

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL 1 FRIDAY, JANUARY 22, 2021, 2:00 PM 2 3 CLERK OF THE BOARD: BROADCASTING TEAM, YOU CAN PLEASE PLAY THE 4 5 ANNOUNCEMENT? [RECORDED MEETING PROCEDURES ANNOUNCEMENT] DUE 6 TO COVID-19, THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED AS A ZOOM WEBINAR, 7 PURSUANT TO THE GOVERNOR'S EXECUTIVE ORDER N-29-20 WHICH 8 SUSPENDS CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS OF THE BROWN ACT. THIS MEETING 9 IS BEING WEBCAST ON THE MTC WEB SITE. THE CHAIR WILL CALL UPON COMMISSIONERS, PRESENTERS, STAFF, AND OTHER SPEAKERS BY NAME, 10 AND ASK THAT THEY SPEAK CLEARLY AND STATE THEIR NAMES BEFORE 11 GIVING COMMENTS OR REMARKS. PERSONS PARTICIPATING VIA WEBCAST 12 AND ZOOM, WITH THEIR CAMERAS ENABLED, ARE REMINDED THAT THEIR 13 ACTIVITIES ARE VISIBLE TO VIEWERS. COMMISSIONERS AND MEMBERS 14 15 OF THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATING VIA ZOOM WISHING TO SPEAK SHOULD 16 USE THE RAISED HAND FEATURE OR DIAL STAR NINE, AND THE CHAIR WILL CALL UPON THEM AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME. TELECONFERENCE 17 18 ATTENDEES WILL BE CALLED UPON BY THE LAST FOUR DIGITS OF THEIR 19 PHONE NUMBER. IT IS REQUESTED THAT PUBLIC SPEAKERS STATE THEIR NAMES AND ORGANIZATION, BUT PROVIDING SUCH INFORMATION IS 20 21 VOLUNTARY. WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED AT 22 INFO@BAYAREAMETRO.GOV BY 5:00 P.M. YESTERDAY WILL BE POSTED TO 23 THE ONLINE AGENDA AND ENTERED INTO THE RECORD, BUT WILL NOT BE READ OUT LOUD. IF AUTHORS OF THE WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE WOULD 24 LIKE TO SPEAK, THEY ARE FREE TO DO SO, AND SHOULD RAISE THEIR 25

January 22, 2021

HAND AND THE CHAIR WILL CALL UPON THEM ON THE APPROPRIATE
 TIME. A ROLL CALL VOTE WILL BE TAKEN FOR ALL ACTION ITEMS.
 PANELISTS SHOULD NOTE THAT THE CHAT FEATURE IS NOT ACTIVE TO
 ATTENDEES. IN ORDER TO GET THE FULL ZOOM EXPERIENCE, PLEASE
 MAKE SURE YOUR APPLICATION IS UP TO DATE.

7 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WELCOME EVERYBODY. SO, I WANT 8 TO START WITH A COUPLE OF BRIEF ANNOUNCEMENTS. AND FIRST OF 9 ALL, CAN EVERYBODY HEAR ME? GOOD. OKAY. SO, FIRST OF ALL, I WANTED TO ANNOUNCE THAT CAT CARTER WILL NO LONGER BE SERVING 10 11 ON THIS COMMITTEE, AND WE WILL WELCOME MARK CORDES OF SAN FRANCISCO TRANSIT RIDERS. WE WILL NOW TO A ROLL CALL, AND 12 WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE CLERK TO CALL THE ROLL AND CONFIRM A 13 QUORUM FOR TODAY'S MEETING. 14

15

16 CLERK OF THE BOARD: WILL DO, AND IT WILL BE BY LAST NAME. BOB 17 ALLEN? OKAY. ABIGAIL COCHRAN IS EXCUSED. MARK CORDES?

18

19 MARK CORDES: I'M HERE.

20

21 CLERK OF THE BOARD: ELDRED?

22

23 ANNE OLIVIA ELDRED: HERE.

24

25 CLERK OF THE BOARD: GRIFFITHS?



```
1
2
    IAN GRIFFITHS: HERE.
3
    CLERK OF THE BOARD: HARTMAN?
4
5
    TISHA DEE HARTMAN: HERE.
6
7
8
    CLERK OF THE BOARD: HEDGES. RICH HEDGES? KALLINS?
9
    WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: HERE.
10
11
    CLERK OF THE BOARD: KASS?
12
13
14
    JONATHON KASS : HERE.
15
16
    CLERK OF THE BOARD: KINMAN?
17
18
    RANDI KINMAN: HERE.
19
20
    CLERK OF THE BOARD: LEVIN?
21
22
    ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: HERE.
23
    CLERK OF THE BOARD: LITVAK?
24
25
```



SPEAKER: HERE. 1 2 3 CLERK OF THE BOARD: MONICA MALLON. 4 5 MONICA MALLON: HERE. 6 7 CLERK OF THE BOARD: MENDOZA? 8 9 SPEAKER: HERE. 10 11 CLERK OF THE BOARD: STANKE? IS JOINING AUDIO. 12 13 CLERK OF THE BOARD: WE HAVE A QUORUM. 14 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: MAY I HAVE APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF 15 16 NOVEMBER 2ND MEETING. DO I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 2ND? 17 18 19 ANNE OLIVIA ELDRED: SO MOVED. ELDRED. 20 21 TISHA DEE HARTMAN: HARTMAN, SECOND. 22 23 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: CAN THE CLERK RED ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS IF 24 WE HAVE GOTTEN ANY ON THIS ITEM AND IF NOT, THEN TO MOVE ON TO A ROLL CALL OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS. 25

CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE IS NO PUBLIC COMMENT RECEIVED ON
THIS ITEM, AND THERE ARE NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITH THEIR
HANDS RAISED. AND THE MOTION WAS MADE BY ELDRED AND SECONDED
BY HARTMAN. [ROLL CALL VOTE] IT PASSES UNANIMOUSLY BY ALL
MEMBERS PRESENT.

7

1

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

8 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. OKAY. SO WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON 9 TO RECEIVE A PRESENTATION FROM STAFF, FROM BILL BACON AND 10 MICHAEL EISEMAN, WHOLE PRESENT ON AGENDA ITEM FIVE, PATHWAYS 11 TO INTEGRATION ALTERNATIVE FARE POLICIES AND EVALUATION FRAMEWORKS. AND LOOKING FORWARD TO THIS VERY SUBSTANTIVE 12 PRESENTATION ABOUT MANY DIFFERENT WAYS OF INTEGRATING AND 13 COORDINATING FARES. SO, WE'LL TURN THIS OVER TO THE STAFF 14 15 PRESENTATION.

16

WILLIAM BACON: THANK YOU ADINA, AND SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS. IT'S 17 18 NICE TO SEE YOU THIS AFTERNOON. I HOPE EVERYONE IS DOING OKAY 19 IN THESE INTERESTING TIMES THAT WE'RE ALL LIVING THROUGH. WE CAN GO AHEAD AND BRING UP THE SLIDES, PLEASE. THANKS. SO THIS, 20 21 ACTUALLY, THIS PRESENTATION COVERS BOTH ITEMS 4 AND 5 ON THE 22 AGENDA TODAY, JUST FOR CLARITY'S SAKE, AND FOR THE RECORD. AND 23 WE'RE GOING TO FIRST PROVIDE SOME BACKGROUND ABOUT WHAT THE PROJECT TEAM HAS BEEN DOING SINCE THIS SUBCOMMITTEE LAST MET 24 25 TWO MONTHS AGO, PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF OUR SCHEDULE AND SORT

January 22, 2021

OF WHAT THE PATH FORWARD LOOKS LIKE THEN A REAL SUBSTANTIVE 1 DISCUSSION WE WANT TO HAVE TODAY AND I THINK MIKE EISEMAN 2 3 PROJECT MANAGER IS GOING TO MEET US IS REALLY DIGGING INTO THE FARE POLICY IDEAS AND CONCEPTS THAT THE PROJECT TEAM IS 4 5 LOOKING AT, AND THAT WILL BE, EVENTUALLY, SELECTING A SHORT LIST FROM WHICH TO GO INTO IN DEPTH ANALYSIS IN THE COMING 6 7 MONTHS. GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. AS I MENTIONED, WE'RE IN THE 8 PROCESS, RIGHT NOW, OF REALLY TRYING TO PUT TOGETHER WHAT 9 WE'RE CALLING SORT OF A LONG LIST OF FARE POLICY ALTERNATIVES, 10 AND THAT'S WHAT WE WANT TO DISCUSS WITH YOU TODAY, AND THAT'S GOING TO BE THE FOCUS ON MOST OF THE TIME. YOU WILL RECALL AT 11 OUR LAST MEETING IN NOVEMBER AND FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO FOLLOWED 12 THE FARE INTEGRATION MEETING NOVEMBER 7TH ARE PATHWAYS TO 13 INTEGRATION FOUR DIFFERENT GOVERNANCE MODELS THAT GUIDE WHAT 14 15 TYPES OF FARE POLICY CHANGES THE REGION COULD ACHIEVE AND THE 16 IDEAS WE COULD SUCCESSFULLY IMPLEMENT BASED ON THE DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO GOVERNANCE. AND I'LL GO THROUGH THAT A LITTLE 17 18 BIT MORE IN DEPTH IN THIS PRESENTATION, AS A REMINDER FOR 19 THOSE OF YOU WHO HAVEN'T SEEN IT. BUT THAT'S SORT OF FRAMING RIGHT NOW IN TERMS OF TRYING TO DEVELOP A LIST OF ALTERNATIVES 20 21 FOR EACH PATHWAY OF INTEGRATION OR QUADRANT AS YOU WILL SEE LATER IN THE SLIDES. IN FEBRUARY WE'RE GOING TO BE PRESENTING 22 23 TO THE FARE INTEGRATION TASK FORCE, THE LONG LIST OF OPTIONS WE LOOKED AT, PRIORITIZE PROCESS FOR HOW WE WHITTLE THAT DOWN 24 TO IMPORTANTLY A SHORT LIST OF OPTION THAT IS THE TEAM WILL BE 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

RECOMMENDING A DETAILED IN DEPTH ANALYSIS IN THIS CASE WHICH 1 2 WE'LL MOVE FORWARD. GO ON TO THE NEXT SLIDE. SO, AGAIN, THIS 3 IS THE ITEM FOUR PORTION, SO WE CAN CONTINUE ON. THANKS. SO THIS IS A RECAP A BIT OF OUR PROJECT SCHEDULE AND I THINK MANY 4 5 OF YOU ARE PROBABLY FAMILIAR WITH THIS. BUT WE KICKED OFF THE PROJECT IN THE SPRING OF 2020. OUR STAFF WORKING GROUP, OUR 6 7 FARE INTEGRATION TASK FORCE, OUR CONSULTING TEAM LED BY STEER 8 BEGAN WORKING AT THAT POINT, THE SUBCOMMITTEE TEAM AT THIS 9 TIME, THROUGHOUT THE PERIOD SINCE LAST SUMMER WE HAVE BEEN DOING DETAILED ANALYSIS OF EXISTING FARE POLICIES WITHIN THE 10 REGION UNDERSTANDING THE TRAVEL MARKETS THAT USERS WERE 11 EXPERIENCING BEFORE THE PANDEMIC. WE HAVE LOOKED AT FARE 12 POLICIES BEST PRACTICES FROM OTHER REGIONS AND WE HAVE BEEN 13 DOING USER RESEARCH IN A PANDEMIC TRUNCATED WAY BUT FIGURE OUT 14 15 WAYS TO BEST IMPLEMENT THE SYSTEM. IN DECEMBER WE'RE IN THE 16 PROCESS OF IDENTIFYING THOSE DETAILED FARE POLICY ALTERNATIVES THAT WE WANT TO ANALYZE. WE'RE HERE TODAY ON THE 25th OF 17 18 JANUARY, AND -- I'M SORRY -- NOT THE 25th OF JANUARY -- WE'RE 19 ON THE 22nd OF JANUARY. BUT ON THE 25th OF JANUARY WE'LL BE UPDATING OUR BLUE RIBBON TASK FORCE WHICH YOU ARE ALL FAMILIAR 20 21 WITH, AND THE BLUE RIBBON TASK FORCE IS FOCUSED ON HOW TO 22 BRING BACK A TRANSIT SYSTEM THAT IS STRONGER AND MORE 23 SUSTAINABLE AFTER THE PANDEMIC, AND THAT BODY IS INTERESTED IN LEARNING ABOUT THIS WORK SO THERE WILL BE A SMALL PRESENTATION 24 TO UPDATE THE BLUE RIBBON TASK FORCE ABOUT THE WORK OF THE 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

FARE INTEGRATION AND COORDINATION PROJECT. SO, AGAIN, LOOKING 1 FORWARD INTO THE SPRING AND SUMMER OF THIS YEAR. WE WILL BE 2 3 IDEALLY IDENTIFYING THAT SHORT LIST OF ALTERNATIVES WHICH WE DO A DETAILED BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS SO WE'RE LOOKING AT THE 4 5 FINANCIAL IMPACTS, THE RIDERSHIP IMPACTS, THESE ARE EXPERIENCE IMPACTS OF CHANGES TO OUR FARE SYSTEM. AND IDEALLY WE'RE 6 7 HOPING TO WRAP UP THIS PROJECT IN THE SUMMER THIS OF YEAR, AND 8 THAT WILL, HOPEFULLY PROVIDE A TEMPLATE FOR HOW THE REGION CAN MOVE FORWARD, THE FARE POLICY. NEXT SLIDE. WE HAVE SEEN A 9 VERSION OF THIS SLIDE IN PAST PRESENTATIONS IT'S WHERE WE ARE 10 WITH PROJECT TASKS. THE COLORED BOX ON THE LEFT REPRESENT THE 11 MAIN SEVEN PROJECT TASKS THAT WERE IN OUR CONTRACT WITH OUR 12 CONSULTING TEAM AND WHERE WE ARE RIGHT NOW. SO YOU CAN SEE, 13 AGAIN, I MENTIONED MOST OF THESE THINGS, BUT WE'RE IN THE 14 15 MIDST OF A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT ELEMENTS OF THE WORK, AND THE 16 MAIN AREA THAT HAS BEEN COMPLETED, SORT OF IN LOOKING BEHIND US NOW REALLY THE EXISTING CONDITIONS AND BACKGROUND RESEARCH 17 18 AND PRETTY MUCH THE REST OF THE PROJECT TASKS ARE UNDERWAY 19 RIGHT NOW, AND WE'RE CONTINUING WITH ALL OF THESE. GO ON TO THE NEXT SLIDE. SO OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF MONTHS SINCE THE 20 21 SUBCOMMITTEE LAST MET, WE HAVE BEEN DOING TWO MAIN AREAS OF 22 USER RESEARCH ACTIVITIES THAT JUST WRAPPED UP A SERIES OF 23 INTERVIEWS WITH TRANSIT RIDERS. IF YOU RECALL WE HAD DEVELOPED A DATABASE OF HUNDREDS OF DIFFERENT TRANSIT RIDERS WHO SHARED 24 WITH US DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION ABOUT THEMSELVES AND WE WERE 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

January 22, 2021

ABLE TO IDENTIFY DIFFERENT SUBSETS OF TRANSIT USERS FROM 1 DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE REGION WITH DIFFERENT CHARACTERISTIC, 2 3 WITH DIFFERENT INCOME LEVELS, DISABILITIES STATUS AND WIDE RANGE OF DIFFERENT CRITERIA. WE SELECTED A NUMBER OF 4 5 INDIVIDUALS TO DO ONE-ON-ONE INTERVIEWS WITH SO ACTUALLY A MEMBER OF OUR CONSULTANT TEAM WHO IS A USER SPECIALIST AND ONE 6 7 MEMBER OF THE STAFF, PROJECT TEAM, SAT IN ON EACH OF THE 8 INTERVIEWS WITH THE PUBLIC. AND I CAN TELL YOU JUST FROM MY 9 PERSONAL EXPERIENCE SITTING IN ON INTERVIEWS IT WAS INSPIRING FOR ME AND MADE ME FEEL EVEN MORE DEDICATED TO ADVANCING THIS 10 WORK JUST HEARING FROM USERS AND PROBABLY FOR MANY OF US 11 DURING THE PANDEMIC IT'S BEEN AN ISOLATING EXPERIENCE IN MANY 12 WAYS BECAUSE WE'RE NOT ABLE TO INTERACT WITH PEOPLE SORT OF ON 13 A HAPPENSTANCE BASIS, JUST ABOUT GOING ABOUT OUR TRAVELS IN 14 THE REGION. SO THIS WAS REALLY INSPIRING FOR ME, AND WE'RE 15 16 STILL CHRONICLING ALL OF THE DIFFERENT THEMES AND STORIES THAT WE HEARD FROM USERS ABOUT THEIR EXPERIENCE TRAVELING BY 17 18 TRANSIT IN THE REGION, AND SPECIFICALLY HOW FARE POLICY SHAPES 19 THE WAY THAT THEY USE THE SYSTEM OR DON'T USE THE SYSTEM IN MANY INSTANCES. SO THAT IS AN AREA OF WORK WHERE WE ARE 20 WRAPPING UP OUR ANALYSIS RIGHT NOW AND WILL BE PRESENTING THE 21 22 ANALYSIS TO THE FARE INTEGRATION TASK FORCE ON FEBRUARY 16TH. 23 OUR CURRENT MAIN AREA OF USER RESEARCH IS FOCUSED ON USING AN ONLINE SURVEY TOOL CALLED SENSEMAKER AND WE HAVE SHARED WITH 24 ALL OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS LAST WEEK, AND I'LL GET INTO 25

January 22, 2021

THIS A BIT MORE IN A MINUTE, BUT WE'RE ACTIVELY SHARING THAT 1 ACROSS THE REGION AND OUR TRANSIT AGENCY PARTNERS ARE HELPING 2 3 WITH THAT. AND THEN AS WE MOVE FORWARD, WE WILL BE DOING QUITE A BIT MORE USER RESEARCH IN FACT THE VAST MAJORITY OF OUR USER 4 5 RESOURCE BUDGET OF THE PROJECT REMAINS AND THAT IS INTENDED TO BE USED ONCE WE HAVE ALTERNATIVES THAT WE'RE STUDYING DOING 6 7 WORKSHOPS, INTERVIEWS, PROTOTYPE TESTING AND VARIETY OF USER 8 RESEARCH ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTHS OF SPRING. NEXT 9 SLIDE. RECAPPING WHAT I SAID A MOMENT AGO ABOUT THE ONE-ON-ONE INTERVIEWS. BUT HERE WE LIST A FEW OF SORT OF QUESTIONS AND 10 THEMES THAT WE'RE REALLY TRYING TO GET AT, TRYING TO BETTER 11 UNDERSTAND, AGAIN, HOW PEOPLE USE THE SYSTEM, AND EXPERIENCED 12 IT BEFORE THE PANDEMIC, AND NOW DURING IT. WHY THEY'RE 13 TRAVELING THE DIFFERENT MODES AND OPERATORS THEY'RE USING. 14 15 TRIED TO DIG INTO UNDERSTANDING THE ELIGIBILITY AND FARE 16 PAYMENT OPTIONS THAT USERS HAVE ACCESS TO TODAY, AND HOW THAT SYSTEM IS REALLY INTELLIGIBLE, AND LEGIBLE FOR PEOPLE TO 17 18 EXPLAIN. I THINK ONE OF THE THINGS THAT MOST STOOD OUT TO ME 19 IN THE INTERVIEWS THEY WAS A PARTICIPANT IN, WAS HOW CHALLENGING IT WAS, ACTUALLY, FOR PEOPLE TO DESCRIBE IT. WE 20 21 TYPICALLY ASKED A QUESTION, IF YOU WERE TO DESCRIBE A BRAND-22 NEW RESIDENT TO THE BAY AREA SOMEONE WHO JUST MOVED HERE, HOW 23 THE BAY AREA'S FARE SYSTEM WORKED IF YOU WANTED TO GO TO OAKLAND FROM HAHN SO FOR EXAMPLE, ON TRANSIT IT WAS VERY 24 CHALLENGING FOR MANY PEOPLE. MANY PEOPLE ACTUALLY LAUGHED IN 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

