METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript



1	PROGRAMMING AND ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE
2	WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 13 TH , 2023, 9:45 AM
3	
4	
5	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: GOOD MORNING. I WOULD LIKE TO CALL TO
6	ORDER THE PROGRAMMING AND ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE. THIS MEETING
7	IS BEING WEBCAST ON THE MTC WEB SITE. MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
8	PARTICIPATING BY ZOOM WISHING TO SPEAK SHOULD USE THE RAISED
9	HAND FEATURE OR DIAL STAR NINE AND I WILL CALL UPON THEM AT
10	THE APPROPRIATE TIME. YES. I SAW THE SIGN GO ON. THE LIGHT GO
11	ON. I'M GOOD. READY FOR PRIME TIME. TELECONFERENCE ATTENDEES
12	WILL BE CALLED UPON BY THE LAST FOUR DIGITS OF THEIR PHONE
13	NUMBER. CLERK WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL AND MAKE SURE WE
14	HAVE A QUORUM.
15	
16	CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU CHAIR CHAVEZ. PRIOR TO CALLING
17	THE ROLL WE'LL NEED TO DEPUTIZE COMMISSIONER CANEPA AND
18	JOSEFOWITZ IN ORDER TO MAKE QUORUM FOR THIS BODY. I WILL CALL
19	THEIR NAMES DURING THE ROLL. ONE THING WE HAVE REMOTE REQUEST
20	FOR PARTICIPATION UNDER A.B. 2449, JUST CAUSE. DO I HAVE GINA
21	PAPAN TO SPEAK NOW?
22	
23	CLERK OF THE BOARD: AFTER THE ROLL IS CALLED AND A QUORUM IS
24	ESTABLISHED.



1	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU.
2	
3	CLERK OF THE BOARD: CHAIR CHAVEZ?
4	
5	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: HERE.
6	
7	CLERK OF THE BOARD: CHAIR CHAVEZ IS PRESENT. VICE CHAIR MILEY?
8	
9	V. CHAIR, NATE MILEY: HERE.
10	
11	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MILEY IS PRESENT. ABE-KOGA?
12	
13	MARGARET ABE-KOGA: HERE.
14	
15	CLERK OF THE BOARD: CANEPA?
16	
17	DAVID CANEPA: HERE.
18	
19	CLERK OF THE BOARD: CANEPA PRESENT. EL-TAWANSY NON-VOTING.
20	
21	DINA EL-TAWANSY: HERE.
22	
23	CLERK OF THE BOARD: COMMISSIONER FLEMING IS ABSENT. GLOVER IS
24	ABSENT. JOSEFOWITZ?
25	



NICK JOSEFOWITZ: HERE. 1 2 3 CLERK OF THE BOARD: PAPAN? -- PARDON ME. SORRY. COMMISSIONER RONEN IS ABSENT. COMMISSIONER SCHAFF, NON-VOTING? 4 5 LIBBY SCHAFF: HERE. 6 7 8 CLERK OF THE BOARD: COMMISSIONER THAO IS ABSENT. WE DO HAVE 9 OUORUM. 10 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER PAPAN? 11 12 GINA PAPAN: YES. THANK YOU MADAM CHAIR. I'M REQUESTING TO 13 PARTICIPATE REMOTELY UNDER A.B. 2449, JUST CAUSE, BECAUSE I'M 14 SICK. THERE IS NO ONE PRESENTED IN THE ROOM. AND THAT'S IT 15 16 THANK YOU. 17 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THAT'S ALL SHE WROTE. ALL RIGHT. YOUR 18 19 REQUEST IS NOTED AND WE'RE ADDING YOU, COMMISSIONER PAPAN, AT PRESENT TO THE MEETING. I'M NOW GOING TO MOVE TO CONSENT 20 CALENDAR. ITEMS 2A THROUGH 2D. DO I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND? 21 22 THANK YOU. MOVED BY CANEPA, SECOND BY ABE-KOGA. ANY COLLEAGUES WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT ON THIS? SEEING NONE. MEMBERS 23 OF THE PUBLIC? 24



1	CLERK OF THE BOARD: NO MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC IN THE ROOM AND NO
2	MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC ONLINE WHO WOULD LIKE TO PROVIDE PUBLIC
3	COMMENT AND NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED.
4	
5	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. I'M CLOSING PUBLIC COMPONENT
6	OF THIS AND ASK FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE.
7	
8	CLERK OF THE BOARD: FIRST BY COMMISSIONER CANEPA SECOND BY
9	COMMISSIONER ABE-KOGA. CHAIR CHAVEZ?
10	
11	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: YES.
12	
13	CLERK OF THE BOARD: CHAVEZ AYE. VICE CHAIR MILEY?
14	
15	V. CHAIR, NATE MILEY: YES.
16	
17	CLERK OF THE BOARD: ABE-KOGA?
18	
19	MARGARET ABE-KOGA: AYE.
20	
21	CLERK OF THE BOARD: COMMISSIONER CANEPA?
22	
23	DAVID CANEPA: AYE.
24	



CLERK OF THE BOARD: CANEPA AYE. COMMISSIONER NUB FLEMMING IS 1 ABSENT. GLOVER IS ABSENT. JOSEFOWITZ? JOSEFOWITZ I DON'T HAVE 2 3 AYE. 4 5 CLERK OF THE BOARD: PAPAN? 6 7 GINA PAPAN: YES. 8 CLERK OF THE BOARD: COMMISSIONER RONEN IS ABSENT. THAO IS 9 10 ABSENT. MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY BY ALL MEMBERS PRESENT. 11 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU SO MUCH. WE'RE GOING TO MOVE TO 12 ITEM 3A. THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO 13 THE REGIONAL MEASURE THREE PROGRAM. SO I'M GOING TO OPEN THE 14 PUBLIC HEARING. MY NAME, AGAIN, IS CINDY CHAVEZ. I AM THE 15 16 CHAIR OF THE PROGRAMMING AND ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE. AND I WOULD LIKE TO BEGIN THIS PUBLIC HEARING ON THE PROPOSED 17 AMENDMENTS TO THE REGIONAL MEASURE THREE PROGRAM THE PUBLIC 18 HEARING IS NOW OPEN AND WE HAVE A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 19 HERE TO TRANSCRIBE THE PROCEEDINGS. IF YOU WISH TO TESTIFY 20 21 PLEASE SUBMIT A SPEAKER CARD WE ASK THAT EACH SPEAKER BE BRIEF AND CONCISE AND TO KEEP COMMENTS TO TWO MINUTES. PURPOSE IS TO 22 RECEIVE COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED FUNDING CHANGES TO THE 23 REGIONAL MEASURE THREE PROGRAM WHICH WAS RELEASED FOR PUBLIC 24

REVIEW ON NOVEMBER 30TH, 2023. FORMAL ADOPTION OF THE REGIONAL



MEASURE THREE OF PROGRAM AMENDMENTS WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE 1 COMMISSION AT ITS JANUARY 24TH MEETING, 2024. NOTE THE PUBLIC 2 3 COMMENT PERIOD STARTED ON NOVEMBER 30TH AND CLOSES DECEMBER 30TH. WRITTEN COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY MTC NO LATER THAN 4 5 FIVE ON DECEMBER 30TH, 2023 IN ORDER TO BE CONSIDERED. ORAL COMMENTS WILL BE RECEIVED UNTIL THE CLOSE OF THIS HEARING. 6 WRITTEN COMMENTS CAN BE SUBMITTED TO MTC'S PUBLIC INFORMATION 7 8 OFFICE BY E-MAIL OR BY MAIL, ACTUALLY, IF ANYBODY WANTS TO DO 9 THAT I'LL ASK MTC STAFF TO PROVIDE OVERVIEW OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE REGIONAL MEASURE THREE PROGRAM. FOLLOWING 10 THE PRESENTATION IF YOU WISH TO COMMENT PLEASE SUBMIT A 11 SPEAKER CARD TO THE CLERK ON THE COMMITTEE NOTING THE 12 PROVISION OF YOUR NAME ON THE BLUE CARD, PLEASE USE THE RAISED 13 HAND FEATURE OR DIAL STAR NINE AND WE WILL CALL UPON YOU TO 14 SPEAK. ALL RIGHT. I ALREADY SAID SOME OF THAT. OKAY. STAFF? 15 16 KENNETH KAO: GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS. KENNETH KAO MTC 17 STAFF. REGIONAL MEASURE THREE WAS APPROVED BY VOTERS IN 2018 18 AND IDENTIFIES CAPITAL PROJECTS TO BE FUNDED BY INCREASED 19 BRIDGE TOLLS. STATE STATUTE ALLOWS THE COMMISSION TO MODIFY 20 THE RM3 PROGRAM AFTER CONDUCTING A PUBLIC HEARING STAFF 21

RECOMMENDS RECOMMENDED MODIFICATIONS FIVE CAPITAL PROJECTS

SPECIFICALLY NUMBER ONE TO REDIRECT \$16.7 MILLION FROM THE

SOLANO COUNTY QUICK 8012 INTERCHANGE PROJECT WHICH IS RM3

PROJECT 21 TO THE SOLANO I80 EXPRESS LANES TOLL SYSTEMS

22

23

24



1	PROJECT WHICH IS PART OF THE BAY AREA CORRIDOR EXPRESS LANES
2	SUITE OF PROJECTS WHICH IS RM PROJECT TWO, I BELIEVE. FOR THE
3	STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM STIP LOAN BACK IN
4	2020, \$16.7 MILLION OF STIP FUNDS ORIGINALLY PROGRAMMED TO 180
5	EXPRESS LANES PROJECT SOLANO WAS MOVED TO COVER COST INCREASE
6	ON I-880 INTERCHANGE PROJECT TRANSFER WILL RESULT IN NET ZERO
7	FUNDING CHANGE AND DOES NOT PROVIDE NEW FUNDING TO EITHER
8	PROJECT. SECOND IS MODIFY THE SCOPE OF THE SONOMA-MARIN AREA
9	RAIL TRANSIT PROJECT TO CLARIFY ELIGIBILITY OF THE MULTI-USE
10	PATHWAY ALONG THE SMART RIGHT OF WAY BEING ELIGIBLE FOR THAT
11	PROJECT THIRD IS TO MODIFY SCOPE OF US101 PROJECT 20 TO 20ED
12	THE NORTHERN PROJECT LIMITS FROM PETALUMA BOULEVARD SOUTH TO
13	PETALUMA BOULEVARD NORTH AND PETALUMA AND SOUTHERN SEGMENT
14	LIMITS ATHERTON AVENUE TO STATE ROUTE 37 NOVATO THIS IS A
15	CORRECTION TO REFLECT THE PROJECT LIMITS AND TO ADD MTC AS THE
16	JOINT PROJECT SPONSOR STATE ROUTE 37 IMPROVEMENT PROJECT 23
17	THIS IS RECOGNIZING MTC IS LEADING IMPLEMENTATION IN THE
18	PORTIONS OF THE PROJECT MTC HAS CONSULTED WITH THE PROJECT
19	SPONSORS AND TOGETHER AGREED TO RECOMMEND THE PROPOSED ACTIONS
20	TO THE COMMISSION FOR CONSIDERATION IN JANUARY UPON CLOSING OF
21	THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD. NOTE THE MEMO DOES HAVE A TYPO
22	INCORRECTLY FIRST END OF PUBLIC COMMENT IS 31 OF DECEMBER.
23	
24	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK PLEASE FILL OUT A
25	SPEAKER CARD OR DIAL STAR NINE. THE CLERK WILL CALL UPON YOU.



- 1 WE ASK EACH SPEAKER TO BE BRIEF AND CONCISE AND KEEP COMMENTS
- 2 TO TWO MINUTES. DO WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT.

3

- 4 CLERK OF THE BOARD: WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT ONLINE. HOW MUCH
- 5 TIME WOULD YOU LIKE TO PROVIDE.

6

7 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: TWO MINUTES.

8

9 CLERK OF THE BOARD: ALETA DUPREE, PLEASE GO AHEAD.

- 11 SPEAKER: ALETA DUPREE FOR THE RECORD SHE AND HER. DON'T HAVE
- 12 MUCH EXPERIENCE WITH PUBLIC HEARINGS. HAVE DONE A FEW. AND I
- 13 DON'T HAVE MUCH EXPERIENCE WITH SOLANO COUNTY. BUT I DO SEE
- 14 SOME CONGESTION MANAGEMENT AIMS WITH THIS AMENDMENT. I'M VERY
- 15 INTERESTED IN THE IDEA OF MULTI-USE PATHWAYS, ESPECIALLY ALONG
- 16 RAILROADS. I HAVE ONLY USED THE SMART TRAIN ONCE. I ENJOYED
- 17 IT. BUT I JUST DON'T GET UP THAT WAY MUCH. BUT I THINK THERE
- 18 IS A REGIONAL BENEFIT TO THIS. I THINK EXPRESS LANES ARE
- 19 IMPORTANT. I HAVE BEEN ON ROADS WITH EXPRESS LANES, BUT I
- 20 HAVEN'T EVER ACTUALLY DIRECTLY PARTICIPATED IN THAT, BECAUSE I
- 21 DON'T HAVE A CAR. SO I'M RIDING ON THE BUSES, AND DON'T KNOW
- 22 IF ANY OF MY OCCASIONAL RIDE-SHARE HAVE USED EXPRESS LANES.
- 23 BUT I BELIEVE IN THE IMPORTANCE OF A COMPREHENSIVE REGION-WIDE
- 24 EXPRESS LANE NETWORK. A LITTLE TONGUE TIED HERE TODAY. SO, I
- 25 MEAN, YEAH, WE ARE TAKING SOME MONEY FROM INTERCHANGE, BUT I



- 1 THINK THERE IS GOING TO BE SOME GREATER BENEFIT HERE, AND WE
- 2 CAN ALWAYS REVISIT AN INTERCHANGE. BECAUSE CONGESTION IS AN
- 3 ISSUE. AND WE WANT TO PROMOTE MIXED USES AND HIGH CAPACITY
- 4 TRANSPORTATION AND FUNDING TO BE ABLE TO KEEP OUR PUBLIC ROADS
- 5 IN A STATE OF GOOD REPAIR. SO, I DON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEMS OR
- 6 CONCERNS WITH THIS IDEA THAT THIS BE PROPOSED HERE. AND I
- 7 THANK YOU.

8

- 9 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU CALLER. I DO NOT -- PARDON ME.
- 10 WE DO HAVE ONE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENTER ONLINE. HOWARD
- 11 WONG, PLEASE GO AHEAD.

12

13 SPEAKER: HOWARD WONG.

14

- 15 CLERK OF THE BOARD: YES. HOWARD WONG, YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND
- 16 PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT.

- 18 SPEAKER: HOWARD WONG, MEMBER OF THE POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL
- 19 SPEAKING AS AN INDIVIDUAL. I DO [INDISCERNIBLE] OF POLLING OF
- 20 RECENT REGIONAL MEASURE THREE WHERE TRANSPORTATION AND TRANSIT
- 21 IS VERY IMPORTANT TO CITIZENRY, IT'S NOT THE HIGHEST PRIORITY
- 22 -- [AUDIO DISTORTION]. -- HOMELESSNESS, HOUSING --
- 23 [INDISCERNIBLE] OTHER ISSUES ARE ON THE MIND OF VOTERS, ALSO,
- 24 ALTHOUGH IT'S IMPORTANT TO HAVE A BROADER ITEM IN THE REGIONAL
- 25 MEASURE THREE, WE NEED TO ALSO FURTHER THE TRANSPORTATION PLAN



2050 BY MAKING EXPRESS LANES FOR TRANSIT. WE NEED TO START 1 LOOKING AT IT HOW PERHAPS WE CAN INCORPORATE HIGH PRIORITY 2 3 ISSUES OF HOMELESSNESS, HOUSING, ENVIRONMENT, ECONOMIES, INTO REGIONAL MEASURE THREE BY REALLY LOOKING AT ALL THE AVAILABLE 4 5 -- [AUDIO DISTORTION] --6 CLERK OF THE BOARD: MR. WONG, WE'RE UNABLE TO HEAR YOU ON OUR 7 8 SIDE. MR. WONG, WE'RE UNABLE TO HEAR YOU. IT SEEMS THE 9 CONNECTION --10 SPEAKER: ON BEHALF -- [INDISCERNIBLE] TRANSPORTATION AGENCIES 11 HAVE. [AUDIO DISTORTION] --12 13 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: WHAT I WOULD JUST RECOMMEND IS THAT WHEN 14 15 HE SPEAKS -- WHEN HIS TIME IS UP, WE WILL INVITE HIM TO HAND 16 IN A WRITTEN COMMENT, SINCE WE'RE UNABLE TO HEAR HIM. 17 CLERK OF THE BOARD: OKAY. THERE IS NO OTHER MEMBER OF THE 18 PUBLIC ONLINE THAT WOULD LIKE TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT. MR. 19 HOWARD WONG SINCE WE WERE UNABLE TO HEAR YOU DUE TO 20 21 CONNECTIVITY, IF YOU COULD PROVIDE A WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENT CORRESPOND THAT WOULD BE GREATLY APPRECIATED. ALSO THERE IS NO 22 OTHER MEMBER ONLINE, NO MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC IN THE ROOM, AND 23 NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FOR THIS ITEM. 24



CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. I'M GOING TO -- I DON'T KNOW -1 - I'M GOING ASK A PROCEDURAL QUESTION. THERE IS NO -- NOTHING 2 3 IN MY SCRIPT ABOUT ASKING COLLEAGUES FOR COMMENT. HOW DOES THAT WORK 4 5 KATHLEEN KANE: YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND DO THAT AND AS LONG AS WE 6 HAVE OFFICIALLY CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE ITEM. THAT'S 7 8 THE ONLY THING WE NEED. 9 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: SO I'LL ASK FOR TESTIMONY AFTER --10 11 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: I'LL DO IT AFTER THE MEETING IS OVER. 12 13 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: OKAY. THANK YOU. I NOW DECLARE THE 14 HEARING IS CLOSED. HOWEVER, THE PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE OPEN 15 16 UNTIL 5:00 P.M. ON DECEMBER THE 30th AND MTC WILL CONTINUE TO ACCEPT WRITTEN COMMENTS UNTIL THEN. NOW, I'LL TURN TO 17 COMMISSIONER JOSEFOWITZ. 18 19 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: THANK YOU. WHAT SCOPE DO WE HAVE TO 20 21 REALLOCATE RM3 FUNDING. IF WE WANT TO REALLOCATE, AND WE'RE CLEARLY REALLOCATING RM3 FUNDING FROM ONE PROJECT TO ANOTHER. 22 BUT LET'S SAY WE WANT TO ALLOCATE TO OTHER PROJECTS THAT ARE 23

FACING FUNDING SHORTFALLS, WHETHER IT'S BART TO SAN JOSE, OR



WHETHER IT'S, YOU KNOW, LIKE, OPERATING DOLLARS FOR, SORT OF, 1 2 TRANSIT AGENCIES FACING A FISCAL CLIFF? 3 ALIX BOCKELMAN: THANK YOU VICE CHAIR JOSEFOWITZ. I DON'T HAVE 4 5 THE STATUTE OPEN. SO I'M GOING TO DO THIS WITHOUT THE STATUTE 6 OPEN AND THEN WE CAN GET YOU A MORE COMPLETE RESPONSE. BUT, GENERALLY, IT DOES -- THE STREETS AND HIGHWAYS CODE DOES TALK 7 8 ABOUT, YOU KNOW, BEING ABLE TO DO A PUBLIC HEARING. I THINK IF WE ARE TAKING FUNDS FROM A PROJECT AND MOVING THEM TO ANOTHER 9 10 PROJECT, GENERALLY, YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE ONE EXAMPLE HERE WHERE IT'S REALLY MORE OF AN EXCHANGE WITH A ZERO BALANCE FOR THOSE 11 PROJECTS, BUT IF YOU ARE ACTUALLY MOVING IT, I THINK THERE IS 12 LANGUAGE AROUND A PROJECT NOT BEING ABLE TO MOVE FORWARD, 13 BEING INFEASIBLE TO DELIVER. I KNOW IT'S SIMILAR LANGUAGE TO 14 15 REGIONAL MEASURE TWO. AND THERE WERE SOME PROJECTS AFTER YEARS AND YEARS AND YEARS THAT REALLY COULDN'T MOVE FORWARD, AND SO 16 THAT ASSESSMENT WAS MADE. I THINK THEY'RE -- THAT'S ON THE 17 CAPITAL SIDE. I THINK IT'S -- ON THE OPERATING SIDE, THERE ARE 18 ADDITIONAL LIMITATIONS IN TERMS OF HOW IT'S WRITTEN, IN TERMS 19 20 OF, YEAH, THERE IS SOME ADDITIONAL LIMITATIONS, I WOULD SAY SO 21 COULD GET YOU A FULL RESPONSE. 22 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: YOU DON'T THINK THE SHIFT HERE BETWEEN THE 23 TWO SONOMA PROJECTS IS A SHIFT? BECAUSE IT CERTAINLY SOUNDED 24

LIKE IT WAS A SHIFT IN THE WAY IT WAS PRESENTED. I KNOW TO THE



- 1 PROJECTS THE MONEY IS -- YOU KNOW, THERE IS A CERTAIN DEGREE
- 2 OF FUNDABILITY OF THE MONEY BUT FROM A LEGAL PERSPECTIVE IT
- 3 SEEMS LIKE WE'RE SHIFTING RM3 MONEY FROM ONE PROJECT TO
- 4 ANOTHER. WHAT HAPPENS OUTSIDE OF THOSE PROJECTS OUTSIDE OF RM3
- 5 SO IT DOESN'T IMPACT THE RM3 LEGALITY OF DOING THAT IN THE RM3
- 6 CONTEXT.

