IT'S JUST FROM A POLITICAL STANDPOINT, FOR THE 9 10 VOTERS, YOU WOULD HAVE TO MESSAGE A TRANSFORMATIONAL MORE PERMANENT FUNDING SCENARIO AND EXPENDITURE PROGRAM, WHICH IN 11 THIS ENVIRONMENT, IF YOU'RE NOT AS SPECIFIC AS YOU NEED TO 12 COULD GET RISKS WHEN CONSIDERING CONCERNS AROUND THE VOTERS 13 AND POLLING NUMBERS WE WENT WITH SHORT-TERM BECAUSE VOTERS DO 14 UNDERSTAND SHORT-TERM EMERGENCY. WE HAVE BEEN CHECKING WITH 15 16 VOTERS IN SAN FRANCISCO WE DID A FOCUS GROUP RECENTLY, AND THE MESSAGE AROUND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S DOLLARS ARE GOING TO 17 GO AND STATE RELIEF IS ONLY FOR TWO YEARS, DOES PLAY VERY WELL 18 WITH VOTERS. THEY DO UNDERSTAND THAT THE BAY AREA NEEDS TO 19 STEP IN AND FILL THE GAP THAT THE STATE WILL BE LEAVING IN TWO 20 YEARS. WE COULD CERTAINLY MODEL A 30-YEAR PROGRAM. THE 21 EXPENDITURE PLAN WOULD LIKELY CHANGE HOW WE WOULD FUND THE 22 TRANSIT AGENCIES WOULD CHANGE IT. IS NOT IMPOSSIBLE, THOUGH, 23 AGAIN, TO HAVE A VARIABLE RATE TAX BASE, TO HAVE AN 24

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.



EXPENDITURE PLAN THAT IS A WATERFALL WITH A FOCUSED REGIONAL
 PROGRAM. IT'S NOT IMPOSSIBLE.

3

CINDY CHAVEZ: LET ME SHARE MY PERSPECTIVE ON THE CHALLENGE 4 5 THAT YOU HAVE JUST LAID OUT. I DON'T THINK WE REALLY KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT WHAT VOTERS WILL OR WON'T SUPPORT AND ONE OF MY 6 CONCERNS ALL ALONG HAS BEEN THAT WE ARE TRYING TO REALLY 7 8 DEVELOP ONE PIE, INSTEAD OF A PIE MUFFIN AND ZUCCHINI BREAD, OPTION FOR VOTERS AND WHAT I MEAN ABOUT THAT IS I WORRY MORE 9 ABOUT THE LACK OF CUSTOMIZATION COUNTY BY COUNTY RELATIVE TO 10 WHAT WE'RE ASKING PEOPLE TO INVEST IN AND WHY BECAUSE I THINK 11 WE'RE GOING TO NEED THIS RIGOROUS AND DETAILED LEVEL TO ENGAGE 12 VOTERS IN OUR DISTRICTS. AND THE REASON I'M CONCERNED ABOUT 13 THE SHORT-TERM MEASURE IS THAT I THINK IF SOME -- IF SOME 14 15 PARTS OF THE NINE-BAY AREA COUNTIES WANT TO BORROW MONEY FOR EITHER CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES OR ANY OTHER ACTIVITIES, THAT, 16 THAT SHORT TIME FRAME IS MUCH RISKIER THAN THE LONGER 30 YEAR 17 PERIOD. AND THEN LASTLY, COLLEAGUES, I THINK MOST IMPORTANT 18 THING IS THAT WE'RE DOING SOMETHING THAT IS MEANINGFUL CHANGE. 19 THE SECOND IS THAT VOTERS, THERE IS ENOUGH VOTER ENGAGEMENT 20 21 THAT WE CAN CONCUR WITH THAT, BUT THE THIRD IS THAT WE -- WE ACTUALLY HAVE PLANS, WHETHER COUNTY BY COUNTY, OR ALL OF US 22 ACTING IN CONCERT. AND BY THE WAY, I THINK WE CAN ACT IN 23 CONCERT WITHOUT HAVING ONE MEASURE IN THE NINE BAY AREA 24 COUNTY. AND MATTER OF FACT, I THINK LEAN BEING IN THAT 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

DIRECTION PUTS US MORE AT RISK FOR SUCCESS THAN IF WE CAN 1 FIGURE OUT A WAY TO DO SOMETHING THAT'S CUSTOMIZED BUT 2 3 COLLECTIVE. AND I THINK ACTUALLY THE FRAMEWORK YOU HAVE LAID OUT HERE IS VERY SO SOPHISTICATED AND VERY THOUGHTFUL AND 4 5 WOULD GIVE US A FRAMEWORK TO BE ABLE TO WORK FROM. SO, THOSE ARE SOME OF MY INITIAL THOUGHTS. I HAVE MORE TO SHARE LATER. 6 BUT I REALLY WANT TO SAY THANK YOU. I THOUGHT THIS WAS VERY 7 8 THOUGHT PROVOKING AND REALLY HELPED ME BETTER UNDERSTAND HOW WE COULD WORK TOGETHER. AND I WILL SAY, THIS HAS BEEN THE MOST 9 EMPATHETIC PLAN I HAVE SEEN REALLY RECOGNIZING THE NEEDS OF 10 EACH OF OUR REGIONS AND YOU KNOW THAT'S NOT JUST THE GOOD WORK 11 OF POLICY AND ANALYSIS, IT'S ALSO PROBABLY GOOD WORK IN 12 THERAPY. SO, THANK YOU FOR BEING THERE WITH ALL OF US. 13 14 [LAUGHTER] 15 16 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: CLERK, DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS ON THIS ITEM? ANY WRITTEN COMMENTS? 17 18 19 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: WE DIDN'T RECEIVE ANYTHING IN

20 WRITING ON THIS ITEM. BUT WE HAVE THREE PEOPLE IN THE 21 BOARDROOM WISHING TO SPEAK AND TWO IN THE ZOOM SPACE. TWO 22 MINUTES?

23

24 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: MINUTE AND A HALF. KEEP IT MOVING ON.
25



 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: FIRST SPEAKER LAUREL PADGETT.
 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: CAN YOU READ OFF THE SPEAKERS SO THEY CAN LINE UP.
 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: WARREN CUSHMAN FOLLOWED BY GREG RICHARDSON FOR AGENDA ITEM 5A.
 SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING. PUBLIC ADVOCATES VOICES FOR PUBLIC

TRANSPORTATION. I APPRECIATE THE WORK OF SFMTA TO BRING THIS 10 FORWARD AND FOR MEETING WITH US ON FRIDAY AT THE LAST MINUTE 11 TO ANSWER OUESTIONS ABOUT HOW IT WOULD WORK. ECHO CONCERNS 12 AROUND MAKING SURE THAT THERE IS FUNDING FOR TRANSFORMATION 13 THAT ARE GOING TO RESONATE WITH VOTERS AND MAKE SURE THAT THE 14 15 MEASURE SERVES THE NEEDS OF PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND IN THAT BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT OF MOBILITY AND 16 ACCESS OF THE COMPONENT OF THE TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION. I ALSO 17 WANT TO EXPRESS CONCERNS AROUND THE FRAMEWORK OF PRIORITIZING 18 REGIONAL RAIL OVER LOCAL BUS SERVICE ALSO RAISING EOUITY 19 CONCERNS WITH ME AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE ANALYSIS TO MAKE 20 21 SURE WE'RE GOING TO BE SERVING THE NEEDS OF LOW-INCOME AND 22 COMMUNITIES OF COLOR WITH THE FUNDING AND NOT ENDING UP IN A SITUATION WHERE WE HAVE SERVICE CUTS ON LOCAL ROUTES WHILE 23 CONTINUING TO SERVE COMMUTERS. LOOKS LIKE WE'RE IN A POINT 24 25 COMING UP HERE. SO, I WILL END THERE.

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.



1 2 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU. 3 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. AND AS WARREN MAKES HIS 4 5 WAY UP, THE NEXT SPEAKER AFTER HIM WILL BE GREG RICHARDSON, IF 6 YOU WOULD LIKE TO COME UP, AS WELL. 7 8 SPEAKER: HELLO MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE, WARREN CUSHMAN COMMUNITY RESOURCES FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING IN HAYWARD. I JUST 9 WANT TO SAY THAT SUPPORTING PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND 10 SENIORS IS VITAL IN OUR REGION AND I'M LOOKING FOR ANY MEASURE 11 WHERE, WHATEVER WE'RE GOING TO END UP CALLING THIS, TO SUPPORT 12 PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES, AND THAT INCLUDES PARATRANSIT, AND 13 ALSO I'M VERY CONCERNED WITH THE IDEA OF THE AC TRANSIT PIECE, 14 AND I HAPPY TO WE WILL FULLY FUND AC TRANSIT. THANK YOU VERY 15 16 MUCH. 17 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. OUR LAST BOARDROOM 18 SPEAKER WILL BE GREG RICHARDSON. GREG GO AHEAD YOU HAVE A 19 MINUTE AND 30 SECONDS. 20 21 22 SPEAKER: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS MY NAME IS GREG RICHARDSON ASSISTANT GENERAL MANAGER AT CFO, SANTA CLARA 23 VALIDITY TRANSPORTATION AUTHOR. MAIN POINTS I WOULD LIKE TO 24

OCTOBER 21, 2024

ARE SUCCESSFUL TO THE CONCEPT OF REGIONAL CONNECTIVITY. EACH 1 PLAYING A RESULT IN CRITICAL NEEDS ENSURING FUTURE SUCCESS AND 2 3 VTA RECOGNIZES THE ROLE SANTA CLARA COUNTY MUST PLAY IN SOLVING FISCAL DEMANDS FACED BY US AND REGIONAL PARTNERS. 4 5 SECOND, ANY DISCUSSION AS TO TYPE AND LENGTH OF A MEASURE WOULD GREATLY INFORM VOTER FEEDBACK. VTA BELIEVES THE BEST 6 PATH TO THE SUCCESS OF THE REGION AND THE 30 YEAR SALES TAX 7 8 MEASURE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS PROVIDING NECESSARY SHORT-9 TERM RELIEF DEDICATED FUNDING SOURCE PROVIDES CERTAINTY FOR OPERATORS. FOR VTA AND OTHERS SHORT-TERM MEASURE CREATES 10 GREATER RISK CERTAIN MEASURES NEEDING RENEWAL WILL NOT 11 SUCCEED. A 30 YEAR MEASURE WILL ELIMINATE THAT CONCERN FOR VTA 12 AND PERHAPS IMPORTANTLY 30 YEAR MEASURE CREATES OPPORTUNITY 13 FOR VTA TO PROVIDE SUPPORT TO REGIONAL PARTNERS IN FIRST 8 TO 14 15 10 YEARS TO ADDRESS CRITICAL CONCERNS WHILE ENSURING NECESSARY 16 SUPPORT TO THE PEOPLE OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY. QUICK TAKEAWAY, VTA WANTS AND NEEDS ITS REGIONAL PARTNERS TO SUCCEED AND 17 BELIEVES A 30 YEAR SALES TAX MEASURE PROVIDES BEST OPPORTUNITY 18 TO PROVIDE MEANINGFUL RELIEF TO PARTNERS. 19 20 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: TIME PLEASE --21

22

23 SPEAKER: IN THE SANTA CLARA COUNTY. THANK YOU.



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. MOVING TO OUR ZOOM
 CALLERS. FIRST SPEAKER WILL BE ALETA DUPREE FOLLOWED BY
 ANTHONY CAMPAGNA. YOU HAVE A MINUTE AND A HALF.

4

5 SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING CHAIR JIM SPERING AND MEMBERS. ALETA DUPREE FOR THE RECORD SHE AND HER WITH TEAM FOLD. THANK YOU 6 FOR THE PRESENTATION. IT'S VERY MEANINGFUL AND CREDIBLE 7 8 INFORMATION. I'M IN SUPPORT OF THE PARCEL TAX IDEA. IT'S REALLY IN YOUR MEETINGS THAT I HAVE LEARNED A LOT ABOUT PARCEL 9 10 TAXES, ESPECIALLY PARCEL TAXES COMPARED TO PROPERTY TAXES. AND 11 A PARCEL TAX IS PRETTY CLEAR AND SIMPLE. BASED ON SQUARE FOOTAGE. SO, THAT, I HOPE, THE PUBLIC WILL EASILY UNDERSTAND. 12 HAVE TO SELL IT TO RENTERS. CERTAINLY THEY'RE PAYING INTO THAT 13 THROUGH THEIR RENT. IT'S BROAD BASED. WHICH ANY, WHAT I CALL 14 15 NON-EXEMPT PROPERTY, WOULD BE SUBJECT TO IT I THINK A MEASURE 16 LIKE THIS HAS TO BE AT LEAST TEN YEARS. BECAUSE WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO BE REVISITING THIS ON A FREQUENT BASIS. WE DON'T 17 KNOW WHAT THE FUTURE WILL HOLD, BUT THIS WILL BUY US SOME TIME 18 AS WE LOOK TOWARD HOPEFULLY FARE REVENUE WILL RISE AND OTHER 19 STREAMS OF FUNDING WILL COME ALONG. SO, I THINK THIS IS 20 REASONABLE. AND IT DOES EMPHASIZE THE IMPORTANCE OF THE 21 22 REGION. WE DON'T WANT TO BUILD SILOS WITH THIS FUNDING BUT EMPHASIZE THIS IS AN ENTER CONNECTED SYSTEM AND 23

24 [INDISCERNIBLE] DISTRIBUTION.



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: TIME. NEXT UP WE'LL HAVE HOWARD
 WONG.

3

SPEAKER: THANK YOU. I AM CALLING IN SUPPORT I BELIEVE THE 4 5 OPERATOR I HOPE THE COMMITTEE WILL ADVANCE IT TO MTC AND THIS TENDS TO HAVE MORE FOCUS ON OPERATIONS RATHER THAN CAPITAL. 6 THE CONCERNS THERE APPEARS TO BE 700 MILLION IN THIS FOR 7 8 SILICON VALLEY BART OPERATION IS SOMETHING WE CAN ALL SUPPORT IT'S EFFICIENT IT HAS A CLEAR OUTCOME BUT THE SILICON VALLEY 9 BART PROJECT IS ALBATROSS OF COST MANAGEMENT THAT CAN BRING 10 THIS MEASURE DOWN. I HOPE THIS STAYS IN OPERATION MEASURES, 11 FUND EVERYBODY ALL AGENCIES REGARDLESS OF SHORT FALL THERE IS 12 A LOT OF AGENCIES THAT DON'T HAVE SHORTFALL THAT NEED SERVICE 13 REGARDLESS I THINK THAT SHOULD BE THE FOCUS OF THIS MEASURE 14 15 PLEASE MOVE FORWARD THIS MTA OPERATOR FORWARD SCENARIO.

16

17 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. HOWARD WONG FOLLOWED BY18 WINDY K.

19

HOWARD WONG: HOWARD WONG. THE REGIONAL PROGRAM SHOULD BE MORE
OF A REGIONAL VISION MORE THAN TRANSIT STATUS WE NEED TO
INSPIRE VOTERS AND CITIZENS THROUGHOUT THE NINE COUNTIES. SOME
COMPONENTS OF THE VISION, ONE, TIE FUNDING TO SIGNIFICANT NEW
RIDERSHIP. TWO, TIE FUNDING TO GROWTH OF REGIONAL TRANSIT MODE
SHARE. THREE, TIE FUNDING TO GROWTH OF REGIONAL MOBILITY

OCTOBER 21, 2024

OPTIONS. [INDISCERNIBLE] DECREASE WITH MOBILITY COST AND
 TRAFFIC CONGESTION. FOUR, TIE FUNDING TO VISION OF TRANSIT
 TRANSFORMATION OF WORLD CLASS TRANSIT SYSTEM, PROVIDING CASE
 STUDIES, LIKE ZURICH SWITZERLAND. AND FIVE TIE ALL OF THESE TO
 INDEPENDENT AUDITS FOR COMPLIANCE. THANK YOU.

6

7 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS
8 WENDI KALLINS FOLLOWED BY BRIAN CULBERTSON.

9

WENDI KALLINS: THANK YOU. WENDI KALLINS FROM SUSTAINABLE MARIN 10 MEMBER OF THE POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR MTC. COUPLE OF 11 CONCERNS I HAVE, ONE ECHOING MANY OF THE OTHER SPEAKERS ABOUT 12 THE NEED FOR TRANSFORMATION. THE ABILITY TO HAVE A SUSTAINED 13 AND EFFICIENT NETWORK BETWEEN AGENCIES SO THAT THERE'S A 14 15 SMOOTH RIDE FOR PEOPLE. MOST IMPORTANT BEING FOR MARIN COUNTY. 16 NORTH BAY REALLY NEEDS TO BE PART OF THE OVERALL PICTURE. WE DON'T JUST STAY WITHIN OUR COUNTIES, WE NEED COMMUTING OPTION 17 TO THE EAST BAY. WE NEED -- GOLDEN GATE TRANSIT OF COURSE HAS 18 ITS OWN FISCAL CLIFF THEY'RE FACING. I REALIZE THEY HAVE A 19 FUNDING SOURCE THAT NOBODY ELSE HAS BUT THERE IS STILL A GREAT 20 21 NEED AND OF COURSE COMMUTING IN BETWEEN SONOMA COUNTY, MARIN COUNTY, AND SOLANO COUNTY IS ALSO A MAJOR CORRIDOR ON HIGHWAY 22 37 THAT NEEDS IMPROVED TRANSIT. SO, THERE IS A LOT OF NEEDS 23 THAT ARE REGIONAL. WE CAN'T JUST GO ON OUR OWN. WE NEED TO BE 24 PART OF THE LARGER PICTURE. THANK YOU. 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS
BRIAN CULBERTSON FOLLOWED BY GABRIELA ORANTES.

4

1

5 SPEAKER: HI MY NAME IS BRIAN CULBERTSON FULL-TIME TRANSIT RIDER IN OAKLAND. COMMENTING TO SAY THANK YOU FOR CONSIDERING 6 A MEASURE THAT HAS A BURIED RATES BY COUNTY DEFINITELY HELPS 7 8 BECAUSE SAN FRANCISCO HAS HIGHEST NEED AND HAD LOW FUNDING BEFOREHAND AND THIS DEFINITELY HELPS ADJUST THAT FOR WHAT THE 9 CORRECT ELEMENTS ARE SO THAT'S A GOOD PART OF THE PLAN. I'M 10 DISAPPOINTED THAT PAYROLL IS NOT PART OF THIS OPTION. A 11 PAYROLL TAX WOULD ALLOW US TO ADD A MORE TRANSFORMATIONAL 12 ELEMENT TO THIS PLAN. WE'RE NOT FULLY COVERING OPERATOR 13 SHORTFALL. SO SOME -- [ AUDIO DISTORTION ] SO, IT WOULD BE --14 15 AN ADDITIONAL FUNDING SOURCE LIKE PAYROLL WAS CONSIDERED SOME 16 OF THE COUNTIES COULD ADD TO IF THAT I WANTED TO ADD A TRANSFORMATIONAL COMPONENT. WHY THIS IS IMPORTANT, FOR 17 EXAMPLE, BART IS THE MOST EFFICIENT TRANSIT AGENCY IN THE 18 NATION AND HAS ABOVE AVERAGE FARE RECOVERY. SO, THEY'RE 19 ALREADY IN THAT POSITION OF NOT BEING ABLE TO CUT ANYMORE 20 21 SERVICE. SO, WE NEED TO BE LOOKING AT HOW TO EXPAND SERVICE BY PROVIDING MORE FUNDING FOR THE REGION, LIKE NEW YORK CITY. 22 23

24 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT GABRIELA ORANTES,
25 FOLLOWED BY VALERIE LANCY.

1

OCTOBER 21, 2024

SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING MY NAME IS GABRIELA ORANTES, I'M A 2 MEMBER OF THE POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR MTC AND ALSO WORK 3 FOR NORTH BAY ORGANIZING PROJECT IN SONOMA COUNTY. THANK YOU 4 5 SPECIAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS FOR YOUR TIME AND DEDICATION TO POTENTIAL SCENARIOS AND SOLUTIONS FOR THE FISCAL CLIFF THAT 6 OUR BAY AREA TRANSIT AGENCY ECOSYSTEM IS FACING. SPEAKING IN 7 8 SUPPORT OF A TRANSIT MEASURE THAT WILL BE A SOLUTION FOR ALL BAY AREA TRANSIT RIDERS A REGIONAL MEASURE THAT TAKES INTO 9 ACCOUNT LOCAL CONDITIONS ESPECIALLY CONSIDERING THAT THE NORTH 10 BAY WILL BE POTENTIALLY LOOKING AT SMART SALES TAX IN 2026. 11 BEING THAT WE ARE HOME TO MANY COMMUTERS, AS STATED, IT WOULD 12 BE IN ALL OF OUR INTEREST TO ENSURE THAT PUBLIC TRANSIT BE 13 MORE ACCESSIBLE, MORE FREQUENT, AND AFFORDABLE FOR ALL. WE 14 15 HAVE BEEN SEEING LANE EXPANSION FOR WHAT SEEMS LIKE DECADES 16 NOW AND TRAFFIC CONTINUES TO BE A REALITY IN THE NOVATO NARROWS, COTATI GRADE AREAS WHERE THERE ARE THREE OR MORE 17 LANES LIKE THE STRAWBERRY AREA, INYO VALLEY, LUCKY DRIVE, 18 CIVIC CENTER GRADE ARE ALL EXAMPLES OF THIS AND WE STILL HAVE 19 SO MUCH TRAFFIC. FOR THAT REASON I DO NOT SUPPORT A MEASURE 20 THAT WOULD EXPAND HIGHWAYS. INSTEAD I WANT TO SEE A MEASURE 21 THAT SUPPORTS CARBON NEUTRAL PROJECTS AND SUPPORTS THE 22 PRIORITY OF UNION JOB RETENTION AND KEEPING CONSOLIDATION OF 23 TRANSIT AGENCIES OUTSIDE OF THIS PROCESS. THE. 24

25



1 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: TIME. 2 3 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: TIME PLEASE. 4 5 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU. HOW MANY MORE SPEAKERS DO WE 6 HAVE. 7 8 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: TWO. 9 10 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. 11 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: NEXT VALERIE LANCY FOLLOWED BY LAST 12 RAISED HAND JT. 13 14 SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING BOARD. THIS IS MY FIRST TIME ATTENDING 15 16 ONE OF THESE MEETINGS BECAUSE LIKE MANY PEOPLE WHO RELY ON TRANSIT I HAVE A JOB AND IT'S HARD TO SHOW UP FOR GOVERNMENT 17 ZOOMS DURING A WORK DAY. WHAT I HAVE SEEN FROM THIS COMMITTEE, 18 THE PRESENTATION FROM JONATHON HAD A COMPEL OPTION AVAILABLE 19 IT SEEMED LIKE THE COMMITTEE HAS BEEN ERRING CONSERVATIVELY 20 21 AND MINIMALLY WITH THE OPTIONS PRESENTED. I WOULD REMIND THE 22 COMMITTEE THAT THE COMPELLING DIVISION IS EASIER TO GET VOTERS, ESPECIALLY IN MULTI-COUNTY SCENARIO LIKE SAN FRANCISCO 23 WITH A FAIRLY TRANSIT DEPENDENT AREA. DON'T NECESSARILY VOTE 24 JUST BASED ON SMALLEST TAX MEASURE AVAILABLE. REMEMBER PEOPLE 25



DITCH TRANSIT WHEN TRANSIT BECOMES HARDER TO TAKE, AND IT'S
 HARDER TO WIN RIDERS BACK IN THE FUTURE. THE BETTER WE BRIDGE
 THIS GAP, THE BETTER. THANK YOU.

4

5 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: AND THEN LAST ZOOM SPEAKER WILL BE
6 JT MAC.

7

8 SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING. MAK WITH NPH WE REPRESENT NON-PROFIT AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPERS ACROSS THE NINE COUNTIES. 9 SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF THE BAY AREA HOUSING FOR ALL COALITIONS. 10 11 TRANSIT DEPENDENT HOUSING SECURE ARE THE SAME BAY AREA RESIDENTS. WE NEED TO SERVE REPORTS MOST IN NEED SUPPORTIVE OF 12 THE TRANSPORTATION REVENUE MEASURE AND RECOGNIZE NEED FOR BOTH 13 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING MEASURE OVER THE NEXT FEW 14 YEARS. WE NEED TO FIND A VIABLE PATH FOR BOTH TO WORK AND WANT 15 16 TO ENSURE MEASURES ARE NOT IN COMPETITION REGARDING TIMING AND REVENUE SOURCE BY STAYING IN COMMUNICATION. RECOGNIZING 17 REMOVAL OF RM4 FROM THE BALLOT REOUIRES RECALIBRATION TO 18 19 ENSURE HOUSING AND TRANSPORTATION NEEDS ARE MET FOR THE REGION. WE WILL KNOW A LOT MORE ABOUT THE PATH FORWARD FOR 20 21 HOUSING IN THE NEXT MONTH. ENGAGEMENT IN THE LEGISLATIVE 22 PROCESS TO ENSURE UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES OF SILOING 23 DISCUSSIONS. CALLING FOR PROCESS TO WORK TOGETHER TO FIGURE OUT A VIABLE PATH FOR BOTH. THANK YOU. 24



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. CHAIR, WE DO HAVE ONE
 FINAL RAISED HAND.
 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THIS WILL BE THE LAST SPEAKER.

6 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: SHERIDAN, YOU HAVE ONE MINUTE AND
7 30 SECONDS. AND THEIR HAND IS DOWN. THIS CONCLUDES PUBLIC
8 COMMENT FOR THIS ITEM.

9

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. SO, LET'S MOVE ON TO ITEM 4D, THIS 10 11 IS THE TRANSPORTATION REVENUE MEASURE SCENARIO. I WANT TO TURN IT OVER TO STUART COHEN, SC STRATEGIES TO INTRODUCE THIS ITEM. 12 BUT FIRST I THOUGHT WE WOULD HAVE A REALITY CHECK. AND AS 13 JONATHON SAID, THE MOOD OF THE VOTERS. I WANTED TO MENTION 14 15 THIS LAST FRIDAY BART SHARED POLL RESULTS THAT WILL BE 16 DISCUSSED AT THEIR BOARD MEETING THIS WEEK. THE RESULTS ARE A REMINDER OF THE CHALLENGE BEFORE US. BART POLLED HALF CENT 17 SALES TAX IN THE FIVE COUNTIES THAT HAVE BART SERVICE ALONG 18 WITH A \$524 PARCEL TAX. THE BALLOT OUESTION ASKED ABOUT 19 SUPPORT FOR BART TRANSIT SYSTEMS FOR ALAMEDA CONTRA COSTA SAN 20 21 FRANCISCO SAN MATEO SANTA CLARA COUNTIES POLL DIDN'T FORCE 22 ANSWER AND 5 TO 10% OF RESPONDENTS UNDECIDED AND SALES TAX 23 RECEIVED 51% SUPPORT WHILE PARCEL TAX WAS SUPPORTED BY 32%. THERE IS NUANCE TO POLLING AND I URGE YOU TO TUNE INTO THE 24 BART MEETING PACKET. GET A COPY OF THE POLL IT'S VERY TELLING 25



WHAT WE'RE GOING TO BE FACING IN THE FUTURE AND REFLECTS THE
 MOOD OF THE VOTERS RIGHT NOW AND WHETHER THEY THINK WE'RE
 GOING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. WITH THAT STEWARD I'LL ASK TO
 YOU INTRODUCE THE NEXT ITEM.

5

STUART COHEN: THAT UPBEAT ASSESSMENT. ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE GOT 6 OUR WORK CUT OUT FOR US AND I'M GOING TO GO OVER MODIFICATIONS 7 8 TO THE SCENARIOS IN SEPTEMBER AND THEN DURING THIS PRESENTATION, MELANIE CHOY, DIRECTOR OF REGIONAL NETWORK 9 10 MANAGEMENT, WILL GO IN-DEPTH ON THE TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION PORTION. AND LET'S START BY LOOKING AT THE TIMELINE FOR 11 DEVELOPING, ENABLING LEGISLATION FOR THE REVENUE MEASURE. NEXT 12 SLIDE PLEASE. I WANT TO START BY DRAWING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE 13 RED DOT ON THE LOW RIGHT. THIS IS OUR TARGET FOR WHEN 14 15 LEGISLATION MUST PASS BY MID-SEPTEMBER 2025. WE HAVE GOT LESS 16 THAN 11 MONTHS TO COME TOGETHER AROUND A MEASURE. THE GOVERNOR WOULD THEN HAVE 30 DAYS WITHIN WHICH TO SIGN IT. NOW, IDEALLY, 17 THE REGION HAS COLEAD AROUND A DETAILED FRAMEWORK, REVENUE 18 SOURCE, EXPENDITURE FRAMEWORK. A FEW MONTHS BEFORE THIS. AND 19 DOING SO WOULDN'T JUST INCREASE THE CHANCE THAT THE MEASURE 20 21 PASSES THE LEGISLATURE, IT WOULD ALLOW STAKEHOLDERS THAT MAY BE CONSIDERING A CITIZEN INITIATIVE TO START PLANNING FOR IT 22 LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THE REMAINDER OF THE YEAR. AFTER TODAY 23 WE'RE IN THE UPPER LEFT DOT THERE, OCTOBER 21ST. WE'LL BE 24 CONSIDERING THESE RATINGS TODAY ON THE GRADIENTS OF AGREEMENTS 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

OF DIFFERENT COMPONENTS. WE'LL ALSO HAVE SOME RESOLUTIONS AND 1 THOSE WILL BE COMPILED AND THE NEXT STEP WILL BE FORWARDING TO 2 3 THE LEGISLATION COMMITTEE JOINT MTC ABAG COMMITTEE THAT MEETS NOVEMBER 8TH. THEN MTC WILL HAVE A SPECIAL MEETING ON DECEMBER 4 5 9TH AT 2:00 P.M. THIS WILL BE AN IMPORTANT TIME TO START NARROWING DOWN THE OPTIONS. POLLING FROM MTC, AC TRANSIT, AND 6 CALTRAIN, YOU CAN SEE ON THAT BOTTOM ROW, THAT WILL START 7 8 COMING IN, IN OF IT IN DECEMBER, SOME OF IT IN JANUARY. SO, AS WE ABSORB THIS INFORMATION, IT'S OUITE POSSIBLE THAT THERE 9 WON'T BE JUST ONE SCENARIO MOVING FORWARD AFTER DECEMBER 9TH. 10 THERE STILL MIGHT BE SOME OPTIONS. BUT AS NEW INFORMATION 11 COMES IN FROM ONGOING STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT AND THESE KIND OF 12 CONVERSATIONS, DISCUSSIONS WITH LEGISLATORS AND THE POLLING, 13 WE'LL REALLY NEED TO BE NARROWING DOWN IN EARLY 2025 SO THAT 14 LEGISLATION CAN BE INTRODUCED. I ALSO JUST WANT TO POINT OUT 15 16 THE VERY UPPER BLUE LINE THERE. IF SCENARIO ONE IS STILL A POSSIBILITY IN LATE DECEMBER AND JANUARY, THEN SANTA CLARA --17 OR NORTH BAY COUNTIES WOULD START DELIBERATIONS ON WHETHER TO 18 OPT IN. IDEALLY, THAT DECISION OF OPTING THIS IS MADE BY THE 19 FIRST LEGISLATIVE POLICY COMMITTEE HEARING A MEETING WHICH 20 21 WOULD BE IN LATE FEBRUARY BUT NO LATER THAN THE FIRST POLICY COMMITTEE HEARING ON THE BILL AND THAT PROBABLY LIKELY IN 22 APRIL. SO, WITH THAT TIMELINE, LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THE 23 SCENARIOS. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. ON THE LEFT, YOU WILL SEE WHAT 24 WE HAVE REVIEWED IN SEPTEMBER. THIS INCLUDES SCENARIO ONE, 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

WHICH LASTS FOR 30 YEARS, AS WELL AS A HYBRID SCENARIO THAT 1 WAS FUNDED BY A PAYROLL TAX PLUS SALES TAX. ON THE RIGHT ON 2 3 THE NEW VARIATIONS WE'LL LOOK AT TODAY THIS INCLUDES A 10-YEAR VERSION OF SCENARIO ONE. IN ADDITION WE'RE ADDING A VERSION OF 4 5 HYBRID THAT HAS A PARCEL TAX AND WE'LL GET INTO MORE DETAIL ABOUT ALL OF THESE. NEXT SLIDE. BUT WE WANT TO START WITH 6 TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION. WE HEARD FROM SOME OF THE SPEAKERS AND 7 8 SELECT COMMITTEE MEMBERS TODAY HOW IMPORTANT THAT IS, BOTH TO IMPROVE TRANSIT AND TO APPEAL TO VOTERS FOR THIS MEASURE. AND 9 ACROSS ALL OF THE MTC SCENARIOS, WE ARE STILL PROPOSING 10% OF 10 THE FUNDS GENERATED BY THE SALES TAX ARE INVESTED INTO TRANSIT 11 TRANSFORMATION. BUT BASED ON FEEDBACK FROM YOU AND FROM THE 12 AGENCIES, WE ARE COMING UP WITH A PROPOSAL THAT COMMITS HALF 13 OF THE TRANSFORMATION FUNDS TO LOCAL PRIORITIES WITH EACH 14 COUNTY BENEFITTING A PROPORTION OF THE SHARE OF SALES TAX 15 16 GENERATED. THERE ARE VARIOUS WAYS TO STRUCTURE THIS. WE WOULD LIKE YOUR FEEDBACK ON THOSE THAT WILL BE AN AREA OF MORE 17 DISCUSSION. PEOPLE HAVE ASKED HOW THIS HELPED MILLION DOLLARS 18 PER YEAR MIGHT BE INVESTED THAT WOULD BE AT THE NINE CAN'T 19 LEVEL, 100 MILLION TO TRANSFORM THE USER EXPERIENCE. AND HERE 20 21 TO ANSWER THAT IS NONE OTHER THAN THE FIRST EVER DIRECTOR OF 22 REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT. MELANIE CHOY.

23

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

24 **MELANIE CHOY:** THANK YOU STUART. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. SO WHAT WE 25 HAVE DEVELOPED IS A SET OF PROPOSED TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

INVESTMENTS AND THESE ARE GROUPED IN THE FOUR KEY AREAS. IN 1 THE NEXT SEVERAL SLIDES I'LL HIGHLIGHT EACH OF THESE FOUR 2 3 AREAS. IMPORTANTLY EACH INITIATIVE REPRESENTS A BUILDING BLOCK TOWARDS TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION AND ALSO THE SUITE OF 4 5 INITIATIVES WORK IN TANDEM TO CREATE A COORDINATE THE CUSTOMER CENTRIC EXPERIENCE FOR RIDERS. WHILE I'M HERE BEFORE YOU TODAY 6 PRESENTING THIS, THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THESE PROGRAMS HAS 7 8 REALLY BEEN A COLLABORATIVE EFFORT AND THE COLLECTIVE WORK OF THE RNM IS A SHARED RESPONSIBILITY AND REALLY DEPENDENT ON 9 MTC'S COPARTNERSHIP WITH TRANSIT AGENCIES. AND NOT ONLY ARE 10 LEVERAGING THE EXPERTISE AND STAFF RESOURCES IN THIS 11 PARTNERSHIP AND ALL SIDES OF THE TABLE, BUT THIS ALSO BRINGS 12 IN DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES AND IDEAS EARLY ON IN THE PROCESS. 13 AND JUST NOT EARLY ON, BUT THROUGHOUT THE PROJECTS THEMSELVES. 14 15 IN THE END OUR PROGRAMS ARE BETTER AND STRONGER FOR THIS. ON 16 THIS SLIDE WE'RE FOCUSING ON TRANSIT FARES. FIRST KEY INITIATIVE IS FOCUSED ON PAYING FOR TRANSIT -- PAYING FOR 17 TRANSIT SIMPLER AND MORE AFFORDABLE. LOOKING TO REMOVE A 18 POTENTIAL BARRIER FOR CHOOSE ONE'S TRANSIT JOURNEY IN THE 19 CLIPPER BAY PASS, FIRST ALL OPERATOR ALL YOU CAN USE TRANSIT 20 21 PASS TO EMPLOYERS AND CONSTITUTIONS CURRENT DATA INDICATES CLIPPER BAY PASS RIDERS TAKE 40% MORE TRIPS THAN THOSE WHO DO 22 NOT HAVE A CLIPPER BAY PASS. IN THE CLIPPER START PROGRAM 23 WE'RE FINDING IT'S OUR INCOME-BASED TRANSIT FARE DISCOUNT 24 PROGRAM. WITH WE'RE FINDING IN THIS PROGRAM BASED ON RESPONSE 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

OF CLIPPER START USERS IS THAT 64% OF THESE CLIPPER START 1 RIDER USING CLIPPER START ARE TAKING MORE TRANSIT TRIPS. 2 3 LOOKING FORWARD TO NEXT YEAR LAUNCHING THE NEXT GENERATION CLIPPER SYSTEM WE'LL BE ROLLING OUT A TRANSFER DISCOUNT 4 5 PROGRAM. WHERE USERS WILL RECEIVE A FREE OR DISCOUNTED FARE ON TRANSFERRING FROM ONE SYSTEM TO ANOTHER SYSTEM. LESS COSTLY 6 FOR THE RIDER TO TAKE TWO SYSTEMS TO GET TO A DESTINATION. 7 8 LASTLY IN TERMS OF LOOKING FORWARD BEGINNING A STUDY THAT WILL LOOK AT COMMON FARE STRUCTURE FOR REGIONAL RAIL PROGRAMS --9 SYSTEMS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. THE SECOND INITIATIVE WE HAVE 10 11 UNDERWAY IS THE REGIONAL MAPPING AND WAYFINDING PROJECT FOCUSES ON MAKING IT EASIER FOR RIDERS TO NAVIGATE AROUND THE 12 BAY AREA USING PUBLIC TRANSIT CONNECTING SERVICES. ENTAILS 13 REGIONAL DEPLOYMENT OF HARMONIZED VISUAL IDENTITY NAVIGATION 14 STANDARDS AT RAIL STATION FERRY TERMINALS AND BUS STOPS AND 15 16 HUBS AROUND THE BAY AREA THE TEAM UNVEILED UNIFIED VISION OF TRANSIT SIGNAGE, WE'RE LOOKING AT VISITORS TO SHARE THOUGHTS 17 18 ABOUT OUR SIGNAGE AT TEST LOCATIONS IN SANTA ROSA AND EL 19 CERRITO DEL NORTE STATION. WE HAVE A SMALLER SCALE TEST STATION AT POWELL STREET STATION. IN BEGINNING 2025 WE PLAN TO 20 CONTINUE INSTALLATION OF THESE TEST PILOT REFINING LOCATIONS 21 22 AT A TOTAL OF NINE PILOT TRANSIT HUB LOCATIONS. INCLUDED IN 23 THIS WORK IS END TO END JOURNEY SIGNAGE INSTALLATIONS IN SONOMA AND SOLANO COUNTIES. NEXT SLIDE. THIRD AREA WE HAVE 24 HIGHLIGHTED IN OUR TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION IS TRANSIT PRIORITY. 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

BASED ON FEEDBACK TO OPERATORS ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT 1 ISSUES FOR RIDERS IS HAVING FAST AND RELIABLE SERVICE. TRANSIT 2 3 PRIORITY INVESTMENTS ARE AIMED AT DOING THAT. GETTING BUSES OUT OF TRAFFIC TO MOVE MORE PEOPLE AND REDUCE TRANSIT 4 5 OPERATING COST DUE TO TRAVEL TIME DELAYS. THIS IS NOT A NEW CONCEPT AND THE REGION HAS A LONG HISTORY OF INVESTING IN 6 THIS. WE HAVE INVESTED PLANNING, POLICY SUPPORT, FUNDING 7 8 PROGRAMS, TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, AND, ALSO, DELIVERING PROJECTS SUCH AS THE INITIATIVES IN THE KEY BRIDGE CORRIDORS, THE 9 COMMUTE INITIATIVES, FORWARD COMMUTE INITIATIVES. BUT THERE IS 10 STILL A LOT MORE TO DO. SO TO GIVE YOU A SENSE OF THE WIDE 11 RANGE OF TRANSIT PRIORITY INVESTMENTS WE COULD MAKE WITH THIS 12 INVESTMENT AND IN ROUGH ORDER OF MAGNITUDE SHOWCASE THREE 13 CATEGORIES 50 CATEGORY IS SMALLER HOTSPOT TREATMENTS THAT COST 14 15 \$500,000 PER MILE AND TAKE A COUPLE OF YEARS TO COMPLETE. 16 SECOND CATEGORY IS CORRIDOR WIDE IMPROVEMENTS THAT INCLUDES TRANSIT SUPPORTIVE ELEMENTS RED LANES CUBE OUTS JUMP LANES 17 OPTIMIZED BUS STOP STATIONS SIGNALIZATION PRIORITIZATION 18 UPGRADES AND THIS LEVEL OF BUS TRANSIT PRIORITY TREATMENTS IS 19 10 MILLION PER MILE AND CAN TAKE AROUND FOUR YEARS. THIRD 20 CATEGORY DEDICATED BRT LANE WHERE BUSES HAVE DEDICATED RIGHT 21 OF WAY PROJECTS IN THIS CATEGORY, THE LARGEST CATEGORY COST 22 APPROXIMATELY 20 PLUS MILLION DOLLARS PER MILE AND GENERALLY 23 TAKES OVER TEN YEARS TO DELIVER. NEXT SLIDE. LAST CATEGORY 24 25 ACCESSIBILITY, ACCESSIBILITY AND MOBILITY ARE EMBEDDED IN THE

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

INITIATIVES IN THE ACTION PLAN THIS KEY AREA FOCUSES ON 1 ACTIONS TO IMPROVE TRANSPORTATION ACCESS FOR PEOPLE WITH 2 3 DISABILITIES, OLDER ADULTS AND THOSE WITH LOW-INCOMES AND GIVES THEM THE FREEDOM TO GET AROUND THE REGION INDEPENDENTLY. 4 5 WE'RE CURRENTLY WORKING ON PILOTING ONE SEE THE RIDES FOR PARATRANSIT USER WHICH IS REDUCES NEED TO TRANSFER BETWEEN 6 PARATRANSIT VEHICLES ALSO LOOKING AT STANDARDIZING PRACTICES 7 8 AROUND PARATRANSIT ELIGIBILITY WHICH INCLUDES THINGS LIKE APPLICATION FORMS PROCESSES ON APPLYING THE APPEALS PROCESS 9 AND LASTLY SUPPORTING INTEGRATION OF PARATRANSIT WITH NEXT 10 11 GENERATION OF CLIPPER SO RIDERS CAN PAY FOR PARATRANSIT THROUGH CLIPPER. IN THE FUTURE WE PLAN TO TACKLE TWO PROGRAMS 12 WITHIN THIS CATEGORY MOBILITY MANAGEMENT WHICH IS FOCUSED ON 13 HELPING COORDINATE RIDES AND NAVIGATE TRAVEL JOURNEYS. SECOND 14 PROGRAM LOOKING FORWARD, LOOKING AT OTHER WAYS TO IMPROVE 15 16 PARATRANSIT SERVICE. SO WE'RE JUST IN THE BEGINNING STAGES OF UNDER TAKING THIS IMPORTANT WORK AND WE'RE EXCITED TO ENGAGE 17 18 WITH THE COMMUNITY ON THESE EFFORTS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SO 19 STUART INDICATED WE HAVE DEVELOPED AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE OF HOW WE COULD SPREAD FUNDING ACROSS FOUR KEY TRANSFORMATION 20 AREAS. WE USED A \$100 MILLION ANNUAL INVESTMENT OVER A FIVE-21 22 YEAR SNAPSHOT TOTALING 500 MILLION OVER THIS TIME PERIOD. 23 FERRIES WITH SUSTAINED \$25 MILLION INVESTMENT WE COULD MAKE LONGER TERM COMMITMENTS TOWARDS SEVERAL PILOT PROGRAMS 24 INCLUDES DISCOUNT TRANSFER PILOTS CONTINUATION OF THE CLIPPER 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

START PROGRAM AS WELL AS GROWING AND EXPANDING THE CLIPPER BAY 1 PASS PROJECT. FUNDING IN THIS CATEGORY IS TO OFFSET REVENUE 2 3 LOSSES FROM FARES AND IS REALLY DIRECTLY TOWARDS OPERATOR FARES. THE SECOND CATEGORY IS MAPPING AND WAYFINDING WITH \$20 4 5 MILLION INVESTMENT THIS WOULD ALLOW FOR REGION-WIDE DEPLOYMENT OF THE HARMONIZED VISUAL IDENTITY THAT YOU SAW EARLIER AT RAIL 6 7 STATIONS, FOR TERMINALS, BUS STOPS AND HUBS AROUND THE BAY 8 AREA. AROUND TRANSIT PRIORITY SUSTAINED \$40 MILLION ANNUAL INVESTMENT OVER FIVE YEARS COULD FURTHER IMPROVE TRANSIT 9 SERVICE THIS LEVEL OF INVESTMENT COULD DELIVER THREE CORRIDOR 10 WIDE BUS RELIABILITY PROJECTS SIMILAR TO SFMTA AND GEARY 11 BOULEVARD AS WELL AS APPROXIMATELY 100 TARGETED HOTSPOT 12 INVESTMENTS TO IMPROVE BUS RELIABILITY POINTS AROUND THE 13 REGION. LAST CATEGORY SUSTAINED \$15 MILLION INVESTMENT IN 14 ACCESSIBILITY COULD SUPPORT CONTINUED INVESTMENT IN THE ONE-15 16 SEAT RIDE PILOTS AND PROGRAMS ALSO SUPPORT OPERATION OF MOBILITY MANAGEMENT SERVICES AT THE COUNTY LEVEL. THIS IS A 17 SNAPSHOT HOW YOU CAN SPEND INVESTMENTS AND WE ARE SEEKING YOUR 18 19 FEEDBACK LATER TODAY.

20

21 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THROUGH THE CHAIR? JUST WANT THE 22 RECORD TO REFLECT THAT WE DO HAVE A QUORUM OF THE COMMISSION 23 IT WAS ESTABLISHED AT 10:23 WITH THE ARRIVE OF COMMISSIONER 24 PAPAN.

25



2

OCTOBER 21, 2024

1 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU.

