## **Metropolitan Transportation Commission Programming and Allocations Committee**

July 13, 2016 Agenda Item 2c

#### **Concurrence Request for STIP Amendment**

**Subject:** 2016 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Amendment

Concurrence for San Mateo County SR-92/SR-82 Interchange Improvement

project.

**Background:** The San Mateo County Transportation Authority (SMCTA) and the San Mateo

City/County Association of Governments (SM C/CAG) request MTC

concurrence for an AB 3090 STIP amendment for the SR-92/SR-82 (El Camino Real) Interchange Improvement project. In the approved 2016 STIP, CTC delayed the project by one year, from FY 2016-17 to FY 2017-18. Since the project will be ready for construction earlier than FY 2017-18, SM C/CAG requests amending the STIP to convert the SR-92/SR-82 Interchange Improvement project into an AB 3090 Reimbursement project. AB 3090 Reimbursement allows sponsors to use local funds to advance projects, and receive reimbursement from state funds at a later date. San Mateo requests payback from the state over a three year period beginning in EV 2017. 18

beginning in FY 2017-18.

Since this action proposes to amend the STIP to convert a project into an AB 3090 Reimbursement project, Committee action is required to concur with the proposed amendment. This action is in accordance with RTIP Policies and Procedures, MTC Resolution No. 4208, available at <a href="http://mtc.ca.gov/our-work/fund-invest/investment-strategies-commitments/transit-21st-century/funding-sales-tax-and">http://mtc.ca.gov/our-work/fund-invest/investment-strategies-commitments/transit-21st-century/funding-sales-tax-and</a>. Below is the proposed STIP amendment.

#### **Existing Programming:**

<u>PPNO</u>	<b>Sponsor</b>	<b>Phase</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<u>FY</u>	Project Title
0668A	San Mateo (City)	CON	\$2,000,000	2017-18	SR-92/SR-82 Interchange Imps.
0668A	San Mateo (City)	CON-CT	\$3,000,000	2017-18	SR-92/SR-82 Interchange Imps.

#### **Proposed Programming:**

<u>PPNO</u>	Sponsor Phase	<u>Amount</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>Title</u>
0668A	San Mateo (City) CON	\$ 80	0,000	2017-18	SR-92/SR-82 Interchange
(3090)					
		\$ 600,000	2018-19		
		\$ 600,000	2019-20		
0668A	San Mateo (City) CON-	CT \$1,000,000	2017-18	SR-92/S	SR-82 Interchange (3090)
		\$1,000,000	2018-19		
		\$1,000,000	2019-20		

**Issues:** Available STIP funding capacity is severely limited in the first three years of the

STIP period (FY 2016-17 through FY 2018-19). CTC will likely delay the payback schedule proposed by San Mateo to the last two years of the STIP (FY 2019-20 and

FY 2020-21), or later. SM C/CAG is aware of this risk.

**Recommendation:** Approve the requested STIP amendment concurrence from SM C/CAG and direct

staff to send a letter of concurrence to the CTC.

**Attachments:** SMCTA and SM C/CAG STIP Amendment Request Letter



## CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park • Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

May 27, 2016

Kenneth Kao Metropolitan Transportation Commission 101 Eighth Street Oakland, CA 94607-4700

RE: SR 92/ SR82 Interchange Improvement Project – AB 3090 Request to Advance

Construction and Construction Support Program Year to FY 16/17

Dear Kenny:

The SR 92/ SR82 Interchange Improvement Project is essentially ready to list (RTL) except for the execution of the cooperative agreement. Currently the delay of funding in the STIP is preventing the cooperative agreement from being executed. This project was originally programmed in FY 16/17. Due to a severely limited STIP capacity, CTC has pushed this project out one fiscal year to FY 17/18.

Currently, the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (SMCTA) has allocated \$16 million for the construction capital phase. The 2016 STIP proposal was to direct \$3 of the \$5 million in STIP funding for construction support funding since Caltrans uses a higher ICRP charge rate if local funds are used to reimburse Caltrans for staffs support cost. The remaining \$2 million would fund construction capital.

Because the \$5 mil in STIP funds have been pushed out to FY 17/18 SMCTA is requesting to advance the project back to FY 16/17 by using \$5 mil in local funding under the AB 3090 process.