7

- 8 KENNETH KAO: OKAY. I'LL TRY TO TAKE THAT. I'LL PREFACE IT TO
- 9 SAY THAT I'M NOT A LAWYER. BUT WHAT WE DID IN JUNE OF 2020,
- 10 THERE WAS AN ISSUE WITH THE INTERCHANGE PROJECT THAT HAD A
- 11 COST INCREASE. SO WOULD NORMALLY COME FROM RM3, BUT IT WAS
- 12 UNDER LITIGATION. SO, WE HAD TO MOVE STIP FUNDS OVER TO COVER
- 13 THAT. THIS RECOGNIZES AND RECONCILES THAT. THE STATUTE, IT
- 14 DOES SAY THAT IF THERE ARE NO LONGER ANY KIND OF NEEDS ON
- 15 THAT, AND SO THAT PROJECT -- THAT'S WHERE IT FALLS UNDER -- I
- 16 DID PULL UP THE STATUTE AND IT DOES SAY IF IT HAS COST SAVINGS
- 17 AFTER COMPLETION OR TAKING INTO ACCOUNT CONSTRUCTION COST AND
- 18 ESTIMATE OF FUTURE PLANS OR CANNOT BE COMPLETED OR CANNOT
- 19 CONTINUE DUE TO DELIVERABLE FINANCING OBSTACLES, SO THAT
- 20 ALLOWS US TO MOVE THINGS WITHIN THE PROGRAM AND TO ADD, THE
- 21 INTERCHANGE PROJECT NO LONGER NEEDS THE MONEY BECAUSE THAT WAS
- 22 COVERED THROUGH THE STIP SO WE'RE ABLE TO MOVE THAT MONEY OVER
- 23 TO COVER WHERE THAT LOAN CAME FROM WHICH IS FROM THE EXPRESS
- 24 LANES PROJECT.



NICK JOSEFOWITZ: THANK YOU. THAT MAKES SENSE. I HAD ONE MORE 1 QUESTION. I THOUGHT THE 101, THE MARIN SONOMA NARROWS PROJECT 2 3 HAD BEEN COMPLETED SOUTH OF ATHERTON AVENUE? 4 5 KENNETH KAO: THAT PROJECT IS SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETE. SOUTH OF -- SO WE WANTED TO MAKE IT VERY OPEN IN TERMS OF MATCHING THE 6 LEGISLATION LANGUAGE TO THE ACTUAL LIMITS OF THE MSN PROJECT. 7 8 THE ACTUAL LIMITS OF THE MSM PROJECT. LIMITS OF THE MSM START AT 37 AND BOULEVARD NORTH. THAT ALLOWS MATCHING OF THE STATUTE 9 LANGUAGE TO THE ACTUAL WORKING PROJECT LIMITS OF THE PROJECT. 10 11 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: OKAY. THANK YOU. 12 13 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FROM MY COLLEAGUES? 14 THERE IS NO FURTHER ACTION ON THIS ITEM. I'LL CLOSE THE PUBLIC 15 16 HEARING ROBUSTLY. MOVING ON THEN. STAFF, THANK YOU. MOVING TO ITEM 3B REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF THE SHORT-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN 17 FOR MTC REGION WHICH PROVIDES DOCUMENTATION REQUIRED UNDER 18 SENATE BILL 125 TO CALIFORNIA STATE TRANSPORTATION AGENCY IN 19 EXCHANGE FOR THE RELEASE OF 1.1 BILLION TRANSIT AND INNER CITY 20 21 RAIL CAPITAL PROGRAM MONEY AND ZERO-EMISSIONS TRANSIT CAPITAL PROGRAM FUNDING TO MTC BETWEEN FISCAL YEAR 2023, 2024, AND 22 FISCAL YEAR '26 AND '27 AND ASSOCIATED REVISIONS TO THE MTC 23 RESOLUTION. I'LL TURN THIS OVER TO BOCKELMAN. BIOTIC BICYCLE 24

WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE DEDICATION AND HARD WORK OF THERESA



- 1 AND HER TEAM LED BY SCHRUTI HARI AND RALEIGH MCCOY FOR REALLY
- 2 SHEPHERDING THIS ITEM THROUGH ITS DEVELOPMENT WITHIN A VERY
- 3 SHORT TIME FRAME ALSO WANT TO RECOGNIZE TRANSIT OPERATOR STAFF
- 4 WHO WORKED HAPPENED IN HAND WITH OUR STAFF TOWARD THE
- 5 DISTRIBUTION FRAMEWORK AND ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES THAT YOU
- 6 ADOPTED LAST MONTH NOVEMBER AND AN ACCELERATED COMPLETION OF
- 7 SHORT RANGE TRANSIT PLAN THAT IS BEFORE YOU TODAY AND
- 8 OBVIOUSLY IT'S BEEN STEADY DRUMBEAT WE WANT TO CONTINUE TO
- 9 THANK OUR STATE LEGISLATORS AND ADVOCACY TEAMS THAT REALLY
- 10 WORKED TO ADVOCATE AND SECURE THESE STATE FUNDS. WANT TO
- 11 ACKNOWLEDGE THE COLLABORATIVE SPIRIT AMONG THE BAY AREA
- 12 TRANSIT OPERATORS RELATED TO THIS FUNDING WHICH ADDRESSES THE
- 13 FISCAL CLIFF. WHILE MANY OPERATORS FACE THE FINANCIAL
- 14 CHALLENGE MANY OPERATORS REFRAIN FROM SEEKING POTENTIAL FUNDS
- 15 TO SHORE UP THEIR BUDGETS IN RECOGNITION OF THE LIMITED NATURE
- 16 OF FUNDING AVAILABLE AND THE FACT THAT A SMALLER SUBSET OF
- 17 OPERATORS REALLY DO FACE THE MOST ACUTE FISCAL CHALLENGE.
- 18 WHILE WE HAVE MANY TRANSIT SYSTEMS IN THE BAY AREA, THEY'RE
- 19 ALL UNIFIED IN THE NEED FOR BAY AREA PUBLIC TRANSIT TO SURVIVE
- 20 AND THRIVE. WITH THAT I'LL TURN IT OVER TO THERESA.
- 22 THERESA ROMMELL: THANK YOU ALIX. FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION AND
- 23 ACTION TODAY IS THE SHORT-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN THAT WE MUST
- 24 SUBMIT TO THE CALIFORNIA STATE TRANSIT AGENCY CALSTA IN
- 25 EXCHANGE FOR APPROXIMATELY \$1.1 BILLION IN TRANSIT CAPITAL AND



- 1 OPERATING FUNDING. WE'LL REQUEST APPROVAL OF MINOR REVISIONS
- 2 TO MTC RESOLUTION 4619 IN RESPONSE TO DIRECTION RECEIVED AT
- 3 THE NOVEMBER COMMISSION MEETING. NEXT SLIDE. JUST A QUICK
- 4 REMINDER OF OUR TIMELINE. STAFF FIRST CAME TO THE COMMISSION -
- 5 OR COMMITTEE, IN OCTOBER WITH AN INFORMATIONAL UPDATE ON
- 6 TRANSIT OPERATOR SHORTFALLS AND DRAFT DISTRIBUTION FRAMEWORK
- 7 FOR SB125 RELATED FUNDS. IN NOVEMBER THE COMMISSION APPROVED
- 8 THE FINAL DISTRIBUTION AMOUNTS IN ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES FOR
- 9 OPERATORS RECEIVING THESE FUNDS. TODAY STAFF ARE REQUESTING
- 10 APPROVAL OF THE SHORT-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN WHICH MUST BE
- 11 SUBMITTED TO CALSTA BY DECEMBER 31ST IN ORDER TO ENSURE A
- 12 TIMELINE ALLOCATION OF FUNDS BY THE SPRING OF 2024. NEXT
- 13 SLIDE. AS REVIEWED, THE COMMISSION ADOPTED RESOLUTION 4619 IN
- 14 NOVEMBER. THIS RESOLUTION APPROVED A SET OF PRINCIPLES TO
- 15 INFORM THE DISTRIBUTION OF SB125 FUNDING. THE FUNDING
- 16 DISTRIBUTION INCLUDED OPERATOR SPECIFIC FUNDING AMOUNTS AND
- 17 REGIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES FOR OPERATORS RECEIVING SB125
- 18 FUNDING. IN APPROVING RESOLUTION 4619, THE COMMISSION DIRECTED
- 19 STAFF TO REQUIRE TRANSIT AGENCY BOARD ACTION TO AFFIRM EACH
- 20 AGENCY'S COMMITMENT TO THOSE ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES. STAFF
- 21 PROPOSED REVISIONS TO ATTACHMENT C OF RESOLUTION 4619, WHICH
- 22 CODIFIES THE REQUIREMENT THAT TRANSIT AGENCY BOARDS APPROVE
- 23 ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES IN ORDER TO RECEIVE ALLOCATION OF
- 24 FUNDING. NEXT SLIDE. IN ORDER FOR THE STATE TO RELEASE SB125
- 25 RELATED FUNDS, STATE LEGISLATION REQUIRES REGIONAL AGENCIES



- 1 LIKE MTC PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION TO CALSTA. BY
- 2 DECEMBER 31ST, WE MUST SUBMIT THE SHORT-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN
- 3 COVERING MTC'S STRATEGY FOR USING THE FUNDING THROUGH FISCAL
- 4 YEAR '26. THIS WILL INCLUDE INFORMATION ON THE REGION'S USE OF
- 5 THE FUNDING FOR CAPITAL AND OPERATING PURPOSES, DISCUSSION OF
- 6 REGIONAL FUNDING CONTRIBUTION TO ADDRESS THE FUNDING GAP, AND
- 7 INFORMATION ON FUNDING DISTRIBUTED TO EACH OPERATOR IN A
- 8 SUMMARY OF THE BENEFITS OF THE STATE FUNDING. ADDITIONALLY,
- 9 ALL OPERATORS, INCLUDING THOSE NOT RECEIVING FUNDING, ARE
- 10 ASKED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON A VARIETY OF TOPICS, INCLUDING
- 11 ASSET MANAGEMENT, FARE REVENUE COLLECTION, SAFETY
- 12 EXPENDITURES, CURRENT AND PLANNED SERVICE LEVELS AND
- 13 OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENHANCED COORDINATION. THIS REGIONAL TRANSIT
- 14 OPERATOR DATA WILL INFORM THE STATEWIDE TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION
- 15 TASK FORCE CREATED AS PART OF SB125. FINALLY BY JUNE OF 2026,
- 16 REGIONAL AGENCIES WILL BE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT A LONG-TERM
- 17 FINANCIAL PLAN, WHICH WILL DISCUSS PROGRESS MADE TOWARD
- 18 IMPLEMENTING RIDERSHIP RECOVERY STRATEGIES AND ALSO FIVE-YEAR
- 19 FORECAST OF OPERATING FUNDING NEEDS. NEXT SLIDE. ONE OF THE
- 20 THINGS THAT WE MAKE CLEAR IN THE SHORT-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN IS
- 21 THAT THE FUNDING ASSOCIATED WITH SENATE BILL 125 IS CRITICAL
- 22 TO PRESERVING TRANSIT IN OUR REGION AND WILL HELP US STAVE OFF
- 23 SIGNIFICANT CUTS TO SERVICE. IN CONSULTATION WITH OUR TRANSIT
- 24 OPERATORS WE ESTIMATE THESE FUNDS WHICH ARE APRIL 450 MILLION
- 25 IN STATE FUNDS PLUS CONTRIBUTION OF 300 MILLION FROM THE



- 1 REGION PLUS INTEREST PROJECTED EARNINGS WILL FULLY COVER
- 2 STANDARDIZED SHORTFALLS THROUGH END OF FISCAL YEAR 2026, AND
- 3 HELP US RETAIN OVER 800,000 SERVICE HOURS IN FISCAL YEAR '25
- 4 AND 2 MILLION SERVICE HOURS IN '26. IF SHORTFALLS WERE NOT
- 5 ADDRESSED REGIONAL TRANSIT OPERATORS WOULD NEED TO MAKE
- 6 SIGNIFICANT SERVICE CUTS TO OPERATE WITHIN AVAILABLE REVENUES.
- 7 AS DISCUSSED WITH THIS COMMITTEE LAST MONTH MTC STAFF HAS
- 8 INITIATED SEVERAL ACCOUNTABILITY REQUIREMENTS INCLUDING
- 9 CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE AND EFFICIENCY ENHANCEMENTS IN EXCHANGE
- 10 FOR SB125 RELATED FUNDING. FOR ALL OPERATORS RECEIVING
- 11 FUNDING, THESE REQUIREMENTS WILL INCLUDE PARTICIPATION IN
- 12 ONGOING TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION ACTION PLAN INITIATIVES AND
- 13 IMPLEMENTATION OF SCHEDULED COORDINATION AND REALTIME TRANSIT
- 14 DATA IMPROVEMENTS. OTHER ACCOUNTABILITY REQUIREMENTS ARE
- 15 SPECIFIC TO INDIVIDUAL OPERATORS AND CENTER AROUND SAFETY AND
- 16 SECURITY, CONSERVATION REDUCTION AND COMPREHENSIVE SERVICE
- 17 IMPROVEMENTS. ATTACHMENT C OF RESOLUTION 4619 INCLUDES DETAIL
- 18 ON PROCESS FOR OPERATORS TO REPORT THEIR PROGRESS MADE TOWARDS
- 19 THESE MEASURES INCLUDING THE REQUIREMENT FOR BOARD APPROVAL OF
- 20 ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES. NEXT SLIDE. THIS SLIDE BEGINS TO LAY
- 21 OUT THE PROCESS FOR IMPLEMENTATION ABOUT OPERATIONS FUNDING
- 22 AND ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES. FOR FUNDING WE PLAN TO
- 23 INCORPORATE SB125 FUNDING IN OUR ANNUAL FUND ESTIMATE. FISCAL
- 24 YEAR '25 FUND ESTIMATE WILL CONFIRM THE FISCAL YEAR 25
- 25 OPERATING FUNDING MAXIMUMS THAT ARE INCORPORATED IN RESOLUTION



- 1 4619. FOR FISCAL YEAR '26 MTC WILL WORK WITH OPERATORS TO
- 2 CONFIRM NEEDS AND MAKE REVISIONS TO THE FUNDING FRAMEWORK AS
- 3 APPROPRIATE. THEN THOSE FUNDING AMOUNTS WILL BE INCORPORATED
- 4 INTO THE FISCAL YEAR '26 FUND ESTIMATE. WE'RE ASSESSING
- 5 PROGRESS ON THE ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES WE PROPOSE HAVING
- 6 OPERATORS CLAIM THE SB125 FUNDS THROUGH ESTABLISHED PROCESS
- 7 THEY USE TO CLAIM IS OTHER TRANSIT OPERATING REVENUES. OTHER
- 8 OPERATORS WOULD SUBMIT A CLAIM SHOULD WOULD HAVE TO BE BOARD
- 9 APPROVED AND INCLUDE EXPRESSING ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF THE
- 10 ACCOUNTABILITY RETIRES. OPERATOR CLAIM WOULD INCLUDE REQUIRED
- 11 PRESENTING PROGRESS TOWARD THE ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES WHICH
- 12 WE PLAN TO INCORPORATE INTO OUR ANNUAL PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT
- 13 PROGRAM WE CALL PIP WHICH WILL BE BRINGING TO YOU IN FEBRUARY
- 14 AS WELL. MTC DETERMINES WHETHER SATISFACTORY PROGRESS ON
- 15 ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES, AND IF NOT SPECIFIC REMEDIES COULD BE
- 16 RECOMMENDED AND FUNDING MAY BE WITHHELD UNTIL PROGRESS IS
- 17 MADE. NEXT SLIDE. IN CONCLUSION WE ASK YOU REFER THE SHORT-
- 18 TERM FINANCIAL PLAN FOR THE MTC REGION TO THE COMMISSION FOR
- 19 APPROVAL SO STAFF MAY TRANSMIT THE PLAN TO CALSTA BY THE
- 20 DECEMBER 31ST DEADLINE. IN TERMS OF NEXT STEPS, PENDING
- 21 CALSTA'S REVIEW AND ACCEPTANCE OF THE PLAN WE EXPECT WE WOULD
- 22 RECEIVE THE FIRST TRANCHE OF SB125 RELATED FUNDING BY APRIL OF
- 23 2024. THEN FUNDING DISTRIBUTIONS CONTAINED IN MTC RESOLUTION
- 24 4619 ARE OF COURSE CONTINGENT ON FUTURE VALUATION BY MTC OF
- 25 TRANSIT OPERATING SHORTFALLS AND MAY BE UPDATED WITH