3 STUART COHEN: NOW ON TO THE SCENARIOS. THANK YOU MELANIE. AS A REMINDER HERE, SCENARIO ONE IS A 30-YEAR HALF CENT SALES TAX 4 5 WITH FOUR COUNTIES IN THE BASELINE THESE ARE SHOWN IN BLUE. AND IT'S ALAMEDA, CONTRA COSTA, SAN FRANCISCO, AND SAN MATEO. 6 AND IT WOULD BE OPT IN FOR THE OTHER COUNTIES WITH REOUIRED 7 8 10% CONTRIBUTION TO TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION. IT ALSO WOULD HAVE TO FILL THE FUNDING GAPS FOR TRANSIT OPERATING FOR ANY 9 10 OPERATORS THAT ARE IN THEIR COUNTY. AND THE REMAINDER FOR THOSE OPT IN COUNTIES WOULD BE FOR COUNTY FLEX FUNDS. WE HEARD 11 MANY COMMENTS ESPECIALLY FROM COUNTIES WITH SALES TAX RENEWALS 12 THAT ARE COMING UP TO CONSIDER A TEN YEAR MEASURE. WE 13 DEVELOPED SCENARIO 1A. NEXT SLIDE. SO, LET'S QUICKLY REVIEW, 14 15 THOUGH, BEFORE WE GET INTO 1A. BECAUSE IT'S A CONTINUATION OF 16 THE SPENDING PLAN REALLY FOR SCENARIO ONE, WHICH IS SHOWN 17 HERE. NOW, THIS IS A SPENDING PLAN FOR THE FOUR COUNTIES, AND IT HAS, THE BLUE LINE ON TOP, 10% FOR THE TRANSFORMATION 18 PROGRAM. FOR THE FIRST EIGHT YEARS YOU CAN SEE THE GREEN LINE 19 -- THE GREEN BOX, REALLY, THE REMAINING 90% OF THE FUNDS 20 DURING THIS TIME, \$490 MILLION IS USED FOR TRANSIT OPERATING. 21 AND WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR WITH THESE DOLLARS IS TO OFFSET THE 22 PANDEMIC DRIVEN FARE LOSSES FOR THE OPERATORS IN THESE FOUR 23 COUNTIES, INCLUDING BART, CALTRAIN, AC TRANSIT, AND MUNI. SO, 24 WE CALL THIS SHORTFALL THE ADJUSTED FARE LOSS, SINCE IT WAS 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

ADJUSTED SLIGHTLY TO ACCOUNT FOR INFLATION. SO FARE LOSS, 1 2 SLIGHTLY ADJUSTED. AND TRANSIT FUNDING DECLINES, THEN AS YOU 3 CAN SEE IN YEAR NINE. IT DOES THIS BECAUSE IT IS BASED ON A SALES TAX, AND SEVERAL COUNTIES HAVE SALES TAXES THAT START 4 5 EXPIRING AROUND THAT TIME. AND THIS ALLOWS FOR FLEXIBLE COUNTY FUNDS TO START IN 2035. NOW, I'M JUST GOING TO REMIND YOU, 6 BECAUSE WE CREATED THIS CONTINGENT APPROACH TOWARDS TRANSIT 7 8 OPERATIONS AND YEARS 9 TO 15 IMPORTANT COMPONENT BECAUSE IT MEANS IT HAS A GOOD AMOUNT OF FUNDING FOR THE FIRST 15 YEARS 9 AND IT GUARANTEES MINIMUM OF \$380 MILLION PER YEAR DURING 10 YEARS 9 TO 15 AND THAT 380 WOULD COME FROM A COMBINATION. 11 TRANSPORTATION REVENUE MEASURE AS WELL AS NEW NON-LOCAL 12 FUNDING SOURCES SUCH AS FATE AND FEDERAL SOURCES. NOW HOW MUCH 13 WOULD COME FROM THE MEASURE IS CONTINGENT ON THE AMOUNT FROM 14 OUTSIDE SOURCES SO THE LIGHT GREEN BOX YOU SEE HAS FLEXIBILITY 15 16 IN IT TO BE EITHER FOR TRANSIT OPERATIONS OR COUNTY FLEX OR SOMEWHERE IN THE MIDDLE AND THE EASIEST WAY TO UNDERSTAND THIS 17 IS WITH THE THREE EXAMPLES I GAVE LAST TIME. AND, SO, DURING 18 THESE YEARS, 9 TO 15, IF THERE ARE NO NEW NON-LOCAL SOURCES OF 19 FUNDS, THE MEASURE WOULD ALLOCATE 380 MILLION FOR TRANSIT 20 21 OPERATIONS. IF 100 MILLION IS OBTAINED FROM OUTSIDE SOURCES, THE MEASURE WOULD ALLOCATE 280 MILLION TO MAKE SURE THEY'RE 22 GETTING TO THAT TOTAL OF 380. IF SIGNIFICANT NEW NON-LOCAL 23 FUNDS ARE OBTAINED, LET'S JUST SAY \$300 MILLION ARE OBTAINED 24 FROM OUTSIDE SOURCES, THE MEASURE HAS THIS MINIMUM GUARANTEE 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

THAT IT WOULD PROVIDE AT LEAST 220 MILLION. SO IN THAT 1 2 SCENARIO, A TOTAL OF 520 MILLION WOULD BE PROVIDED IN ANY 3 GIVEN YEAR DURING YEARS 9 TO 15. SO, NOW ONE OF THE CONCERNS WITH THIS SCENARIO IS THAT MUNI WOULD STILL BE LEFT WITH A 4 5 SIGNIFICANT GAP. MTC HAS COMMITTED AT AN EARLIER MEETING TO WORK WITH MTA TO FILL THIS GAP. BUT I ALSO WANTED MEMBERS TO 6 KNOW THAT SOME OF THE INNOVATIVE IDEAS THAT YOU HEARD TODAY 7 8 FROM MTA'S JONATHON REWERS, SUCH AS FRONT LOADING FUNDS THROUGH FINANCING OR BY HAVING SOME COUNTY RECEIVE MORE 9 10 FUNDING EARLY WHILE OTHERS -- COUNTIES GET MORE LATER. COULD POTENTIALLY BE INTRODUCED INTO SCENARIO ONE TO HELP MUNI CLOSE 11 THEIR NEAR-TERM GAP. NOW, IN YEARS 16 TO 30, 90% OF THE FUNDS 12 THEN SHIFT TO COUNTY FLEX. YOU CAN SEE THAT IN THE GOLD 13 COMPONENT TO THE RIGHT. THESE CAN BE SPENT ON ANY COUNTY 14 15 PRIORITY IN THE ADOPTED, IN THEIR ADOPTED REGIONAL 16 TRANSPORTATION PLAN, PLANNED BAY AREA. SO HAD TO SPENDING PLAN 17 ACKNOWLEDGES, AS YOU CAN SEE ON THE LEFT SIDE, THE IMMEDIATE AND URGENT NEEDS TO SUSTAIN TRANSIT, AND ON THE RIGHT SIDE 18 LONGER TERM NEEDS TO SUPPORT COUNTY PRIORITY, ESPECIALLY IF 19 THEY DON'T RENEW THEIR SALES TAXES. NEXT SLIDE. SO, NOW OUR 20 21 NEW SCENARIO 1A IS REALLY A TEN YEAR VERSION OF SCENARIO ONE. AND IT WOULD TAKE THE SPENDING PLAN THAT YOU SAW ON THE LEFT, 22 YEARS 1 TO 8, AND IT WOULD EXTEND THOSE FOR ANOTHER TWO YEARS. 23 SO IT WOULD BE VERY SIMPLE, VERY CLEAR TO EXPLAIN TO VOTERS. 24 10% FOR TRANSFORMATION, 90% TO SUPPORT TRANSIT SERVICES THAT 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

ARE ON THE VERGE OF SERIOUS CUTS. THERE IS ANOTHER BIG CHANGE, 1 2 THOUGH, GIVEN THE SHORTER TERM OF THE MEASURE TO FOCUS ON 3 OFFSETTING THE FARE LOSS OF BART, CALTRAIN, AC TRANSIT, AND MUNI, WE'RE PROPOSING THAT ONLY SANTA CLARA WOULD HAVE THE 4 5 OPPORTUNITY TO OPT IN. GOING TO TEN YEARS IS A BIG STEP, AND THERE ARE SOME CLEAR PROS AND CONS THAT I WANTED TO POINT OUT. 6 NEXT SLIDE. SO, THIS TEN YEAR MEASURE FOCUSED SOLELY ON URGENT 7 8 TRANSIT NEEDS COULD, FIRST OF ALL, REDUCE CONFLICT WITH COUNTY SALES TAXES, AND THE RENEWALS OF THEM, THAT WOULDN'T FULLY 9 ELIMINATE THAT CONFLICT AS THEY ARE ATTEMPTING RENEWALS DURING 10 THIS PERIOD. SO MIGHT GO IN 2028, 2032, ET CETERA, IT WOULD 11 CERTAINLY SIMPLIFY MESSAGING AND IT WOULD KEEP PRESSURE ON 12 FINDING OTHER FUNDING SOLUTIONS OVER THE LONG RUN. BUT, WHICH 13 WE SEE AS A POSITIVE, BUT A 10-YEAR MEASURE HAS LESS TIME FOR 14 OPERATORS TO ADAPT TO A NEW BUSINESS MODEL. IT'S ALSO LESS 15 16 OPPORTUNITY TO FUND OTHER TRANSPORTATION NEEDS WITH COUNTY FLEX, THINGS LIKE LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS AND BIKE PED 17 INFRASTRUCTURE WHICH ARE BOTH NEEDED AND APPRECIATED BY THE 18 VOTERS AND HAVING A SHORT MEASURE THAT FOCUSES ONLY ON PUBLIC 19 TRANSIT MAY ALSO MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO GARNER SUPPORT 20 21 NECESSARY TO RUN AN EXPENSE AND I HAVE SUCCESSFUL CAMPAIGN. NEXT SLIDE. NOW, MOVING ON TO THE HYBRID SCENARIO. THIS IS 22 WHERE WE LEFT OFF IN SEPTEMBER, A PROPOSAL FOR A NINE COUNTY 23 MEASURE THAT SEEKS TO SUSTAIN CURRENT SERVICE LEVELS BY 24 25 FUNDING OPERATOR REPORTED SHORTFALLS. I THINK, LIKE WITH THE

OCTOBER 21, 2024

MTA PROPOSAL IT DOESN'T GET FULLY THERE BUT GETS MOST OF THE 1 WAY TO FUNDING THOSE. IT COMBINES HALF CENT SALES TAX WITH 2 3 PAYROLL TAX ON \$0.18 OF EVERY \$100 OF PAYROLL. ONE CHANGE PROPOSED IS NOW INSTEAD OF 40% OF PAYROLL TAX OR 200 MILLION 4 5 ANNUALLY GOING TOWARDS EMPLOYEE COMMUTE BENEFIT PROGRAM THAT FUNDING WOULD STAY TO GO TO COUNTY FLEX DISTRIBUTED TO AND 6 PROPORTION TO PAYROLL TAX GENERATED. WE HEARD AT THE LAST 7 8 MEETING PAYROLL TAX SHOULD NOT BE THE ONLY OPTION FOR HYBRID SINCE IT MAY FACE SIGNIFICANT FUNDED OPPOSITION. NEXT SLIDE. 9 WE'RE NOW INCLUDING ANOTHER FUNDING OPTION WHICH WOULD RAISE 10 FIVE HELPED MILLION DOLLARS PER YEAR THROUGH A \$0.09 PER 11 BUILDING SOUARE FOOT PARCEL TAX THAT WOULD BE ADDED ON TO THE 12 SALES TAX. PLAN WOULD BE THE SAME WITH THE PAYROLL OR PARCEL 13 TAX. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THAT HERE. NEXT SLIDE. THAT PLAN IS 14 ILLUSTRATED. HERE YOU CAN SEE THE 10% OF THE SALES TAX FOR 15 16 TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION IS STILL THERE ACROSS THE TOP. FOR TRANSIT, THE PARCEL OR PAYROLL TAX ADDS THIS LAYER OF LIGHT 17 18 GREEN ALONG THE BOTTOM, REPRESENTING \$300 MILLION PER YEAR TO 19 SCENARIO ONE FOR ALL 30 YEARS. THIS ALLOWS 90% OF OPERATOR SHORTFALLS TO BE FUNDED IN THOSE FIRST EIGHT YEARS AND 65% IN 20 YEARS 9 TO 15. YOU COULD ALSO SEE THERE IS QUITE A BIT OF 21 COUNTY FLEX, IT TOTALS 61% OF THIS MEASURE. AND FOR THE FIRST 22 23 TIME THEN THE FOUR BASELINE COUNTIES WOULD BE GETTING SOME EXTRA COUNTY FLEX FROM THE VERY BEGINNING OF THE MEASURE. YOU 24 CAN SEE THAT IN THE DARKER GOLD BANDS. THAT EQUALS 40% OF THE 25

TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript

METROPOLITAN

OCTOBER 21, 2024

PARCEL OR PAYROLL TAX, THAT COUNTY FLEX. SO, AS PART OF THE 1 PACKET TODAY, WE INCLUDED A SCENARIO EXPLAINER DOCUMENT IT HAS 2 3 AN APPENDIX WITH ALL OF THE DETAILS THAT ARE BROADLY BRUSHED HERE, BROKEN DOWN BY COUNTY AND TRANSIT OPERATOR. NEXT SLIDE. 4 5 NOW, AS MENTIONED, YOU WILL SOON HAVE THE CHANCE TO RATE COMPONENTS OF THE MEASURES, ALONG GRADIENTS OF THE AGREEMENT. 6 WE'LL HAVE THIS CHART AVAILABLE TO YOU AS WE GO THROUGH THESE 7 8 QUESTIONS. AND AS YOU CAN SEE, IT IS A ONE FOR STRONGLY AGREE, TWO TO DEGREE WITH RESERVATION, THREE IS NEUTRAL OR ABSTAIN, 9 10 FOUR IS DISAGREE BUT WILL GO ALONG, AND FIVE IS STRONGLY DISAGREE. NEXT SLIDE. SO, BEFORE WE MOVE TO PUBLIC COMMENT, I 11 WANTED TO ASK IF THERE ARE CLARIFYING OUESTIONS. AFTER 12 CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, WE'LL DO THE GRADIENTS OF AGREEMENT, AS 13 WELL AS CONSIDER A MOTION WITH COMPONENTS THAT SHOULD BE 14 ADVANCED TO THE COMMISSION. BUT LET'S JUST START WITH THAT 15 16 FIRST QUESTION RIGHT NOW, CLARIFYING QUESTIONS.

17

18 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: OKAY.

19

20 SPEAKER: I HAVE ONE.

21

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. A LOT OF INFORMATION. MELANIE, THANK
YOU FOR THE CLARIFICATION ON THE TRANSFORMATION TRANSIT THERE
HAS BEEN A LOT OF QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT AND VERY LITTLE
DESCRIPTION. SO, I APPRECIATE THE GOOD WORK THAT YOU'RE DOING



IN MOVING THAT FORWARD. DISCUSSION AND POTENTIAL ACTION. WE'LL
 SEE WHAT'S THERE AND WE'LL GO TO DISCUSSION. CINDY YOU HAVE
 YOUR HAND UP. WE'LL START WU.

4

5 CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU FOR THE
6 PRESENTATIONS. COULD YOU TALK A BIT ABOUT THE CURRENT -7 WHAT'S THE CURRENT BEEN FOR TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION AND WHAT'S
8 THE SOURCE OF FUNDS?

9

MELANIE CHOY: THE CURRENT BUDGET FOR TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION 10 IS, WE HAD -- WE HAD SOME FEDERAL FUNDS FROM ERP, SO WE HAVE 11 ABOUT 85 MILLION FROM THAT, THAT WAS APPROVED AS THE INITIAL 12 INFUSION OF FUNDS FOR THAT PROMPT ADDITIONALLY WHAT WE HAVE 13 DONE SINCE THEN IS WE HAVE ADDED SOME OBAG THREE FUNDS TO THE 14 15 PROGRAM SO AS WE PROCEED AND WORK ON THESE PROGRAMS, WHAT 16 WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS LEVERAGE OTHER FUND SOURCES AND LOOK AT OTHER SOURCES TO INCREASE THE FUND SOURCES. AND, SO, I THINK 17 IN TOTAL, CURRENTLY, WE HAVE ABOUT, I BELIEVE IT'S ABOUT 150 18 MILLION INCLUDING THOSE OBAG THREE FUNDS, PLUS WE HAVE 19 EXISTING FUNDS THAT SOME OF THE PROJECTS CAME IN WITH SOME 20 21 FUNDING ON THE THIRD SOURCE THAT WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO ACCESS IS OUR REAP FUNDS, REGIONAL EARLY ACTION PLAN -- PROGRAM 22 FUNDS, AND AS IS STATE FUNDING. SO WE HAVE PULLED IN SOME 23 FUNDS TO DO THAT AND THAT IS REALLY FOCUSED ON THE TRANSFER 24 25 PROGRAM DISCOUNT PROGRAM.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

2 CINDY CHAVEZ: AND IS -- AND I APOLOGIZE, I PROBABLY SHOULD 3 HAVE SEEN THIS -- DO WE HAVE A, AS PART OF OUR BUDGET PROCESS, DO WE HAVE A ONE-SHEETER HERE IS WHAT WE HAVE AND WHAT WE'RE 4 5 SPEND IT -- ARE WE USING ONE TIME FUNDING FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PRIMARILY, ONGOING SERVICES? HOW IS THAT BEING 6 INFUSED? AND IT WOULD HELP ME UNDERSTAND THE 10% NUMBER VERSUS 7 8 ANOTHER MECHANISM FOR DETERMINING HOW MUCH MONEY SHOULD BE INVESTED OVER WHAT NUMBER OF YEARS. 9

10

1

MELANIE CHOY: CURRENTLY IT IS ONE TIME INFUSION OF FUNDS, ALL 11 FUNDING APPROVALS HAVE BEEN SPECIFIC TIME PERIOD AND FUNDING 12 GOING TOWARDS CAPITAL PROJECTS AS WELL AS PAYING FOR PILOT 13 PROGRAMS HENCE THAT'S WHY THEY'RE PILOT PROGRAMS WE'RE 14 15 INFUSING SOME FUNDS IN TO DEMONSTRATE AND LOOK AT SUCCESSES OF 16 THE PROGRAMS SEE WHAT WORKS DOESN'T WORK BUT WE WILL NEED ONGOING PROGRAM FUNDS TO DO THINGS LIKE FARE INTEGRATION PILOT 17 PROGRAMS THAT WILL NEED TO CONTINUE FUNDS AS INDICATED WE HAVE 18 FUNDS FOR THE PROGRAM ONCE THOSE FUNDS ARE EXPEND -- EXPECTED 19 TO LAST 18 TO 24 MONTHS WE WILL NEED TO LOOK FOR THE CONTINUED 20 21 RESOURCES FOR PROGRAMS PERMANENCY.

22

23 CINDY CHAVEZ: MELANIE, I WOULD JUST FOR THIS COMMITTEE, BUT
24 FOR THE BOARD THERE IS ONE PAGER SOURCE OF FUNDS, WHAT THAT'S
25 SPENT ON. YOU HAVE PRESENTED -- I HAVE SEEN A PRESENTATION --

This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

THE SECOND OUESTION I HAVE IS GIVEN THE NEW PROPOSAL THAT 1 STUART HAS RAISED IF IT'S ABOUT 10% YOU KNOW 50% GOING IN ONE 2 3 BUCKET AND 50% GOING IN ANOTHER IF YOU COULD MAYBE EXPLAIN WHAT WOULD BE IN BUCKET ONE AND WHAT WOULD BE IN THE LOCAL --4 5 WITHIN THE LOCAL JURISDICTION, WITH A LITTLE MORE SPECIFICITY IT WOULD BE HELPFUL OF THE PART OF THE REASON I'M ASKING 6 7 COLLEAGUES, I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND HOW WE'RE FUNDING 8 PROJECTS COLLECTIVE PROJECTS APPROPRIATELY WHAT'S THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF FUNDING OVER 5, 10, 15 YEARS. 9

10

MELANIE CHOY: COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ, I DID FORGET TO INDICATE 11 THAT WE HAVE A RESOLUTION THAT GOES TO THE PROGRAMMING AND 12 ALLOCATIONS THAT SUMMARIZES INFUSION OF FUNDS AND THAT'S WHY 13 ACTIONS ON THESE FUND SOURCES THAT I MENTIONED, THE THREE 14 15 SOURCES ARE TAKEN THOSE ACTIONS HAVE BEEN COMING OUT OF THE 16 PROGRAMMING AND ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE IN TERMS OF PROGRAM UPDATES ON PROGRAMS THEMSELVES AND ANY CONTRACT AWARDS AND 17 PROGRESS WE MAKE ON THE PROMISE WE TAKE TO THE RNM COMMITTEE 18 SO THERE IS THIS INFORMATION BETWEEN WEDNESDAY AND FRIDAY 19 GROUPS. 20

21

22 CINDY CHAVEZ: YEAH.

23

24 MELANIE CHOY: AND WE CAN WORK ON THAT AND PULL THAT TOGETHER.25



CINDY CHAVEZ: THANKS MELANIE. THAT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL. COY
 CHOY I'M GOING TO TURN TO ALIX OR STUART.

3

ALIX BOCKELMAN: THANK YOU ALIX BOCKELMAN, CHIEF DEPUTY 4 5 DIRECTOR ON YOUR QUESTION ABOUT 50% REGIONAL VERSUS INVESTED BY COUNTY. WE DON'T HAVE A SPECIFIC PROPOSAL FOR THAT I THINK 6 THAT WOULD BE OPEN FOR MORE REFINEMENT. I THINK THE TRANSIT 7 8 PRIORITY PROJECTS IN PARTICULAR PROBABLY LEND THEMSELVES A LOT TO KIND OF THE LOCAL DEVELOPING, SORT OF, THE LOCAL CAN'T 9 PRIORITIES. BUT I THINK THAT THERE IS SOME AREAS OF THE OTHER 10 FOUR KEY, THAT COULD ALSO LENDS THEMSELVES. BUT I THINK THAT 11 ONE IN PARTICULAR, THE FARES, THERE COULD BE SPECIFIC THINGS 12 ON FARES, BUT MORE GENERALLY IT'S SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO 13 14 CONTRIBUTION TO A SEAMLESS EXPERIENCE. I THINK YOU LIKE TO 15 THINK OF IT AS ONE TICKET. SO, I THINK THERE COULD BE 16 CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE COUNTY BASE, BUT I THINK THAT ONE MAYBE IS A LITTLE MORE TRICKY. SO, I THINK THAT CAN -- WE CAN 17 CERTAINLY HAVE MORE CONVERSATION AND REFINEMENT ON THAT. 18

19

20 CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. THAT'S HELPFUL.

21

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: AND WE HEARD, YOU KNOW, WE TALKED TO THE VARIOUS COUNTIES, WE HEARD THEY WERE CONCERNED THAT THAT 10% STAYED AT MTC THEY MAY NOT GET SOME OF THE LOCAL PROGRAMS FOR THAT TRANSFORMATION THAT'S WHY WE SAID 50% SO THEY KNOW THEIR

OCTOBER 21, 2024

NEEDS BETTER THAN WE DO. AND SO WE WOULD BE ABLE TO TAKE OUR
 PART, MATCH THAT AND HELP MOVE FORWARD THE REGIONAL ISSUES. IT
 WAS REALLY TO ADDRESS THE CONCERNS THAT WE WERE HEARING FROM
 THE VARIOUS COUNTIES THAT THEIR LOCAL PRIORITIES MAY NOT GET
 FUNDED THROUGH THIS PROGRAM. ADINA?

6

ADINA LEVIN: SO, I HAVE TWO QUESTIONS. ONE IS, I DON'T THINK I 7 8 UNDERSTOOD THE RESPONSE TO WHY THE EXCLUSION OF THE NORTH BAY COUNTIES FROM OPTING IN IN THE OPT-IN OPTION, AND YOU KNOW, 9 10 YOU HEARD IN SOME OF THE PUBLIC APPROXIMATE COMMENTS THAT, YOU KNOW, TRANSIT USERS IN THE NORTH BAY, ARE LOOKING GOT ONLY AT 11 THE SMART NEED, BUT, ALSO, THE, YOU KNOW, OTHER NEEDS FROM THE 12 NORTH BAY TO EAST BAY TRANSPORTATION AND THE NEEDS FOR LOCAL 13 SERVICE AND SO ON, AND THAT -- AS WELL AS THE TRANSIT 14 15 TRANSFORMATION COORDINATION NEEDS, WHICH ARE ALSO NEEDS OF THE 16 NORTH BAY. SO, LIKE, WHY IS THAT EXCLUDED? [INDISCERNIBLE] 17 QUESTION --

18

19 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: STUART, I WANT TO TOUCH ON -- ONE OF THE 20 CONCERNS WE HAD IS YOU MIGHT NOT HAVE SUPPORT OF NORTH BAY 21 COUNTIES SO BY INCLUDING THEM, WE GET NOTHING. SO, YOU KNOW, 22 THE NORTH BAY COUNTIES HAVE VERY SPECIFIC NEEDS AND THEY'RE 23 GOING TO HAVE TO DETERMINE -- AND THIS DOESN'T PRECLUDE THEM 24 FROM HAVING THEIR OWN MEASURE, BUT THE CONCERN WE HAD IS WE 25 WANTED TO BRING SOMETHING FORWARD THAT HAS BEST OPPORTUNITY TO



PASS WITH THE VOTERS. AND IF YOU GET LOW POLL NUMBERS FROM
 SOLANO OR NAPA AND THEY CAN DRAWDOWN THIS MEASURE, WE'RE
 CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. THAT'S ONE THING. STUART?

4

5 STUART COHEN: ONE OF THE BIGGEST BENEFITS IS THE CLARITY AND MESSAGING AND SIMPLICITY THAT WE'RE ABOUT TO GO THROUGH A 6 MAJOR CRISIS AND WE'RE GOING TO AVERT IT. GOLDEN GATE HAS A 7 8 VERY LARGE SHORTFALL SO THAT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED. BUT SOME OF THOSE COUNTIES UP THERE, SONOMA ONLY TAKES A LITTLE BIT OF 9 THAT, SO THREE OF THOSE COUNTIES DON'T. HONESTLY THOSE VOTERS 10 11 UP THERE WOULD WANT SOME COUNTY FLEX WITH LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS AND THINGS SO SUDDENLY YOU'RE GETTING INTO A MESS WHERE 12 ONE OF THE BIGGEST BENEFITS IS THE SIMPLICITY. 13

14

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YOU KNOW, NUMBER THREE IS REALLY WHAT WE 15 16 WANT TO FOCUS ON IS, YOU KNOW, IN OUR MEETINGS AND AS WE LOOK AT THE INPUT, WE HAVE, YOU KNOW, FOR ME, I FELT THAT WE 17 WEREN'T GOING TO COME OUT OF THIS WITH A REAL CONSENSUS ON ANY 18 ONE OF THESE PROPOSALS BUT WE THOUGHT IT WAS IMPORTANT IF WE 19 COULD AGREE ON SOME OF THE COMPONENTS IT WOULD BE HELPFUL AS 20 21 WE MOVE FORWARD TO THE COMMISSION. SO IF THERE IS ANY COMMENTS WHAT YOU HAVE SEEN. AND STUART ARE YOU GOING TO CARRY US 22 THROUGH SOME PROCESS? 23



STUART COHEN: YES BEFORE WE GET INTO THE COMMENTS WE THOUGHT 1 2 WE WOULD TAKE PUBLIC COMMENT. 3 ADINA LEVIN: ONE MORE OUESTION. 4 5 GINA PAPAN: YEAH AND STEW HAS GOT ONE OVER THERE TOO. 6 7 8 ADINA LEVIN: AND FIRST OF ALL, I WANTED TO START WITH GRATITUDE TOWARDS MELANIE AND THE TEAM TO START WITH CLARITY 9 10 TO PUT THE TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION BECAUSE THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT, YOU KNOW IN THIS BODY AND AT THE COMMISSION AND 11 DEFINITELY BRINGING IT DOWN TO THE TRANSIT BOARD, THERE WAS A 12 LOT OF LACK OF UNDERSTANDING LEADING TO SKEPTICISM SO 13 PROVIDING THAT INFORMATION IS EXTREMELY HELPFUL. AND, SO, THE 14 15 QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT IS, YOU MENTIONED BRINGING IT FORWARD, 16 NOT JUST FROM THE WEDNESDAY TO THE FRIDAY COMMISSION, I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL, CAN THIS BE BROUGHT TO THE TRANSIT 17 BOARDS? WHERE THERE WAS SOME -- YOU KNOW, A LOT OF OUESTIONS 18 ABOUT THAT, AS WELL. AND THEN, ALSO, IN TERMS OF THE LOCAL 19 VERSUS THE REGIONAL, WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE TO EVEN PROVIDE A 20 21 LITTLE BIT MORE CLARITY ON THE WIN-WIN NATURE OF THE REGIONAL BUCKET, LIKE, JUST ONE PIECE OF INFORMATION I WAS ABLE TO 22 DISCOVER FROM THE FARE INTEGRATION IS THAT THE CITY OF MENLO 23 PARK IS PARTICIPATING IN THE BAY PASS, AND THEY HAVE 40% OF 24 THEIR TRIPS ON AGENCIES NOT CALTRAIN AND NOT SAMTRANS. SO, BY 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

HAVING ALL OF THAT TOGETHER IN THE BAY PASS, THEY'RE ABLE TO 1 GET A LOT MORE CARS OFF THE ROAD AND A LOT MORE EMPLOYEE 2 3 SATISFACTION. AND IF YOU TRY TO SAY WELL HOW MUCH IS THIS BENEFITTING SAN MATEO COUNTIES VERSUS OTHER COUNTIES, LIKE 4 5 THAT'S NOT A LOGICAL QUESTION. IT'S A WIN-WIN, EVERYBODY BENEFITTING. SO, CAN A LITTLE BIT MORE OF THE WIN-WIN BE KIND 6 7 OF TEASED OUT, AND THEN -- [INDISCERNIBLE] TRANSIT --8 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WE'RE GOING HAVE GINA THEN SUE AND THEN 9 10 NICK. 11 SPEAKER: OKAY. SUE WAS BEFORE ME. 12 13 14 SPEAKER: OKAY. YOU CAN CLARIFY [LAUGHTER] 15 16 GINA PAPAN: CAN YOU CLARIFY FOR ME HOW CALTRAIN WILL BE OPERATIONALLY FUNDED BY THE PARTNER AGENCIES UNDER ALL OF 17 THESE SCENARIOS? 18 19 STUART COHEN: YEAH. THE WAY WE WORK THE SCENARIOS IS THAT THE 20 21 -- EACH OF THE FOUR BASELINE COUNTIES WOULD BE CONTRIBUTING THE SALES TAX OF THE SALES TAX PLUS ONE OF THE OTHERS, AND 22 THEN CALTRAIN WOULD BE GETTING IN THE FIRST YEAR OF 1 TO 8, 37 23 MILLION, AND THAT DECREASES SOMEWHAT YEAR 9 TO 15. AND WE 24 WOULD BE ANTICIPATING A CONTRIBUTION FROM SANTA CLARA, EXACTLY 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

| 1  | WHAT THAT IS STILL TO BE DETERMINED BY THE JVP COUNTIES. BUT   |
|----|--|
| 2  | IN TOTAL WE WOULD EXPECT CALTRAIN TO BE GETTING 67 MILLION     |
| 3  | WHICH IS THEIR ADJUSTED FARE SHORTFALL? SCENARIO ONE OR 70     |
| 4  | MILLION IN SCENARIO TWO. WE DON'T HAVE EXACTLY RETURN TO       |
| 5  | SOURCE MECHANISM, YOU KNOW IF THAT'S IMPLICIT IN THE QUESTION, |
| 6  | WITHIN THIS WITHIN OUR FRAMEWORK.                              |
| 7  |  |
| 8  | GINA PAPAN: IT'S STILL UNSETTLING. BECAUSE WE HAVE THREE       |
| 9  | COUNTIES AND [INDISCERNIBLE] EXISTING AGREEMENT. SO,           |
| 10 | FURTHER CLARIFICATION WOULD BE GREAT. THANK YOU.               |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THANK YOU GINA. SUE?                 |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | SUE NOACK: JUST A CLARIFYING QUESTION. SO, THE HYBRID EXAMPLES |
| 15 | ARE ONLY FOR THE 30-YEAR SCENARIO, NOT THE TEN?                |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | STUART COHEN: THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION. WE DID NOT DO ONE FOR - |
| 18 | - A HYBRID FOR THE TEN. NO. I MEAN, IT COULD BE CONSIDERED.    |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | SUE NOACK: YEAH. I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT COULD BE        |
| 21 | CONSIDERED FOR THAT AS WELL. THANKS.                           |
| 22 |  |
| 23 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY NICK THEN DAVID.                      |
| 24 |  |

OCTOBER 21, 2024

NICK JOSEFOWITZ: COULD YOU JUST CLARIFY WHAT PROPORTION OF
MUNI SHORTFALL WOULD BE ADDRESSED IN SCENARIO -- IN THE
SCENARIOS ONE, VERSUS IN THE KIND OF OPERATOR MEASURE? BECAUSE
THAT -- I MEAN THAT, SEEMS LIKE A MASSIVE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
THOSE TWO MEASURES, BETWEEN KIND OF THE ONE RATE, JUST, SORT
OF, RULE THEM ALL AND, SORT OF, LIKE THE ABILITY -- SORT OF,
THE MULTI-RATE MEASURE?

8

STUART COHEN: IT'S A VERY LARGE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO. 9 THANK YOU FOR POINTING THAT OUT, NICK. IN SCENARIO ONE, MUNI 10 WOULD BE GETTING 88 MILLION AND THEIR SHORTFALL, THEY GAVE US 11 A RANGE OF 240 TO 320. SO WE'RE JUST THINKING OF IT AS 280 12 NOW, MIDPOINT, SO THAT COMES OUT TO ABOUT 31% OF THEIR 13 SHORTFALL. AND THEN IT GOES DOWN SIGNIFICANTLY TO 30 MILLION 14 15 DURING YEARS 9 TO 15 IN SCENARIO ONE, WHICH IS, YOU KNOW, 16 HOVERS AROUND 10%, 11% OF IT. NOW, IT DOES MUCH BETTER IN THE HYBRID, BECAUSE THAT 300 MILLION THAT COMES IN FROM EITHER 17 PARCEL OR PAYROLL IS THOUGHT OF AS A REGIONAL FUNDING POT. AND 18 THAT BRINGS ALL OF THE OPERATORS UP TO 90%. SO THEY WOULD BE 19 GETTING 90% OF THAT 280, IT'S THE 250 IS WHAT IT COMES OUT TO. 20 21 AND THEN THAT GOES DOWN TO ABOUT 65% OF IT IN THE LATER YEARS. SO IT'S A VERY BIG DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MUNI AND THESE. I WILL 22 SAY THAT, YOU KNOW, THE REALLY INNOVATIVE THINGS THAT THEY 23 BROUGHT FORWARD AND HAVE BEEN SOCIALIZING AMONGST THE AGENCIES 24 IS INCREDIBLY USEFUL AND POWERFUL. AND I MENTIONED THEM DURING 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

MY PRESENTATION. BUT, YOU KNOW, IN THEIR SCENARIO, THERE WAS 1 SOME FINANCING IN THE VERY FIRST YEAR TO FILL THAT GAP 2 3 IMMEDIATELY. THAT CAN BE A DISCUSSION. THERE IS COST TO IT, OBVIOUSLY THERE IS BENEFITS TO IT, GIVEN THE SITUATION ON A 4 5 VERY, VERY SHORT-TERM BASIS AND THE OTHER ONE IS FRONT LOADING. WE HEARD RESISTANCE TO THIS, SOME COUNTIES GET MORE 6 EARLY AND OTHER COUNTIES GET MORE LATER WHEN WE FIRST STARTED 7 8 THE PROCESS WE'RE HEARING COUNTIES WARMING UP TO THAT. THAT CAN MAKE A TREMENDOUS DIFFERENCE IF IMMUNE WAS GETTING LESS IN 9 YEARS 20 TO 30 AS AN EXAMPLE. THE 30-YEAR HORIZON, I'LL JUST 10 SAY, DOES A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT IF WE INTRODUCE FLEXIBILITY TO 11 THAT, WHERE THERE IS A TEN YEAR MEASURE, EVEN WITH THE 12 FLEXIBILITY, YOU'RE GOING OUT FOR MORE FUNDING AGAIN IN A FEW 13 YEARS. SO, I THINK WE CAN HAVE A LOT OF CONVERSATIONS THAT DO 14 A MIX AND MATCH ON THE SCENARIOS. AND THAT'S WHY WE'RE EXCITED 15 16 TO GET TO THE COMPONENTS OF THE GRADIENTS OF THE AGREEMENT BECAUSE WE WANT TO KNOW WHICH OF THESE COMPONENTS PEOPLE THINK 17 SHOULD MOVE FORWARD. 18

19

20 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: BUT THE OPERATOR SCENARIO ABLE TO HAVE
21 DIFFERENT RATES IN DIFFERENT COUNTIES ALLOWS SAN FRANCISCO TO
22 HAVE A MUCH HIGHER RATE THEN HAVE ITS DEFICIT MORE FUNDED. IS
23 THAT RIGHT?

24

OCTOBER 21, 2024

STUART COHEN: YES VERY BOTH BECAUSE VARIABLE RATE AND OF 1 COURSE PARCEL I HAVE TO SAY ONE THING WHICH IS THAT VARIABLE 2 3 RATE INTRODUCES RISK AND COMPLICATION. AND IF IT COULD WORK, LIKE, WONDERFUL. BUT BOTH THE LEGISLATURE MAY BE HESITANT 4 5 ESPECIALLY WITH A VERY COMPLICATED ONE, LIKE WAS INTRODUCED --THAT WOULDN'T FIT IN A BALLOT QUESTION, THREE OR FOUR 6 DIFFERENT RATES. IT WOULD HAVE TO BE IN THE BALLOT BOOK AND 7 8 YOU WOULD HAVE TO HAVE THE LEGISLATURE KIND OF MAKE THAT ALLOWANCE A VERY BIG LIFT. THE OTHER CONCERN IS THE LEGAL 9 CHALLENGES THAT WE THINK WOULD COME, JUST LIKE THEY DID WITH 10 RM3, AND ESPECIALLY IF IT'S NOT IN THE BALLOT QUESTION, I 11 THINK REALLY OPENS IT UP TO SOME RISK. AND, SO, I THINK THERE 12 IS A LOT TO THINK ABOUT WITH THE VARIABLE RATE BEFORE WE GO 13 14 DOWN THAT ROAD.

15

16 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND I JUST ALSO WANTED TO POINT OUT THAT FOR THOSE ON THE COMMITTEE WHO 17 MAY NOT TRACK MUNI AS CLOSELY AS SAN FRANCISCANS, THAT, LIKE, 18 RIDERSHIP CONTINUES TO REBOUND WHICH I THINK IS A REAL 19 TESTAMENT TO THE, SORT OF -- MUNI'S, SORT OF, RETHINKING ITS 20 21 APPROACH POST-PANDEMIC TO SERVE RIDERSHIP, YOU'RE NOW AT WHAT? 74%, RIGHT? AND MUNI'S FARE LOSSES ARE MUCH MORE DRIVEN BY 22 PEOPLE NOT COMING INTO SAN FRANCISCO TO PARK THAN THEY ARE IN 23 PEOPLE NOT RIDING THE BUS. SO, NOT THAT I WOULD ENCOURAGE 24 ANYBODY TO DRIVE INTO SAN FRANCISCO TO PARK, AND I AM SURE 25



NONE OF YOU DID TODAY, BUT I THOUGHT THAT WAS SO INTERESTING, 1 SORT OF, IMPORTANT CONTEXT. SO, THANK YOU. 2 3 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU, NICK. DAVID THEN ELLEN. 4 5 DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU. STUART, I HAVE A QUESTION FOR PEOPLE 6 TRYING TO CATCH OCCUPY THIS ISSUE, WHAT COUNTIES WOULD BE 7 8 GETTING TAXED AND WHAT COUNTIES WOULD NOT BE GETTING TAXED AND WHAT'S PROPOSED? 9 10 STUART COHEN: IN SCENARIO ONE, IT WOULD BE MINIMUM OF FOUR 11 COUNTIES --12 13 DAVID CANEPA: WHAT COUNTIES ARE THEY? 14 15 16 STUART COHEN: ALAMEDA, CONTRA COSTA, SAN FRANCISCO, AND SAN MATEO, AND POTENTIALLY ANY OF THE OTHER FIVE COUNTIES THAT 17 18 WOULD OPT IN. 19 DAVID CANEPA: YOU CAN TALK TO US ABOUT THAT BECAUSE THEY WOULD 20 21 HAVE THE ABLE TO OPT IN. IS THAT CORRECT? SO, WHAT DOES THAT LOOK LIKE? SO THEY WOULD, IF THE MEASURE COMES BEFORE, THEY 22 WOULD REACH OUT TO US AND THE COUNTY WOULD SAY HEY LOOK I WANT 23 TO OPT INTO THIS MEASURE. HOW DOES THAT WORK? IF YOU COULD 24 EXPLAIN TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC? 25

> 1 STUART COHEN: IT'S ACTUALLY A VERY GOOD QUESTION, BUT THAT IS 2 3 THE IDEA, AND I BELIEVE IT WOULD BE THE TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S AUTHORITY THAT WOULD BE LOOKING TO GUIDANCE FOR. 4 5 CORRECT ME IF I AM WRONG? 6 7 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THIS'S CORRECT. 8 STUART COHEN: AND, YOU KNOW, THAT DELIBERATION WOULD HAPPEN 9 WHETHER PUBLIC PROCESS AT THEIR CTA AND AS I MENTIONED THE 10 TIMELINE WOULD BE FEBRUARY BUT NO LATER THAN APRIL. 11 12 DAVID CANEPA: SAN FRANCISCO, CON COST, ALAMEDA COUNTY WHAT 13 WE'RE MOVING FORWARD WITH TODAY IS BASICALLY LOOKING AT TAXING 14 15 THOSE COUNTIES, CORRECT? 16

OCTOBER 21, 2024

17 STUART COHEN: CORRECT IN SCENARIO ONE.

18

19 DAVID CANEPA: AND STAFF, YOU HAVE BEEN HAVING CONVERSATIONS 20 WITH OTHER COUNTIES OUTSIDE OF THE A FOUR MENTIONED, HOW MANY 21 OF THOSE COUNTIES ARE INTERESTED IN TAXING THEMSELVES? DO WE 22 HAVE TEMPERATURE READ ON THE OTHER COUNTIES AND WHERE THEY'RE 23 AT?

## OCTOBER 21, 2024

ANDREW FREMIER: COMMISSIONER ANDREW FREMIER, EXECUTIVE 1 DIRECTOR. I WOULD SAY THAT IT'S VARIED. YOU KNOW, SANTA CLARA, 2 3 IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHAIR SPERING'S LETTER HAS SAID THEY'RE COMMITTED IT'S A FORM OF OPTING IN WITHOUT ACTUALLY -- SONOMA 4 5 HAS EXPRESSED INTEREST ESPECIALLY IF THEY CAN SUPPORT THE OPERATIONS OF SMART AND MARIN HAS INDICATED A SIMILAR 6 POTENTIAL FEELING AND NAPA IS INTERESTED TO SUPPORT. AND I 7 8 WOULD DEFER TO CHAIR SPERING ABOUT SOLANO'S INTEREST BUT THEY HAVE BEEN INTERESTED IN SAFE STREETS INVESTMENTS MAKING SURE 9 THEIR TRANSIT STAYS OPERATIONAL IT'S IMPORTANT TO THE 10 COMMUNITY BUT NOBODY HAS OFFICIALLY ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. 11

12

13 DAVID CANEPA: WHAT ARE THE CONSEQUENCES STAFF HAS MEASURED IN 14 TERMS OF IF THEY DON'T OPT IN? HAVE WE DONE ANALYSIS? HAVE WE 15 DONE A STUDY? WHAT'S THE BENEFIT IF THEY OPT IN AND WHAT'S THE 16 BENEFIT IF THEY DON'T OPT IN? I REALLY NEED TO KIND OF 17 UNDERSTAND THAT.

18

ANDREW FREMIER: WELL WE'RE CERTAINLY HOPING THAT THE COMMIT OF
TRANSFORMATION HAPPENS REGARDLESS. THAT'S BEEN A PRIORITY OF
THE CHAIR'S REQUEST. SO THAT'S COVERED AS HAPPENING
REGARDLESS. BUT I DO THINK YOU FACE SOME REAL CHALLENGES IN
SOME OF THE BENEFITS OF THESE OTHER TRANSIT OPERATORS IN THE
SMALL COUNTIES. AND THE DIRECT QUESTION IS THEN WE HAVE NOT
ANALYZED WHAT THE EFFECT WOULD BE, WE HAVE BEEN FOCUSING



REALLY ON THE CORE TRANSIT FACILITIES WITHIN THE FIVE 1 2 COUNTIES. 3 DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. AND YOU MENTIONED A BIT ABOUT THE TRANSIT 4 5 TRANSFORMATION WORK. HAVE WE BEEN ABLE TO IDENTIFY WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE IN TERMS OF A MONETARY NUMBER FOR EACH COUNTY? IF 6 7 WE HAD -- WHAT IS THAT NUMBER? WHAT DO THOSE NUMBERS LOOK 8 LIKE? 9 ANDREW FREMIER: MAYBE ALIX OR MELANIE COULD -- WE PRESENTED A 10 11 FEW TIMES, IT'S BREAKING DOWN THE ONE HUNDRED MILLION IT'S DIFFERENCE IN EACH COUNTY. 12 13 ALIX BOCKELMAN: IT'S IN THE ATTACHMENT, I DON'T HAVE IT 14 MEMORIZED IT WOULD BE KIND OF 10% OF WHATEVER, SALES TAX, 10% 15 16 OF THE SALES TAX GENERATION BY COUNTY. SO IT IS IN THE ATTACHMENT. [LAUGHTER] 17 18 19 SPEAKER: IT WOULD BE FOR SAN MATEO IT WOULD BE WE HAVE IT AS 11 MILLION PER YEAR OVER THE LIFE. 20 21 22 DAVID CANEPA: AND HOW ABOUT THE COUNTIES OUTSIDE OF THE FOUR 23 COUNTIES? 24

OCTOBER 21, 2024

STUART COHEN: WELL IT BECOMES TEN -- IT WOULD BE 10% OF THEIR 1 SALES TAX GENERATION. IT VARIES GREATLY, FROM AS LOW AS 2 2 3 MILLION A YEAR IN NAPA TO 29 MILLION A YEAR IN NAPA. 4 5 DAVID CANEPA: I APPRECIATE THAT. THANK YOU FOR HAVING THOSE QUESTIONS. OKAY. I APPRECIATE IT. 6 7 8 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: DAVID, I WANT TO ADD, YOU KNOW, MY MEETING CAN FOUR NORTH BAY COUNTIES, THEY'RE ALL GOING TO GO THROUGH 9 AN ANALYSIS AND REALLY LOOK AT, YOU KNOW, IS THERE AN 10 ADVANTAGE. SOME OF THEM HAVE MEASURES COMING UP. I KNOW SONOMA 11 AND DAVID RABBITT CAN TALK ABOUT IT, THEY'RE ALL KIND OF 12 ANALYZING WHAT WOULD BE THE BENEFITS OF THOSE COUNTIES THAT 13 ARE OUT. SO THEY'RE ALL KIND OF GOING THROUGH THAT PROCESS. 14 AND WHATEVER THE COMMISSION ENDS UP ON IT'S GOING TO 15 16 ACCELERATE THAT DISCUSSION. DAVID? 17 DAVID RABBITT: I THOUGHT I HEARD SOMEONE SAY IT WOULD BE UP TO 18

19 THE TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITIES OF EACH COUNTY TO PLACE
20 SOMETHING ON THE BALLOT. THAT'S NOT TRUE. RIGHT? IT WILL COME
21 BACK TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

22

23 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

## OCTOBER 21, 2024

DAVID RABBITT: -- THE ULTIMATE AUTHORITY. WANT TO MAKE SURE 1 THAT WAS CLEAR AND THE MASCOTS HAVE BEEN MEETING AND GOING 2 3 OVER THE COORDINATION. I BELIEVE THE 50 STEP OF THE TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION ALONG THE 101 CORRIDOR THAT'S BEEN GOING GREAT 4 5 WE'RE NOT OUITE THERE YET. I BELIEVE THE NORTH BAY COUNTIES AS YOU MENTIONED EARLIER, ARE CONCERNED, WHAT I HEAR, RETURN TO 6 SOURCE. AND JUST WANTING TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE IS THE MOST 7 8 FLEXIBILITY AND OF COURSE WE HAVE THE SMART CONUNDRUM WHICH WE'LL HAVE NO FUNDING WHATSOEVER WHEN THE TAX EXPIRES. 9 10

11 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. AL ELLEN, THEN JIM WUNDERMAN.

12

13 ELLEN WU: I'M WONDERING IF THERE IS ANY SCENARIO THAT USES THE 14 ASSUMPTION OF FAREBOX DECREASE VERSUS OPERATOR SHORTFALL WILL 15 BE EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTED BECAUSE IT WILL BENEFIT RAIL MORE 16 THAN LOCAL BUS WHICH IS WE KNOW IS RELIED UPON BY LOCATION 17 PEOPLE OF COLOR AND TRANSIT DEPENDENT. I THINK THAT THEME 18 RUNNING ACROSS ANY SCENARIO NEEDS TO BE TAKEN INTO 19 CONSIDERATION.

20

21 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: JIM WUNDERMAN?

22

JIM WUNDERMAN: THANK YOU. QUICK QUESTION. IN ADDITION TO
WEARING THE HAT REPRESENTING THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY, I AM THE
CHAIRMAN OF THE SF BAY FERRY, WHICH IS THE REGIONAL

OCTOBER 21, 2024

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM THAT'S PROBABLY THE ONLY GROWING 1 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM IN THE REGION. AND IT SERVES THE FOUR 2 3 COUNTIES TODAY, WITH, PERHAPS, PLANS TO GO FARTHER. AND I WAS -- I DIDN'T SEE FERRIES MENTIONED IN ANY SCENARIO. SO, I WAS 4 5 WONDERING WHETHER THERE IS FUNDING AVAILABLE. BY OUR ESTIMATES, WETA HITS A FISCAL CLIFF SOMETIME IN THE EARLY 6 2030S. WE DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHEN, MAYBE 2032, BUT IT'S NOT 7 8 THAT FAR OFF. AND, BUT I DIDN'T SEE ANY MENTION OF THAT. 9 10 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: DOES STAFF WANT TO COMMENT ON THAT? 11 ALIX BOCKELMAN: YEAH. IN MOST OF THE -- YOU KNOW, MOST OF THE 12 NUMBERS THAT WERE FOCUSED ON THOSE THAT HAVE THE LARGE FISCAL 13 CLIFFS IMMEDIATELY. I THINK IN THE 30 YEARS COUNTY FLEX LATER 14 15 THERE CAN BE INVESTED IN FERRIES HYBRID SCENARIO THERE IS MORE 16 MONEY FOR OTHER TRANSIT TO INVEST IN TRANSIT. REGIONAL MEASURE 17 THREE THANKFULLY HAS QUITE A BIT OF SUPPORT FOR FERRY. SO THEY'RE NOT FACING THE FISCAL CLIFF GIVEN THE STABLE OPERATING 18 FUNDING. 19 20 JIM WUNDERMAN: WELL IT COMES SOONER THAN YOU THINK AND IT'S 21

JIM WUNDERMAN: WELL IT COMES SOONER THAN YOU THINK AND IT'S
NOT THAT MANY YEARS. WE'RE LOOKING AT CHALLENGES IN THE
FUTURE, IF WE WERE TO MEET THE BUSINESS PLAN THAT WE HAVE, WE
HAVE PLAN OF EXPANSIONS TO REDWOOD CITY, TO BERKELEY. SO, TO
OTHER AREAS, PERHAPS CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AND CARQUINEZ. SO,

OCTOBER 21, 2024

YOU KNOW, ARE, GIVEN THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT FUNDING THE
 REGIONAL SYSTEMS. I'M NOT TRYING TO ARGUE FOR ANYTHING HERE
 PARTICULARLY I'M JUST QUESTIONING THE CIRCUMSTANCE. I THINK
 YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

5

6 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY, STUART, HOW DO YOU WANT TO PROCEED?
7 OH NO. I'M SORRY. NICK? I DIDN'T SEE YOU.