January 22, 2021

RESPONSE TO THE OUESTION BECAUSE THEY FOUND IT A DIFFICULT 1 OUESTION TO ANSWER. THESE ARE TERMS OF NEAR AND AFFORDABILITY 2 3 UNDERSTANDING HOW CURRENT FARES AFFECT ACCESS AND OPPORTUNITY AND PRESENTED A RANGE OF DIFFERENT FARE POLICY SCENARIOS TO 4 5 USERS AND AN EXAMPLE WAS WE WOULD ASK USERS ABOUT A PRODUCT THAT CURRENTLY EXISTS IN SAN FRANCISCO, IT'S CALLED THE GATOR 6 7 PASS AND IT'S AVAILABLE TO STUDENTS AT SAN FRANCISCO STATE 8 UNIVERSITY AND PROVIDES A DISCOUNT WHEN TRAVELING TO AND FROM 9 THE DALY CITY BART STATION AND PROVIDES ACCESS TO THE MUNI 10 SYSTEM, AND IS A PART OF THEIR STUDENT FEE -- A BENEFIT OF THE 11 STUDENT FEES THAT STUDENTS AT SF STATE PAY. AND WE SORT OF EXPANDED FROM THAT, YOU KNOW, TALKING ABOUT WHAT ARE THE RIGHT 12 KINDS OF FARE POLICY TO OFFER TO STUDENTS AND WHAT ARE THE 13 SORT OF FARE DISCOUNTS THAT STUDENTS REALLY SHOULD HAVE ACCESS 14 15 TO AROUND THE REGION AND IT WAS REALLY INTERESTING TO HEAR, 16 AGAIN, THE DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES ABOUT HOW DISCOUNTS ARE OFFERED OR NOT OFFERED TO DIFFERENT GROUPS OF PEOPLE IN OUR 17 18 REGION. SO, AGAIN, WE'LL BE SUMMARIZING THIS WORK AT THE FARE 19 INTEGRATION TASK FORCE IN FEBRUARY. YOU CAN GO ON TO THE NEXT SLIDE. SO, AGAIN, THE SENSEMAKER TOOLS IS OUR CURRENT AREA OF 20 21 WORK. AND AS OF YESTERDAY, WE HAD A LITTLE OVER 400 RESPONSES, 22 SO FAR, IN THE SURVEY, IN A LITTLE LESS THAN A WEEK. THANK YOU 23 TO THOSE OF YOU ON THE SUBCOMMITTEE WHO SHARED IT WE HAVE HAD FROM OUR TRANSIT PARTNERS WHO HELPED US THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT 24 THEY HAVE BEEN SHARING IT AS WELL AND WE'RE TRYING TO GET THE 25

January 22, 2021

WORD OUT AS BROADLY AS POSSIBLE. PLEASE FEEL FREE TO SHARE 1 WITH OTHERS. I THOUGHT ABOUT MAYBE PULLING UP THE LINK HERE 2 3 BUT I WON'T DO THAT TODAY. BUT IF FOLKS HAVE QUESTIONS AND WANT TO PROVIDE MORE FEEDBACK OR THOUGHTS ABOUT THE SURVEY, 4 5 PLEASE FEEL FREE TO GET TOUCH WITH STAFF OFFLINE OR MAYBE LATER IN THE MEETING YOU WE CAN TAKE QUESTIONS. ONE OF THE 6 7 DISTINCT FEATURES OF THE TOOL IS IT REALLY COMBINED 8 QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE INFORMATION, AND OUR CONSULTANTS 9 HAVE HAD SOME REALLY GOOD EXPERIENCES, I THINK, WITH THIS PLATFORM. I THINK THERE WERE MAYBE SOME USER INTERFACE 10 11 CHALLENGES THAT PEOPLE EXPERIENCE, BUT OVERALL, BASED ON THE NUMBER OF RESPONSES WE HAVE HAD FOR MOST PEOPLE, IT'S BEEN 12 WORKING OKAY. SO I'M GOING TO PAUSE THERE. THIS IS THE END OF 13 THE SORT OF SECONDS, OR AGENDA ITEM FOUR PART OF THE 14 15 PRESENTATION. JUST TO SEE IF ANYONE HAS ANY QUESTIONS BEFORE I GO ON INTO THE AGENDA ITEM FIVE, WHICH IS REALLY ABOUT THE 16 17 ALTERNATIVES AND THE LONG LIST OF OPTIONS. OKAY. WELL, WE'LL 18 GO AHEAD, ON TO THE NEXT SLIDE HERE.

19

20 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THAT WAS -- OH, CAN YOU GO BACK? PUBLIC
21 COMMENT.

22

23 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY SO OUR -- [AUDIO DIFFICULTIES] SO I
24 WOULD --



2

January 22, 2021

1 WILLIAM BACON: PUBLIC COMMENT?

3 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: SO, I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE PUBLIC COMMENT FROM -- CAN FOLKS HEAR ME? ALL RIGHT. SORRY. ZOOM DIDN'T 4 PROPERLY MERGE MY PHONE. -- ZOOM -- [INDISCERNIBLE] I WILL TRY 5 6 THAT AGAIN. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A COMMENT FROM MEMBERS OF 7 THE PUBLIC AT THE END OF THE STAFF PRESENTATION, BUT I WANTED 8 TO QUICKLY ASK A CLARIFYING QUESTION ABOUT THE SENSEMAKER TOOL, SENSEMAKER TOOL BUT WONDERING IF THERE IS GOING TO BE 9 10 ANY INTERIM CHECKING ON THE DEMOGRAPHICS TO SEE IF THERE IS REPRESENTATION BY GEOGRAPHY, INCOME, AND ETHNICITY? AND IF, 11 YOU KNOW, YOU GO X NUMBER OF WEEKS INTO IT AND YOU REALIZE 12 THERE IS NO SPANISH SPEAKERS -- WELL, ACTUALLY, IF YOU COULD 13 TALK ABOUT LANGUAGE IN A SECOND, TOO -- BUT -- IF THERE IS 14 15 NOBODY FROM CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, THEN WE CAN MAKE SURE TO TRY 16 AND GET MORE SAMPLING FROM PEOPLE THAT ARE UNDERREPRESENTED.

17

18 WILLIAM BACON: GOOD OUESTION. OUR CONSULTANTS ARE PROVIDING 19 UPDATES REGULARLY. SO I DON'T HAVE ANSWERS TO EVERY SINGLE CRITERIA THAT YOU ASKED, BUT I CAN SAY IN TERMS OF A FEW 20 21 DIFFERENT ONES, WE HAVE A PRETTY GOOD CROSS SECTION OF AGES, 22 THE ONLY SEGMENT THAT IS NOT WELL REPRESENTED ARE PEOPLE UNDER 23 THE AGE OF 18, SO, CHILDREN, WHICH I'M NOT SURE IS UNEXPECTED, JUST GIVEN -- YEAH -- I AM SURE THAT IS UNEXPECTED. BUT IN 24 CASE FOLKS THINK THAT'S AN AUDIENCE WE SHOULD REACH OUT MORE 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

TO. IN TERMS OF INCOME, ABOUT 35 PERCENT, I BELIEVE, OF OUR 1 2 RESPONDENTS LIVE IN-HOUSE HOLDS THAT MAKE LESS THAN \$49,000 A 3 YEAR. SO THAT'S ABOUT 35 PERCENT OF OUR RESPONDENTS THAT ARE IN LOW INCOME HOUSEHOLDS RIGHT NOW. I WOULD SAY THE AREA THAT 4 IS MOST OVER REPRESENTED ARE VERY HIGH INCOME, AND THE PEOPLE 5 WHO LIVE IN-HOUSE HOLDS OF OVER \$200,000 A YEAR. THAT IS OVER 6 7 REPRESENTED, BUT I THINK, ON THE LOW INCOME END OF THE 8 SPECTRUM, WE'RE DOING OKAY IN TERMS OF REPRESENTATION. AND 9 THEN IN TERMS OF THE COUNTIES OF RESPONDENTS SO FAR, THE AREAS 10 THAT ARE DEFINITELY THE MOST CHALLENGING ARE SOLANO AND SONOMA COUNTIES. WE HAVE PRETTY GOOD REPRESENTATION FROM ALL OF THE 11 OTHER COUNTIES IN THE REGION INCLUDING NAPA, BUT IN SOLANO AND 12 SONOMA ARE LOWER END. IN FACT WE HAVE MORE RESPONDENTS THAT 13 LIVE OUTSIDE THE NINE COUNTIES THAN WE HAVE WHO LIVE IN SONOMA 14 15 AND SOLANO. THE DATA I'M REFERENCING ARE BASED ON WHEN WE HAD 361 RESPONSES SO IT'S PROBABLY CHANGED MORE SINCE THEN, BUT 16 THIS IS FROM A COUPLE OF DAYS AGO, WHICH WAS THE LAST SPECIFIC 17 18 DATA I HAD.

19

20 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: AND ANNE OLIVIA ELDRED HAD A HAND.

21

ANNE OLIVIA ELDRED: THANK YOU VERY MUCH CHAIR. I AM EXCITED TO
SEE THE EVOLUTION OF THIS. I WANT TO STRESS THAT THE YOUTH
VOICE ARE A REALLY IMPORTANT VOICE. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO
CONNECT YOU WITH ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE PRETTY LARGE NETWORKS



1 OF YOUTH. MANY OF THEM ARE QUITE TRANSIT DEPENDENT. THAT'S AN 2 IMPORTANT DEMOGRAPHIC. AND ALSO IF WE HAVE A SKEWS CURRENTLY 3 OF FOLKS OVER 200,000, I'M CURIOUS, HOW MANY FOLKS ARE 4 RENTERS? HOW MANY FOLKS ARE NOT IN STABLE HOUSING? THAT KIND 5 OF THING? I'M JUST WONDERING HOW THOSE VOICES ARE DOING? 6

7 WILLIAM BACON: YEAH, IT'S AN IMPORTANT OUESTION. UNFORTUNATELY 8 IN THE SENSEMAKER SURVEY ACTIVITY WE'RE NOT COLLECTING HOUSING 9 TENURE OUESTIONS, IT'S THE TYPE OF SURVEY THAT'S REALLY A TRADEOFF BETWEEN LENGTH AND THOSE TYPE OF OUESTIONS ARE NOT 10 SOMETHING WE INCLUDE. WE DID ASK QUESTIONS ABOUT TENURE IN OUR 11 OVERALL USER DATABASE SURVEYS SO IN THE SENSE OF WHO WE WILL 12 BE DOING INTERVIEWS WITH AND WHO WE WILL BE GOING BACK TO TALK 13 TO THROUGH USER RESEARCH THAT ARE PART OF OUR PROJECT LIKE 14 WORKSHOPS, AND PROTOTYPES, IN THAT ANALYSIS WE DO HAVE DATA ON 15 THAT QUESTION SO WE WILL BE ABLE TO INVITE PEOPLE TO 16 PARTICIPATE BASED ON DIFFERENT STATUSES OF HOUSING TENURE, BUT 17 18 WE DON'T HAVE THAT FOR THIS SURVEY.

19

20 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WENDI KALLINS HAD A HAND.

21

WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: YEAH. IT DIDN'T -- YOU KNOW, I WAS
THINKING OF MARIN COUNTY WHEN I FORWARDED THE SURVEY. BUT I
JUST THOUGHT OF A COUPLE OF PLACES YOU MIGHT GET MORE SONOMA
COUNTY RESPONDENTS. THE SONOMA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION LAND USE

January 22, 2021

COALITION OTHER FRIENDS OF SMART, AND ALSO, THERE IS A LOT OF 1 2 PEOPLE WHO WORK AT THE CIVIC CENTER, WHO I KNOW TAKE PUBLIC 3 TRANSIT, AND I'M NOT EXACTLY SURE WHO IT WOULD GO TO AT THE MARIN COUNTY CIVIC CENTER, BUT THAT MIGHT BE ANOTHER OPTION. I 4 5 CAN TAKE CARE OF THE FRIENDS OF SMART, AND THE SONOMA COUNTY 6 LAND USE COALITION, I WILL FORWARD TO THEM. AND MAYBE THE 7 COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR FOR MARIN COUNTY -- I'M NOT SURE. WAS IT 8 SENT TO THE TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY OF SONOMA? 9 WILLIAM BACON: I THINK WE DID SHARE IT WITH OUR COUNTY 10 TRANSPORTATION AGENCY PARTNERS. I'LL DOUBLE CHECK ON THAT. 11 12 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. I'LL MAKE A NOTE TO 13 14 MYSELF. 15 16 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ADRIENNE MENDOZA HAS A HAND. 17 18 ADRIAN MENDOZA: HI BILL. THANKS FOR THE PRESENTATION. I LIVE 19 IN SANTA ROSA, SO I HAVE THREE YEARS WITH THE PUBLIC RELATIONS DEPARTMENT IN SANTA ROSA JR. COLLEGE SO I HAVE CONNECTIONS 20 THERE, I CAN PUT YOU THROUGH, THAT TAKES CARE OF SONOMA 21 22 COUNTY, SANTA ROSA, AS WELL AS YOUTH DEMOGRAPHICS, SO IF YOU 23 WANT TO CONTACT ME DIRECTLY OR HAVE STAFF CONTACT ME, I CAN FORWARD THAT TO MY CONTACTS AT SANTA ROSA JUNIOR COLLEGE. 24 25 THANK YOU.



1

3

January 22, 2021

2 WILLIAM BACON: THANK YOU. WE WILL FOR SURE.

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY. AND SIMILARLY, WHILE I DOES WORK --4 5 THERE IS A NUMBER OF ORGANIZATIONS THAT WORK IN SAN MATEO COUNTY AND OTHER COUNTIES THERE ARE GROUPS WHERE IT'S POSSIBLE 6 7 TO REACH YOUTH THAT WOULD BE A GOOD WAY TO ADDRESS THAT SET OF 8 PEOPLE. I DO NOT SEE ANY MORE HANDS FROM MEMBERS OF THIS GROUP. I WILL TAKE COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AT THE 9 10 END. LOOKING FORWARD TO DIVING INTO THE REST OF THE PRESENTATION. 11

12

WILLIAM BACON: THANK YOU CHAIR LEVIN, AND IF WE COULD BRING 13 THE SLIDES BACK UP? OKAY. SO, THIS IS AGENDA ITEM FIVE, AND 14 15 WE'RE GOING TO BE WALKING YOU THROUGH THE FARE STRUCTURE, 16 THAT'S THAT LONG POLICY LIST THAT I REFERRED TO. I'M GOING TO COVER THE FIRST FEW SLIDES HERE THEN TURN OVER TO MIKE 17 18 EISEMAN, THE PLANNING DIRECTOR OF BART TO WALK THROUGHOUT 19 REST. GO ON TO THE NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. THANKS. SO, THIS SLIDE, AGAIN, SOME OF YOU MAY HAVE SEEN, THIS BUT THIS IS OUR SORT OF 20 FRAMEWORK FOR HOW WE'RE THINKING ABOUT THE FARE INTEGRATION 21 22 POLICIES, AND WE'RE CALLING THESE THE PATHWAYS TO INTEGRATION. 23 YOU CAN SEE IN THESE FOUR QUADRANTS, THERE ARE FOUR MAIN SORT OF THEME CLUSTERS, ARE THE BIG TENT, MULTIPLE TENTS ONE 24 25 CAMPSITE, GREAT ALLIANCE AND TRADE AGREEMENTS THESE FOLLOW

January 22, 2021

GOVERNANCE MODELS AND APPROACHES TO FARE POLICIES. YOU CAN SEE 1 THE UPPER PORTION REQUIRE MORE OF A SINGLE ENTITY THAT WOULD 2 3 BE GOVERNING FARE POLICY FOR THE REGION OR COORDINATING FARE POLICY FOR THE REGION. AND THE TWO BOXES TOWARDS THE BOTTOM 4 5 REPRESENT A MORE DISTRIBUTED GOVERNANCE APPROACH SOMETHING PROBABLY MORE SIMILAR TO WHAT WE HAVE NOW WHERE EACH REGENCY 6 7 RETAINS FULL AUTONOMY OVER POLICY. AND YOU CAN SEE ON THE 8 LEFT, THOSE POLICIES, THE BIG TENT AND THE GREAT ALLIANCE ARE 9 MORE THAN STRUCTURED IN A WAY WHERE THERE IS A SINGLE FARE POLICY FOR THE REGION. SO NO MATTER WHERE YOU ARE IN THE BAY 10 AREA, YOU'RE MORE LIKELY TO EXPERIENCE CONSISTENT RULES AND 11 POLICIES. AND THE TWO BOXES ON THE RIGHT HERE, THE MULTIPLE 12 TENTS CAMP SITES REPRESENT A TRADE AGREEMENT MORE SIMILAR TO 13 WHAT WE HAVE TODAY THAT THERE ARE DIFFERENT FARE POLICIES AND 14 15 STRUCTURES, BUT IT'S NOT -- IT'S FAIR TO ASK WHAT ARE WE 16 TALKING ABOUT IF IT'S SIMILAR TO TODAY, BUT THE DISTINCTION, AND MIKE WILL GET INTO, THIS IS THERE ARE LOTS OF OTHER WAYS 17 18 RATHER THAN HAVING A SINGLE FARE POLICY THAT YOU CAN IMPROVE 19 FARE USER EXPERIENCE THROUGH MORE POLICIES THAT REDUCE FRICTION FROM TRANSFERRING AND CORRIDORS. WE FIRST PRESENTED 20 THIS AT THE DECEMBER MEETING OF THE FARE INTEGRATION TASK 21 22 FORCE AND AT THAT MEETING THE FARE INTEGRATION TASK FORCE 23 AGREED THAT WE SHOULD DEVELOP A LONG LIST OF ALTERNATIVES FOR EACH OF THE FOUR QUADRANTS AND THEN PRESENT TO THEM 24 RECOMMENDATIONS AND PRIORITIZED RECOMMENDATIONS FOR A SHORT 25