- COMMISSION APPROVAL. PROGRAMMING AND ALLOCATION OF STATE 1 REGIONAL CONTRIBUTION FUNDS IN ALIGNMENT WITH RESOLUTION 4619 2 3 WILL REQUIRE A SEPARATE PROCESS FOR SPECIFIC FUND SOURCE THAT IS BEING USED. AND WITH A LITTLE LUCK ALTERNATIVE FUNDING TO 4 5 REPLACE IN REGIONAL CONTRIBUTION WILL BE IDENTIFIED TO ADDRESS TRANSIT OPERATING NEEDS, AT WHICH POINT STAFF WOULD RETURN 6 WITH ANY NECESSARY REVISIONS TO THE REGIONAL FUNDING 7 8 CONTRIBUTION. WITH THAT, I WILL CONCLUDE AND ANSWER ANY 9 OUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE. 10 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: SO, THERESA, I THINK THE UNDERSTATEMENT 11 THERE WAS WITH A LITTLE LUCK. THAT GOES IN THE CATEGORY OF 12 LET'S BE HOPEFUL. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS START WITH PUBLIC 13 14 COMMENT. 15 16 CLERK OF THE BOARD: WE HAVE ONE MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC WHO WOULD LIKE TO PROVIDE COMMENT. HOW MUCH TIME WOULD YOU LIKE TO GIVE? 17 18 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: TWO MINUTES PLEASE. 19 20 CLERK OF THE BOARD: WE HAVE ALETA DUPREE. 21 22
- 23 SPEAKER: THANK YOU CHAIR CINDY CHAVEZ. ALETA DUPREE FOR THE
- 24 RECORD, SHE AND HER. VERY GOOD PRESENTATION. DIGESTING IT ALL.
- 25 AND THIS IS IMPORTANT. AS A USER OF TRANSIT, I AM PROFOUNDLY



- 1 AFFECTED BY MATTERS OF SERVICE AND STATE OF GOOD REPAIR. AND I
- 2 HOPE THAT WE CAN GET THAT MONEY FLOWING BY APRIL '24. AND I
- 3 APPRECIATE YOUR EXPECTATIONS OF OVERSIGHT. OVERSIGHT IS
- 4 ESSENTIAL. I DID READ ABOUT THE BART FARE GATES AND ABOUT MUNI
- 5 FARE COLLECTION MEASURES, IF I GOT THOSE WORDS RIGHT. THOSE
- 6 WORDS ARE ESSENTIAL. EVERYBODY NEEDS TO PAY INTO THE SYSTEM.
- 7 AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS THAT WE DON'T WANT OUR ADDITIONAL
- 8 FUNDING TO GIVE AN EXCUSE FOR PEOPLE TO AVOID PAYING THEIR
- 9 DUE. SO, THANK YOU FOR THAT. AND I HOPE THAT THIS WILL LEAD
- 10 INTO SOMETHING OF MORE LONGER TERM SUSTAINABILITY, LIKE WHAT
- 11 WE SAW WITH THE DEAL IN NEW YORK, A STATE LEGISLATIVE DEAL TO
- 12 KEEP SUBWAYS RUNNING. I BELIEVE IT'S FOR THE NEXT FOUR YEARS.
- 13 SO, I ASK OF YOU, AS BOARD MEMBERS, AND ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF,
- 14 TO EXPECT THAT OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY. SO THAT WE CAN
- 15 MAKE SURE THAT THESE FUNDS ARE USED IN THE MOST EFFICIENT WAY
- 16 POSSIBLE, I CAN ENJOY THE MOST SAFEST AND EFFICIENT TRANSIT
- 17 SYSTEMS THAT WE CAN HAVE. THANK YOU FOR YOUR ADVOCACY.
- 19 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU, CALLER. I SEE NO ADDITIONAL
- 20 PUBLIC COMMENT ONLINE OR IN THE BOARDROOM, AND NO WRITTEN
- 21 CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED FOR THIS ITEM
- 23 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. I'M GOING TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC
- 24 COMMENT PERIOD AND GO TO MY COLLEAGUES. I'LL START TO MY LEFT.
- 25 NICK. OH GINA SORRY. GO AHEAD GIN A YOU'RE FIRST.

18



1 GINA PAPAN: NO PROBLEM. THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. I DO HAVE A 2 3 QUESTION. IF YOU COULD BRING UP SLIDE SIX AGAIN? THAT'S EIGHT. THERE WE GO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. OKAY. MY OUESTION IS, REALLY 4 5 WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ACCOUNTABILITY HERE, I UNDERSTAND THAT CALTRAIN HAS BEEN ADAMANT ABOUT NOT BEING LUMPED WITH 6 BART AND SFMTA HERE. THIS AMBASSADOR CRISIS PROGRAM STUFF, 7 8 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR APPROVAL. I MEAN, CALTRAIN BASICALLY HAS DIFFERENT SAFETY MEASURES, MOST I BELIEVE ARE RELATED TO 9 SUICIDES ON THE CORRIDOR. WE NEED TO BE ACCURATE IN WHAT WE'RE 10 PRESENTING TO THE STATE AND WHEN FUNDING MOVES FORWARD HERE. 11 SO WHY WAS IT CALTRAIN JUST GIVEN ARE ANOTHER LINE FOR THAT? 12 BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND THEY HAVE ASKED AND ASK STAFF TO EXPLAIN 13 14 THERESA ROMMELL: FOR PURPOSES OF SAVING SPACE ON THE SLIDE 15 16 THEY WERE LUMPED TOGETHER BUT IF YOU LOOK AT YOUR ATTACHMENT TO RESOLUTION 4619, WE DID GIVE THEM THEIR OWN LINE. CALTRAIN 17 AND BART SFMTA HAVE THEIR OWN LIED THESE ACCOUNTABILITY 18 MEASURES WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE STATE AS PART OF OUR SHORT-19 TERM FINANCIAL PLAN BUT THEY ARE RECORDED IN THE RESOLUTION 20 21 SEPARATELY. 22 23 GINA PAPAN: THAT'S VERY, VERY HELPFUL. I APPRECIATE THAT. I

JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE HERE BECAUSE THE DOCUMENTATION THE



- 1 AGENCIES PROVIDE IS IMPORTANT WE WANTED TO BE CONSISTENT.
- 2 THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I APPRECIATE IT.

3

4 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. I THINK THAT --

5

- 6 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: I WANT TO THANK STAFF AND COLLEAGUES WHO
- 7 WORKED SO HARD ON THIS. THIS IS GOING TO BE A REALLY ESSENTIAL
- 8 PART OF KEEPING TRANSIT GOING. SO, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

9

- 10 DAVID CANEPA: GO AHEAD. DO YOU WANT TO GO MARGARET? CAN. THANK
- 11 YOU VERY MUCH. THE WORK IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT. MY QUESTION TO
- 12 YOU IS, YOU KNOW, IN TERMS OF THE ACCOUNTABILITY PIECE, WHAT
- 13 WE CURRENTLY HAVE IN PLACE, DO YOU SEE US NEEDING TOW
- 14 STRENGTHEN THAT? BECAUSE ONE OF MY CONCERNS IS -- AND I KNOW
- 15 WE'RE DOING OTHER WORK IN OTHER AREAS -- IS THAT WE HAVE THIS
- 16 PLAN, YOU KNOW, LAID OUT. AND I THINK WE'RE ALL IN AGREEMENT
- 17 WITH THE PLAN -- BUT LET'S SAY -- AND I'M NOT SAYING -- LET'S
- 18 SAY THERE IS A LACK OF COOPERATION. WHAT'S THE PLAN? HOW DO WE
- 19 DEAL WITH THAT? FROM AN OPERATOR LEVEL?

- 21 THERESA ROMMELL: YEAH. I MEAN, IF YOU LOOK AT THE REQUIREMENTS
- 22 IN ATTACHMENT C, YOU WILL SEE THAT ALL OPERATORS ARE REQUIRED
- 23 TO ACTIVELY PARTICIPATE, KIND OF IN GOOD FAITH WITH THE
- 24 TRANSFORMATION ACTION PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND OTHER
- 25 COORDINATION ACTIVITIES. SO, WE WILL BE WORKING CLOSELY WITH



- 1 OUR NETWORK MANAGEMENT TEAM TO MAKE SURE WE'RE MONITORING
- 2 PROGRESS ON IMPLEMENTATION AND ENSURING THAT OPERATORS ARE,
- 3 KIND OF, LIVING UP TO THE MEASURES THAT THEY HAVE AGREED TO
- 4 PRIOR TO ANY ALLOCATION OF FUNDING. AND AS I NOTED IN THE
- 5 PRESENTATION, WE PLAN TO PUT THESE MEASURES INTO OUR
- 6 PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM? -- PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT
- 7 PROGRAM, SORRY. MY ACRONYMS ARE A LITTLE BIT SLOW. WE WILL BE
- 8 PUTTING THOSE INTO THAT PROGRAM WHICH IS ADOPTED BY THE
- 9 COMMISSION AND IT'S FOLLOWED UP ON MILESTONES INCLUDED SO WE
- 10 CAN CHECK ON A REGULAR BASIS ON HOW IMPLEMENTATION AND
- 11 PROGRESS IS GOING.

12

- 13 DAVID CANEPA: AMONGST THE OPERATORS THERE IS A LOT OF
- 14 COLLABORATION, THEY WELCOME THIS, SORT OF, ACCOUNTABILITY AND
- 15 OVERSIGHT AND REALLY THEY'RE TRUE PARTNERS IN THE WORK; IS
- 16 THAT CORRECT? HAS THERE BEEN ANY PUSH BACK? HAS THERE BEEN ANY
- 17 --

18

- 19 THERESA ROMMELL: I MEAN, THERE IS ALWAYS DISCUSSIONS THAT
- 20 OCCUR WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THINGS THAT ARE DIFFICULT TO
- 21 IMPLEMENT. BUT I THINK FOR THE MOST PART THERE HAS BEEN
- 22 ADEQUATE COORDINATION AND GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO MOVE THE BALL
- 23 FORWARD.



DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. I MEAN, IT'S MY HOPE -- AND THANK YOU 1 FOR, SORT OF, REAFFIRMING MY CONCERN -- IT'S MY HOPE THAT THE 2 3 OPERATORS REALLY, SORT OF, EMBRACE -- I MEAN, OBVIOUSLY IT'S LEGISLATION. LOOKING FORWARD, I'LL BE ACUTELY, SORT OF, AS A 4 5 BOARD MEMBER, JUST WATCHING HOW THE PERFORMANCE IS. RIGHT? AND EXPECTING STAFF TO REALLY GET BACK TO US. I DO THINK IF WE'RE 6 GOING TO ADVANCE THIS WORK MOVING FORWARD, THE ACCOUNTABILITY 7 8 PIECE, TO ME, I KNOW PEOPLE HAVE DIFFERENT -- THERE ARE CARROTS AND STICKS, WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL THEM -- BUT I 9 10 WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE ALL ROLLING IN THE SAME DIRECTION AND THE WORK IS GETTING DONE. I BELIEVE PEOPLE, TAXPAYERS, I DO 11 THINK FOLKS IN GENERAL, MYSELF BEING A BOARD MEMBER, BEING A 12 CITIZEN, THAT THE EXPECTATIONS ARE HIGH AROUND THESE CERTAIN 13 ISSUE AREAS THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED. AND SO IT'S GOOD TO SEE 14 15 THAT THE OPERATORS ARE COLLABORATIVE AND LOOKING FORWARD TO 16 WORKING WITH US ON THIS ISSUE. 17 THERESA ROMMELL: I'LL JUST ALSO NOTE THAT THE SUMMARY SHEET 18 THAT'S IN YOUR ITEM NOTES THAT PRIOR TO ANY ALLOCATIONS BEING 19 MADE, WE WOULD ALSO REPORT ON THE PROGRESS, THE IMPLEMENTATION 20 21 OF THE ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES AT THE SAME TIME, SO WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW PROGRESS. 22 23 DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. AND I AGREE -- THANK YOU FOR SAYING THAT, 24

YOU SAID THAT A COUPLE TIMES -- THAT IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT. I



- 1 THINK THE BOARD NEEDS TO KNOW IF SOME OF THESE METRICS AREN'T
- 2 BEING MET, OR THERE ARE SOME ISSUES? I'M SURE YOU'RE GOING TO
- 3 REPORT BACK TO US IF THEY ARE NOT -- IF IT'S NOT MOVING IN THE
- 4 RIGHT DIRECTION. THANK YOU.

5

6 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: MARGARET?

- 8 MARGARET ABE-KOGA: THANK YOU CHAIR. I HAD SIMILAR QUESTIONS IN
- 9 REGARDS TO ACCOUNTABILITY. I APPRECIATE THAT THE AGENCIES ARE
- 10 COOPERATING AND WE NEED THAT CONTINUED COOPERATION. I DO HAVE
- 11 TO SAY, THOUGH, ONE, I GUESS I WAS JUST HOPING -- OR WANTED TO
- 12 ASK HOW FREQUENTLY, WE, AS A COMMISSION, WILL GET UPDATES ON
- 13 THIS? I'M THINKING EVERY QUARTER? EVERY SIX MONTHS? BECAUSE I
- 14 WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE PROGRESSING. AND, FRANKLY, I
- 15 HAVE HEARD FROM SOME AGENCIES THAT THERE HAS BEEN DELAYS, I
- 16 GUESS ON OUR END, IN TERMS OF THE TRANSFORMATION ACTION PLAN
- 17 ITEMS. AND, YOU KNOW, I WAS ALSO WONDERING MYSELF, WE HAVE
- 18 BEEN TALKING ABOUT MAPPING AND WAYFINDING, WHICH I DON'T THINK
- 19 IS THAT COMPLICATED. [LAUGHTER] I MEAN IT MAY BE, BUT, YOU
- 20 KNOW, COLOR CODING, TO START. [LAUGHTER] WITH. I WOULD LIKE TO
- 21 SEE SOME PROGRESS. AND I DON'T KNOW IF I HAVE MISSED UPDATES?
- 22 OR WHAT'S HAPPENING THERE? BECAUSE WE GET UPDATES ON THESE
- 23 ACTION ITEMS THAT WE HAVE PUT FORTH, AND WE'RE EXPECTING
- 24 AGENCIES TO WORK WITH US ON, BECAUSE I HAVE HEARD THAT THERE
- 25 HAVE BEEN SOME DELAYS.



1 2 ANDREW FREMIER: THANKS CHAIR ABE-KOGA ANDREW F, EXECUTIVE 3 DIRECTOR. AT REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE WE BROUGHT FORWARD THE CHARTER THAT'S GETTING THAT WORK MOVING FORWARD 4 5 THAT'S THE EFFORT THAT'S A GOOD NUMBER OF GENERAL M MEMBERS AND MYSELF WE HAVE ESTABLISHED A WORK PLAN AND METRICS THAT 6 7 COME BACK TO THE COMMITTEE ON A REGULAR BASIS. I WOULD ALSO, 8 IN A COUPLE OF MY PREVIOUS COMMENTS MENTIONED THE PROGRESS OF THE VARIOUS PROJECTS AND WE'RE NOT DOING MAPPING AND 9 WAYFINDING BY OURSELVES. IT'S A COLEAD PROJECT IT INDIVIDUALS 10 11 25 DIFFERENT TRANSIT AGENCIES IT INVOLVES COLOR CODING AND INCORPORATING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PEOPLE TO MOVE AROUND THE 12 SYSTEM MORE EASILY. WE HAVE PROTOTYPES COMING OUT SOON TO A 13 COUPLE LOCATIONS. WE'LL GET PUBLIC FEEDBACK ON IT AND THAT 14 15 WILL SET THE STANDARDS FOR THE FUTURE. THERE ARE A LOT OF 16 COMPLICATED TIMELINES IN THE TRANSFORMATION ACTION PLAN INCLUDING HIGHWAY PROJECTS WE'RE WORKING ON FOR THE BUS 17 PRIORITY STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM EVERYTHING IS MOVING AT A PRETTY 18 DECENT CLIP BUT WITH CLIPPER TWO, THEY'RE CHALLENGING AND WILL 19 CONTINUE TO REPORT BACK TO THE COMMISSION ON A REGULARLY BAR 20 21 BASIS. PROGRESS AS A REGION COOPERATIVE MANNER WITH ALL 22 TRANSIT AGENCIES HELP IT'S A COMPLICATED PIECE OF WORK. 23 MARGARET ABE-KOGA: I DON'T TRY TO SIMPLIFY IT BY SAYING COLOR 24 CODING I GUESS FOR ME AS MUCH AS A DO APPRECIATE THE 25



- 1 COMPLEXITIES OF IT, I ALSO FEEL THE SENSE OF URGENCY. FRANKLY,
- 2 I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE DOING OUR PART IN MAKING ALL OF THIS
- 3 HAPPEN. BECAUSE WE PLAY A BIG ROLE IN THAT COORDINATION. SO
- 4 THAT'S REALLY WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR. AND WITH CLIPPER TWO. I
- 5 HAVE SEEN IMPROVEMENTS. I HAVE BEEN RIDING TRANSIT LATELY, AND
- 6 I HAVE SEEN IMPROVEMENTS, BUT AGAIN, I STILL SEE WHAT CAN
- 7 HAPPEN AT OTHER PLACES, AND I GUESS I'M JUST NOT -- YOU KNOW,
- 8 I'M LOOKING FOR MORE, FRANKLY. AND I THINK WE REALLY NEED --
- 9 YOU KNOW, GIVEN THE TIMELINE THAT WE HAVE, WE JUST NEED OF TO
- 10 MOVE FASTER. SO WHATEVER THAT TAKES, YOU KNOW, I KNOW THERE
- 11 WERE SOME STAFFING ISSUES, BUT I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SHARE MY
- 12 COMMENTS AND MY SENSE OF URGENCY, AND I HOPE THAT WE DO -- WE
- 13 ARE -- YOU KNOW, WE CAN MOVE AT A FASTER SPEED TO GET ALL OF
- 14 THIS DONE. THANKS.
- 15
- 16 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU MARGARET. I'M GOING TO GO TO
- 17 COMMISSIONER MILEY THEN COMMISSIONER PAPAN AGAIN AND THEN
- 18 COMMISSIONER SCHAFF.
- 19
- 20 V. CHAIR, NATE MILEY: THANK YOU. A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS, OR
- 21 OBSERVATIONS. OBVIOUSLY WE WANT OUR TRANSIT OPERATORS TO BE
- 22 SUCCESSFUL. NO DOWNSIDE TO THAT. IT BENEFITS ALL OF US WHETHER
- 23 WE'RE TRANSIT RIDERS OR NOT. THAT'S FUNDAMENTAL. MY ONLY
- 24 CONCERN I THINK IS WITH THE ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES. WHAT'S
- 25 OUR ABILITY TO ADD ADDITIONAL MEASURES IF WE THINK WE NEED TO



HAVE ADDITIONAL MEASURES? BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW IF THIS LIST IS 1 EXHAUSTIVE? IT PROBABLY IS. BUT I ALWAYS LIKE TO HAVE THE 2 3 ABILITY TO ADD ON IF NECESSARY. CAN WE DO THAT? 4 5 THERESA ROMMELL: YES. I THINK THAT'S ENTIRELY UP TO THE 6 COMMITTEE IF THERE IS A DESIRED AMENDMENT TO THE RESOLUTION. 7 8 V. CHAIR, NATE MILEY: I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THE TRANSIT OPERATORS, AND I'LL ACKNOWLEDGE, I KNOW -- I, AS WELL AS A 9 10 NUMBER OF FOLKS HAVEN'T RIDDEN TRANSIT IN A LONG TIME, JUST BECAUSE OF THE PROBLEMS WITH TRANSIT. BUT IF THE TRANSIT 11 OPERATORS DON'T GET THEIR ACT TOGETHER, IT'S GOING TO BE 12 DETRIMENTAL TO ALL OF US IN THE REGION. BECAUSE ULTIMATELY THE 13 VOTERS ARE NOT GOING TO SUPPORT A BALLOT MEASURE DOWN THE 14 15 ROAD. 16 ANDREW FREMIER: COMMISSIONER, IF I COULD JUST ADD ON TO THAT? 17 YOU KNOW, TO THERESA'S POINT, YOU GET PLENTY OF OPPORTUNITY TO 18 MODIFY AND ADJUST. WE'LL BE BRINGING BACK -- THIS IS NOT A 19 PROGRAMMING DOCUMENT IT'S A PLAN TO MOVE FORWARD. AND IF THE 20 21 AGENCIES AREN'T MAKING PROGRESS TOWARDS THESE GOALS THEN WE HAVE THE RIGHT TO NOT ISSUE REVENUE TO THEM HOWEVER IF YOU 22 DON'T YOU ALSO POTENTIAL AFFECT SERVICE SO THERE'S A BIG PUSH 23

AND PULL THERE. I DO THINK YOU HAVE THE STRENGTH YOU NEED

RIGHT NOW BUT YOU WILL ALSO HAVE PLENTY OF OPPORTUNITY TO

24



7

9

DECEMBER 13, 2023

- 1 ADJUST AND STRENGTHEN IT AND I THINK THAT'S RELATED TO SOME OF
- 2 THE CHALLENGES THAT WE TALK ABOUT MORE DIRECTLY AT THE
- 3 COMMISSION ON HOW TO MAKE CHANGES THAT ARE SUBSTANTIAL, THAT
- 4 ARE MOVING FASTER, AND ARE HOPEFULLY LESS COMPLICATED AND
- 5 THAT'S THE CHALLENGE IN FRONT OF US, AND WE'LL CONTINUE TO
- 6 BRING IT BACK FORWARD TO YOU.