8

NICK JOSEFOWITZ: NO. I DON'T REALLY UNDERSTAND HOW THIS OPT IN 9 PROCESS WORKS. IF WE'RE GOING TO ALSO BE PROVIDING THE OPTION 10 FOR A CITIZEN'S INITIATIVE. TO HAPPEN. CAN -- LIKE, THE 11 CITIZEN'S INITIATIVE NEEDS A DEFINED DISTRICT IS MINDING TO GO 12 COLLECT -- YOU KNOW, FOR THE CITIZENS -- THE OPTION TO COLLECT 13 SIGNATURES. SO IS IT THE COUNTIES OPT IN AND THEN THE DISTRICT 14 SHIFTS. YOU CAN CLARIFY THAT? IT FEELS IF WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 15 16 BIG LEGAL RISKS THIS STRIKES ME AS A, YOU KNOW, POTENTIALLY EVEN GREATER LEGAL RISK THAN THE MULTI-RATE RISK, WHICH HAS 17 BEEN DONE IN MANY OTHER INSTANCES. I CAN'T IMAGINE THIS THIS 18 PARTICULAR THING HASN'T BEEN DONE IN OTHER INSTANCE THERE IS 19 PLENTY OF MULTI-RATE PARCEL TAXES AND THINGS LIKE THAT. 20

21

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: MY UNDERSTANDING AND STAFF CAN RESPOND IS IF THERE IS A CITIZEN'S INITIATIVE YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DO AN EVALUATION OF EACH ONE OF THE COUNTIES. BECAUSE SOME OF THEM COULD DEFINITELY DRAWDOWN THE MEASURE SO YOU WOULDN'T



INCLUDE IT. SO, IT'S JUST GOING TO HAVE TO BE SOME TRUTHING 1 PROCESS BEFORE YOU START COLLECTING THOSE SIGNATURES. GO AHEAD 2 3 STAFF IF YOU WANT TO ADD TO THAT? 4 5 STUART COHEN: YEAH THAT WHY WE HAVE THE TIMELINE FOR THE OPT IN COUNTIES TO TELL US EARLY. IT WOULD BASICALLY HAVE TO 6 7 SPECIFY WHATEVER CONGLOMERATION OF COUNTIES IT IS AS A 8 DISTRICT IN THE LEGISLATION. 9 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: BEFORE LEGISLATION PASSES. 10 11 STUART COHEN: BEFORE LEGISLATION PASSES IT WOULD HAVE TO BE 12 SPECIFIED IN THE LEGISLATION. 13 14 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY, STUART. LET'S --15 16 17 18 >>SPEAKER: STUART COHEN: SO, WE WOULD LIKE TO TAKE PUBLIC 19 COMMENT. 20 21 22 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YOU KNOW, I WOULD RATHER WE GO INTO THE 23 GRADIENTS THEN TAKE PUBLIC COMMENTS SO WE HAVE AN IDEA WHERE WE ARE THAT WOULD SPEED UP THINGS. 24 25



ADINA LEVIN: I WOULD LOVE TO HEAR FROM THE PUBLIC BEFORE WE 1 2 EXPRESS OUR VOTES. 3 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THAT'S FINE. I'M ALREADY WITH THAT. HOW 4 5 MANY SPEAKERS DO YOU HAVE? 6 7 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: WE HAVE A NUMBER IN THE BOARDROOM, 8 THREE ONLINE AND WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE IF I MAY. PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED AS OF THE 5:00 P.M. DEADLINE YESTERDAY 9 EVENING AND ATTACHED TO THE ONLINE AGENDA PACKET AS WELL AS 10 PROVIDED IN HANDOUTS IN TODAY'S MEETING. WRITTEN 11 CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FROM SOPHIA DEWITT WITH THE EAST BAY 12 HOUSING COALITION, MICHAEL HURSH AC TRANSIT, MARY SHENG THAO 13 CITY OF OAK, MICHAEL QUIGLEY WITH CALIFORNIA ALLIANCE FOR 14 15 JOBS, CAROL TAYLOR, KENNY BAUERS COLLIN WITH DISABILITY 16 SERVICES LEGAL CENTER JOINT LETTER FROM NORTH BAY COALITION, INCLUDES FRIENDS OF SMART SUSTAINABLE MARIN, SAFE STREETS 17 PETALUMA CANAL ALLIANCE GENESIS DISABILITY SERVICES LEGAL 18 19 CENTER FOR COMMUTER TRANSPORTATION NORTHERN CAL --[INDISCERNIBLE] LAND COALITION. 20 21 22 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ANYBODY ONLINE PLEASE IDENTIFY IF YOU WANT 23 TO SPEAK WITHIN THE NEXT MINUTE SO WE HAVE AN IDEA OF HOW MANY WE HAVE, I'LL GIVE A MINUTE AND A HALF. 24

25



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MINUTE AND A HALF. OKAY. OUR FIRST
 SPEAKER IN THE BOARDROOM WILL BE TIM, FOLLOWED BY DIEGO
 HERNANDEZ AND PLEASE FORGIVE ME IF I MISPRONOUNCE ANYONE'S
 NAME. YOU CAN START LINING UP HERE AT THE PODIUM.

5

SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS. MY NAME IS TIM, I'M A 6 FIELD REPRESENTATIVE WITH CARPENTERS LEVEL 22. IT HAS ALWAYS 7 8 BEEN A CHALLENGE TO SECURE FUNDING FOR PUBLIC TRANSIT ESPECIALLY AS RIDERSHIP HAS DROPPED DURING AND AFTER THE 9 PANDEMIC BUT NONE OF THESE PROPOSALS APPEAR TO HAVE ANY CHANCE 10 OF WINNING APPROVAL BECAUSE THEY AREN'T BALANCED BETWEEN WHAT 11 TRANSIT AGENCIES WANT AND WHAT THE VOTERS WILL PAY FOR. WE 12 MISSED A HUGE OPPORTUNITY TO WIN VOTER APPROVED BY INVESTING 13 IN VITAL JOB GENERATING TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE WHICH WE 14 15 ALL KNOW IS THE ONLINE WAY TO PASS BALLOT MEASURES LIKE THIS. 16 AS IT STANDS I CAN'T SUPPORT ANY OF THESE SCENARIOS. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. 17

18

19 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT WE'LL HAVE DIEGO
20 HERNANDEZ FOLLOWED BY JESUS MENDOZA.

21

22 SPEAKER: DIEGO HERNANDEZ MEMBER OF LABOR LOCAL 261 HERE IN SAN
23 FRANCISCO CONSTRUCTIONERS ARE AMONG THE 96% OF BAY AREA
24 COMMUTERS WHO DO NOT RELY ON PUBLIC TRANSIT TO GET TO WORK YET
25 YOU'RE ASKING FOR PEOPLE LIKE ME AND MY FELLOW WORKERS BACK

OCTOBER 21, 2024

1 THERE AND OUR FAMILIES TO TAX OURSELVES PAY FOR SERVICES THAT 2 WE DON'T USE. WE'RE NOT OPPOSED TO IT IF IT'S REASONABLE AND 3 MAKES SENSE BUT YOU NEED TO DO BETTER TO MAKE THE CASE TO US 4 FOR ME AND MY FELLOW MEMBERS FOR ALL THE BAY AREA WORKER WHO 5 IS ARE DRIVING TO WORK AS TO HOW THIS BENEFITS US. THANK YOU. 6

7 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. JOSE MENDOZA FOLLOWED BY
8 CHARLIE LAVERY.

9

SPEAKER: HELLO GOOD MORNING MY NAME IS JESUS MENDOZA NORCAL 10 CARP TERSE UNION SAN FRANCISCO. FIRST THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME 11 AND OPPORTUNITY TO ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK. WE ALL BELIEVE THAT 12 TRANSIT FUNDING IS CRITICAL BUT NONE OF THESE PROPOSALS HAVE A 13 CHANCE AT THE BALLOT UNLESS THEY BALANCE NEEDS WITH TRANSIT 14 AGENCIES AND REALITY WHAT IS VOTERS WILL APPROVE. NONE HAVE 15 16 THE CONSENSUS NECESSARY AMONG EAST BAY STAKEHOLDERS TO MAKE IT OUT OF LEGISLATION MUCH LESS PASS THE BALLOT. 17

18

19 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT IS CHARLIE LAVERY.
20

21 SPEAKER: CHARLIE LAVERY POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL ALSO A
22 PACIFICA RESIDENT. I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR EFFORTS TO
23 ADDRESS THE COMPLEX AND CHANGING TRANSPORTATION NEEDS OF THE
24 BAY AREA. TRANSPORTATION BUILDS EQUITY AND IT'S IMPERATIVE
25 THAT WE FIND A SOLUTION. REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION MEASURE MUST

OCTOBER 21, 2024

BE ATTRACTIVE ENOUGH TO VOTERS TO MAKE THEM WILLING TO FURTHER 1 TAX THEMSELVES. WE DO NOT SEE A SCENARIO IN THE CURRENT 2 3 PRESENTATION THAT ADEQUATELY CONSIDERS THAT OVER 90% OF BAY AREA RESIDENTS ARE MOTORISTS AND 40% OF COMMUTERS RELY ON 4 5 TRANSIT. SUCCESSFUL MEASURE MUST HAVE A MULTI-MODAL EXPENDITURE PLAN TO WIN VOTER SUPPORT. THE OVERWHELMING 6 MAJORITY OF BAY AREA RESIDENTS RELY ON THEIR CARS FOR 7 8 TRANSPORTATION. A SUCCESSFUL REVENUE MEASURE WILL NEED TO BALANCE ANY BUDGET ASSISTANCE FOR TRANSIT AGENCIES WITH 9 FUNDING FOR NECESSARY IMPROVEMENTS AND TRANSFORMATIVE 10 11 INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WILL WIN OVER VOTERS. BEST CHANCE FOR SUCCESS THE MEASURE SHOULD USE TRIED AND TRUSTED MEASURE FOR 12 REVENUE WHICH IS SALES TAX. I URGE THE COMMITTEE AND 13 14 COMMISSION TO CONTINUE DELIBERATIONS GIVING PROPORTIONAL 15 CONSIDERATION TO THE CURRENT TRANSPORTATION NEEDS OF BAY AREA 16 RESIDENTS AND TO ENVISION THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM OF THE FUTURE. THANK YOU. 17

18

19 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT WE'LL HAVE MEGAN
20 PRIOR FOLLOWED BY BRAD PERRY.

21

22 SPEAKER: I'M BRAD PERRIS WITH OPERATING ENGINEERS SAN MATEO
23 RESIDENT. I THANK YOU FOR YOUR EFFORTS TO ADDRESS THE
24 TRANSPORTATION NEEDS OF THE BAY AREA AND THROUGH THE REGIONAL
25 TRANSPORTATION REVENUE MEASURE. A SUCCESSFUL MEASURE MUST HAVE

METROPOLITAN
 TRANSPORTATION
 COMMISSION
 Meeting Transcript

OCTOBER 21, 2024

MULTI-MODAL EXPENDITURE MAN TO WIN SUPPORT THAT BENEFIT 1 OVERWHELMING MAJORITY OF BAY AREA RESIDENTS WHO RELY ON CARS 2 3 FOR TRANSPORTATION. SUCCESSFUL REVENUE MEASURE WILL NEED TO BALANCE ANY BUDGET ASSISTANCE FOR TRANSPORTATION AGENCIES WITH 4 5 FUNDING FOR NECESSARY IMPROVEMENTS AND TRANSFORMATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WILL WIN OVER VOTERS. NONE OF THESE 6 SCENARIOS ARE CURRENTLY BE CONSIDERED TO MEET THESE CRITERIA 7 8 AND I URGE COMMITTEE AND COMMISSION NOT TO RUSH BUT TO CONTINUE DELIBERATION AND TO INCLUDE NEW PERSPECTIVES TO GET 9 PROPORTIONAL CONSIDERATION TO CURRENT TRANSPORTATION NEEDS FOR 10 THE BAY AREA RESIDENTS AND TO START TO BUILD A BAY AREA 11 TRANSIT SYSTEM FOR THE FUTURE. THANK YOU. 12

13

14 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS
15 CAMERON ROBINS FOLLOWED BY ARMY MORGAN.

16

SPEAKER: GOOD AFTERNOON MY NAME IS CAMDEN ROBINS OPERATOR 17 18 ENGINEERS SONOMA COUNTY ROHNERT PARK I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMPLEX CHANGING TRANSPORTATION NEEDS OF THE BAY AREA 19 THROUGH THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION MEASURE TRANSPORTATION 20 BUILDS EQUITY AND IT'S IMPERATIVE THAT WE FIND A SOLUTION. A 21 22 SUCCESSFUL MEASURE MUST HAVE A MULTI-MODAL EXPENDITURE PLAN TO 23 WIN VOTER SUPPORT PROVIDES BENEFIT TO THE OVERWHELMING MAJORITY OF THE BAY AREA RESIDENT WHO IS RELY ON THEIR CARS 24 FOR TRANSPORTATION. SUCCESSFUL REVENUE MEASURE WILL NEED TO 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

BALANCE ANY BUDGET ASSISTANCE FOR TRANSIT AGENCIES WITH 1 FUNDING FOR NECESSARY IMPROVEMENTS AND TRANSFORMATIVE 2 INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WILL WIN OVER VOTERS. NONE OF THESE 3 SCENARIOS CURRENTLY BEING CONSIDERED MEET THESE CRITERIA AND I 4 5 URGE THE COMMITTEE AND THE COMMISSION NOT TO RUSH BUT TO CONTINUE DELIBERATIONS AND INCLUDE THEIR PERSPECTIVES TO GIVE 6 MORE PROPORTIONAL CONSIDERATION TO THE TRANSPORTATION NEEDS OF 7 8 THE BAY AREA RESIDENTS AND TO START TO BUILD THE BAY AREA 9 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM OF THE FUTURE. THANK YOU.

10

11 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT WE'LL HAVE ARMY 12 MORGAN FOLLOWED BY ETHAN LESSLER.

13

SPEAKER: ARMY MORGAN WITH OPERATING ENGINEERS. I'M SAN 14 15 FRANCISCO RESIDENT. WE DON'T HAVE A CURRENT SCENARIO IN THE 16 PRESENTATION THAT SUFFICIENTLY ADDRESSES THE PRIORITIES WE HAVE REPEATEDLY CONVEYED IN PREVIOUS HEARINGS NONE OF THEM 17 ADEOUATELY CONSIDER THAT OVER 80% OF THE BAY AREA RESIDENTS 18 ARE MOTORISTS AND 4% OF COMMUTERS RELY ON TRANSIT RIGHT NOW. 19 SO, YOU KNOW, AND I THINK THEY'RE BUILDING THE ROAD 20 IMPROVEMENTS AND INFRASTRUCTURE AND PUTTING A LOT MORE PEOPLE 21 22 TO WORK AS WELL. JUST MY OPINION. AND A SUCCESSFUL REVENUE 23 MEASURE WILL NEED TO BALANCE ANY BUDGET ASSISTANCE FOR TRANSIT AGENCIES WITH FUNDING FOR NECESSARY IMPROVEMENTS AND 24 TRANSFORMATIVE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT IT WILL WITHIN OVER VOTERS. 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

NONE OF THE SCENARIOS CURRENTLY BEING CONSIDERED MEET THESE
 CRITERIA. AND I URGE THE COMMITTEE AND THE COMMISSION NOT TO
 RUSH, BUT TO CONTINUE DELIBERATIONS AND INCLUDE NEW
 PERSPECTIVES TO GIVE MORE PROPORTIONAL AND CONSIDERATION TO
 THE CURRENT TRANSPORTATION NEEDS OF THE BAY AREA. I KNOW I'M
 TIRED OF RUNNING OVER POTHOLES AND TO START TO BUILD IN THE
 BAY AREA, THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM OF THE FUTURE. THANK YOU.

9 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT WE'LL HAVE LESSLER
10 FOLLOWED BY JONATHON COAL.

11

SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING MY NAME IS ETHAN LESSLER, OPERATING 12 ENGINEERS, SAN MATEO RESIDENT. ALL THESE SCENARIOS FEEL LIKE 13 THEY HAVE BEEN PIECED TOGETHER SO THAT SOMETHING CAN BE PASSED 14 15 TODAY. EVEN IF IT HAS NO CHANCE OF WINNING VOTER APPROVAL. 16 THERE IS TALK OF A PARCEL TAX WHICH WILL COMPETE WITH OTHER EFFORTS TO PASS A REGIONAL HOUSING BOND. THERE IS NO MONEY FOR 17 JOB GENERATING TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE. THAT'S THE BEST 18 WAY TO WIN OVER VOTERS IN THE AREA APPROVAL FOR BALLOT 19 MEASURES LIKE THIS. AND THERE IS WIDESPREAD OPPOSITION TO ALL 20 21 THESE SCENARIOS. IT FEELS LIKE YOU HAVE A LOT MORE WORK TO DO 22 BEFORE YOU CAN PASS ANYTHING LIKE THIS. THANK YOU.

23

24 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT JONATHON COLE
25 FOLLOWED BY LAUREL PAGEANT.

This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

2 SPEAKER: JONATHON COLE WITH CLIMATE ACTION CALIFORNIA. AND I 3 WANT TO THANK CHAIR SPERING, THE COMMITTEE, AND THE COMMISSION FOR TAKING ON THIS HERCULEAN TASK. THERE IS OBVIOUSLY A LOT OF 4 5 DIFFERENT INTEREST THAT YOU NEED TO BALANCE. BUT ONE OF THOSE IS THAT WE'RE FACING CLIMATE CHANGE, AS WAS POINTED OUT BY AN 6 EARLIER SPEAKER, AT BOTH SCALE AND PACE THAT'S UNPRECEDENTED 7 8 IN HUMAN HISTORY AND WE NEED TO REDUCE OUR EMISSIONS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. AND LOCAL AND REGIONAL TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION IS 9 10 VITAL TO EQUITABLY INCREASING MOBILITY IN THE BAY AREA WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY REDUCING VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED AND EMISSIONS. 11 WE CAN'T MEET OUR CLIMATE GOALS WITHOUT THESE MEASURES. AND, 12 SO, I WOULD URGE YOU TO KEEP THAT IN MIND, NOT JUST FOR THE 13 BAY AREA, BUT AS A MODEL FOR THE STATE AND THE NATION AS YOU 14 CONTINUE DELIBERATIONS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 15

16

1

17 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT WE'LL HAVE LAUREL
18 PAGEANT, FOLLOWED BY LAST SPEAKER.

19

20 SPEAKER: HELLO LAUREN, PUBLIC ADVOCATES AND VOICES FOR PUBLIC
21 TRANSPORTATION. TWO MAIN POINTS. I THINK YOU ALL KNOW OUR
22 POSITION, BUT JUST ABOUT THE SCENARIOS. 1 AND 1A THINKING
23 ABOUT WHAT WILL PASS, THIS MEASURE NEEDS TO GET A SIGNIFICANT
24 NUMBER OF VOTES IN ALAMEDA COUNTY TO PASS. I THINK WE CAN ALL
25 AGREE ON THAT. A MEASURE THIS'S GOING TO REQUIRE MAJOR SERVICE

OCTOBER 21, 2024

CUTS AT AC TRANSIT CAN'T PASS ALAMEDA COUNTY ESPECIALLY GIVEN 1 SALES TAX ALAMEDA COUNTY HAS THE HIGHEST SALES TAX IN THE 2 3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA. IT'S EXTREMELY INEQUITABLE TABLE TO THIS FUNDING MECHANISM BUT ALSO PRIZING THIS FARE REVENUE LOSS 4 5 INSTEAD OF OPERATOR NEED BUT IT'S EXTREMELY HARD TO IMAGINE THIS MEASURE CAN PASS. THE OTHER, I'M THINKING ABOUT WHO 6 RELIES ON PUBLIC TRANSIT IT I RELY ON PUBLIC TRANSIT. BUT I 7 8 ALSO WANT EVERYONE WHO DRIVES TO IMAGINE WHAT HAPPENS WITH EVERYONE WHO TAKES A BUS OR TAKES A TRAIN ACROSS THE BRIDGE, 9 WAS DRIVING. EVERYONE RELIES ON PUBLIC TRANSIT, CLIMATE 10 EMISSIONS CLIMATE GOALS RELY ON PUBLIC TRANSIT, ALL RELY AS 11 WELL AS THOSE OF US WHO TAKE TRANSIT EVERY DAY TO DO 12 EVERYTHING WE NEED TO DO. THANK YOU. 13

14

15 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER WILL BE
16 DILLON, FOLLOWED BY WARREN CUSHMAN.

17

SPEAKER: I'M DYLAN, I AT THE NON-PROFIT SAN FRANCISCO TRANSIT 18 19 RIDERS AND A MEMBER OF VOICES FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION COALITION. SCENARIO 1A GENERATES ENOUGH FUNDING TO ADDRESS 20 NEEDS OF HOLE AGENCIES LIKE AC TRANSIT AND MUNI WHICH WILL 21 LEAD TO SERIOUS SERVICE CUTS. MUNI SERVES TENS OF THOUSANDS OF 22 RIDERS FROM OUTSIDE SAN FRANCISCO EVERY DAY SO WE NEED TO BE 23 WORKING TOGETHER REGIONALLY AS WE ALL HAVE TO ENSURE ALL PARTS 24 OF OUR REGIONAL SYSTEM CAN WORK TOGETHER. BECAUSE IT ONE LINK 25

1

OCTOBER 21, 2024

BREAKS, THE WHOLE CHAIN CAN FALL APART. WE ALSO NEED TO OFFER

VOTERS SOMETHING THEY ARE WILLING TO GET BEHIND BART'S RECENT 2 3 POLLING SHOWED VOTER TRANSFORMATION NINE COUNTY MEASURE PROVIDE REVENUE WE NEED TO ADDRESS AGENCY SHORTFALLS, WITHOUT 4 5 INTRODUCING MULTIPLE COMPETING MEASURES ON THE SAME BALLOT PLEASE SUPPORT COMPONENTS THAT WILL FULLY FUND TRANSIT 6 7 OPERATIONS AND TRANSFORMATION OUR REGION NEEDS. THANK YOU. 8 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. OUR LAST BOARDROOM 9 SPEAKER WILL BE WARREN CUSHMAN. 10 11 SPEAKER: IS THE MIC ON? HELLO. OKAY. HI. WARREN CUSHMAN 12 COMMUNITY RESOURCES FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING. FIRST OF ALL, 13 PLEASE TAKE A STRONG LOOK AT TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION ACTION 14 15 PLAN, ESPECIALLY THE WAYFINDING AND PARATRANSIT PIECES. PEOPLE 16 WITH DISABILITIES NEED BOTH OF THOSE. SO, AS YOU DELIBERATE, CONSIDER THOSE. AND TRY AND SUPPORT AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE. IN 17 TERMS OF OUR SPEAKERS THAT HAD TO DO WITH DRIVING, I CAN 18 APPRECIATE THOSE NEEDS. WE ALSO NEED TO CONSIDER THAT NOBODY 19 WANTS TO BE ON THE ROAD. [LAUGHTER] SO, WE DEFINITELY NEED TO 20 MAKE SURE WE DO BOTH. WE WANT TO SUPPORT FOLKS WHO DRIVE. WE 21 22 ALSO NEED TO SUPPORT FOLKS WHO HAVE ZERO OTHER CHOICES BUT TO 23 USE TRANSIT. THANK YOU.

24

OCTOBER 21, 2024

CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. AND MOVING ON TO OUR
 ONLINE RAISED HANDS. ANTHONY CAMPAGNA, YOU HAVE A MINUTE AND A
 HALF FOLLOWED BY ALETA DUPREE.

4

5 SPEAKER: THANK YOU. MY NAME IS ANTHONY, I AM A BERKELEY TRANSIT DEPENDENT RIDER WHO HAS BEEN FOLLOWING THIS PROCESS 6 FROM THE BEGINNING, SINCE IT WAS AT THE STATE SENATE AND 7 8 THROUGHOUT THE COMMITTEE MEETINGS IT LOOKS TO ME IN THE AGENDA 9 THAT THE COMMITTEE IS ABOUT TO RESOLVE BY SENDING A SET OF GRADIENTS OF AGREEMENT ON TO MTC WITHOUT A FINAL PLAN 10 RECOMMENDATION. IT'S CONCERNING THAT WE'RE AT THIS PLACE OF NO 11 CONSENSUS I HOPE THE COMMITTEE WILL SUPPORT SCENARIOS THAT 12 FULLY FUND TRANSIT AND THAT DON'T EVOLVE INTO A COUNTY FLEX 13 SLUSH FUND WITHIN EIGHT YEARS. I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT 14 THAT THE GRADIENTS AGREEMENT INCLUDE OPERATOR COORDINATED 15 16 SCENARIO AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT RANKED FIRST. I THINK SCENARIO 1A COMES CLOSE BUT IT'S IN THE LARGE ENOUGH TO AVOID 17 MAJOR SHORTFALLS. THE BEST PART WE HAVE THERE AT LEAST IS TEN 18 YEARS OF TAX ARE DEDICATED TO TRANSIT. HYBRID SCENARIO TWO IS 19 THIRD BEST AND SCENARIO 1, 30 YEARS, WITH HARDLY ANY TRANSIT 20 FUNDING IS JUST NOT IT. PLEASE CONSIDER OPERATOR SCENARIO IN 21 22 YOUR GRADIENTS OF AGREEMENT AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THOSE WHO 23 SUPPORT TRANSIT RANK THAT FIRST.

24



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT WE'LL HAVE ALETA
 DUPREE FOLLOWED BY WENDI KALLINS.

3

SPEAKER: THANKS AGAIN CHAIR JIM SPERING AND MEMBERS. ALETA 4 5 DUPREE FOR THE RECORD, SHE AND HER CAN TEAM FOLDS. I WANT TO SEE CONSENSUS, AND I THINK I SUPPORT THE STRAIGHT SALES TAX 6 MEASURE FIRST AND FOREMOST. IT'S SIMPLE. HYBRID POSSIBILITIES 7 8 ARE MORE COMPLICATED. I DO LIKE THE TRANSFORMATIONAL WORK THAT WE'RE GOING TO DO WITH THE WAYFINDING AND CLIPPER START. AND I 9 PROBABLY SPEAK MORE ON THAT THAN ANYBODY. TRANSIT DOES HELP 10 DRIVERS. I HAVE OWNED CARS FOR THE PAST 25 YEARS AND I STILL 11 DO HAVE A DRIVER'S LICENSE. BUT I DON'T WANT TO BE STUCK IN 12 TRAFFIC IF I DO DECIDE TO RENT-A-CAR, HOPEFULLY AN ELECTRIC 13 CAR. AND IT IS BECOMING MORE EXPENSIVE TO ENSURE PERSONAL 14 VEHICLES IN THIS DAY AND AGE, WHICH I'M NOT HAPPY ABOUT THAT, 15 16 BUT THAT MIGHT BE ANOTHER REASON FOR PEOPLE TO MOVE ON FROM CAR OWNERSHIP AND TO TAKE TRANSIT. SO, WE NEED TO HAVE A 17 TRANSIT SYSTEM THAT IS THERE FOR THOSE CHANGES. BECAUSE I HAVE 18 LIVED THAT MYSELF IN MOVING AWAY FROM CAR OWNERSHIP. ALL THIS 19 HAS TO COME TOGETHER. AND I DO USE RIDE SHARES, WHICH USE 20 21 ROADS, TRANSIT WILL HELP RIDE SHARES SO I'M NOT SITTING IN 22 TRAFFIC. I HOPE YOU CAN COME TO CONSENSUS TODAY AND PASS SOMETHING BUT I'M MOST HE IN FAVOR OF CLEAN SALES TAX 23 PROPOSAL. 24



3

OCTOBER 21, 2024

CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER WENDI
 KALLINS FOLLOWED BY HOWARD WONG.

- WENDI KALLINS: THANK YOU. WENDI KALLINS, SUSTAINABLE MARIN AND 4 5 POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL. THANK YOU FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK ON THIS MEASURE. I WILL REITERATE AGAIN THE NEED FOR THE 6 NORTH BAY TO BE INCLUDED. WE HAVE MANY DIFFERENCE REGIONAL 7 8 NEEDS IN THE NORTH BAY AND I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE INCLUDE US IN THE OVERALL PICTURE. I NOTICED IN PRESENTING THE 9 VARIOUS PROGRAMS THAT MTC WAS SHOWING YOU, ONE OF THE THINGS 10 THAT WAS MISSING IS THE TRANSIT 2050 CONNECTED NETWORK PLAN. 11 NOW, THAT'S NOT A PILOT PROGRAM. THAT'S PROBABLY WHY IT WASN'T 12 PRESENTED. BUT TO ME, THE CONNECTED NETWORK IS PROBABLY THE 13 MOST IMPORTANT PIECE OF CREATING A REALLY SEAMLESS BAY AREA 14 15 TRANSIT SYSTEM. WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO ARRIVE AT A STATION AND 16 BE ABLE TO NOT HAVE TO WAIT A HALF HOUR FOR THE TRAIN OR THE BUS THAT WE NEED TO CONTINUE ON IN OUR -- IN OUR TRAVELS. SO, 17 THAT IS PROBABLY THE MOST COMPLICATED. AND POTENTIAL AN 18 EXPENSIVE THING TO ADD INTO THE MIX. BUT I WOULD REALLY HIKE 19 TO HEAR HOW THE COMMISSIONERS FEEL ABOUT THAT PARTICULAR 20 21 ISSUE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
  - 22

23 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT WE'LL HAVE HOWARD
24 WONG FOLLOWED BY VINAY PIMPLE.

HOWARD WONG: HOWARD WONG, MEMBER OF THE POLICY ADVISORY 1 COUNCIL SPEAKING AS AN INDIVIDUAL. THINKING A LITTLE BIT OUT-2 3 OF-THE-BOX, I'M WONDERING IF FUNDING SOURCES COULD BE THOUGHT OF AS A PACKAGE RATHER THAN A ONE OR TWO TYPES OF TAXES OR 4 5 FEES. EACH POSSIBLE FUNDING SOURCE HAS DETRACTORS THAT ARE PERCEIVED AS HARMFUL BY SPECIFIC GROUPS. SO WHAT IF WE WERE TO 6 LOOK AT A WAY OF SPREADING THE IMPACTS BY A WIDER RANGE OF 7 8 FUNDING SOURCES AND THUS GAIN WIDER SUPPORT. FOR EXAMPLE, WHAT IF WE HAVE A COMBINATION OF MANY TAXES AND FEES, A SMALL SALES 9 10 TAX, A SMALL PARCEL TAX, A SMALL PAYROLL TAX, A SMALL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED TAX? A SMALL BRIDGE AND ROAD TOLLS, SMALL 11 PARKING FEES, SHIFTING SOME CAPITAL FUND THROUGH OPERATING 12 BUDGETS, SOME SMALL STATE AND FEDERAL SUBSIDY SO THE END 13 RESULT WOULD BE THE COMP INVASION A PACKAGE OF MANY FEES, AND 14 15 TAXES AND NOT OVERALL BURDENED THAT MUST BE MORE SUPPORTIVE. 16 THANK YOU.

17

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

18 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER IS VINAY19 PIMPLE FOLLOWED BY VALERIE LANCY.

20

VINAY PIMPLE: HI. I'M VINAY PIMPLE, POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL.
FIRST OF ALL, I'M GLAD TO SEE THE TAX -- BECAUSE IT -PARTICULARLY HARD. I'M ALSO HAPPY TO SEE THAT YOU'RE MOVING
TOWARDS A SHORT-TERM MEASURE. BECAUSE YOU KNOW, DISCUSSIONS
FOCUSED ON TECHNOLOGY, TRYING TO FIX CURRENT PROGRAMS RIGHT UP

OCTOBER 21, 2024

UNTIL 2056 THINK ABOUT WHAT THE TECHNOLOGY WAS IN 1992. THE 1 OTHER THING PARTICULARLY ON COST OF TECHNOLOGY LIKE AUTONOMOUS 2 3 VEHICLES ALSO WHAT'S HAM WITH SAN FRANCISCO CENTER OF JOBS WE DON'T KNOW WHAT BART IS GOING TO BE 15 YEARS FROM NOW SO TEN 4 5 YEAR MEASURES, THE OTHER THING I WANT TO SAY FOLKS CAN, YOU FOLKS ON MTC, IS MAKE THE BUSES RUN ON TIME. PROBABLY WHY 6 PEOPLE DON'T USE TRANSIT TO GO TO WORK. BECAUSE THEY CAN'T 7 8 SHOW UP ON TIME. I HAVE NEVER SEEN AN ITEM ON HERE. SO, TAKE 9 CARE OF THAT. THANK YOU.

10

11 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS
12 VALERIE LANCY FOLLOWED BY HARE NEIL.

13

SPEAKER: HELLO BOARD. FIRST OFF I THINK IT'S WILD THE 14 COMMITTEE DISAPPOINT HAVE SOME CONSENSUS HOW TO APPROACH THIS. 15 16 IT FEELS LIKE THIS IS A CATASTROPHIC ISSUE FOR THE BAY AREA THAT KEEPS GETTING KICKED FURTHER AND FURTHER DOWN THE ROAD IN 17 THE EVENTUALLY IT LANDS ON SOMEONE ELSE'S LAP AND BECOMES 18 19 SOMEONE ELSE'S PROBLEM. AS FAR AS SPECIFIC OPINIONS AND PROPOSALS GO I'M NOT A POLICY EXPERT BUT OPERATOR CENTRIC 20 21 PROPOSAL SEEMS TO BE THE MOST APPEALING FOR KEEPING TRANSIT 22 RUNNING AT A USABLE LEVEL FOLLOWED BY 1A DEFINITELY RANKING 23 SCENARIO ONE THE LAST. I WOULD ENCOURAGE THE COMMITTEE TO CONTROL THE AMOUNT OF HIGHWAY SLUSH FUNDS THAT ARE GOING TO BE 24 POTENTIAL PROPOSED OBVIOUSLY THAT'S SOMETHING THAT CAN ATTRACT 25

METROPOLITAN
 TRANSPORTATION
 COMMISSION
 Meeting Transcript

OCTOBER 21, 2024

A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF VOTES BUT IT'S NOT SUBSTANTIALLY GOING TO 1 2 HELP CONGESTION IN THE REGION AND HELP TRANSIT GET FUNDED. 3 PARTICULARLY, A REMINDER THAT VOTERS NEED A REMINDER ABOUT THE IMPACT OF TRANSIT ON CONGESTION. WE HAVE BART HAVING EXIST 4 5 CAPACITY TO THE BAY BRIDGE LOOKING AT A BAD SCENARIO IF WE'RE PUSHING MORE AND MORE PEOPLE OFF DUE DECREASING SERVICE 6 GETTING MORE PEOPLE ON THE ROADS THAT'S EXPENSE AND I HAVE 7 8 HARD TO SOLVE. ALSO IN THE SCENARIO TEMPORARY BECAUSE DOWNTOWN COMMUTING HAS SHRINK IT WOULD BE PRETTY DIFFICULT TO BET 9 10 AGAINST THAT COMING BACK IN MY OPINION. THANK YOU.

11

12 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: NEXT SPEAKER IS HARRY, FOLLOWED BY13 BRIAN.

14

SPEAKER: HELLO COMMITTEE MY NAME IS HARRY NEIL I'M A SAN JOSE 15 16 TRANSIT ADVOCATE. I'M REALLY CONCERNED OF THE DIRECTION THAT THIS IS CONTINUED TO HEAD IN I BELIEVE THAT THE OPERATOR 17 SCENARIO WITH THE PARCEL TAX OF FIVE COUNTIES I THINK IS BY 18 FAR THE BEST PRESENTED AND CLEAR BEST COMPROMISE THAT WE HAVE. 19 TO THOSE EARLIER WHO MENTIONED THAT VERY FEW PEOPLE IN THE BAY 20 21 AREA TAKE TRANSIT COMPARED TO DRIVING, THAT IS NOT A 22 REFLECTION OF THE INHERENT NEEDS OF PEOPLE. THAT IS A 23 REFLECTION OF THE LACK OF COMPETITIVENESS OF TRANSIT TODAY REFLECTING LACK OF FUNDING. THE -- ONE OF THE ONLY TRANSIT 24 OPERATORS IN REALLY THE ENTIRE STATE THAT HAS ENOUGH FUNDING 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

IS MUNI AND YOU CAN SEE THAT BECAUSE THAT MUNI HAS AN ENORMOUS
 NUMBER OF RIDERS AND A MUCH HIGHER PROPORTION OF TRANSIT
 RIDERS COMPARED TO DRIVERS THAN ANYWHERE ELSE IN THE STATE. IF
 WE'RE ABLE TO BRING EVERYONE ELSE UP TO THE LEVEL AS MUNI.
 MUCH MORE PEOPLE WOULD BE TAKING TRANSIT IT'S NOT A REFLECTION
 THAT PEOPLE DISLIKE TRANSIT. THANK YOU.

8 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: BRIAN CULBERTSON. YOU HAVE A MINUTE9 AND A HALF.

10

SPEAKER: BRIAN CULBERTSON, RIDER IN OAKLAND I WANT TO 11 ENCOURAGE THE COMMITTEE TO COME TO CONSENSUS AROUND A MEASURE 12 THAT SUPPORTS TRANSIT OPERATOR FUNDING AND NOT HIGHWAY FUNDING 13 OR ANY OTHER THINGS WE HAVE A TRANSIT OPERATIONS FUNDING 14 CRISIS THAT'S THE MAIN FOCUS OF THIS MEASURE. THE AREA THAT 15 16 HELPS THAT THE MOST IS THE OPERATOR SCENARIO. I ENCOURAGE MOVING FORWARD WITH THAT OPTION AND FOCUS ON SOLVING HAD TO 17 18 CORE ISSUE OF FINDING SUSTAINABLE PROVIDING SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORTATION. I ENCOURAGE YOU TO DO POLLING ON A SOUARE FOOT 19 PARCEL TAX. BART POLLING WAS ON FLAT PARCEL TAX. I ENCOURAGE 20 SQUARE FOOT, I BELIEVE PAYROLL TAX WOULD BE POPULAR TRANSIT IS 21 22 FUNDED IN CITIES LIKE NEW YORK CITY WHERE TRANSIT IS USED A 23 LOT BY THE POPULATION. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. THAT CONCLUDES PUBLIC
 COMMENT FOR THIS ITEM.

3

4 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY STUART, ARE YOU READY TO GO INTO
5 YOUR.

6

STUART COHEN: THANK YOU FOR THAT PUBLIC COMMENT. THANK YOU 7 8 EVERYBODY. I DID WANT TO POINT OUT IN RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENT, THIS HAS BEEN A BIG FOCUS ON TRANSIT AND THIS FISCAL 9 CLIFF THAT AGENCIES ARE FACING, AS WELL AS HAD IMPROVED 10 TRANSIT, BUT IN THE SCENARIOS WE HAVE A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF 11 FUNDING THAT WOULD BE DEDICATED TOWARDS COUNTY FLEX. WE DIDN'T 12 REALLY EXPLAIN THAT TODAY. BUT THAT'S COUNTIES WOULD -- THE 13 TRANSPORTATION AGENCIES THERE WOULD HAVE THE ABILITY TO SPEND 14 THAT ON MOST ANY EXPENDITURE, AS LONG AS IT'S ALREADY IN THE 15 16 APPROVED REGIONAL PLAN THAT MIGHT INCLUDE LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS AND ANY KIND OF CAPITAL EXPANSION AND THOSE PLANS WOULD 17 PROBABLY START BEING DEVELOPED KIND OF LATE IN 2025 OR EARLY 18 2026 SO THAT WHEN FOLKS WENT TO THE BALLOT, THEY COULD GET TO 19 SEE WHAT WOULD BE IN THE PLAN, YOU KNOW, AND THAT WOULD BE 20 MORE THAN TRANSIT. ONLY ONE THAT'S TRANSIT ONLY WAS THE 1A, 21 THE 10-YEAR VERSION OF OUR PLAN. SO, I DO THANK YOU FOR THE 22 COMMENTS. AND I THINK A LOT OF THEM ARE REALLY RIGHT ON, THAT 23 THE VOTERS WANT TO SEE A REALLY GOOD BALANCED MEASURE THAT HAS 24 VARIOUS MODES IN IT. AND, SO, WITH THAT WE ARE GOING TO GET TO 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

THE GRADIENTS OF AGREEMENT RANKING. NOW, IF YOU CAN PULL UP 1 THE GOALS, THE GRADIENT OF AGREEMENT SLIDE DECK, THAT WOULD 2 BEING GREAT. AND AT OUR LAST MEETING, WE HAD THESE SCENARIOS. 3 WE, THOSE SCENARIOS HAD A WIDE RANGE OF COMPONENTS TO THEM, 4 5 THOUGH, THINGS LIKE THE REVENUE MECHANISM, DURING, INVESTMENT FOCUS. AND SO TODAY'S GOAL IS TO HELP TEASE APART THE LEVEL OF 6 SUPPORT FOR EACH COMPONENT OF IT. SINCE YOU COULDN'T REALLY DO 7 8 THAT WHEN IT'S ALL GROUPED TOGETHER AS A SCENARIO. AND, SO, WE'RE GOING TO RATE SOME OF THOSE COMPONENTS TODAY. ONE IS 9 10 STRONGLY AGREE, FIVE STRONGLY DISAGREE, THE COLUMN SAYS VERBALIZED NUANCE LOOKING FOR VOTES TODAY TO GET A SENSE OF 11 THE GROUP WE'RE GOING TO USE TWO METHODS WE HAVE A LINK IN 12 YOUR E-MAIL THIS IS SELECT COMMITTEE MEMBERS INCLUDING EX-13 OFFICIO MEMBERS THAT WILL ALLOW YOU TO ACCESS THE SURVEY TOOL 14 15 ON YOUR PHONE OR ON YOUR COMPUTER. WE'RE GOING TO GO THROUGH 16 THE FIRST QUESTIONS ALL OF THEM KIND OF QUICKLY SO THAT YOU 17 CAN SEE THE QUESTIONS TOGETHER AND THEN YOU SHOULD FILL OUT THE SURVEY AND IN THE MEANTIME, SECOND THING IS THE PAPER FORM 18 IN FRONT YOU IN CASE YOU WANT TO MARK UP THE PAPER AS WE GO 19 ALONG AND THIS WAY AT THE END WHEN YOU WANT TO FILL OUT THE 20 ELECTRONIC SURVEY, YOU HAVE ALREADY, YOU KNOW, CAN LOOK AT THE 21 PAPER. SO, HOWEVER YOU LIKE TO DO THINGS, THAT WORKS. AND, SO, 22 I'LL GO THROUGH THEM AND AFTER SOME CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, 23 AFTER I TALK THROUGH THE QUESTIONS, THAT'S WHEN WE REALLY WANT 24 YOU TO DO THE ELECTRONIC COMPONENT. AND WE'LL GIVE YOU FIVE 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

MINUTES FOR THAT. SO, THERE WILL BE TIME. ONCE EVERYONE HAS 1 SUBMITTED THEIR RATINGS, WE'LL GET TO SEE THE RESULTS ON THE 2 3 SCREEN WE'LL SEE AGGREGATE THROUGH BAR GRAPHS WHAT THE SENSE OF THE ROOM IS. AND SO THE PUBLIC AND FOR ALL OF YOUR SAKE, 4 5 YOU WILL BE ASKED TO RAISE YOUR HANDS TO BASICALLY SHOW WHAT ANSWER YOU HAD GIVEN FOR THE PARTICULAR QUESTION. SO, THE 6 OTHER REASON YOU MAY WANT TO WRITE DOWN ON PAPER TO BE ABLE TO 7 8 GO BACK AND REMEMBER WHAT YOU WROTE BECAUSE THERE IS A LOT OF OUESTIONS. AFTER WE GET TO SEE THE BAR GRAPHS AND GET TO HAVE 9 MORE CONVERSATION ABOUT THE SCENARIOS INCLUDING HOW YOU MIGHT 10 WANT TO IMPROVE THEM WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A SLIGHTLY LONGER 11 BREAK COULD BE A SHORT LUNCH BREAK BECAUSE IT'S A REALLY LONG 12 MEETING, COMMISSIONER SPERING WILL DETERMINE THE LENGTH MAYBE 13 AROUND 15 MINUTES. WHEN WE RETURN FROM THAT BREAK, WE'LL BE 14 15 ABLE TO CONSIDER A MOTION THAT RECOMMENDS THE SPECIFIC 16 ELEMENTS TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE ENABLING LEGISLATION AND THAT WOULD BE FORWARDED TO THE MTC COMMISSION. AND THEN JUST SO 17 EVERYBODY KNOWS, IN THE AUDIENCE, AND FOR YOURSELVES, WE WILL 18 PUBLISH THE BAR GRAPHS, YOU KNOW, WHAT THE VOTE WAS, AS WELL 19 AS THE VERY SPECIFIC VOTE THAT EACH YOU MADE ON EACH OF THESE 20 21 OUESTIONS. SO THAT WILL BE ON THE WEB SITE AND FORWARDED TO THE COMMISSION, AS WELL. SO, LOOKS LIKE A LOT OF YOU HAVE BEEN 22 LOGGING ON. I CAN GIVE YOU ANOTHER MINUTE. BUT, AGAIN, YOU 23 WILL HAVE TIME AFTER WE GO THROUGH THESE QUESTIONS. AND IF YOU 24 25 ARE HAVING ANY PROBLEMS AT THAT POINT, ONCE WE GO THROUGH THE

OCTOBER 21, 2024

OUESTIONS, WE'LL HAVE KAREN WHO WILL HERE TO RUN AROUND AND 1 HELP WITH THE TECHNOLOGY. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. SO, THE FIRST 2 3 QUESTION THAT WE'LL BE CONSIDERING -- NO. IT'S A DIFFERENT DECK. IT SHOULD BE GRADIENTS OF AGREEMENT DECK. ANYBODY ABLE 4 5 TO GET THE SURVEY IN THE -- YEAH IT'S AN E-MAIL THAT CAME FROM BRITTANY THIS MORNING CALLED GRADIENT DECK, OCTOBER 21ST, AND 6 IN THE MEANTIME I'LL START TALKING THROUGH IT A LITTLE BIT. 7 8 THE FIRST QUESTION THAT WE WILL CONSIDER IS GEOGRAPHIC SCOPE. AND THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS HAVE THIS IN THAT HANDOUT THAT THE 9 GIVEN WHILE WE WAIT FOR THE SLIDES. SPECIFICALLY WE WOULD LIKE 10 TO YOU RATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH WHETHER THIS SHOULD 11 BE AT LEAST A FOUR COUNTY MEASURE, WITH ALAMEDA, CONTRA COSTA, 12 SAN FRANCISCO, AND SAN MATEO AUTOMATICALLY INCLUDED AND WITH 13 AN OPT IN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE OTHER FIVE COUNTIES. YOU COULD 14 TAKE DOWN THAT DECK. THAT IS NOT THE ONE. ALTHOUGH THAT IS A 15 16 VERY INTERESTING SLIDE. THE SECOND PART OF THE OUESTION IS 17 RANKING YOUR SUPPORT FOR A NINE-COUNTY MEASURE. NOW, REMEMBER, THESE VARIABLES ARE NOT SUPPOSED TO BE SHORTHAND, AS WE DO THE 18 FOUR COUNTY AND THE NINE COUNTY, FOR SCENARIOS ONE, HYBRID, OR 19 MTA, OR ANY OTHER. WE'RE REALLY JUST TRYING TO GET YOUR INPUT 20 21 ON HOW MUCH YOU AGREE WITH OR SUPPORT A POTENTIAL MEASURE AT THESE GEOGRAPHIES. IF THERE IS ANYBODY -- YEAH, I COULD PAUSE. 22 BUT DOES ANYBODY HAVE -- I WON'T GO INTO THE NEXT OUESTION 23 UNTIL I HAVE THEM, CLARIFYING QUESTIONS ON THE --24

25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

SPEAKER: STUART I'M LOOKING AT THESE WE'RE SEPARATING 1 2 OURSELVES FROM THE SCENARIOS THAT WERE PRESENTED TODAY, AND 3 IT'S COMPONENTS OF A MEASURE STRIP OF THE SCENARIOS WE'RE TALKING -- WE WERE TALKING ABOUT IN THOSE ELEMENTS? OKAY. 4 5 STUART COHEN: THAT'S CORRECT. CLARIFYING. YES, COMMISSIONER 6 7 CHAVEZ? 8 CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. THIS IS THE -- I JUST. TO MAKE SURE 9 10 I'M ON THE RIGHT SURVEY MONKEY. THIS IS SIX QUESTIONS, 11 CORRECT? 12 STUART COHEN: YES. STARTS WITH YOUR NAME IS NUMBER ONE THEN 13 14 SECOND IS GEOGRAPHY QUESTION. 15 16 CINDY CHAVEZ: ONLY BECAUSE YOU SAID THERE WAS A LOT OF QUESTIONS I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE. 17 18 STUART COHEN: OH THEY'RE ALL BROKEN DOWN IN SUBQUESTIONS. 19 20 CINDY CHAVEZ: AND THE SECOND IS THERE IS NO WAY TO ADD IN ANY 21 KIND OF COMMENT ON THESE. SO, IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT IS 22 JUST NOT AGREEABLE, WE CAN -- I MEAN THERE IS NO WAY TO TALK 23 IT THROUGH, DO WE JUST LEAVE IT BLANK? 24 25

## OCTOBER 21, 2024

STUART COHEN: YOU ACTUAL CLIENT LEAVE ANYTHING BLANK. OR IT 1 WON'T BE SUBMITTED. BUT WE'LL HAVE TIME FOR CLARIFYING 2 3 QUESTIONS NOW. AND THANK YOU, THAT IS THE CORRECT SLIDE. APPRECIATE THAT. AND, SO, NOW WOULD BE THE TIME, IF YOU HAD --4 5 YOU KNOW, SOMETHING THAT WAS EITHER CLARIFYING THAT YOU WANT TO GET ON THE RECORD AND NUMBER THREE IS NEUTRAL OR ABSTAIN OR 6 SOMETHING MIGHT BE THE BEST PLAY TO GO WITH SOMETHING THAT YOU 7 8 WANT TO STAY OFF.