January 22, 2021

LIST OF OPTIONS FOR EACH OF THE FOUR QUADRANTS WE ANALYZE. YOU 1 CAN GO ON TO THE NEXT SLIDE AND I'LL DESCRIBE THAT MORE. ON 2 3 THIS SLIDE SHOWING AGAIN WE'RE AT THAT STAGE TWO MOVING INTO STAGE THREE WHERE WE'RE DEVELOPING THAT LONG LIST THAT'S WHAT 4 5 MIKE WILL BE TALKING ABOUT HOW WE'LL FOCUS ON TAKING FOUR OR FIVE OPTIONS PER PATHWAY AND NARROWING IT DOWN TO IDEALLY TWO 6 7 FOR EACH PERHAPS THREE FOR THE PATHWAY AND THEN THE DETAILED 8 ANALYSIS WHERE THERE IS SIGNIFICANT RESEARCH, THE FINANCIAL 9 IMPACTS, THESE ARE EXPERIENCE IMPACTS THROUGH LOTS OF ADDITIONAL USER RESEARCH WORK AND UNDERSTANDING HOW RIDERSHIP 10 WOULD BE IMPACTED THROUGH FARE POLICY CHANGE. GO TO THE NEXT 11 SLIDE. SO THIS IS THE LAST SLIDE I'LL COVER BEFORE TURNING IT 12 OVER TO MIKE. BUT JUST SORT OF FRAMING HERE AND MIKE WILL 13 BEGIN TO GO INTO DETAIL, IS HOW TO THINK ABOUT FARE POLICY AND 14 15 IN THESE DIFFERENT PATHWAYS. THERE ARE THREE ELEMENTS THAT WE 16 HIGHLIGHT, WE HAVE THE FARE STRUCTURE AND BY THAT WE MEAN THE PRINCIPLES BY WHICH FARE SHOULD BE DETERMINED. SO SORT OF 17 18 SHOULD THERE BE A SINGLE FARE STRUCTURE FOR THE REGION OR 19 MULTIPLE DESTRUCTIONS DEPENDING WHERE YOU ARE. AND HOW YOU IMPLEMENT STRUCTURES, WOULD YOU HAVE ZONES CONSISTENT ACROSS 20 21 THE REGION, WOULD YOU HAVE A SINGLE FLAT FARE BY MODE OR 22 DIFFERENT TYPES OF MODES SO THOSE ARE SORT OF DIFFERENT 23 VARIANTS OF THAT STRUCTURE. AND AN IMPORTANT DETAIL IN FARE POLICY BUT SOMETHING THAT WE'RE NOT SPECIFICALLY FOCUSING ON 24 25 RIGHT NOW, IN OUR WORK, IS WHAT ARE THE PRICING VARIANCE. SO

January 22, 2021

WHAT WOULD YOU SPECIFICALLY CHARGE FOR AN INDIVIDUAL TRIP, 1 WHAT WOULD BE THOSE THERE AMOUNTS AND THAT'S SOMETHING THAT 2 3 WOULD COME LATER IN THE WORK ONCE WE HAVE IDENTIFIED THE DIFFERENT STRUCTURES AND OPTIONS. AND ACTUALLY, I'LL DO THE 4 5 NEXT SLIDE TOO, AND THEN TURN IT OVER TO MIKE. SORRY. I JUMPED THE GUN THERE. SO MIKE IS GOING TO SORT OF WALK US THROUGH THE 6 7 FARE POLICY, REALLY, AS IT RELATES TO SINGLE TRIPS, AND 8 CUSTOMERS WHO ARE, YOU KNOW, TAKING A TRIP FROM -- A DISCRETE 9 TRIP FROM POINT A TO POINT B, AND LOOK AT PASSES, CAPS, PEOPLE WHO ARE PAYING A FARE THAT SORT OF ALLOWS THEM TO USE MULTIPLE 10 11 TRIPS AND THAT'S SORT OF THE FOCUS WHERE WE ARE RIGHT NOW. AND THERE ARE OTHER WAYS TO OPTIMIZE FARE POLICY BASED ON YOUR 12 DISCOUNT STATUS, OR, YOU KNOW, ARE YOU A SENIOR? ARE YOU A 13 YOUTH, A STUDENT? A PERSON WITH A DISABILITY. AND THOSE 14 CUSTOMER TYPE ELEMENTS THAT IMPACT FARE POLICY ARE GOING TO BE 15 16 ADDRESSED IN OUR WORK BUT WE'RE NOT THERE YET AND WE'RE HIGHLIGHTING HERE FARES BY TIME OF DAY, SO ARE YOU TRAVELING 17 18 ON A WEEKDAY OR A WEEKEND. THERE IS OTHER WAYS TO IMPACT FARE 19 POLICY. YET AGAIN WE'RE NOT CALLING ALL OF THESE OUT, WE'RE TRYING TO HIGHLIGHT THAT RIGHT NOW WE'RE FOCUSING ON JUST SORT 20 OF THE, YOU KNOW, THE TRIP AND PRODUCTS THAT ALLOW YOU TO USE 21 22 TRIP, SO FARES AND MULTIPLE TRANSCRIPT. I'LL TURN IT OVER TO 23 MIKE EISEMAN WHOLE WALK US THROUGHOUT REST OF IT.

24

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

MICHAEL EISEMAN: HI EVERYBODY. CAN YOU HEAR ME? GO TO THE NEXT 1 SLIDE PLEASE. I GUESS I'LL START BY THANK EVERYBODY FOR 2 3 BEARING WITH US AS WE TALK ABOUT PROCESS. CONGRATULATIONS FOR MAKING IT TO THIS PART WHERE WE TALK ABOUT ACTUAL FARE POLICY 4 5 CHANGES THAT'S EXCITING. I'LL DISCUSS THE CURRENT LIST OF OPTIONS TO START OFF WITH, HOW DO YOU SET -- WHAT ARE THE 6 7 CHOICES HERE AND AS YOU SET CRISIS FOR INDIVIDUAL TRIPS. AND 8 WHAT WE'RE SHOWING ON THIS IMAGE HERE IS A SPECTRUM THAT 9 VARIES AS TO WHAT IS THE ROLE OF DISTANCE IN SETTING THE FARES. SO ON THE FAR LEFT, WE HAVE THE OPTION OF FLAT FARES 10 FOR SINGLE TRANSIT STRIPS. ON THE FAR RIGHT FARE BY DISTANCE, 11 SO YOU PAY FOR EXACTLY THE DISTANCE YOU TRAVEL, AND THEN THE 12 KIND OF MIDDLE OF THAT CHANGE WOULD BE A ZONE STRUCTURE. SO 13 DISCRETE GEOGRAPHIES WITH PRICES INCREASING THE NUMBER OF 14 ZONES YOU TRAVEL THROUGH. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. AND THEN WE HAVE 15 16 A SET OF QUESTIONS HERE RELATED TO MULTIPLE, HOW WE PRICE 17 MULTIPLE TRIPS. TWO OPTIONS. ON THE LEFT, PERIOD PASSES, SO 18 YOU CAN BUY TRANSIT IN BULK FOR A PARTICULAR PERIOD OF TIME, 19 SAY A MONTH AND YOU PAY ONE PRICE, YOU GET ALL YOU NEED TRANSIT, OR DESCRIBED HERE YOU MIGHT PAY ONE PRICE FOR TRAVEL, 20 21 OF THE RIGHT TO TRAVEL A CERTAIN DISTANCE OR GEOGRAPHY BUT A 22 CERTAIN DISTANCE OVER THAT WOULD BE AN ADDITIONAL CHARGE. 23 RELATED OPTION CAPS YOU PAY BY THE TRIP UP TO A CERTAIN LIMIT AND OVER THAT LIMIT, YOU ARE NOT CHARGED ANYMORE, AND CAPS 24 COULD ALSO EXIST ON A DAILY, WEEKLY, OR MONTHLY BASIS. NEXT 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

SLIDE PLEASE. SO, JUST A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT ZONES HERE. SO 1 2 ZONES ARE OFTEN USED, YOU KNOW, OVER LARGE GEOGRAPHIES, AND, 3 AGAIN, WE DIVIDE THE SERVICE AREA UP INTO GEOGRAPHIC AREAS OF A PARTICULAR SHAPE, AND YOU PAY MORE THE MORE ZONES YOU TRAVEL 4 5 THROUGH. YOU KNOW, A LOT OF ZONE SYSTEMS IN THE WORLD WERE CREATED BEFORE IT WAS POSSIBLE TO DO MORE DETAILED FARE BY 6 7 DISTANCE STRUCTURES, BUT EVEN TODAY, THEY HAVE ADVANTAGES, AND 8 DISADVANTAGES, AS FARE SYSTEMS. ADVANTAGES, SIMPLE AND EASY TO 9 UNDERSTANDS, IN MANY CASES, YOU KNOW, AND THEY CAN, DEPENDING ON THE SIZE OF THE ZONES WE USE TO SORT OF CALIBRATE 10 WILLINGNESS TO PAY AND THE AMOUNT OF SERVICE FOLKS ARE USING. 11 WE HAVE THIS CHALLENGE, A LITTLE BIT, OF POTENTIALLY ARBITRARY 12 FARE INCREASES AS WE MOVE ACROSS ZONE BOUNDARIES. THE ACTUAL 13 SETTING OF THE ZONES CAN BE COMPLEX IT'S IN A MULTI-14 STAKEHOLDER PROCESS. AND IF YOU HAVE ONLY A FEW ZONES THEN THE 15 16 -- THERE IS SOME CHALLENGES TO OPTIMIZING REVENUE AND RIDERSHIP. AND THEN ON THE NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. WE ALSO JUST 17 18 WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT HERE YOU HAVE OPTIONS FROM SIMPLE ZONES TO 19 COMPLEX, THE METRO VANCOUVER FARE SYSTEM DISPLAYED ON THE RIGHT THREE ZONES SIMULATING OUT FROM DOWNTOWN VANCOUVER 20 21 PENINSULA, CONCENTRIC ZONES AND 300 TOWNS ALMOST DIVIDE INTO 22 30 SUBZONES. OKAY. SO THAT'S ZONES. AND NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. THE 23 NEXT FARE BY DISTANCE THIS'S THE MODEL THAT BART USES, OF COURSE, SIMILAR TO TAXI, THE FURTHER YOU TRAVEL THE MORE YOU 24 PAY. AND THAT A THE SYSTEM THAT GIVES AGENCIES THE MOST 25

January 22, 2021

CONTROL OVER SORT OF OPTIMIZING PRICE AND RIDERSHIP. AND IT 1 GIVES OF THE CUSTOMER A KIND OF CUSTOM FARE THAT IS VERY 2 3 SPECIFIC TO THE TRIP THEY TOOK. A DISADVANTAGE IS IF YOU CHARGE HIGH PRICES FOR VERY LONG TRIPS YOU MAY BE 4 5 DISPROPORTIONATELY CHARGING AT LEAST IN OUR COMMUNITIES, COMMUNITIES THAT ARE LOW INCOME OR COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN THAT 6 7 TEND TO TAKE LONGER TRIPS. SO THAT'S ONE DISADVANTAGE TO 8 CONSIDER. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. AND THEN, HERE ARE JUST A FEW 9 ELEMENTS OF SETTING FARE BY DISTANCE. THERE ARE SOME COMPLEXITY IN THE SYSTEMS. OFTEN FARE BY DISTANCE SYSTEMS HAVE 10 AN INITIAL FLAT FARE. FOR SOME, A DISTANCE, AND OTHERS A 11 DISTANCE POINT WHICH YOU START TO INCREASE, AND OVER SOME SET 12 OF STEPS, THAT WILL, YOU KNOW, IT COULD BE BY THE MILE, IT 13 COULD BE BY SOME OTHER DISCRETE STEP, AND THEN THERE IS 14 TYPICALLY A MAXIMUM FARE THAT YOU CAN PAY. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. 15 16 SO, AND THEN JUST SORT OF A BIG PICTURE LOOK HERE, WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO, IN OUR LIST OF OPTIONS IS LAY OUT A SET OF 17 18 CHOICES THAT ARE FIRST MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE, SO THEY DON'T 19 OVERLAP WITH EACH OTHER AND ARE MEANINGFULLY DIFFERENT THEY'RE NOT VARIANCE OF EACH OTHER BUT THERE IS DIFFERENT STRUCTURE. 20 21 ZONE AND SYSTEMS BASED STRUCTURES ARE DIFFERENT AND THERE ARE 22 CHOICES IN THE LIGHT BLUE AREA BELOW ZONES AND PARTICULAR 23 STEPS THAT YOU GO THROUGH IN ZONES THOSE ARE PRICING VARIANCE AND WILL COME UP AFTER THIS LIST IS CHOSEN. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. 24 SO WE WERE GOING TO REVISIT SOME OF THE PATHWAYS DISCUSSION 25



THAT BILL TALKED ABOUT THEN MOVE ON TO THE LIST. I WANT TO
 BREAK HERE AND SEE IF ANYBODY HAS QUESTIONS OR CONCERNS ABOUT
 THE MATERIAL WE JUST RAN THROUGH.

4

5 BRIAN STANKE: I HAVE A QUESTION. FOR THE WORK AT THE ZONES AND 6 PRICE BY DISTANCE, SORT OF -- WHAT IS THE NEXT STEP? WHAT ARE 7 YOU -- WHAT ARE YOU WEIGHING? WHAT ARE YOU DECISION MAKING ON? 8

MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH, AS WE MOVE INTO WHAT WE HAVE GOT TO 9 10 SHOW YOU NEXT, WHAT WE WILL DO HERE IS SHOW YOU A LIST OF FARE 11 OPTIONS, THAT FIT IN EACH OF THESE KIND OF PATHWAYS. THEN WE'RE GOING TO DO A SCREENING STEP WHERE WE EVALUATE EACH AT A 12 HIGH LEVEL FOR SORT OF FATAL FLAWS AND HIGH LEVEL -- WHETHER 13 14 IT ADDRESSES THE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT, AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO SET A SHORT LIST, AND TRY TO DO A DETAILED 15 16 BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS ON EACH OF THOSE OPTIONS. WELL, SO MAYBE IT'S MOST USEFUL, ACTUALLY -- SORRY -- GO AHEAD ADINA. 17 18

19 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THERE ARE A COUPLE OF HANDS. WENDI HAS A 20 HAND.

21

WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: YEAH, YOU MIGHT BE ANSWERING THIS IN YOUR NEXT PART. SO, I MIGHT BE PREMATURE HERE. I AM CURIOUS TO KNOW IF PART OF THE EVALUATION IS LOOKING AT WHICH STRUCTURE ATTRACTS THE MOST AMOUNT OF RIDERS?

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

1 MICHAEL EISEMAN: YES. THAT'S DEFINITELY ON THE OPTIONS. WE'RE 2 3 SAVING -- SO, THE INITIAL SCREENING FROM LONG TO SHORT LIST IS GOING TO BE MORE FOCUSED ON -- IS GOING TO BE MORE OUALITATIVE 4 5 AND SORT OF LOOKING FOR FATAL FLAWS OR WHETHER IT SERVES THE OBJECTIVES IN THE PROJECT AND FROM THE SHORT LIST WE'RE GOING 6 7 TO TRY TO USE MODELING APPROACHES AND OTHER TOOLS TO TRY TO 8 ESTIMATE THE RIDERSHIP IMPACT OF EACH OF THE SHORT LIST 9 OPTIONS. 10 11 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: AND GOING ALONG WITH THAT, I WOULD IMAGINE AGENCIES WOULD BE CONCERNED ABOUT MAXIMIZING REVENUES, 12 13 AND HOW DO YOU BALANCE THAT OUT WITH MAXIMIZING RIDERS? 14 MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH, FISCAL IMPACT, FISCAL STABILITY IS 15 16 DEFINITELY GOING TO BE A CONSIDERATION AS WE EVALUATE THE SHORT LIST. WE'RE GOING TO DO IT AT SORT OF A REGIONAL SCALE 17 18 AND THEN GIVE THE AGENCIES THE TOOLS TO TRY TO ADDRESS AGENCY 19 SPECIFIC IMPACTS ON REVENUES. 20 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: THANK YOU. 21 22 23 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: AND JONATHON HAS A HAND. 24

JONATHON KASS: YEAH, AND I DON'T -- YOU MAY HAVE ANSWERED THIS 1 IN YOUR ANSWER TO BRIAN BUT I DIDN'T CATCH. IT YOU SAID THAT 2 3 TODAY'S FOCUS, AS WE GO THROUGH THESE SPECTRUM, IS ON SORT OF THE MULTIPLE FARES VERSUS SINGLE FARE STRUCTURE, AND THAT IT'S 4 5 A SEPARATE MATTER, ISSUES, SORT OF TIME OF DAY PRICING OR DISCOUNT PRICES AND THINGS BY INDIVIDUAL CUSTOMER, IT SEEMS 6 7 LIKE THOSE FACTORS THAT WE'RE NOT THINKING ABOUT TODAY MAY 8 HAVE A VERY DIFFERENT IMPLICATION FOR SORT OF THE BALANCE OF COORDINATION VERSUS LOCAL CONTROL. AND I JUST WONDER IF THAT'S 9 SORT OF -- IF WE'LL TAKE THE SAME LENS IN A LATER SESSION OR 10 WE'LL EXTRAPOLATE THE DISCUSSION FROM THOSE. 11

12

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

MICHAEL EISEMAN: IT'S AN IMPORTANT QUESTION. WE'LL LOOK AT
OPTIONS AND WAYS TO DIFFERENT BETWEEN OPTIONS AND REVISIT.
WHAT WE CALL VARIANCE PRICING WHETHER WE CAN TRULY LEAVE THOSE
SIDES OR ADD TO THE ITEMS TO THE LONG LIST THAT REPRESENT SOME
OF THOSE CHOICES.

18

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: I'M NOT SEEING ANY MORE HANDS FROM MEMBERS
OF THIS BODY. SO WE SHOULD MOVE ALONG. AND IF, FOR PEOPLE IN
THE GROUP, PLEASE DO USE THE FEATURE TO RAISE YOUR HAND,
BECAUSE I CAN'T 100 PERCENT EXACTLY SEE EVERYBODY AT THE SAME
TIME, SO I'M NOT GUARANTEED TO SEE A PHYSICAL HAND RAISED. SO,
LOOKING FORWARD TO THE NEXT SLIDE.