8 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER PAPAN?

10 GINA PAPAN: THANK YOU. I JUST WANTED TO REITERATE WHAT MY

- 11 COLLEAGUES ARE SAYING RIGHT HERE. WE TALK ABOUT
- 12 ACCOUNTABILITY. YOU KNOW, IT WOULD BE VERY INTERESTING -- YOU
- 13 KNOW, WE STARTED WAYFINDING YEARS AGO, AND THE OPERATORS WERE
- 14 SUPPOSED TO BE WORKING WITH US. I KNOW IF YOU COME TO MY
- 15 REGION YOU WON'T SEE MUCH OF IT. SO FUNDING IS KIND OF SYSTEM
- 16 WIDE. AND WE NEED TO INSIST ON ACCOUNTABILITY. AND AS THE
- 17 DIRECTOR MENTIONED, THE THREAT OF, YOU KNOW, CUTTING BACK
- 18 SERVICES REALLY IS NOT REALISTIC ANYMORE. I GET IT. BUT IF
- 19 THEY CAN'T DO IT, OR THEY'RE NOT DOING IT. WHAT DO WE DO? WE
- 20 ACTUALLY NEED TO HOLD THEM ACCOUNTABLE. AS WAS NOTED, IF THEY
- 21 WANT TO GET TO A TRANSPORTATION BOND, IF THERE IS NO
- 22 IMPROVEMENT BECAUSE OF THE ACTIONS OF THE OPERATORS, THEN THIS
- 23 BOND REALLY DOESN'T STAND A CHANCE MOVING FORWARD HERE. AND I
- 24 KNOW WE HAVE THE REGIONAL MANAGER COMMITTEE, OR WHATEVER, BUT
- 25 THAT'S A BUNCH OF FOXES IN THE HEN HOUSE, EXCEPT FOR OUR



- 1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. [LAUGHTER] SO, I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE BE
- 2 A LOT MORE INSISTENT ON THE RESULTS, AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.
- 3 BECAUSE IT'S BEEN GOING ON FOR A LONG TIME. THANK YOU.

4

5 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: COMMISSIONER SCHAFF?

- 7 LIBBY SCHAFF: YOU KNOW, I'M GOING TO ALSO CHIME IN ON BART.
- 8 AND THIS IS A DATA POINT OF ONE JUST OF MY OWN PERSONAL
- 9 EXPERIENCES BUT I WILL SAY I HAVE NOTICED AN IMPROVED RIDER
- 10 EXPERIENCE AS FAR AS CLEANLINESS AND SAFETY IN MY PERSONAL USE
- 11 ON BART BUT ONE THING HAS FAILED ME IS CLIPPER. I WAS
- 12 TREMENDOUSLY IMPRESSED COMING BACK FROM NEW YORK CITY, WHEN I
- 13 WAS IN NEW YORK, YOU DON'T NEED TO BUY A CARD TO RIDE ON THE
- 14 SUBWAY, YOU PUT YOUR PHONE ON THE GATE AND YOU GO IN AS A
- 15 REGION I THINK INCREASINGLY RELYING ON TOURIST AS VISITORS AND
- 16 OCCASIONAL USERS OF PUBLIC TRANSIT UNLESS ON THAT REGULAR
- 17 COMMUTER WHO IS WILLING AND EDUCATED AROUND WHAT PARTICULAR
- 18 CARD TO USE, I HOPE THAT AS WE INVEST IN THE NEXT GENERATION
- 19 OF CLIPPER AND THE NEW FARE GATES THAT WE'RE ENSURING THAT
- 20 WHATEVER THIS NEXT ITERATION IS, DOES NOT PRECLUDE US FROM
- 21 MOVING TO A SYSTEM WHERE A SIMPLE TAP OF YOUR PHONE OR YOUR
- 22 CREDIT CARD GETS YOU IN. I HOPE WE'RE LOOKING AT THAT. I THINK
- 23 THAT'S THE WAY OF THE FUTURE. LADIES, I HAVE GIVEN UP CARRYING
- 24 A PURSE. I AM DONE WITH PURSES. SO, I DON'T WANT CARDS. I
- 25 DON'T WANT TO HAVE 12 CARDS. LIKE, IT IS ALL JUST IN THIS



- 1 LOVELY THING. AND THAT -- IF WE WANT TO ENCOURAGE RIDERSHIP,
- 2 TO THE EXTENT THAT WE LOWER THE BARRIER TO ENTRY, THE MORE
- 3 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE MORE RIDERSHIP. SO, I JUST HOPE THAT WE
- 4 ARE PAYING ATTENTION TO THAT, THAT WE'RE NOT FORECLOSING ANY
- 5 POTENTIAL AVENUES AS WE INVEST IN BOTH THE NEW FARE GATES AND
- 6 A NEXT GENERATION OF CLIPPER.

- 8 ANDREW FREMIER: THAT'S A GOOD ADVERTISEMENT. WE ARE,
- 9 COMMISSIONER SCHAFF. THAT COMES WITH THE NEXT GENERATION OF
- 10 CLIPPER. IT WILL HAVE THE SAME FEATURES. IT'S THE SAME
- 11 CONTRACTOR AND IS ALMOST THE SAME SYSTEM AS THE NEW YORK
- 12 SUBWAY SYSTEM. AS A REMINDER WE'RE STILL ON THE EXISTING
- 13 CLIPPER FORMAT. AND ALL THE PROGRESS WE HAVE MADE WITH NEW
- 14 EQUIPMENT AND SOME OF THE NEW MOBILE APPS HAVE ACTUALLY BEEN
- 15 BROUGHT FORWARD A LOT QUICKER THAN WE THOUGHT WE COULD ON THE
- 16 BACKS OF THE EXISTING SYSTEM. THE PROBLEM IS TECHNICAL. AND
- 17 THAT IS THE CARD AND THE READER DO ALL THE WORK TODAY. WE'RE
- 18 CHANGING THAT ENTIRE SYSTEM. SO AS CLIPPER ROLLS OUT NEXT
- 19 YEAR, ALL OF THE FUNCTIONS THAT YOU ARE SEEING IN NEW YORK
- 20 OTHER AND PLACES COME WITH IT. SO WE'RE REALLY EXCITED ABOUT
- 21 THAT CHALLENGE. PEOPLE ARE WORKING 24/7 ON BRINGING IT
- 22 FORWARD. WE HAVE BEEN VERY CLEAR, THOUGH, WE HAVE A GO-RIGHT
- 23 SCHEDULE, BUT THINGS ARE PROGRESSING AS YOU HAVE SEEN WITH THE
- 24 EQUIPMENT THAT'S OUT THERE AND SOME OF THE OTHER TEST THAT'S
- 25 GOING ON, AND THEN BART IS VERY COMMITTED TO THE FARE GATES



- 1 AND THEY ARE PROGRESSING, AGAIN, ANOTHER CHALLENGING PROJECT,
- 2 BUT WE'RE WORKING WITH THEM TO TRY TO KEEP THING ON THEIR
- 3 SCHEDULE. AND HOPEFULLY ABOUT A YEAR AFTER WE GET THE CLIPPER
- 4 ENVIRONMENT UPDATED, THEY WILL HAVE FINISHED THEIR ENTIRE
- 5 PROGRAM SO WE'RE KEEPING AN EYE ON THAT AND WE'RE VERY
- 6 CONNECTED WITH BART AND THE REST OF THE OPERATORS AND MAKING
- 7 SURE THOSE SYSTEMS WORK FOR THE CUSTOMER, AS YOU DESCRIBED.

8

- 9 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: SO, I'M GOING TO THANK MY COLLEAGUES FOR
- 10 THEIR COMMENTS. I'M GLAD YOU SAID CLIPPER, NOT ME. EVERY TIME
- 11 I OPEN MY MOUTH, I CAN SEE ANDY STARTS TO TURN ON HIS LIGHT
- 12 AND LEAN IN. SO, ANDY, WOULD YOU REMIND ME WHEN THE BOARD WILL
- 13 GET AN UPDATE ON CLIPPER'S PROGRESS AND UNVEILING? YEAH. I
- 14 AGREE.

15

- 16 ANDREW FREMIER: COMMISSIONER, WE DID GIVE A GOOD UPDATE TO THE
- 17 REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE BUT WE CAN COME BACK AT
- 18 ANY TIME AND DO IT AGAIN.

19

- 20 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: WHAT WAS THAT? I WOULD RECOMMEND, I THINK
- 21 THE FULL COMMISSION -- I HEAR THIS SO MUCH, WE SHOULD JUST --
- 22 THAT TIMELINE WHEN IT'S GOING TO HAPPEN, HOW IT'S GOING TO
- 23 FUNCTION. OTHERWISE WE'RE GOING TO KEEP TALKING TO YOU, SO, IT
- 24 WILL SAVE YOU HOURS OF YOUR LIFE BACK. LET'S PRESUME.



1 ANDREW FREMIER: I'M HAPPY YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT IT. IT'S A GOOD

2 SUBJECT.

- 4 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: [LAUGHTER]. I HEARD A LOT OF FEEDBACK
- 5 FROM MY COLLEAGUES ABOUT ACCOUNTABILITY, WHAT THE MECHANISMS
- 6 ARE GOING TO BE AND HOW THOSE GET REPORTED OUT IN REALTIME AND
- 7 A RECOGNITION THAT A STRATEGY AROUND CLAW BACK IS PROBABLY NOT
- 8 REAL. AND BASED ON THAT, I THINK IT'S WORTH THE STAFF GIVING
- 9 US SOME FEEDBACK ABOUT WHAT IS MORE LIKELY TO BE AN
- 10 OPPORTUNITY FOR THE -- FOR -- YOU KNOW, FOR THIS PARTNERSHIP
- 11 WITH OUR OPERATORS. I ALSO JUST WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE COLLEAGUES
- 12 THAT WE'RE TALKING TO THEM ABOUT OPERATORS THAT THEY'RE NOT IN
- 13 CHARGE OF, AND THE OPERATORS LOVE, SO YOU'RE NOT THE BOSS OF
- 14 ME. I WANT YOU TO KNOW HOW MUCH I APPRECIATE THAT YOU'RE
- 15 SAYING WE'RE GOING TO LEAN IN AND TAKE RESPONSIBILITY.
- 16 OPERATIONAL OUESTION RELATIVE TO WHAT MY COLLEAGUES HAVE
- 17 ASKED, WE KNOW THERE ARE HIGH-LEVEL OUTCOMES THAT WE NEED TO
- 18 HAVE RELATIVE TO SCHEDULE COORDINATION AND FAREBOX, ONE
- 19 TICKET, ONE RIDE, AND ALL THAT, AND THERE ARE PARTICULAR NEEDS
- 20 FOR EACH AGENCIES. DOES THAT MEAN THAT EACH AGENCY WILL BE
- 21 SIGNING AN AGREEMENT WITH MTC RELATIVE TO THE GOALS THAT ARE
- 22 BEING SET BY THEM? AND HERE'S THE REASON I'M ASKING ABOUT
- 23 THAT. THE POINT THAT COMMISSIONER ABE-KOGA RAISED ABOUT US
- 24 BEING SLOW VERSUS AN AGENCY BEING SLOW, WHAT IT SEEMS TO ME,
- 25 IS IF THERE IS A SET OF AGREEMENTS ABOUT WHAT WE'RE GOING TO



- 1 DELIVER AND WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO DELIVER, ON ESSENTIALLY A
- 2 SHARED CONTRACT FOR -- A CONTRACT FOR PERFORMANCE, THEN I
- 3 THINK THAT THE -- THAT, AS WE THINK ABOUT WHAT CHANGES WE WANT
- 4 TO MAKE IN THE LATER YEARS OF THAT AGREEMENT, WHETHER IT'S
- 5 RESOURCES OR OTHERS, THAT HAVING, ESSENTIALLY, A PERFORMANCE
- 6 CONTRACT MIGHT BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO BE MORE CUSTOMIZED
- 7 TO EACH OF THE FOLKS THAT WE'RE GIVING THIS PARTICULAR TYPE OF
- 8 MONEY TO, AND RECOGNIZE THAT, YOU KNOW, BART IS GOING TO BE
- 9 VERY DIFFERENT THAN, YOU KNOW, A SMALLER BUS OPERATOR,
- 10 RELATIVE TO WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE ASKING OUTSIDE OF THE --
- 11 WHAT I THINK SHOULD BE THE TOP TWO THINGS, WHICH IS WE'RE
- 12 COORDINATED AND WE HAVE ONE TICKET.
- 14 ANDREW FREMIER: MAYBE I COULD TAKE A CRACK AT THIS BRIEFLY.
- 15 FIRST OF ALL, THE CHALLENGING THAT WE HAVE WITH TRYING TO --
- 16 REACTING TO HOLDING BACK ON GIVING INDIVIDUAL OPERATORS MONEY
- 17 IS THAT THE NUMBERS THAT WE PRESENT IN THIS ARE JUST, SORT OF,
- 18 STATUS QUO, RIGHT? THEY AREN'T TRYING TO PUT ADDITIONAL
- 19 SERVICE INTO PLAY. IT'S REALLY A VERY LIMITED AMOUNT OF MONEY,
- 20 AND THAT'S THE REAL CHALLENGE. THIS IS THE, SORT OF, BEAR
- 21 BONES. THE 600 TO \$750 MILLION A YEAR THAT WE SEE IN THE
- 22 FUTURE NEEDS IS DESIGNED JUST TO KEEP THE CURRENT STATUS IF WE
- 23 MAKE MORE IMPROVEMENTS TO THE SCHEDULE THEN YOU ASK OPERATORS
- 24 TO BE MORE EFFICIENT TO MATCH MORE THAT'S MORE SERVICE THAT
- 25 COST MORE MONEY. THAT'S ONE CHALLENGE. TO YOUR POINT YOU'RE



- 1 MAYBE ADDRESSING THE BIGGEST CHALLENGE WE HAVE, IF WE HAVE 27
- 2 DIFFERENT AGREEMENTS THAT'S WHY WE GO SLOWER. I WOULD ASK THE
- 3 REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT COUNCIL TO GET GOING AND SEE WHAT
- 4 YOU THINK OF THE WORK PRODUCT AND THE WORK THAT WE'RE
- 5 PRODUCING AND ALSO REPORT BACK ON THE PROGRESS OF THE WORK
- 6 BEFORE ADDING MORE CHALLENGES IN HOW DECISIONS ARE MADE AND
- 7 OUANTIFIED. I THINK THE PERFORMANCE MEASURES ARE KEY AND
- 8 THAT'S WHAT WE NEED TO BRING BACK TO YOU, AND THAT WILL BE BY
- 9 OPERATOR. AND HOPEFULLY THAT MAKES THINGS A LITTLE BIT EASIER
- 10 IN THAT SPACE.