9

CINDY CHAVEZ: AS AN EXAMPLE, ONE SAYS DO YOU AGREE WITH THE 10 FOLLOWING DURATIONS AND INVESTMENT UNDER TEN YEARS IT HAS 11 TRANSIT ONLY UNDER 30 YEARS IT HAS COUNTY FLEX AND TO BE FRANK 12 WITH YOU, I KNOW WE DESCRIBED COUNTY FLEX, TO ME, THAT ONE IS 13 STILL A LITTLE AMORPHOUS, RIGHT? ARE WE SAYING EACH 14 15 JURISDICTION HAS ULTIMATE FLEXIBILITY TO DECIDE? OR IS THAT 16 ONE WHERE YOU HAVE SOME RULES AND GUIDELINES AS TO HOW EACH INDEPENDENT ORGANIZATION --17

18

19 STUART COHEN: OH EXCELLENT QUESTION. THEY NOW HAVE THE SLIDES 20 UP. SO IF WE CAN, I'LL ANSWER THAT QUESTION WHEN WE GET TO 21 THAT SLIDE. GREAT. IF THERE IS NO CLARIFYING QUESTIONS ON THIS 22 ONE, NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SECOND QUESTION IS HOW MUCH DO YOU 23 AGREE WITH AN INVESTMENT LEVEL EQUIVALENT TO THE FOLLOWING AND 24 FIRST LEVEL IS WE ASK FOR ADJUSTED FARES. TO POINT OUT THE 25 FARES ARE SHOWN IN GREEN HERE AND AS YOU RECALL REMEMBER THIS

OCTOBER 21, 2024

IS THE TARGET INVESTMENT LEVEL FOR SCENARIO 1 AND 1A AND THE 1 REASON ADJUSTED FARES WAS PROPOSED IS IT'S THE BEST MEASURE OF 2 3 THE IMPACT OF LOSS OF RIDERSHIP AND FARE REVENUE AS A RESULT OF THE PANDEMIC IT'S THE MEASURE AND VERIFY I WANT TO POINT 4 5 OUT FOR PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE WE HAVE THE PACKET OF OUESTIONS PRINTED OUT IT'S ON THE TABLE UP FRONT IF YOU WANT TO SEE THE 6 SMALL PRINT. THE OPERATOR REPORTED SHORTFALLS ARE THE BLUE 7 8 BARS AND THEY ARE AS THEY SOUND FORECAST OF OPERATING DEFICITS. THESE INCLUDE THE BLUE BARS JUST TO BE CLEAR, A 9 10 COMBINATION OF THE OPERATOR'S REVENUES, ALL OF THE REVENUES THAT THEY USE FOR OPERATIONS AND ALL OF THEIR COST INCLUDING 11 OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE COSTS. SO IT'S A VERY DIFFERENT 12 NUMBER FOR SOME OF THE OPERATORS. AND YOU KNOW, AS WE CAN SEE, 13 SFMTA HAS KIND OF A VERY BIG VARIANCE BETWEEN THEM. SO DOES 14 15 GOLDEN GATE. ARE THERE CLARIFYING QUESTIONS ON YOUR LEVEL OF 16 AGREEMENT 1 TO 5 WITH THESE TWO.

17

18 SPEAKER: QUICK QUESTION WHAT IF YOU, SORT OF, AGREE WITH THE 19 FOLKS FROM THE LABOR UNIONS WHO TESTIFIED ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE 20 OF A MULTIMODAL MEASURE? SO THAT'S NOT AN OPTION HERE. WE'RE 21 ONLY FOCUSED HERE ON PUBLIC TRANSIT. SO THOSE ARE OUR TWO 22 OPTIONS?

23

24 STUART COHEN: YEAH TWO QUESTIONS LATER YOU WILL HAVE THAT. WE 25 KIND OF TALK ABOUT KIND OF A 30-YEAR BEING A MULTIMODAL.

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.



2 GINA PAPAN: I WAS ALONG THE SAME LINES HERE THIS IS JUST AS TO 3 THE ONE AND.

4

1

5 SPEAKER: JUST TRYING TO SEPARATE OUT DO YOU FEEL PASSIONATELY 6 TO SEPARATE OUT ONE OR THE OTHER AND TAKE INTO CONSIDERATIONS 7 WHAT YOU WANT SO YOU MIGHT THINK WELL OPERATOR REPORTED ARE, 8 YOU KNOW, FILL MORE THE GAP, THEY'RE ALSO HARD TORE REACH AND 9 REQUIRE HIGHER TAX LEVELS GENERALLY BUT THERE IS JUST LOTS OF 10 THINGS YOU CAN THINK ABOUT. WE'RE TRYING TO SEPARATE OUT THE 11 COMPONENTS.

12

13 SPEAKER: THANKS CHAIR STUART I DIDN'T FOLLOW YOU SAID THE 14 GREEN BAR ADJUSTED FARE LOSS IS THE ESTIMATE OF FAREBOX LOSSES 15 THAT'S, SORT OF, PANDEMIC EFFECT OR CHANGE IN RIDER BEHAVIOR 16 AND THE BLUE WHAT ACCOUNTS FOR THE DELTA WHAT'S DRIVING?

17

18 STUART COHEN: THE BLUE BAR IS JUST THE OPERATOR REPORTED FOR 19 FY2627, COMPREHENSIVE INCLUSIVE OF ALL OPERATING REVENUES FROM 20 DIFFERENT SOURCES AS WELL AS OPERATING COST A DIFFERENT METRIC 21 THAT WAY.

22

23 SPEAKER: DO YOU HAVE EXAMPLES OF WHAT WOULD BE DRIVERS OF24 THAT?

METROPOLITAN
 TRANSPORTATION
 COMMISSION
 Meeting Transcript

OCTOBER 21, 2024

ALIX BOCKELMAN: ALIX BOCKELMAN, FOR EXAMPLE, FOR SFMTA WE HAVE 1 TALKED ABOUT THEIR UNIQUE SITUATION THEY RELIED A LOT ON 2 3 PARKING REVENUE SAN FRANCISCO IN GENERAL FUNDS WHILE MAY NOT HAVE LOST AS MANY RIDERS DURING THE PANDEMIC, HAD THE EFFECT 4 5 ON SAN FRANCISCO AND THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS CPI INCREASES HAVE BEEN REAL THERE HAS BEEN INCREASES IN COST THERE WE DID ADJUST 6 THE FARE LOSS BY 2% BUT OBVIOUSLY INFLATION HAS BEEN HIRING 7 8 THAN THAT IN RECENT YEARS. THERE ARE EXAMPLES EACH OPERATOR HAS UNIQUE COST PRESSURES. 9

10

11 SPEAKER: GOT IT. THAT'S HELPFUL. THANK YOU.

12

13 SPEAKER: I THINK THIS QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED I APOLOGIZE IF 14 YOU ALREADY ANSWERED IT BUT WHAT I'M HEARING PEOPLE ASK IS 15 WITH THIS QUESTION OF TEN YEARS VERSUS 30 YEARS, THERE ARE 16 ACTUALLY TWO DIFFERENT QUESTIONS EMBEDDED IN THAT, LENGTH OF 17 TIME THEN THERE IS ALSO IS IT TRANSIT ONLY OR IS IT TRANSIT 18 PLUS? SO, IDEALLY, WEEKEND ANSWER THOSE QUESTIONS SEPARATELY. 19 HOW WOULD YOU LIKE US TO APPROACH THIS?

20

21 STUART COHEN: I WOULD SAY LET'S SAVE THAT DISCUSSION FOR TWO 22 QUESTIONS FROM NOW SO THE AUDIENCE CAN UNDERSTAND IT THEY WILL 23 SEE THOSE TWO QUESTIONS TOGETHER. FOR THIS ONE REALLY JUST TRY 24 -- I KNOW IT'S KIND OF HARD TO SEGMENT IT OUT BUT WE'RE GOING 25 TO TRY TO LOOK AT WHICH TARGET LEVEL OF SHORTFALL YOU WOULD



WANT TO FUND NO MATTER OF LENGTH OF TIME, NO MATTER COUNTY 1 2 FLEX. 3 SPEAKER: I DON'T ACTUALLY HAVE A OUESTION. FOR CLARITY. 4 5 STUART COHEN: LET'S WAIT UNTIL WE GET TO THAT SLIDE. THERE IS 6 7 A SLIDE COMING UP ON IT. YEAH. COMMISSIONER JOSEFOWITZ? 8 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: THERE IS NO OPPORTUNITY TO SAY NO OPT IN? NO. 9 10 THERE ISN'T, OBVIOUSLY. 11 STUART COHEN: NO. WE JUST WANTED TO LIMIT -- OKAY. NEXT SLIDE, 12 PLEASE. SO, THIS IS THE ONE I WANT TO ADDRESS THOSE TWO 13 COMMENTS. AND THIS ONE IS HOW MUCH DO YOU AGREE WITH THE 14 15 FOLLOWING DURATIONS AND INVESTMENT LEVELS. AND WE DECIDED TO 16 LINK THE DURATION AND INVESTMENT TOGETHER BECAUSE THE 10-YEAR MEASURE MAKE MORE YOU SENSE TO PUCKS ON THE IMMEDIATE AND 17 URGENT TRANSIT NEEDS. THAT WAS LIKE THE RESPONSE I GAVE TO 18 ADINA THAT KIND OF STARTING, IF THERE IS NOT ENOUGH FUNDING 19 REALLY FOR MULTI-MODAL IN A TEN YEAR MEASURE BECAUSE THE NEEDS 20 21 ARE SO URGENT SOON AND IT WOULD KIND OF MAKE IT MORE COMPLICATED IF THAT WAS ONLY THE CASE IN SOME COUNTIES THAT 22 23 THEY HAD IT. WHILE A 30 YEAR MEASURE GENERALLY MAKES MORE SENSE TO INCLUDE A MULTI-MODAL PACKAGE WITH BROADER BENEFITS 24 25 THAT SUPPORT COUNTY PRIORITIES AND MAY APPEAL TO A WIDE RANGE



OF VOTERS. THEORETICALLY IT'S POSSIBLE TO DO MULTIMODAL OR TEN 1 2 YEAR TRANSIT ONLY SEEMED UNLIKELY ENOUGH THAT WE JUST THOUGHT 3 WE WOULD BUNDLE THESE TWO TOGETHER 4 5 SPEAKER: BUT IF YOU LOOK AT THE MTA PROPOSAL IT IS BASICALLY TRANSIT PLUS BUT IN A TEN YEAR PACKAGE. 6 7 8 STUART COHEN: YOU MEAN MULTI-MODAL? IT HAS SOME CAPITAL. 9 SPEAKER: IT HAS A LITTLE FLEX. IT HAS -- I MEAN NOT EVERY 10 COUNTY SAN FRANCISCO WOULDN'T GET FLEX IT WOULD ONLY SPEND IT 11 12 ON --13 STUART COHEN: I GUESS YOU WOULD HAVE WHAT WOULD BE TOKEN FLEX 14 15 SO THAT YOU CAN APPEAL TO VOTERS. SO, WE COULD TAKE THAT, 16 AGAIN, FEEL FREE TO GIVE COMMENTS THAT THAT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED. WE'RE JUST TRYING TO NARROW IT DOWN. BUT THANK 17 YOU. YOU ARE CORRECT. IT COULD BE DONE THAT WAY. ANY OTHER 18 CLARIFYING OUESTIONS ON THIS ONE? OTHERWISE WE'LL MOVE ON. 19 NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SO THIS IS GOING TO BE AN INTERESTING ONE 20 21 TO SEE THE RESULTS. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. BUT IT'S HOW MUCH DO YOU AGREE WITH THE USE OF THESE REVENUE MECHANISMS. REALLY, 22 THE OUESTION HERE IS HOW MUCH, YOU KNOW, SHOULD WE CONTINUE TO 23 EXPLORE THESE MECHANISMS. BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY THERE IS A LOT OF 24 EXPLORATION AND POLLING THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE BEFORE A FINAL 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

MECHANISM IS DETERMINED. IF YOU REALLY THINK THEY SHOULD BE 1 TAKEN OFF THE TABLE, YOU MIGHT WANT TO THINK ABOUT A FIVE OR 2 3 FOUR, BUT THAT'S THE WAY TO THINK ABOUT THIS QUESTION. ANY CLARIFYING OUESTIONS ON THIS NUMBER FIVE HERE? NEXT SLIDE. THE 4 5 FINAL OUESTION IS HOW MUCH DO YOU AGREE WITH THESE TAX STRUCTURES OR FUNDING FRAMEWORKS. AND WE WANT YOU TO RATE FOUR 6 OF THEM. FIRST IS A SIMPLE SINGLE TAX THAT HAS ONE RATE ACROSS 7 8 COUNTIES. SECOND WOULD INCLUDE MULTIPLE TAX SOURCES AND THAT IS TWO SOURCES OR MORE LIKE THE HYBRID SCENARIO THIRD IS 9 VARIABLE RATE WHERE THE RATES WOULD BE DIFFERENT IN DIFFERENT 10 COUNTIES THIS WAS THE IDEA BROUGHT FORWARD TODAY IN THE MTA 11 PROPOSAL FINALLY SHOULD THE MEASURE ALLOW FOR FINANCING OR 12 LOANS TO MEET DIFFERENT CASH FLOW NEEDS BY COUNTIES AND THIS 13 WAS AN INNOVATIVE AND KEY FEATURE OF MTA'S PROPOSAL AND I WANT 14 TO BRING UP ONE CLARIFICATION OF A COMMENT MADE BEFORE ABOUT 15 16 THE VARIABLE RATES I HAD SAID IF IT GOT LONG WOULD NEED TO BE IN THE BALLOT BOOK THAT WOULD MIGHT BE HARD TO GET THROUGH THE 17 LEGISLATURE IF YOU STILL TRY TO GET LEGISLATION THAT WOULD 18 EXPAND THE ALLOWABLE BALLOT QUESTION SIZE TO 150 WORDS INSTEAD 19 OF 75 HOPE THAT YOU DIDN'T COME UP WITH SO MANY RATES THAT 20 WOULD FIT IN THERE SO WANT TO BRING THAT UP IT'S A SECOND 21 OPPORTUNITY I THINK WITH LESS LEGAL RISK BECAUSE AT LEAST 22 WOULD ALL BE IN THE BALLOT QUESTION. DOES ANYBODY HAVE 23 CLARIFYING QUESTIONS ON THIS? 24



4

OCTOBER 21, 2024

GINA PAPAN: COULD YOU, SORRY, A CAME LATE, COULD YOU EXPLAIN
 MORE ON THIS ABOUT FINANCING OR LOANS TO MEET DIFFERENTLY CASH
 FLOW NEEDS WHERE WOULD THOSE FINANCING AND LOANS COME FROM?

5 STUART COHEN: THIS WAS THE MTA PROPOSAL FOR THE FIRST TIME REALLY THEY SAID IF THE FUNDING STARTS TO FLOW IN JANUARY 2027 6 ALREADY IN THE MIDDLE OF THE FISCAL YEAR THAT WE'RE EXPECTING 7 8 FISCAL CLIFFS TO HIT AND THEY WOULD WANT IMMEDIATE INFUSION OF FUNDS SO THE WAY TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN WOULD BE TO FINANCE OFF 9 OF FUTURE YEARS AND THE WAY THEY DO IT IS THEY HAVE AN 11 AND 10 A HALF YEAR MEASURE BUT TEN YEAR EXPENDITURE PLAN BASICALLY 11 BORROWING FROM THOSE LATTER YEARS. IN A 30 YEAR MEASURE YOU 12 COULD JUST BRING FORWARD FINANCE FOR FUNDS THAT COULD BE PAID 13 OFF OVER A LONGER DURATION. FINANCING FOR OPERATIONS, I THINK, 14 15 AS FOLKS KNOW, IS NOT TYPICALLY DONE AND THEREFORE COMES AT A 16 HIGH FINANCIAL COST. BUT, THIS IS A ONCE IN A CENTURY PANDEMIC THAT HAS CAUSED THIS AND TO GET OVER A COUPLE OF YEARS THAT'S 17 SOMETHING THAT COULD BE A DISCUSSION AMONGST COMMUNITY MEMBERS 18 AND THE COMMISSION. 19

20

GINA PAPAN: OKAY SECOND QUESTION. SORRY. THE MULTI-TAX SOURCE IN A SINGLE MEASURE. SO YOU'RE LOOKING AT THE ONE ABOVE, SO, LIKE, OF THE COMBINATION OF SALES, PARCEL, OR -- INTERESTING.

25 STUART COHEN: YEAH.



1 GINA PAPAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. 2 3 STUART COHEN: OH, SUNSHINE, HAD YOUR HAND UP? 4 5 SPEAKER: JUST CLARIFYING MULTIPLE TAX SOURCES THAT'S WOULD BE 6 JUST WHAT YOU GUYS HAVE POSTED IT WOULDN'T BE ADDING IN 7 8 ADDITIONAL TAX SOURCES THAT ARE WE HAVEN'T DISCUSSED IN THIS 9 POWERPOINT? 10 STUART COHEN: EXCELLENT QUESTION. NO. I THINK THIS IS MEANT TO 11 BE OPEN-ENDED. HONESTLY, IF A TAX SOURCE WAS FOUND THAT WAS 12 POPULAR AND WOULD PASS AND THAT WAS, YOU KNOW, ACCEPTABLE TO 13 THE COMMISSION, I THINK THEY WOULD BE ALL EARS. SO, NO THIS 14 15 COULD BE ANY -- JUST MULTIPLE TAX SOURCES IN A SINGLE MEASURE 16 FOR ANY TAX SOURCE. 17 SPEAKER: WOULD IT BE DEFINED BEFORE WE PUT IT INTO A BILL? 18 LAST TIME IT WASN'T DEFINED AND IT CAUSED A LOT OF PROBLEMS. 19 20 21 STUART COHEN: GREAT QUESTION. THIS IS REALLY IMAGINING THE FINAL MEASURE. THE NEXT STEP IS I THINK WITH THIS QUESTION IS 22 WHAT DO WE POLL ON AND DO WE, YOU KNOW, TAKE THE ENERGY AND, 23 YOU KNOW, RESOURCES, TO PUT OUT SOMETHING LIKE OUR HYBRID 24 SCENARIO INTO A POLL. I THINK THAT QUESTION OF WHETHER THIS IS 25



STRUCTURED LIKE SB1031, WITH MULTIPLE SOURCES POSSIBLE, AND 1 KEEPING IT FLEXIBLE IS NOT MEANT TO BE CAPTURED IN THIS 2 3 QUESTION. 4 5 SPEAKER: OKAY. THANK YOU. 6 7 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: SO HAS EVERYBODY -- CAN WE GO AHEAD AND 8 TAKE A BREAK AND YOU GATHER --9 STUART COHEN: YES. SO, NOW, IF EVERYBODY COULD FILL -- YOU 10 COULD FILL OUT THE SURVEY ELECTRICALLY. 11 12 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. IS 15 MINUTES ENOUGH TIME FOR YOU TO 13 TOTAL UP ALL YOUR SCORES? 14 15 16 STUART COHEN: YEAH. SO WE COULD DO THIS TWO-WAYS. IF PEOPLE WANT TO, THEY COULD DO IT NOW. IT LOOKS LIKE MOST PEOPLE ARE 17 FILLING IT OUT, THEN WE WOULD HAVE THE BAR GRAPHS INSTANTLY, 18 WE'RE ACTUALLY ABLE TO SEE SOME OF THE RESULTS, AND WEEKEND 19 HAVE THE CONVERSATION NOW. AND THEN TAKE A BREAK. AND I THINK 20 21 THAT COULD BE BEST SINCE THE RESULTS SEEM TO BE COMING IN 22 ALREADY. 23 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. SO, HOPEFULLY EVERYBODY HAS VOTED OR 24 WILL VOTE WITHIN THE NEXT MINUTE OR SO. 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.



1 STUART COHEN: IF YOU NEED HELP, RAISE YOUR HAND. OKAY. KAREN 2 3 IS GOING TO COME OVER AND HELP YOU DO THAT. 4 5 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: SO STUART, WHY -- WHY WOULDN'T WE TAKE THE 6 BREAK RIGHT NOW? 7 8 STUART COHEN: SO, WE COULD MAYBE JUST QUICKLY SHOW THE RESULTS 9 AND THEN TAKE A BREAK SO EVERYBODY CAN THINK ABOUT THEM. 10 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THEY'RE GOING TO SHOW THE RESULTS 11 THEN WE'LL TAKE A BREAK. THERE ARE SANDWICHES AND FOOD OVER 12 THERE SO EVERYBODY CAN GET SOMETHING TO EAT. 13 14 STUART COHEN: THAT SOUNDS GOOD. COULD WE HAVE IT ON THE 15 16 SCREEN? 17 18 ALIX BOCKELMAN: LET'S JUST WAIT. 19 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: IS THERE ANYONE THAT NEEDS ASSISTANCE? 20 OKAY. IT LOOKS LIKE YOU SHOULD HAVE EVERYTHING. DO YOU? 21 22 23 STUART COHEN: YEAH. WE'RE JUST WAITING FOR COMMISSIONER MILEY, 24 AND THEN WE WILL HAVE IT ON THE SCREEN. 25



CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. 1 2 3 STUART COHEN: ALL RIGHT. LOOKS LIKE WE'RE IN BUSINESS. WE HAVE THE RESULTS. SOME OF THE --4 5 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. HANG ON. STUART, COULD WE GET 6 7 EVERYONE'S ATTENTION SO WE CAN GO THROUGH THESE AND THEN WE'LL 8 TAKE THE BREAK. OH 9 10 STUART COHEN: OH, YOU DIDN'T GET THEM IN YET? ALL RIGHT. HOPEFULLY YOU -- HOPEFULLY YOU CAN UNSEE THAT. [LAUGHTER] 11 12 SPEAKER: AND WE'LL BE POSTING RESULTS FOR THOSE WHO CAN'T 13 14 REMEMBER -- [INDISCERNIBLE] ALL TOGETHER. 15 16 STUART COHEN: YEAH, DURING A BREAK, WE COULD POTENTIALLY PRINT 17 THEM, YEAH. OKAY. 18 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: SO, TELL US WHEN YOU HAVE SUBMITTED. ALL 19 RIGHT. WHAT ORGANIZATION DO YOU REPRESENT? [LAUGHTER] THANK 20 21 YOU MANNY. 22 23 STUART COHEN: ALL RIGHT. THANKS TO TECHNOLOGY, WE HAVE MANNY'S VOTE. AND, SO, THIS IS A REALLY INTERESTING ONE. I'LL READ IT 24 OUT SINCE IT'S KIND OF SMALL OF THE BUT THE TOP BAR SAYS THAT 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

THE GEOGRAPHIC SCOPE, THOSE ARE RANKINGS FOR AT LATEST A FOUR-1 2 COUNTY MEASURE. THE DARK GREEN ON THE LEFT IS STRONGLY AGREE, THE LIGHT GREEN IS AGREE, YELLOW IS NEUTRAL, ORANGE DISAGREE, 3 AND RED IS STRONGLY DISAGREE. AND THE LOWER BAR IS FOR THE 4 5 NINE-COUNTY MEASURE. AND WHAT WE SEE IS STRONG SUPPORT FOR FOUR COUNTY, PLUS OPT IN. I WANT TO POINT OUT THAT NOT A LOT 6 OF WORDS FIT TO THE LEFT THERE, SO THAT WAS CUT OUT. BUT VERY 7 8 IMPORTANTLY, THAT INCLUDES THE POTENTIAL TO OPT IN. AND THE NINE COUNTY MEASURE LOOKS LIKE IT HAS 50%, OR TEN VOTES, ON 9 THE STRONGLY DISAGREE. VERY INTERESTING. OKAY. NEXT SLIDE. ALL 10 RIGHT. SO, THIS WAS THE TARGET INVESTMENT LEVEL. AND THE UPPER 11 BAR IS ADJUSTED FARE. THERE IS IT LOOKS LIKE WE HAD SEVEN 12 STRONGLY DISAGREE, AND ONE DISAGREE. AND I THINK YOU CAN SEE 13 THE REST. ABOUT EIGHT THAT, TO SOME EXTENT, AGREE WITH THIS. 14 15 AND THE OPERATOR SHORTFALLS HAD MORE SUPPORT THAN THAT, THAT'S 16 THE LOWER BAR. NEXT. ALL RIGHT. AND HOW MUCH DO YOU AGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING DURATIONS AND INVESTMENTS. THERE IS PRETTY 17 STRONG SUPPORT FOR THE 10-YEAR TRANSIT ONLY. THE TWO GREEN 18 BARS TOGETHER MADE UP 13 OUT OF 20 OF THE MEASURE WITH A FEW 19 THAT STRONGLY DISAGREE WITH THIS. AND THEN THE 30-YEAR MULTI-20 21 MODAL HAD GOOD SUPPORT BUT IT LOOKS LIKE A LITTLE MORE LUKEWARM WITH THE LIGHT GREEN AGREE, AND THEN A NUMBER THAT 22 ARE MUTUAL OR ABSTAIN ON THAT ONE. NEXT SLIDE. THE REVENUE 23 MECHANISMS, VERY INTERESTING SALES TAX SEEMS TO, BY FAR, HAVE 24 25 THE MOST SUPPORT WITH 13 THAT ARE GREEN, PAYROLL AND PARCEL

OCTOBER 21, 2024

BOTH HAVE SEVEN THAT ARE EITHER STRONGLY AGREE OR AGREE. THOSE 1 2 ARE -- WHAT'S INTERESTING IS PAYROLL AND PARCEL SEEM TO BE EXACT VOTES, WHICH I DID NOT EXPECT. AND BOTH OF THEM HAVE 3 SEVEN THAT ARE STRONGLY DISAGREE. ALL RIGHT. THE FINAL ONE IS 4 5 HOW MUCH DO YOU AGREE WITH THESE TAX INFRASTRUCTURES OR FUNDING FRAMEWORKS. A SINGLE TAX, WHICH IS KIND OF SPLIT RIGHT 6 DOWN THE MIDDLE ALMOST, BUT ABOUT NINE AGREE AND A LOT OF 7 8 NEUTRAL OR ABSTAIN THAT'S KIND OF THE PLAIN VANILLA SCENARIO. AND THEN MULTIPLE TAX SOURCES HAD A LOT OF SUPPORT. AND SO 9 10 THAT REALLY SEEMS LIKE PEOPLE WANT TO EXPLORE AND IF WE CAN RAISE ENOUGH MONEY THIS WAY, THAT THERE WOULD BE SUPPORT FOR 11 IT, THERE IS 14 OUT OF 20 MEMBERS SUPPORTING THAT. THAT SEEMS 12 TO BE ONE OF THE MOST POPULAR OPTIONS OF ANYTHING WE HAVE 13 DISCUSSED SO FAR. VARIABLE RATE BROUGHT IN BY THE MTA PROPOSAL 14 15 ALSO HAS A LOT OF STRONG SUPPORT FOR EXPLORATION WITH 13 IF 16 TOTAL AND SOME AMOUNT OF AGREEMENT. AND ALLOWING FOR FINANCING 17 OR LOANS A LITTLE MORE CONSERVATIVE THERE, AT NINE THAT AGREED AND A FEW STRONGLY DISAGREED WITH FOUR STRONGLY DISAGREES AND 18 THREE DISAGREEING. SO, WE HAD A REQUEST, WE'LL PURSUANT THESE 19 OUT OUICKLY FOR THE SELECT COMMITTEE MEMBERS. THIS WILL BE 20 21 AVAILABLE, AS I MENTIONED, ON THE SELECT COMMITTEE WEB SITE AFTERWARDS WITH HOW EACH OF YOU VOTED. AND THEN WHEN WE COME 22 BACK FROM OUR OUICK LUNCH BREAK, WE'LL BE ASKING YOU TO RAISE 23 YOUR HANDS ON EACH OF THESE QUESTIONS AS WE HAVE THE 24 25 DISCUSSION, AND ONES AND TWOS WILL VOTE TOGETHER, THE YELLOWS



| 1  | AGREES AND FOURS AND FIVES CAN RAISE THEIR HAND TOGETHER. AND  |
|----|--|
| 2  | BACK TO YOU CHAIR SPERING                                      |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THANK YOU IF Y'ALL CAN BE THINKING   |
| 5  | ABOUT THIS WHILE YOU'RE HAVING LUNCH, SO WE CAN HAVE CONSENSUS |
| 6  | WHAT THE COMMISSION SHOULD CONSIDER. WITH THAT, A 15 MINUTE    |
| 7  | LUNCH BREAK THERE ARE SANDWICHES AND FOOD HERE, DRINKS. AND    |
| 8  | SO. [BREAK].   |
| 9  |  |
| 10 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ABOUT THREE MINUTES. [MEETING WILL RESUME  |
| 11 | SHORTLY]   |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ABOUT THEE MINUTES. THREE MINUTES. WE HAVE |
| 14 | A HANDOUT THAT'S BEING PRINTED. AND IT SHOULD BE DOWN HERE A   |
| 15 | COUPLE OF MINUTES. AS SOON AS WE GET THE HANDOUT AND EVERYBODY |
| 16 | HAS A COPY WE'LL GO AHEAD AND GET STARTED.                     |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | STUART COHEN: WE COULD START AND GET IT BACK ON THE SCREEN BUT |
| 19 | WE COULD HAVE DISCUSSION. WE WERE GOING TO SHOW THE BAR GRAPHS |
| 20 | AGAIN. WHATEVER YOU WOULD LIKE.                                |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: LOOKS LIKE MOST PEOPLE ARE SEATED.         |
| 23 |  |
| 24 | STUART COHEN: WE WANTED TO PUT THE BAR GRAPHS BACK ON THE      |
| 25 | SCREEN AND HAVE SOME CONVERSATION BEFORE WE CONSIDER A MOTION  |
|    |  |

OCTOBER 21, 2024

ON THIS ITEM. AND I KNOW WE HAVE HAD SOME OF THIS CONVERSATION 1 BEFORE. BUT THIS IS OUR LAST MEETING AND WE WOULD LIKE TO 2 ALLOW PEOPLE TO GET, ESPECIALLY, I THINK, WHERE YOU FEEL 3 STRONGLY ABOUT A PARTICULAR TOPIC, TO MAKE SURE WE REALLY HAVE 4 5 THOSE FINAL UNDERSTANDINGS, AND GROUP UNDERSTANDINGS TOGETHER. SO, WHAT I'M GOING TO DO IS, SO, FOR EACH OTHER AND FOR THE 6 PEOPLE IN THE ROOM, WE CAN SEE WHAT THE VOTES WERE. I'M GOING 7 8 TO ASK YOU TO RAISE YOUR HANDS AS WE GO THROUGH THIS AND THEN WE'LL HAVE COORDINATION ON IT. AND AS I MENTIONED, I'M GOING 9 TO ASK FOR THE ONES AND TWOS, AND THREES, AND THEN THE FOURS 10 AND FIVES. AND THEN WE'LL TAKE QUESTIONS TOPIC BY TOP FOR 11 DISCUSSION AND THOSE WILL LEAD TO THIS MOTION THAT YOU WILL BE 12 RECEIVING. SO EVERYBODY IS NOT BACK. ANDY IS NOT BACK, BUT I 13 THINK WE SHOULD JUST START. ALL OF THIS WILL BE RELEASED AND 14 15 MADE PUBLIC OF HOW FOLKS VOTED. SO, ON THIS OUESTION OF 16 GEOGRAPHIC SCOPE OF THE MEASURE, THIS WAS ONE OF THE ONES THAT HAD REALLY JUST TREMENDOUS AGREEMENT ON THE FOUR-COUNTY PLUS 17 OPT IN APPROACH THE WITH PROBABLY DIFFERENT REASONS AND THEN A 18 COUPLE THAT STRONGLY DISAGREED WITH IT. IF WE COULD SEE A 19 RAISE OF HANDS OF FOLKS ON THE FOUR-COUNTY MEASURE THAT WERE 20 21 AGREEING OR STRONGLY AGREEING. COULD YOU RAISE YOUR HAND? CHAIR SPERING, YOU PROBABLY WANT TO RAISE YOUR HAND ON THIS 22 ONE IF YOU VOTED. 23

24

25 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YEAH. YEAH, I DO.



1 2 **STUART COHEN:** [LAUGHTER] 3 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: AND THANK YOU STUART, YOU CAN REMIND ME 4 5 HOW I VOTED ON ALL OF THEM. 6 7 **STUART COHEN:** JUST INTUITIVE. [LAUGHTER] 8 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: I WAS LOOKING FOR MY CHEAT SHEET. I CAN'T 9 10 FIND IT. 11 STUART COHEN: AND WE HAVE ONE NEUTRAL OR ABSTAIN ON THAT, IF 12 YOU ARE IN THE ROOM? WE'RE MISSING A COUPLE. AND THEN FINALLY 13 FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO DISAGREE OR STRONGLY DISAGREE, IF YOU 14 COULD RAISE YOUR HAND. OKAY. GREAT. THANK YOU. NOW THIS WAS 15 16 OUR LAST TIME TO DISCUSS GEOGRAPHY OF THE MEASURE. IF ANYBODY 17 WANTED TO, YOU KNOW, MAKE A -- MAKE A COMMENT, MAKE A POINT ABOUT IT, THIS WOULD BE THE TIME. 18 19 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: GO AHEAD. 20 21 SPEAKER: I WANT TO PUT OUT THERE, 30-YEAR IS THE RIGHT 22 SOLUTION, ALL RIGHT. FOR THE FUTURE, FOR THE BAY AREA. BUT THE 23 CONCERN IS WE MIGHT END UP WITH NOTHING IF IT FAILS. SO, 24 PATCHWORK MIGHT GET US CLOSE TO, IN THE TEN YEARS, EVALUATE 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

| 1  | WHERE WE ARE, AND WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE TO MAYBE EXPENDITURE   |
|----|--|
| 2  | IT FOR ANOTHER 20 YEARS INTO THE FUTURE. SO THAT'S THE BIGGEST |
| 3  | CONCERN, AND LABOR AND COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS ARE VERY        |
| 4  | SUPPORTIVE OF THE 30-YEAR, BUT UNDERSTAND THE CHALLENGES THAT  |
| 5  | WE FACE.   |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | STUART COHEN: THANK YOU, JOHN. COMMISSIONER PAPAN?             |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | GINA PAPAN: IT'S JUST THE LACK OF CLARITY WITH CALTRAIN AND    |
| 10 | I'M NOT SURE 30 YEARS IS SELLABLE TO THE PUBLIC, PARTICULARLY  |
| 11 | IN MY JURISDICTION. YES WE WANT TO BE HELPFUL HERE, BUT WE     |
| 12 | CAN'T IT'S A LITTLE UNDERBAKED AT THIS POINT.                  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: NICK?                                      |
| 15 |  |
| 16 | NICK JOSEFOWITZ: TALKING ABOUT WHY WE SUPPORT THE FOUR-COUNTY  |
| 17 | MEASURE?   |
| 18 |  |
| 19 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YEAH.                                      |
| 20 |  |
| 21 | NICK JOSEFOWITZ: I THINK THIS IS REALLY COMPLICATED AND IT'S   |
| 22 | REALLY IMPORTANT THAT WE KEEP IT AS SIMPLE AS WE CAN WHILE     |
| 23 | MEETING OUR GOALS AND SOMETIMES BY ADDING COMPLEXITY IN A      |
| 24 | POLITICAL OR POLICY CONTEXT YOU CAN GET MORE PEOPLE AROUND THE |
| 25 | TABLE, YOU CAN KIND OF EXPAND YOUR COALITION AND DEAL WITH     |

PEOPLE'S CONCERNS. HERE, I FEEL THAT AS WE EXPAND 1 GEOGRAPHICALLY, WE GENERATE OPPOSITION, WE GENERATE CONCERNS, 2 3 WE MAKE IT, LIKE, MORE DIFFICULT TO PULL THIS OFF. AND, YOU KNOW, I THINK FUNDAMENTALLY, IT WILL JUST COST A LOT MORE TO 4 5 RUN A BIG NINE COUNTY CAMPAIGN. AND WE, AS MUCH AS THE VOTERS ARE, LIKE, KIND OF, OKAY, MAYBE, IF WE -- I FEEL THAT THE 6 INTEREST GROUPS ARE KIND OF, HIKE, KIND OF, OKAY, MAYBE. AND 7 8 WE'RE GOT SEEING, YOU KNOWINGS WE'RE NOT HAVING THIS, SORT OF, LIKE WATERFALL OF ENTHUSIASM COMING ON BOARD GOING YEAH WE GOT 9 10 TO GET THIS PASSED WHATEVER IT COSTS WHATEVER IT IT TAKES. I'M, LIKE, A COMPANY THAT CAN SPEND BILLIONS I'M A LABOR UNION 11 THAT CAN SPEND -- WE'RE JUST NOT FEELING IT WE NEED TO KEEP IT 12 AS SIMPLE AS POSSIBLE. I DON'T THINK IT SHOULD BE AT LEAST A 13 FOUR COUNTY MEASURE, I THINK WE SHOULD JUST BE LIKE LOOK I 14 15 THINK IT MAKES IT WAY MORE COMPLICATED AND DISTRACTS US FROM 16 WHAT OUR CORE ABSOLUTE MISSION IS WHICH IS FOR OUR LARGEST 17 TRANSIT OPERATORS IN THIS REGION YOU KNOW BART, MUNI, AC TRANSIT, ET CETERA, THAT WE CAN KIND OF GET, THAT WE DO WHAT 18 WE CAN, WHICH HAS THE HIGHEST CHANCE OF SUCCESS OF SAVING 19 THEM. AND FOUR OR FIVE COUNTIES, SORT OF -- AGNOSTIC --20

21

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

NATHAN MILEY: I STRONG HE AGREE. ONLY BECAUSE I THINK IT
SHOULD BE REGION-WIDE AS OPPOSED TO JUST FOUR COUNTIES. ONCE
AGAIN, GETS BACK TO SOMETHING I SAID EARLIER, I THINK THE
ELECTED OFFICIALS BACK IN THE DAY WHEN BART WAS COMING ONLINE

OCTOBER 21, 2024

HAD VOTED BEFORE THE NINE CAN'T REGION, WE WOULDN'T BE FACING 1 THIS ISSUE NOW. BUT THEY DIDN'T SO WE HAVE THE CONSEQUENCES. 2 3 AND HAVING TO MAKE UP FOR A FAILURE IN LEADERSHIP BACK IN THOSE DAYS. BUT I'M NOT GOING TO DIE ON THAT HILL. IF WE HAVE 4 5 TO GO WITH FOUR COUNTIES, FIVE COUNTIES WOULD PROBABLY DO IT, BUT I THINK A REGIONAL MEASURE WOULD BE MUCH BETTER. NOW IF WE 6 DO GO FOUR COUNTY MEASURE, THERE IS SOME ASPECT TO THAT I'LL 7 8 TALK TO LATER. BUT FOR NOW, I JUST WANT TO PUT UP MY STRONG BELIEF ON THIS. 9

10

11 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THANK YOU. OTHER?

12

ADINA LEVIN: VARIANT MORE IS BETTER LOOKING FORWARD TO GETTING 13 MORE OF THE POLLING THAT'S GOING TO BE AVAILABLE EARLY NEXT 14 15 YEAR BECAUSE I THINK THE VARIOUS DIFFERENT PEOPLE THAT HAVE 16 DIFFERENT HYPOTHESIS WOULD DO WORSE OR MORE WOULD DO BETTER BUT I THINK THAT IF SOMETHING IS TOO SMALL I THINK THERE'S A 17 DIFFERENT HYPOTHESIS THAT IF VOTERS HAVE TO VOTE TO TAX 18 THEMSELVES FOR SOMETHING THAT'S GOING TO WIND UP WITH SERVICE 19 CUTS AND LESS COORDINATION THIS'S GOING TO BE HARD TORE PASS 20 THAN SOMETHING THAT DOES THAT IMPROVES THE SYSTEM SO THAT'S 21 22 UNDERLYING HYPOTHESIS AND LOOKING FORWARD TO MORE TESTING OF 23 THAT HYPOTHESIS.

24

25 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OTHER COMMENTS? JIM?

1

OCTOBER 21, 2024

SPEAKER: I WOULD SAY THE QUESTIONS ASKED WERE HIGHLY 2 3 CONSTRAINED. SO I FOUND IT VERY DIFFICULT TO ANSWER THEM BECAUSE THERE WERE OTHER OUESTIONS THAT WEREN'T ASKED. SO, YOU 4 ASKED US TO SUBMIT A LETTER, AND WE DID. SO THAT'S, YOU KNOW, 5 FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD. AND WE'LL END UP VOTING -- WE'LL BE 6 OPPOSED TO WHATEVER IS PRESENTED HERE IN TERMS OF A MOTION. 7 8 AND I THINK, YOU KNOW, THE FOLKS WHO TESTIFIED FROM LABOR ABOUT A MULTI-MODAL APPROACH IS, YOU KNOW, I THINK, WE'RE 9 10 LITERALLY KIND OF KIDDING OURSELVES HERE. I MEAN, I REALLY APPRECIATE THE PEOPLE AROUND THE TABLE, AND OF THE DIFFICULTY 11 AS TO WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO ACHIEVE AND THE IMPORTANCE OF DOING 12 IT. BUT, I THINK WE ALSO HAVE TO BE REALISTIC ABOUT WHEN 13 WHAT'S HAPPENED IN OUR REGION AND A FEW YEARS AGO WE WERE 14 15 PUSHING FOR A \$100 BILLION MEASURE CALLED FASTER AND WE WERE GETTING CLOSES TO 2/3 STUART AND OTHERS, THERE WERE SOME 16 17 DISAGREEMENT, AND IT WAS EXCITING AND THE PUBLIC WAS RESPONSIVE TO IT AND WE WERE BASING OUR APPROACH ON THE NOTION 18 THAT PEOPLE WANTED MORE AND WERE WILLING TO PAY MORE TO GET 19 MORE AND WE WERE REALLY GOING TO CHANGE -- YOU KNOW, TO SUPER 20 21 MILEY'S POINT, YOU KNOW, WE WERE GOING HAVE A REAL REGIONAL APPROACH THAT WAS GOING HAVE A MATERIAL IMPACT ON THE WAY 22 PEOPLE EXPERIENCED THEIR LIVES, WHETHER IT WAS FOR MASS 23 TRANSIT OR WHETHER IT WAS FOR PEOPLE WHO USE STREETS, ROADS, 24 HIGHWAYS, WHETHER THEY BIKED, WHETHER THEY WALKED, WHATEVER 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

THEY DID. THERE WAS GOING TO BE FUNDING TO HANDLE ALL THOSE 1 DIFFERENT THINGS, AND IT WAS GOING TO CREATE A LOT OF NEW JOB 2 3 OPPORTUNITIES IN THE REGION, YOU KNOW, DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE, AND IT WAS GOING TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE ECONOMY BECAUSE 4 5 IT WAS GOING TO MAKE IT BETTER FOR THE TO BE HERE. AND I THINK NOW WE'RE IN THIS ENTRENCHMENT, THE PANDEMIC CHANGED 6 EVERYTHING. DIFFERENT REALITY I KNOW THAT THE COMPANIES AND 7 8 THE ORGANIZATIONS NOT JUST COMPANIES, BUT PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS THAT ARE PART OF OUR BAY AREA COUNCIL EXPERIENCING THINGS VERY 9 10 DIFFERENT THEY WANT TO SEE SAVINGS, COST CONTROL, WE'RE HAVE HAD NO DISCUSSION ONLY TALKING ABOUT INCREASING REVENUES THERE 11 IS NOTHING ON THE ABLE TO GET COSTS AROUND CONTROL YET PEOPLE 12 ARE EXPERIENCING IN SOCIETY THIS INCREDIBLE INCREASE IN PRICE 13 EVERY TIME THEY GO ANYWHERE, DO ANYTHING, GO TO THE MARKET AND 14 SO FORTH. I SAW THE BART POLL IT'S THE SAME POLL THAT ENC 15 16 PRESENTED EARLY ON IN THE TASK FORCE. THE PUBLIC IS GOING TO BE EXTREMELY RETICENT ABOUT THIS. I THINK WE HAVE TO, YOU 17 KNOW, GET REAL ABOUT WHAT THE WORLD OF THE POSSIBLE LOOKS 18 LIKE. AND WE NEED TO DELIVER A WAY BETTER TRANSPORTATION 19 EXPERIENCE. I WAS ENCOURAGED BY SOME OF THE THINGS THAT I 20 HEARD ABOUT SOME OF THE STUFF THAT'S GOING ON, ON A REGIONAL 21 SCALE TO IMPROVE WAYFINDING IMPROVE PASSENGER EXPERIENCE AND 22 ALL THOSE THINGS. BUT I THINK THE WORK IS KIND OF AHEAD OF US. 23 AND I THINK, A COUPLE OF PEOPLE WHO CALLED IN YOU KNOW, TALKED 24 ABOUT HIT THE RESTART BUTTON, DON'T JUST GO FORWARD BECAUSE 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

THERE IS A TIMELINE THAT SAYS WE NEED TO HAVE LEGISLATION BY 1 SUCH AND SUCH A DATE SO WE'RE GOING TO, SORT OF, KID OURSELVES 2 3 TO THINK WE HAVE SUPPORT FOR SOMETHING. I DON'T THINK THAT WE DO. I REGRET SAYING THAT BECAUSE I WANT US TO COME UP WITH A 4 5 SOLUTION BUT I REALLY WANT US TO COME UP WITH A SOLUTION THAT WHERE PEOPLE CAN VOTE AFFIRMATIVELY AND AUTHORITATIVELY FOR 6 SOMETHING THAT'S GOING TO MAKE THE REGION BETTER AND I'M JUST 7 8 NOT SEEING IT COMING OUT OF THIS PROCESS. I JUST NEED TO SAY THAT. AND I'LL JUST SAY FINALLY, FERRIES ARE GOOD TOO. SO, 9 EXCEPT FOR OUR MTC VICE CHAIR WHO SEEMS TO HAVE A DIFFERENT 10 POINT OF VIEW ON THOSE THINGS. YOU KNOW, FERRIES CAN BE 11 DEVELOPED WITH A FAIRLY LOW CAPITAL COST, UNLIKE OTHER FORMS 12 OF TRANSPORTATION, AND THEY BRING PEOPLE TO THE WATERFRONT. 13 AND THEY CREATE A BETTER DEVELOPMENT ENVIRONMENT, IN A, YOU 14 15 KNOW, SORT OF, REGION FOR THE FUTURE, AND CAN BE BUILT AT A FAIRLY MODERATE COST, BUT THEIR OPERATIONS COSTS ARE VERY 16 REAL. IT'S SOMETHING THAT MTC SHOULD REALLY BE BEHIND AND NOT 17 STOP. YOU KNOW, TAKE THE GAINS THAT WE HAVE MADE AND THE 18 THINGS THAT WE'RE DOING AND MAKE SURE IT'S INCLUDED IF WE'RE 19 20 REALLY GOING TO DO A REGIONAL MEASURE THAT, SHOULD BE PART OF 21 IT.