25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

MICHAEL EISEMAN: OKAY. CAN WE -- OH, PERFECT. THANKS. SO THIS 1 IS BRINGING US BACK TO THE DISCUSSION THAT BILL LED ABOUT THE 2 3 PATH WAYS. ACTUALLY, I GUESS I WOULD PREFER TO CALL THESE MANAGEMENT APPROACHES, STAYING AWAY FROM THE WORD GOVERNANCE, 4 5 WHICH MAY CONFUSE SOME PEOPLE INTO TALKING ABOUT BROADER AGENCY GOVERNANCE QUESTIONS, WHICH ARE CERTAINLY RELATED, BUT 6 7 SEPARATE. BUT IF YOU THINK ABOUT THESE AS MANAGEMENT 8 APPROACHES WE HAVE GOT OUR BIG TENT WHICH IS ESSENTIALLY A 9 SINGLE FARE SETTING AUTHORITY. MULTIPLE TENTS AT ONE CAMPSITE, AGAIN A SINGLE FARE SETTING AUTHORITY BUT A POTENTIAL 10 VARIATION ACROSS THE REGION. AN ALLIANCE WHERE WE TRY TO 11 ARRIVE AT A SINGLE REGION-WIDE FARE STRUCTURE BUT THE 12 AUTHORITY TO SET FARES DOES REMAIN DISTINCT AT THE AGENCY 13 LEVEL. AND THEN TRADE AGREEMENTS WHERE FARE COORDINATION COMES 14 15 BY VIRTUE OF BILATERAL OR MULTI- LATERAL DEALS BETWEEN 16 INDIVIDUAL AGENCIES. SO THOSE ARE THE PATHWAYS WE'RE WORKING IN. THE NEXT SLIDE, HERE, JUST PROVIDES, ACTUALLY A LITTLE 17 18 MORE DETAIL, SOME OF WHICH I JUST PROVIDED. SO ACTUALLY I WANT 19 TO FOCUS YOUR ATTENTION ON THE NEXT SLIDE. SO, SORT OF THESE ARE KIND OF THE OUESTIONS WE ASK IN SETTING THE OPTIONS AND 20 21 HOW THEY SORT OF PLAY OUT WITHIN EACH OF THOSE PATHWAYS. KEY 22 QUESTIONS FOR THE FARE OPTIONS, WHAT ROLE DOES DISTANCE PLAY, 23 ARE WE ZONED, DISTANCES OR WHATEVER, ARE WE ALLOWING SYSTEM BY MODE FOR EXAMPLE RAIL AND PRICING AND FERRY. AND IS THE ROOM 24 FOR PRICING TRANSFERS OR AGENCY MODES OR ARE THERE NO PRICE 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

FOR SWITCHING VEHICLES, FOR EXAMPLE. ON THE BIG TENT OPTION 1 WE'RE DOING OPTIONS WHERE WE HAVE SINGLE APPROACH FOR AGENCIES 2 3 IN THE REGION WE HAVE FLEXIBILITY DIFFERENCES BETWEEN MODE SO BUS AND RAIL HAVE DIFFERENT PRICING. BUT THEY WOULD USE THE 4 5 SAME STRUCTURE TENT OPTIONS WOULD REMAIN FREE. ALLIANCE BASICALLY SAME SET OF POLICY OPTIONS KEY DIFFERENCE IS YOU 6 7 WOULD HAVE A SINGLE STRUCTURAL MODEL FOR EXAMPLE, YOU COULD 8 CHOOSE ZONE BASE OR DISTANCE BASED FOR ALL TRANSIT IN THE 9 REGION, BUT THE AGENCIES THEMSELVES MIGHT HAVE THE ABILITY TO 10 SET A DIFFERENT PRICE WITHIN THAT STRUCTURE. SO WE CAN TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THAT IN A MOMENT. MULTIPLE TENTS, ONE 11 CAMPSITE, THERE COULD BE A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT APPROACHES. 12 THE KEY ISSUE THERE IS THAT IT IS -- IT'S A SINGLE FARE 13 14 SETTING AUTHORITY, EVEN WITH MULTIPLE APPROACHES FOR DIFFERENT 15 PARTS OF THE REGION. AND THEN TRADE AGREEMENTS, ALL OPTIONS 16 ARE ON THE TABLE, SIX, AS OF TODAY, ALL OPTIONS ARE ON THE TABLE BUT WE WOULD BE LOOK FOR COORDINATION OPPORTUNITIES TO 17 18 IMPROVE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE. OKAY. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. OKAY. SO 19 WE'RE GOING TO DIVE INTO FOUR TABLES HERE. THIS IS A LOT OF DETAIL, BUT ONE FOR EACH OF THOSE COLORS IN THE PATHWAYS 20 21 GRAPHIC. AND WE'LL START OFF WITH THE BIG TENT, AND WHAT WE 22 HAVE GOT ON HERE ARE SIX OPTIONS THAT SORT OF LAY OUT THE 23 BASIC STRUCTURAL CHOICES FOR FARE POLICIES WITHIN THE BIG TENT. SO WE'LL START OFF WITH TWO VERSIONS OF -- THREE 24 VERSIONS OF ZONE SYSTEMS, ACTUALLY. SO THE FIRST ONE WE'RE 25

Meeting Transcript

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

COMMISSION

CALLING CELLULAR ZONE, POLYGON SHAPED ZONES, AND THIS ONE, YOU 1 2 KNOW, WE GAVE AN EARLIER EXAMPLE OF ZURICH, THIS IS ALSO THE 3 MODEL THAT SEAMLESS BAY AREA USED IN THEIR PROPOSAL, WHICH A LOT OF YOU HAVE SEEN. SO, LET'S SEE. YOU KNOW, THERE ARE SOME 4 5 CHOICES EVEN WITHIN THAT ZONE, THAT WE WOULD WANT TO EXPLORE, SO SECOND ZONE IS FREE, IS ONE OPTION THAT SOMETIMES GETS 6 7 CHOSEN IN A MODEL LIKE THIS TO REMOVE ARBITRARY PRICE, 8 INCREASES AS YOU CROSS ZONE BOUNDARY. LET'S SEE. AND WE HAVE -- WE DO WANT TO HIGHLIGHT HERE, THERE'S THE OPTION BETWEEN 9 10 DIFFERENTIATION BETWEEN MODE FOR EXAMPLE, RAIL AND BUS COULD HAVE DIFFERENT FARES. SO THAT'S SORT OF THE CELLULAR ZONE. THE 11 SECOND ONE HERE IS THE SAME, BUT WITH THE OPTION OF HAVING A 12 FLAT FARE FOR THE LOCAL TRIPS. AND, YOU KNOW ONE -- I WANTED 13 TO HIGHLIGHT ONE TECHNICAL CONSIDERATION WE HAVE BEEN KICKING 14 AROUND AS A PROJECT TEAM THAT'S THE TECHNICAL CONCERN ABOUT 15 16 WHETHER OR NOT TAG ON/TAG OFF FARE PAYMENT IS GOING TO WORK ON CROWDED BUSES. KNOW THERE ARE PLACES IN THE WORLD THAT ITEM 17 PASSES. BUT WE HAVE CERTAINLY HAD THE CONCERN RAISED BY SOME 18 19 OF THE BUS OPERATORS, COULD WE IMPLEMENT THAT EFFECTIVELY HERE. SO OPTION NUMBER TWO THERE IS A ZONE BASED SYSTEM BUT 20 FLAT FARE FOR LOCAL TRIPS. SO YOU WOULDN'T HAVE TO TAG OFF THE 21 22 BUS YOU JUST PAY A FLAT FARE FOR THE LOCAL BUS TRIP. THIRD 23 OPTION CIRCULAR ZONES THE SAME FARE STRUCTURE BUT DIFFERENT SHAPE OF ZONES A LOT OF REGIONS HAVE CIRCULAR SHAPED ZONES 24 LONDON HAS GIVEN AN EXAMPLE. WE OFFER THIS FOR COMPLETENESS 25

January 22, 2021

TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript

METROPOLITAN

January 22, 2021

SAKE, A LOT OF US OBSERVED THAT BAY AREA GEOGRAPHY IS NOT 1 SUPER COMPATIBLE WITH CIRCULAR ZONES BUT IT'S ON OUR LIST FOR 2 3 NOW AND CERTAINLY WE'RE WILLING TO TALK ABOUT THAT. SO THOSE ARE THE ZONE BASED OPTIONS LAID OUT HERE THEN MOVING INTO FARE 4 5 BY DISTANCE. PURE FARE BY DISTANCE SYSTEM SIMILAR TO THE BART 6 NETWORK NOW, FARES SET BASED ON HOW FAR YOU TRAVEL, YOU CAN 7 OFFER INITIAL FLAT FARE FOR A CERTAIN DISTANCE. WE OFFER 8 DIFFERENT PRICING LEVELS FOR DIFFERENT MODES AND THE CHOICES ABOUT STEPS IN THE DISTANCED BASED STRUCTURE. AND, AGAIN, JUST 9 10 LIKE WITH THE ZONE SYSTEM, YOU COULD OFFER A DISTANCE-BASED FARE WITH A LOCAL FLAT FARE. AND THEN AT THE BOTTOM THERE, WE 11 HAVE PUT AS A DISTINCT OPTION THE NOTION OF A REGIONAL PASS OR 12 CAP, SO YOU COULD STICK WITH THE EXISTING FARE STRUCTURE, NO 13 CHANGES. BUT CREATE A PASS OR A CAP PRODUCT. ONE THING I 14 15 WANTED TO POINT OUT, WE'RE PARTICULARLY INTERESTED AS A 16 PROJECT TEAM, IN FULLY EXPLORING THE NOTION OF AN EMPLOYER BASED OR INSTITUTIONAL-BASED PASS PROGRAM ALONGSIDE BROADER 17 PASS OPTIONS. SO WE HAVE DEFINED IT HERE AS NO CHANGE PLUS 18 19 PASS OR CAP, BUT OF COURSE, YOU MAY BE REALIZING THIS, AS WELL, THAT YOU COULD TAKE THE PASS OR CAP PRODUCT AND APPLY IT 20 21 TO ANY OF THE ABOVE OPTIONS AS WELL. SO THOSE ARE THE BIG TENT 22 OPTIONS. MAYBE WE COULD STOP THERE AND TALK ABOUT ANY 23 OUESTIONS OR COMMENTS OR CONCERNS OR ADDS PEOPLE WANT TO MAKE, SUGGESTIONS UNDER BIG TENT. OH, AND YOU KNOW, IT MAY BE USEFUL 24 TO KEEP THE TABLE UP FOR SOME FOLKS TO LOOK AT. 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

January 22, 2021

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SO THERE ARE A BUNCH OF PEOPLE
WITH RAISED HANDS. SO, ANNE OLIVIA?

4

1

5 ANNE OLIVIA ELDRED: I REALLY DO APPRECIATE THE PRESENTATION AND THE CLEAR AMOUNT OF WORK AND THOUGHT THAT HAS GONE INTO 6 7 EVERYTHING THAT WE'RE SEEING TODAY. I HAVE SOME CONCERNS 8 REGARDING SOME OF THE APPROACHES, AND I'M JUST HOPING TO ASK FOR SOME CLARIFICATION, SPECIFICALLY AROUND EOUITY, AND TO 9 10 START WITH, I AM DEEPLY OPPOSED TO FARE BY DISTANCE. IT DISCOURAGES LONG TRIPS, AND WE WOULD REALLY LIKE TO BE 11 ENCOURAGING LONG TRIPS ON PUBLIC TRANSIT, AS THAT IS THE WAY 12 THAT WE HAVE THE MOST IMMEDIATE AND SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON 13 VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED, WHICH HAS THE IMPACT ON BOTH CARBON 14 15 EMISSIONS AS WELL AS OTHER CRITERION POLLUTANT IN OUR 16 NEIGHBORHOODS, TRAFFIC DEATHS, ALL THAT KIND OF STUFF. LIKE IT'S FARE BY DISTANCE, REALLY PUNISHES PEOPLE WITH LESS 17 18 ECONOMIC RESOURCES. WEALTHY PEOPLE CAN AFFORD TO LIVE NEAR 19 THEIR JOBS, LOW INCOME PEOPLE CAN'T. SO WE JUST REALLY WANT TO SAY THAT EOUITY AND WHO IS IMPACTED REALLY SHOULD BE FRONT AND 20 CENTER WHEN WE LOOK AT ALL THESE THINGS. AND WHAT MODELS 21 22 ENCOURAGE OF THE USE OF LONG DISTANCE PUBLIC TRANSIT. AND 23 ANOTHER THING THAT CAME UP THAT DERAILED MY THOUGHTS COMPLETELY, WHICH IS THE CONCEPT OF EMPLOYER-BASED PASSES. AND 24 I HAVE SEEN SOME OF THOSE THINGS, WHERE I GREW UP IN PORTLAND 25

OREGON WHICH HAS THE THREE DIFFERENT ZONES FOR TRANSIT, WE 1 WOULD GET PASSES FROM OUR SCHOOL, AND I JUST WANT TO -- I JUST 2 3 -- I REALLY WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT EMPLOYEE BASED PASSES FOR TRANSIT ARE JUST AS FLAWED AS EMPLOYEE BASED HEALTH CARE WHEN 4 5 WE LOOK AT IT WHO GETS THE KIND OF JOBS THAT PROVIDE BONUSES, LIKE HEALTH CARE AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION BONUSES AND THOSE 6 7 KINDS OF THINGS AND WHO DOESN'T, AND IN THE BAY AREA THAT CAN 8 REALLY FREQUENTLY PLAY OUT ALONG RACE LINES AS WELL AS DECIDEDLY CLASS LINES. SO, JUST, WHILE SOME OF THOSE THINGS 9 10 CAN BE REALLY POSITIVE, I WOULD ENCOURAGE A WAY TO MITIGATE THE INHERENTLY INEQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF SOME OF THOSE 11 RESOURCES. MY BRAIN JUST WENT OFF ON THAT COMPLETELY. SO I 12 WILL REMEMBER WHAT THE OTHER THINGS WERE, AND LET SOME OTHER 13 14 FOLKS TALK, AND WAIT UNTIL THE END TO MAYBE ASK THE REST OF MY 15 QUESTIONS.

16

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

17 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY. RICH HEDGES HAS A HAND.

18

19 RICHARD HEDGES: ONE THING I SEE MISSING AND ACTUALLY THIS MAY 20 BE A SOLUTION WITH WHAT OLIVIA IS TALKING ABOUT AND SHE CAN 21 CHIME IN IF SHE AGREES. ONE THING I SEE MISS SUGGEST A CAPPED 22 DAY PASS. LET ME GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE, ESPECIALLY FOR PEOPLE 23 WITH LOW MEANS. WHEN I'M IN LA, IT'S FOR A SHORT PERIOD OF 24 TIME I'VE TRIED IT BOTH WAYS FOR ALL THE DIFFERENT TRANSFERS 25 AND DIFFERENT MODES OF TRANSPORTATION OR I CAN BUY A DAY PASS,

January 22, 2021

AND I'LL TELL YOU THAT PEOPLE OF MODEST MEANS HAVE THE SAME 1 2 PROBLEM. THEY BUY GASOLINE RATHER THAN TAKING TRANSIT, BECAUSE 3 THIS'S A FIXED PRICE FOR THEM ON THAT DAY. BECAUSE IT'S ALL THE MONEY THEY HAVE AND IT MAY BE CHEAPER THAN TAKING TRANSIT. 4 5 IF YOU CAN TAKE A DAY PASS WHEN YOU NEED A DAY PASS, I THINK 6 THAT WORKS BEST FOR PEOPLE ON AN EQUITY BASIS. SO YOU CAN STILL HAVE THE DISTANCE FARES, OR YOU -- AND YOU CAN AUGMENT 7 8 IT WITH A REASONABLE DAY PASS FOR PEOPLE. SO THAT THEY DON'T 9 HAVE TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT ALL THESE DIFFERENT FARES AND TRANSFERS THEY NEED TO DO, THEY MAKE IT WORK FOR THE ENTIRE 10 11 REGION, NO MATTER WHAT MODE IT IS THANK YOU. OH, AND I APOLOGIZE FOR BEING LATE. I HAD A DOCTOR'S APPOINTMENT. THANK 12 YOU. 13 14 15 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANKS. MOVING ON TO IAN 16 GRIFFITHS. 17 18 IAN GRIFFITHS: SORRY. I'LL -- I'LL PASS. I DON'T NEED TO ASK 19 MY QUESTION NOW. I'LL LET OTHERS GO. 20 21 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WENDI? 22 23 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: YEAH, I JUST WANT TO ADD A NOTE ON TO WHAT OLIVIA SAID, BECAUSE I THINK WE DO HAVE TO BALANCE 24 ENVIRONMENTAL NEEDS WITH EQUITY NEEDS, AND OFTENTIMES THE, YOU 25

METROPOLITAN
 TRANSPORTATION
 COMMISSION
 Meeting Transcript

January 22, 2021

KNOW, WITH THE EMPLOYEE INCENTIVES, THAT IS DEFINITELY GOING 1 TO HIGHER INCOME WORKERS, BUT THOSE ARE ALSO THE PEOPLE WHO 2 3 HAVE THE ABILITY AND OFTENTIMES DRIVE. AND SO IT IS IMPORTANT TO HAVE INCENTIVES FOR THOSE WORKERS, WHERE IT'S LOWER INCOME 4 5 WORKERS, THEY DON'T SO MUCH NEED INCENTIVE BECAUSE THEY'RE 6 OFTEN TRANSIT DEPENDENT, AS THEY NEED DISCOUNTED FARES AND 7 BETTER TRANSIT FOR THEIR NEEDS, TO WHERE THEY'RE GOING, AND 8 THE TIME OF DAY WHEN THEY HAVE TO GO. SO I THINK YOU NEED TO LOOK AT BOTH THINGS WHEN YOU'RE BALANCING THESE THINGS OUT. 9 AND I JUST WANTED TO MAKE THAT COMMENT. 10

11

12 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY. THANKS. SO MOVING ON. HARTMAN HAS A 13 HAND.

14

TISHA DEE HARTMAN: THANK YOU. I JUST WANTED TO ADD A LITTLE 15 16 BIT TO WHAT ANNE WAS SAYING. I FEEL VERY STRONGLY ABOUT THE DISTANCE. AND IT'S FOR THE EXACT SAME REASON THAT ANNE FEELS 17 18 VERY STRONGLY ABOUT THE ZONES. AND WHEN I THINK OF THE PEOPLE 19 WHO ARE THE NEEDIEST WHO USE THIS, THEY'RE GOING TO BE TRAVELING FROM VERY FAR DISTANCE, FROM TRACY, DOWN TO THE BAY 20 21 AREA, THOSE ARE THE COMMUTER POPULATIONS THAT WOULD BE HURT 22 THE MOST. AND I GET THE SENSE THAT TRAVELING BY ZONE IS GOING 23 TO PENALIZE SOME USERS WHEREAS DISTANCE MIGHT BE EQUATED TO THEIR EXACT DISTANCE IN FARES. SO I'M THINKING ALONG THE LINES 24 OF EQUITY AND LEANING MORE TOWARDS THE DISTANCE BY MILE. SO I 25

January 22, 2021

THINK WHAT WOULD BE BENEFICIAL FOR US AS WE LOOK TO REALLY 1 2 MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE CONSIDERING EQUITY, IS UNDERSTANDING 3 WHAT AN AVERAGE, LONG DISTANCE FARE WOULD LOOK LIKE IN THESE TWO DIFFERENT CLASSIFICATIONS. HOW MIGHT THAT FARE PAN OUT IN 4 5 A ZONE AND HOW MIGHT THAT FARE PAN OUT IN A DISTANCE. SO THAT WE CAN PUT SOME REAL-WORLD MEAT ON THAT BONE AND KIND OF GET A 6 7 BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF IT SO WE CAN LEAN INTO ONE THAT MAKES 8 SENSE WITH EQUITY IN MIND. THAT WAS IT. THANK YOU.