- 12 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: ANDY LET ME JUST -- A DIFFERENT
- 13 PERSPECTIVE. ONE IS I'M NOT SUGGESTING WE DO THIS WITH ALL 27
- 14 OPERATORS. WE'RE NOT GIVING 27 OPERATORS HALF A MILLION
- 15 DOLLARS. SO I'M REALLY SAYING THAT WE HAVE SOME BACKBONE
- 16 COMPONENTS TO OUR SYSTEM. BART IS A BACKBONE COMPONENT. AND I
- 17 -- LET ME JUST SAY, I'M STUNNED AT HOW -- HOW -- HOW MUCH
- 18 ATTENTION IS PAID TO EVERY SINGLE THING THEY EVER DO WRONG. SO
- 19 THIS ISN'T ME TO JUMPING ON THAT AT ALL. I ACTUALLY AM
- 20 IMPRESSED GIVEN WHAT THEY DO GIVEN HOW MANY PEOPLE USE THE
- 21 SYSTEM, AND THEY HAVE GOT CHALLENGES. I'M SUGGESTING THAT WE
- 22 WHILE WE RELY ON THE BACKBONE PARTS OF OUR SYSTEM AND YOU ALL
- 23 HAVE EMPHASIZED TO ME FOR YEARS NOT EVEN PREDECESSORS -- WELL
- 24 PREDECESSORS ACTUALLY -- ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF MAKING SURE
- 25 WE'RE MAINTAINING THAT BACKBONE SYSTEM. SO WHAT I'M SUGGESTING



- 1 IS WHERE WE'RE MAKING INVESTMENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF MY MIND
- 2 OF TOO BIG TO FAIL, THE EXPECTATIONS AROUND THAT RESOURCE BE
- 3 CRYSTAL CLEAR. WE'RE NOT SURPRISING ANYBODY THEY'RE NOT
- 4 SURPRISING US, AND AS IT RELATES TO BART SPECIFICALLY, I THINK
- 5 YOU RAISED A POINT ABOUT THE SMALLER BUS SYSTEM TRYING TO DO
- 6 THE COORDINATION, BUT FRANKLY, UNDERSTANDING CONCERNS AND
- 7 CONSIDERATIONS, I'M SUGGESTING THE BIGGER PLAYERS IN THE
- 8 RELATIONSHIP START TO SHIFT IN A WAY THAT THERE IS MORE AGREED
- 9 UPON SUPPORT. NOT YOU PERFORM FOR ME, BUT WHAT WE'RE DOING TO
- 10 HELP GET ACROSS THE FINISH LINE. LET ME TELL WHAT YOU HCD IS
- 11 DOING TO ALL OF US, AND I'M SAYING TO ALL OF US BECAUSE I
- 12 THINK IT'S A BIG EXPERIMENT WE'RE GOING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION
- 13 AND I WANT TO SUPPORT THAT, IN EVERY STATE, IF YOU DO NOT SHOW
- 14 PROGRESS TO MEETING YOUR GOALS BY THE MID-TIME, WHICH IS GREAT
- 15 -- INSTEAD OF WAITING UNTIL THE END -- YOU WILL NOT BE
- 16 ELIGIBLE FOR CERTAIN GRANTS. FOR THE CITIES AND COUNTIES THAT
- 17 I REPRESENT, THAT'S, LIKE, TERRIFYING FOR THEM NOT TO BE ABLE
- 18 TO GET A GRANT THAT GOES IN THIS BUCKET. SO I THINK WE CAN BE
- 19 CREATIVE ABOUT HOW WE PRIORITIZE WHAT AND WHOM WE INVEST IN
- 20 AND NOT IN A PUNISHMENT WAY, BECAUSE WITH HCD, IN A WAY IT'S A
- 21 LITTLE BIT PUNISHING BUT ALSO AN INCENTIVE WHICH IS WE'RE
- 22 COMPETING ACROSS THE STATE WE'RE GOING TO DO WHATEVER WE CAN
- 23 TO MEET HOUSING DEADLINES SO WE CAN DRAWDOWN THE ADDITIONAL
- 24 MONEY AND BE READY TO BUILT. I'M NOT WEDDED TO WHAT I SAID IN
- 25 THE PROCESS, I THINK THE MUTUALITY OF THE NETWORK MANAGEMENT



COULD BE EXPRESSED IN A DIFFERENT WAY TO DEMONSTRATE MOVING IN 1 2 THE RIGHT DIRECTION. 3 ANDREW FREMIER: WE SIGNED CONTRACTS WITH A COUPLE OF EMPLOYERS 4 5 AND THAT CHALLENGE WITH PRICE POINT WE HAD A PRETTY EASY TIME WITH THE BART COMPONENT, BUT OTHERS IT'S CHALLENGING BECAUSE 6 7 OF FEAR OF LOST REVENUE. 8 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: I THINK IF WE WANT ONE TICKET, I THINK WE 9 NEED TO PUT ALL THE MONEY UP FRONT INTO THE AGENCIES WITHOUT 10 WITHHOLDING WHAT WE THINK WE NEED TO MOVE EVERYBODY IN THAT 11 DIRECTION BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO TAKE A WHILE FOR PEOPLE TO BE 12 ABLE TO, ALL OF THESE AGENCIES TO GET ON THAT ONE TICKET. AS 13 14 YOU MOVE FORWARD TO BRING FORWARD THESE FINAL AGREEMENTS, 15 WITHHOLDING MONEY FOR THAT PURPOSE. I KNOW THERE WAS SOME 16 WITHHELD, THEN IT GOT THROWN BACK IN. I THINK WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO PAY FOR THIS CHANGE. WE ARE. AND ESPECIALLY IF WE 17 DON'T WANT MORE AGENCIES ON THE FISCAL CLIFF LINING UP 18 AFTERWARDS BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO PUSH THEM INTO THAT WHAT 19 WE'RE DOING IS PREVENTATIVE AND STRUCTURAL AS PART OF THE 20 CHANGES THAT YOU'RE ALREADY TRYING TO MAKE. THAT'S BROAD 21 22 THINKING ABOUT IT AND WHEN WE ARE -- WHAT I HOPE IS AS WE'RE TAKING FINAL VOTES ON AGREEMENTS OR HOWEVER YOU DECIDE TO 23 PROCEED THAT WE HAVE GOT A POT AND PLAN FOR THAT. BECAUSE I 24

THINK IF WE DON'T, WE'RE JUST -- I WANT TO JUST SAY -- I WANT



- 1 TO REINFORCE WHAT COMMISSIONER SCHAFF JUST SAID ABOUT THAT
 2 EXPERIENCE OF I JUST WANT TO SEE A BUS. I WANT TO BE ABLE TO
- 3 GET ON IT. MY LIGHT RAIL CLIPPER CARD -- I WAS LOW ON CLIPPER,
- 4 AND I COULD SEE THE DUTIFUL GUY OVER THERE, SAYING I KNOW
- 5 YOU'RE -- YOU PAY FOR IT SO ANYWAY MY POINT, EASE, AND IF
- 6 WE'RE GOING TO PRIORITIZE ANYTHING THAT WOULD BE MY
- 7 RECOMMENDATION.

8

- 9 ANDREW FREMIER: I THINK WE'RE ON THE SAME PAGE. I BELIEVE WE
- 10 ARE. I REALLY DO.

11

- 12 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: ALL RIGHT. I THINK WE HAVE TALKED TO
- 13 STAFF AROUND THE MULBERRY BUSH A FEW TIMES. I DON'T THINK WE
- 14 NEED TO MAKE ANY CHANGES TO THE RESOLUTION, BUT I WANT TO LIFT
- 15 UP THE POINT YOU RAISED ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THERE CAN BE
- 16 UPDATES TO THE METRICS. AND I THINK THAT, OF ALL OF THE -- I
- 17 THINK THE OTHER THINGS YOU HEARD WERE MORE IN HELPING YOU
- 18 THINK JUST ABOUT WHAT COMES BACK TO THE BOARD IN THE FUTURE.
- 19 DO YOU FEEL LIKE THAT -- HOW WOULD WE CHANGE THE MOTION -- THE
- 20 RESOLUTION TO ADDRESS THAT FLEXIBILITY? YOU DON'T THINK WE
- 21 NEED TO? OKAY. DO YOU THINK WE NEED TO?

- 23 ALIX BOCKELMAN: L WELL, SO, IF YOU WOULD LIKE, IF YOU HAVE
- 24 SPECIFIC IDEAS, YOU WOULD HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY BEFORE NEXT
- 25 WEDNESDAY TO MAKE CHANGES. HOWEVER, YOU ALSO, WHEN WE COME



BACK AT THE TIME OF THE FUND ESTIMATE, WHICH IS IN FEBRUARY, 1 YOU HAVE ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY TO KIND OF --2 3 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: IF IT DOESN'T GET ADDRESSED? 4 5 ALIX BOCKELMAN: YOU WOULD ALSO HAVE TIMELY -- IN FEBRUARY, 6 HAVE ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY TO GET THAT ADDRESSED, AND ALSO 7 8 BEFORE WEDNESDAY. 9 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: I THINK FROM A PERSPECTIVE TALKING ABOUT 10 ACCOUNTABILITY, AND MUTUALITY AND FLEXIBILITY THAT YOU MAY 11 NEED TO ADD ADDITIONAL METRICS AND IF YOU WOULD CONSIDER THOSE 12 AS PART OF YOUR THINKING OVER THE NEXT FEW MONTHS THAT WOULD 13 BE HELPFUL. IS THAT ALL RIGHT COLLEAGUES? 14 15 16 ANDREW FREMIER: I THINK WE CAN DO THAT AND I THINK THAT'S CONSISTENT, COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ WITH WHAT THE COMMITTEE'S 17 DIRECTION WAS ON FRIDAY. SO, I -- YOU KNOW, THEY HAVE ASKED US 18 TO, YEAH, YOU'RE DOING WELL IN, SORT OF, THE CONSENSUS MODEL, 19 BUT WE WANT YOU TO MAKE LOOK AT BEING MORE AGGRESSIVE IN SOME 20 PLACES AND THAT WAS THE CHALLENGE THAT WE WERE ASKED TO COME 21 BACK WITH IN THE NEW YEAR. SO AGAIN I THINK WE'RE ON THE SAME 22 PATH AND WE UNDERSTAND WHAT THE DIRECTION IS THAT THE 23

COMMISSION WOULD LIKE US TO DO BUT TO ALIX'S POINT, IF THERE



IS ANYTHING SPECIFIC, THIS IS YOUR TIME TO CHANGE IT BEFORE 1 THE COMMISSION ACTS ON WEDNESDAY. 2 3 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: YEAH I THINK THESE ARE MORE THEMATIC. 4 5 ANDREW FREMIER: I AGREE. 6 7 8 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: DID WE I HAVE MOTION? I'M SORRY. IT WAS A 9 WHILE AGO. NO? CLERK, DID I HAVE A MOTION? 10 11 CLERK OF THE BOARD: NO YOU DIDN'T. 12 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: I'M GOING TO ASK FOR A MOTION AND SECOND 13 TO APPROVE MTC RESOLUTION 4619 AND ASK STAFF TO CONSIDER 14 THEMES THAT WERE BROUGHT UP BY THE COMMITTEE. DO I HAVE A 15 16 MOTION? 17 18 DAVID CANEPA: SO MOVED. 19 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU COMMISSIONER CANEPA. DO I HAVE 20 A SECOND? 21 22 23 V. CHAIR, NATE MILEY: SECOND. 24



1	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER MILEY. PLEASE
2	TAKE THE ROLL.
3	
4	CLERK OF THE BOARD: FIRST BY CANEPA AND SECOND MILEY. CHAVEZ?
5	
6	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: AYE.
7	
8	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MILEY?
9	
10	V. CHAIR, NATE MILEY: AYE.
11	
12	CLERK OF THE BOARD: ABE-KOGA?
13	
14	MARGARET ABE-KOGA: AYE.
15	
16	CLERK OF THE BOARD: COMMISSIONER CANEPA?
17	
18	DAVID CANEPA: AYE.
19	
20	CLERK OF THE BOARD: FLEMING IS ABSENT. COMMISSIONER GLOVER IS
21	ABSENT. COMMISSIONER JOSEFOWITZ?
22	
23	NICK JOSEFOWITZ: AYE.
24	
25	CLERK OF THE BOARD: COMMISSIONER PAPAN?



1 2 GINA PAPAN: YES. 3 CLERK OF THE BOARD: PAPAN AYE. COMMISSIONER RONEN IS ABSENT. 4 5 AND COMMISSIONER THAO IS ABSENT. MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY BY 6 ALL MEMBERS PRESENT. 7 8 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU SO MUCH. WE'RE GOING MOVE TO 9 ITEM 4A. MTC RESOLUTION 4603 REVISED. THIS IS THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM POLICIES AND PROCEDURES AND 10 PROGRAM PROJECTS FOR THE 2024 RTIP UPDATES TO THE REGIONAL --11 ACRONYMS, IS IT RTIP? RTIP. POLICIES AND PROCEDURES AND 12 PROGRAMS FOR THE PROJECT FOR 2024 TOTALING 226 MILLION IN NEW 13 PROGRAMMING FOR THE BAY AREA. KARL, THE STAGE IS YOURS. 14 15 16 KARL ANDERSON: THANK YOU. I'M KARL ANDERSON WITH MTC STAFF. ITEM 4A IS AN ACTION ITEM TO UPDATE ON THE 2024 REGIONAL 17 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, OR RTIP, POLICIES AND 18 PROCEDURES CONSIDERATION OF PROGRAM PROJECTS FOR 2024 RTIP. IN 19 SEPTEMBER, THE COMMISSION APPROVED RTIP POLICIES AND 20 21 PROCEDURES INCLUDING MINOR CHANGES FROM 2022 RTIP POLICIES, 22 INCLUDING UPDATES TO MAKE POLICY PROCEDURES CONSISTENT WITH 23 ADOPTED REGIONAL PLANS AND POLICIES AND RETAIN POLICY ENCOURAGING POTENTIAL SB1 COMPETITIVE PROGRAM APPLICANTS 24 LEVERAGE AVAILABILITY RTIP FUNDS FOR APPLICATIONS. PROGRAMMING 25



- 1 FOR SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TO REFLECT THE COUNTY'S NEW
- 2 COMMITMENT PLAN AND SECOND UPDATE ORIENTED POLICIES TO ALIGN
- 3 LANGUAGE WITH FINAL DRAFT GUIDANCE REQUIRING PROJECT SPONSORS
- 4 TO COMPLY WITH THE RELEVANT TOC POLICY REQUIREMENTS FOR MTC
- 5 ADOPTION OF THE 2026 STIP. MTC'S 2024 RTIP PROPOSES 226
- 6 MILLION IN NEW PROGRAMMING OVER THE NEXT FIVE YEARS FOR THE
- 7 NINE COUNTY BAY AREA REGION AVAILABLE FUNDING FOR COUNTY
- 8 TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CTA PROVIDING MTC WITH ITS FINAL
- 9 PROJECT LET'S IN NOVEMBER PROGRAM PROJECTS INCLUDED AS
- 10 ATTACHMENT ONE TO THE MEMO ATTACHMENT INCLUDES SUMMARY CHARTS
- 11 OF PROPOSED PROGRAM VARIOUS INDICATORS ATTACHMENT THREE
- 12 SUMMARIZES CHANGES CTAS REQUESTED PROGRAM PROJECTS. BAY AREA
- 13 COUNTIES PROPOSED NEW PROJECTS FOR FUNDING ALAMEDA, SAN MATEO,
- 14 SAN FRANCISCO, SANTA CLARA COUNTIES ADDED NEW CAPACITY ALREADY
- 15 EXISTING COMMITMENTS ALAMEDA AND SAN MATEO COUNTIES PROPOSED
- 16 USING RTIP FUNDS AS MATCHED SB1 PROJECT APPLICATIONS AND MARIN
- 17 AND SOLANO COUNTIES DID NOT PROPOSE NEW PROGRAMMING. SCHEDULED
- 18 ADOPTION 2024 STATE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM AT THEIR MARCH
- 19 MEETING IN SAN JOSE. NEXT I WOULD LIKE TO HIGHLIGHT SPECIFIC
- 20 ITEMS OF INTEREST IN THE 2024 RTIP. FIRST REGIONAL SET ASIDE
- 21 PROGRAMMING. THE ITEM IDENTIFIES MTC'S REGIONAL CAPACITY OF 46
- 22 MILLION OF WHICH THE COMMISSION ORIGINALLY RESERVED FOR THE
- 23 HOUSING INCENTIVE POLL PROGRAM SINCE RESULTS WILL NOT BE
- 24 FINALIZED UNTIL SPRING OPERATING PROGRAM PROJECTS IN THE STIP
- 25 WOULD BE PART OF 2026 RTIP FULL PROGRAMMING \$46 MILLION



- 1 REGIONAL SET ASIDE CYCLE EXPEDITING PROGRAMMING DELIVERY OF
- 2 PROJECTS. NAPA VALLEY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY AND VTA
- 3 REQUESTED ADVANCING RTIP FUNDS FORWARD 2024 RTIP. NVTA REQUEST
- 4 \$2 MILLION TO THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE FOR THE NAPA VALLEY VINE
- 5 TRAIL PROJECT FOR THE ST. HELENA TO YOUNTVILLE SEGMENT. THE
- 6 PROGRAMMING REOUEST AS RESULT OF STIP PROJECT SAVINGS FROM
- 7 SR912 TO 21 JUNCTION PROJECT WHICH RETURNED TO THE COUNTY STIP
- 8 SHARE FOR FUTURE PROGRAMMING. PROPOSED COUNTY SHARE
- 9 ADVANCEMENT IS CONSISTENT WITH ACTION TAKEN IN JUNE OF 2022.
- 10 NEXT, SB1 COMPETITIVE APPLICATION CONTINGENCY PROJECTS: IN
- 11 EVENT CTC DOES NOT RECOMMEND ALAMEDA SAN MATEO SB1 COMPETITIVE
- 12 APPLICATIONS FUNDING MTC PROPOSE SUBSTITUTE STIP PROJECTS TO
- 13 REPLACE STIP FUNDS MATCHING NOMINATED PROJECTS. MTC MAY WORK
- 14 WITH COUNTIES ON PROJECTS AND MAY RETURN TO THIS COMMITTEE VIA
- 15 STIP AMENDMENT. FINALLY, UNPROGRAMMED CAPACITY. STAFF RECEIVED
- 16 A REQUEST FROM THE CITY AND COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
- 17 OF SAN MATEO COUNTY TO PROGRAM THEIR UNPROGRAMMED BALANCE OF
- 18 2.3 MILLION. BUT MTC STAFF IS WAITING ON A FORMAL DIRECTION
- 19 CCAG TO ACCOMMODATE CHANGE. MTC STAFF WILL CONTINUE TO WORK
- 20 WITH CCAG STAFF TO ENSURE ALL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE MET
- 21 ACCORDING TO RTIP POLICIES AND PROCEDURES. IN CLOSING WITH
- 22 THAT STAFF RECOMMENDS REFERRING RESOLUTION 4603 REVISED TO THE
- 23 COMMISSION FOR APPROVAL AND DIRECT STAFF TO TRANSMIT THE DRAFT
- 24 RTIP LIST TO THE CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AFTER



1	COMMITTEE REFERRAL. THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION AND I'M
2	HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.
3	
4	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE PRESENTATION
5	I'M GOING TO SEE IF THERE IS ANY PUBLIC SPEAKERS ON THIS ITEM
6	
7	CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE ARE NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ONLINE
8	NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC IN THE BOARDROOM WHO WOULD LIKE TO
9	PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT AND NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE WAS
10	RECEIVED.
11	
12	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. WE'RE GOING TO CLOSE THE
13	PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD I'M GOING TO COLLEAGUES FOR COMMENTS OF
14	QUESTIONS. SEEING NONE. CAN I GET A MOTION TO APPROVE?
15	
16	MARGARET ABE-KOGA: MOTION. ABE-KOGA.
17	
18	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: MAY I HAVE A SECOND? BUELLER? [LAUGHTER]
19	
20	V. CHAIR, NATE MILEY: SECOND.
21	
22	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. I HAVE A SECOND FROM
23	COMMISSIONER MILEY. ROLL CALL VOTE.



1	CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU CHAIR. FIRST BY COMMISSIONER
2	ABE-KOGA AND SECOND BY VICE CHAIR MILEY. CHAIR CHAVEZ?
3	
4	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: YES.
5	
6	CLERK OF THE BOARD: VICE CHAIR MILEY?
7	
8	V. CHAIR, NATE MILEY: YES.
9	
10	CLERK OF THE BOARD: ABE-KOGA?
11	
12	MARGARET ABE-KOGA: AYE.
13	
14	CLERK OF THE BOARD: ABE-KOGA AYE. CANEPA?
15	
16	DAVID CANEPA: AYE.
17	
18	CLERK OF THE BOARD: FLEMING IS ABSENT. GLOVER IS ABSENT.
19	JOSEFOWITZ? JOSEFOWITZ AYE. COMMISSIONER PAPAN?
20	
21	GINA PAPAN: YES. YES. YES.
22	
23	CLERK OF THE BOARD: PAPAN AYE. COMMISSIONER RONEN IS ABSENT.
24	AND COMMISSIONER THAO IS ABSENT. MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY BY

25

ALL MEMBERS PRESENT.