22

23 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ANY OTHER? I DON'T SEE ANY WITH LIGHTS ON.
24

25 STUART COHEN: WE CAN GO ON.



1 2 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OH CINDY. I'M SORRY. 3 CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. THANK YOU. JUST A FEW THINGS I WANTED 4 5 TO ACKNOWLEDGE ON THIS SLIDE. FIRST, I FIRMLY BELIEVE THAT ONE OF THE TENANTS OF THIS GROUP HAS TO BEGIN WITH UNDERSTANDING 6 WHAT THE VOTERS ARE INTERESTED IN. AND I DON'T MEAN TO BE 7 8 CAPTAIN OBSTACLE ABOUT THAT BUT I DO THINK WE'RE SPEAKING MAYBE IN BAIT OF A BUBBLE AND I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT. 9 10 SECOND, I THINK IT'S IMPERATIVE THAT THERE BE A MECHANISM FOR CUSTOMIZATION BY COUNTY IN ORDER IT MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE THE 11 RESOURCES NEEDED TO SUCCEED. MEANING THAT IT'S REALLY 12 IMPORTANT THAT IF COUNTIES NEED TO STRUCTURE THEIR SALES TAX 13 IN A WAY THAT ALLOWS IT TO PASS THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT. AND I 14 15 THINK -- AND WE'LL TALK ABOUT THIS WHEN WE GET TO MULTIMODAL, 16 BUT I THINK IT ALSO MEANS THAT THE GOVERNING COALITION NEEDS 17 TO HAVE A DEEP AND MEANINGFUL PARTNERSHIP WITH THE ELECTRICAL COALITION, OR THAT DISCONNECT IS GOING TO IMPEDE OUR ABILITY 18 TO WIN. LASTLY, JUST ON THIS POINT, I DO THINK THAT WE HAVE TO 19 BE VERY CLEAR ABOUT OUR OBLIGATIONS TO OUR SISTER 20 ORGANIZATION. AND THE ONE THING I THINK CUSTOMIZATION ALLOWS 21 FOR US TO MAKE COMMITMENTS AND HAVE MUTUALLY ACCOUNTABLE TO 22 EACH OTHER IN A PUBLIC WAY, AND STILL GIVE US THE FLEXIBILITY 23 TO WIN AT A LOCAL LEVEL. AND AN EXAMPLE OF THAT IN MY OPINION, 24 25 WE, AS AN EXAMPLE, HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO BOTH PROTECT THE

OCTOBER 21, 2024

BREAST AND VITALITY AND HEALTH OF CALTRAIN AND BART. LIKE 1 THOSE AREN'T OPTIONAL. WE HAVE A JPA THAT GOVERNS OUR CURRENT 2 3 PRACTICES WITH CALTRAIN AND A CONTRACT THAT GOVERNS OUR CURRENT PRACTICES WITH BART. HOLDING US ACCOUNTABLE MAKING 4 5 SURE THAT THOSE PARTNERSHIPS ARE HEALTHY AND VIBRANT IS FINE, BUT PUTTING -- AND I THINK THIS COULD BE CHALLENGING, BUT NOT 6 GIVING US FLEXIBILITY TO DETERMINE HOW BEST TO DO THAT COULD 7 8 IMPEDE OUR ABILITY TO HELP OUR PARTNERS AND THAT'S REALLY WHY I THOUGHT THIS OUESTION WAS SUCH AN IMPORTANT ONE AND WHY --9 10 WHY I WAS REALLY INTERESTED IN CREATING FLEXIBILITY FOR OPT IN. AND I THINK, JIM, THE POINT YOU RAISED ABOUT WHO SHOULD BE 11 IN AND WHO SHOULD BE OUT BASED ON WHAT VOTERS ARE INTERESTED 12 IN, IS REALLY IMPORTANT. BECAUSE IMPORTANTLY WHAT WE'RE 13 ACCOUNTABLE TO IS EACH OTHER AND REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION 14 15 AGENCY BUT TO THE VOTERS OF EACH OF COMMUNITIES WHO INVEST A 16 LOT OF MONEY IN TRANSIT AND TRANSPORTATION. AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE THE COUNTY AND VTA CAN BE ACCOUNTABLE TO THE VOTERS HERE 17 AS WELL AS TO OUR PARTNERS REGIONALLY AND I THINK THIS MODEL 18 GIVES US THE MOST OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT. 19

20

21 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU CINDY FOR THOSE COMMENTS. GO22 AHEAD.

23

24 **STUART COHEN:** NEXT QUESTION. WE HAVE SEVERAL TO GET THROUGH 25 STILL WE HAVE POLICY AND MOTIONS. HAPPY TO MOVE THROUGH THIS

OCTOBER 21, 2024

AT A GOOD CLIP WE'RE ARE ON TIME JUST SO EVERYBODY KNOWS, 1 2 WHICH IS GREAT. NEXT QUESTION IS HOW MUCH DO YOU AGREE THE 3 MEASURE SHOULD TARGET AN INVESTMENT LEVEL EQUAL TO EITHER ADJUSTED FARES, WHICH IS THE UPPER BAR OR THE OPERATOR 4 5 REPORTED SHORTFALLS. AND I THINK THE BIGGEST DIFFERENCE IN THESE IS THAT WE SEE KIND OF STRONG SUPPORT FOR SEVEN FOLKS 6 FOR THE OPERATOR SHORTFALLS WHERE ADJUSTED FARES ONLY GOT 7 8 FOUR. AND THEN THERE WAS ALSO CORRESPONDINGLY, A LARGER STRONGLY DISAGREE WITH ADJUSTED FARES. AND THIS WAS ABOUT 9 10 SEVEN PEOPLE STRONGLY DISAGREES WITH THAT. AND WE HAVE HEARD SOME OF THOSE PEOPLE TALK ALREADY TODAY. I THINK SOME OF IT IS 11 SOME, LIKE, AC TRANSIT, THAT HAS A POPULATION THAT REALLY 12 DEPENDS ON THEM, BUT FARE LOSS WASN'T THEIR ONLY PROBLEM. BUT 13 IF FOLKS WOULD LIKE TO MAKE ANY EXTENSIVE ON EITHER OF THESE, 14 15 PLEASE. DO WE HAVE HEARD PREVIOUS COMMENTS TOO. SO ANYTHING 16 THAT'S ADDITIONAL ON WHY YOU MIGHT HAVE FELT STRONGLY ONE WAY OR THE OTHER ON THESE AND WE'LL HAVE YOU RAISE YOUR HANDS JUST 17 SO PEOPLE KNOW AND WE'LL START WITH THE ADJUSTED FARE. IF YOU 18 COULD RAISE YOUR HAND ON WHEN YOU ARE A ONE OR TWO ON THE 19 ADJUSTS FARES, PLEASE DO. WE SHOULD HAVE ABOUT EIGHT HANDS UP. 20 21 IF YOU ARE NEUTRAL ON IT, IN THE YELLOW, MUTUAL OR ABSTAIN. THEN AND FINALLY THE DISAGREE OR STRONGLY DISAGREE. UNADJUSTED 22 FARES. LOOKING AT OPERATOR REPORTED SHORTFALLS, ONE OR TWO IN 23 THE GREEN KIND OF STRONGLY AGREEING OR AGREEING. PLEASE RAISE 24 YOUR HAND. WE SHOULD HAVE ABOUT TEN HANDS UP. AND IF YOU ARE 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

MUTUAL OR ABSTAIN, YELLOW. AND THEN FINALLY IF YOU STRONGLY
 DISAGREE OR DISAGREE WITH OPERATOR SHORTFALLS. AND WE HAVE A
 FEW HANDS UP. GREAT. IF FOLKS CAN RAISE HANDS HIGHER SO FOLKS
 CAN SEE. ANY OTHER COMMENTS BEFORE WE GO TO THE NEXT QUESTION?

SUE NOACK: I'LL MAKE A QUICK COMMENT FROM. MY PERSPECTIVE, 6 RAISED MY HAND I HAD ONE STRONGLY AGREE AND AGREE WITH 7 8 RESERVATION. SO I WASN'T A STRONG NO. FOR ME JUST THINKING ABOUT THE PUBLIC AND WHAT THEY WOULD BE UNDERSTANDING FOR IS A 9 PANDEMIC IMPACT. I THINK FROM AN OPERATOR REPORTED SHORTFALL, 10 11 I THINK THOSE WOULD HAVE OCCURRED PROBABLY REGARDLESS OF THE PANDEMIC. AND ALL THE TRANSIT AGENCIES HAVE TO ADJUST FOR THAT 12 OVER TIME. DIESEL COST, THINGS LIKE THAT, WE HAVE ALL HAD TO 13 ADJUST THAT IT'S NOT ALWAYS FUNDED. SO THAT'S -- I MEAN, I HAD 14 TO AGREE ON BOTH. I HAD MY LEANING TOWARD ADJUSTED FARES WAS 15 16 UNDERSTANDING FROM THE PUBLIC PERSPECTIVE.

17

18 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: NICK?

19

20 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: I THINK THE PANDEMIC RELATED STUFF IS
21 IMPORTANT BUT I WANT TO AGAIN MUNI IS THE BIGGEST IMPACT THAT
22 MINE HAS FELT DURING THE PANDEMIC AT THIS POINT IS LOST
23 PARKING REVENUE WHICH WAS GOING STRAIGHT INTO SERVICE AND
24 THAT'S PANDEMIC RELATED. SO I THINK IT'S SUPER IMPORTANT TO



TAKE THOSE AND OTHER PANDEMIC RELATED KIND OF ISSUES INTO
 ACCOUNT.

3

4 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ANY OTHER COMMENTS?

5

SPEAKER: NOT TO CHALLENGE BUT POINT OF ORDER. WE HAVE MADE SAN 6 FRANCISCO SO HORRENDOUSLY DIFFICULT FOR CARS TO COME TO SO 7 8 THAT NO 1 WILL DRIVE SO WE HAVE DRIVEN PARKING REVENUES DOWN AND THAT'S NOT THE ONLY REASON. LOOK AT THE CITY TODAY. WHO 9 THE HECK WOULD WANT TO COME INTO SAN FRANCISCO AND GO SHOPPING 10 OR BE ENTERTAINED IF IT REQUIRES THAT THEY USE THEIR CAR? FOR 11 A LOT OF PEOPLE AND A LOT OF FAMILIES THAT'S THE WAY TO GET IN 12 AND SO YES WE SHOULD MAKE TRANSIT BETTER FOR PEOPLE TO COME 13 INTO SAN FRANCISCO BUT WE SHOULD ALSO MAKE IT POSSIBLE FOR 14 15 PEOPLE TO DRIVE IN AND IF WE MAKE IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR THEM TO 16 DRIVE IN SO THAT THEY DON'T PARK AND WE DON'T GET PARKING 17 REVENUE OR SALES TAX REVENUE THEN WE SHOULDN'T COMPLAIN ABOUT IT. 18

19

20 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ANY OTHER COMMENTARY?

21

GINA PAPAN: YES I THINK THAT GOES ALONG THE LINES OF WHAT MR.
WUNDERMAN SAID HERE AND WHAT SUE SAID HERE IT DOESN'T REALLY
APPROACH WHAT THE VOTERS WOULD BE LOOKING FOR HERE. THERE IS A
LACK OF WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO GET FROM THIS. WHAT ARE WE

OCTOBER 21, 2024

DOING DIFFERENTLY. HOW ARE YOU GOING TO CHANGE THINGS. IT'S 1 JUST NOT -- IT'S LIKE, HERE IS OUR BIG DEBT AND YOU GOT TO 2 3 TAKE CARE OF IT. AND, SO, THAT REALLY IS SOMETHING THE VOTERS ARE NOT GOING TO -- WE KEEP TALKING ABOUT THE TRANSFORMATION 4 5 MEASURE, BUT IT IS NOT IN WHAT'S BEING PRESENTED. AND THAT MAKES IT VERY DIFFICULT FOR ME AND I THINK EVERYONE ELSE. IF 6 WE'RE TRYING TO SEND SOMETHING TO SACRAMENTO AND THEN TO THE 7 8 VOTERS, THIS IS JUST SUICIDE. WE GET IT BUT DON'T SEE CHANGES BUILT IN. SAN FRANCISCO PARKING IS EXPENSIVE. COUNTER 9 10 INTUITIVE. THIS IS NOT ENCOURAGING AT ALL. THIS IS WHY I HAVE A BIG PROBLEM WITH HOW THIS IS PRESENTED. THANK YOU. 11

12

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: I JUST WANT TO REMIND EVERYONE THAT THERE 13 IS GOING TO BE A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF POLLING IN SO WE'RE 14 NOT GOING TO BE OUT OF SYNC WHY WOULD WE PUT SOMETHING ON THE 15 16 BALLOT THAT NOBODY SUPPORTS. WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO THAT. YOU 17 ARE MTC, YOU HAVE SEEN BART'S POLL. A LOT OF DIFFERENT ORGANIZATIONS, I THINK JIM ORGANIZATION IS POLLING. WE'RE 18 GOING TO HAVE TO FIND WHAT IS THE TEMPERATURE OF THE VOTERS 19 AND IF YOU REMEMBER CORRECTLY THAT WAS THE GOAL THAT WE HAD 20 HERE PUT A MEASURE ON THE BALLOT THAT VOTERS WILL SUPPORT 21 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE SOME TO HAVE SOME GOOD DATA TO BRING 22 SOMETHING FORWARD THAT MIGHT PASS IF WE DON'T FIND A SOLUTION 23 THERE IS GOING TO BE FALL OUT IN THE REGION IT'S GOING HURT 24 THE ENVIRONMENT, ECONOMY, IT'S GOING AFFECT THOUSANDS OF JOBS, 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

BUSINESSES WON'T WANT TO LOCATE HERE. YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE A 1 METROPOLITAN AREA WITH FAILING TRANSIT SYSTEM. YOU HEAR THE 2 CONSTRUCTION PEOPLE GOING WE WANT THIS BALLOT -- IF WE DON'T 3 FIND A SOLUTION, SOME OF THE CAPITAL MONEY, AND A LOT GOING 4 5 INTO CONSTRUCTION A LOT OF THAT IS GOING TO MOVED SOMEWHERE ELSE. DO NOT LOSE SIGHT OF WHAT THE FALL OUT IS GOING TO BE IF 6 WE DON'T FIND A SOLUTION BUT POLLING IS GOING TO TELL US WHAT 7 8 THE VOTERS ARE GOING TO SUPPORT THERE IS A LOT OF RESENTMENT TO THE OPERATORS. IF WE PUT ANY MEASURE FORWARD THEN WE DON'T 9 CONDITION THAT MONEY. WE HAVE FAILED. WE CANNOT PAY YOU KNOW 10 ALLOCATE THESE RESOURCES WITHOUT THE CHANGES THAT THE PUBLIC 11 12 IS DEMANDING.

13

GINA PAPAN: FOLLOW UP ON THAT REAL QUICK HERE. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE SAME THING HERE. BUT IT'S NOT BEING PRESENTED IN THESE QUESTIONS. AND THAT'S THE PROBLEM HERE. AND I AGREE WITH YOU JIM. I KNOW IT'S A POLLING WE HAVE TO CHANGE THE SEQUENCE RIGHT NOW BECAUSE YOU'RE ASKING FOR OUR INPUT AND WE'RE PRESENTING SOMETHING YOU CAN'T GET SO FAR AHEAD IT CAN'T BE DONE LATER IT HAS TO BE PART OF THIS SHAPING DISCUSSION.

21

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: GINA EVERYBODY'S COMMENTS ARE BEING
RECORDED AND WE HAVE MADE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR ANYBODY WHO HAS
MINORITY POSITION OR DIFFERENT PROPOSAL FOR THIS SUBMIT IT TO



| 1  | US AND IT'S GOING GO TO THE COMMISSION AND IT'S GOING TO GO TO |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE LEGISLATURE. THOSE COMMENTS WILL BE INCLUDED.              |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | GINA PAPAN: SURE. WE HAVE, AND I JUST DON'T SEE THE CHANGES    |
| 5  | HAPPENING.   |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WELL THAT'S TO COME.                       |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | ADINA LEVIN: I WOULD LIKE TO ADD ON TO SOMETHING THAT WAS SAID |
| 10 | WHICH IS ABOUT THE VOTER VOTERS THAT THEY DON'T WANT TO BE     |
| 11 | FUNDING SHORTFALLS, THIS WAS SAID FROM TIME TO TIME, VTA HAS   |
| 12 | DEVELOPED NOT ABOUT RESTORING CUTS, PROVIDING SERVICE ARGUABLY |
| 13 | OUT ON THE STREET THAT REFLECTS GOOD NEWS APPROXIMATE          |
| 14 | PLANNING. AND GOOD NEEDS. SO THAT'S THE BIT I AGREE WITH THERE |
| 15 | IN TERMS OF WHAT TO FUND SHOULD INCLUDE THE SERVICE THAT       |
| 16 | PEOPLE WANT AND NEED AND AGENCIES HAVE PLANNED FOR.            |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: AND I SAID AT THE BEGINNING THIS IS NOT    |
| 19 | THE END OF THIS JOURNEY IT'S THE FIRST STEP WE HAVE A LONG WAY |
| 20 | TO GO TO GET TO SOMETHING THAT MEETS THE THREE OBJECTIVES.     |
| 21 | JOHN?  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 | SPEAKER: I WANT TO PUT OUT THERE CHAIR SPERING WHAT YOU SAID   |
| 24 | YOU HIT THE NAIL ON THE HEAD, YOU COULD HAVE DROPPED THE MIC   |
| 25 | AFTER WHAT YOU SAID. PRETTY MUCH DONE. ALL RIGHT. BUT ONE OF   |
|    |  |

OCTOBER 21, 2024

THE THINGS, FIND FRUSTRATING REPRESENTING WORKERS WHO WORK FOR 1 BART RAPID TRANSIT AND HOW TRANSIT AGENCIES IS THIS IS NOT THE 2 3 FAULT OF TRANSIT AGENCIES IT'S NOT THE FAULT OF THE WORKERS THIS IS THE FAULT OF PEOPLE DON'T WANT TO COME TO WORK. AND 4 5 BUSINESSES FAILURE TO MAKE THEM COME TO WORK. OKAY. YOU HAVE DESTROYED SOCIETY IN A LOT OF WAYS. AND IT'S GOING TO TAKE 6 DECADES TO RECOVER. OKAY? STOP POINTING THE FINGER AT THE 7 8 TRANSIT AGENCIES. WE DON'T HAVE TO CHANGE. IT'S KIND OF LIKE -- I DON'T NEED TO ATTACK ANY GENERAL MANAGER, WHEN YOU HIRE A 9 10 GENERAL MANAGER, THEY COME IN AND FLIP, WHAT THE HELL ARE THEY DOING, THEY'RE JUST CHANGING TO SAY THANK YOU FOR PAYING ME 11 EXTRA MONEY AND HIRING. NO LET'S TAKE THE MONEY GET IT TO MAKE 12 THINGS BETTER AND SERVE THE CUSTOMER. DOESN'T MEAN CUTTING 13 SERVICE DISAPPOINT MEAN GIVING RAISES AND A FAIR LIVING WAGE 14 15 TO TRANSIT WORKERS AND THAT KIND OF THE STUFF I'M HEARING IS 16 IN A DIFFERENT WAY IS CONTROL YOUR COST WHAT DOES CONTROL YOUR COST MEAN NOT PAYING A FAIR WAGE TO WORKERS? OKAY. BECAUSE 17 THERE IS NOT ANOTHER WAY TO CONTROL IT AIN'T GOING TO BE 18 DIESEL, ELECTRICITY, REDUCING COST IT'S NOT GOING TO BE 19 MAINTENANCE MAKING UNSAFE HOW DO WE MAKE THINGS BETTER LET'S 20 NOT USE THIS AS AN AS WAY TO OPPRESS THE WORKFORCE IN THE BAY 21 22 AREA

23

24 SPEAKER: WHY DON'T WE OPEN UP THE BART CONTRACT AND DISTRIBUTE 25 IT AROUND THE TABLE AND SEE IF ANYONE HAS SUGGESTIONS ON ANY

OCTOBER 21, 2024

WAY WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO IMPROVE SERVICE WITHOUT CUTTING
 PEOPLE'S WAGES. YOU SAID IT YOURSELF MY FRIEND YOU KNOW WHY
 SHOULD WE CHANGE I THINK THERE'S SOME REASONS WHERE YOU MIGHT
 WANT TO CONSIDER IT.

5

6 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: DAVID?

7

8 DAVID RABBITT: APPRECIATE ALL THE COMMENTS MADE. AND POINTS TO THE ISSUE WE HAVE IN FRONT OF US AND JIM YOU SAID IT WELL FOR 9 10 ME PERSONALLY FROM A COUNTY TO THE NORTH OPT IN COUNTY, I WANT TO REMAIN THE MOST FLEXIBILITIES POSSIBLE FOR US AND TO ALLOW 11 THE COUNTY ITSELF TO MAKE THE DECISION WHETHER OR NOT IT'S 12 BENEFICIAL OBVIOUSLY FROM THE REGIONAL PERSPECTIVE I THINK 13 SOME OF THIS GOES TO PUBLIC EXPECTATION AND AS YOU ANSWER 14 15 THESE OUESTIONS PART OF ME IS THINKING ABOUT MAINTAINING 16 FLEXIBILITY, THINKING ABOUT WHAT KIND OF MEASURE WOULD PASS AND I HOOK FORWARD TO POLL THAT'S GOING TO COME TO OUR FRIENDS 17 FROM OE3 AND CARPENTERS AND LABORERS. THOSE ARE MEAT AND 18 POTATOES KIND OF THINGS THAT VOTERS WILL BE ATTRACTED TO. I 19 KNOW IN MY COUNTY THEY ARE. A LOT MORE SO THAN SAYING WE'RE 20 21 GOING TO EXTEND ADDITIONAL TRANSIT SERVICE BECAUSE THAT'S THE TRUTH. WE ALL KNOW THAT BECAUSE WE HAVE SEEN THE POLLING BART 22 HAS DONE THEIR POLLING AND IT REFLECTS SOME OF THE ISSUES FROM 23 WHAT I UNDERSTAND AS WELL. AS CHAIR OF THE REGIONAL NETWORK 24 25 MANAGEMENT AND I APPRECIATE MELANIE BEING HERE AND TALKING

OCTOBER 21, 2024

ABOUT THE FOUR THINGS WE'RE WORKING ON. THOSE FOUR THINGS 1 AREN'T NECESSARILY GOING TO DRIVE VOTERS TO PAY MORE MONEY FOR 2 3 THAT. THOSE ARE THINGS THAT THE VOTERS OR TRANSIT RIDERS EXPECT TO BE IN LINE TODAY. MAPPING AND WAYFINDING. IT'S --4 5 YEAH, LET'S COORDINATE IT FARE INTEGRATION, COORDINATE IT. BUT THOSE AREN'T THINGS THAT YOU CAN GO OUT AND SAY WILL YOU PAY 6 AN EXTRA KNOW HALF CENT SALES TAX SO WE DO THIS. NO. PART OF 7 8 THIS IS WHAT WE'RE SELLING TO PEOPLE HOW WE'RE SELLING IT. FOR ME CAPITAL ALWAYS HAS TO GO ALONG WITH TRANSIT THAT'S FACT. WE 9 CAN PRETEND IT'S NOT. BUT I'LL ALSO SAY THE LETTER THAT WE GOT 10 ON THE NORTH BAY THERE, IS INTEGRATION COORDINATION GOING ON 11 AND IN FACT THE OTHER DAY THOSE SAME FOLKS DIDN'T COME AND 12 KNOCK DOWN A THREE AND A HALF MILLION DOLLARS CUT TO ONE OF 13 OUR TRANSIT AGENCIES THAT I VOTED AGAINST. SO THERE IS A LOT 14 15 OF DISINGENUOUS LETTER WRITING GOING ON AS WELL. SO I THINK 16 THAT WE NEED TO MOVE FORWARD AND TO THE COMMISSION WITH AS MUCH FLEXIBILITY AS POSSIBLE. BECAUSE THIS IS AN EVER 17 CHANGING. AND AS WE ALL KNOW THE POLLING IS GOING TO BE A 18 SNAPSHOT IN TIME THAT'S GOING TO BE DIFFERENT THAN IT'S GOING 19 TO BE EVENLY TO FOUR MONTHS FROM NOW AND ON AND ON WE NEED TO 20 21 GET SOMETHING IN FRONT OF FOLKS THAT WE THINK HAS THE BEST 22 CHANCE TO PASS.

23

24 SPEAKER: ALIGNING MY COMMENTS TO MR. WUNDERMAN IN THE INTEREST 25 OF TIME.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THESE COMMENTS ARE IMPORTANT. HELPS US
SHAPE THIS AS TO WHAT WILL COME FORWARD AND ALL COMMENTS WILL
BE FORWARDED TO THE COMMISSION SO THEY KNOW WHAT WAS DISCUSSED
HERE. OTHER COMMENTS? OKAY.

6

1

STUART COHEN: MOVING ON TO THE NEXT QUESTION. I ASKED FOR 7 8 STRONG OPINIONS. AND WE'RE GETTING THEM, WHICH IS GREAT. SO, HOW MUCH DO YOU AGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING DURATIONS AND 9 10 INVESTMENTS AND THE UPPER BAR IS FOR TEN YEARS TRANSIT ONLY. THAT ONE HAD A VERY HIGH AMOUNT OF AGREEMENT. AND THE THAT WAS 11 REALLY EXPRESSED BY OUR SCENARIO 1A, NEW TODAY, AND, ALSO, 12 MTA'S PROPOSAL. AND THE 30-YEAR, ALSO DOESN'T SUPPORT AT 11 13 OUT 20 AND EYE NUMBER OF A NUMBER OF NEUTRAL AND ABSTAINING. 14 IF YOU CAN RAISE THEM HIGHER SO THE PUBLIC CAN SEE THE UPPER 15 16 BAR. TEN YEAR TRANSIT BETWEEN AND ONE OR TWO ALL RIGHT. SHOWS POPULARITY. YELLOW FOR NEUTRAL, THEN DISAGREE OR STRONGLY 17 DISAGREE, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND FOR THE TEN YEAR. ALL RIGHT. 18 AND FOR THE 30-YEAR, THOSE WHO VOTED ONE OR TWO, THE AGREE, OR 19 STRONGLY, PLEASE RAISE. THANK YOU. AND THANK. THIS IS GOING 20 21 NICE. IT'S ALSO GOOD FOR THE BLOOD FLOW AT THIS POINT IN THE 22 MEETING. NEUTRAL OR ABSTAIN 30 YEARS. THANK YOU. FINALLY DISAGREE OR STRONGLY DISAGREE. THANK YOU. AND IF ANYBODY 23 WANTED TO, I KNOW SOME OF THE CONVERSATION HAS BEEN YOU KNOW, 24



NOT JUST ON THE QUESTION, BUT IF ANYBODY HAD A COMMENT ON THE
 QUESTION? COMMISSIONER MILEY?

- ANATHAN MILEY: THANK YOU. WELL, I DON'T THINK ALAMEDA COUNTY IS
  GOING TO SUPPORT A 30-YEAR MEASURE. I THINK A TEN YEAR MEASURE
  SPEAKS TO THE EMERGING EMERGENCY. AND QUITE FRANKLY, I LIKE
  THE OPERATORS PROPOSAL, THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, BUT I JUST
  DON'T THINK THE VOTERS ALLOW ME TO GET A SUPPORT FOR 30 YEARS.
  I HAVE BEEN ON THE BOARD AND COUNTY CITY COUNCIL, I LIVE IN
  THE COUNTY, I JUST DON'T THINK IT'S GOING TO FLY.
- 11

3

SUE NOACK: I WOULD GREE WITH NATE ON CONTRA COSTA COUNTY. I 12 THINK PEOPLE, OBVIOUSLY, WE'RE A BIG BART COUNTY AS IS 13 14 ALAMEDA. AND WE UNDERSTAND THE EMERGENCY SITUATION, THE DIRE 15 SITUATION, AND I COULD SEE VOTERS SUPPORTING, TRYING TO GET 16 OUT FROM UNDERNEATH THAT EMERGENCY SITUATION, BUT A 30 YEAR MEASURE IS GOING TO NEED SOMETHING MORE TO IT THAN JUST THAT. 17 AND I THINK THE COUNTY, SOME -- YOU KNOW, SOME PROMISE. COUNTY 18 FLEX WAY OUT IN 20 YEARS I DON'T THINK IS -- NOT A LOT OF 19 PEOPLE ARE GOING TO PUBLIC THAT, TO VOTE FOR THAT. SO THAT'S 20 21 WHY, I MEAN, I HAD AGREED WITH BOTH VARIATIONS. BUT I THINK THE 10-YEAR, WOULD FLY BETTER IN CONTRA COSTA THAN 30-YEAR. 22 23

24 SPEAKER:

25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: YEAH SIMILARLY IN MARIN COUNTY, TEN
 YEAR OPTION APPRECIATE THE OPERATORS BRINGING THAT FORWARD
 SEEMS TO BE STRAIGHT FORWARD TO ME BUT ALSO WAIT TO SEE
 POLLING BECAUSE THERE ARE PRIORITY PROJECTS IN MARIN ELSEWHERE
 THAT WOULD BE BENEFICIAL AS WELL, THAT'S WHAT I'M GOING WAY
 WAIT FOR IS THE POLLING.

7

8 GINA PAPAN: I THINK THE VOTERS ARE GOING HAVE AN EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TIME WITH 30 YEARS BECAUSE YOU DON'T SEE THE 9 10 RESULTS. TEN YEARS, IT'S ALMOST LIKE YES YOU HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY. YOU HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SUCCEED IN THE VOTERS 11 CAN SEE THAT. SO, I THINK THAT'S A LOT MORE PALATABLE. BECAUSE 12 THEY WANT RESULTS. SO, YES, I WAS AGAINST BOTH ON THIS FRONT. 13 I DO THINK IF IT'S 10-YEAR THOUGH, YOU HAVE TO ALLOW FOR SOME 14 FLEXIBILITY WITHIN THE COUNTY. BECAUSE FOR MY COUNTY, MAYBE 9% 15 16 OF BART'S OPERATIONS ARE REFLECTED IN SAN MATEO COUNTY. BUT YOU'RE ASKING THE WHOLE COUNTY TO PARTICIPATE HERE. AND SO 17 THAT'S WHY SOME FLEXIBILITY BUILT IN THERE WOULD MAYBE BE 18 PALATABLE FOR THIS COUNTY TO SUCCEED. WE HAVE HEARD FROM THE 19 UNIONS HERE AND WE HAVE A LOT OF INFRASTRUCTURE. WE HAVE TO 20 21 PAY FOR THE FARE GATES THERE, BUT WITH GRADE SEPARATION AND ALL THAT WE CAN DEFINITELY USE FUNDING ALONG THOSE LINES SO 22 THE WAY IT WAS WRITTEN I HAD BEEN NO ON BOTH BUT TEN YEARS IS 23 PALATABLE AND IF YOU BUILT IN SOME FLEXIBILITY FOR THE 24 COUNTIES IT MIGHT BE SOMETHING WE COULD DO WITH. THANK YOU. 25



1

3

OCTOBER 21, 2024

2 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU GINA.

SPEAKER: I MENTIONED THIS AT OUR LAST MEETING I'M VOTING 4 5 NEUTRAL BECAUSE MY CONCERN IS THAT WE ACTUALLY REACH AGREEMENT IF WE DON'T FIND A SOLUTION CONSEQUENCES WILL BE DEVASTATING, 6 ECONOMICALLY, SOCIALLY, ENVIRONMENTALLY, ACROSS THE BOARD. SO 7 8 WE DO NEED TO REACH A SOLUTION HERE. WE HAVE HAD A LOT OF CONSIDERATIONS ABOUT HOW WE CAN IMPROVE TRANSIT THROUGH THIS 9 PROCESS. I WOULD JUST GENTLY REMIND US WE WILL NOT IMPROVE 10 TRANSIT IF TRANSIT GOES BROKE. IT'S JUST NOT GOING TO HAPPEN. 11 FIRST THING THAT NEEDS TO HAPPEN IS WE ACTUALLY KEEP IT 12 SUSTAINED AND THINK ABOUT WHAT THE IMPROVEMENTS LOOK LIKE. I 13 WILL SAY MY EXPERIENCE -- AND I DO THINK WE'RE DOING A -- WE 14 15 HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED THIS, BUT WE ARE, ALL OF US, HOLDING A LOT 16 OF ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT WHAT WILL AND WILL NOT BE VIABLE AND WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A TIME PERIOD THAT'S TWO YEARS FROM NOW, 17 AND WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN TWO WEEKS FROM NOW. 18 SO WE HAVE REALLY -- REALLY HAVE TO GIVE OURSELVES FLEXIBILITY 19 TO BE ADAPTIVE TO WHAT THE PUBLIC IS CONCERNED ABOUT AT THE WE 20 21 ACTUALLY GO TO THE VOTERS. I THINK THAT'S ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL. AND ASSUMPTIONS THAT WE'RE HOLDING ABOUT WHAT WILL 22 MAKE A MEASURE PASS, MAKE A MEASURE LOSE WE HAVE TO TEST THAT. 23 AND ALSO PART OF ALSO WHY I WAS NEUTRAL ON TEN VERSUS 30 I WAS 24 NEUTRAL ON AMOUNT OF MONEY WE RAISE IS BECAUSE MY PERSONAL 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.



EXPERIENCE WITH TRANSPORTATION MEASURES, THE CONSTRAINING 1 FACTOR IS VOTER SUPPORT. AND WE WILL GET TO THAT POINT. BUT 2 3 WE'RE NOT THERE YET. BECAUSE THE POLLING THAT WE HAVE SEEN SO FAR I DON'T THINK CAN INFORM WHAT WE'RE GOING TO BE GRAPPLING 4 5 WITH IN 24 MONTHS. LAST THING I WILL SAY ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR ITEM IS THAT WE HAVE THE CONSTRUCT OF TEN YEARS OF TRANSIT 6 ONLY, 30 YEARS OF MULTIMODAL. MY PERSONAL EXPERIENCE IS WE 7 8 DON'T PASS TRANSIT ONLY MEASURES WE PASS TRANSIT PLUS MEASURES AND I WOULD CONSIDER TEN YEARS ENCOURAGE US AGAIN TO BUILD THE 9 FLEXIBILITY TO INCORPORATE SOME ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE PLAN 10 COMPONENTS AS A WAY THAT WE COULD POTENTIAL GENERATE 11 ADDITIONAL SUPPORT. 12

13

14 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. MAYOR?

15

16 SPEAKER: ALICIA SAID IT WELL. THOSE ARE MY POINTS. I WE SHOULD OFFER A PERSPECTIVE WHY WE SHOULD STAY OPEN TO 30 YEAR. FROM 17 OUR PERSPECTIVE IN SANTA CLARA COUNTY WE NEED TO RENEW A 30 18 YEAR SALES TAX MEASURE WITHIN THE NEXT DECADE I THINK WE HAVE 19 BIAS TOWARD A LONGER TIME HORIZON TO ALICIA'S POINT WITHOUT 20 21 MORE APOLOGY GETTING CLOSER IT'S HARD TO KNOW WHAT EXTENT 22 DURATION IS GOING TO INFLUENCE VOTERS VERSUS MANY OTHER VARIABLES IN THIS I ADMISSION THINK THERE IS A LOT MORE TO 23 OFFER FOLKS WITH LONGER TERM MEASURES IN TERMS OF THE 24 POTENTIAL BENEFITS WHICH PEOPLE ARE GOING TO BE LOOKING FOR IF 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

1 WE'RE GOING TO PUT IN ALL THE EFFORT TO RUN A MULTI-COUNTY
2 MEASURE AND ALL THAT EXPENSE WE CAN GET MORE YEARS THAT'S
3 PROBABLY A GOOD THING THEN GET CREATIVE AND HAVE COUNTIES LIKE
4 SANTA CLARA FRONT LOAD COMMITMENTS WHILE OTHER COUNTIES FOCUS
5 ON CORE OPERATIONS AND THEN LATER YEARS WE HAVE MORE
6 FLEXIBILITY OVER 30 YEAR TIME HORIZON BING THE POLLING SHOULD
7 GUIDE WHAT WE DO ON THIS QUESTION.

8

STUART COHEN: I DON'T SEE ANY OTHER LIGHTS. NEXT OUESTION 9 DEALS WITH HOW MUCH DO YOU AGREE WITH USE OF REVENUE 10 MECHANISMS AND THIS WAS THE ONE THAT HAD THE INTERESTING 11 RESULTS WHERE SALES TAX THAT SUPPORT OF 13 OUT OF 20 THEN 12 STRONGLY DISAGREE WITH THOSE WHO WEREN'T AND THEN PAYROLL TAX 13 PARCEL WERE KIND OF EXACTLY THE SAME WITH SEVEN AND SUPPORT 14 TEN IN DISAGREEMENT AND OTHERS NEUTRAL. WE'LL DO OUICK RAISING 15 16 OF HANDS STARTING WITH SALES TAX FOR THOSE WHO AGREE OR STRONGLY AGREE. RAISE YOUR HAND. GREAT. NEUTRAL OR ABSTAIN ON 17 SALES. THEN DISAGREE OR STRONGLY DISAGREE ON SALES. THANK YOU. 18 MOVE TO PAYROLL. RAISE YOUR HAND ONE OR TWO ON PAYROLL ON ALL 19 RIGHT. NEUTRAL OR ABSTAIN ON PAYROLL GREAT FINALLY DISAGREE OR 20 21 STRONGLY DISAGREE ON PAYROLL. GREAT. WELL, IF MY MEMORY WAS GOOD, I WOULD SAY NOW IF EVERYBODY WAS EXACTLY THE SAME. 22 23 PARCEL TAX. RAISE YOUR HAND IF YOU WERE AGREE OR STRONGLY AGREE ON PARCEL THIS IS INCLUDING TO EXPLORE IT THANK YOU. 24 ABSTAIN OR NEUTRAL. THEN FINALLY DISAGREE OR STRONGLY DISAGREE 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

1 ON PARCEL. GREAT. WELL THANK YOU ON THAT. OF COURSE, WE HAVE 2 HAD CONVERSATION ON THESE MECHANISMS BUT IF THERE WERE ANY 3 ADDITIONAL INPUTS YOU WOULD LIKE TO OFFER ON THEM. POINT THAT 4 HAVEN'T BEEN BROUGHT UP OR WHY YOU'RE SO STRONGLY FEELING THAT 5 WAY. YEAH.

6

GINA PAPAN: OKAY. YEAH, I MEAN, I HAVE A JURISDICTION, 20 7 8 DIFFERENT CITIES HERE ALL DIFFERENT PARCEL TAXES, IT BECOMES EXCEPTIONALLY DIFFICULT. BECAUSE EVERYBODY IS GOING FOR 9 DIFFERENT ITEMS AND DIFFERENT OBLIGATIONS SO IT BECOMES REALLY 10 COMPLICATED IN MY JURISDICTION SO THAT'S WHY I DON'T THINK IT 11 WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT FOR THE VOTERS. BECAUSE EVERYBODY HAS 12 GOT DIFFERING CONCERNS AND THEY'RE ALREADY PAYING SO MUCH IN 13 14 THEIR VARIOUS CITIES.

15

16 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU. SUE?

17

SUE NOACK: TAKING A DIFFERENT APPROACH TO THE SAME CONCEPT. I 18 JUST WANT TO BE AS FLEXIBLE AS POSSIBLE WITH ALL OF THEM STILL 19 ON THE TABLE. AS WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT IN THE PREVIOUS ONES, 20 21 HAVING THE VARIOUS OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO US TWO YEARS FROM NOW 22 I THINK IS IMPORTANT. WE DON'T KNOW WHETHER BAHFA IS GOING TO GO FORWARD, PARCEL TAX ON AFFORDABLE HOUSING BOND COULD AFFECT 23 PARCEL TAXES. I MENTIONED BEFORE A LOT OF CITIES FACING AND 24 COUNTIES FACING UNFUNDED PENSION LIABILITIES THAT THEY MAY 25



NEED TO USE SALES TAX FOR THIS IS ONE OF THOSE THAT I THINK IS 1 SUPER IMPORTANT TO BE A POLLING QUESTION MORE THAN ANYTHING 2 3 ELSE. AND WE'LL HAVE A BETTER IDEA AS WE GO DOWN THE ROAD WHERE PEOPLE HAVE CAPACITY OR DON'T. THANKS. 4 5 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: STEPHANIE? 6 7 8 STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: I'M GOING TO PLUS ONE THAT. I VOTED TO AGREE WITH ALL THESE FUNDING MEASURES BECAUSE EACH COUNTY 9 10 NEEDS TO MAKE UP THEIR DECISION ON WHAT WORKS BEST FOR THEM AND WE'LL KNOW MORE WHEN THE POLLING HAPPENS. 11 12 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THANK YOU. OTHER? I DO NOT SEE ANY 13 14 OTHER LIGHTS. OH JIM. 15 16 SPEAKER: I THINK THERE IS A LOT -- THERE WAS A LOT OF SADNESS WHEN THE BAHFA MEASURE DIDN'T MAKE IT, AND THERE IS -- YOU 17 KNOW, I KNOW WE WILL DO THE POLLING IN THE FUTURE BUT IF WE 18 WERE TO DO IT YESTERDAY OR TODAY WE'LL FIND THAT HOUSING IS 19 PROBABLY THE TOP ISSUE IN THE REGION BY A LOT, AFFORDABLE 20

21 HOUSING. SO THAT GROUP REALLY FEELS STRONGLY THAT PARCEL TAX

- 22 IS THEIR WAY FORWARD. AND THERE IS MAYBE A STRONGER NEXUS
- 23 BETWEEN WHAT IS EFFECTIVELY REAL ESTATE TAX AND THE
- 24 DEVELOPMENT OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING. AND, SO, I JUST THINK, YOU 25 KNOW, MAYBE -- YOU KNOW, IT'S BEEN SAID WE'RE SERVING THE SAME



CONSTITUENTS E TRANSIT DEPENDENT PEOPLE, PEOPLE WHO ARE BEING
 PUSHED OUT OF THE REGION AND SO FORTH. SO MAYBE THERE IS SOME
 COORDINATION THAT COULD ULTIMATELY BE DONE BETWEEN THOSE TWO
 EFFORTS BUT FOR US TO GO OFF ON OUR OWN I THINK IS NOT GOING
 TO BE -- IS NOT THE RIGHT THING TO DO.

6

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: MY MEETINGS AND DISCUSSIONS WITH THE 7 8 HOUSING ADVOCATES, THEY'RE VERY SUPPORTIVE OF SOLVING THIS TRANSIT PROBLEM. BUT THEY ALSO WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THEIR 9 OPPORTUNITIES ARE GOING TO BE AVAILABLE IN THE FUTURE. AND SO, 10 YOU KNOW, EVERYBODY I HAVE TALKED TO FROM THAT COMMUNITY THEY 11 WANT TO WORK TOGETHER THAT WE UNITE OUR EFFORTS. HOUSING IS 12 CRITICAL. ESSENTIALLY FOR THE NEXT GENERATION. SO WE HAVE TO 13 RESOLVE THAT. SO. OTHER? OKAY. ALICIA. 14

15

16 ALICIA LAWRENCE: SO, WE STRONGLY DISAGREED WITH ALL THE MEASURES AND I WANT TO GIVE CONTEXT AS TO WHY. FOR US, ARE THE 17 POLICY CONSIDERATIONS HAVE ALWAYS BEEN DEEPLY INTERTWINED WITH 18 WHATEVER MOVES FORWARD. AND SO WHILE WE FEEL COMFORTABLE 19 WEIGHING IN ON SOME OF THE PROVISIONS HERE IN REGARDS TO 20 21 EXACTLY WHAT TYPE OF TAX, WE THINK WE SHOULD BE ASKING VOTERS TO SUPPORT, WE CAN'T ASK VOTERS TO SUPPORT SOMETHING IF WE 22 23 DON'T KNOW WHAT THE POLICY CONSIDERATIONS ARE. AND I THINK EVERYONE KNOWS THAT OUR OFFICE INCLUDES GOVERNANCE REFORM AND 24 25 SOME AMOUNT OF CONSOLIDATION.



1

OCTOBER 21, 2024

2 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU. OKAY. 3 STUART COHEN: ALL RIGHT. THE VERY LAST ONE. LAST QUESTION. HOW 4 5 MUCH DO YOU AGREE WITH THESE TAX STRUCTURES OR FUNDING FRAMEWORKS? AND THIS WAS THE SINGLE TAX, WHICH, YOU KNOW, HAD 6 ABOUT TEN IN SUPPORT. SOME STRONGLY DISAGREEING. MULTIPLE TAX 7 8 SOURCES. THERE WAS A LOT OF INTEREST IN CONTINUING TO EXPLORE, WE HAVE ABOUT 14 AGREEING. THE VARIABLE RATE, ALSO HAD A LOT 9 OF INTEREST. AND THEN A LITTLE BIT MORE TREPIDATION ABOUT 10 ALLOWING FOR FINANCING OR LOANS, BUT STILL EIGHT IN SUPPORT. 11 AND SEVEN THAT DISAGREED WITH IT. I THINK, BECAUSE THAT'S NEW, 12 THERE WAS ALSO A NUMBER OF NEUTRAL AND ABSTAIN. AND, SO, WE'RE 13 GOING TO RUN THROUGH THESE QUICKLY WITH A RAISE OF HANDS. WE 14 15 HAVE THE RESOLUTION, THEN WHAT WAS BROUGHT UP BY COMMISSIONER 16 PAPAN, BY ALICIA, ABOUT ACCOUNTABILITY AND OTHER GOVERNANCE 17 ISSUES, OUR NEXT ITEM IS ON THOSE EXACT POLICY PROVISIONS. SO WE'LL MOVE THROUGH THIS AND HAVE A RESOLUTION BUT JUST KNOW 18 THAT WE WILL BE GETTING TO THOSE WE'RE JUST SEQUENCING WITH 19 FUNDING MEASURES FIRST. SO, IF YOU AGREED OR STRONGLY AGREED 20 21 WITH THE SINGLE TAX, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND. I HAVE ABOUT TEN HANDS UP. GREAT. NEUTRAL OR ABSTAIN. SUPER. AND THEN DISAGREE. 22 AND IT LOOKS LIKE ONLY STRONG DISAGREE ON THAT ONE. OKAY. ON 23 MULTIPLE TAX SOURCES THIS HAS 43 DEGREES OR STRONGLY 24 25 DISAGREES. PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND ON AGREES, STRONGLY

## OCTOBER 21, 2024

DISAGREES. NEUTRAL, MULTIPLE TAX, WHO DISAGREES WITH MULTIPLE 1 2 TAX? OKAY. THANK YOU. VARIABLE RATE. THIS WAS GOING TO BE MTA, 3 IF YOU STRONGLY AGREE OR AGREE, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND. THANK YOU. MUTUAL OR ABSTAIN? EXCELLENT. AND THEN JUST A FEW WHO 4 5 DISAGREED WITH THIS. OR STRONGLY DISAGREED. THANK YOU. AND THE 6 FINAL QUESTION, ALLOWING FOR FINANCING OR LOANS, WHO AGREES OR STRONGLY AGREED WITH THIS ONE IN THANK YOU. AND THEN WE HAVE 7 8 NEUTRAL OR ABSTAIN SHOULD BE A FEW OF THESE. AND FINALLY DISAGREE AND STRONGLY DISAGREE. OKAY. THANK YOU. CHAIR 9 10 SPERING, I'LL HAND IT BACK TO YOU FOR THE MOTION.