9

10 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU. MARK CORDES?

11

MARK CORDES: THANK YOU ADINA. I ALWAYS TRY TO HAVE A RULE OF 12 NOT SPEAKING AT THE FIRST MEETING I EVER ATTEND, AND I HAVE 13 REAL TROUBLE KEEPING TO THAT RULE. I THINK I'M -- I WANT TO 14 BRING A COUPLE OF CONCERNS, THAT I AM SURE ARE ON EVERYBODY'S 15 16 MIND, BUT I THINK ARE CRITICAL AT THIS JUNCTURE, ONE S YES, EQUITY HAS TO BE FRONT AND CENTER. IT'S IMPORTANT TO THINK 17 18 ABOUT EOUITY. CERTAINLY IT IS CLEAR THAT THE COMMUNITIES AND 19 NEIGHBORHOODS THAT HAVE BEEN CONSISTENTLY LEFT BEHIND BY PREVIOUS DECISIONS, AND THOSE FOLKS HAVE TO BE RECENTERED AS 20 21 WE MOVE FORWARD. AND THAT GETS TO MY REAL CONCERN WHICH IS ARE 22 WE DESIGNING A SYSTEM FOR THE -- A FARE SYSTEM FOR THE SYSTEM 23 THAT WE HAVE? OR FOR THE SYSTEM THAT WE ACTUALLY NEED IN THE BAY AREA. THE MOST CAR DEPENDENT PEOPLE IN THE BAY AREA I KNOW 24 25 BEST WHICH IS IN SAN FRANCISCO, I FOLKS FROM THE COMMUNITIES

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

January 22, 2021

OF CONCERN. AND IT'S BECAUSE WE HAVE NOT BUILT OUT THE TRANSIT 1 INFRASTRUCTURE THAT SERVES THEIR NEEDS APPROPRIATELY, AND WE 2 3 DISCOVERED THAT WITH COVID BY HAVING TO REDO OUR SYSTEM NOT TO DELIVER HIGH VALUE WORKERS TO THE CENTRAL FINANCIAL CORE, BUT 4 5 TO ACTUALLY SERVICE THE SERVICE WORKERS AND ESSENTIAL WORKERS GETTING THEM TO THE PLACES THAT THEY NEED TO GO. AND MY 6 7 ARGUMENT WOULD BE, WHAT ABOUT THESE STRUCTURES ENHANCES OUR 8 ABILITY TO CREATE THE TRANSIT SYSTEM THAT WE NEED TO MOVE THE 9 PEOPLE WHO MOST NEED TRANSIT TOWARDS TRANSIT AS AN EFFECTIVE MEANS OF MOVING THEM ABOUT THE BAY AREA, AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO 10 CARS AND OTHER THINGS? MY EXPERIENCE, AS A SAN FRANCISCO 11 EXPERIENCE WHEN I WORKED IN BERKLEY WAS IT WAS CHEAPER WHEN I 12 DID THE MATH TO REVERSE COMMUTE BY CAR THAN IT WAS FOR ME TO 13 TAKE BART. THOSE ARE MY POINTS OF ARE UNDERLYING ISSUES THAT 14 KIND OF GET EMBEDDED IN THIS BUT I WOULD LOVE TO HEAR CLARITY, 15 16 ONE HOW WE DO EQUITY, AND TWO, HOW WE POSITION THE SYSTEM, WHATEVER IT IS, SO THAT IT ENCOURAGES THE CREATION OF THE KIND 17 18 OF TRANSIT SYSTEM THAT THE GREATER BAY AREA REALLY NEEDS TO 19 SERVICE THE DAY-TO-DAY TRIPS OF FOLKS IN OUR COMMUNITIES. AND WITH THAT, I WILL STOP. AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. 20 21

22 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: GREAT. THANK YOU. SO, RANDI KINMAN HAS A23 HAND.

24

RANDI KINMAN: THANK YOU. I JUST WANT TO PUT IN THE CIRCLE BACK 1 TO, AT SOME POINT IN TIME, A COMBINATION OF A COUPLE OF 2 3 THINGS, AND THAT'S A CAP TO DAY PASS. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT --AND I REALLY APPRECIATE RICK'S STATEMENTS, BECAUSE WE NEED TO 4 5 BE LOOKING AHEAD AT THE SYSTEM WE WANT TO DESIGN, AND RIGHT NOW OUR SYSTEM IS NOT DESIGNED FOR THE MASSIVE AMOUNT OF 6 PEOPLE WHO ACTUALLY BRING MONEY INTO THIS REGION, WHICH IS OUR 7 8 TOURIST INDUSTRY. SO MAKING SURE THAT WE CAN OFFER THAT AS A PROMOTIONAL ITEM TO HOTELS, TO CONVENTION CENTERS, TO ALL OF 9 THESE PEOPLE WHO EITHER BRING IN-HOUSE DRAW BRINGING IN A HUGE 10 AMOUNT OF MONEY INTO THIS REGION IN DAY-TO-DAY THINGS OR 11 SPECIAL EVENTS, I THINK THAT'S REALLY GOING TO BE AN IMPORTANT 12 SERVICE TO OFFER DOWN THE ROAD. THANK YOU. 13

14

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANKS. AND I HAVE A COUPLE OF COMMENTS TO ADD TO HERE. AND ONE IS A QUESTION ABOUT HOW COST IS GOING TO BE LOOKED AT. SO, IN THE ANALYSIS IS, IS THE ANALYSIS GOING TO COME BACK WITH BOTH THE COSTS AND THE DIVERSE SETS OF BENEFITS FOR EACH OPTION THAT IS STUDIED?

20

MICHAEL EISEMAN: YES. SO THE BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS, THE ULTIMATE -- THE SORT OF THE STEP WHERE WE DO DETAILED ANALYSIS ON THE SHORT LIST OF OPTIONS, IT WILL HAVE -- WE'LL ATTEMPT TO SORT OF MODEL TRAVEL BEHAVIOR IMPACTS TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, RIGHT? WE'LL USE THAT TO TRY TO ACCESS THE IMPACT ON TRAVEL

BEHAVIOR. WE'RE GOING TO TRY TO DO SOME KIND OF ESTIMATE OF 1 2 SORT OF SECOND ARE THE IMPACTS ON THINGS LIKE VMT AND AIR 3 POLLUTION. THERE IS GOING TO BE AN EVALUATION OF, YOU KNOW, SORT OF THE REVENUE IMPACTS IN TERMS OF THE CHANGES IN THE 4 5 FARE STRUCTURE, BUT THEN ALSO THE COST TO IMPLEMENT THE IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES, ET CETERA. SO, YOU KNOW THERE IS 6 7 PARTS OF THE -- THERE IS CASE ANALYSIS THAT TRIES TO HIT EACH 8 OF THOSE BUCKETS.

9

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: UH-HUH. OKAY. AND, SO ONE THING THAT WOULD 10 11 BE INTERESTING TO ANALYZE IN TERMS OF THE COST AS A VARIANT OF SOMETHING THAT IS IN HERE, I THINK THAT THERE IS AN IDEA 12 HEREOF THE SECOND ZONE FREE, BUT THERE ARE, OFTEN, ARE 13 SUGGESTIONS OF MAKING LOCAL BUSES FREE, AND I THINK THAT WOULD 14 BE THE EQUIVALENT -- ACTUALLY LET ME START SOME PLACE ELSE AND 15 16 COME BACK TO THAT. SO, I DEFINITELY THINK THAT WE SHOULD BE INCLUDING SOME OPTIONS THAT ARE, YOU KNOW, MODE AGNOSTIC 17 18 WHERE, YOU KNOW, FOR THE SAME PRICE, YOU CAN USE A, YOU KNOW, 19 FASTER TRAIN, AND, YOU KNOW, TO TAKE A BASIC TRIP, YOU KNOW, THERE IS A FIX -- YOU KNOW, A GOOD AMOUNT OF STRUCTURE IN THE 20 U.S. AND FARE STRUCTURE THAT'S DESIGNED WITH THE IDEA THAT LOW 21 22 INCOME PEOPLE WILL USE BUSES AND HIGH INCOME PEOPLE WILL USE 23 FAST TRAINS, AND THERE'S NO NEED TO PROVIDE AFFORDABILITY TO SERVICES, AND SO I THINK THAT IT SHOULD BE STUDIED THAT THE 24 RIDERSHIP ASSETS AND EQUITY BENEFITS ARE HAVING A MODE 25

January 22, 2021

AGNOSTIC SYSTEM AND WITH THAT POTENTIALLY TO HAVE AN OPTION OF 1 NO FARE ON A SECOND ZONE BUT THEN NO FARE ON A FIRST ZONE IT 2 3 COULD BE LOCAL BUS FREE BUT ALSO POTENTIALLY ALLOW SOMEBODY TO TAKE A SHORT TRAIN RIDE FOR FREE, AND IT MIGHT WIND UP BEING 4 5 OUITE COSTLY BUT IT WOULD BE INTERESTING AND INFORMATIVE AND INTERESTING TO SEE FOR PEOPLE WHAT THAT COST IS. IN TERMS OF 6 FARE BY DISTANCE, I THINK THAT'S ALSO SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE 7 8 REALLY IMPORTANT TO LOOK AT THE COST IMPLICATIONS. BECAUSE IF WE HAD ENTIRELY FLAT FARES, WHAT WOULD BE THE IMPACT OF 9 10 REVENUE, AND HOW MUCH DIFFERENT REVENUE WERE HIGHER BASE PRICES BE NEEDED TO HAVE, YOU KNOW, A FLAT FARE STRUCTURE. BUT 11 I DO THINK THAT -- LIKE, IN THAT CURVE, THERE WAS A, DIFFERENT 12 OPTIONS FOR STEEPNESS, AND I THINK THAT'S AN IMPORTANT 13 14 VARIABLE TO RESEARCH. ONE SUGGESTION I HAVE, AND THIS GOES TO 15 CONCERNS ABOUT THE INSTITUTIONAL PASSES. CALTRAIN JUST DID 16 SOMETHING REALLY INNOVATIVE, WHICH WAS, THEY HAD AN INSTITUTIONAL PASS THAT WAS, UNTIL VERY RECENTLY, ONLY ABLE TO 17 BE USED BY FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES OF MAJOR CORPORATIONS WHICH 18 19 GAVE THE DEEPEST DISCOUNTS TO THE HIGHEST INCOME WORKERS. AND THAT WAS AN UPSIDE DOWN PERSPECTIVE OF EOUITY AND THEY 20 21 RECENTLY ENABLED THAT PASS TO BE USED BY CONTRACTORS AND PART-22 TIME WORKERS AND NOT ONLY THAT, TO ALLOW THOSE PASSES TO BE 23 DONATED TO NON-PROFITS AND THOSE NON-PROFITS COULD BE SOCIAL SERVICE AGENCIES OR ALSO COULD BE TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT 24 ASSOCIATIONS THAT SERVE SMALL BUSINESSES THAT HAVE LOW-25

January 22, 2021

WAGEWORKERS. SO, I THINK THAT, AS ANNE OLIVIA MENTIONED, IF WE
WANT TO GET THE BENEFITS OF HAVING PROGRAMS TO, YOU KNOW, BULK
INCENT WORKINGS AND GROUPS OF PEOPLE TO COME UP WITH A
MECHANISM THAT ALLOWS INCENTIVES TO GO TO LOWER INCOME SETS OF
PEOPLE, AS WELL. I WILL HAVE MORE QUESTIONS ABOUT EQUITY
BEFORE WE ARE DONE, BUT WOULD LOVE TO MOVE ON. ACTUALLY, BRIAN
HAD A HAND.

8

9 BRIAN STANKE: THANK YOU ADINA. YEAH, I JUST WANT TO CHECK IN 10 WITH MIKE ON WHAT EXACTLY IS THE FEEDBACK ON THE SIX OPTIONS 11 YOU'RE LOOKING FOR TODAY? SEVERAL PANELISTS RAISED SOME GOOD 12 POINTS ABOUT EQUITY, AND, YOU KNOW, AND REVERSE PEAK, AND THAT 13 GETS TO THE FARES BY TIME OF TRAVEL AND CUSTOMER TYPE THAT YOU 14 WEREN'T WORKING AT TODAY, BUT I THINK THE PLAN WAS MAKING 15 IMPORTANT POINTS, BUT WHAT FEEDBACK DO YOU WANT?

16

MICHAEL EISEMAN: WE ARE TRYING TO LAY OUT WHAT WE UNDERSTAND 17 18 TO BE THE UNIVERSE OF OPTIONS. WE'RE LOOKING FOR YOUR INPUT ON 19 ANYTHING THAT SORT OF IS MISSING FROM THIS LIST. THIS IS THE FIRST OF FOUR OF THESE TABLES SO WE'RE GOING GO THROUGH ALL 20 21 FOUR, AND THEN AT THE END, WE ARE ALSO GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE 22 SCREENING CRITERIA, AT A HIGH LEVEL, AT A VERY HIGH LEVEL FOR 23 HOW WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT FROM LONG LIST TO SHORT LIST. BUT I THINK FOR NOW, AS WE RUN THROUGH THEM, ONE IS, ANY 24 25 CLARIFICATION NEED, TWO, MATTERS OF PREFERENCE WHICH WE WILL

January 22, 2021

CERTAINLY MAKE A NOTE ON IN TERMS OF OPTIONS BUT THE MOST 1 IMPORTANT IS, IS THERE ANYTHING MISSING HERE THAT WE SHOULD BE 2 3 ADDING. AND ONE THING TO LOOK AT IS OUR NUMBER SIX HERE IS INTEND TO UNDERSTAND INCLUSIVE OF A LOT OF THE PASS IDEAS THAT 4 5 FOLKS ARE THROWING OUT, IS THIS ONE OPTION OR SHOULD BE SPLIT INTO MORE THAN ONE ROW, IT'S SOMETHING THAT I THINK I WOULD 6 7 WANT TO GO BACK AND TALK TO OUR PROJECT TEAM ABOUT. 8 9 BRIAN STANKE: A REGIONAL PASS OR CAP IS SOMETHING YOU CAN APPLY TO ANY OF THEM. 10 11 MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH. 12 13 BRIAN STANKE: IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO SPLIT IT NOW. 14 15 16 MICHAEL EISEMAN: IT'S A DISTINCT OPTION AS LISTED HERE IF IT WERE THE ONLY CHANGE. YOU COULD LEAVE EVERYTHING INTACT BUT 17 ADD A REGIONAL PASS, THAT WOULD BE AN ALTERNATIVE AND IT'S 18 19 ALSO A VARIANCE AS ANOTHER OPTION. 20 BRIAN STANKE: I'M JUST NOT SURE IF THERE'S OPTIONS THAT DO 21 22 VERY LITTLE, IS IT WORTH PURSUING THOSE? WE GET ALL THE WAY TO 23 THE BIG TENT AND THEN DON'T CHANGE ANYTHING. 24

January 22, 2021

1	MICHAEL EISEMAN: JUST A STRUCTURED FARE ADDING A MONTHLY PASS
2	THAT YOU COULD RIDE TRANSIT, THE WHOLE REASON THAT WOULD BE A
3	BIG CHANGE AND I'M NOT SURE THAT'S A FARE IT WOULD BE A
4	LESS INTENSIVE CHANGE OR LESS REVOLUTIONARY THAN SOME OF THESE
5	OTHER IDEAS, FOR SURE. AND IF FOLKS HAVE SOME OTHER OPTIONS
6	THEY WANT TO TOSS IN
7	
8	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: RICH HEDGES HAS A HAND. RICH, DO YOU HAVE
9	ANOTHER COMMENT ABOUT THESE OR DO YOU STILL HAVE YOUR HAND
10	RAISED FROM BEFORE?
11	
12	RICHARD HEDGES: I HAVE ANOTHER COMMENT.
13	
14	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY.
15	
16	RICHARD HEDGES: GO AHEAD?
17	
18	ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: YEAH. GO AHEAD.
19	
20	RICHARD HEDGES: YEAH I JUST WANT TO EMPHASIZE SOMETHING FROM
21	MY FIRST COMMENT. I THINK THAT THE PASSES CAN FUNCTION AS A
22	FLAT FARE, AND IF YOU ADD THE DAY PASS, AND IT GIVES PEOPLE
23	THOSE THREE OPTIONS, THOSE ARE, DEPENDING ON THEIR COST AND
24	HOW ASSESSABLE THEY ARE TO PEOPLE OF LOWER INCOME, I THINK
25	THAT'S AN ANSWER FOR FIXING A FLAT FARE. YOU SAID OTHER

January 22, 2021

SYSTEMS, AND I THINK IT WORKS QUITE WELL. I WAS SURPRISED I
 ONLY SAW THE MONTHLY OR WEEKLY PASS WRITTEN DOWN HERE. BECAUSE
 I HAVE BEEN POUNDING FOR THIS DAY PASS FOR YEARS. THANK YOU.
 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY. SO WE ARE NOW MOVING ON TO THE NEXT

6 SET OF OPTIONS.

7

8 MICHAEL EISEMAN: OKAY. SURE. ON THE NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. THIS IS 9 THE GREAT ALLIANCE, AND YOU WILL NOTICE HERE THAT THE LIST OF OPTIONS IS THE SAME. SO, THE KEY DIFFERENCE HERE, THE GRAY 10 ALLIANCE IS A DIFFERENT PATHWAY IT'S A QUESTION OF MANAGEMENT 11 AUTHORITY. IN THIS APPROACH, INSTEAD OF HAVING A SINGLE FARE 12 SETTING AUTHORITY, YOU HAVE POTENTIALLY ALL THE OPERATORS IN 13 THE REGION COMING TOGETHER TO WORK TOGETHER WITHIN A SINGLE 14 STRUCTURE. SO, YOU MIGHT HAVE A, YOU KNOW, AN EXAMPLE, THE 15 16 CLIPPER EXECUTIVE BOARD, OR A SUBCOMMITTEE THAT RUNS THIS PROJECT, THE FARE INTEGRATION TASK FORCE HAS MANY TRANSIT 17 18 AGENCIES THEY MAKE CERTAIN DECISIONS TOGETHER EXCEPT CERTAIN 19 STANDARDS. TOGETHER A BODY LIKE THAT COULD BE THE MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE FOR FARES. EACH TRANSIT AGENCY BOARD IN THIS 20 21 FRAMEWORK MAINTAINS ITS OWN FARE SETTING AUTHORITY. THEY AGREE 22 TO WORK WITHIN A PARTICULAR STRUCTURE. FOR EXAMPLE, CELLULAR ZONES, CELLULAR ZONES WITH FLAT FARES, FARES BY DISTANCE WITH 23 LOCAL FLAT FARE. THE STRUCTURE WOULD BE APPLIED REGION-WIDE 24 BUT THE OPTION IN THE MIX HERE IS THAT WITHIN THAT ZONE 25

January 22, 2021

STRUCTURE, EACH AGENCY WOULD HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO SET ITS OWN 1 FARE. SO MUNI AND AC TRANSIT MIGHT BOTH HAVE FLAT FARES AS 2 3 THEY DO TODAY BUT THEY MIGHT HAVE A DIFFERENT FLAT FARE. SO WITHIN THAT FRAMEWORK, THE GENERAL LIST OF OPTIONS ARE THE 4 5 SAME. THE -- LET'S SEE. THE -- SO I THINK A KEY DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF IMP IMPLEMENTIBILITY OF THESE OPTIONS IS QUITE 6 7 DIFFERENT. ON THE ONE HAND IT REMOVES THE REQUIREMENT THAT THE 8 BASIC LAWS IN SOME CASES IN RULES ESTABLISHING TRANSIT AGENCY GOVERNANCE WOULDN'T HAVE TO BE REPLACED WITH SOMETHING NEW 9 WHICH IS AN IMPLEMENTIBILITY ADVANTAGE. BUT THE SYSTEM ITSELF 10 11 IS QUITE STABLE. IN A SITUATION WHERE BART BOARD AND AC TRANSIT COULD OPT OUT AND DIFFERENT FROM THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD 12 THAT WOULDN'T BE AS CLEAR AND STRAIGHT FORWARD TO THE RIDER. 13 LET ME LEAVE THAT THERE. THIS DECISION IS AMONG THE HARDEST 14 15 EVEN FOR US TO SORT OF GET OUR HEADS AROUND. I HAVE EXPLAINED BEST I CAN. I THINK IT'S AN IMPORTANT ONE THOUGH. SO I'LL OPEN 16 IT UP TO QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS, CONCERNS ABOUT THIS 17 18 DISTINCTION.