1 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: MOVING TO ITEM FIFE A INCENTIVE HOUSING 2 3 POOL AND HOUSING ELEMENT INCLUDES MTC RESOLUTION 405 REVISED REVISION TO MTC'S ONE BAY AREA GRANT PROGRAM UPDATE HOUSING 4 5 ELEMENT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS RESOLUTIONS 4202 REVISED AND 4348 REVISED REVISIONS TO MTC'S HOUSING INCENTIVE POOL TO 6 ADOPT ELIGIBILITY AND PROGRAMMING GUIDELINES AND UPDATE OF THE 7 8 FINAL YEAR OF 2022, AND 2022 PRELIMINARY LIST JURISDICTION TO 2018 TO 2022. HELLO THOMAS. 9 10 SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR TEEING UP THIS ITEM WITH YOUR ANALOGY 11 IN THE PREVIOUS ITEM TALKING ABOUT HOUSING. I'M THOMAS, 12 FUNDING POLICY AND PROGRAM STAFF. THIS IS A COMBINED 13 PRESENTATION ON ITEM 5A, PARTS 1 AND 2, WHICH ARE INTERRELATED 14 15 AND COVER THE HOUSING INCENTIVE POOL AND MTC'S HOUSING ELEMENT 16 POLICY RELATED TO THE HIP AND FUNDING PROGRAMS. I WANT TO PREFACE THIS NOTING MTC AND ABAG STAFF HAVE BEEN COORDINATING 17 WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS ON THESE IN VARIOUS FORMS, INCLUDING 18 PRESENTATIONS IN THE BAY AREA PARTNERSHIP BOARD WORKING GROUP 19 MEETINGS AND INDIVIDUAL MEETINGS WITH JURISDICTIONS. I'LL 20 21 INTRODUCE ITEMS WITH REQUEST WITH A BRIEF REMINDER, MTC EFFORTS TO INTEGRATE AFFORDABLE HOUSING LAND USE 22 23 TRANSPORTATION FUNDING PROGRAMS. ESTABLISHING A SERIES OF AMBITIOUS STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS THE REGION'S AFFORDABLE 24

HOUSING CRISIS DETAILED ON THE SCREEN TO THE RIGHT. MTC'S ONE



- 1 BAY AREA GRANT OR OBAG PROGRAM LEVERAGES REGIONAL
- 2 DISCRETIONARY FUNDS TO IMPLEMENT THE PLAN'S INTERRELATED
- 3 TRANSPORTATION AND LAND USE GOALS SISTER THE FIRST OBAG CYCLE
- 4 IN 2013 -- SORRY. I ACCIDENTALLY MUTED MYSELF. SINCE THE FIRST
- 5 OBAG CYCLE IN 2013 JURISDICTIONS HAVE BEEN REQUIRED TO HAVE A
- 6 STATE APPROVED HOUSING ELEMENT TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR OBAG FUNDS,
- 7 STAFF CONTINUED REQUIREMENT IN OBAG THROUGH RECOGNIZING STATE
- 8 PROCESS FOR HOUSING ELEMENT APPROVAL WOULD BE MORE RIGOROUS
- 9 THIS CYCLE. IN ADDITION TO HOUSING ELEMENT REQUIREMENTS WHICH
- 10 INCENTIVE JURISDICTIONS TO PLAN FOR HOUSING THE COMMISSION
- 11 ESTABLISHED THE HOUSING INCENTIVE POOL OR HIP PROGRAM WHICH
- 12 REWARDS JURISDICTIONS WHO PRODUCE OR PRESERVE AFFORDABLE
- 13 HOUSING. TODAY'S RECOMMENDATIONS RELATED TO HIP PROGRAM AND
- 14 MTC'S HOUSING ELEMENT POLICIES WHICH ARE SEPARATE BUT AGAIN
- 15 RELATED APPROACHES TO OUR OVERALL HOUSING GOALS. NEXT SLIDE
- 16 PLEASE. GREAT. I'LL START WITH THE HOUSING INCENTIVE POOL.
- 17 THIS WAS ADOPTED IN 2018 AS A PILOT PROGRAM TO INCENTIVIZE
- 18 JURISDICTIONS TO ACCELERATE THEIR AFFORDABLE HOUSING
- 19 PRESERVATION AND PRODUCTION. THE PROGRAM WILL DISTRIBUTE \$71
- 20 MILLION IN TRANSPORTATION FUNDS TO THE TOP 15 JURISDICTIONS
- 21 THAT PRODUCED OR PRESERVED THE MOST AFFORDABLE HOUSING. TO
- 22 QUALIFY FOR THE HIP PROGRAM UNITS NEEDED TO BE DEED RESTRICTED
- 23 AFFORDABLE PRODUCED BETWEEN 2018 AND 2022, PRODUCED OR
- 24 PRESERVED BETWEEN 2018 AND 2022, LOCATED IN GROWTH GEOGRAPHIES
- 25 PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS PDAS TRANSIT PRIORITY AREAS TPAS



1	ELIGIBLE JURISDICTIONS NEED TO COMPLY WITH STATE HOUSING LAWS
2	INCLUDING STATE HOUSING ELEMENT APPROVAL NOW THE FINAL YEAR
3	HOUSING DATA IS AVAILABLE STAFF ARE BRINGING DRAFT TIP AWARDS
4	TO THE COMMITTEE FOR APPROVAL, FUND SOURCE CHANGE TO SWAP OUT
5	THE \$46 MILLION AND REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT
6	PROGRAM FUNDS WHICH ARE ORIGINALLY DEDICATED TO THE HIP
7	PROGRAM WITH OBAG AND OTHER FUNDING SOURCES WHICH WILL ALLOW
8	SPONSORS TO ACCESS THEIR AWARDS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE STAFF ARE
9	RECOMMENDING AN OPPORTUNITY FOR JURISDICTIONS TO REVIEW
10	HOUSING DATA BEFORE THE AWARDS ARE FINALIZED STAFF SENT OUT
11	HIP DATA LAST WEEK AND JURISDICTIONS WILL HAVE UNTIL THE END
12	OF NEXT MONTH TO SUBMIT ANY CORRECTIONS FINALLY FOLLOWING DATA
13	REVIEW JURISDICTIONS WOULD HAVE UNTIL THE END OF THE YEAR TO
14	SUBMIT PROJECT IDEAS TO MTC FOR PROGRAMMING AND FINALLY STAFF
15	ARE RECOMMENDING UPDATING HOUSING ELEMENT COMPLIANT POLICIES
16	FOR THE HIP PROGRAM TO ALIGN WITH THE PROGRAMS. FIRST SUMMARY
17	OF THE TOP 15 HIP RECIPIENTS BASED ON DRAFT HOUSING DATA THIS
18	IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE BASED ON CORRECTIONS THAT
19	JURISDICTIONS PROVIDE OVER THE NEXT COUPLE OF MONTHS THE CHART
20	ON THE SLIDE SHOWS AFFORDABLE HOUSING PRODUCTION BY COUNTY
21	DURING THE HIP PERIOD DARK BLUE BARS SHOW ELIGIBLE UNITS IN
22	GROWTH GEOGRAPHIES TAKE AWAY HERE IS COUNTIES PRODUCED
23	AFFORDABLE HOUSING DURING THIS PERIOD AND MANY OF THOSE UNITS
24	WILL COUNT TOWARDS THE HIP PROGRAM AND MORE DETAILS ON THE

HOUSING PRODUCTION BY YEAR AND TYPE ARE PROVIDED IN THE STAFF



- 1 REPORT. ELEMENT POLICIES CURRENT POLICY REQUIRES THAT
- 2 JURISDICTIONS HAVE THEIR HOUSING ELEMENT CERTIFIED BY
- 3 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT HCD
- 4 BY END OF THIS YEAR, DECEMBER 31ST AND OUR DEADLINE, AFTER
- 5 HCD'S ORIGINAL SUBMISSION DEADLINE AGAIN RECOGNITION THE
- 6 THRESHOLD FOR CERTIFICATION WAS SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER THIS
- 7 CYCLE HALF OF OUR JURISDICTIONS ARE STILL NOT CERTIFIED
- 8 PROCESS HAS TAKEN LONGER THAN JURISDICTIONS EXPECTED AND
- 9 REQUIRES A LOT OF STAFF TIME DURING THE PERIOD WHEN MANY
- 10 JURISDICTIONS ARE EXPERIENCING STAFF SHORTAGES HIGH TURNOVER
- 11 AS THIS OF THIS MORNING 58 OF THE 109 JURISDICTIONS NOW
- 12 CERTIFIED UP TO 53 SHOWN ON YOUR SLIDE AGAIN THE REMAINDER ARE
- 13 LIKELY TO MISS THE DEADLINE IN ADDITION NEARLY HALF OUR
- 14 JURISDICTIONS WE NEED TO COMPLETE REZONING BY END OF JANUARY
- 15 TO BECOME OR REMAIN CERTIFIED BY HCD UNDER THESE CURRENT
- 16 POLICIES JURISDICTIONS THAT MISSED THE DEADLINE WOULD LOSE
- 17 AWARDS FOR PROGRAMS. THE AMOUNT AND SHARED FUNDING FOR EACH
- 18 PROGRAM IS SHOWN IN THE CHART. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SO, TO
- 19 ADDRESS THESE ISSUES, STAFF ARE PROPOSING A ONE YEAR GRACE
- 20 PERIOD FOR HOUSING ELEMENT COMPLIANCE UNDER THE PROPOSAL
- 21 JURISDICTIONS THAT FAILED TO MEET THE DEADLINE WOULD HAVE
- 22 AWARDS WITHHELD UNTIL THEY BECOME CERTIFIED JURISDICTIONS
- 23 WOULD HAVE UNTIL NEXT DECEMBER TO RECEIVE HCD APPROVAL. END OF
- 24 GRACE PERIOD OUT OF COMPLIANCE WOULD HAVE AWARDS PERMANENTLY
- 25 RESCINDED RECOMMENDATION INCLUDES EXCEPTIONS THAT ALIGN WITH



- 1 MTC'S GOALS GIVEN FOR PDA PLANNING GRANTS THAT SPECIFICALLY
- 2 ADVANCE APPROVAL OR IMPLEMENTATION OF A JURISDICTION'S HOUSING
- 3 ELEMENT AND ALSO PROJECTS WITH ADDITIONALLY STATE OR FEDERAL
- 4 DISCRETIONARY FUNDS. STAFF ANTICIPATE THAT THESE REVISIONS
- 5 WOULD CONTINUE TO INCENTIVIZE HOUSING ELEMENT APPROVAL BY
- 6 WITHHOLDING FUND FROM NON-COMPLIANT JURISDICTIONS UNTIL THEY
- 7 RECEIVE HCD APPROVAL AND ALSO SUPPORTING REQUIRED REZONING
- 8 EFFORTS THROUGH PDA PLANNING GRANTS. PROPOSED APPROACH IS
- 9 DESIGNED TO RETAIN FUNDING FOR IMPORTANT PROJECTS THAT PROVIDE
- 10 BENEFITS TO THE REGION AROUND SAFETY EQUITY CLIMATE AND OTHER
- 11 BENEFITS. THE BALANCED PROPOSAL IS AN ATTEMPT TO RETAIN MTC'S
- 12 ORIGINAL POLICY OBJECTIVES WHILE SUPPORTING OUR PARTNER
- 13 AGENCIES AND CONTINUING TO DELIVER THESE IMPORTANT PROGRAMS.
- 14 NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. I'LL LEAVE YOU WITH A SUMMARY OF OUR
- 15 RECOMMENDED ACTIONS FOR THESE TWO ITEMS. THESE INCLUDE THE HIP
- 16 PROJECT SELECTION POLICIES AND PRELIMINARY AWARDS AND HOUSING
- 17 ELEMENT GREAT PERIOD APPROACH FOR BOTH THE HIP AND OBAG
- 18 PROGRAMS. INVOLVE REVISIONS TO VARIOUS MTC RESOLUTIONS AS
- 19 LISTED ON THE SLIDE WITH THE HIP PROGRAM OBAG 2 AND 3 AND
- 20 STAFF RECOMMEND THAT YOU REFER THESE REVISED RESOLUTIONS TO
- 21 THE COMMISSION FOR APPROVAL. WITH THAT I'M AVAILABLE FOR ANY
- 22 QUESTIONS
- 24 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANKS. FIRST I'M GOING SEE IF THERE ARE
- 25 ANY COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC



1 2 CLERK OF THE BOARD: WE HAVE ONE MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC ONLINE TO 3 PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT HOW MUCH TIME WOULD YOU LIKE TO GIVE. 4 5 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: TWO MINUTES. 6 7 CLERK OF THE BOARD: OKAY. CALLER MATT TOGGLE? 8 SPEAKER: YEAH. HI. GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU, COMMISSIONERS, FOR 9 HEARING ME. AND THANKS THOMAS FOR THE NOTICE ABOUT THIS. I'M 10 WITH SOLANO COUNTY. WE DO HAVE PROJECTS IN THE MIX. SOLANO 11 COUNTY HAS BEEN IN THE REVIEW CYCLE WITH HCD FOR ABOUT TEN 12 MONTHS. WE ARE HOPING TO HEAR ON DECEMBER 18TH THAT OUR THIRD 13 REVISION IS APPROVED. AND THAT WAS SOME MINOR CORRECTIONS FROM 14 15 THE SECOND ROUND OF REVIEW FOR US. I THINK THE ISSUE IS, WHILE 16 THIS IS A GRACE PERIOD, IT DOES PUT A FUNDING HOLD ON OUR MONEY, OUR FUNDS FROM OUR FEDERAL PROJECTS, AND WHAT THAT WILL 17 MEAN IS THAT EVEN IF WE RECEIVE HCD APPROVAL, WE HAVE TO GO TO 18 OUR PLANNING COMMISSION AND OUR BOARD, JUST LIKE EVERYONE 19 ELSE, AND THEN WE HAVE TO RESUBMIT FOR OUR FINAL 20 21 CERTIFICATION. WE DON'T ANTICIPATE GETTING THAT UNTIL MARCH OR APRIL. WHAT THAT WILL DO IS, OUR PROJECTS WILL BE REMOVED FROM 22 THE TIP EVEN AFTER APPROVAL FINAL CERTIFICATION WE WOULD THEN 23 HAVE TO RESUBMIT OUR -- A REQUEST TO GET OUR PROJECT'S TIP 24

LISTED. IF YOU CAN IMAGINE THAT CREATES QUITE A BIT OF DELAY,



- 1 AND THIS IS AN AGENCY THAT'S BEEN IN A VERY LONG TIMELINE FOR
- 2 REVIEW. I KNOW OTHER AGENCIES ARE IN THE SAME BOAT. ABOUT HALF
- 3 THE REGION. IT'S A LOT OF MONEY THAT WOULD POTENTIALLY BE
- 4 MINIMALLY PAUSED, REMOVED, AND THEN THERE IS ALSO THE FAIL
- 5 CRITERIA AT THE END OF THE CYCLE. THESE EARLY DELAYS CREATE
- 6 DELIVERY PROBLEMS AT THE END. WE HOPE THAT THIS COMMITTEE AND
- 7 THE COMMISSION WILL CONSIDER A PAUSE AT LEAST AHOLD ON THE
- 8 AGENCIES ARE IN CURRENT REVIEW OR IN THE SUBSEQUENT REVIEW
- 9 PROCESS WITH HCD. THANK YOU.

10

- 11 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU CALLER. I SEE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC
- 12 COMMENT ONLINE. THERE IS NO MEMBER IN THE BOARDROOM THAT WOULD
- 13 LIKE TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT AND NO WRITTEN CORRESPOND
- 14 RECEIVED.

15

- 16 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. I'M GOING TO FIRST LET STAFF
- 17 RESPOND TO THE CALLER THEN GO TO COMMISSIONER CANEPA,
- 18 COMMISSIONER JOSEFOWITZ.

- 20 SPEAKER: WE COULD PROBABLY TAKE A LOT OF THIS DISCUSSION
- 21 OFFLINE BUT THE COUNTY'S PROJECT THAT WOULD BE SUBJECT TO THIS
- 22 PROSED GRACE PERIOD ISN'T PROGRAMMED INTO THIS FISCAL YEAR SO
- 23 ANTICIPATE THE SOLANO TRANSIT CENTER WE'LL WORK WITH STAFF BUT
- 24 LIKELY THERE WILL NOT BE DELIVERY IMPACTS IF THEY GOT THEIR
- 25 HOUSING ELEMENT APPROVED BY APRIL.



1 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: I THINK THE QUESTION THAT THE SPEAKER 2 3 SPECIFICALLY RAISED WAS WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS SOMETHING BETWEEN FINAL CERTIFICATION AND BEING CURRENTLY IN REVIEW THAT 4 5 YOU ALL HAVE CONSIDERED? I THINK THAT'S WHAT HE WAS ASKING? 6 SPEAKER: SURE YES. SORRY. TO SPEAK TO THAT POINT, ALL 7 8 JURISDICTIONS HAVE SUBMITTED AT LEAST AN INITIAL DRAFT TO HCD PROPOSE THE EXCEPTION WOULD --9 10 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: WE GOT THAT GOING FOR US. COMMISSIONER 11 SCHAFF? 12 13 LIBBY SCHAFF: I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE HCD IS TAKING A LONG TIME 14 15 IN A LOT OF VERY WELL INTENTIONED JUDGED ACTING JURISDICTIONS 16 ARE SIMPLY VICTIMS OF THAT DELAY. ON THE OTHER HAND, I DO THINK IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT THAT MTC CONTINUE ITS LEADERSHIP 17 ROLE IN ACTUALLY PUTTING SOME TEETH ON TO HOUSING ELEMENT 18 COMPLIANCE. AND I GUESS MY OUESTION IS -- AND I WANT TO 19 ACKNOWLEDGE IT'S A LITTLE MORE WORK FOR STAFF. [LAUGHTER] --20 21 BUT WOULD THERE BE -- WHAT IMPACT IF ANY, THROUGH THE CHAIR, WOULD THERE BE IF THE GRACE PERIOD WAS SLIGHTLY AMENDED SUCH 22 23 THAT IT'S A SIX-MONTH GRACE PERIOD WITH AN AUTOMATIC REQUEST FROM STAFF TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL FOR ANOTHER SIX MONTH 24 EXTENSION AND AFTER THAT SIX MONTH PERIOD WE WOULD BE ASKING 25



- 1 YOU AS STAFF TO KIND OF CONFIRM THAT YOU BELIEVE ALL THE
- 2 EXTENSIONS THAT YOU ARE REQUESTING ARE FOR JURISDICTIONS WHO,
- 3 IN GOOD FAITH, ARE TRYING TO COMPLY. I THINK IN SIX MONTHS
- 4 WE'LL HAVE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING IF IT'S JUST BUREAUCRACY IN
- 5 SACRAMENTO, OR RESISTANCE. SECOND PART OF MY QUESTION IS KIND
- 6 OF HOLDING ON FREEZING ALLOCATIONS, THE OPPORTUNITY AT THAT
- 7 SIX MONTH PERIOD IS IF THERE REALLY ARE PEOPLE THAT ARE NOT IN
- 8 GOOD FAITH TRYING TO COMPLY WITH THE HOUSING ELEMENT, WHAT
- 9 WOULD THE POTENTIAL BE TO REALLOCATE THOSE FUNDS TO A
- 10 JURISDICTION, THAT IS? THOSE ARE MY QUESTIONS. AND I APOLOGIZE
- 11 FOR NOT WARNING YOU THAT I WAS GOING TO ASK THEM.