C/CAG concurs with the request from SMCTA to use local funding to advance the project and receive reimbursement for the programmed STIP funds. Attached is the Project Programming Request Amendment form to support your project change request process. Please contact me at 650-599-1462 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jean Higaki

C/CAG Transportation Systems Coordinator

Enclosures:

Project Programming Request Amendment

AB 3090 Request from SMCTA



Sandy Wong, Executive Director, C/CAG County Office Building 555 County Center, Fourth Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

May 25, 2016

BOARD OF DIRECTORS 2016

CAROLE GROOM, CHAIR DON HORSLEY, VICE CHAIR MAUREEN FRESCHET KEN IBARRA CAMERON JOHNSON KARYL MATSUMOTO MARY ANN NIHART

JIM HARTNETT
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

#### Sandy,

The San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) through C/CAG requests an amendment to the 2016 STIP, converting the SR92/SR82 Interchange Improvement Project (PPNO 668A) into an AB 3090 Project. This AB 3090 Reimbursement Agreement will advance \$5 million of local funds to the project into FY16/17 to cover the unfunded construction capital and construction support associated with the project and will be reimbursed with STIP funding in FY17/18, the year the funding is programmed in the current 2016 STIP or shortly thereafter.

The project design is completed, right of way certification is secured, and Ready-to-List status will be achieved shortly presenting the opportunity to begin construction this summer. In October 2015, the TA took an action to allocate \$16 million to fund the capital cost of the project with the understanding that \$5 million in STIP funds would be made available to the project (\$2 million for construction capital and \$3 million for construction support). There were early efforts to retain this funding in FY16/17 as programmed in the 2014 STIP, however on May 18 the CTC adopted the 2016 STIP that deferred funding for this project until FY17/18.

#### **2016 STIP Amendment Summary:**

<u>PPNO</u> 668A	<u>TIP ID</u> SM-110047	Sponsor City of San Mateo	Project Title SR92/SR82 Interchange Improveme			
<u>Phase</u>	<u>Amount</u>	STIP Fiscal	AB 3090 FY	AB 3090 Fund Source		
Construction	\$2,000,000	FY 2017/18	FY 2016/17	Local Funds		
<b>Construction Support</b>	\$3,000,000	FY 2017/18	FY 2016/17	Local Funds		

We have been working with Kenny Kao, MTC Senior Planner, and have been informed that he needs to receive the amendment request and PPR from C/CAG no later than June 10 to get this item agendized for the CTC's July meeting.

Please let me know if you have any questions or require additional information to meet the aforementioned timeline.