11

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WHAT I HAVE ASKED STAFF TO DO, AND YOU ALL 12 HAVE BEEN GIVEN A COPY. THE REASON I WANT TO TAKE THIS 13 APPROACH IS TO SEE IF WE CAN AGREE ON SOME CORE PRINCIPLES OR 14 ELEMENT THAT IS WHEN IT GOES TO THE COMMISSION THAT THESE ARE 15 16 ADDRESSED AND INCLUDED. I DIDN'T SEE US VOTING AND GETTING CONSENSUS ANY OF THE OPTIONS OR NOTARY SCENARIOS BUT AT LEAST 17 WILL HELP WITH THIS FRAMEWORK. A FRAMEWORK THAT CAN BE 18 MODIFIED TO MEET WHATEVER THE POLLING IS. THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE 19 TRIED DO. LOOKING AT IT THIS AGENDA ITEM 4B, THIS IS A HANDOUT 20 21 IF YOU CAN LOOK AT THAT. THE FIRST BULLET IMPLEMENT TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION 10% IMPROVEMENTS THAT MEDICATE SYSTEM MORE 22 CONNECTED AFFORDABLE AND RELIABLE AND ENSURE HALF OF THESE 23 FUNDS ARE INVESTED IN PROPORTION TO EACH COUNTY'S 24



CONTRIBUTION. IS THAT SOMETHING EVERYONE AGREES ON? OR DOES 1 2 ANYONE DISAGREE? 3 ALIX BOCKELMAN: CHAIR SPERING, WHILE YOU'RE WAITING FOR PEOPLE 4 5 TO RAISE THEIR HAND CAN WE PULL UP ITEM 4B MOTION I THINK IT'S CALLED IF THE TECH TEAM CAN PULL IT UP AND THERE SHOULD BE 6 7 SOME EXTRA COPIES ON THE TABLE FOR THOSE IN THE PUBLIC. 8 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YES. DOES ANYONE WANT TO COMMENT ON THIS? 9 SUE? 10 11 SUE NOACK: THE ONLY THING I WOULD CHANGE ON THIS IS ACTUALLY 12 INVESTING BY 10%. BECAUSE WE WANT SOME FLEXIBILITY THERE, IF 13 THERE IS SOME TRANSFORMATIONAL THINGS THAT COULD BENEFIT THE 14 15 RIDERS, I WOULD WANT FLEXIBILITY THERE IN SAYING INVESTING AT 16 LEAST 10%. 17 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. NICK? WE'RE LOOKING AT THIS SHEET 18 19 THAT WAS HANDED OUT TO EVERYONE. AT THE TOP IT SAYS AGENDA ITEM 4B AREAS OF AGREEMENT ON SCENARIOS. OKAY. I HAD STAFF PUT 20 21 THIS TOGETHER SO EVERYBODY COULD SEE IT IN WRITING AND YOU CAN 22 RESPOND. NICK THEN ALICIA. 23 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: IT STRIKES ME THIS IS THE ONLY TIME WE 24

25 MENTIONED A PERCENTAGE HERE IN THIS WHOLE DOCUMENT. I THINK

This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

IT'S APPROPRIATE TO CONTINUE TO CONSIDER TRANSFORMATIVE ARE 1 TRANSIT INVESTMENTS. BUT I THINK PEGGING IT AT 10% OF ANY 2 3 REVENUE MEASURE SEEMS OVERLY SPECIFIC AT THIS STAGE. AND THAT IT MIGHT BE MORE, YOU KNOW, IT MIGHT END UP BEING 5% BECAUSE 4 5 OF THE WAY THE POLLING COMES OUT AND WE NEED TO PUT MORE OF THAT MONEY INTO THE OPERATIONS OR SOMETHING ELSE. SO, IT JUST 6 FEELS LIKE THE -- IT JUST FEELS OVERLY SPECIFIC TO ME. SO, I 7 8 WOULD INVESTING REVENUE IN KEY IMPROVEMENTS SEEMS MORE APPROPRIATE TO ME. 9 10 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU. ALICIA? 11 12 ALICIA LAWRENCE: DITTO. 13 14 15 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. GINA? 16 GINA PAPAN: YOU HAVE GOT NATE DOWN THERE. I WOULD AGREE. IF WE 17 DON'T HAVE IT BUILT IN I DO NOT SEE THE PUBLIC BUYING IN AT 18 ALL AND I THINK THE BART POLLING JUST SHOWED THAT. I THINK THE 19 POLLING IS GOING TO BE VERY DETERMINATIVE OF WHAT THIS IS WE 20 21 WERE RUNNING AROUND I DON'T THINK WE'RE KIND OF RUN BE AROUND BART POLLING SHOWED THAT UNLESS WE HAVE THIS BUILT IN I DO NOT 22 THINK THERE IS ANY CHANCE OF ANYTHING PASSING. I WOULD AGREE 23 WITH SUE 10% WE HAVE TO SHOW SOMETHING TRANSFORMATIVE AND THE 24 OPERATORS HAVE ALREADY AGREED TO ALL OF THIS LET'S BE SPECIFIC 25



FIVE YEARS AGO THEY WERE PART OF THE TRANSFORMATION PLAN AND 1 2 NOW IF YOU'RE GOING TO RECEIVE FUNDING, IT'S EXTREMELY 3 IMPORTANT THAT THIS IS IN THE MEASURE. 4 5 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU. MAYOR? 6 SPEAKER: JUST QUICKLY, IF TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION ARE THE 7 8 CATEGORIES WE SHOWED IN THE SLIDE DECK EARLIER WHICH I THINK THEY ARE, I AGREE WITH THE COMMENT EARLIER MADE THAT'S THE 9 TABLE SIX THAT'S WHAT THE VOTERS EXPECT US TO ALREADY BE DOING 10 WAY FINDING SCHEDULE COORDINATION AND COORDINATE THE FARE 11 THAT'S ALL GOOD WORK WE SHOULD ALL BE COMMITTED TO IT I AGREE 12 WITH NICK. NOW WE'RE AT 10% WE NEED TO PASS THIS TO GET SORT 13 SUPPORT MAY NOT BE THE SPECIFIC CATEGORIES WE HAVE IDENTIFIED 14 15 FOR THE NETWORK TRANSFORMATION WORK WE'RE DOING. FRANKLY, AND 16 THIS IS WHERE NICK AND I PROBABLY DISAGREE, WE MIGHT HAVE TO MENTION POTHOLES. AND I THINK WE SHOULD LEAVE OURSELVES -- WE 17 SHOULD BE COMMITTED TO INVESTING IN THIS WORK BUT NOT ASSIGN A 18

19 SPECIFIC PERCENTAGE UNTIL WE HAVE BETTER VETTED THAT AND OTHER20 THINGS TO HELP GET THIS OVER THE LINE.

21

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: AS YOU READ THIS IT JUST SAYS KEY
IMPROVEMENTS THAT MAKE THE SYSTEM MORE CONNECTED AFFORDABLE
AND RELIABLE AND ENSURE HALF OF FUNDS ARE INVESTED IN
PROPORTIONS OF EACH COUNTY SO IT DOESN'T SPECIFICALLY TALK



ABOUT THE NETWORK MANAGER. SO THIS IS FAIRLY BROAD AND WE'RE 1 2 TRYING TO AGREE THERE SHOULD BE CARVE-OUT FOR THESE ISSUES. 3 SUE NOACK: AND ACTUALLY I WOULD HAVE SAID WE NEED TO DO MORE 4 5 THAN THOSE FOUR THINGS THAT'S WHY I HAD AT LEAST 10%. BECAUSE 6 -- NO, AND YOU KNOW, I WAS SO LONG DOING THAT WE NEED TO DO 7 MORE OF THAT AND THEREFORE MORE THAN 10%. 8 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WE TRIED TO BROADEN IT JUST BECAUSE OF 9 10 WHAT WE HAVE HEARD IN THE REGION. NATE? 11 NATHAN MILEY: I AGREE AT LEAST 10%. FOR THE LAST 30 YEARS, IN 12 THE LAST TEN YEARS, A PRESIDENT OF AN ORGANIZATION WHO WORKS 13 WITH OLDER ADULTS THROUGH THE ALAMEDA COUNTY WORKS WITH THE 14 DISABLED AND AS WELL SPEAKS TO EQUITY AND I THINK AT LEAST 10% 15 16 IT'S A DISSERVICE. 17 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. MY TRAVELS AROUND, PEOPLE WANT TO 18 SEE CHANGE AND WANT TO SEE VISION AND A BETTER SEAMLESS SYSTEM 19 AND I THINK THIS ADDRESSES THAT. SO, IS THERE OPPOSITION TO 20 21 SAYING AT LEAST 10%? DOES KNOB OPPOSE THAT? CINDY. I KEEP 22 OVERLOOKING YOU. I APOLOGIZE. 23

24 **CINDY CHAVEZ:** NO PROBLEM. I APOLOGIZE TO MY COLLEAGUES FOR NOT 25 BEING IN THE ROOM. I THINK 10% WHAT MAKES ME MINDFUL OF THAT

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

DIFFERENT COUNTIES ARE USING PARCEL TAX OR SALES TAX THAT 1 AMOUNT WILL HAVE DIFFERENT IMPACT TO EACH OF THE CANYONS AND 2 3 POTENTIALLY EVEN TO THE BLOCKS OF COMMUNITIES THAT ARE VOTING ON CONCURRENTLY. SO, I AGREE WITH NICK THAT I DON'T THINK WE 4 5 SHOULD HAVE A PERCENTAGE. I DON'T DISAGREE THESE SHOULD BE PRIORITIZED AND I DO THINK WE NEED TO SAY THERE NEEDS TO BE 6 SET ASIDE FOR INVESTING IN THESE PARTICULAR ACTIVITIES BUT THE 7 8 AMOUNT, I THINK MAYBE OVERLY PRESCRIPTIVE AT THIS TIME. 9 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. OTHER COMMENTS THAT? OKAY. SO, SUE 10 11 HAS TO INCLUDE AT LEAST 10%. IS THERE ANYBODY OPPOSED TO THAT? OKAY. AND THEN, YOU KNOW -- OKAY. THERE IS A HAND. 12 13 CINDY CHAVEZ: NOT SUPPORTIVE OF THAT. NO. THANK YOU. 14 15 16 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. AND YOU KNOW, THIS WILL TAKE ON A LOT OF DIFFERENT FORMS AS WE MOVE FORWARD BUT WHAT WE'RE 17 TRYING TO DO IS SEND A MESSAGE TO BOTH THE COMMISSION AND 18 LEGISLATURE THAT THERE HAS TO BE AT LEAST SOME COMMITMENT 19 THAT'S WHY WE HAVE BEEN FOCUSING ON THAT 10%, 5% AT DISCRETION 20 21 OF THESE COUNTIES 5% FOR REGIONAL SHARE. LET ME GET BACK TO AT 22 LEAST 10%. HOW MANY PEOPLE SUPPORT THAT? OKAY. SO THOSE OF YOU THAT DIDN'T RAISE YOUR HAND, WHAT IS IT YOU WOULD LIKE TO SEE 23 CONSIDERED? ANYBODY? OKAY. DO YOU -- GO AHEAD, ALICIA. YOU ARE 24 25 MISS NEUTRAL TODAY. [LAUGHTER]

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

> 1 ALICIA LAWRENCE: FLEXIBILITY. FLEXIBILITY. MY PROPOSAL WOULD 2 3 BE IMPLEMENT TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION BY INVESTING IN KEY IMPROVEMENTS AND WOULD JUST BE SILENT ON THE PERCENTAGE AT 4 5 THIS MOMENT IN TIME. 6 7 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. SO, HOW DOES EVERYONE FEEL ABOUT 8 THAT? WE'RE SILENT ON IT AND IT'S TO BE DETERMINED IN THE FUTURE? IS THAT SOMETHING WE CAN MOVE FORWARD? 9 10 SPEAKER: NO. 11 12 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OH YOU CAN'T? 13 14 15 SPEAKER: NO. 16 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. I WOULD STATE VISION PORTION IS IN 17 18 SOME GUARANTEE MONEY WILL BE INVESTED IN IT AND THIS'S WHAT'S GOING TO MOVE THE VOTERS SO WE HAVEN'T AND NATE IS CORRECT WE 19 HAVE TO MAKE SURE THOSE CHANGES ARE IN THERE. SO HOW ABOUT 20 21 WITH THE ORIGINAL WORDING WHERE WE SAY BY INVESTING 10% THAT 22 KIND OF FIND NEUTRAL GROUND? THAT -- WHEN IT GOES TO THE 23 COMMISSION -- KEEP IN MIND THAT THIS IS GOING TO BE SOMETHING THAT'S GOING TO BE DEBATED AT THE COMMISSION FOR SURE. 24

OCTOBER 21, 2024

OCTOBER 21, 2024

NICK JOSEFOWITZ: I WAS GOING TO SAY MAYBE THE MOST IMPORTANT 1 2 THING IS WE DELIVER THE IMPROVEMENTS RATHER THAN EXACTLY HOW MUCH MONEY WE SPEND ON THEM. AND YOU KNOW, DEPENDING ON THE 3 SIZE OF THE MEASURE, WE CAN, YOU KNOW, MAYBE DEVOTE SLIGHTLY 4 5 LESS A PERCENTAGE TO DELIVER ON THE KEY IMPROVEMENTS AND IF IT'S A SMALLER MEASURE WE MIGHT NEED TO SPEND A LITTLE BIT 6 MORE ON IT BUT I THINK RATHER THAN KEYING IT TO THE 7 8 PERCENTAGE, SO, I MEAN, MAYBE IMPLEMENT TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION BY DELIVERING IN KEY IMPROVEMENTS TO MAKE THE SYSTEM MORE 9 10 CONNECTED, AFFORDABLE, AND RELIABLE?

11

ADINA LEVIN: FUNDING AND DELIVERING, BECAUSE IF THERE IS NO FUNDING AT ALL YOU WIND UP WITH HISTORICAL IMPASSE WHERE YOU CAN'T DO FARE COORDINATION BECAUSE NOBODY'S AGREEING ON MONEY AND FUNDING BECAUSE WE'RE AGREEING THAT IT'S FUNDING BUT YOU DO NOT MAYBE AT 100% YOU KNOW THIS'S EXACTLY 10%.

17

18 SPEAKER: 44 COUNTY MEASURE HOW DO YOU MAKE THIS INTEGRATED. IN 19 THE PREVIOUS TASK FORCE WE WERE TALKING ABOUT A NINE COUNTY 20 SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT AND NOW WE'RE TALKING ABOUT FOUR COUNTY 21 MEASURE AND IT SEEMS TO ME THEY DON'T FIT NEATLY TOGETHER. 22

23 SPEAKER: I WAS GOING TO SAY IT SOUNDS LIKE THE MAIN
24 DIFFERENCES ARE, IN GENERAL, THERE IS AGREEMENT THAT THERE IS
25 A NEED TO DELIVER, FUND AND DELIVER THESE IMPROVEMENTS TO SOME

This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

EXTENT THERE IS CONCERN ABOUT TRADEOFFS BETWEEN ABILITY TO 1 FUND AND MAINTAIN SERVICE LEVELS AND GROW SERVICE VERSUS 2 3 DELIVERING THESE TRANSFORMATIVE IMPROVE PERMANENTS THERE'S CONTINGENT AND ABSOLUTELY WANT TO SEE A PERCENTAGE IN THERE SO 4 5 MAYBE SOME WAY TO FORWARD AND INCLUDE SOME OUALIFYING LANGUAGE THAT MAINTAINS A PERCENTAGE OF INVESTMENT THAT'S ENUMERATED 6 BUT ADDS IN THE LANGUAGE OF THE MOTION AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT THAT 7 8 COULD THIS COME WITH TRADEOFFS TO MAINTAINING OR EXPANDING SERVICE AND THAT WOULD NEED TO BE FURTHER DISCUSSED BY THE 9 COMMISSION LEGISLATURE ET CETERA SO TRYING TO FIND GROUND 10 WHERE YOU IDENTIFY BUT THE TRADEOFF EXISTS NEEDS TO BE 11 12 DISCUSSED FURTHER THERE'S CONVERSATION FOR EXAMPLE, ABOUT 10%. 13

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: I WANT TO CLARIFY HOW WE FOCUS ON THAT ALL 14 15 THE INPUT WE'RE GETTING IS THE PUBLIC IS NOT GOING TO SUPPORT 16 SOMETHING THAT YOU DON'T IDENTIFY YOU HAVE FUNDING FOR. SO, YOU HAVE TO TRUST THIS WILL BENEFIT IN THE FUTURE. WE'RE NOT 17 HAVING PEOPLE SAY WE TRUST YOU WE'RE NOT, THAT THEY WANT 18 DEFINITION ARE YOU GOING TO DELIVER THESE CHANGES AND HOW ARE 19 YOU GOING TO FUND IT YOU CAN'T BE VAGUE ON THAT ISSUE IF YOU 20 21 EXPECT VOTERS TO SUPPORT IT. JUST GIVING YOU A BACKGROUND. 22 STEPHANIE.

23

24 **STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS:** PURPOSE OF THIS GROUP IS TO TEE UP 25 THE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE DISCUSSION TO FULL MTC COMMISSION



I WOULD BE IN FAVOR OF LEAVING IT AT 10% THEN LETTING THE 1 COMMISSION TALK ABOUT IT IN THE FULL BODY. LEAVE IT IN. 2 3 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: CAN EVERYONE LIVE WITH LEAVING IT IN AND 4 5 WE'LL FURTHER HAVE ANOTHER BITE AT THIS APPLE? THERE A CONSENSUS TO LEAVE 10% IN I SEE A LOT OF NODDINGS HEADS. I 6 THOUGHT DOING IT THIS WAY WOULD BE REAL SIMPLE. [LAUGHTER] 7 8 I'LL PROBABLY BE REPLACED OF THERE IS ANOTHER MEETING. SO OKAY YOU GOT SOME CONSENSUS THAT. THANK YOU ALL I APPRECIATE THE 9 10 COMMENTS. FRAMEWORK CONSIDER SCENARIO 1, 1A, AND THE HYBRID BUT THE MEASURE SHOULD INCLUDE AT LEAST FOUR CORE COUNTIES 11 FROM SCENARIO ONE, ALAMEDA, SAN FRANCISCO, CONTRA COSTA AND 12 SAN MATEO. OPEN FOR DISCUSSIONS. NICK GO AHEAD. 13 14 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: I APPRECIATE KEEPING IT OPEN BUT THINK IT'S 15 16 IMPORTANT WE ALSO CONTINUE TO INCLUDE THE OPERATOR MEASURE. WHICH DOESN'T SEEM TO BE -- DID I --17 18 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YEAH. THE REASON WE HAD THE OPERATORS 19 PRESENT TODAY SO THE COMMITTEE COULD SEE THAT AND WE DIDN'T 20 21 WANT YOU TO BE SURPRISED WHEN THAT COMES FORWARD BUT THAT WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE COMMISSION IF YOU WANT TO ADD THAT 22 23 LANGUAGE IN THERE.

24

25 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: I WOULD -- YES.



1

OCTOBER 21, 2024

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: SO WE WOULD ADD THAT. THAT WAS OUR INTENT 2 3 AND WHY WE SHOWED IT TO YOU TODAY. YES, MANNY? 4 5 SPEAKER: THANKS JIM. FROM MY OWN PERSONAL HISTORY IT'S THE EASIEST THINGS THAT ARE MOST DIFFICULT ONES THAT LOOK EASIER 6 ARE ACTUALLY HARDEST. WE'RE NOT GOING TO SUPPORT THIS BULLET. 7 8 WE'RE NOT GOING TO SUPPORT IT BECAUSE WE'RE NOT SUPPORT OF ANY OF THE SCENARIOS WE WANT TO BE CLEAR WE'RE A HARD NO ON THIS 9 10 ONE. 11 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU FOR THAT. OTHER COMMENTS ON THIS 12 ITEM? GINA? 13 14 GINA PAPAN: I WANT TO THANK JONATHON FOR ALL THAT HARD WORK. 15 16 THE DISCUSSION KEEPS GOING HERE BUT WE CAN'T BE SUPPORTIVE OF THIS EITHER AT THIS POINT IN TIME, OR I CAN'T. MR. TALKATIVE 17 TO MY LEFT I'LL LET HIM SPEAK FOR HIMSELF. 18 19 DAVID CANEPA: I GUESS I'LL SPEAK THANKS GINA, APPRECIATE IT. 20 LOOK. I HAVE A BEVY OF ISSUES WITH WHEN'S MOVING FORWARD 21 TODAY. WE'LL BE VOTING NO. BIGGEST ISSUE IS AROUND CONSENSUS 22 BUILDING MY HOPE WAS AT THE END OF THIS PROCESS, THE SELECT 23 COMMITTEE THAT WE COULD REALLY LAND ON SOMETHING. I DO NOT 24 KNOW AND I'M HEARING THE COMMENTS TODAY FROM THE LABOR 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

COMMUNITY WE HAVE DONE THAT AND THAT'S A GREAT CONCERN. MR. 1 2 CHAIR, I HAVE A QUESTION IN TERMS OF TIMELINE IS THERE 3 ADDITIONAL TIME BEFORE THIS GOES TO THE COMMISSIONS TO HAVE POTENTIALLY ANOTHER MEETING? I DO LOOK AT POTENTIALLY ITEM --4 5 OR WAS IT 1A? THERE MAY BE A POTENTIAL PATHWAY THERE. SO, I'M NOT CLOSING THAT OFF. BUT I JUST WANT TO UNDERSTAND, BECAUSE 6 THAT HAS BEEN BROUGHT FORWARD TO US TODAY, IF WE DO HAVE THE 7 8 ABILITY ON THE SELECT COMMITTEE TO MAYBE TEASE THAT OUT A LITTLE BIT MORE? 9

10

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YEAH, YOU KNOW, I HAVE RECOMMENDED TO 11 STAFF THAT WE SHOULD NOT HAVE ANOTHER MEETING, THAT WE NEED TO 12 ADVANCE THE COMMENTS WE'RE HEARING TODAY AND CONCERNS. IT'S 13 GOING GO BEFORE THE LEG COMMITTEE AND THERE WILL BE PLENTY OF 14 15 DISCUSSION THERE AT THE LEG COMMITTEE TO FINE TUNE SOME OF 16 THESE THINGS. WE'RE TRYING TO BUILD CONSENSUS OR AT LEAST WHAT SHOULD BE FORWARDED FOR CONSIDERATION. IT DOESN'T MEAN THIS IS 17 WHERE IT'S GOING TO END UP WE'RE JUST TRYING TO BUILD 18 CONSENSUS TODAY OF MOVING THESE CORE ISSUES FORWARD. SO THAT 19 WAS THE PURPOSE OF THIS AND THERE IS GOING TO BE PLENTY MORE 20 BYTES AT THE APPLE AS WE SAID EARLIER IT'S GOING TO GO TO THE 21 LEG COMMITTEE, COMMISSION, AND LEGISLATURE. AND EVERYBODY IS 22 GOING TO WEIGH IN AT ALL THREE LEVELS AND THE TIMELINE THAT 23 WE'RE UP AGAINST TO GET THIS BEFORE THE LEGISLATURE IS 24 CRITICAL. AND SO WE CAN'T, YOU KNOW, FOR THIS TIMELINE WE JUST 25



CAN'T FALL BEHIND THAT'S WHY IT'S SET UP THE WAY IT IS. BUT
 PLENTY OF OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE THESE DISCUSSIONS.

3

4 DAVID CANEPA: MR. CHAIR JUST WANT TO UNDERSTAND IN TERMS OF
5 THE LEGISLATIVE TIMELINE YOU WANT TO MOVE FORWARD BECAUSE WE
6 DON'T HAVE ENOUGH TIME? OR -- I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THE
7 LEGISLATIVE SESSION AND HOW THAT WORKS OUT RELATIVE TO US NOT
8 HAVING AN ADDITIONAL MEETING.

9

10 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YEAH, NO. I JUST EXPLAINED WHY. IT'S 11 BECAUSE WE WANT IT TO GO BEFORE THE LEG COMMITTEE AND BEFORE 12 THE COMMISSION BEFORE THE END OF THE YEAR. SO IF WE HAVE --13 YOU KNOW, WE SAID THIS IS GOING TO BE OUR LAST MEETING. DO I 14 NOT SUPPORT HAVING ANOTHER MEETING IF WE CAN FOLLOW-THROUGH ON 15 THESE AND GET CONSCIENCE US THAT WE CAN ADVANCE TO THE 16 COMMISSION.

17

GINA PAPAN: MR. CHAIR, I SUSPECT YOU'RE GOING TO ADD IN WHAT'S 18 BEING CALLED THE OPERATOR PROPOSAL? IT'S SINGLE OPERATOR. SO 19 GOING AHEAD HERE WE NEED TO SAY, WE -- I MEAN, BECAUSE THERE 20 21 ARE OTHER BOARDS OUT THERE, A WHOLE BUNCH OF OTHER OPERATOR 22 BOARDS OUT THERE THAT I DON'T THINK HAVE ACTUALLY AGREED TO ALL THIS. SO, IT'S GOING TO BE THE SFMTA PROPOSAL I THINK WE 23 SHOULD BE SPECIFIC BECAUSE IT'S A BIT MISLEADING WHEN WE SAY 24 OPERATOR PROPOSAL IT IS SINGLE OPERATOR. 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. SO WE CAN BE SPECIFIC. AND, AGAIN IF SOMEBODY HAS ANOTHER PROPOSAL, WE'RE SAYING SUBMIT IT TO US IN WRITING AND WE WILL INCLUDE IT. WE'RE NOT PRECLUDING ANY OTHER OPTIONS OR PROPOSALS.

6

1

SPEAKER: COMMISSIONER CANEPA, I THINK, AS THIS MOVES FORWARD, 7 8 YOU KNOW, MAJORITY OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE OR COMMISSIONERS, AND, SO, FOR THE SAKE OF THIS SPEED THAT WE NEED TO GET TO 9 10 NOW, TO BE ABLE TO HONE SOMETHING TO THE LEGISLATURE, TO HAVE THE NEXT VERY SUBSTANTIVE ON THIS TO BE OF THE FULL COMMISSION 11 AS A SPECIAL COMMISSION MEETING THAT WAS SET UP JUST SO THAT 12 THIS IS THE ONLY AGENDA ITEM WILL ALLOW IN-DEPTH DISCUSSION ON 13 THIS. AND YOU KNOW, THE EXACT POLLING TIMELINE HASN'T BEEN 14 15 WORKED OUT, BUT YOU KNOW, IDEALLY, I REALLY HEARD A LOT ABOUT 16 WE NEED POLLING TO UNDERSTAND VOTER SENTIMENT, AND YOU KNOW, SO WE'LL KIND OF SEE ABOUT THAT. BUT I ALSO JUST WANTED TO, 17 WHAT YOU ARE VOTING ON TODAY, YOU KNOW, WE JUST DO NEED TO 18 START THE NARROWING PROCESS. SOMEBODY DESCRIBED THIS AS A 19 FUNNEL. AND, SO, YOU KNOW, THAT FUNNEL, THAT'S KIND OF WHAT 20 21 THIS RESOLUTION IS MEANT. THESE ARE THINGS THAT WILL BE POLLED 22 AND EXPLORED.

23

24 DAVID CANEPA: IDEALLY IT WOULD HAVE BEEN HELPFUL FOR THE
25 SELECT COMMITTEE IF WE HAD POLLING. RIGHT? SO, AS WE WORKED ON

This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

1 THIS FOR FIVE MONTHS AND AS WE'RE TEASING SCENARIOS OUT OR
2 TALKING ABOUT DIFFERENT SCENARIOS, I THINK IT WOULD HAVE BEEN
3 HELPFUL IN THE PROCESS IS IF WE HAD THE DATA POINT. WE'RE AT A
4 POINT RIGHT NOW WHERE IT'S, LIKE, YOU KNOW, THE POLLING IS
5 GOING TO COME LATER. AND I'M JUST CURIOUS, MAYBE ANDY CAN
6 ANSWER THIS OR ALIX, WHY DIDN'T WE POLL DURING THIS POSSESS?
7 I'M JUST CURIOUS.

8

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ONE OF THE REASONS WE DIDN'T POLL IS 9 BECAUSE WE DIDN'T THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE BEFORE THE 10 ELECTION. A LOT OF THIS IS GOING TO CHANGE AFTER THIS 11 ELECTION. WE FELT ONCE WE KNOW THE RESULTS OF THE PRESIDENTIAL 12 ELECTION WE'RE GOING TO KNOW WHETHER WE'RE IN A BETTER OR 13 WORSE POSITION. BUT TO POLL RIGHT NOW, I THINK IT WILL BE VERY 14 15 MISLEADING. BUT YOU DO HAVE THE BART'S POLL, SO THAT GIVES YOU 16 PRETTY GOOD INDICATION WHERE WE'RE AT THIS POINT TIME.

17

DAVID CANEPA: I'LL PUSH BACK ON THOSE COMMENTS. THE IDEAL TIME 18 TO POLL WOULD HAVE BEEN THIS YEAR. OBVIOUSLY, BECAUSE IT'S A 19 PRESIDENTIAL YEAR IN THE SAMPLE OF VOTERS AND, SORT OF, YOU 20 21 KNOW, IT WOULD HAVE GIVEN US A CLEAR PATHWAY. BUT NOW WE'RE AT A POINT RIGHT NOW WHERE IT'S LIKE WE'RE LOOKING AT OPTIONS AND 22 BEING ASKED TO MAKE A DECISION WITHOUT ALL THE DATA. AND WITH 23 THAT I TAKE ISSUE WITH THAT. I APPRECIATE YOU GIVING ME A 24 25 CHANCE TO SPEAK MR. CHAIR.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

1 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YOU'RE NOT BEING ASKED TO MAKE A DECISION. 2 3 YOU'RE BEING ASKED TO ADVANCE WHAT THE COMMISSION SHOULD BE CONSIDERING. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE SAYING. SO WHAT WE HAVE DONE IN 4 5 THIS BULLET IS ADDED THE OPERATOR'S PROPOSAL. IS THERE 6 ANYTHING ELSE ANYBODY WOULD LIKE TO ADD? JOHN? 7 8 SPEAKER: I WOULD JUST SAY IF WE HAD THE SFMTA PROPOSAL WOULD BE GOOD. THE COMMITTEE WOULD GET A SURVEIL OF THE OTHER 9 OPERATORS IF THEY AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THAT PROPOSAL. 10 11 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YEAH. WE CAN DEFINITELY PROVIDE THAT. GO 12 THROUGH THAT PROCESS GET THAT BEFORE THE COMMISSION BEFORE THE 13 LEG COMMITTEE. YEAH. I THINK THAT'S A GOOD SUGGESTION. 14 15 16 SPEAKER: CHAIR, WE SUBMITTED A LETTER AS TO OUR OPPOSITION. I DON'T WANT IT TO BE CONFUSED THAT WE JUST WANT TO, SORT OF, GO 17 ALONG, YOU KNOW. THE FUNNEL NEEDS TO BE NARROWED MORE AND 18 POINTED BETTER AND MAYBE, WE WOULD LIKE, AS WE SAY IN OUR 19 LETTER, WE WOULD LIKE TO GET TO A PLACE WHERE WE WOULD BE 20 21 SUPPORTIVE OF THIS AND I HOPE WE WILL, BUT AT THIS STAGE IT'S TOO BROAD AND INCLUDES THINGS WE'RE OPPOSED TO AND I WANT IT 22 TO BE ON THE RECORD AND THERE ARE THINGS NOT INCLUDED IN IT 23 THAT WE THINK SHOULD BE. 24 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. TRYING TO GET A CONSENSUS MOVING THE
FRAMEWORK TO THE COMMITTEE AND TO THE LEDGE COMMITTEE. IS
THERE SUPPORT TO ADVANCE THIS? WITH THE SFMTA PROPOSAL. SO, IS
THERE ANY OPPOSITION TO IT? AT LEAST --

5

6 SPEAKER: OKAY. WE WERE OPPOSED TO IT BUT BY ALL MEANS DO WHAT7 YOU HAVE TO DO.

8

9 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WE'RE JUST ADVANCING THIS TO THE
10 MAJORITIES OF THE PEOPLE I SEE NODDING THEIR HEADS TO ADVANCE
11 THIS TO THE COMMISSION.

12

DAVID CANEPA: MR. CHAIR I'M OPPOSED TO IT RIGHT NOW THAT MAY 13 CHANGE. I DON'T KNOW. BUT I THINK WHAT'S IMPORTANT IS REALLY 14 15 GETS TO THE DATA, UNDERSTANDING WHAT THAT POLLING IS SAYING. 16 BUT RIGHT NOW WHAT'S BEFORE US IT'S VERY BROAD. I MEAN THIS, IN TERMS OF SPECIFICS, IT'S JUST REALLY NOT THERE YET. IT'S 17 NOT BAKED. I KNOW THERE IS A PROCESS. BUT ALL THESE MULTIPLE 18 OPTIONS WITHIN ONE MOTION, IT'S A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT FOR ME. 19 I HAVE NEVER SEEN THAT IN THAT WAY. BUT, YOU KNOW, AT THE END 20 21 OF THE DAY, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO MOVE THIS FORWARD AND I 22 RESPECT THAT.

23

24 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: AND DAVID, YOU'RE IN A UNIQUE COMMISSION.
25 YOU'RE CHAIRING THAT PLACE COMMITTEE. SO, I THINK YOU'RE GOING

OCTOBER 21, 2024

1 TO HAVE A SAY ON WHAT COMES BEFORE THAT COMMITTEE. SO WE'RE
2 GOING TO GO AHEAD AND ADVANCE THAT WITH THE ADDITION OF THE
3 SFMTA OPERATORS PROPOSE A MOVE ON TO NEXT. ALL REVENUE
4 MECHANISMS DISCUSSED IN THE SELECT COMMITTEE SALES TAX PAYROLL
5 TAX PARCEL TAX SHOULD BE EXPLORED. WE POLLED HERE WHAT THE
6 PUBLIC HAS APPETITE FOR.

7

8 SUE NOACK: SHOULD WE BE HAVING SOMETHING ABOUT WHETHER WE WILL 9 BE HAVING SOMETHING WHETHER WE DO HYBRID AS WELL AS POLLED NOT 10 ONLY SING LATERAL BUT HYBRID MODE.

11

12 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YEAH WE COULD DO THAT DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING 13 TO ADD? STAFF ARE YOU KEEPING TRACK? OKAY. ANY OTHER COMMENTS 14 ON THIS? I MEAN IF POLLING AND THE PUBLIC IS GOING TO DRIVE 15 THIS SO OKAY. ANY STRONG OPPOSITION TO THIS?

16

20

17 SPEAKER: MR. SPERING YES WE'LL OPPOSE TO THIS BECAUSE OF THE 18 ADDITIONAL SALES TAX MEASURE PROPOSED HERE BEYOND SALES TAX SO 19 WE'RE OKAY WITH THE SALES TAX BUT THE OTHERS WE'RE NOT.

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY ALL THIS SAYS TO POLL IT. SO. ALL
RIGHT. ANY OTHER? LET'S MOVE TO THE NEXT BULLET THE SELECT
COMMITTEE RECOGNIZE THE IMPORTANCE OF SAN FRANCISCO MUNI TO
THE REGION AS THE LARGEST OPERATOR BY RIDERSHIP AND CRITICAL
SYSTEM FOR REGIONAL TRIPS INTO SAN FRANCISCO ANY REGIONAL

OCTOBER 21, 2024

MEASURE THAT DOESN'T COME CLOSE TO ADDRESSING MUNI'S DEFICIT 1 2 WILL MEAN MUNI NEEDS ADDITIONAL FUNDING IN THE REGION MTC AND 3 OTHER STAKEHOLDERS SHOULD ASSIST IMMUNE IN THE EFFORT TO SECURE THESE SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDS AND THE REASON I THINK THIS IS 4 5 IMPORTANT IS WE CAN'T NEGLECT THE IMPORTANCE THAT MUNI PLAYS IN THIS REGION SO REALLY FELT THIS NEEDS TO BE AT LEAST 6 HIGHLIGHTED AND BROUGHT FORTH TO THE COMMISSION TO HELP FIND 7 8 SOLUTIONS FOR MUNI NOT ONLY THROUGH THIS MEASURE BUT OTHER OPPORTUNITIES THAT WE HAVE TO EXPLORE TO HELP ADDRESS MUNI'S 9 10 CHARGES SO THAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THIS.

11

12 GINA PAPAN: THROUGH THE CHAIR.

13

14 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: GO AHEAD GINA.

15

16 GINA PAPAN: I APPRECIATE SFMTA THOUGHT PROCESS ON THIS AND I THINK THEY ARE LOOKING AT WHAT THEY CAN DO FOR THEIR OWN 17 DEFICIT HERE. BUT I THINK TO BRING THIS UP AT THIS POINT IN 18 TIME IS NOT REALLY APPROPRIATE. BECAUSE YOU HAVE GOT BART HAS 19 A SHORTFALL, CALTRAIN -- THE OTHER OPERATOR -- I THINK THIS 20 21 TYPE OF FOCUS AT THIS POINT TIME FOR ONE CITY, I'M NOT SURE 22 THIS IS APPROPRIATE. NOT TO SAY WE CAN'T BRING IT UP IN THE FUTURE, BUT, AGAIN, I THINK JONATHON HAD A GREAT PLAN. IF 23 THEY'RE WILLING TO DO THAT BIG PARCEL TAX MORE POWER TO THEM. 24 BUT I THINK TO COMMIT TO THAT I THINK THIS IS PREMATURE. I MAY 25



BE THE ONLY ONE THINKING THIS, WE'RE TALKING REGIONAL THIS IS
 JUST ONE ASPECT OF THAT, AND I DON'T THINK TO COMMIT TO THIS
 AND BRING THE FULL COMMISSION TO THAT POINT I THINK IT'S
 PREMATURE.

5

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. I FELT THIS WAS CONSISTENT. WE HAVE 6 SAID THIS FROM THE BEGINNING, BART, MUNI, AC, AND CALTRAIN. 7 8 YOU KNOW, IF WE GO THROUGH THIS PROCESS WE JUST TOTALLY IGNORE SAN FRANCISCO OR MUNI'S NEEDS, THEY MOVE, A LOT OF PEOPLE IN 9 10 THAT SYSTEM, THEY MOVE A LOT OF PEOPLE THAT TRAVEL INTO THAT REGION. IT'S EXTREMELY IMPORTANT TO THE RECOVERY OF SAN 11 FRANCISCO, WHICH I THINK AFFECTS ALL OF US. SO WE JUST DIDN'T 12 WANT THIS ISSUE TO BE LOST SO THAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THIS AND 13 IT REALLY FORWARDS THE DISCUSSION. AND AS I SAID, THIS PROBLEM 14 15 CAN BE SOLVED THROUGH MULTIPLE SOURCES AND I THINK THE 16 COMMISSION SHOULD EXPLORE THAT. AND, SO, WAS THE PURPOSE OF IT. 17

18

19 ADINA LEVIN: A DIFFERENT WAY OF MAKING A VERY SIMILAR POINT?
20

21 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: SURE.

22

ADINA LEVIN: THIS DOES CALL OUT ONE OF THE AGENCIES THAT'S
FACING A FISCAL CLIFF BUT I THINK A DIFFERENT ANGLE ON THAT IS
THAT SAN FRANCISCO IS SERVED BY ALL OF THE AGENCIES FACING THE

OCTOBER 21, 2024

FISCAL CLIFF. MUNI, SAN FRANCISCO, MINE THROUGH SAN FRANCISCO, 1 CALTRAIN THROUGH SAN FRANCISCO, AC TRANSIT SAN FRANCISCO, AND 2 GOLDEN GATE SAN FRANCISCO. IF THERE ISN'T A SOLUTION THAT 3 WORKS FOR SAN FRANCISCO, THEN THE ENTIRE SET OF REGIONAL 4 5 SOURCES IMPLODES. SO, I THINK IN THE INTEREST OF HAVING A FUNCTIONAL SYSTEM IN THE BAY AREA, I THINK THERE NEEDS TO BE 6 SOLUTIONS THAT ARE VIABLE FOR SAN FRANCISCO IN OUR OWN SELF-7 8 INTEREST WE DON'T LIVE IN SAN FRANCISCO BECAUSE WE DON'T WANT THE REGIONAL SYSTEMS TO UP-- [INDISCERNIBLE] BECAUSE THEY'RE 9 SERVING ALL THE OF THE AGENCIES WITH THE FISCAL CLIFF THAT WE 10 NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT SAN FRANCISCO WORKS FOR SAN FRANCISCO 11 12 NO? OKAY.

13

14 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: CINDY? GO AHEAD CINDY.

15

16 CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. I DO THINK WE HAVE MULTIPLE SYSTEMS THAT NEED HELP AND THE REASON WE'RE HAVING THIS CONVERSATION 17 IS NOT JUST FOR ONE SYSTEM. BUT I DO REALLY APPRECIATE THAT 18 THIS IS A REALLY CRITICAL SYSTEM. BUT I DO WORRY THAT WHAT 19 WE'RE ACTUALLY COMMITTING OURSELVES IS HELPING MUNI AND 20 21 PERHAPS NOT OTHER ORGANIZATIONS. I KNOW THAT'S NOT THE INTENT. BUT IF WE WERE MORE ROBUST AND INCLUSIVE IT WOULD BE LESS 22 TROUBLESOME. THE OTHER THING I HAVE BEEN INTERESTED IN DOING 23 SOMETHING CUSTOMIZE PERIOD IS I THINK THERE'S A WAY FOR ALL OF 24 US TO SUPPORT THE ENTIRE REGION BUT NEEDS TO BE DONE IN 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

1 CONTEXT OF PARTNERSHIPS THAT WE HAVE ALREADY AS AN EXAMPLE IF 2 SAN FRANCISCO WASN'T ABLE TO PICK UP ITS PORTION OF CALTRAIN 3 FOR TWO YEARS AND THAT REQUIRED CALTRAIN FOR US TO DO IT BUT 4 THERE WOULD BE SOME REMUNERATING BACK BUT NOT IF WE'RE ONLY 5 LOOKING AT HELPING ONE ENTITY AND I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S NOT 6 THE INTENT BUT THAT HOW IT READS.

7

8 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: GOOD POINT CINDY.

9

10 SPEAKER: I AGREE IF WE WERE GOING TO NAME SPECIFIC OPERATORS
11 WE SHOULD NAME ONES THAT ARE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT I HAVE ARGUED
12 FROM THE BEGINNING TWO TRANSIT SYSTEMS OF REGIONAL

13 SIGNIFICANCE THAT REALLY TOUCH MULTIPLE JURISDICTIONS ARE BART 14 AND CALTRAIN AND PROBABLY FIRST AND FOREMOST NEED TO BE LOOKED 15 AT THEN FIGURE OUT ALL THE OTHER SYSTEMS BUT NAMES OF FOUR OR 16 5, 4 IN PARTICULAR IF WE WERE GOING TO NAME ONE, I WOULD NAME 17 ALL OF THEM. I THINK PULLING ONE OUT IN THE LARGEST BULLET 18 HERE IN THIS LIST FEELS LIKE MISPLACED EMPHASIS AND FEELS 19 CONFUSING TO ME AT THIS POINT THE CONVERSATION.

20

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: GOOD POINT. I THINK MAYBE WE DIDN'T
PRESENT IT IN THE WAY WE WERE THINKING. YOU KNOW, AS THESE
DISCUSSIONS HAVE GONE ON, MUNI HAS JUST KIND OF BEEN DROPPED
OUT OF A LOT OF THE CONVERSATIONS. SO, WE FELT IT WAS VERY
IMPORTANT THAT WE AT LEAST HIGHLIGHT. BUT YOU KNOW, I COULD



CERTAINLY AGREE TO ADDING -- WELL I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY -- WE
 COULD SAY THE FOUR OPERATORS, PLUS SMALL OPERATORS COULD BE
 PART OF DISCUSSION. RYAN?

4

5 SPEAKER: CONTEXT I HAVE BEEN GATHERING FROM DISCUSSION IS THERE IS JUDICIAL SUPPORT ACROSS THE REGION FOR STRATEGIES 6 THAT HELP RESOLVE, THAT HELP ASSIST IN LOCAL AND THE REGION 7 8 HELPING TO SOLVE TRANSIT OPERATING SHORTFALLS ACROSS THE REGION WHERE IF IT'S NOT NECESSARILY JUST -- IT'S DUE TO THE 9 CONTEXT OF SAN FRANCISCO AND THE MTC MEASURES HAVING A SMALL 10 PERCENTAGE OF SHORTFALL BEING COVERED IT SEEMS TO BE MOST 11 SALIENT BUT IT'S PROBABLY A TRUE STATEMENT I WOULD GATHER THAT 12 THERE IS A DESIRE TO REALLY PUSH FOR OVERALL HELPING 13 SUPPORTING EFFORTS BY LOCAL ENTITIES TO SEEK SELF-HELP AND 14 15 IMPROVE AND SEEK ADDITIONAL FUNDS TO ADDRESS THAT. SO, I THINK 16 BASED ON THE CONTEXT IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL TO BROADEN THE LANGUAGE TO SUPPORT OPERATORS WHOSE NEEDS ARE NOT COMING CLOSE 17 TO BEING MET NOT JUST MUNI BUT ANY POTENTIAL OPERATORS IN 18 EFFORTS TO SECURE SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDS TO MEET THOSE NEEDS 19 PERHAPS ENUMERATING ADDITIONAL SPECIFIC OPERATORS JUST 20 21 REMOVING REFERENCE TO SPECIFIC OPERATORS JUST INSTEAD 22 MENTIONING ALL IN GENERAL JUST ANY OPERATORS THAT BECAUSE WE 23 HEARD OF ENTITY THAT HAVE NEEDS FOR EXAMPLE INCREASED SERVICE INVESTING IN CLIMATE RESILIENCY IMPROVEMENTS FOR THEIR 24 25 OPERATIONS, I THINK WE CAN AGREE THAT WE SHOULD BE SUPPORTING



AND TRYING TO IDENTIFYING AND SECURING SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDS TO 1 2 MEET THOSE NEEDS. 3 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: I WOULD BE RECEPTIVE TO DROPPING THIS 4 5 BULLET. WE ARE ALWAYS TALKING ABOUT BRINGING THOSE FOUR. 6 SPEAKER: IF I COULD INTERJECT THERE IS AN ADDITIONAL IMPORTANT 7 8 POINT BEING MADE HERE THAT IT'S POSSIBLE THAT THE REGIONAL MEASURE MAY NOT SOLVE ALL NEEDS. IT IS A OUESTION OF WHAT TO 9 DO ON TOP OF THAT AND I DO THINK THAT IF THIS BULLET WAS MADE 10 AGENCY AGNOSTIC IT COULD HELP ADDRESS THAT PHILOSOPHICAL 11 OUESTION OF WILL WE CONTINUE HELPING EACH OTHER TRYING TO 12 SECURE SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING IN ADDITION TO THAT. 13 14 15 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. NICK? 16 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: FOR A MOMENT THERE I THOUGHT WE MIGHT BE ABLE 17 TO, SORT OF, SAVE MUNI AND WE GOT REAL CLOSE. I APPRECIATE US 18 GETTING CLOSE. [LAUGHTER] MAYBE AT THE NEXT MEETING. 19 20 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: SO, MAYBE THAT SECOND PARAGRAPH THERE WAS 21 22 ADDITIONAL MEASURE DOESN'T COME CLOSE TO ADDRESSING OPERATOR'S DEFICIT WILL MEAN ADDITIONAL FUNDING AND REGIONAL MTC OTHER 23 AND STAKEHOLDERS SHOULD ASSIST OPERATORS IN OUR EFFORTS TO 24 SECURE THESE SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDS. SO WE JUST BROADEN IT? 25



1

OCTOBER 21, 2024

SUE NOACK: I LIKE YOUR OPTION OF DROPPING THE ENTIRE BULLET 2 3 POINT. 4 5 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YOU CAN DEFINITELY MAKE THAT MOTION. 6 SUE NOACK: BECAUSE I THINK, YOU KNOW, YOU'RE GOING HAVE, 7 8 YOU'RE GOING HAVE AC TRANSIT THAT, YOU KNOW, IS IN A COUPLE OF COUNTIES, AS WELL, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE -- THERE IS A LOT --9 YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE SMALL OPERATORS THAT COULD BE IMPACTED. I 10 THINK POINTING OUT ONE HERE IS GOING TO BE DIFFICULT. I 11 UNDERSTAND THE UNIQUE ASPECTS OF MUNI. BUT I THINK THAT CAN BE 12 ADDRESSED WHEN WE LOOK AT THE EXPENDITURE PLAN, THAT SHOULD BE 13 ADDRESSED IN THE EXPENDITURE PLAN AND PROBABLY NOT IN THE 14 MOTION AT THIS POINT. 15 16 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: CAN I QUICKLY SPEAK? 17 18 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YEAH. 19 20 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: I DON'T HAVE I DON'T HAVE WITH CHALLENGE IS 21 FOR MINE MTC OFFERED ALTERNATIVES TO COVER LIKE 30% OF MUNI'S 22 NEEDS. FOR OTHER TRANSIT AGENCIES THEY COVER MOST OF THEIR 23 NEEDS YOU KNOW NO ONE'S GETTING EVERYTHING THEY WANT BUT BART, 24 CALTRAIN AC GET MOST OF THEIR NEEDS COVERED BY THAT MEASURE. 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

SO IRRESPECTIVE OF, LIKE, SAN FRANCISCO BEING THE MOST SPECIAL 1 2 OF ALL THE SPECIAL PLACES THAT WE REPRESENT, I THINK IF BART IS GETTING 30% OF ITS NEEDS AND MUNI WAS GETTING 80% OF ITS 3 NEED THIS WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR US TO SAY OKAY WE'RE NOT 4 5 DOING ENOUGH IN THIS MEASURE TO FULL BAR SO WE KIND OF NEED TO PAY SPECIAL ATTENTION TO BART. I'M FINE MAKING IT OPERATOR 6 AGNOSTIC BUT I DO THINK IF WE'RE GOING TO MOVE FORWARD A BUNCH 7 8 OF MEASURES WHICH ARE, SORT OF, SO -- SO UNDERFUND MUNI'S NEED AND LEAVE WITH SUCH A DEFICIT THEN WE NEED TO THINK CREATIVELY 9 ABOUT HOW WE CAN -- YOU KNOW, ABOUT THE SCALE OF THAT 10 CHALLENGE. 11

12

13 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: NATE AND THEN -- [INDISCERNIBLE]

14

15 NATHAN MILEY: YES. WE HAVE BEEN ALL OVER THE PLACE WITH THIS.
16 AND THE THING IS, I'M NOT OPPOSED TO MUNI, BUT AC TRANSIT
17 WOULD JUMP ALL OVER ME IF I DIDN'T POINT THEM OUT. I THINK
18 OTHERS HAVE TALKED ABOUT IT. SO, I THINK WE SHOULD JUST DROP 19 - OR YEAH LET'S LIST THE OTHER FOUR OR FIVE.