19

20 WILLIAM BACON: THIS IS BILL. I THINK AS HE SAID THIS IS HARD 21 TO INTERPRET WHAT THIS DISTINCTION IS AND I'LL THROW OUT TWO 22 EXAMPLES. THINK, FOR EXAMPLE, YOU WERE -- THERE WAS A REGIONAL 23 ZONE BASED SYSTEM, THERE WAS A MAP THAT HAD CELLULAR ZONES FOR 24 OUR REGION, BUT IF YOU TOOK A SAMTRANS BUS FROM THE PENINSULA 25 TO SAN FRANCISCO, AND YOU TRAVELED THROUGH THREE ZONES, THAT

January 22, 2021

WOULD BE THE SAME ZONE APP THAT SOMEONE COULD USE A GOLDEN 1 GATE TRANSIT BUS TO TRAVEL FROM SANTA ROSA TO SAN FRANCISCO 2 3 WAS LOOKING AT, BUT EACH AGENCY, SAMTRANS AND GOLDEN GATE HAVE THEIR OWN FARE TABLE FOR WHAT IT COST TO TRAVEL THROUGH, YOU 4 5 KNOW, THE CERTAIN NUMBER OF ZONES YOU'RE GOING THROUGH. SO THAT'S MAYBE ONE THING TO THINK ABOUT IS THOSE ARE THE KIND OF 6 7 DISTINCTIONS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. 8 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: SO DOES ANYBODY HAVE QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS 9 ABOUT THIS SET OF OPTIONS? I SEE WENDI'S HAND. 10

11

WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: YEAH. THANKS FOR TRYING TO CLARIFY THAT, BILL. JUST SO THAT I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. SO, IN THE LAST ONE, THERE WOULD BE, LIKE, ONE UNIVERSAL MAP THAT SHOWS ALL OF THE DIFFERENT AGENCIES AND IN THIS ONE EACH INDIVIDUAL AGENCY WOULD HAVE ITS OWN MAP. IS THAT THE DISTINCTION? OKAY. DIDN'T GET IT THEN.

18

MICHAEL EISEMAN: THE MAP MIGHT BE THE SAME BUT THE PRICE
DIFFERENT. THE MAP, SO TO TAKE A TRIP LET'S SEE, ON AC TRANSIT
OR BART, YOU KNOW BART -- THE ZONES MIGHT BE THE SAME,
EVERYBODY WOULD WORK FROM THE SAME ZONE MAP, BUT THE BART
BOARD WOULD HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO SET ONE PRICE FOR THAT TRIP
AND AC AUTHORITY BOARD WOULD HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO SET A
DIFFERENT PRICE FOR THE SAME TRIP.

1

January 22, 2021

2 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: WHEREAS IN THE BIG TENT EVERYBODY IS 3 OPERATING UNDER THE SAME PRICE RANGE. IS PRICE RANGE GOING TO VARY DEPENDING ON WHETHER IT'S A BUS OR A TRAIN? 4 5 MICHAEL EISEMAN: IT COULD. SO UNDER THE BIG TENT WE LEAVE OPEN 6 7 THE OPTION FOR HAVING DIFFERENT PRICING FOR DIFFERENT MODES. 8 9 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: GREAT. I UNDERSTAND IT NOW. 10 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: AND I SEE THAT RICH HEDGES HAS A HAND. 11 12 RICHARD HEDGES: THANK YOU. YEAH. I'M LOOKING AT THIS, AND TELL 13 ME IF I'M WRONG. IT TELLS ME THIS IS MORE COMPLICATED FOR A 14 15 RIDER. LET ME GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE, A YOUNG WOMAN WORKED AT 16 SAFE WAY SHE GOT OFF, WORKED VERY LATE, SHEER -- SHE DIDN'T 17 HAVE A CAR. SHE MISSED THE BUS SAMTRANS BUS, CALTRAIN PULLED 18 UP IS SHE JUMPED ON IT PAID HER FARE, AND UNFORTUNATELY SHE 19 PAID ONLY ONE ZONE BUT THE STATION SHE WAS GOING TO WAS PASSED, SHE GOT A 280 THERE PARTICULATE FROM CALTRAIN WE WERE 20 21 ABLE TO GET THAT DISMISSED FOR OUR MEMBER, BUT IT WAS A LOT OF 22 STRESS FOR HER. SO IT LOOKS LIKE THIS IS A SYSTEM THAT WOULD 23 BE MORE COMPLICATED TO FIGURE OUT HOW MUCH IT'S GOING TO COST THEM, AND UNLESS THEY'RE WELL HEALED AND CAN LEAVE A LARGE 24 AMOUNT OF MONEY ON A TAP CARD, I THINK WE'RE GOING TO HAVE 25



PEOPLE GETTING INTO TROUBLE. THANK YOU. OH, AND TELL ME IF I'M 1 2 -- [INDISCERNIBLE]. 3 MICHAEL EISEMAN: YOU HAVE GOT IT. THERE IS MORE RULES TO LEARN 4 5 IN THIS -- IN THE DIFFERENT -- IN THE FRAMEWORK WE HAVE DESCRIBED HERE, POTENTIALLY, IN THE BIG TENT. 6 7 8 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU. ANNE OLIVIA? 9 ANNE OLIVIA ELDRED: THANKS SO MUCH. SORRY TO BE TALKING SO 10 MUCH TODAY. BUT, JUST TO MAKE IT EVEN MORE COMPLICATED, IT 11 SEEMS LIKE THE ADVANTAGE TO EACH SYSTEM IS GOING TO DEPEND 12 PRETTY HEAVILY ON THE PERSPECTIVE THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT IT 13 FROM. SO INDIVIDUAL TRANSIT AGENCIES MAY WISH TO RETAIN A MORE 14 15 AUTONOMY OVER THEIR ABLE TO SET PRICES, WHEREAS FROM A TRANSIT RIDER PERSPECTIVE, A DIFFERENT OPTION MAY BE PREFERABLE. SO IF 16 WE -- LIKE, IS THERE A WAY TO LOOK AT, MAYBE IT'S THE SAME 17 18 PRICE FOR THE RIDER, BUT THE PERCENTAGE OF EACH FARE IS SPLIT 19 BETWEEN -- LIKE, IF IT'S A MULTIPLE FARE RIDE, THAT THINGS CAN BE SPLIT DIFFERENTLY? OR IS THERE AN OPTION TO KEEP THINGS AS 20 21 SIMPLE AS POSSIBLE FOR THE RIDER WHILE ACKNOWLEDGING DIFFERENT 22 LEVELS OF RELIANCE ON FARES BY DIFFERENT AGENCIES, AS WELL AS 23 DIFFERENT OPERATIONAL COSTS, WHETHER FROM HAVING MADE PRUDENT INVESTMENTS EARLY ON, OR FROM JUST DIFFERENT COSTS FOR 24 25 **OPERATION?**

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

1

MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH. I THINK, REALLY, IN ANY OF THESE 2 3 OPTIONS, THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE SOME KIND OF REVENUE SHARING OR DISTRIBUTION MODEL WHERE WE MAKE SURE THAT ALL THE SERVICES 4 5 -- WHERE THE REVENUE GETS COLLECTED AND THE SERVICES GET PAID 6 FOR. SO BOTH BIG TENT AND GREAT ALLIANCE, THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE A REVENUE SHARING MODEL OF THE TYPE THAT YOU DESCRIBED. I 7 8 THINK THAT -- SO A LOT OF THE DISTINCTION HERE DOES HAVE TO DO WITH SORT OF AGENCY AUTONOMY, AND AGENCY PREROGATIVE, RIGHT? 9 WHICH MAY NOT BE THAT IMPORTANT OR IMPRESSIVE TO A CUSTOMER. 10 WE'RE TRYING TO LOOK AT THIS FROM A CUSTOMER PERSPECTIVE. 11 THERE IS STAKEHOLDERS HERE WHO WOULD ALSO MAKE THE CASE THAT 12 SOME DEGREE OF LOCAL CONTROL IS GOOD FOR ITS OWN SAKE. 13 14 ASSOCIATION FOR EXAMPLE, A PARTICULAR COMMUNITY MIGHT REALLY 15 WANT TO PROVIDE A SUBSIDY TO PROVIDE A HIGHER SENIOR DISCOUNT, FOR EXAMPLE, THAN SOME OTHER SYSTEM, RIGHT? SO YOU MIGHT WANT 16 TO -- THAT MIGHT BE SOMETHING YOU VALUE, HAVING COMMUNITIES BE 17 ABLE TO DIRECTLY PROVIDE THAT SUBSIDY. SO A FRAMEWORK LIKE THE 18 19 GREAT ALLIANCE WOULD ALLOW THEM THAT KIND OF LOCAL CONTROL. 20 21 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. IAN. 22 23 IAN GRIFFITHS: YEAH, SO I DON'T -- THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE WAY YOU CHARACTERIZE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE GREAT ALLIANCE 24

25 OPTION AND THE BIG TENT BASICALLY THIS ALLOWS FOR DIFFERENT

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

AGENCIES OR PARTS OF THE REGION TO SET, SAY, A DIFFERENT LOCAL 1 TRANSIT FARE. THAT'S POSSIBLE UNDER THE BIG TENT OPTION TOO. 2 3 SO THAT'S NOT -- I MEAN, THE BIG TENT OPTION, YOU KNOW, GOING BACK TO MARK'S QUESTION, LIKE, WHICH OPTION ALLOWS FOR THE 4 5 GREATEST RANGE, AND FLEXIBILITY OF APPROACHES, LIKE, I THINK, MY RESPONSE TO THAT QUESTION WOULD BE BIG TENT, BECAUSE YOU 6 7 CAN PUT AS MUCH KIND OF REGIONAL DIFFERENTIATION IN YOU WANT 8 TO, YOU CAN TAYLOR EQUITY POLICIES FOR A POLICY OR CONNECT POLICY FOR DIFFERENT PARTS A REGION, I THINK THE RIDERSHIP AND 9 10 OTHER COMPONENTS OF THESE APPROACHES, THE GREAT ALLIANCE AND BIG TENT -- THROUGH THE SAME PERSPECTIVE, THE BIG TENT OPTION 11 WHERE BY YOU SAY SAN FRANCISCO HAS A LOCAL BASE FARE OF \$3 AND 12 THEN INCREMENTAL \$1 EXTRA FOR EVERY TIME THAT YOU GO AND THAT 13 THE LOCAL BASE PAIR IS \$2 SONOMA COUNTY, THAT COULD BE A BIG 14 TENT MODEL LIKE SONOMA COUNTY HAVING PASSED SOME LOCAL TAX AND 15 16 WANTING TO KEEP LOCAL FARES LOWER BUT THE INCREMENT FOR GOING LONGER DISTANCE IS GREATER. SO I GUESS I JUST -- YEAH, I GUESS 17 18 THAT'S MY MAIN COMMENT IS I DON'T SEE THAT -- AS YOU EVALUATE 19 THE BENEFITS OF EACH OF THESE OPTIONS, I SUSPECT THERE IS REALLY NO DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF RIDERSHIP AND MODELING AND 20 21 PRICING THAT WOULD REALLY COME FROM THE ORGANIZATION. I THINK THE QUESTION IS WHICH GOVERNANCE MODEL IS ACTUALLY MORE LIKELY 22 TO ENABLE THE KIND OF, THE FLEXIBILITY OF THESE OPTIONS WHICH 23 IS I GUESS A DIFFERENT PART OF THE BUSINESS CASE. I THINK 24 THAT'S ALL I WANTED TO SAY. I'M SORRY -- WHILE I -- SO I DON'T 25

HAVE TO RAISE MY HAND A SECOND TIME, AS FAR AS PREFERENCES, IT 1 2 WOULD BE GOOD TO STUDY CERTAINLY A CELLULAR ZONE OPTION, A 3 FARE BY DISTANCE OPTION. I'M LESS CLEAR ON THE BENEFITS OF A REGIONAL CAP OR PASS. HONESTLY, IF YOU DO THAT AS A STANDALONE 4 5 THING, I THINK IT WOULD BE GOOD TO HAVE IT BE AT A SUBREGIONAL LEVEL NOT JUST AT A REGIONAL LEVEL. I THINK NINE COUNTIES FEW 6 7 PEOPLE ARE GOING TO TRAVEL WITHIN THE FULL NINE COUNTIES AND I 8 THINK YOU WOULD END UP WITH A PASS THAT IS QUITE EXPENSIVE 9 WHEREAS IF YOU HAD AN EAST BAY PASS AND A NORTH BAY PASS, AND A SAN FRANCISCO PENINSULA, YOU KNOW, YOU MIGHT HAVE A SET OF 10 11 PASSES THAT ARE REALLY SUBREGIONAL IN NATURE, AND THAT MIGHT BE A -- IF YOU'RE GOING TO STUDY THAT AT ALL, I WOULD SAY THAT 12 WOULD BE THE WHERE TIME MIGHT BE OF GREATER VALUE. 13

14

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

MICHAEL EISEMAN: AND ON THE DISTINCTION WITH GREAT ALLIANCE AND BIG TENT YOU'RE RIGHT AND I AGREE ALL OF THESE OPTIONS ARE AVAILABLE ACTUALLY ON THE TABLE. SO WE'RE TRYING TO DISTINGUISH BUT OF COURSE YEAH A FARE SETTING AUTHORITY COULD SET DIFFERENT PRICES WITHIN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE REGION IF THAT WERE THE POLICY CHOICE OF THE SINGLE FARE SETTING ENTITY.

22 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU. I SEE THAT BRIAN HAS A23 HAND.

24

BRIAN STANKE: THANK YOU. YEAH, I'M JUST STRUGGLING WITH 1 2 LOOKING AT THIS FROM THE RIDER PERSPECTIVE, HOW GOING FROM ONE 3 UNDERSTANDABLE SET OF RULES TO 20 NOT UNDERSTANDABLE SET OF RULES IS SOMEHOW PREFERABLE. IF WE WANT TO HAVE COMPLETE LOCAL 4 5 CONTROL AND THE COMPONENT OF RIDERSHIP AND DEATH SPIRALS, WE HAVE THAT. [LAUGHTER] I THINK WE REALLY NEED TO FOCUS ON WHAT 6 ARE THE SYSTEMS THAT ENABLE THE MODE SHIFT, THE GREENHOUSE GAS 7 8 GOALS THE REGION KEEPS TALKING ABOUT AND NOT ACCOMPLISHING. IF 9 WE DON'T CENTER THAT AT SOME LEVEL, I DO NOT SEE WHY WE'RE 10 SPENDING ALL THIS TIME ON IT. I MEAN, TO ME THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GREAT ALLIANCE AND THE BIG TENT SEEMS LIKE IT MIGHT 11 EASIER BECAUSE SOMEONE DOESN'T HAVE TO PASS A STATE LAW, BUT 12 I'M NOT SURE THAT SHOULD BE THE CRITERIA WE'RE USING FOR 13 DECIDING WHICH WAY THE REGION GOES, IF WE'RE TRYING TO 14 15 ACCOMPLISH OUR GOALS.