Thank you,

Joseph M Hurley

Director, San Mateo County Transportation Authority Program

DTP-0001 (Revis	sed Se	eptember 20	)13)					G	General Instructions					
✓ Amendmen	nt (Exi	sting Project	t)					Date:	9/25/15					
District		EA		Project	: ID	PPNO	MPO ID		TCRP No.					
04		23520		1 10 300		0668A	• 12		10111 1101					
	D <sub>0</sub>	ute/Corri	dor	PM Bk	PM Ahd		Project Spanso	r/l and Age	r/l and Aganay					
County	RO		uor			·	Project Sponso		incy					
SM		92		11.0	11.5		City of Sa							
SM		82		10.3	10.7	MP	0	EI	ement					
						MTC	;		CO					
Project Ma	anag	er/Conta	ct	Ph	one		E-mail A	Address						
	ary He	eap		(630) 32	22-7307		gheap@cityof	Sammateo.o	<u>ığ</u>					
Project Title	SR 92/SR 82 Interchange Improvements - Phase 1													
Location, Project Limits, Description, Scope of Work														
									e the southeast					
and the north	west	quadrant	loop	s. Two no	ew signali	zed intersection	ns would be cre	ated at new	on and off ramps					
on SR 82 (EI	Cam	ino Real)												
	ADA	Improve	ment	:S	✓ Inclu	udes Bike/Ped I								
Component						Implementir	ng Agency							
PA&ED		Caltrans												
PS&E		Caltrans												
Right of Way		Caltrans												
Construction		Caltrans												
Purpose and														
						traffic operation								
performance	at the	e on and	off ra	imps that	is creatin	g secondary op	erational deficie	encies at SF	₹ 92 mainline.					
	<b>61</b> .													
Project Bene		11 1 1	41	1 4	. 1	00.00	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		. 16					
					-			n and off-ra	mps to and from					
South El Can	nino I	Real and	thus	reduce th	e queuino	g that is the exis	sting condition.							
Cupporto	. Cua	tainabla (	20101	munition (	Ctrotom. (	CCC) Coolo	✓ Reduces G	roophougo	Coo Emissions					
✓ Supports			ااااااد	nunities	strategy (	SCS) Goals	reduces G		Gas Emissions					
Project Miles			vod					Existin	ng Proposed					
Project Study				Dhaaa				05/45/40	05/45/40					
Begin Enviror						Daaron and Ton		05/15/12						
Circulate Dra			iai Di	ocument		Document Ty	pe	10/15/13						
Draft Project			D A 0		۱۵۵۵			10/15/13						
	End Environmental Phase (PA&ED Milestone)       12/15/13       12/15/13         Begin Design (PS&E) Phase       01/15/14       01/15/14													
	_			4 for A d.	- wti w w	t Milestone)		01/15/14						
End Design F			IO LIS	St IOI Adv	erusemen	it ivillestorie)		12/15/15						
Begin Right of			ا جاء ا	of \\/ O	ortification	n Milantara		01/15/14						
End Right of								12/15/15						
Begin Construc							tonol	01/15/16						
		•	onsti	uction Co	Diffact AC	ceptance Miles	ione)	12/15/17						
Begin Closeout				2000-t\				12/15/17						
	ı Pna	SELLLINGE	()  IT F	≺⇔DOITI				117/15/18	1U3/15/1X					

DTP-0001 (Revised September 2013)

Date: 9/25/15

Additional Information
The SR 92/ SR82 Interchange Improvement Project is essentially ready to list (RTL) except for the execution
of the cooperative agreement. Currently the delay of funding in the STIP is preventing the cooperative
agreement from being executed. This project was originally programmed in FY 16/17. Due to a severely

Currently, the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (SMCTA) has allocated \$16 million for the construction capital phase. The 2016 STIP proposal was to direct \$3 of the \$5 million in STIP funding for construction support funding since Caltrans uses a higher ICRP charge rate if local funds are used to reimburse Caltrans for staffs support cost. The remaining \$2 million would fund construction capital.

limited STIP capacity, CTC has pushed this project out one fiscal year to FY 17/18.

Because the \$5 mil in STIP funds have been pushed out to FY 17/18 SMCTA is requesting to advance the project back to FY 16/17 by using \$5 mil in local funding under the AB 3090 process.

DTP-0001 (Revised September 2013)											
District	County	Route	EA	Project ID	PPNO	TCRP No.					
04	SM, SM,	SM , SM, 92, 82, 23520 0668A									
Project Title:	SR 92/SR 82 Interchan	ge Improvements - Phas	se 1								

	Existing Total Project Cost (\$1,000s)										
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Implementing Agency		
E&P (PA&ED)	1,605							1,605	Caltrans		
PS&E	1,600							1,600	Caltrans		
R/W SUP (CT)									Caltrans		
CON SUP (CT)									Caltrans		
R/W		1,500						1,500	Caltrans		
CON		11,395						11,395	Caltrans		
TOTAL	3,205	12,895						16,100			
		Prop	osed Total	Project Cos	st (\$1,000s)				Notes		
E&P (PA&ED)	1,605							1,605			
PS&E	2,600							2,600			
R/W SUP (CT)											
CON SUP (CT)			1,000	1,000	1,000			3,000			
R/W											
CON		18,895	800	600	600			20,895			
TOTAL	4,205	18,895	1,800	1,600	1,600			28,100			

Fund No. 1:	RIP - Natio	nal Hwy Sys	stem (NH) -	AB 3090					Program Code
			Existing F	unding (\$1,	000s)				20.XX.075.600
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									MTC
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON		5,000						5,000	
TOTAL		5,000						5,000	
			Proposed F	unding (\$1	,000s)				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									\$5 mil in AB 3090 payback
PS&E									for allocation of local
R/W SUP (CT)									funding in FY 16/17 for Con
CON SUP (CT)			1,000	1,000	1,000			3,000	Cap and Con-Sup (CT).
R/W									
CON			800	600	600			2,000	
TOTAL			1,800	1,600	1,600			5,000	