20

SPEAKER: IF I COULD, MUNI IS, YOU KNOW, MUNI IS REALLY IMPORTANT, OBVIOUSLY, FOR ALL THE REASONS YOU HAVE SAID. AND I UNDERSTAND THE ENTIRE TO POINT OUT TO THE MTC BOARD AND WHY IT'S RELEVANT TO CONSIDER. SAID THAT IT'S A WHOLLY OWNED DEPARTMENT BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO. THE CITY



COULD HAVE OFFERED SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION TODAY AND FUNDED 1 MUNI TO SOLVE ITS PROBLEM WITHOUT TALKING TO ANYBODY AT THIS 2 3 TABLE. WHEREAS BART CAN'T DO THAT CALTRAIN CAN'T DO THAT AND IT'S MORE CHALLENGING FOR AC TRANSIT TO DO THAT. I THINK IT'S 4 5 OUT OF CONTEXT TO HAVE IT IN THERE. MAYBE A FOOTNOTE THAT MENTIONED THE FACT THAT MUNI CARRIES SUCH A LARGE PORTION OF 6 PASSENGERS IN THE REGION, BECAUSE IT DOES, AND HAD A REALLY 7 8 IMPORTANT ROLE TO PLAY. BUT I THINK IT'S -- YOU KNOW, AS YOU'RE HEARING FOLKS SAY IT DOESN'T OUITE MAKE SENSE TO HAVE 9 IT THERE THE WAY WE HAVE IT. 10

11

12 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: I AGREE. HERE IS THE SUGGESTED LANGUAGE.
13 THE SELECT COMMITTEE IS SUPPORTIVE OF SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING FOR
14 ALL TRANSIT AGENCIES TO MEET THEIR FULL SUITE OF NEEDS. IT'S
15 PRETTY BROAD WHERE IT COVERS EVEN THE SMALLER OPERATORS AND
16 LARGER ONES.

17

18 **SPEAKER:** [INDISCERNIBLE] [OFF-MIC INDISCERNIBLE]

19

20 GINA PAPAN: TURN YOUR MICA.

21

SUE NOACK: YEAH THAT SOUNDS LIKE WE'RE COVERING EVERY TRANSIT AGENCY'S EVERY PROBLEM. THAT WORRIES ME A LITTLE BIT SEEMS EXTREMELY BROAD.



1 SPEAKER: COVERING OPERATOR NEEDS.

2 3 SUE NOACK: AGAIN. IS IT REALLY NECESSARY TO HAVE IN HERE? I THINK -- YOU KNOW THAT'S GOING TO BE A FURTHER DISCUSSION ON 4 5 THE EXPENDITURE PLAN. AND I THINK THAT'S THE APPROPRIATE TIME TO DO IT. WE DON'T KNOW THE SIZE OF THE MEASURE. WE DON'T KNOW 6 -- [INDISCERNIBLE] WHY DON'T WE LEAVE THAT TO THE EXPENDITURE 7 8 MAN AND LET THEM HASH THAT OUT. 9 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: HOW MANY PEOPLE WANT TO REMOVE THIS 10 11 COMPLETELY? LET ME SEE YOUR HANDS. OKAY. OKAY. SEVEN. AND LET ME ASK THIS OUESTION. HOW MANY WANT TO MAKE REFERENCE TO OTHER 12 AGENCIES MEETING -- ADDRESSING THEIR SHORTFALLS. THAT WOULD 13 INCLUDE BOTH THE LARGE AND SMALLER OPERATORS. ANYBODY 14 15 COUNTING? YOU WANTED TO SPEAK? 16 17 **SPEAKER:** [INDISCERNIBLE] 18 SPEAKER: I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING THAT WOULD ADVANCE THE 19 20 CONVERSATION. 21 22 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: [LAUGHTER] THANK YOU ALICIA. MORE PEOPLE OUGHT TO TAKE YOUR APPROACH. [LAUGHTER] OKAY. SO, WE'LL HAVE 23 THAT JUST MODIFIED LANGUAGE WHERE -- AND I AGREE, TAKE THE 24 WORD SUITE OUT OF THERE SO WE DON'T BROADEN IT TOO. SO, I 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

WOULD AGREE WITH THAT. IS THERE ANYBODY THAT -- IS THERE A 1 MAJORITY THAT SAYS LET'S ADVANCE THAT CONVERSATION TO THE 2 3 COMMISSION? OKAY. NOW, THE LAST ONE HOPEFULLY ISN'T GOING TO BE CONTROVERSIAL. THE COMMISSION SHOULD REVIEW THE GRADIENTS 4 5 OF AGREEMENT SCORES OF ALL SELECT COMMITTEE MEMBERS TO GET A FULL UNDERSTANDING OF THE SENTIMENT OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS. 6 SO, WE HAVE ALWAYS STRESSED TO DO THAT, THAT WE WANT TO BRING 7 8 ALL YOUR COMMENTS AND HOW WE GRADED THESE VARIOUS COMPONENTS. SO IS THERE ANYBODY IN DISAGREEMENT OF THAT? OKAY. ALL RIGHT. 9 GLAD WE GOT THROUGH THAT. 10

11

12 SPEAKER: MR. --

13

14 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OH I'M SORRY.

15

16 SPEAKER: IT'S MORE OF A QUESTION AS TO WHEN IT'S APPROPRIATE, JUST MAKES SENSE, THIS BULLET RIGHT HERE, BUT MORE SO IS IN 17 THE SPIRIT OF WHAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT EARLIER AS TO 18 CONSIDERING OTHERS COMPONENTS ON OF AN EXPENDITURE PLAN THAT 19 MIGHT BE IN THE MEASURE FOR TALKING ABOUT PRINCIPLES OR 20 FRAMEWORK HERE, WHEN WOULD BE THE APPROPRIATE TIME TO TALK 21 ABOUT AN ADDITIONAL BULLET OR CONSIDERATION OF A BULLET THAT 22 TALKS ABOUT. 23

24

25 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: RIGHT NOW IS THE TUNE THE TO --



1 2 SPEAKER: GO AHEAD AND ADD --3 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: NO. I'M NOT HEARING ANY DISAGREEMENT ON 4 5 THIS BULLET. IT REFLECTS HOW EVERYBODY VOTED. 6 7 SPEAKER: THAT MAKES SENSE. 8 9 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: GO AHEAD. 10 SPEAKER: IN THE CONTEXT OF WHAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT EARLIER 11 WHERE SEVERAL MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE HAD TALKED ABOUT SPOKEN 12 TO CONSIDERING WHAT VOTERS MAY WANT, WHAT THEY HISTORICALLY 13 WANTED, WHAT THEY HISTORICALLY VOTED FOR, WHAT'S IMPORTANT TO 14 15 MOVE FORWARD, WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE A BULLET THAT TALKS ABOUT -16 - I WAS JUST NOODLING SOME STUFF RIGHT HERE, BUT YOU KNOW, THAT ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF THE SHORTFALLS OF THE ENTIRE 17 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM THAT INCLUDES BOTH TRANSIT 18 TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE YOU KNOW NOTHING MOVES WITHOUT 19 TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE, WE WANT TO SEE SOMETHING THAT'S 20 MORE ON THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND THAT'S FAIR AND BALANCED THAT 21 22 COUNTIES CAN TAKE BACK HOME. 23 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. DISCUSSION ON THAT? PROPOSED? SUE? 24

## OCTOBER 21, 2024

SUE NOACK: MY CONCERN, WE HAD TWO OPTION THERE IS, WE HAD THE 1 FOUR-COUNTY, AND NINE-COUNTY. NINE-COUNTY BEING MONTHLY MODAL, 2 3 TO DO FOUR-COUNTY MULTIMODAL, I DON'T KNOW HOW THAT WORKS OUT, NUMBER ONE, WE WILL BE HAVING DIFFICULTY COVERING EVERYTHING 4 5 AS IT IS TO ADD MULTI-MODAL INTO A FULL COUNTY MEASURE COULD BE DIFFICULT SO THAT'S MY CONCERN WITH ADDING MULTI-MODAL IN A 6 NINE COUNTY MEASURE, NO PROBLEM. ABSOLUTELY AGREE. FOUR COUNTY 7 8 ONE, I HAVE TROUBLE WITH THAT. 9

10 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: COMMENT?

11

NICK JOSEFOWITZ: I WANT TO ECHO COMMISSIONER NOACK'S CONCERN.
BUT I THINK, LIKE, A SLIM DOWN, 10-YEAR, FOUR CANCEL MEASURE,
WE'RE JUST TRYING TO MAKE IT AS SMALL AS POSSIBLE, AND AS
SIMPLE AS POSSIBLE. AND, SO, THAT WAS -- YOU KNOW, I THINK
THAT -- YOU KNOW, THAT'S IMPORTANT TO BE ABLE TO KIND OF MOVE
FORWARD WORRY THAT TYPE OF OPTION ON THE TABLE.

18

19 SPEAKER: MAY I RESPOND TO THE VICE CHAIR REALLY QUICK. WITH
20 ALL DUE RESPECT MR. VICE CHAIR THAT IS ONE OF MULTIPLE OPTIONS
21 THAT ARE BEING TO CONSIDERED TO THEY WE SHOULDN'T LOOK AT
22 MULTI-MODAL POST FOR TEN YEAR SPECIFIC THAT WASN'T MY
23 UNDERSTANDING WHEN WE LOOKED AT SOME OF THE OTHER BULLETS
24 SAYING WE'RE GOING TO HAVE MULTIPLE SCENARIOS EVALUATED BY THE
25 COMMISSION.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

NICK JOSEFOWITZ: WHAT WAS YOUR BULLET COMPARED TO THE FACT
THAT WE'RE EVALUATING THESE MULTIPLE SCENARIOS THAT DO INCLUDE
COUNTY FLEX AND HAVE MULTI-MODAL ASPECTS.

5

1

6 SPEAKER: WOULD BE EQUAL AMOUNTS THOSE SCENARIOS DO NOT COVER
7 OR ARE NOT EQUALLY PROPORTIONAL EXPENDITURES THEY ARE TRANSIT
8 HEAVY FOR LACK OF A BETTER TERM.

9

SPEAKER: INTERVENE I WOULD LOVE TO GET TO POLICY PROVISIONS
TODAY I WOULD LOVE TO TENNESSEE YEAR AND 30 YEAR BULLET BUT IT
WAS EMBEDDED LOOKING AT DIFFERENT SCENARIOS 1, AND 1A HYBRID,
VICE CHAIR JOSEFOWITZ IS CORRECT IT'S EMBEDDED IN THERE ALL OF
THOSE HAVE 50 AND 61% AS COUNTY FLEX THEY'RE TRENDED HEAVY
EARLY BUT THE WHOLE ACTUALLY CAN'T FLEX HEAVY. SO IT'S
COVERED.

17

GINA PAPAN: FLEXIBLE HAS TO BE BUILT IN MORE SPECIFICALLY 18 PARTICULARLY IN MY COUNTY. WE HAVE BEEN CLEAR. IF WE'RE 19 SENDING THINGS FORWARD FOR DISCUSSION THAT, HAS TO BE AN 20 ELEMENT OF THE DISCUSSION THAT GOES TO THE FULL BOARD HERE. 21 WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE, YOU KNOW, THREE COUNTIES TELLING A 22 FOURTH COUNTY, THIS IS IT ALL THE MONEY IS GOING IN ONE 23 DIRECTION HERE. WE NEED THAT FLEXIBLE IN ORDER TO GET IT PAST 24 25 THE VOTERS. I'M ASKING THAT, CONVERSATION STAYS OPEN AND IT



1 GOES TO THE FULL COMMITTEE WITH THAT ASPECT OF IT CAN'T JUST 2 BE TEN YEARS AND TRANSIT ONLY. THAT'S JUST NOT GOING TO WORK. 3

4 SPEAKER: I WANT TO AGREE WITH THE MOTION THAT MANNY MADE ON 5 THIS. I'M NOT A MEMBER OF MTC. BUT I WAS -- [INDISCERNIBLE] I 6 WOULD URGE MTC TO REMEMBER THAT IT REPRESENTS ALL THE PEOPLE 7 WHO DEPEND ON TRANSPORTATION IN THE COMMUNITY NOT JUST THE 8 PEOPLE WHO RELY ON MASS TRANSIT WHICH IS A SMALL SHARE 9 OBVIOUSLY THERE'S GREAT NEED FOR MASS TRANSIT AND THAT HAS TO 10 BE ADDRESSED.

11

12 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: MANNY RESTATE YOUR MOTION. LET'S SEE WHAT13 SUPPORT WE HAVE.

14

MANNY LEON: THIS IS WHAT I WAS NOODLING. TO ADD A BULLET TO THESE PRINCIPLES TO SOMETHING TO THE DISAGREE THAT A MEASURE SHOULD ADDRESS THE NEEDS/SHORTFALLS OF THE ENTIRE BAY AREA TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM AND THAT CAN BE NOODLED AS A RESULT MEASURE SHOULD INCLUDE A BALANCED EXPENDITURE PLAN WITH FAIR AND EQUITABLE FAIR AND EQUITABLE ALLOCATIONS TO INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRANSIT NEEDS. THAT'S WHAT I WAS NOODLING.

22

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. WHO SUPPORTS THAT MEASURE? I WAS IN
SUPPORT. WE'RE GOING TO DO THAT ANYWAY WHETHER IT'S HERE OR
NOT. OKAY. SO.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

2 ADINA LEVIN: IN THE OPERATOR OPTION INCLUDED BY REFERENCE 3 THERE IS CAN'T FLEX IN THE DIFFERENT CUSTOM OPTIONS. I THINK WE HAVE INCLUDED THAT MORE NARROW VERSION BY A REFERENCE TO 4 5 THE SFMTA CRAFTED OPERATOR OPTION. BUT IF IT SAID MORE BROADLY THAT WE SHOULD HAVE, LIKE, IF WE -- TO SAY IT IN THE STRONGER 6 VERSION, IT WOULD REALLY WANT TO HAVE A CLIMATE STATEMENT. AND 7 8 I THINK THE CLIMATE STATEMENT WE HAVE COMING UP IN THE POLICIES. SO, ANYWAY, I THINK WE -- IT'S ALREADY INCLUDED IN 9 THE NARROW VERSION BY INCLUDING OPERATOR OPTION THAT SAYS 10 COUNTY FLEX WITH LIMITED TEN YEARS. 11

12

1

13 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: SAME MAJORITY SUPPORTED MOTION. IS THERE 14 ANY ISSUE AND MANNY, YOU CAN SUBMIT YOUR POSITION PAPER. ARE 15 YOU WILL BE ABLE TO BRING THAT ISSUE FORWARD. SO THAT'S NOT 16 FORGOTTEN FROM HERE ON. ANY OTHER BULLETS ANYBODY ELSE WOULD 17 LIKE TO ADD? OKAY. ALL RIGHT. ARE YOU READY TO GO TO THE 18 POLICY?

19

20 ADINA LEVIN: [INDISCERNIBLE] INCREASING --

21

22 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WE DID.

23

24 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: I THOUGHT WE WERE DOING THE WHOLE THING25 TOGETHER.



1 2 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. I THOUGHT --3 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: [INDISCERNIBLE] 4 5 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. WELL, ALL RIGHT. I WOULD MOVE THAT 6 7 THE SELECT COMMITTEE ADOPT THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS TO 8 THE COMMISSION RELATED TO THE FRAMEWORK FOR TRANSPORTATION REVENUE MEASURE. DOES ANYBODY NEED TO ME TO GO THROUGH THE 9 ONES WE AGREED ON? IS THERE A SECOND? 10 11 SPEAKER: SECOND. 12 13 SPEAKER: THROUGH THE CHAIR I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE 14 15 SUFFICIENT CLARITY THAT WE KNOW WHAT TO PUT IN THE MINUTES IN 16 TERMS -- IS STAFF SATISFIED THAT THEY CAN TRANSMIT THIS? OKAY. 17 THANKS. 18 19 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. SO, DISSENT ON THE MOTION? 20 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: ROLL CALL VOTE? 21 22 23 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WE DO. I'M GLAD YOU'RE SITTING HERE. MAN ALIVE. TAKE THE ROLL, PLEASE. 24 25



```
1
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. CHAIR SPERING?
2
3
    CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YES.
4
5
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MORE ORANTES?
6
7
    JOHN ARANTES: YES.
8
9
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: CANEPA?
10
    DAVID CANEPA: NO.
11
12
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: CHAVEZ, I BELIEVE WE LOST. IS
13
14
    ABSENT. MEMBER JOHN-BAPTISTE?
15
16
    ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: AYE.
17
18
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MEMBER JOSEFOWITZ?
19
    NICK JOSEFOWITZ: YES.
20
21
22
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MEMBER LEON?
23
    MANNY LEON: NO.
24
25
```



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MEMBER LEVIN? ADINA LEVIN: YES. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MEMBER LINDSAY? MEMBER MAHAN? MATT MAHAN: AYE. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MEMBER MILEY? NATHAN MILEY: YES. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MOULTON-PETERS? NOACK? SUE NOACK: YES. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: RABBITT? DAVID RABBITT: AYE. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: WU? ELLEN WU: YES. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: AND MEMBER WUNDERMAN? 



1 2 JIM WUNDERMAN: NO. 3 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. I HAVE 11 TO 3. 11 YESES AND THREE 4 5 NOS. 6 7 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THAT IS CORRECT. WITH ONE ABSENT. 8 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ALL RIGHT. LET'S MOVE ON TO THE POLICY 9 10 QUESTION. AND HOPEFULLY THIS WILL GO SMOOTH. 11 STUART COHEN: ALL RIGHT. IF WE COULD PULL UP THE POLICY DECK. 12 AND I WILL TRY TO DO SOME SPEED TALKING HERE SO THAT WE CAN 13 HAVE DISCUSSION. AND I'LL NOTE THAT THIS IS AGENDA ITEM IS 14 15 PROVIDED AS AN ACTION ITEM. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. 16 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: AND THEN AGAIN, I GAVE EVERYBODY A COPY. 17 18 THIS IS FRAMEWORK THAT WE CAN DISCUSS FROM AND THE SECOND WHITE PAPER YOU HAVE. 19 20 STUART COHEN: SO AS YOU CAN SEE WE'RE PRESENTING INFORMATION 21 THAT CAME FROM THE EXECUTIVE GROUP AND POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL 22 AND PUBLIC AND WE'RE GOING TO BE FOCUSING TODAY ON THE 23 LEGISLATION THAT COULD BE EMBEDDED WITH THE ENABLING 24 25 LEGISLATION FOR THE REVENUE MEASURE. AND KEEP IN MIND WE WANT

OCTOBER 21, 2024

TO HELP MEASURES PASS AT LEGISLATURE WITH VOTERS WITH SOME OF 1 THE POLICY PROVISIONS AS WELL AS KIND OF IMPROVING THE 2 3 OUTCOMES. NEXT SLIDE. WORD CLOUD, WE'LL KEEP MOVING. LOOK AT IT VERY OUICKLY. NEXT SLIDE. THIS SLIDE SHOWS HOW WE WITH 4 5 GROUPED TOPIC, WE HAVE HEARD BY THEME, TRANSIT PRIORITY SHOWS UP TWICE BECAUSE THEY RELATE TO MULTIPLE ASPECTS OF THE 6 MEASURE. NEXT SLIDE. NOW, THE AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION IS 7 8 EXPECTED TO INCLUDE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR THE MEASURE TO BE PLACED ON THE BALLOT VIA A CITIZEN INITIATIVE WHICH WOULD MAKE 9 THE TAX SUBJECT TO A SIMPLE MAJORITY VOTE. THE RESULTS FROM 10 THE BART POLL THAT WE JUST HEARD ABOUT AS WELL AS THE POLL 11 CONDUCTED BY MTC A YEAR AGO SHOW WHY THIS MAY BE IMPERATIVE. 12 THE NEED FOR AN INITIATIVE IS ONE OF THE REASONS WE FEEL THE 13 AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION SHOULD BE VERY SPECIFIC ABOUT THINGS 14 LIKE THE REVENUE MECHANISM, GEOGRAPHY AND EXPENDITURE PLAN. 15 16 OTHERWISE IT MAY END UP WITH DUELING BALLOT MEASURES OR POTENTIALLY A MEASURE THAT DOESN'T ADEQUATELY ADDRESS THE 17 PROBLEM THIS WE SAID WE WANTED TO SOLVE. NEXT SLIDE. VOICES 18 FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, RECOMMENDS THE LEGISLATION RESTRICT 19 THE USE OF COUNTY FLEX FUNDS TO CLIMATE NEUTRAL PROJECTS 20 21 EITHER BY DESIGN OR THROUGH STRICT MITIGATION OF WHICH ARE 22 INCLUDED VMT. THIS WAS TOPIC OF DISCUSSION LAST YEAR DURING 23 SB1031 AND 1 THAT WAS NEVER RESOLVED GIVEN THE STRONG DIFFERENCES OF OPINION WE KNOW FROM THAT DISCUSSION THAT 24 ALLIANCE FOR JOBS FAVORED LEAVING ELIGIBILITY MORE FLEXIBLE 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

THEN NOT SUPPORT A VMT APPROACH WHETHER THE MITIGATION 1 APPROACH SHOULD HAVE STRICTER THRESHOLD OF CLIMATE NEUTRALITY 2 3 OR WHETHER IT'S SUFFICIENTLY CLIMATE FRIENDLY THAT PROJECTS HAVE TO BE CONTAINED INSIDE A PLAN THAT IS REQUIRED TO CHIEF 4 5 THE STATE'S GREENHOUSE GAS YOU REDUCTIONS WHICH PLANNED BAY AREA DOES. NEXT SLIDE. WE HAVE WRITTEN HERE THE SPUR 6 7 RECOMMENDATIONS MEASURE CONDITION FUNDING TO TRANSIT HGS 8 AGENCIES ON THEIR ACHIEVING SPECIAL MILESTONES AS SET THROUGH THE REGIONAL NETWORK STRUCTURE BUT HAVE HEARD FROM SPUR THAT 9 WE SHOULD ADD A BIT OF NUANCE HERE THEIR RECOMMENDATION WAS 10 11 REALLY SPECIFICALLY THAT THE MEASURE CONDITIONS FUNDING ON TRANSIT AGENCY'S ONGOING COMPLIANCE WITH RNM PROGRAMS AND 12 POLICIES WHICH IS A BIT DIFFERENT THAN ACHIEVING MILESTONES 13 SOME MILESTONES MAY BE OUT OF AN AGENCY'S CONTROL. MTC'S 2023 14 POLLING FOUND THAT 80% OF VOTERS CONSIDERED REOUIRING 15 16 OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY TO ENSURE EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT OF PUBLIC TRANSIT TO BE A REAL PRIORITY. SO SHOULD 17 THE ENABLING LEGISLATION STRENGTHEN FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT SHOULD 18 19 IT CONDITION FUNDS FROM THE MEASURE ON MILESTONES OR COMPLIANCE WITH RNM PROGRAMS AND POLICIES. AND ARE THERE OTHER 20 21 SPECIFIC ACCOUNTABILITY PROVISIONS SELECT COMMITTEE MEMBERS 22 WOULD LIKE TO SEE GIVEN ITS IMPORTANCE TO THE VOTERS, ESPECIALLY. NEXT SLIDE. SO, THERE IS A RELATED PROPOSAL HERE. 23 AND THAT'S ABOUT THE RNM STRUCTURE. YOU COULD SEE WHAT'S ON 24



THE SLIDE HERE BUT I WOULD ACTUALLY LIKE TO ASK RYAN TO SPEAK. 1 2 HE WANTED TO SPEAK ON THIS. GO AHEAD JUST READ THE SLIDE. 3 SPEAKER: APOLOGIES, IT MIGHT BE SLIGHTLY LONG WINDED. 4 5 STUART COHEN: THAT'S WHY I HANDED IT OVER TO YOU QUICKLY. 6 7 8 SPEAKER: SPEAKING TO THE ORIGINS OF THIS PROPOSAL EARLIER THIS YEAR THE HEADS OF VTA, CALTRAIN, SAMTRANS, AC TRANSIT, GOLDEN 9 10 GATE TRANSIT, MUNI COUNTY CONNECTION SFA FERRY TRANSIT PETALUMA TRANSIT WEST CAD SONOMA TRANSPORTATION AUTHOR SENATOR 11 WIENER SENT A LETTER CALLING FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO REGIONAL NET 12 WOK MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES, AND CONDITIONING NEW REVENUE 13 MEASURE FUNDS ON COMPLIANCE WITH REGIONAL TRANSIT POLICIES 14 15 THEY REQUESTED RECOGNIZING MTC'S ROLE AS A CONVENER OF REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE INCLUDING REQUESTING TO 16 CODIFY RNM COUNCIL OF TRANSIT OPERATORS AND REQUESTING MORE 17 THAN ADVISORY ROLE BY BEING GRANTED TRANSIT OPERATOR VOTING 18 REPRESENTATION ON RNM POLICY MAKING WE HAVE ALSO HEARD CALLS 19 FROM TRANSIT ADVOCATES IN THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY FOR STRONGER 20 21 NETWORK MANAGEMENT TO DELIVER ON IMPROVEMENTS IN THE TRANSIT SPACE AND ADVOCATES HAVE CALLED FOR RNM STRUCTURE THAT THE 22 INCLUDES OUALIFIED INDEPENDENT EXPERTS WITH SUBSTANTIAL 23 PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE IN PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION WHO ARE NOT 24 TIED TO ANY SPECIFIC REGIONAL TRANSIT AGENCY OR BAY AREA 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

TRANSIT AGENCY OFFERING A MORE INDEPENDENT AND AN INDEPENDENT 1 2 PERSPECTIVE, WE HEARD FROM THE COMMISSION, STATE, AND MANY 3 MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATURES BAY AREA CAUCUS FROM THE REGION TO MORE EFFECTIVELY ADVANCE PRIORITIES. AND IN ORDER TO ALIGN AND 4 5 REPRESENT THESE PERSPECTIVES WE DEVELOPED A PROPOSAL THAT ESTABLISHES A NETWORK MANAGEMENT EXECUTIVE STEERING COMMITTEE 6 COMPOSED OF TRANSIT OPERATORS, INDEPENDENT EXPERTS WITH PUBLIC 7 8 TRANSPORTATION EXPERTISE AND A STATE APPOINTEE. AND IN THAT STRUCTURE THE TRANSIT OPERATORS WOULD BE THE ONE APPOINTING 9 THE TRANSIT OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVES TO THAT BODY AND ARE 10 RESPONSIBLE FOR DEVELOPING A LIST OF QUALIFIED EXPERTS FOR THE 11 COMMISSION TO THEN CHOOSE FROM WHEN APPOINTING TRANSIT EXPERT 12 POSITIONS ON THAT BODY. AND THIS STEERING COMMITTEE BODY WOULD 13 BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ISSUING THOSE RNM POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS TO 14 15 THE COMMISSION WHICH CAN DIRECT THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS TO BE 16 VETTED BY ANY COMMITTEES OF ITS CHOOSING. PRIOR TO FINAL ACTION ON THOSE POLICIES, AGAIN AT THE COMMISSION LEVEL. AND 17 BY REOUIRING THAT ANY SPECIFIC RNM POLICIES ORIGINATE AS 18 RECOMMENDATION FROM THAT NEW BODY, A BODY WITH FORMAL VOTING 19 OPERATOR REPRESENTATION PER REQUEST FROM THE OPERATORS IN 20 ADDITION TO INDEPENDENT PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROFESSIONAL 21 EXPERTISE THE PROPOSAL IS DESIGNED TO INCREASE CONFIDENCE AND 22 EFFICACY IN THE DEVELOPED POLICIES AND DEVELOPED THROUGH THE 23 NETWORK MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE WHILE STILL RETAINING POLICY 24 DISCRETION OVER THOSE FINAL POLICY ACTIONS AT THE COMMISSIONS 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

LEVEL. WE BELIEVE THIS PROPOSAL FULFILLS KEY OPERATOR REQUESTS 1 FOR RECOGNIZING EXISTING RNM STRUCTURE PROVIDING VOTING 2 3 REPRESENTATION FOR TRANSIT OPERATORS AND PROVIDING INDEPENDENT PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION EXPERTISE WHICH HAS SHOWN TO BE A MODEL 4 5 THAT WORKS FOR OTHER TRANSIT AGENCY OR OTHER REGIONS ALL WHILE RETAINING THE FINAL POLITICAL POLICY MAKING DISCRETION AT THE 6 COMMISSION LEVEL. IT IS NOBODY'S SILVER BULLET. BUT IT DOES 7 8 MIRROR SOME EXISTING TRANSPORTATION GOVERNANCE STRUCTURES IN THE BAY AREA AND ELSEWHERE AND SENATOR WIENER IS OPEN TO 9 10 CONTINUING TO WORK WITH STAKEHOLDERS ON FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPOSE A I WANTED TO CLARIFY THE ORIGINS OF THE PROPOSAL 11 AND PROVIDE A BIT OF ADDITIONAL SUBSTANCE. 12

13

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU RYAN AND IN CONTEXT THERE ARE 14 15 THREE SEPARATE BODIES THAT WERE SET UP A LITTLE OVER A YEAR 16 AND A HALF AGO THAT LOOK AT THE REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT NOW. THERE IS THE RNM COMMITTEE, A SUBCOMMITTEE OF MTC, BUT 17 HAS NON-VOTING SEATS FOR BART AND AC TRANSIT. THERE IS ALSO 18 THE RNM COUNCIL MADE UP OF GENERAL MANAGERS AND MTC'S ED, AND 19 CUSTOMER ADVISORY GROUP MADE UP OF RESIDENTS WHO WERE REGULAR 20 TRANSIT RIDERS. THIS COULD BE ENVISIONED AS ORIGINATOR OF 21 PROPOSALS IT COULD ALSO BE THAT OTHER LAYER. OTHER PROPOSAL IS 22 CONDITION MEASURE FUNDING ON LOCAL JURISDICTIONS ADOPTION EVER 23 TRANSIT PRIORITY POLICIES WHICH IS A GENERAL TERM FOR POLICIES 24 25 HELP IMPROVE BUS SPEED ON LOCAL ROADS AS SIMPLE AS TRAFFIC

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

SIGNAL PRIORITY FOR BUSES OR AS CHALLENGING AS BUS ONLY LANES 1 DEFINITELY HELP FOR IMPROVING BUS SPEED BUT DEPENDING ON 2 3 PROVISION IT CAN GENERATE OPPOSITION TO THE LEGISLATION FROM LOCAL GOVERNMENTS ANOTHER PROPOSAL REOUIRE LARGE EMPLOYERS TO 4 5 SUBSIDIZE NON-AUTO COMMUTES STRENGTHEN THE BAY AREA BENEFITS PROGRAM BOOST RIDERSHIP GENERATE REVENUE EITHER OR THROUGH 6 INCREASED FARES RESULT OF EMPLOYEE SUBSIDIES ANY EMPLOYEE 7 8 MANDATE ALSO COMES WITH POTENTIAL OPPOSITION FROM EMPLOYERS. FINAL SLIDE. SEIU AND VOICES FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION 9 RECOMMENDATION LEGISLATION RESTRICT OR LIMIT OUTSOURCES OR 10 AUTOMATING JOB FUNCTIONS OR DUTIES CURRENTLY PERFORMED BY 11 TRANSIT AGENCY EMPLOYEES. TOPIC SHOULD BE ADDRESSED IN THE 12 LEGISLATION EVEN THOUGH HOW WORK IS PERFORMED IS LABOR 13 RELATIONS TYPICALLY HANDLED THROUGH NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN LABOR 14 15 PARTNERS AND OPERATOR PRESIDENCY. SO, I THINK WE COULD HAVE --16 NEXT SLIDE. AND SO THIS OUESTION OF TRANSIT AGENCY CONSOLIDATION, WE DID RECEIVE COMMENTS FROM SPUR, SEIU, AND 17 18 VOICES FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION THAT RECOMMENDED CONSOLIDATION STAYS OUTSIDE OF A REVENUE MEASURE. WE HAVE HAD 19 SENATOR WAHAB AND ALISIA RECOMMEND THAT IT COULD BE CONSIDERED 20 PART OF IT BUT CERTAINLY NEEDS TO HAPPEN IN THEIR OFFICE'S 21 OPINION. SO FOR DISCUSSION HERE, GIVEN IS THIS TOPIC LED TO 22 23 SIGNIFICANT OPPOSITION DURING SB1031 SHOULD IT BE LEFT OUT OF ENABLING LEGISLATION WHICH WOULD NOT PREVENT IT FROM BEING 24 ADDRESSED IN A SEPARATE BILL. NEXT SLIDE. SO WHAT FEEDBACK DO 25



YOU HAVE ON THESE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS? AND ARE THIS THINGS
 NOT MENTIONED HERE THAT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED? AND I BELIEVE
 CHAIR SPERING, I'LL UNDERSTAND THAT OVER TO YOU, BECAUSE THEN
 WE WOULD LIKE HAVE, ULTIMATELY A MOTION ON THIS ITEM

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THE HANDOUT AGAIN TO HELP STIMULATE THE 6 7 DISCUSSION OF THESE THREE AREAS THAT SHOULD COME FORWARD AND 8 OTHERS THAT CAN BE ADDED SO FIRST ONE ENABLING LEGISLATION SHOULD INCLUDE NEW ACCOUNTABILITY PROVISIONS TO PROVIDE 9 GREATER OVERSIGHT FOR TRANSIT AGENCIES FINANCIAL INFORMATION. 10 IS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO THAT PROVISION? WE HAVE HEARD 11 THROUGHOUT THE REGION AS WE HAVE TALKED TO INDIVIDUALS THAT 12 ACCOUNTABILITY AND OVERSIGHT IS ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY TO BUILD 13 14 THAT TRUST. SO, ANY OPPOSITION TO THAT BULLET.

15

16 SUE NOACK: NO BUT SHOULD WE HAVE SOMETHING BESIDES FINANCIAL
17 LIKE OPERATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION RATHER THAN STRICTLY
18 FINANCIAL?

19

20 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WELL IT'S FINANCIAL BECAUSE WE'RE RAISING
21 THE MEASURE FOR RAISING FUNDING BUT COULD BE EXPANDED.

22

23 SUE NOACK: IF WE'RE CONDITIONING ON SOME OF THE

24 TRANSFORMATIONAL STUFF THAT'S NOT STRICTLY RESULTING IN

25 FINANCIAL RESULTS IT COULD BE AN OPERATING RESULT AS WELL? I



1

#### OCTOBER 21, 2024

MEAN IT'S A QUICK THOUGHT WHEN I READ IT, NOT JUST SOLELY

2 FINANCIAL. 3 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: SECOND ONE IS IT SHOULD ALSO PROVIDE, TO 4 5 BE ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING FROM THE MEASURE OPERATORS SHOULD BE 6 REQUIRED TO KEEP SPECIFIC BENCHMARKS RELATED TO TRANSIT 7 TRANSFORMATION THAT WOULD DEVELOP THROUGH THE REGIONAL NETWORK 8 MANAGER FRAMEWORK. 9 SUE NOACK: THAT GOES TO THE COMMENT OF ONGOING INFORMATION OR 10 11 WHETHER IT'S JUST PINT IN TIME YOU KNOW ACHIEVE OR NOT ACHIEVE BENCHMARKS PROGRESS TOWARD BENCHMARKS THAT'S PROBABLY THE PART 12 THAT I'M -- YOU KNOW --13 14 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: DOES STAFF HAVE ANY RECOMMENDATION AS TO 15 16 WHAT WE COULD ADD TO THAT FIRST BULLET TO EXPAND THE FINANCIAL INFORMATION OR DO YOU HAVE COMMENTS. 17 18 ALIX BOCKELMAN: FROM WHAT I'M HEARING IS MAYBE YOU WOULD 19 20 CHANGE THE SECOND BULLET TO BE MORE, SORT OF, CONTINUOUS 21 PROGRESS AND PARTICIPATION TOWARDS TRANSFORMATION. 22 23 SUE NOACK: ACHIEVING THOSE BENCHMARKS MAYBE THAT WOULD BE THE PLACE TO ACHIEVE IT TO CHANGE THE WORDING INSTEAD OF THE FIRST 24 ONE? 25 This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience

only and is not an official record of any action taken.

> 1 2 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ON THE FIRST ONE ENABLING LEGISLATION 3 WE'RE GOING WEAR YOU ALL DOWN SO YOU WANT TO GET THE HELL OUT OF HERE. 4 5 SUE NOACK: SO FAR IT DOESN'T SEEM TO BE WORKING. 6 7 8 SPEAKER: I AM CONFUSED AND IT HAS BEEN A LONG MEETING. THESE BULLETS ARE ONE THING, STUART TOOK US THROUGH A WHOLE BUNCH OF 9 RECOMMENDATIONS. ARE WE VOTING ON THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS RIGHT 10 NOW? OR ARE WE JUST VOTING ON THESE BULLET POINTS? 11 12 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YOU'RE VOTING ON THESE BULLET POINTS. WE 13 14 FELT THIS IS WHERE FORMER COMMENTS AND SO FORTH, WE FELT THESE 15 WERE AREAS OF AGREEMENT THAT WE HAD, JIM. SO THAT'S WHY. 16 JIM WUNDERMAN: SO WE'RE NOT VOTING ON ANY OF THE THINGS THAT 17 HE SAID. 18 19 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: NO BUT NOT TO PREVENT SOMEONE FROM ADDING 20 21 A BULLET. 22 JIM WUNDERMAN: NOT SAYING WE SHOULD OR SHOULDN'T I AGREE WITH 23

OCTOBER 21, 2024



| 1  | OTHERS. YOU KNOW, I'M JUST ASKING THAT'S NOT THESE THINGS      |
|----|--|
| 2  | ARE NOT IN THOSE THINGS?                                       |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: NO. THEY'RE NOT BUT THOSE THINGS WILL COME |
| 5  | FORWARD WE'RE TRYING TO GET AREAS OF AGREEMENT FOR THIS        |
| 6  | FRAMEWORK.   |
| 7  |  |
| 8  | JIM WUNDERMAN: WE'RE NOT ACTING ON THESE THINGS WE'RE ASKING.  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THAT'S CORRECT.                            |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | STUART COHEN: WE HOPED FOR TIME TO WORK THROUGH DETAILS. WE'RE |
| 13 | GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT BEFORE THERE IS A VOTE ON |
| 14 | ANY OF THIS. AND THEN I THINK IF ANY OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE   |
| 15 | MEMBERS HAVE KIND OF A YOU KNOW, OPINIONS THAT THEY WOULD      |
| 16 | LIKE TO EXPRESS ON THEM, THAT WE REALLY DON'T HAVE TIME FOR, I |
| 17 | WOULD REALLY ENCOURAGE A SEPARATE LETTER THAT CAN COME FORWARD |
| 18 | AND THAT WE WILL TRANSMIT THE LEGISLATION COMMITTEE AND THE    |
| 19 | FULL COMMISSION BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE A FULL OPPORTUNITY      |
| 20 | TODAY.   |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: I'LL GET BACK TO NUMBER ONE. ANY STRONG    |
| 23 | OPPOSITION TO THIS TODAY? OKAY. NUMBER TWO WITH THE ADD        |
| 24 | LANGUAGE, SUE, THAT YOU WANTED FOR THAT. ALIX YOU CAN          |
| 25 | SUMMARIZE WHAT WOULD BE ADDED?                                 |

OCTOBER 21, 2024

1 ALIX BOCKELMAN: NOT SURE WHAT I SAID BUT I WROTE OPERATOR 2 3 SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO MAKE PROGRESS TOWARD BENCHMARKS. MAYBE CUSTOMER-FACING OUTCOMES. I DON'T KNOW IF DO YOU WANT OUTCOMES 4 5 IN THERE. 6 SUE NOACK: MAYBE REPORT OR SOMETHING REPORT ON ONGOING 7 8 PROGRESS TOWARD MAKING, ACHIEVING BENCHMARKS. 9 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: HOW ABOUT CONTINUE -- CONTINUOUS PROGRESS 10 11 TOWARDS SPECIFIED BENCHMARKS. 12 SUE NOACK: YEAH, REPORTING ON -- YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW IF 13 THAT'S -- OBVIOUSLY IS TOUGH, BUT JUST REGULAR REPORTING ON 14 15 PROGRESS MADE TOWARD ACHIEVING THOSE BENCHMARKS. 16 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. SO ALIX, WHAT DO YOU HAVE? 17 18 [LAUGHTER] 19 ALIX BOCKELMAN: OKAY. IT SHOULD ALSO -- OKAY. OPERATORS SHOULD 20 21 BE REQUIRED TO REPORT AND MAKE PROGRESS TOWARDS ACHIEVING 22 SPECIFIED BENCHMARKS RELATED TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION. 23 SPEAKER: I CAN OFFER? THIS WAS ONE WHERE WE FELT LIKE OUR 24 LANGUAGE WAS INTERPRETED A LITTLE BIT TOO STRICTLY FIRST TIME 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

AROUND. IF I COULD OFFER, OPERATOR SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO
 COMPLY WITH POLICIES AND PROGRAMS RELATED TO TRANSIT
 INFORMATION. AND YOU COULD ADD TO THAT, OPERATOR SHOULD BE
 REQUIRED TO REPORT ON PROGRESS TOWARD AND COMPLY WITH POLICIES
 AND PROGRAMS RELATED TO TRANSIT. I CAN WRITE IT DOWN FOR YOU
 IF THAT'S HELPFUL.

7

8 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YEAH, SUE DOES THAT -- YEAH, I THINK 9 THAT'S A GOOD RECOMMENDATION. OKAY. ANY STRONG OPPOSITION TO 10 THAT? OKAY. SO, YOU -- OKAY. THEN THE LAST ONE, THE COMMISSION 11 SHOULD ALSO CONSIDER THAT TRANSIT CONSOLIDATION WORTHY OF 12 FURTHER STUDY THAT SHOULD BE PURSUED SEPARATE FROM THE 13 ENABLING LEGISLATION FOR ITS TRANSPORTATION REVENUE MEASURE. 14 AND A LIB -- ALICIA DID YOU WANT TO WEIGH IN?

15

16 ALICIA LAWRENCE: CAN SOMEONE DIRECT ME TO A POLL WHERE THE
17 PUBLIC HAS SAID THEY ARE NOT SUPPORTIVE OF CONSOLIDATION.

18

19 SPEAKER: BECAUSE YOU STILL THE PUBLIC TO SUPPORT WHATEVER 20 REVENUE MEASURE YOU HAVE PUTTING FORWARD AND IF YOU HAVEN'T 21 POLLED THE PUBLIC ON WHETHER OR NOT THEY FEEL CONSOLIDATION IS 22 AN APPROPRIATE THING TO GAIN THEIR TRUST AND FINANCE IN 23 FINANCING TRANSIT IN THE REGION, YOU SHOULDN'T BE TAKING IT 24 OFF THE TABLE. IT HAS TO BE PART OF THE DISCUSSION, PART OF 25 THE DISCUSSION AND IT HAS TO BE POLLED TO THE PUBLIC TO SEE IF



IT EARNS THE OPPOSITION AND SWITCHES ENOUGH OF THEM TO SUPPORT
 WHAT YOU WANT TO SEE HAPPEN FINANCIALLY ACROSS THE REGION.
 THANK YOU.

4

5 DAVID CANEPA: MR. CHAIR, WE WENT THROUGH 1031. CONSOLIDATION WAS FROWNED UPON OBVIOUSLY MY DISTRICT, CALTRAIN REALLY THERE 6 WAS TALKS ABOUT HAVING A MERGER THERE WAS INFORMATION I'M 7 8 REALLY CONCERNED THAT CONSOLIDATION IS NOT THE RAW -- IS THE WRONG WORD FOR MANY REASONS. OBVIOUSLY CALTRAIN IS WORKING 9 THROUGH ITS ISSUES. BUT I REMEMBER AT THE THERE WAS A BIG 10 ISSUE AND THERE WAS CONFUSION THAT THERE WAS GOING TO BE, YOU 11 KNOW, POTENTIAL MERGER OF BART, CALTRAIN, I WOULD ASK THAT WE 12 STRIKE ITEM THREE. WE HAVE BEEN DOWN THIS ROAD BEFORE. WE HAVE 13 BEEN DOWN THIS ROAD BEFORE. AND I THINK IN TERMS OF 14 CONSOLIDATION, AT THIS TIME, I'M JUST NOT SUPPORTIVE OF IT. 15 16 SPEAKER: I WOULD SAY IN REGARDS TO CONSOLIDATION IT WAS THE 17

MAIN REASON YET BILL WAS KILLED DIDN'T GET OUT TO THE
GOVERNOR'S DESK FOR THAT MATTER. IN REGARDS FROM MY
ORGANIZATION AND PROBABLY A LOT OF THE TRANSIT AGENCIES AROUND
ANY MONEY THAT IS SPENT ON STUDYING IT WOULD BE BETTER SPENT
GIVEN TO THE TRANSIT AGENCIES.

23

24 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: GINA.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

GINA PAPAN: LEGISLATIVE THERE WAS DISCUSSION, COORDINATION, 1 WAS A BETTER WORD, [LAUGHTER] AND REALLY PLAYED MORE OF THE 2 3 TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION POLICIES WE HAVE. AND I AGREE WU HERE. TO GO OFF -- WE HAVE ALWAYS TALKED ABOUT AND AGENCIES ARE 4 5 TALKING ABOUT THAT AND WE APPLAUD THAT. BUT TO JUST DO IT AND ASSUME IT'S REGION-WIDE, I THINK IT'S DEFERRING RESOURCES THAT 6 WE CAN USE NOW IN SUCH A WAY TO PURSUE EVERYTHING WE'RE TRYING 7 8 TO GET HERE. SO, I AGREE THAT SHOULDN'T BE THERE. 9 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. NATE, DID YOU WANT TO COMMENT? 10 11 NATHAN MILEY: YES. I DO THINK THAT'S THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM. 12 AND I THINK CONSOLIDATION HAS BEEN BOUNCED AROUND FOR DECADES. 13 AND I'M NOT SAYING IF WE PUT A MEASURE ON THE BALLOT IT WON'T 14 PASS IF WE HAVEN'T THOUGHT ABOUT CONSOLIDATION BUT I THINK IT 15 16 WOULD BE WORTHY OF A POLL OR TAKING TEMPERATURE REGION-WIDE. BECAUSE IT HAS BEEN, AS FOR AS I KNOW, IT'S BEEN BOUNCED 17 AROUND FOR DECADES. AND I DO THINK THE TRANSIT ORIENTED PUBLIC 18 IS LOOKING FOR US TO PUT IN PLACE SOME SYSTEMIC CHANGES THAT 19 ARE GOING TO BE FAR REACHING AND SERVE THE INTEREST OF THE 20 21 CONSUMERS AS WELL AS THE TAXPAYERS. 22

23 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ANOTHER COMMENTS? DAVID AND THEN SUE. OF.24

#### OCTOBER 21, 2024

DAVID RABBITT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I APPRECIATE THE COMMENTS. 1 I THINK IF YOU WE ALL AGREE THAT IF YOU WERE TO DESIGN A 2 3 TRANSIT FOR THE BAY AREA WOULD NOT COME UP WITH 27 SEPARATE TRANSIT AGENCIES. YOU CAN HAVE CONSOLIDATION THROUGH FINANCIAL 4 5 INCENTIVES. IT'S WORKED AT OUR COUNTY FOR FIRE DISTRICTS FOR INSTANCE WE WENT FROM 48 TO 15. IT COST SOME MONEY BUT THERE 6 IS WAYS TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN. I THINK THE SAME THING COULD 7 8 HAPPEN IF YOU DON'T DO IT NOW IN THIS CIRCUMSTANCE, I THINK YOU PASS UP THAT OPPORTUNITY. I'M NOT SAYING IT NEEDS TO BE --9 LIKE NATE, I THINK IT NEEDS TO BE PART OF THE MIX, PART OF THE 10 POLLING. I THINK THE PUBLIC, IF GIVEN THE FACTS, WOULD 11 PROBABLY SUPPORT IT. BUT I ALSO UNDERSTAND IT COMES AT COST 12 AND WE WOULD HAVE TO BE ABLE TO MAKE SURE THAT WE SET THOSE 13 FUNDS ASIDE AS WELL. 14

15

16 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: SUE?