16

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. AND I WANT TO COME BACK TO THAT 17 18 POINT WHEN WE GET TO EVALUATION CRITERIA, TOO, BECAUSE THAT'S 19 A REALLY IMPORTANT POINT. I HAVE A COUPLE OF BRIEF COMMENTS, AND THEN WANT TO MOVE ON TO THE OTHER SCENARIOS. ONE IS, I 20 21 WANT TO ECHO WHAT SEVERAL OTHER PEOPLE SAID, IS THAT FROM THE 22 PERSPECTIVE OF THE CUSTOMER, THIS TAKES A REALLY SIGNIFICANT 23 STEP DOWN. SO WHEN I'M TRAVELING BETWEEN THE MID-PENINSULA AND OAKLAND, AND HAVE A CHOICE BETWEEN, YOU KNOW, THE DB BUS AND 24 25 BART, OR BART AND CALTRAIN, OR JUST BART, AND HAVING THREE

January 22, 2021

DIFFERENT PRICES FOR ESSENTIALLY THE SAME TRIP, YOU KNOW, LIKE 1 THAT IS THE CONFUSING WORLD THAT IS NOW, AND IT'S THE 2 3 CONFUSING WORLD THAT IS, YOU KNOW, IN THIS. SO I DON'T SEE THIS AS PROVIDING SIGNIFICANT SIMPLIFICATION. I KNOW THAT'S 4 5 NOT WHAT YOU'RE ASKING. YOU'RE GOING TO BE STUDYING OPTION IN EACH BUCKET. BUT, YOU KNOW, JUST IN GOING FROM THE ONE TO THE 6 7 OTHER, THE LEVEL OF USER UNDERSTANDABILITY HAS GONE DOWN. IN 8 TERMS OF THE PREVIOUS COMMENT ABOUT PICKING SUBREGIONAL PASSES, I WANT TO, AGAIN, USE THAT PENINSULA/OAKLAND TRIP, AS 9 AN EXAMPLE SO THAT IF YOU WERE UP FRONT DECIDING WHETHER TO 10 CREATE A SPECIAL PASS FOR, YOU MIGHT NOT DO IT, BUT THAT'S 11 ACTUALLY SOMETHING WHERE THERE IS PLENTY OF CAR TRAFFIC, AND 12 LIKE WITH A SIMPLE ENOUGH STRUCTURE, THAT WILL JUST MAKE THAT 13 TRIP EASY, AS OPPOSED TO COMMUNICATING WITH A FARE STRUCTURE, 14 LIKE, WHY ARE YOU TRYING TO DO THAT WITH TRANSIT? JUST GET A 15 16 CAR. I WANT TO GO BACK TO THE OUESTION ABOUT THE ABILITY TO DO PARTICULAR SUBSIDIES AND IS THAT COMPATIBLE WITH THE BIG TENT 17 18 MODEL. IT SEEMS IT SHOULD BE. BECAUSE IF YOU HAVE A CONSISTENT 19 FARE STRUCTURE, THEN YOU COULD PROVIDE A SUBSIDY TO A SET OF PEOPLE. THE THING IS THAT SUBSIDY WOULD PERTAIN TO ALL OF THE 20 21 TRANSIT THAT THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO USE. SO IF YOU HAD A COLLEGE OR A UNIVERSITY, INSTEAD OF SAYING THE PEOPLE IN THIS 22 COLLEGE ARE GOING TO GET A SAMTRANS PASS, YOU WILL STATE 23 PEOPLE IN THIS COLLEGE GET A TRANSIT PASS THAT THEY CAN USE, 24 SAMTRANS OR CALTRAIN OR A FERRY OR WHATEVER IT IS THAT 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

January 22, 2021

PERTAINS TO THEM, AND EVEN, SIMILARLY, YOU COULD POTENTIALLY 1 2 DO AN EXTRA SUBSIDY FOR PEOPLE WHO, YOU KNOW, LIVE OR WORK IN 3 AN AREA, BUT IT WOULD PERTAIN TO ALL OF THE TRANSIT THAT THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO USE. SO THIS WOULD BE LIKE WE -- THE 4 5 TREASURE ISLAND PASS, WHICH, YOU KNOW, GIVES A PASS FOR ALL 6 THE TRANSIT THAT PEOPLE CAN USE TO GET ON TO, OR OFF OF OR ON 7 TREASURE ISLAND. BUT, INSTEAD OF HAVING TO HAND CRAFT IT, IT 8 WOULD BE, LIKE, HERE IS YOUR STANDARD FARE STRUCTURE, AND THEN YOU CAN PROVIDE EXTRA SUBSIDIES FOR PEOPLE THAT ARE IN A 9 LOCATION. AND I DON'T SEE THAT AS BEING NECESSARILY 10 INCOMPATIBLE WITH THAT BIG TENT MODEL, IT'S JUST WHAT THAT 11 SUBSIDY GETS YOU. I SEE THAT THERE IS ONE MORE COMMENT ON THIS 12 TOPIC BEFORE WE MOVE ON. TISHA DEE HARTMAN? 13 14 TISHA DEE HARTMAN: SORRY. THAT MUST HAVE BEEN LEFT ON FROM 15 16 EARLIER. MY APOLOGIES. 17 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: SO GREAT. LET US MOVE ON TO MORE OPTIONS. 18 19 MICHAEL EISEMAN: OKAY, YEAH, LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, 20 21 PLEASE. AND, YOU KNOW, THIS -- SO, ONE, I'LL JUST STEP BACK TO 22 THE -- TO IAN'S COMMENT, AND MY RESPONSE A MINUTE AGO, BECAUSE 23 WE WERE TALKING ABOUT, OPPORTUNITY PERHAPS UNDER A SINGLE FARE SETTING AUTHORITY TO MAKE DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN DIFFERENT PARTS 24 OF THE REGION OR DIFFERENT SERVICES. THIS IS THE SET OF 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

OPTIONS THAT RELATES MOST. A SINGLE FARE SETTING AUTHORITY 1 CAMPSITE, AS IN BIG TENT BUT A NUMBER OF PERHAPS WITHIN THAT A 2 3 NUMBER OF DIFFERENT DISTINCTIONS OR STRUCTURES. WE HAVE FOUR OPTIONS ON HERE. 50 IS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR INTEGRATION OR 4 5 COORDINATION ALONG A SINGLE CORRIDOR. SO, THIS IS PRETTY --WOULD BE PRETTY SPECIFIC. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, THE CORRIDOR, FROM 6 7 THE PENINSULA INTO DOWNTOWN SAN FRANCISCO, WE HAVE A NUMBER OF 8 DIFFERENT TRANSIT AGENCIES THAT OPERATE ALONG THAT CORRIDOR, 9 AND WE COULD, AS A POLICY DECISION, FOCUS ON MAKING SURE THAT THE TRANSFERS IN THAT CORRIDOR ARE VERY ATTRACTIVE, THAT THE 10 FARES ARE STANDARDIZED FOR ALL TRIPS ALONG THAT CORRIDOR. IT 11 MAY BE SIMPLY A OUESTION OF PRIORITIZING PARTICULAR CORRIDORS 12 OR ADDRESSING A PARTICULAR NEED THAT MAY EXIST ALONG A 13 PARTICULAR CORRIDOR. SO THAT'S C1. WE COULD PRIORITIZE ON 14 INTEGRATION BETWEEN SYSTEMS THAT HAVE THE MOST INTER-AGENCY 15 16 TRANSFERS WE HIGHLIGHTED THAT IN THE LAST PRESENTATION FOR A TASK FORCE AND I THINK THE GROUP WE HIGHLIGHTED SOME DATA WERE 17 18 ASSEMBLED WHERE THE MOST INTER-AGENCY TRIPS INTERSERVICE 19 COUNTY TRANSIT WERE BEING MADE. THERE IS A LOT OF TRIPS FOR EXAMPLE, THAT USE BOTH AC TRANSIT AND BART. INTEGRATING AC 20 21 TRANSIT AND BART TRANSIT BECAUSE THOSE ARE FREQUENTLY USED 22 TOGETHER. YOU COULD EMPLOYEE AGENCY INTEGRATION AND CAPS, IAN 23 YOU WERE REFERRING TO EARLIER YOU COULD HAVE PASS OR CAP PRODUCT THE FOR DIFFERENT SUBREGIONS WITHIN THE BAY AREA. AND 24 PROBABLY NOT A LOT OF OVERLAP BETWEEN USERS OF NORTH BAY 25

January 22, 2021

SYSTEMS AND SOUTH BAY SYSTEMS. SO YOU COULD HAVE DISTINCT PASS
 OR CAPS FOR THOSE REGIONS. SO MAYBE I'LL LEAVE IT THERE AND
 ASK IF FOLKS HAVE QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ABOUT THIS SET OF
 OPTIONS.

5

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: LET'S SEE. ANY HANDS FROM THE GROUP? I SEE
7 THAT WENDI HAS A HAND.

8

WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: THIS IS ONE THING THAT CONCERNS ME 9 ABOUT GROUPING WHAT IS HAPPENING NOW, THE EXISTING SITUATION, 10 WHEN YOU GIVE THE EXAMPLE AC TRANSIT TRANSFERS TO BART DOESN'T 11 TAKE INTO ACCOUNT RIGHT NOW WHERE WE HAVE A SITUATION WHERE 12 SOMETIMES TWO SYSTEMS DON'T INTEGRATE VERY L SO FOR INSTANCE, 13 I DON'T TAKE A BUS TO BART EVEN THOUGH THERE IS ONE, BECAUSE 14 THIS IS MY FAVORITE STORY, BECAUSE WHEN I GET TO BART, THE 15 16 TRAIN HAS LEFT TWO MINUTES BEFORE I GOT THERE. I WOULD LOVE TO TAKE THE BUS, I WOULD TRANSFER BETWEEN THE SYSTEMS, BUT 17 18 THEY'RE NOT INTEGRATED SCHEDULE WISE. AND SO I WOULD BE VERY 19 CAREFUL ABOUT MAKE DECISIONS BASED ON WHAT IT IS NOW SINCE WE DON'T HAVE A GOOD INTEGRATION TO BEGIN W 20 21

22 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MARK CORDES?

23

24 MARK CORDES: YEAH, I'LL TAKE OUT OF THIS, THE CORRIDOR
25 INTEGRATION FARE BY DISTANCE APPROACH. MY CONCERN IS THE

This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

EQUITY PIECE. JUST BECAUSE THAT MIGHT MAKE THE MOST SENSE IN 1 SORT OF SOME MATHEMATICAL SENSE OR STATISTICAL SENSE DOESN'T 2 3 NECESSARILY MEAN THAT THE EQUITY NEEDS WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT WOULD BE WELL CHAMPIONED BY THAT KIND OF DEPLOYMENT. IT SORT 4 5 OF BRACES ME FOR ONE OF THE BUILDING BLOCKS OF AN INTEGRATED SYSTEM COULD BE SOME VERSION OF A SYSTEM OF A MEANS TESTING 6 7 WHERE THERE IS AN AGREED UPON REGIME WHERE YOU PROVIDE REDUCED 8 FARES FOR PEOPLE AT A CERTAIN INCOME LEVEL AND THAT DOES HAVE 9 A SORT OF LOCALIZED REGIONAL COMPONENT CERTAINLY THE COST OF LIVING IN SAN FRANCISCO IS HIGHER, SO SOMEBODY JUST GETTING BY 10 11 IN SAN FRANCISCO IS PROBABLY DOING IF WITH MORE DOLLARS THAN SOMEBODY IN FAIRFIELD MIGHT BE, BUT IN THE SENSE OF HOW CAN WE 12 AGREE ON A REGIME WHERE WE'RE SUPPORTING THE COST AND 13 PROVIDING SUPPORT TO COMMUNITIES EQUITY THAT ALLOWS THEM TO 14 15 ACCESS THE CITIZEN HOWEVER THEY NEED TO USE IT. THAT KIND OF 16 EQUITY BUILT INTO THE REGIME AND EVERYBODY PARTICIPATES IN SOME KIND OF MEANS TESTING. AND THE LAST THING I WANT TO SAY 17 18 IS TRANSIT BARES THE BURDEN OF SOME OF OUR POLICY FAILURES 19 PARTICULARLY IN THE AREA LIKE HOUSING. I DON'T KNOW THAT NECESSARILY WE WANT TO ASSUME THAT OUR CURRENT COMMUNITIES 20 21 DISTRIBUTION IS WHAT IT'S ALWAYS GOING TO BE, THE INTENTIONAL 22 CONSTRUCTION IN HIGH DENSITY AND URBAN CORRIDORS OF AFFORDABLE 23 HOUSING COMPONENTS MIGHT FIND OURSELVES WITH COMMUNITIES IN A PLACE THAT WOULD MAYBE BE HIGHER MEANS BUT DOESN'T NECESSARILY 24 POINT TO HOW IT WOULD BE TOMORROW IN THOUGH COMMUNITIES MIGHT 25

January 22, 2021

WELL SERVED IN THAT REGIME GETTING TO THE SENSE OF THE
 BUILDING AND WHAT DOES IT SOLVE WHAT IT MEANS TODAY IN TERMS
 OF ACTUALITY OF WHAT MIGHT BE CONSTRUCTED TOMORROW. THOSE ARE
 BROUGHT TO ME BY THE CORRIDOR INTEGRATION FARE DISTANCE AND
 SOME OF THESE OTHER MODELS.

6

7 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ON-OLIVIA.

8

9 ANNE OLIVIA ELDRED: I HAD TO SWITCH TO MY PHONE TO MAKE THE SOUND WORK. THANK YOU SO MUCH. I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO ECHO THE 10 CONCERNS ABOUT EQUITY, PRETTY USUAL. I DO REALLY WANT TO SAY 11 THAT THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO WOULD USE THE SYSTEM IF IT 12 WORKED BETTER, I THINK IT'S A LOT HIGHER THAN THE PEOPLE WHO 13 DO. AND I WAS JUST LOOKING UP SOME OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL 14 IMPACTS, RIGHT? SO GOING BACK TO WHY DO WE WANT THIS TO WORK, 15 16 WHAT ARE OUR GOALS HERE? THEY'RE MULTIPLE. RIGHT? WE WANT TO HAVE THE ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS, WE DON'T WANT THOSE THINGS TO 17 18 BE SKEWED IN FAVOR OF WEALTH PEOPLE. WE WANT TO ACHIEVE HIGHER 19 RIDERSHIP SO IT'S A MORE LIVABLE COMMUNITY FOR OUR RESIDENTS. AND ALSO WITH ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS WITH CONGESTION, 20 21 CONSIDERATIONS WITH HOW LONG IT TAKES TO DO ALL SORTS OF 22 THINGS, AND I HAVE HAD MULTIPLE PEOPLE STAY AT MY HOUSE 23 BECAUSE THE -- THERE IS JUST NOT GOOD CONNECTIONS OR HAVE TO DRIVE BECAUSE IT'S TWO AND A HALF HOURS WITH STUPID 24 CONNECTIONS ON PUBLIC TRANSIT TO GET A SINGLE WAY. SO, WHILE 25

January 22, 2021

THERE MIGHT NOT BE A HUGE AMOUNT OF RIDERSHIP ON THE SAME DAY 1 2 BETWEEN THE, YOU KNOW, VERY NORTHERN PARTS OF OUR AREA TO THE 3 VERY SOUTHERNNESS PARTS OF OUR AREA, THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO ARE TRAVELING EXCEPTIONAL DISTANCES TO GET TO WORK EVERY DAY, AND 4 5 THEY CERTAINLY ARE NOT AFFORD THE LUXURY OF BEING IN THE SAME ZONE. SO, I -- I JUST -- I REALLY HOPE THAT WE LOOK AT HOW DO 6 7 WE CREATE THE SYSTEM THAT ENDS UP WITH THE RESULTS THAT WE 8 WANT, AND NOT WHAT IS HAPPENING NOW. BECAUSE THE REASON WE'RE ALL HERE IS BECAUSE WHAT IS HAPPENING DOES NOT WORK. AND IT'S 9 10 REALLY HARMING A LOT OF PEOPLE, AND IT'S NOT, LIKE, I HAVE LOST A LOT FRIENDS FROM THE BAY AREA, WHO HAVE LEFT, BECAUSE 11 THEY JUST -- LIKE, THEY CAN'T AFFORD TO WORK ANYMORE, AND IF 12 YOU HAVE TO MOVE AND YOU CAN'T KEEP YOUR JOB, WHY WOULD YOU 13 14 KEEP PAYING THE PRICE TO LIVE HERE. -- WE HAVE MORE TECH COMPANIES LEAVING A LOT OF THAT STUFF HAPPENING. IF WE'RE 15 16 GOING TO KEEP PARTS OF THE BAY THAT'S GOOD LET'S MAKE SURE THAT IT WORKS. THAT'S IT THANK YOU. 17

18

19 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. RICH HEDGES?

20

21 RICHARD HEDGES: YES. THANK YOU. I JUST THINK THAT AT LEAST TWO
22 OF THESE ARE FAR MORE COMPLICATED THAN IT HAS TO BE FOR PEOPLE
23 TRYING TO NAVIGATE, AND STAY OUTSIDE OF THE TICKET RIDERS.
24 HAVING A SET FEE, EVEN IF IT'S A TWO OR THREE TIERED SET FEE,
25 IT'S A LOT SIMPLER, AND HAVING A MODERATE RATE, ESPECIALLY

January 22, 2021

SPECIAL RATES FOR EQUITY ISSUES, I THINK THAT MAKES MORE SENSE
 THAN MAKING IT COMPLICATED. LET THE AGENCIES FIGURE OUT HOW
 THAT I WANT TO SPLIT FARE UP. DON'T MAKE THE PASSENGERS FIGURE
 OUT HOW THEY NEED TO -- [INDISCERNIBLE] THANK YOU.

5

8

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. SO I HAVE A COUPLE OF -7 ACTUALLY, I SEE THAT IAN HAD A HAND. SO, IAN, GO AHEAD.

IAN GRIFFITHS: YEAH JUST -- I GUESS MY PROBLEM WITH THE 9 CORRIDOR INTEGRATION JUST SEEMS LIKE ITS -- YOU HAVE TO PICK 10 THE CORRIDORS. A WEAKNESS OF THIS APPROACH IS THAT YOU'RE 11 STILL MAKING CERTAIN ASSUMPTIONS AS TO WHICH CORRIDORS -- I 12 MEAN PERHAPS YOU COULD MAKER AN EDUCATED GUESS, YOU KNOW WHERE 13 PEOPLE ARE COMING FROM AND ARE GOING, TO YOU'RE ONLY SELECTING 14 15 CERTAIN CORRIDORS IN WHICH TO PROVIDE INTEGRATED FARES, YOU'RE 16 PRIVILEGING CERTAIN TYPES OF TRIPS OVER OTHERS. YOU'RE SAYING THE PENINSULA CORRIDOR, THE 101 CORRIDOR IS VALUABLE, BUT ARE 17 18 YOU GOING TO DO THAT INTEGRATION ON THE DUMBARTON CORRIDOR, OR 19 SOMEONE WHO IS MAKING DEAR YOU KNOW, OR LIKE -- AND THE MORE CORRIDORS YOU PICK, IN THIS MODEL IT DOESN'T REALLY WORK IF 20 21 YOU HAVE 20 CORRIDORS DOING INTEGRATE THE FARES I THINK THAT'S A VERY COMPLEX SYSTEM. I HAVEN'T HEARD OF THIS SYSTEM EXISTING 22 23 ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD. SO IT'S CERTAINLY AN INTERESTING IDEA, I'M NOT SURE HOW PRACTICAL IT IS. CERTAINLY, IF YOU WERE TO GO 24 TO THE TROUBLE OF HAVING, YOU KNOW, A CENTRALIZED GOVERNANCE 25

January 22, 2021

FRAME WORK FOR FARES, I'M NOT SURE -- ANYWAY, THAT'S JUST MY
 IMMEDIATE REACTION TO THIS. YOU'RE INEVITABLY GOING TO BE
 PICKING SOME TRIPS AND PICKING SOME TYPES OF INTEGRATIONS OVER
 OTHERS AND THAT IN ITSELF IS PERHAPS INEQUITABLE. YOU KNOW.