Fund No. 2:	Demo - Der	nonstration	-State TEA	21 (DEMOS	321)				Program Code
			Existing F	unding (\$1,	000s)				20.30.010.680
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	980							980	San Mateo City
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON		1,865						1,865	
TOTAL	980	1,865						2,845	
			Proposed	Funding (\$1	,000s)				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)	980							980	
PS&E	1,000							1,000	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON		865						865	
TOTAL	1,980	865						2,845	

DTP-0001 (Revised September 2013)											
District	County	Route	EA	Project ID	PPNO	TCRP No.					
04	SM, SM,	SM , SM, 92, 82, 23520 0668A									
Project Title:	SR 92/SR 82 Interchan	SR 92/SR 82 Interchange Improvements - Phase 1									

Fund No. 3:	Local Fund	s - Local Tr	ansportati	on Funds (I	LTF)				Program Code
			Existing F	unding (\$1	,000s)				20.10.400.100
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	125							125	San Mateo, City of
PS&E	100							100	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									1
R/W		1,500						1,500	1
CON		530						530	1
TOTAL	225	2,030						2,255	1
			Proposed	Funding (\$1	1,000s)				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)	125							125	
PS&E	100							100	1
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON		2,030						2,030	
TOTAL	225	2,030						2,255	1

Fund No. 4:	Local Fund	s - Measure	A (MEAS	A)					Program Code
			Existing F	unding (\$1,	000s)				20.10.400.100
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	500							500	San Mateo County Transportation A
PS&E	1,500							1,500	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON		4,000						4,000	
TOTAL	2,000	4,000						6,000	
			Proposed	Funding (\$1	,000s)				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)	500							500	
PS&E	1,500							1,500	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON		16,000						16,000	
TOTAL	2,000	16,000						18,000	

Fund No. 5:									Program Code
Existing Funding (\$1,000s)									
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON									
TOTAL									
			Proposed	Funding (\$1	,000s)				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON									
TOTAL									

DTP-0001 (Revised September 2013)

Complete th	is page for	amendments	only
-------------	-------------	------------	------

District	County	Route	EA	Project ID	PPNO	TCRP No.
04	SM SM	92 82	23520		0668A	

Date:

9/25/15

#### **SECTION 1 - All Projects**

#### Project Background

This project was originally programmed in FY 16/17. Due to a severely limited STIP capacity, CTC has pushed this project out one fiscal year to FY 17/18.

The SR 92/ SR82 Interchange Improvement Project is essentially ready to list (RTL) except for the execution of the cooperative agreement. Currently the delay of funding in the STIP is preventing the cooperative agreement from being executed.

#### Programming Change Requested

Modify Construction phase funds. Reallocate the existing construction capital as follows: 1) move \$3,000K to construction support as Caltrans will be performing the advertise, award, and adminstration (AAA) of the contract 2) Keep the remaining \$2,000K in construction capital.

Also modify Measure A construction capital to \$16 million.

Because the \$5 mil in STIP funds have been pushed out to FY 17/18 SMCTA is requesting to advance the project back to FY 16/17 by using \$5 mil in local funding under the AB 3090 process.

10/17 by daing to this in local randing ander the 715 0000 process.
Reason for Proposed Change
This is an AB 3090 acceleration request
If we would be a wear will deleve and an arrangements, already symbols 4) we can the deleve 2) and increase related
If proposed change will delay one or more components, clearly explain 1) reason the delay, 2) cost increase related
to the delay, and 3) how cost increase will be funded
Other Significant Information

# SECTION 2 - For TCRP Projects Only Alternative Project Request (Please follow Instructions at http://www.dot.ca.gov/tcrp/LETTERguidelines) Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) (Please follow Guidelines at http://www.dot.ca.gov/tcrp/docs/042706.pdf)

### SECTION 3 - All Projects

**Approvals** 

I hereby certify that the above information is complete and accurate and all approvals have been obtained for the processing of this amendment request.\*

Name (Print or Type)	Signature	Title	Date

#### Attachments

- 1) Concurrence from Implementing Agency and/or Regional Transportation Planning Agency
- 2) Project Location Map