17

SUE NOACK: WHEN I HEAR CONSOLIDATION, IN THE CORPORATE WORLD 18 YOU CONSOLIDATE BECAUSE YOU REDUCE EXPENSES THINGS LIKE THAT 19 MY CONCERN WITH CONSOLIDATION HERE IS WHAT'S THE PURPOSE OF 20 21 CONSOLIDATION. I THINK IN SOME PEOPLE'S MINDS CONSOLIDATION MEANS I'M GOING TO BE ABLE TO GET ON ONE PIECE OF TRANSIT GET 22 FROM POINT A TO D NOW WITHOUT MOVING. THAT'S NEVER GOING TO BE 23 THE CASE. YOU HAVE TO TAKE A BUS, TRAIN, THEN BUS. I GUESS THE 24 QUESTION IN CONSOLIDATION IS WHERE DOES THAT GET YOU? MOST 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

PEOPLE PROBABLY KNOW OF FOUR TRANSIT AGENCIES PROBABLY KNOW 1 THE BUS THEY TAKE, BART, THE OTHER BUS THEY TAKE MUNI THEY 2 3 DON'T KNOW THE 27 AGENCIES HONESTLY BECAUSE THE BAY AREA IS SO LARGE MOST PEOPLE IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY WOULDN'T KNOW VTA 4 5 FROM A HOLE IN THE WALL. THEY JUST WOULDN'T. SO, YOU HAVE GOT TO HAVE A PURPOSE CONSOLIDATION. WHAT IS IT GETTING YOU? IS IT 6 BECAUSE YOU ARE ADDRESSING SOME IMPROVEMENT TO THE RIDER? 7 8 ADDRESSING COST SAVINGS? YOU CAN'T JUST SAY CONSOLIDATION AND SIMPLY SAY YES OR NO THERE HAS GOT TO BE EXPLANATION 9 10 UNDERSTANDING WHAT CONSOLIDATION MEANS. IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CHURCHILL LEFT, THREE SMALLER TRANSIT AGENCIES IN 11 CONTRA COSTA SPEND A LOT OF TIME WE DO THE PARATRANSIT ONE-12 SEAT RIDE. THERE IS A LOT OF CONSOLIDATION ON THINGS LIKE 13 SCHEDULES AND MEETINGS AND THINGS LIKE THAT. SO I DON'T THINK 14 CONSOLIDATION NECESSARILY GETS TO ANYTHING. COULD YOU MERGE 15 16 THE FINANCE DEPARTMENTS? MAYBE. BUT DO YOU HAVE UNION ISSUES AND OTHER THINGS THAT ARE GOING TO BE MORE COSTLY? IT'S NOT A 17 SIMPLE CONCEPT OF JUST CONSOLIDATION AND THEREFORE IT'S NOT --18 IT'S NOT SOMETHING SO EASILY TACKLED THAT YOU JUST SAY YOU CAN 19 CONSOLIDATE WITHOUT PURPOSE OR REASON OR EXPLANATION AND WHAT 20 ARE THE BENEFITS OR DETRIMENTS ARE TO THE COMMUNITY. THAT'S MY 21 22 COMMENT.

23

24 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: JIM WUNDERMAN?

25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

JIM WUNDERMAN: I WANT TO THANK SENATOR WAHAB FOR HAVING THE 1 COURAGE TO BRING UP THIS AND I APPRECIATE SUPERVISOR RABBITT'S 2 3 POINTS ON IT. I DON'T THINK IT SHOULD BE OFF THE TABLE. IT MAY NEED TO BE OFFER THE TABLE FOR THIS DISCUSSION BECAUSE OF THE 4 5 TIMELINESS OF TRYING GOAT A BALLOT ON THE MEASURE BUT THERE SHOULD BE SOME CONSIDERATION TO WHAT SUE NOACK IS SAYING IS TO 6 ANSWER THOSE OUESTIONS. WHAT IS THE VALUE. I WOULD URGE THE 7 8 REGION, TO TAKE A LOOK AT WHAT THE GAINS FROM CONSOLIDATION OR NOT. THERE ARE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH IT. AND ON THE OTHER 9 HAND, I DON'T KNOW TOO MANY PEOPLE KNOW WHO IT'S A GREAT THING 10 THAT THINK WE HAVE THIS MANY AGENCIES AND WE HAVE DENIS BACK 11 THERE TO CONSOLIDATE THE TWO FERRY AGENCIES WE HAVE ONE MORE 12 FERRY AGENCY THAN WE NEED AND SHOULD CONSOLIDATE THEM THAT 13 MAKES SENSE TO THE PUBLIC. AND MAYBE IN THIS CASE HELP US PASS 14 15 A MEASURE THAT WE'RE ACTUALLY DOING SOMETHING.

16

17 DAVID RABBITT: ADMIRAL MULLIGAN IS RIGHT BEHIND YOU.

18

19 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: STEPHANIE?

20

STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: IT'S NOT POLITICAL CONSOLIDATION IT'S FUNCTIONAL CONSOLIDATION WHERE YOU SHARE BEST PRACTICES AND OPERATING OPERATORS AND CONTRACTING SO YOU DON'T GO FOR THE POLITICAL CHANGE OF ORGANIZATION BUT YOU DO GO FOR OPERATION EFFICIENCIES AND SIMILARITIES. THIS IS WHAT I WOULD



LIKE TO TALK AT ANOTHER TIME. NOT ALWAYS MONEY SAFER BUT
 FUNCTIONAL VALIDATION CAN BE A MONEY SAVER APPROACH THIS IS A
 LITTLE SIMPLE EARLY.

4

5 GINA PAPAN: EVERYTHING HERE IS IMPORTANT, YOU CANNOT JUST ASK THE PUBLIC HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT CONSOLIDATION THAT MEANS 6 COORDINATION THAT MEANS A WHOLE BUNCH OF DIFFERENT ASPECTS 7 8 HERE IT'S NOT APPROPRIATE TO JUST THROW THAT QUESTION OUT THERE IN POLLING BECAUSE I CAN TELL YOU POLLING CAN MESS 9 10 THINGS UP, WHEN YOU WHEN I ASKED MY CONSTITUENTS HOW THEY FELT ABOUT BART TO SAN JOSE THEY DEPARTMENTS REALIZE YOU MEANT IT 11 WAS IN THE EAST BAY SO DO NOT THINK THE CONVERSATION WE'RE 12 HAVING HERE AS TO WHAT IT ACTUALLY MEANS IT'S IMPORTANT, IT'S 13 NOT FAIR TO THE PUBLIC AND IS NOT REPRESENTATIVE OF WHAT WILL 14 15 BENEFIT THEM. THANK YOU.

16

17 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: I JUST WANT TO -- JOHN, YOU WANT TO 18 COMMENT?

19

20 SPEAKER: JUST REAL QUICK. WE'RE ALL WORKING REALLY HARD TO 21 WORK TOGETHER, FIND A GOOD SOLUTION. WE DON'T NEED ANYMORE 22 POISON PILLS TO BE THROWN INTO THIS AND I THINK THIS WOULD BE 23 A TOTAL POISON PILL TO TRY TO GET ALL THE UNIONS AND AGENCIES 24 TO GATEWAY TO CONSOLIDATE. RIGHT? AND I TOTALLY AGREE. JUST 25 ASKING THE QUESTIONS PEOPLE ARE GOING MISUNDERSTAND WHAT THE

OCTOBER 21, 2024

OUESTIONS MEANS AND NOW YOU JUST LOST MORE VOTERS. ALL RIGHT. 1 SO, I THINK IT SHOULD BE KEPT OUT, CREATE SOMETHING SEPARATE, 2 AND WHOEVER IS PUSHING FOR IT IF THEY REALLY BELIEVE ON IT, IT 3 SHOULD BE ABLE IT MOVE ON ITS OWN. IT DOES NOT NEED TO BE PART 4 5 OF THIS MEASURE: 6 7 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. OTHER? GO AHEAD. 8 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: SO, MAYBE WE CAN DO ONE CONSOLIDATION RIGHT 9 10 HERE RIGHT NOW. DO YOU WANT TO COMMENT ON MOVING FORWARD WITH FERRY CONSOLIDATION? 11 12 SPEAKER: IF YOUR CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WANTS TO GO HOME IF 13 HE WANTS THE OFFICE TODAY -- [INDISCERNIBLE] [OFF-MIC 14 15 INDISCERNIBLE] SO, WHAT WE OFFER --16 DENIS MULLIGAN: YEAH JUST GRAB ONE OF THESE, RIGHT. WHAT WE 17 OFFER IS WE MANAGE A CORRIDOR WITH OUR FERRIES AND BUSES IF IN 18 THEORY IT DOESN'T WORK I OFFER A BUS BRIDGE SO MY FERRY'S NOT 19 TURNING DONUTS IN THE MIDDLE OF THE BAY PEOPLE CAN GET HOME 20 21 BECAUSE WE OPERATE EVERYTHING IN THE CORRIDOR THERE'S BENEFITS TO THAT AND WE MANAGE THE CORRIDOR TO REDUCE CONGESTION BY THE 22 23 AMOUNT OF BUS AND FERRY SERVICE WE OPERATE AND WE SHIFT PASSENGERS BETWEEN THESE EFFICIENTLY SO YOU CAN LOOK AT 24 CONSOLIDATION IN LOTS OF WAYS YOU CAN PUT OUT A PROPOSAL THAT 25

#### OCTOBER 21, 2024

YOU UNDERSTAND HOW IT AFFECTS CUSTOMER AND EFFICIENCIES. ON
 THE BAY BRIDGE CORRIDOR IF YOU'RE UNHAPPY WITH YOUR COMMUTE DO
 YOU CALL CALTRANS, ANDY, BOB POWERS, JIM WUNDERMAN? YOU CALL
 MIKE HURSH? BECAUSE IT'S ALL SCATTERED ON THE GOLDEN GATE
 CORRIDOR, YOU JUST CALL ONE PERSON.

6

JIM WUNDERMAN: HOW COME I CAN'T GET FROM OAKLAND TO MARIN
8 COUNTY. WE GOT NO TERMINALS NO BOATS. SHOW A LITTLE HUTZPA.
9

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: I WANT TO BRING BACK TO THE MOTION, IT 10 SAYS THE COMMISSION SHOULD ALSO CONSIDER TRANSIT CONSOLIDATION 11 IS WORTHY OF FURTHER STUDY THE VERY ISSUES SO EVERYBODY'S 12 RAISED IT NEEDS TO HAVE FURTHER STUDY. 27 TRANSIT OPERATORS 13 IT'S BEEN 30 PLUS YEARS, WE KNOW HOW CONTROVERSIAL IT IS I 14 15 AGREE IT SHOULDN'T BE INCLUDED IN THIS MEASURE AND THAT'S WHAT 16 THIS SAYS AND THERE ARE PLACES IN THE REGION THAT THIS CONSOLIDATION SHOULD BE LOOKED AT. FIVE TRANSIT OPERATORS IN 17 SOLANO COUNTY. WHY FIVE? THIS CAN BE REDUCED, AND FOR US TO 18 SAY THAT'S NOT WORTHY OF CONSIDERING IN THE FUTURE I THINK 19 WE'RE DOING A DISSERVICE. AND, SO, AS I SAID WHAT THIS SAYS IS 20 21 THE ADMISSION SHOULD ALSO CONSIDER THAT TRANSIT CONSOLIDATION FOR FURTHER STUDY. THAT'S WHAT IT'S SAYING. AND SHOULD THAN 22 PURSUED SEPARATE FROM ENABLING LEGISLATION. I THINK WE CAN ALL 23 ON THAT, AND FURTHER STUDY IS GOING TO BE OUT THERE WHETHER WE 24 AGREE OR NOT. I THINK TO THAT RESPECT SENATOR WAHAB WHO IS 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

TRYING TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE AND THERE'S APPROPRIATE PLACES
 THAT IT SHOULD TAKE PLACE OR SHOULD BE CONSIDERED. I WOULD
 HOPE WE CAN SUPPORT. DOES ANYBODY STRONGLY DISAGREE WITH THAT?

5 ADINA LEVIN: TO SPEAK IN FAVOR OF KEEPING THINGS SEPARATE LIKE DISCUSSION ABOUT THE DETAILS IS REALLY IMPORTANT ABOUT, LIKE, 6 IS IT SOMETHING CUSTOMER-FACING. IS IT SOMETHING BACK OFFICE. 7 8 ARE THERE PARTICULAR PLACES LIKE THE FERRIES THAT MAKE SENSE TO DO SOMETHING SPECIFIC OR PARTICULAR COUNTIES THAT HAVE LOTS 9 OF BUS OPERATORS THERE'S MERIT TO MOVING THAT FORWARD BUT 10 HAVING WATCHED 1031 DISCUSSION CLOSELY WHERE THERE WERE 11 STAKEHOLDERS INCLUDING UNIONS THAT WILL HAVE TERRIBLE PROBLEMS 12 IF WE DON'T HAVE A FUNDING MEASURE AND DISCUSSION ABOUT 13 CONSOLIDATION TO 95% OF THE ENERGY AND AGENCIES HAVING FISCAL 14 15 CLIFFS THAT EXISTENTIALLY DEPEND ON FUNDING BUT WE'RE SPENDING 16 95% OF THE TIME DISCUSSING THE TRANSIT CONSOLIDATION, IT SUCKS ALL THE OXYGEN BY TRYING TO MELD THEM. SO HAVING THEM SEPARATE 17 IT SEEMS IMPORTANT FOR THE FUNDING WHICH IS ONE OF THE THINGS 18 THAT WE LEARNED FROM THE 1031 EXPERIENCE AND CONSOLIDATION. 19 20

CHAIR, JIM SPERING: IN CONSOLIDATION 1031, THAT'S REASONS WE HAVE GOT SO MUCH OPPOSITION. SO, I DON'T THINK WE CAN ROLL THE DICE AND HOPE THAT WE WOULD BE REALLY THE FOCUS OF ALL THE DISCUSSION. OPPOSITION SAYING THE COMMISSION CONSIDER TRANSIT CONSOLIDATION WORTHY OF FUTURE STUDY WHICH WOULD BE PURSUE



SIDE SEPARATELY ENABLING LEGISLATION ON TRANSPORTATION REVENUE 1 2 MEASURE. IS THERE SUPPORT FOR THAT. 3 SPEAKER: I APPRECIATE ALL THE INPUT TODAY I WISH WE HAD 4 5 ANOTHER MEETING TO HAVE SLIGHTLY MORE ROBUST DISCUSSION ON THIS TOPIC AND I WANT TO POINT OUT THAT IN 1031, THERE WAS A 6 7 STUDY THAT WOULD HAVE GOTTEN INTO THE DETAILS THAT YOU'RE ALL 8 BRINGING UP NOW. SO, JUST END IT THERE. THANK YOU. 9 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YEAH AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING COULD 10 11 TAKE PLACE. SO, ALL RIGHT. SO, UNLESS THERE IS REAL STRONG OPPOSITION TO THE LANGUAGE THAT'S HERE, THIS IS WHAT WE WOULD 12 MOVE FORWARD TO THE COMMISSION. 13 14 STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: I MOVE FORWARD THE RECOMMENDATION. 15 16 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ELLEN, GO AHEAD. 17 18 ELLEN WU: I WOULD LIKE TO ADD A COUPLE OF THINGS FOR 19 CONSIDERATION. 20 21 22 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY IS IT ON THIS ISSUE? 23 ELLEN WU: NO. 24 25



| 1  | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: NEW ISSUE?                                 |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  | ELLEN WU: NEW ISSUE.   |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: I JUST WANTED TO GET THAT ISSUE BEHIND US. |
| 6  | GO AHEAD, ELLEN.   |
| 7  |  |
| 8  | ELLEN WU: JUST FOR THE WHOLE MAXIMUM FLEXIBILITY, A PATH       |
| 9  | FORWARD TO ADD THE ABILITY TO HAVE A CITIZEN'S INITIATIVE      |
| 10 | INCLUDED IN THE ENABLING LEGISLATION, I THINK IS REALLY        |
| 11 | IMPORTANT. ALL OPTIONS ON THE TABLE. AND THEN I THINK IT'S     |
| 12 | REALLY CRITICAL THAT THERE IS SOMETHING ABOUT ADDRESSING       |
| 13 | EMISSIONS OR CLIMATE THAT'S INCLUDED IN THE LEGISLATION TO     |
| 14 | SIGNAL TO THAT IS OUR ULTIMATE GOAL AND WE HAVE BOTH STATE AND |
| 15 | REGIONAL GOALS AROUND THAT THAT WE NEED TO MEET, PARTICULARLY  |
| 16 | WITH THESE NEW DOLLARS.  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. THOSE TWO SEPARATE ISSUES. THE       |
| 19 | CITIZEN'S INITIATIVE. OKAY. LET'S TAKE THEM ONE AT A TIME AND  |
| 20 | I'M GLAD YOU RAISED THAT. BECAUSE I WROTE HERE CITIZEN'S       |
| 21 | INITIATIVE, SHOULD WE INCLUDE IT. SEE THAT?                    |
| 22 |  |
| 23 | NICK JOSEFOWITZ: THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS.                          |
| 24 |  |
|    |  |



| 1  | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ELLEN THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THAT UP AND   |
|----|--|
| 2  | SAVED ME. IS THERE ANYONE WHO WOULD OPPOSE THAT INITIATIVE     |
| 3  | SHOULD BE PART OF THE PROCESS? MANNY?                          |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | MANNY LEON: QUICK CLASSIFICATION, DEFER TO STAFF WHEN WE SAY   |
| 6  | CITIZEN'S INITIATIVE, AND LEGISLATION, I FEEL LIKE I HAVE      |
| 7  | TALKED TO STAFF ABOUT THIS BEFORE, IS THERE TECHNICAL NUANCES  |
| 8  | THAT NEED TO HAPPEN IN LEGISLATION. FOR THE RECORD, THE        |
| 9  | ALLIANCE JOB IS THE ONLY AGENCY THAT'S AROUND US THAT SENDS    |
| 10 | TRANSPORTATION NICHE TESTIFY SACRAMENTO THERE IS NO            |
| 11 | INVOLVEMENT FROM PUBLIC AGENCY THAT'S DEVELOPED LEGISLATION    |
| 12 | FOR CITIZEN WHAT ARE WE TALKING ABOUT.                         |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: TO ALLOW IT.                               |
| 15 |  |
| 16 | ADINA LEVIN: THERE DOESN'T NEED TO BE ENTITY THAT CAN COLLECT  |
| 17 | TAX AND CITIZEN'S INITIATIVE CAN'T DO THAT? THE CITIZEN'S      |
| 18 | INITIATIVE WOULD DO EVERYTHING ELSE? DOES STAFF HAVE           |
| 19 | INFORMATION ABOUT THAT?  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 | SPEAKER: WELL, I CAN SPEAK TO A LITTLE BIT OF CONTEXT BUT FEEL |
| 22 | FREE TO ADD, SPECIAL DISTRICTS CAN UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW        |
| 23 | CERTAIN SPECIAL DISTRICTS ARE CONSIDERED ELIGIBLE NATURALLY    |
| 24 | FOR VOTER INITIATIVE AND AUTHORITY CAN BE EXTENDED TO SPECIAL  |
| 25 | DISTRICTS LIKE MTC SUBJECT TO WHATEVER GUARDRAILS EXIST IN THE |
|    |  |

OCTOBER 21, 2024

AUTHORIZING STATUTE FOR EXAMPLE, MTC DOES NOT HAVE FOR 1 2 EXAMPLE, SALES TAX AUTHORITY AND CITIZEN'S INITIATIVE IS 3 EXTENDED TO THE SPECIAL DISTRICT OF MTC WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO ENACT FOR EXAMPLE, SALES TAX MEASURE IN THE DISTRICT OF MTC IT 4 5 CAN STILL HAPPEN AT THE LOCAL LEVEL, AT THE COUNTY LEVEL BUT NOT NECESSARILY ALLOWED TO HAPPEN AT SOME DISTRICT LEVEL IT 6 COULD HAPPEN IF THAT AUTHORITY WAS EXTENDED TO MTC. AND IT IS 7 8 POSSIBLE.

9

10 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: FROM WHAT I UNDERSTOOD, ELLEN PROPOSES
11 THAT WE INCLUDE THAT OPTION, COULD BE AVAILABLE. WE DON'T WANT
12 TO DO ANYTHING THAT PRECLUDES IT. SO THAT WOULD REALLY BE -13 OKAY.

14

JIM WUNDERMAN: JIM, I DON'T KNOW ABOUT PRECLUDING IT, BUT YOU 15 16 WILL PRECLUDING IT BUT YOU WILL RECALL THAT WE'RE NOT VOTING IN A COUPLE OF WEEKS ON A MEASURE TO THE CALIFORNIA SUPREME 17 COURT REMOVED IT FROM THE BALLOT AND THE MEASURE INCLUDED A 18 LOT OF STUFF THE SPROUT SAID IT WAS TOO MUCH TO HAVE IN ONE 19 MEASURE TO SIMPLIFY. PEOPLE WHO PUT THAT MEASURE BEFORE 20 21 COLLECTED MONEY AND COLLECTED SIGNATURES AS I UNDERSTAND HAVE THE INTENTION TO PUT BACK ON THE BALLOT IN A COUPLE OF YEARS. 22 I WARN THAT WEEKEND END UP ON THE BALLOT WITH A CITIZEN'S 23 MEASURE ON THE SAME BALLOT WITH A STATEWIDE MEASURE THAT 24 PRECLUDES THE MEASURE WE GOT. SO IT'S A SLIPPERY SLOPE. THE 25



2/3 SEEMS ENORMOUS MOUNTAIN I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD PRECLUDE 1 2 BUT CONSIDERATION THAT MAY NOT BE VIABLE. 3 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ABSOLUTELY. ELLEN, IF YOU CAN CORRECT, YOU 4 JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE IT'S NOT PRECLUDED. 5 6 7 ELLEN WU: THAT'S RIGHT. 8 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. SO, LET'S SEE. I DON'T SEE ANY 9 NODDING HEADS. OKAY. WHAT WAS THE SECOND ISSUE YOU WANTED TO 10 ADD? THE MORE CONTROVERSIAL ONE? 11 12 ELLEN WU: CLIMATE NEUTRAL OR REDUCED EMISSION WITH THE FUNDS 13 TO ADDRESS OUR CLIMATE CHANGE DISASTER THAT WE'RE FEELING NOW. 14 15 16 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: MAYBE STAFF CAN RESPOND TO THAT. I WOULD ASSUME WE'RE UNDER THOSE CONDITIONS ANYWAY WITH PLANNED BAY 17 AREA 2050. 18 19 ANDREW FREMIER: I'M TRYING TO THINK OF ANYTHING WE HAVE 20 21 SPECIFICALLY THAT REFERENCES DISCUSSIONS. 22 23 ALIX BOCKELMAN: SO THE PLANNED BAY AREA IN TOTAL NEEDS TO REDUCE VEHICLE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS SO I THINK ELLEN WAS 24 SPEAKING MAYBE TO PROJECT BY PROJECT MAYBE YOU CAN CLARIFY 25



| 1                          | MAYBE I MISSED IT. BUT THE MAN REQUIRES THAT ALL OF THE   |
|----------------------------|---|
| 2                          | PROJECTS TOGETHER WOULD NEED TO REDUCE GREENHOUSE GAS   |
| 3                          | EMISSIONS.  |
| 4                          |   |
| 5                          | ELLEN WU: THE PLAN WILL BE REFERENCED IN THE ENABLING   |
| 6                          | LEGISLATION.  |
| 7                          |   |
| 8                          | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. JUST THAT PLANNED BAY AREA 2050 IS -  |
| 9                          | _   |
| 10                         |   |
| 11                         | SPEAKER: WAIT   |
| 12                         |   |
| 13                         | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: HANG ON ONE SECOND.   |
| 14                         |   |
| 15                         | ELLEN WU: THAT'S WHERE I'M GOING TO IS WE CAN REFERENCE THE   |
| 16                         | ACHIEVING OUR GOALS FOR PLANNED BAY AREA 2050 IN ENABLING   |
| 17                         | LEGISLATION TO MAKE SURE THERE IS SOMETHING IN THERE THAT   |
|                            |   |
| 18                         | PRIORITIZES.  |
| 18<br>19                   | PRIORITIZES.  |
|                            | PRIORITIZES.<br>CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY NICK?  |
| 19                         |   |
| 19<br>20                   |   |
| 19<br>20<br>21             | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY NICK?  |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY NICK?<br>NICK JOSEFOWITZ: I MEAN, I WAS JUST GOING TO PLANNED BAY  |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY NICK?<br>NICK JOSEFOWITZ: I MEAN, I WAS JUST GOING TO PLANNED BAY<br>AREA INCLUDES ALL SORTS OF CRAZY WHACKY STUFF, YOU KNOW, LIKE |

OCTOBER 21, 2024

THIS MEASURE. SO I DON'T THINK REFERENCING PLANNED BAY AREA IN 1 2 THE CONTEXT OF THIS MEASURE, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT TO ALIX, DOESN'T REALLY DO ANYTHING. I THINK IF WE WANT -- IF STAFF IS 3 TELLING US THAT THIS MEASURE IS GOING TO BE -- IS GOING TO 4 5 REDUCE CLIMATE EMISSIONS, THEN WE SHOULD JUST PUT IT IN THE MEASURE THAT IT'S GOING TO REDUCE CLIMATE EMISSIONS AND, LIKE 6 IF IT'S ALREADY GOING TO HAPPEN, THEN IT'S NOT GOING TO HAVE -7 8 - THEN IT'S ALREADY GOING TO HAPPEN BUT AT LEAST WE'LL KNOW THAT IT'S GOING TO HAPPEN IF IT'S WRITTEN IN THE MEASURE IS 9 10 THAT THE CASE STAFF? OR IS IT NOT GOING TO REDUCE CLIMATE EMISSIONS. 11

12

ANDREW FREMIER: THAT'S THE QUESTION. ARE YOU GOING TO ATTRACT
RIDERSHIP AND KEEP TRAINS RUNNING THEN YOU HAVE A GOOD CHANCE
OF GETTING PEOPLE TO MOVE.

16

17 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: SO IT WILL REDUCE CLIMATE EMISSIONS.

18

19 ANDREW FREMIER: IF SUCCESSFUL.

20

21 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: SO WE CAN PUT IT IN THE LANGUAGE.

22

ANDREW FREMIER: I THINK IT'S WORTH PUTTING IT IN THE LANGUAGE
I'M NERVOUS ABOUT WHAT THEY GOING TO BE PLANNED BAY AREA IS
BROAD WU WE SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE IT.

OCTOBER 21, 2024

2 ELLEN WU: WE SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THE GOAL AND INTENTION TOO,
3 RIGHT, BUT SOMEHOW WE'RE GOING TO TIE THE FUNDS AND THAT GOAL
4 AND INTENTION TOGETHER.

5

1

6 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: I'M JUST CONCERNED THIS WE'RE JUST PUTTING
7 ONE MORE CONSTRAINT ON THIS AND WE HAVE A LOT OF PROVISIONS
8 THAT DEAL WITH THAT ISSUE THAT YOU'RE RAISING. AND IT'S KIND
9 OF LIKE THE CONSOLIDATION ISSUE. I THINK WHEN YOU START
10 PUTTING THOSE CONSTRAINTS THEN YOU'RE GOING TO GET A LOT MORE
11 OPPOSITION. MAN EY COMMENTED?

12

MANNY LEON: THROUGH THE CHAIR, GOING OFF THE SLIDE. WE WOULD 13 BE OPPOSED TO ANY FURTHER PROVISIONS THAT WOULD BE INCLUDED TO 14 MAKE PROJECTS CLIMATE NEUTRAL, AS IT SAYS HERE, GOING OFF THE 15 16 SLIDE, WE FEEL LIKE THERE IS ALREADY PROCESSES IN PLACE TO MITIGATE GHG REDUCTIONS THROUGH REGULATIONS AND LEGISLATION. 17 SO, WE WOULDN'T WANT TO SEE ANYTHING ELSE PUT IN PLACE. THERE 18 IS A PROCESS ALREADY THAT KIND OF MITIGATES WHAT ALREADY NEEDS 19 TO BE MITIGATED. 20

21

NICK JOSEFOWITZ: SO YOU WOULD FEEL COMPANY IF THIS MEASURE
INCREASED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND COMPLIED WITH THE
EXISTING PROCESS.

### OCTOBER 21, 2024

MANNY LEON: NO WE'RE SAYING WE'RE CONSISTENT WITH PLANS THOSE
 REDUCED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND NEED TO BE CONSISTENT
 WITH APPROVAL FOR REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANS AND SCSS WHICH
 IN FACT REDUCE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.

5

6 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: AGREED THAT WE SHOULD THIS MEASURE SHOULD BE
 7 RE--

8

ANDREW FREMIER: THAT WAS ONE OF THE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS 9 THAT WAS BROUGHT FORWARD THAT WE DID NOT REALLY DISCUSS BUT 10 11 YOU INDICATED THOSE WOULD CONTINUE TO GO FORWARD FOR DISCUSSION IT'S ALREADY IN THERE SO MY THOUGHT WOULD BE IT'S 12 ALREADY GOING TO BE DISCUSSED WITH THE COMMISSION AND THEY'RE 13 GOING TO MAKE DECISIONS ON THE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS THAT 14 CAME FROM VARIOUS SOURCES AND THAT WILL BE HANDLED ALMOST LIKE 15 16 WE TALKED ABOUT EARLIER, THROUGH THE SUCCESSION AND NEXT STEPS. THAT WOULD BE MY THOUGHT. 17

18

19 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. ELLEN, LET'S SEE WHO SUPPORTS ADDING 20 THAT LANGUAGE TO THIS MEASURE? WHO WANTS THAT INCLUDED IN THE 21 MEASURE.

22

23 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: THE MEASURE SHOWER REDUCE CLIMATE EMISSIONS.24



CHAIR, JIM SPERING: HOLD YOU'RE HANDS UP IF YOU WANT TO 1 INCLUDE THAT MEASURE. HAND COUNT. HOW MANY DON'T SUPPORT THAT 2 3 MEASURE? SO, WHAT DID YOU HAVE? 4 5 ALIX BOCKELMAN: OPPOSE EIGHT AND FOR WAS SIX. IT'S CLOSE. 6 7 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WE'LL NOTE THAT. 8 ANDREW FREMIER: STUART HAD SIX OR SEVEN ARE THINGS THAT WOULD 9 10 BE WORTHY OF TALKING ABOUT SOME MORE IN-DEPTH THIS WAS ONE OF 11 THEM. 12 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WE'LL ADVANCE THAT TO THE COMMISSION FOR 13 14 MORE DISCUSSION. 15 16 STUART COHEN: AS LONG AS THAT WASN'T OFFICIAL VOTE. WE HAVEN'T TAKEN PUBLIC COMMENT YET. 17 18 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: NO. IT WAS GETTING CONSENSUS ON THAT 19 ISSUE. DON'T MAKE IT MORE COMPLICATED STUART. 20 21 22 COUNSEL, KATHLEEN KANE: THROUGH THE CHAIR? I'M SORRY. JUST TO CLARIFY FOR HOUSEKEEPING REASONS, WE HAD AN ATTEMPTED MOTION 23 FROM MEMBER MOULTON-PETERS WAY BACK. AND I DON'T THINK WE GOT 24 A SECOND ON IT, AND THERE'S CHANGES --25



1 2 SUE NOACK: SECOND. 3 COUNSEL, KATHLEEN KANE: -- CHANGES TO THE DISCUSSION. 4 5 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WHAT WAS THE MOTION? 6 7 8 SPEAKER: MOVING THE RECOMMENDATIONS AT THAT TIME. 9 COUNSEL, KATHLEEN KANE: THEY HAVE SINCE BEEN AMENDED, AS I 10 11 UNDERSTAND IT, WITH MEMBER JOHN-BAPTISTE LANGUAGE -- PROPOSED LANGUAGE. AND I DON'T KNOW. I'M JUST OBSERVING THAT PERHAPS WE 12 HAVE TO LEAVE. SO, I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE A CLEAR 13 RECORD HERE AND PEOPLE KNOW WHAT THEY'RE VOTING ON. 14 15 16 SUE NOACK: SHE MOVED THE ITEM WITH THE CHANGED WORDING. 17 COUNSEL, KATHLEEN KANE: OKAY. 18 19 SUE NOACK: THE ONLY THING DIDN'T INCLUDE WAS THE CITIZEN'S 20 21 INITIATIVE PIECE. THAT CAME AFTER HER MOTION. 22 23 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: I WAS GOING TO ASK FOR A MOTION ON ALL OF THESE CONSENSUS THAT I HAVE HEARD. I WAS GOING SAY MOVE THEM 24 25 ALL. NOT ONE AT A TIME. STEPHANIE, IS THAT OKAY?



| 1  |  |
|----|--|
| 2  | STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: YES.                                 |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: SO, WE HAVE ENABLING LEGISLATION,          |
| 5  | ACCOUNTABILITY AND SECOND ONE, ALSO PROVIDE ELIGIBILITY FOR    |
| 6  | FUNDING. YOU HAVE ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE? DO YOU WANT TO READ IT? |
| 7  | DO YOU HAVE IT WRITTEN DOWN?                                   |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | SPEAKER: SHOULD ALSO PROVIDE THAT TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING   |
| 10 | THE MEASURE OPERATOR SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO REPORT AND COMPLY   |
| 11 | WITH POLICIES AND PROGRAMS RELATED TO TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION   |
| 12 | THAT WOULD BE DEVELOPED THROUGH THE REGIONAL NETWORK           |
| 13 | MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK.  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | CHAIR, JIM SPERING: AND THEN THREE COMMISSION SHOULD ALSO      |
| 16 | CONSIDER TRANSIT CONSOLIDATION WORTHY OF FURTHER STUDY SHOULD  |
| 17 | NOT BE PART OF THIS LEGISLATE TRANSPORTATION REVENUE MEASURE   |
| 18 | AND FOURTH ONE IS ADD LANGUAGE THAT PERMITS CITIZEN'S          |
| 19 | INITIATIVE. THOSE WOULD BE THE FOUR. AND I WOULD MOVE THOSE    |
| 20 | FOUR.  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | COUNSEL, KATHLEEN KANE: AGAIN, FOR BROWN ACT PURPOSES WE       |
| 23 | ALREADY HAVE A MOTION ON THE FLOOR AND A SECOND. DOES THE      |
| 24 | MOTION INCLUDE ALL OF THAT LANGUAGE?                           |
| 25 |  |



```
CHAIR, JIM SPERING: IT DIDN'T BUT WE CAN ASK.
1
2
3
    STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: I WOULD ACCEPT THOSE CHANGES.
4
5
    SUE NOACK: AND I WOULD ACCEPT AND SECOND.
6
7
    CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WE HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND. AND I'LL
8
    LEAVE IT TO THE ATTORNEY TO PUSH THE MEETING LONGER.
9
    COUNSEL, KATHLEEN KANE: TRYING TO BE EFFICIENT.
10
11
    CHAIR, JIM SPERING: SO WE HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND. SO HOW DO
12
    YOU WANT TO TAKE -- ROLL CALL?
13
14
    COUNSEL, KATHLEEN KANE: WE NEED TO DO PUBLIC COMMENT AS WELL.
15
16
    CHAIR, JIM SPERING: OKAY. LET'S TAKE PUBLIC COMMENT. HOW MANY
17
18
    SPEAKERS DO WE HAVE?
19
    CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: I DIDN'T RECEIVE ANYTHING IN
20
21
    WRITING FOR THIS ITEM BUT I HAVE ONE SPEAKER IN THE BOARDROOM.
22
    JONATHON COLE. HOW MUCH TIME WOULD YOU LIKE TO GIVE?
23
    CHAIR, JIM SPERING: HOW MANY DO YOU HAVE ONLINE?
24
25
```



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: TWO. 1 2 3 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: WE'RE NOT ADDING ANYMORE. 4 5 COUNSEL, KATHLEEN KANE: THROUGH THE CHAIR WE HAVE TO GIVE NOTICE TO RAISE THEIR HAND. 6 7 8 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: RAISE YOUR HAND NOW AND WITHIN THE NEXT 9 MINUTE. 10 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: HOW MUCH TIME WOULD YOU LIKE TO 11 GIVE CHAIR SPERING? 12 13 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: MINUTE AND A HALF. GO AHEAD, SIR. TURN THE 14 MIC ON THERE, JONATHON. 15 16 SPEAKER: ON THE TOP. 17 18 SPEAKER: JONATHON COLE CLIMATE ACTION CALIFORNIA. ORIGINALLY I 19 SIGNED UP TO EXPRESS MY DISAPPOINTMENT THAT EMISSIONS WERE NOT 20 PART OF YOUR DISCUSSION. BUT I THANK THE COMMITTEE FOR THE 21 22 ROBUST -- FOR INITIATING THAT ROBUST DISCUSSION. I STILL 23 BELIEVE THAT IT'S THE INTENTION OF THIS COMMISSION THAT THIS MEASURE REDUCE EMISSIONS THAT YOU SHOULD BE WILLING TO SAY SO 24



AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO ADVOCATE FOR THAT AS THIS MOVES 1 FORWARD. THANK YOU. 2 3 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: THANK YOU. 4 5 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. MOVING TO THE ZOOM 6 7 SPACE. WE HAVE ANTHONY FOLLOWED BY BRIAN CULBERTSON. 8 SPEAKER: HELLO MY NAME IS ANTHONY AND OPPORTUNITY FOR 9 MEANINGFUL PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM LIKE MANY OF THESE 10 SELECT COMMITTEE MEETINGS HAS UNFORTUNATELY BEEN LIMITED AND 11 CURTAILED BUT I'LL STILL GIVE MY 60 SECONDS OF COMMENTS 12 BECAUSE I HAVE GOT OPINIONS AND I HAVE BEEN IN THIS MEETING 13 WITH YOU HOPEFULLY NEXT TIME A MEETING LIKE THIS IS CHAIRED, 14 SHOULD BE GIVEN LONGER PUBLIC COMMENT. ON THE SUBJECT ON MTC 15 16 NETWORK MANAGER CONSOLIDATION LANGUAGE HAPPY WITH WHERE THE COMMITTEE HAS ENDED UP I THINK THAT CONSOLIDATION IS WORTHY OF 17 FURTHER STUDIES SO WE CONDITIONED, PERHAPS MONEY COULD BE .2% 18 OF EVEN THE SMALLEST ANNUAL REVENUE MEASURE TRANSIT 19 TRANSFORMATION MONEY. ON THE SUBJECT OF CLIMATE ACTION I 20 REALLY THINK THAT IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE TALK ABOUT FINDING 21 NEUTRALITY AS PART OF THIS, INTENTION OF THIS IS THE TRANSIT 22 23 BILL IF POSSIBLE IN PLANNED BAY AREA WITH FUNDS WE'RE GOING TO RAISE TO FUND JUST ENTIRELY HIGHWAY, PLANNED BAY AREA IS SO 24 COMPREHENSIVE I DON'T THINK THAT'S SUFFICIENT TO ENSURE THAT 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript

OCTOBER 21, 2024

WE'RE MEETING OUR CLIMATE GOALS. I THINK AS COMMISSIONER
 JOSEFOWITZ SAID IF EVERYONE ON THIS COMMITTEE AGREES THAT
 WE'RE GOING TO BE CLIMATE NEUTRAL LET'S GO AHEAD AND SAY IT IN
 THE MOTION THAT GOES TO MTC. THANK YOU.

5

6 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT WE HAVE BRIAN
7 CULBERTSON FOLLOWED BY HARRIET NEIL.

8

SPEAKER: BRIAN CULBERTSON, A TRANSIT RIDER IN OAKLAND. I WANT 9 TO TALK ABOUT TRANSIT CONSOLIDATION I THINK THE IMPORTANT PART 10 ABOUT THAT IS THE TRANSIT COORDINATION PART AND I DEFINITELY 11 AGREE THAT WE SHOULD BE STUDYING THAT BUT NOT INCLUDING IT IN 12 THIS MEASURE SO THAT WE CAN FOCUS ON THE OPERATOR FUNDING THAT 13 IS NEEDED. BUT AS A TRANSIT RIDER, THE THING, WHEN I THINK OF 14 15 COORDINATION, CONSOLIDATION BENEFITS FOR EXAMPLE, WHEN I AM 16 TRYING TO TAKE A FERRY OR A BUS I DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO THINK ABOUT TRANSIT AGENCY I SHOULD TAKE TO GET THERE I WANT TO 17 THINK ABOUT WHAT MY LOCATION IS WHERE I WANT TO GONOT WHAT 18 AGENCY TO USE. SO CONSOLIDATION CAN HELP WITH COORDINATION. 19 CLIMATE PART IT'S CRUCIAL TO BRING ON CLIMATE ADVOCATES AND 20 ORGANIZATIONS AS PART OF GETTING THIS MEASURE PASSED. AND WE 21 22 SHOULD MAKE THIS CLEAR IN THIS CLIMATE EMERGENCY THAT WE ARE DECREASING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS BY MODE SHIFT TO TRANSIT. 23 SO, I THINK IT IS CRUCIALLY IMPORTANT THAT WE PUT IT IN THE 24



2 THIS MEASURE WILL BE FOR GREENHOUSE GAS EMITTING PROJECTS.
3
4 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. NEXT WE'LL HAVE HARRY,
5 FOLLOWED BY VINAY PIMPLE.

MEASURE. ESPECIALLY IF IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE AGREE ON THAT

6

1

SPEAKER: HELLO AGAIN THIS IS HARRY. I'M A TRANSIT ADVOCATE 7 8 FROM SAN JOSE. ON THE TOPIC OF CONSOLIDATION, I THINK THAT STUDY IS ALWAYS WORTHY AND A MILLION DOLLARS TO DO A STUDY 9 LIKE THAT, IT SOUNDS LIKE A LOT OF MONEY. BUT IT'S REALLY NOT 10 11 ON THE SCALE OF A BODY LIKE MTC TO BE FRANK. I THINK WORTHY OF STUDY WE SHOULD BE DEBATING AFTER WE GET THE STUDY IS THIS 12 RIGHT THING FOR RIDERS AND TO KEEP IN MIND CONSOLIDATION 13 DOESN'T MEAN EVERYTHING IN ONE AGENCY IT COULD BE AS ONE OF 14 15 THE OTHER -- ONE OF THE COMMISSIONER MENTIONED FIVE TRANSIT 16 AGENCIES THAT COULD BE GOING 5 TO 1 OR FIVE GOING TO TWO THAT ON A REGIONAL SCALE RATHER THAN EVERYTHING INTO ONE. THERE IS 17 IMPORTANT TO CONSIDER CONSOLIDATION OF USER EXPERIENCE RATHER 18 THAN ON THE BACK END UNIFIED WAY OF FINDING OR UNIFIED 19 BRANDING IS A FORM OF CONSOLIDATION FOR THE RIDERS BUT THE 20 21 RIDER DIDN'T REALLY CARE WHAT GOES ON IN THE BACK END. AS PART 22 OF ADVANCING ANYTHING WE DO NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT LAND USE IS 23 IMPROVING TO SUPPORT TRANSIT PLEASE KEEP THAT IN MIND FOR COMMISSIONERS YOUR LOCAL BODIES. THANK YOU. 24

25



CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. VINAY PIMPLE. 1 2 3 VINAY PIMPLE: HI. I'M VINAY PIMPLE, I SERVE ON THE POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL. I'M NOT SURE BUT I HOPE YOU GUYS AREN'T 4 5 GOING TO DISCUSS THE ISSUE ABOUT NOT USING ANY FURTHER AUTOMATION BECAUSE IT MAY ENDS UP MAKING US LIKE A 6 LAUGHINGSTOCK, BECAUSE IT WOULD HAVE A BAND SOFTWARE UPGRADE 7 8 IN TERMS OF OTHER STUFF. PARTICULARLY THE CLIMATE EMISSIONS WE ALL BELIEVE THAT IT'S GOING TO REDUCE GREENHOUSE GASSES, BUT 9 IN THE END I JUST WONDER HOW MUCH OF A PAPERWORK THAT'S GOING 10 TO GENERATE AND REQUIRE, SAME THING GOES FOR TRANSIT 11 TRANSFORMATION ASKING PEOPLE TO MAKE -- PROGRESS REPORT 12 ONGOING COMPLIANCE IS BETTER. I OBVIOUSLY SUPPORT STRONGLY 13 TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION BUT YOU KNOW, LIKE, THE WHY IMPROVE ALL 14 15 THESE REPORTING AND PAPERWORK REQUIREMENTS THAT WE ALL AGREED 16 ON THOSE ISSUES. THANK YOU. 17 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: THANK YOU. THAT CONCLUDES PUBLIC 18 19 COMMENT ON FOR THIS ITEM. 20 21 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: TAKE THE ROLL ON THE MOTION. 22 23 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MOTION BY MEMBER MOULTON-PETERS SECOND NOACK. CHAIR SPERING? 24



CHAIR, JIM SPERING: YES. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: ORANTES? JOHN ARANTES: YES. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: CANEPA? DAVID CANEPA: YES. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: CHAVEZ IS ABSENT. JAN BAPTIST? ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: AYE. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: JOSEFOWITZ? LEONE? SPEAKER: YES. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: LINDSAY WE HAVE? JAMES LINDSAY: YES. CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MAHAN IS ABSENT. MILEY? NATHAN MILEY: YES. 



1 2 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MOULTON-PETERS? NOACK? RABBITT? WU? 3 ELLEN WU: YES. 4 5 6 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: WINDER MAN? 7 8 JIM WUNDERMAN: YES. 9 10 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY BY ALL 11 MEMBERS PRESENT. 12 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: ALL RIGHT. UNANIMOUS VOTE. I FEEL THIS HAS 13 ALL BEEN WORTHWHILE. [LAUGHTER] I WANT TO THANK YOU. SO, WE 14 HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT. DO WE HAVE ANY WRITTEN OR ANYONE WITH 15 16 THEIR HAND RAISED? 17 CLERK, BRITTNY SUTHERLAND: I HAVE RECEIVED NO ADDITIONAL 18 19 WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE NO ONE IN THE ZOOM SPACE AND NO ONE IN THE BOARDROOM WISHING TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT. 20 21 22 CHAIR, JIM SPERING: BEFORE WE ADJOURN I WANT TO THANK 23 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. THIS HAS BEEN A WORTHY PROCESS THAT'S GOING HELP GUIDE THE COMMISSION. THANK YOU FOR THE COMMITMENT AND 24 COMING TO THIS MEETING AND STAYING LATE TODAY. I WANT TO THANK 25

OCTOBER 21, 2024

 METROPOLITAN
 TRANSPORTATION
 COMMISSION Meeting Transcript

## OCTOBER 21, 2024

STUART AND STAFF. THANK YOU. RIGHT GUY AT THE RIGHT TIME. 1 [APPLAUSE] PUTTING IN, BURNING THE CANDLE AT BOTH ENDS. THANK 2 YOU GUYS. I APPRECIATE IT. AND ALLISON AND I WERE ON THE PHONE 3 LAST NIGHT AT 11 TO GIVE YOU AN IDEA. AGAIN, I WANT TO THANK 4 5 THE PUBLIC. GREAT COMMENT. IT GIVES A GOOD REFLECTION OF WHAT'S GOING ON IN THE REGION. SO THANK YOU PUBLIC SPEAKERS 6 AND PEOPLE THAT CAME FORWARD. I WANT TO REMIND EVERYONE YOU 7 8 CAN SUBMIT A MINORITY POSITION OR PAPER SOMETHING THAT YOU 9 WANT THE COMMISSION IN THE LEGISLATURE TO CONSIDER THIS IS NOT THE END OF THE PROCESS THIS IS ONE MORE STEP IT'S GOING TO GO 10 11 TO THE LEG COMMITTEE COMMISSION TO THE LEGISLATURE POLLING WILL DETERMINE THE PATH FORWARD. COMMISSIONERS, THANK YOU. 12 CAN'T THANK YOU ENOUGH. GREAT PROCESS. THANK YOU, ALL. OKAY. 13 WE'RE ADJOURNED. WE'RE ADJOURNED. [ADJOURNED] 14