12

- 13 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: COMMENTS? ARE YOU PHONING A FRIEND?
- 14 [LAUGHTER] ALIX?

15

- 16 ALIX BOCKELMAN: I WANT TO MAKE SURE I'M UNDERSTANDING YOUR
- 17 PROPOSAL. YOU'RE SAYING, INSTEAD OF THE ONE-YEAR EXTENSION, WE
- 18 WOULD DO A SIX MONTH EXTENSION SO THAT WE COULD REPORT BACK TO
- 19 THIS BOARD ON PROGRESS. I ASSUME THAT WOULD STILL INCLUDE THE
- 20 STAFF PROPOSAL, WHICH IS FOLKS THAT ARE NOT IN COMPLIANCE
- 21 WOULD HAVE THEIR FUNDS REMOVED FROM THE TIP UNTIL THEY COME
- 22 INTO COMPLIANCE?

- 24 LIBBY SCHAFF: I'M NOT -- I UNDERSTAND THAT ISSUE WAS RAISED,
- 25 AND OTHER COMMISSIONERS MIGHT WANT TO ASK ABOUT THAT, BUT I'M



- 1 ACTUALLY NOT ADDRESSING THAT PARTICULAR ISSUE AT THIS TIME.
- 2 BUT, I GUESS MY DISH WOULD LIKE TO KIND OF KEEP SOME FLAME TO
- 3 THE FEET. AND I ALSO AM CURIOUS. AND SO THERE IS A SUGGESTION,
- 4 AND THERE IS A OUESTION. THE SUGGESTION IS THAT WE -- AND JUST
- 5 SO THAT PEOPLE AREN'T GOING TO HAVE A HEART ATTACK, I STILL
- 6 SEE THIS AS A ONE YEAR GRACE PERIOD. IT'S JUST AT THE SIX
- 7 MONTH POINT YOU HAVE TO GET PERMISSION TO GET YOUR GRACE
- 8 PERIOD RENEWED FOR ANOTHER SIX MONTHS. BUT, IN OTHER WORDS,
- 9 THE RESOLUTION WOULD MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THAT RENEWAL PROCESS
- 10 AUTOMATICALLY WOULD COME BEFORE THE BOARD AT THE SIX MONTH
- 11 PERIOD. SO THAT THE OVERALL INTENTION OF A ONE YEAR GRACE
- 12 PERIOD IS THERE. BUT JUST -- YOU'RE GOING TO KNOW MORE AT SIX
- 13 MONTHS ABOUT WHO IS REALLY TRYING AND WHO ISN'T. AND WE NEED
- 14 TO MAINTAIN OUR LEADERSHIP AS REALLY TAKING RHNA SERIOUSLY AND
- 15 PUTTING TEETH TO IT. AND I WOULD HATE FOR THOSE RESOURCES, OUR
- 16 GRANT FUNDS, TO GO UNUTILIZED IN THE MIDDLE OF A HOUSING
- 17 CRISIS WHILE WE WAIT AN EXTRA SIX MONTHS FOR JURISDICTIONS
- 18 THAT WE KNOW ARE NOT MAKING GOOD FAITH EFFORTS. TO THE EXTENT
- 19 THERE MIGHT BE. THAT'S MY PROPOSAL THEN THE QUESTION WHETHER
- 20 OR NOT THERE IS A PROCESS FOR REALLOCATING THOSE SUSPENDED
- 21 FRONT FUNDS?
- 23 ALIX BOCKELMAN: I'M GOING TO SEE IF DANIEL OR THOMAS WOULD TO
- 24 ADD IN. WE DID LOOK AT MAKING THE PERIOD SHORTER SO WE DON'T
- 25 LAND ON ANOTHER YEAR.



1	
2	SPEAKER: WE DID MEET WITH SOME JURISDICTIONS THAT WERE ON THE
3	LAGGING END OF HOUSING APPROVAL AND SIX MONTHS WOULD BE
4	CUTTING IT CLOSE FOR SOME JURISDICTIONS WE THINK EVERYONE
5	SHOULD HAVE A FAIR SHOT WITHIN A YEAR OF GETTING CERTIFIED.
6	WE'RE ALREADY 11 MONTHS AFTER THE INITIAL SUBMISSION DATE AT
7	OUR CURRENT DEADLINE MAYBE DANIEL CAN CLARIFY SOME OF THE
8	CHALLENGES THAT JURISDICTIONS ARE HAVING.
9	
10	LIBBY SCHAFF: YOU'RE NOT ACTUALLY GETTING TO MY QUESTION, IF
11	YOU DON'T MIND. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THERE ARE JURISDICTIONS
12	THAT REALLY AREN'T DO YOU BELIEVE THAT A YEAR FROM NOW
13	WE'RE GOING TO HAVE JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE NOT IN COMPLIANCE
14	OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT?
15	
16	SPEAKER: I WOULD DEFER TO CAN YELL ON THAT ONE. I WOULD SAY IN
17	OUR MEETINGS WITH STAFF, IT I DON'T KNOW REALLY WANT TO
18	OPINE ON GOOD FAITH EFFORT BUT JURISDICTIONS ARE TRYING TO GET
19	THEIR HOUSING ELEMENTS CERTIFIED.
20	
21	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: IT'S BEEN A CHALLENGE. YEAH.
22	
23	SPEAKER: DANIEL DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING.



DANIEL SAVER: THROUGH THE CHAIR THIS IS DANIEL SAVER ASSISTANT 1 2 DIRECTOR FOR HOUSING AND LOCAL PLANNING. ONE OF THE FEATURES 3 OF THE CHALLENGE, WE HAVE JURISDICTIONS AT THIS POINT THAT HAVE SUBMITTED A DRAFT IT'S COMMON ACROSS THE STATE FOR THERE 4 5 TO BE AN ITERATIVE PROCESS WITH STATE REGULATORS TO GET THOSE DRAFTS APPROVED SO WE'RE NOT OUT OF THE ORDINARY HERE IN THE 6 BAY AREA. ADDITIONAL COMPLICATION AS THOMAS NOTED IN THE 7 8 PRESENTATION IS THAT AT THE END OF JANUARY OF 2024, MANY JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE ACHIEVED CERTIFICATION WILL ALSO NEED 9 TO COMPLETE REZONING. SO WE DO ANTICIPATE KIND OF EARLY IN 10 2024 HAVING A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHETHER WE HAVE SOME 11 JURISDICTIONS THAT MAY CURRENTLY BE IN COMPLIANCE BUT ARE AT 12 RISK OF FALLING OUT OF COMPLIANCE. AND SO ALLOWING KIND OF 13 EXTRA TIME, A FULL YEAR THERE, ALSO, GIVES MORE FLEXIBILITY 14 FOR JURISDICTIONS THAT NEED MORE TIME TO COMPLETE THE 15 16 REZONING. 17 LIBBY SCHAFF: ALL RIGHT BUT I'M NOT SURE THAT YOU GUYS ARE 18 HEARING MY OUESTION. I'M STILL SUGGESTING A ONE YEAR PERIOD. 19 I'M SUGGESTING THAT HALFWAY THROUGH THAT ONE YEAR PERIOD WE 20 HAVE A CHECK IN. YOU KNOW, HAVE A, WE'RE REALLY LOOKING AT YOU 21 TO SEE IF YOU'RE SERIOUS ABOUT THIS. [LAUGHTER] I MEAN, YOU'RE 22 NOT -- YOU'RE DECLINING TO ANSWER MY OUESTION ABOUT WHETHER OR 23 NOT YOU BELIEVE THERE ARE ANY JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE ACTUALLY 24

NOT GOING TO GET INTO COMPLIANCE WITHIN A YEAR.



1 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: MAY I FOLLOW UP -- GO AHEAD ALIX. 2 3 ALIX BOCKELMAN: I WAS GOING TO SAY IF THIS COMMITTEE WOULD 4 5 LIKE TO DO THE SIX -- IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO DO THAT WE CAN COME BACK AND PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION IN SIX MONTHS IT'S REALLY UP 6 TO THIS BODY. WE'RE NOT CONCERNED ABOUT ADDITIONAL WORK WE 7 8 WANT TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY HAS GOOD INFORMATION ABOUT PROGRESS. IN FACT I THINK THROUGH VARIOUS OTHER COMMITTEES 9 10 WE'RE PROVIDING ONGOING UPDATES ON COMPLIANCE. SO, I STILL DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S YOUR QUESTION, BUT WE'RE OPEN TO GETTING 11 DIRECTION FROM THIS BODY TO TAKE ADDITIONAL TRANCHES WITH SOME 12 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. 13 14 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: I THINK ONE OF THE QUESTIONS -- SO I'M --15 16 YEAH, IT WAS REALLY FUNNY EVERYONE WAS SHAKING THEIR HEADS IN DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS IT WAS CONFUSING FOR A MOMENT FROM YOU 17 TWO. IF YOU COULD RESPOND TO OTHER OUESTIONS, I THINK THE MAIN 18 ISSUE IS -- I THINK WHAT COMMISSIONER SCHAFF IS ASKING THAT 19 MAKES A LOT OF SENSE IS WITH THE SIX MONTH CHECK IN 20 21 MAINTAINING THE ONE YEAR, DOES IT INCENTIVE SOME JURISDICTIONS TO BE MORE URGENT BECAUSE THEY DO SEE THAT THEY HAVE OBAG 22 MONEY COMING? THAT'S REALLY WHAT THE OUESTION IS. LET YOU 23 NOODLE ON THAT. I'LL GO COMMISSIONER CANEPA, THEN JOSEFOWITZ, 24

25

THEN YOU GINA.



1

2	DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE PRESENTATION. I
3	THINK WE SHOULD MOVE FORWARD WITH A GRACE PERIOD. I'M GOING TO
4	SAY THIS. I UNDERSTAND WHERE PEOPLE ARE COMING FROM. THE SIX
5	MONTHS MAKES SENSE TO ME. I THINK WE HAVE A REAL PROBLEM HERE.
6	WE HAVE 56 CITIES THAT ARE UNCERTIFIED. THE OTHER ISSUE
7	THAT'S, SORT OF, IN THE BACKDROP IS, YOU KNOW, WHAT HAPPENS IN
8	FEBRUARY. I GUESS WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND FROM STAFF
9	IS, HOW DID WE COME UP WITH THIS ONE YEAR GRACE PERIOD?
10	
11	SPEAKER: THANK YOU. WE MET WITH JURISDICTIONS ON THE LAGGING
12	EDGE OF GETTING HOUSING ELEMENTS APPROVED.
13	
14	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: HE'S LOOKING AT ME BY THE WAY
15	
16	SPEAKER: NOT ON PURPOSE.
17	
18	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: [LAUGHTER]
19	
20	SPEAKER: SIX MONTHS WOULD BE CUTTING IT CLOSE FOR A FEW
21	JURISDICTIONS. NINE MONTHS WOULD PROBABLY BE ENOUGH BUT AGAIN
22	IT'S HARD TO PREDICT THIS ITERATIVE PROCESS WITH HCD SO WE
23	FELT A YEAR WAS A SAFE WOULD CAPTURE EVERYONE WHO IS MAKING
24	GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO MAKE THEIR HOUSING ELEMENT.
25	



DAVID CANEPA: COULD YOU TALK ABOUT THE ECONOMIC IMPACT IN 1 REGARDS TO FUNDING? WHAT THAT NUMBER LOOKS LIKE? IN THE CASE, 2 3 YOU KNOW, THERE ISN'T COMPLIANCE? 4 5 SPEAKER: SURE. SO, I CAN GET BACK TO YOU WITH MORE DETAILS IF YOU DON'T HAVE THE NUMBERS YOU'RE LOOKING FOR. BUT IT WAS 6 AROUND \$115 MILLION THAT WOULD BE RESCINDED UNDER THE CURRENT 7 8 POLICY, JUST ABOUT HALF OF THE JURISDICTIONS IF WE JUST DID NOTHING WOULD LOSE THEIR FUNDING. AND THEN A SMALLER SUBSET OF 9 THAT, JURISDICTIONS ARE PLANNING TO USE IN THE NEXT YEAR. SO 10 THAT ARE THE PROJECTS THAT WOULD HAVE OPPORTUNITY TO BE 11 DELAYED, ESSENTIALLY, RIGHT? BECAUSE THEY'RE PLANNING ON USING 12 THE MONEY THIS YEAR AND WE WOULD BE WITHHOLDING IT. I THINK 13 THAT'S SOMEWHERE TO THE TUNE OF \$20 MILLION, IF THAT HELPS 14 15 ANSWER THE OUESTION? 16 DAVID CANEPA: YEAH. SO, THOSE ARE REALLY SIGNIFICANT NUMBERS. 17 YOU KNOW, I HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUES, AND I AGREE WITH THEM 18 OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE TO MOVE. SOME CITIES THERE HAS TO BE SOME 19 URGENCY. I HAVE SOME EMPATHY TO SOME CITIES THAT MAY NOT HAVE 20 21 THE TECHNICAL EXPERTISE OR THERE MAY BE A DELAY. I'M HOPEFUL. I'M ALSO OPTIMISTIC THAT CITIES ARE REALLY TRYING TO FIGURE 22 THIS OUT AND TO MOVE INTO THE RIGHT DIRECTION. DIRECTION OF 23 COMPLIANCE. IF YOU ARE A LARGER CITY, YOU HAVE THE STAFFING, 24

YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO PUT ALL OF THIS TOGETHER, RIGHT?



- 1 REALLY, MAYBE A LITTLE BIT EASIER THAN IF YOU ARE A CITY THAT
- 2 MAY NOT HAVE THE STAFFING LEVELS, OR YOU OUTSOURCE YOUR
- 3 STAFFING. YOU KNOW, WHERE I LAND ON THIS IS, REALLY, I THINK
- 4 WHAT YOU PUT FORTH TODAY MAKES A LOT OF SENSE. BUT I DO
- 5 BELIEVE THAT YOU MAY HAVE TO CHECK IN WITH US, WHETHER IT'S
- 6 THE SIX MONTHS. BUT IT MAY BE A YEAR. THERE MAY BE SOME THAT
- 7 MAY NOT BE COMPLIANT. AND I WOULD BE CURIOUS TO KNOW WHY,
- 8 AFTER THE GRACE PERIOD. MAYBE IT'S INTENTIONAL. MAYBE IT'S NOT
- 9 INTENTIONAL. BUT I THINK WHAT'S BEFORE US IS TRULY A BALANCED
- 10 APPROACH. IT'S AN APPROACH THAT I COULD SUPPORT AND THAT I
- 11 UNDERSTAND IN TERMS OF THE STAFF THINKING YEAH, WE HAVE --
- 12 THIS IS STATE LAW, RIGHT, WHEN WE TALK ABOUT HCD. THESE ARE
- 13 STATE REQUIREMENTS. BUT AT THE SAME TIME, JUST WANT TO MAKE
- 14 SURE WITH THE CITIES THAT WE CONTINUE TO WORK WITH THEM AND
- 15 REALLY GETTING THEM IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. I THINK WHAT'S
- 16 BEFORE US IS QUITE REASONABLE IN GETTING US THERE.
- 18 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: LIBBY WANTED TO CLARIFY A LITTLE BIT.
- 20 LIBBY SCHAFF: I SAW COMMISSIONER PAPAN'S HEAD SHAKING A BIT. I
- 21 HAVE -- I'M -- I'M ANTICIPATING WHAT YOUR CONCERNS ARE, AND
- 22 I'M GOING TO TRY AND ADDRESS THEM. COMMISSIONER PAPAN, THOUGH,
- 23 YOU CAN LISTEN TO ME? SINCE I'M -- THIS IS FOR YOU. [LAUGHTER]
- 24 HERE IS ANOTHER WAY TO ADDRESS MY CONCERN, IN A WAY THAT MIGHT
- 25 BE LESS TROUBLESOME TO PEOPLE WHICH IS WE KEEP IT A ONE YEAR

17



GRACE PERIOD. BUT WE REQUEST THAT YOU REPORT TO US IN SIX 1 MONTHS ON EVERYONE'S PROGRESS. IN ADDITION TO REPORTING ON THE 2 3 PROGRESS TOWARDS CERTIFICATION OF HOUSING ELEMENTS COULD YOU ALSO AT THAT TIME REPORT ON ANY STRATEGIC EXCEPTIONS BECAUSE I 4 5 THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR US TO HAVE THE ASSURANCE THAT THESE STRATEGIC EXCEPTIONS ARE NOT UNDERMINING OUR OVERALL 6 POLICY. NOW, AT THAT TIME, AT THAT SIX MONTH CHECK IN, THEN IT 7 8 WOULD BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR US IF WE BELIEVE THAT THE ONE YEAR WAS TOO LONG FOR CERTAIN JURISDICTIONS, WE ALWAYS COULD TAKE 9 ACTION TAD AT THAT TIME, I BELIEVE THAT WOULD ADDRESS MY 10 CONCERNS ARE AND ON PROGRESS TOWARDS CERTIFICATION AND THEN 11 STILL ONE YEAR GRACE PERIOD AND I'M HOPING THAT WILL MAKE 12 COMMISSIONER PAPAN SHAKE HER HEAD LESS. 13 14 15 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: COMMISSIONER JOSEFOWITZ? 16 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: YOU CAN FIND IS THERE ANYTHING IN COMMON THAT 17 MANY OF THE JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE NOT IN COMPLIANCE SHARE AND 18 THOSE IN COMPLIANCE SHARE? I COULDN'T HELP BUT NOTICE THAT 90% 19 OF THE HIP FUNDING, WHICH IS AFFORDABLE HOUSING BUILT NEAR 20 TRANSIT WAS GOING TO JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE IN COMPLIANCE 21 WHICH MIGHT INDICATE THAT WHILE THERE MIGHT BE SOME PEOPLE WHO 22

ARE JUST STUCK IN A PROCESS, THERE ALSO SEEMS TO BE SOMETHING

ABOUT JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE COMING INTO COMPLIANCE, PRODUCING

23



MORE AFFORDABLE -- PRODUCING AND PRESERVING MORE AFFORDABLE 1 2 HOUSING NEAR TRANSIT. 3 SPEAKER: THROUGH THE CHAIR. THANK YOU COMMISSIONER. I CAN 4 5 START OUT AND MAYBE DANIEL CAN HELP ME OUT WITH THIS ONE TOO. THERE WERE TWO THEMES, ONE WAS STAFFING AND THE OTHER WAS 6 ABILITY TO MOVE THIS ALONG AS OUICKLY AS NEEDED. NOT REALLY 7 8 WILL, BUT RESOURCES TO DO IT THEN WE HAVE RESPONSIVENESS FROM HCD AROUND ADVOCACY AND HOUSING ELEMENTS. HOUSING ELEMENTS 9 10 HAVE RECEIVED PARTICULAR ATTENTION FROM ADVOCATES AND HAVE REQUIRED MORE CYCLES TO ADDRESS THOSE COMMENTS. BUT DANIEL 11 MIGHT WANT TO ADD MORE. 12 13 DANIEL SAVER: THROUGH THE CHAIR, I CONCUR WITH THOSE POINTS 14 15 AND TO UNDERSCORE THE CAPACITY ISSUE WE HAVE MANY LOCAL 16 GOVERNMENTS THAT ARE EXTREMELY STRAINED IN TERMS OF THEIR STAFF CAPACITIES, WITH VACANCIES, THERE IS ALSO CHALLENGES IN 17 THE CONSULTING MARKET WE HAVE JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE PUT OUT 18 RFPS FOR HOUSING CONSULTANTS AND GOT NO BIDS ON THEM. I WOULD 19 NOTE A TREND, THERE WERE JURISDICTIONS THAT DIDN'T GET STARTED 20 21 IN THIS PROCESS UNTIL OUITE LATE FOR THOSE JURISDICTIONS IT'S 22 CHALLENGING TO MEET TIMELINES BECAUSE THERE IS A LOT OF ANTICIPATED BACK AND FORTH IN THE STATUTORY STRUCTURE. YOU 23 HAVE TO SUBMIT A DRAFT. HCD HAS 90 DAYS TO COMMENT ON THE 24

DRAFT. THEN YOU HAVE TO SUBMIT A FINAL. HCD HAS 60ED DAYS TO



- 1 COMMENT ON EACH FINAL SUBMITTED DRAFT SO GETTING A LATE START
- 2 IS GOING TO -- WE SEE A LOT OF JURISDICTIONS CHALLENGED THERE,
- 3 DISADVANTAGED BY NOT HOPPING ON THIS EARLY. THAT MAY BE A
- 4 CONSEQUENCE OF THEIR STAFFING CONSEQUENCE AND ABILITY TO DO
- 5 SO.

6

- 7 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: PLEASANTON IS CERTIFIED, DUBLIN IS NOT ORINDA
- 8 IS, LAFAYETTE IS NOT. THERE ARE? CERTIFIED SOME ARE NOT.
- 9 SPECIFICALLY TALKING TO THE HIP ALLOCATION, IS IT A
- 10 COINCIDENCE THOSE ARE THE CERTIFIED PRODUCING ALL THE REGIONS
- 11 AFFORDABLE HOUSING NEAR TRANSIT? IS THAT SOMETHING INDICATIVE
- 12 THOSE ARE THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE JURISDICTIONS NOT GETTING
- 13 CERTIFIED TODAY AND ARE ALSO NOT ONES WHO ARE ENABLING
- 14 AFFORDABLE HOUSING NEAR TRANSIT?

15

- 16 SPEAKER: THROUGH THE CHAIR, I WOULD SAY THE HIP PROGRAM IS
- 17 CHARACTERIZE BY SAN FRANCISCO RECEIVING 50% IF YOU ARE LOOKING
- 18 AT PERCENTAGES MAYBE SET THAT ASIDE AS A UNIQUE CASE. BUT I
- 19 DON'T THINK I'M PREPARED TO COMMENT ON WHETHER OR NOT THE HIP
- 20 JURISDICTIONS ARE DOING BETTER ON THEIR HOUSING ELEMENT
- 21 PROCESS. BUT THAT'S SOMETHING TO LOOK INTO.

- 23 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: BECAUSE I KNOW FOR INSTANCE IN SAN FRANCISCO
- 24 THE REASON WE MIGHT NOT HAVE COME INTO COMPLIANCE WITH OUR
- 25 HOUSING ELEMENT, OBVIOUSLY NOT TO DO WITH STAFFING, PLENTY OF



- 1 THAT -- IT WAS VERY MUCH DUE TO A DESIRE NOT TO COME INTO
- 2 COMPLIANCE BY CERTAIN, SORT OF, MEMBERS OF THE -- DECISION
- 3 MAKERS, I SHOULD SAY. [LAUGHTER] WHO HAD A ROLE TO PLAY HERE.
- 4 AND I THINK, FORTUNATELY, WE ARE CURRENTLY IN COMPLIANCE, BUT
- 5 IT FEELS LIKE A WEEK TO WEEK THING SOMETIMES. AND NOT BECAUSE
- 6 I THINK HCD DELAYS OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. I'M -- I APPRECIATE
- 7 THAT THERE ARE SOME JURISDICTIONS, MAYBE MANY JURISDICTIONS
- 8 THAT ARE VERY -- THAT HAVE DONE EVERYTHING THAT THEY CAN. THIS
- 9 IS A COMPLICATED PROCESS. IT IS THE FIRST TIME THAT THIS IS
- 10 HAPPENING, WITH THE LEVEL OF INTENSITY THAT IT'S HAPPENING.
- 11 SOME JURISDICTIONS MAY NOT HAVE APPRECIATED THAT THIS WAS
- 12 GOING TO BE AS COMPLICATED AND DIFFICULT AS IT WAS. BUT, I AM
- 13 NOT GOING TO VOTE FOR, JUST A, SORT OF, BLANKET ONE MONTH, ONE
- 14 YEAR EXTENSION. I THINK THAT WE SHOULD BE FINDING A WAY TO
- 15 KIND OF ALIGN OUR EXTENSIONS WITH THE KIND OF THE -- WITH THE,
- 16 SORT OF, -- AND HAVE, SORT OF, CHECK-INS LONG THE WAY TO MAKE
- 17 SURE THAT WE ARE PROVIDING THE, SORT OF, THE APPROPRIATE --
- 18 THE INCENTIVE THAT WE HAD INTENDED TO PROVIDE BY PUTTING THIS
- 19 REOUIREMENT IN FOR THE CITIES TO COMPLY WITH STATE LAW. AND I
- 20 KNOW THAT IN SAN FRANCISCO, THE THREAT OF LOSING FUNDING WAS
- 21 ONE OF THE BIG INCENTIVES FOR SAN FRANCISCO TO COME INTO
- 22 COMPLIANCE WITH STATE HOUSING ELEMENTS. OF COURSE, BUILDERS
- 23 REMEDY BEING ONE OF THEM. AND I FEEL THAT WE ARE, TO A CERTAIN
- 24 EXTENT, UNDERMINING STATE LAW BY GRANTING THIS UNILATERAL
- 25 EXTENSION. COULD YOU GIVE ME -- COULD YOU ALSO HELP ME



- UNDERSTAND WHAT THE -- THERE WAS TWO EXEMPTIONS, THE PA 1 2 PLANNING GRANTS, OBVIOUSLY HOUSING ELEMENTS MAKES SENSE, THE 3 STATE FEDERAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDS HOW MUCH ARE WE TALKING ABOUT THERE AND WHAT ARE SOME OF THESE PROJECTS? 4 5 SPEAKER: YES. CERTAINLY, THROUGH THE CHAIR. I BELIEVE THERE 6 ARE FIVE PROJECTS THAT QUALIFY FOR THAT EXEMPTION. AND THEY 7 8 TOTAL AROUND ABOUT \$40 MILLION AND WE WOULD BE, SORT OF, PRESERVING A SIMILAR AMOUNT, ABOUT \$40 MILLION OF OTHER 9 COMPETITIVE FUND FIGURE WE WERE TO REMOVE THAT FUNDING FROM 10 THE TIP, THAT WOULD JEOPARDIZE THE STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS TO 11 THOSE PROJECTS AND THEREFORE TO THE REGION. 12 13 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: IF YOU COULD FOLLOW UP WITH US WHAT THOSE 14 15 PROJECTS ARE, THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL. 16 SPEAKER: ABSOLUTELY. 17 18 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GINA? 19 20 21 GINA PAPAN: THANK YOU.
- 23 LYNDA HOPKINS: LOOK THE REALITY FOR THOSE IN THE REALM RIGHT
- 24 NOW, IS HCD IS UNDERSTAFFED, FIRST AND FOREMOST, AND THEY KEEP
- 25 CHANGING STAFF, WHEN A CITY COMPLIES THEN THEY KEEP CHANGING



- 1 THE RULES. I CAN TELL YOU FOR A FACT THAT LEGISLATORS ARE
- 2 TRYING TO DEAL WITH HCD BECAUSE THEY KEEP CHANGING THE RULES,
- 3 AND THIS BOARD SHOULD NOT BE CHANGING -- HCD ACTUALLY HAS AN
- 4 ENFORCEMENT ASPECT TO IT. THEY SHOULD BE WORKING CLOSELY WITH
- 5 JURISDICTIONS TO GET PEOPLE INTO ON COMPLIANCE. BUT THE FACT
- 6 IS THEY HAVE BEEN COMPLETELY INCONSISTENT. WHEN PEOPLE SUBMIT
- 7 THEIR ELEMENTS THEY HAVE BEEN PASTING AND COPYING RESPONSES
- 8 THAT MAKE NO SENSE, WHATSOEVER. SO, PLEASE, UNDERSTAND MOST
- 9 EVERY JURISDICTION IS TRYING TO COMPLY. AND AS DANIEL SAID
- 10 EARLIER, ACTUALLY GETTING QUALIFIED STAFF TO HELP A
- 11 JURISDICTION HAS BEEN DIFFICULT. HAS BEEN VERY, VERY
- 12 DIFFICULT. WE ALL HAVE THOUSANDS OF HOUSING UNITS WHICH ARE
- 13 INSTALLED, THAT WE WANT TO MOVE ALONG HERE. SO, A YEAR, I
- 14 THINK ONE OF THE SLIDES THAT WAS PRESENTED -- I THINK FOSTER
- 15 CITY WAS GIVEN A YEAR BY HCD. SO WHY ARE WE COULD HOLIER THAN
- 16 THOU, TO SAY, AND ASSUME THAT JURISDICTION JURISDICTIONS ARE
- 17 NOT TRYING THEIR HARDEST TO COMPLY, BECAUSE I ASSURE YOU THEY
- 18 REALLY ARE. IF YOU WANT A SIX-MONTH CHECK-IN, FINE. I HAVE NO
- 19 PROBLEM WITH THAT. BUT FIND OUT EXACTLY WHY. THAT IS ONLY FAIR
- 20 AND APPROPRIATE HERE. THIS BODY IS NOT THE ONE TO ENFORCE
- 21 STATE LAW. HCD IS DOING THAT. THAT'S WHAT THEY ARE TRYING TO
- 22 DO. FUNDING IS DIRECTLY TIED TO THAT. AND WE ALL WANT THE
- 23 FUNDING. TRUST ME. WE WANT TO MAKE THESE THINGS HAPPEN. I HAVE
- 24 GOT 488 UNITS INSTALLED, BECAUSE OF HIGH SPEED RAIL. IT'S
- 25 TOTALLY APPROVED. SO, LET'S NOT BE PRESUMPTUOUS IN OUR



- 1 ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT JURISDICTIONS. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A
- 2 SIX MONTH CHECK-IN, I THINK THAT'S FINE. BUT IT LOOKED LIKE,
- 3 FROM THE SLIDES, THAT HCD IS EXTENDING SOME OF THE COMPLIANCE
- 4 PERIODS, AND WE NEED TO RESPECT THAT. WE DO NOT NEED TO ADD
- 5 ADDITIONAL PRESSURE TO THE JURISDICTIONS. BECAUSE, BELIEVE ME,
- 6 WE'RE ALL TRYING TO FIGURE OUT -- JURISDICTIONS ARE SPENDING
- 7 THOUSANDS -- ALMOST HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS TO
- 8 COMPLY. AND YOU NEED TO REALIZE THAT, THAT'S FUNDING THAT CAN
- 9 BE USED IN SO MANY DIFFERENT WAYS FOR OUR JURISDICTIONS. SO,
- 10 LET'S NOT BE PRESUMPTUOUS. IF YOU WANT SIX MONTH CHECK-INS,
- 11 THAT'S TOTALLY FINE, BUT DO NOT INSERT OURSELVES INTO THE
- 12 PROCESS WHICH HCD HAS RIGHT NOW, THEY HAVE ENFORCEMENT ARM. SO
- 13 LET'S BE FAIR AND APPROPRIATE HERE HOW WE PROGRESS. SO, THE
- 14 STAFF REPORT, I THINK IS APPROPRIATE. ONE YEAR, IF YOU WOULD
- 15 LIKE TO ADD IN SOME, SORT OF, SIX-MONTH CHECK-IN TO BE
- 16 EDUCATED, BECAUSE I'M SURE YOU WILL FIND OUT WHY SOME
- 17 JURISDICTIONS HAVEN'T HAD THE CERTIFICATION YET. AND A LOT OF
- 18 IT IS RELATED TO HCD STAFF. SO, PLEASE, THE FACTS ARE VERY
- 19 SIMPLE WHEN YOU'RE IN THE PROCESS. WHEN YOU ARE OUTSIDE
- 20 LOOKING IN, WE'RE MAKING A LOT OF ASSUMPTIONS THAT DO NOT
- 21 EXIST.

- 23 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: GINA THANK YOU. AND I KNOW I MADE YOU
- 24 WAIT. WE'RE GOING LOSE OUR QUORUM IN 30 SECONDS. WHAT I WANT
- 25 TO DO IS, I STILL HAVE FOLKS WHO WANT TO WEIGH IN. I'M GOING



- 1 TO ASK COMMISSIONER SCHAFF AND COMMISSIONER MILEY TO BE BRIEF
- 2 AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A VOTE.

3

- 4 LIBBY SCHAFF: ALL RIGHT. I THINK THE GOT THE QUESTION. THE SO,
- 5 A SIX MONTH CHECK-IN. I THINK WE MIGHT WANT TO NOTICE IT NOT
- 6 JUST AS AN INFORMATIONAL REPORT, BUT AS A REPORT WITH POSSIBLE
- 7 ACTION SO THAT WE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO REAFFIRM THE POLICY
- 8 WITH REGARD TO THE ONE-YEAR -- THE -- BOTH THE EXCEPTIONS THAT
- 9 ARE BEING GRANTED. SO I JUST WANT IT NOTED SUCH THAT WE CAN --
- 10 PART OF THE IDEA IS TO REAFFIRM THAT THOSE POLICIES ARE
- 11 WORKING. SO IT'S A CHECK-IN, IT'S A REAFFIRMING OF THE POLICY.
- 12 AND THAT ADDRESSES MY CONCERNS. THANK YOU.

13

- 14 V. CHAIR, NATE MILEY: YEAH. I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT I WOULD
- 15 BE REMISS IF I DIDN'T WEIGH IN. BECAUSE IN ALAMEDA COUNTY, OUR
- 16 PLANNING DIRECTOR, PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR, WE WANT TO COMPLY.
- 17 IT'S A STAFFING ISSUE. AND IT'S A WORKLOAD ISSUE.

18

- 19 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: AND IT'S AN INCONSISTENCY ISSUE. IT'S ALL
- 20 THE THINGS. OKAY. SO, MAY I GET A MOTION ON THE FLOOR?

- 22 DAVID CANEPA: THROUGH THE CHAIR. YOU CAN CLARIFY -- I'M SORRY.
- 23 CAN YOU CLARIFY THAT AGAIN, I JUST WANT TO UNDERSTAND, IT'S A
- 24 YEAR GRACE PERIOD, AND THEN IT'S JUST A CHECK-IN. MEANING
- 25 THERE IS NO ACTION, JUST A STAFF REPORT BACK TO US? THANK YOU.



1	
2	LIBBY SCHAFF: I'M NOT A VOTING MEMBER, JUST A COMMENT, ASK FOR
3	STAFF TO FORWARD THE RECOMMENDATION TO THE COMMISSION AND
4	REQUEST IN SIX MONTHS A REPORT ON JURISDICTIONS STILL WITHOUT
5	CERTIFICATION, AND WHICH EXCEPTIONS HAVE BEEN GRANTED WITH AN
6	OPPORTUNITY TO REAFFIRM THOSE POLICIES.
7	
8	DAVID CANEPA: OKAY. OF I'LL MOVE THAT ITEM.
9	
10	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: WE HAVE A MOTION AND WE HAVE A SECOND.
11	THANK YOU. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION? I'M GOING TO
12	ASK FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE.
13	
14	CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU, CHAIR. WITH A FIRST BY
15	COMMISSIONER CANEPA, AND SECOND BY COMMISSIONER MILEY. CHAIR
16	CHAVEZ?
17	
18	CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: AYE.
19	
20	CLERK OF THE BOARD: VICE CHAIR MILEY?
21	
22	V. CHAIR, NATE MILEY: AYE.
23	
24	CLERK OF THE BOARD: ABE-KOGA?



MARGARET ABE-KOGA: AYE. 1 2 3 CLERK OF THE BOARD: COMMISSIONER CANEPA? 4 5 DAVID CANEPA: AYE. 6 7 CLERK OF THE BOARD: COMMISSIONER FLEMING IS ABSENT. 8 COMMISSIONER GLOVER IS ABSENT. COMMISSIONER JOSEFOWITZ? 9 10 NICK JOSEFOWITZ: NAY. 11 CLERK OF THE BOARD: COMMISSIONER JOSEFOWITZ NAY. COMMISSIONER 12 PAPAN? 13 14 GINA PAPAN: PAPAN AYE. AND COMMISSIONER RONEN IS ABSENT. 15 16 COMMISSIONER THAO IS ABSENT. THAT IS FIVE AYES, ONE NAY, AND FOUR ABSENT. THE MOTION PASSES. 17 18 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: THANK YOU. WE'RE GOING TO GO TO ITEM SIX. 19 CAN WE STILL MEET? DID OUR MEETING JUST END? ACTUALLY ONE 20 21 OTHER PERSON JUST LEFT. 22 23 KATHLEEN KANE: THE WAY WE HAVE BEEN DOING THIS IS TO SAY THAT FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO HAVE TUNED IN FOR INFORMATIONAL 24



ITEM WE CAN GO AHEAD WITH THE PRESENTATION BUT THERE SHOULDN'T 1 2 BE EXTENDED COLLOQUY WITH REMAINING MEMBERS. COMMITTEE. 3 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: IF IT'S OKAY, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE OUR 4 5 PRESENTATION ON THE CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AND 6 THEN WRAP UP WITH PUBLIC COMMENT. 7 8 SPEAKER: THANK YOU COMMISSIONERS I'LL MAKE IT BRIEF. CTC IN THE LAST WEEK IN RIVERSIDE AND I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT ONE GOOD 9 NEWS STORY. WE GOT \$56 MILLION ALLOCATED TO THE REGION FROM 10 THE LOCAL TRANSPORTATION CLIMATE ADAPTATION PROGRAM. A NEW 11 PROGRAM WITH STATE AND FEDERAL FUNDS. 6 MILLION TO A BART 12 PROJECT, AND 50 MILLION FOR THE SR37 PROJECT IN THE NORTH BAY. 13 SO, I WANTED TO REPORT ON THAT. THE NEXT MEETING WILL BE AT 14 15 THE END OF JANUARY IN MODESTO. THANK YOU. 16 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: EXCELLENT. THANK YOU. AND THEN WE'RE 17 GOING SEE IF ARE THERE ANY PUBLIC SPEAKERS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT 18 19 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE IS NO PUBLIC COMMENT ONLINE. NO 20 21 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC IN THE ROOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO PROVIDE 22 PUBLIC COMMENT AND NO WRITTEN CORRESPOND WAS RECEIVED. 23 CHAIR, CINDY CHAVEZ: CLOSING PUBLIC COMMENT. I'M GOING TO 24

ADJOURN OUR MEETING. THANK YOU ALL VERY, VERY MUCH. STAFF DID



- 1 A GREAT JOB. AND ANYTHING ON CONSENT, IT WAS EASY TO READ AND
- 2 WE WEREN'T GOING TO TORTURE YOU ALL. THANK YOU, ALL.
- 3 [ADJOURNED]





Broadcasting Government