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: SO I WANT TO ADD A FEW THINGS ON TO WHAT 6 7 PEOPLE HAVE ALREADY SAID, AND THEN MOVE ON TO THE LAST OPTION 8 IN THE EVALUATION CRITERIA, WHICH I THINK WE WILL HAVE 9 THOUGHTS ABOUT. AS MEMBERS OF THIS ADVISORY BODY. I WANT TO ECHO WHAT SEVERAL PEOPLE SAID IN TERMS OF FOCUSING ON PLACES 10 THAT ALREADY HAVE A LOT OF CONNECTIONS, HAS SOME ELEMENT OF 11 THE DRUNK PERSON LOOKING FOR THEIR KEYS UNDER THE STREET LIGHT 12 BECAUSE THAT YEAH THEY CAN SEE RATHER THAN THAT'S WHERE THEY 13 MAY HAVE DROPPED THEIR KEYS. THERE HAS BEEN A FAIR AMOUNT OF 14 CRITIQUE OVER THE GRAND JURY REPORT. THERE WERE STUDIES ABOUT 15 16 THE CONNECTIONS BETWEEN SAMTRANS AND CALTRAIN, AND THERE IS A GRAND JURY REPORT THAT POINTED OUT THAT SAMTRANS BUSES AND 17 18 CALTRAIN CONNECTION DIDN'T ACTUALLY CONNECT TO CALTRAIN AND 19 THERE WERE HYPOTHESIS WERE THERE ISN'T A DEMAND FOR PEOPLE WHO USE SAMTRANS TO CONNECT TO CALTRANS. AND SAMTRANS DID AN IN 20 21 DEPTH STUDY OF RIDERS LARGELY LOW INCOME AND PEOPLE OF COLOR, 22 AND WHAT THEY FOUND IS AT THE TOP OF THEIR LIST OF THINGS THAT 23 I WANTED FROM SAMTRANS WAS BETTER CONNECTION TO RAIL. THE CONNECTION TO CALTRAIN WAS CRUMMY BECAUSE THE SCHEDULE 24 CALTRAIN WAS RUNNING WAS GAP-TOOTHED AND HARD TO CONNECT TO, 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

AS ONE OF THE REASONS. SO YOU SAID THERE IS -- AND ALSO THERE 1 HAS BEEN NO FARE INTEGRATION. SO IF YOU SAID THERE IS NO 2 3 CONNECTION BETWEEN SAL TRAIN AND SAMTRANS RIGHT NOW AND THEREFORE WE SHOULDN'T LOOK TO IMPROVE IT, THAT'S BACKWARD 4 5 EXERCISE UPSIDE DOWN. I THINK WE SHOULD BE LOOKING WHAT THE POTENTIAL FOR CONNECTIONS IS RATHER THAN WHAT IS HAS 6 7 HISTORICALLY BEEN. IN TERMS OF THE CORRIDOR IDEA, I THINK I 8 AGREE WITH IAN, THAT AS A LONG-TERM SOLUTION, THAT IS 9 EXTREMELY KLUDGY AND CONVOLUTED AND INEOUITABLE IN TERMS OF SINGLING OUT PEOPLE IN ONE PART OF THE REGION FOR SIMPLE FARES 10 AND NOT SIMPLIFYING FOR OTHER PEOPLE. DO I THINK THERE COULD 11 BE A BENEFIT IN TERMS OF PILOTS OR EARLIER SOLUTIONS BEFORE WE 12 ARE ABLE TO ROLL SOMETHING OUT COMPREHENSIVELY, AND BECAUSE 13 WE'RE GETTING MANAGED LANES IN CERTAIN PARTS OF THE REGION, 14 YOU KNOW, SAYING, YOU KNOW, HEY, FOR THIS CORRIDOR THAT HAS A 15 LOT OF CAR TRAVEL, LET'S MAKE IT AS CONVENIENT AS POSSIBLE 16 INCLUDING HARM -- HARMONIZING FARES WITH DIFFERENT FORMS OF 17 18 TRANSIT I THINK THAT COULD BE INTERESTING AS A SHORT-TERM 19 EXPERIMENT WITH HOW MUCH EXTRA RIDERSHIP YOU GET BY MAKING IT AS EASY AS POSSIBLE ALSO PROVIDING RIDERS WITH FREOUENCY. IN 20 21 TERMS OF FREQUENCY AND ROUTES IN TERMS OF MODE, IF YOU SAY 22 OKAY FOR YOUR SCHEDULE, FOR YOUR PARTICULATE, YOU CAN USE 23 SEVERAL DIFFERENT MODES THAT INCREASES FREOUENCY AND EFFECTIVE SERVICE FOR THE TRANSIT SYSTEM BETTER. I THINK THERE MAY BE A 24 STUDY SHOWING THE BENEFITS, YOU KNOW, EVEN IF IT WINDS UP 25

January 22, 2021

BEING SOMETHING THAT IS A SHORT-TERM, RATHER THAN A LONG-TERM 1 SOLUTION, IN TERMS OF HOW THAT DATA WINDS UP BEING USED, AND 2 3 THE LAST POINT I WANT TO MAKE IN TERMS OF CORRIDORS, IS THAT WE KNOW THAT TWO THIRDS OF WORKING POOR PEOPLE IN THE BAY AREA 4 5 COMMUTE BY CAR. AND SO FROM AN EOUITY PERSPECTIVE, IMPROVING EQUITY IS ALSO MAKING MORE COMPETITIVE TRANSIT FOR PEOPLE THAT 6 ARE LOW INCOME AND WHO ARE COMMUTING BY CAR. AND THIS IS GOING 7 8 TO POINT TO VERY DIFFERENT DIMENSIONS OF EQUITY THAT WE WILL HOPEFULLY GET TO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE CRITERIA MOMENTARILY. 9 THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND WHAT IS NEXT IN THE PRESENTATION? 10

11

MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH, JUST BEFORE WE JUMP TO THE NEXT ONE, I 12 WANT TO -- BEFORE I TAKE OFF MY PROJECT MANAGER HAT AND PUT ON 13 MY BART HAT, I WOULD SAY THAT BART PARTICIPATES IN A LOT OF 14 15 CORRIDORS THAT WOULD CONSIDER C1 AND IT'S HARD FOR ME TO 16 PICTURE HOW WE MAKE THAT WORK. AS LEAST AS COMPARED TO C2, SEEMS A MUCH MORE STRAIGHTFORWARD SMALLER STEP TYPE OF 17 SOLUTION. AS A BART PERSON I MIGHT PROPOSE C1 FOR SCREENING. I 18 19 THINK I WOULD ECHO SOME OF THE EARLIER COMMENTS ON THAT. OKAY. SO WE CAN JUMP TO THE NEXT ONE, PLEASE. THIS ONE IS TRADE 20 21 AGREEMENTS. THIS IS THE SITUATION WHERE WE DO NOT HAVE EITHER 22 A SINGLE FARE SETTING AUTHORITY OR A SORT OF COMPREHENSIVE 23 AGREEMENT TO CHANGE THE FARE STRUCTURE BETWEEN AUTONOMOUS AGENCIES, BUT WE ARE LOOKING FOR WAYS TO -- FOR DIFFERENT 24 AGENCIES OR GROUPS OF AGENCIES TO MAKE FARE CHANGES THAT 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript

METROPOLITAN

January 22, 2021

IMPROVE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE AND THE USABILITY OF THE SYSTEM. 1 SO WE'RE LOOKING FOR OPTIONS THAT ARE REALISTIC CHANGES THAT 2 3 COULD BE MADE ON A BILATERAL OR MULTI-LATERAL LEVEL. BUT AGAIN, THIS IS A SITUATION WHERE OPERATORS RETAIN AUTHORITY 4 5 OVER PRICING. SO, YOU KNOW, THE MOST STRAIGHT FORWARD, PERHAPS, FARE CHANGE YOU COULD MAKE, TO OUR PROJECT GOALS IS 6 7 SIMPLY ELIMINATING THE DOUBLE PAYMENT OF FARES. SO, AND THAT 8 COULD BE ACCOMPLISHED THROUGH A BILATERAL OR MULTI-LATERAL 9 AGREEMENT FOR EXAMPLE, BART OR AC TRANSIT COULD AGREE TO A DISCOUNT TO REMOVE EITHER ONE SIDE OF THE FARE INTEGRATION 10 THAT WOULD INCLUDE A TRIP BETWEEN THE VARIOUS AGENCIES AND 11 AGAIN VARIOUS LEVELS OF PRICING OR DISCOUNTS. AND TWO WOULD BE 12 A PASS CAP AGREEMENT BETWEEN TWO AGENCIES. A GROUP OF EAST BAY 13 AGENCIES COULD COME TO AN AGREEMENT ABOUT AN EAST BAY PASS OR 14 15 CAP AND DO A REVENUE SHARING AGREEMENT BETWEEN THOSE AGENCIES. 16 OR IF WE THOUGHT -- IF NEIGHBORING -- PARDON ME -- NEIGHBORING AGENCIES FELT LIKE THERE WAS TOO MUCH CONFUSION ABOUT THE 17 18 FARES FOR TRIPS -- FOR AGENCIES OFTEN USED IN COMMON BECAUSE 19 THERE WERE DIFFERENT PRICING LEVELS THEY COULD WORK ON HARMONIZING THEIR PRICING SET A STANDARD LOCAL BUS FARE 20 BETWEEN NORTH BAY OR EAST BAY TRANSIT OPERATORS COULD BE AN 21 22 APPROACH TO BE CARRIED OUT ON A BILATERAL OR MULTI-LATERAL 23 LEVEL. SO THIS IS, YOU KNOW, SIMPLER, LESS COMPREHENSIVE, PERHAPS LESS OVERALL CHANGE OR IMPROVEMENT PERHAPS IN CERTAIN 24 25 PEOPLE'S EXPERIENCE ON TRANSIT, BUT PERHAPS EASIER TO

January 22, 2021

IMPLEMENT. YOU CAN ALSO THINK OF THESE AS A STEP ALONG THE 1 WAY. SO ONE OPTION WE COULD END UP WITH HERE IS NEAR-TERM, 2 3 MEDIUM TERM, LONG-TERM PROPOSALS EVEN IF WE HAVE A MORE COMPREHENSIVE VISION LONG-TERM, YOU COULD THINK OF OPTION IN 4 5 THIS TABLE AS SOMETHING FOR THE NEAR-TERM. SO, WITH THAT I'LL 6 OPEN IT UP TO ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS FOR 7 ADDS ON THIS ONE, AND THEN, JUST SO YOU KNOW, OUR NEXT --8 WHERE WE MOVE NEXT IS ANY DISCUSSION OF THE SCREENING 9 APPROACH.

10

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ANY HANDS ON THIS? FROM MEMBERS OF THIS
BODY? I SEE THAT IAN HAS A HAND.

13

IAN GRIFFITHS: SO I'M JUST WONDERING -- THERE IS SO MANY 14 15 DIFFERENT ITERATIONS OF WHAT YOU COULD MODEL WITHIN THIS 16 SCENARIO WITHIN THIS CASE. LIKE WITHIN D ONE, D TWO, D THREE, LIKE YOU COULD SAY THERE IS A MULTI-LATERAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN 17 18 AGENCIES TO OFFER A 75 PERCENT DISCOUNT BUT THEN YOU COULD 19 PICK ANY TWO AGENCIES YOU COULD SAY WHAT IF IT'S BETWEEN BART AND THESE OPERATORS WHAT IF IT'S A MILLION DIFFERENT 20 COMBINATIONS AND HOW WOULD YOU PROPOSE -- SO HOW WOULD YOU 21 22 NARROW DOWN WHAT YOU'RE ACTUALLY GOING TO LOOK AT? OBVIOUSLY 23 PERSPECTIVE IS MORE COMPREHENSIVE THE BETTER BUT ALSO LIKE THE MORE COMPREHENSIVE THE LESS LIKELY -- ACTUALLY IT'S HARD TORE 24 GET A TRADE AGREEMENT THAT'S TOTALLY COMPREHENSIVE IF IT TRULY 25

January 22, 2021

IS ALL, YOU KNOW -- YEAH, SORRY -- IF ALL AUTHORITY RESIZED
 WITHIN THE TRANSIT AGENCIES. IT'S HARD FOR ME TO FIGURE OUT
 THE OPTIONS.

4

5 MICHAEL EISEMAN: THAT'S A GOOD OUESTION. I DON'T THINK WE FULLY KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT. AN IMPORTANT STEP IS GOING TO 6 7 BE DEFINING THE VARIANCE. YOU CAN'T MODEL UNTIL YOU FIGURE OUT 8 WHAT THE PRICE DIFFERENCE IS. YOU HAVE SOME REASONABLE PRICE LEVEL CHARACTERISTIC ON A VARIANT LEVEL BEFORE WE GO MODELING 9 ANYTHING. YOU WOULD HAVE TO PICK TYPICAL TRADE AGREEMENTS AND 10 11 IDEALLY THEY WOULD BE ONES AGENCIES ARE READY TO MOVE FORWARD WITH OR ARE INTERESTED IN PURSUING IN EARNEST. WE HAVE HAD, 12 ALREADY, SOME CONTRIBUTIONS AND IT'S BEEN HIGHLIGHTED AT THE 13 BLUE RIBBON TASK FORCE THAT THE NORTH BAY TRANSIT OPERATORS 14 15 ARE DEFINITELY INTERESTED IN INTEGRATION. AND SO, YOU KNOW, I 16 THINK THAT WOULD BE A GOOD ONE TO TEST ON ONE OR ALL OF THESE OPTIONS. BUT WE -- YEAH, MY PROPOSAL, AND WE HAVEN'T WORKED 17 18 OUT THE MECHANICS OF THIS IS THAT WE START WITH STUFF THAT 19 SEEMS LIKE AND WHETHER AGENCIES ARE INTERESTED IN MOVING 20 FORWARD.

21

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ANY OTHER HANDS FROM MEMBERS OF THIS BODY?
YES. SO THE COUPLE OF QUICK THINGS I WOULD ADD HERE IS THE
HISTORICAL CONCERN THAT THINGS IN THIS CATEGORY WILL BE
UNSTABLE, AND WE HAVE HAD SO MANY EXAMPLES OF TRANSFER

January 22, 2021

AGREEMENTS THAT ARE MADE AND THEN UNWOUND WHEN THERE IS A 1 LOCAL ISSUE. AND SO, YOU KNOW, THAT IS CERTAINLY IN THE STAFF 2 3 FRAMEWORK THAT STAFF IS PRESENTING. HOWEVER, I DID LIKE THE FRAMING ABOUT, YOU KNOW, SHORT, MEDIUM, AND LONG-TERM IDEAS. I 4 5 THINK THAT MAY BE A HELPFUL WAY TO THINK ABOUT IT, ESPECIALLY BECAUSE A COMPREHENSIVE SYSTEM THAT MIGHT BE THE EASIEST TO 6 UNDERSTAND AND THE BEST FOR CUSTOMERS, YOU KNOW, WON'T BE ABLE 7 8 TO BE IMPLEMENTED INSTANTLY, AND SO IF THERE ARE SOME OTHER 9 THINGS THAT CAN BE DONE INCREMENTALLY THAT CAN ALSO HELP TO, YOU KNOW, BENEFIT RIDERS, AND PROVE OUT SOME HYPOTHESIS ABOUT 10 IMPROVEMENTS IS SOMETHING THAT COULD BE HELPFUL. I SEE RICH 11 12 HEDGES HAS A HAND, BEFORE WE MOVE ON.

13

RICHARD HEDGES: THANK YOU. I THINK YOU HIT UPON THE ONE THING 14 ABOUT THIS I THINK IS USABLE. I DON'T PARTICULARLY CARE FOR 15 16 THIS AT ALL, OUTSIDE OF THAT. BUT THAT WOULD BE THE PROVISO OF FREE OR INEXPENSIVE TRANSFERS FROM ONE MODE TO THE NEXT. 17 AGAIN, I WANT TO KEEP IT AS SIMPLE AS POSSIBLE AND THAT MAY BE 18 19 A WAY OF KEEPING IT SIMPLE. ALSO, I WATCHED WHAT HAPPENED WITH TRANSLINK WHEN THEY TRIED TO COME TO AN AGREEMENT ON FARE 20 COLLECTION AND WHO WOULD DO THAT, AND IT'S JUST MUCH BETTER TO 21 22 HAVE A SIMPLE SETUP LIKE THIS THAT SOMEBODY IS IN CHARGE OF 23 AND WHO IS MAKE THE DECISIONS ON WHO GETS WHAT. FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO DON'T KNOW WHAT TRANSLINK, IT WAS THE FIRST ITERATION 24



OF THE CLIPPER CARD THAT NEVER GOT OFF THE LAUNCH PAD. THE 1 2 ONLY AGENCY INTERESTED WAS BART BEFORE IT GOT CANCELS. 3 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: GREAT. LET'S MOVE ON TO THE SCREENING 4 5 CRITERIA. 6 7 MICHAEL EISEMAN: NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. LET'S SEE. THE GRAPHIC ON 8 THE LAST, KIND OF SUMMARIZES OUR PROCESS THERE. SO LET'S SEE. 9 AND WE REALLY HAVE TWO STEPS HERE. THERE IS A SCREENING TO GET

FROM A LONG LIST TO A SHORT LIST AND THEN THE DETAILED 10 BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS. SO THE SCREENING, THAT'S THE STEP 11 WE'RE ON NOW. WE WANT TO GO FROM LONG TO SHORT LIST. AND THERE 12 IS TWO STEPS. ONE IS STRATEGIC, AND WHAT WE MEAN BY STRATEGIC 13 14 IS, DOES THE OPTION -- SORT OF YES OR NO -- DOES THE OPTION 15 ADDRESS THE FOUR GOALS FOR IMPROVING THE FARE SYSTEM THAT WE HAVE LAID OUT HERE? SO, DOES IT IMPROVE, SORT OF, THE 16 17 EXPERIENCE, THE CUSTOMER VALUE? DOES IT IMPROVE THE CUSTOMER 18 EXPERIENCE? DOES IT ADDRESS EQUITY ISSUES? AND IS IT, YOU 19 KNOW, COMPATIBLE WITH OUR FUTURE TRANSIT PLANS. AND THAT LAST ONE IS THE LEAST CLEAR AND PROBABLY CONCEPTUALLY AS WE INVEST 20 21 IN NEW TRANSIT FACILITIES WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE GET THE MOST 22 VALUE OUT OF THOSE AND WE WANT A FARE SYSTEM THAT MAXIMIZES 23 VALUE. AND FARE PURPOSE. DOES IT DO THOSE THINGS. NOT WHICH OPTION IS THE MOST EQUITABLE, BUT IS THIS OPTION -- DOES IT 24 ADDRESS EQUITY ISSUES, DOES IT ADDRESS DISPROPORTIONATE 25

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

BURDENS ON PEOPLE WITH LOW INCOME, FOR EXAMPLE, DOES IT TEND 1 TO IMPROVE THEIR ACCESS TO THE TRANSIT SYSTEM. WE'RE LOOKING 2 3 TO ELIMINATE OPTIONS THAT ARE DEFINITELY NOT GOING TO MEET OUR PROJECT GOALS. AND THE SECOND ONE IS THE IMPLEMENTATION 4 5 SCREEN. SO WE'RE ASKING DOES THE OPTION HAVE FATAL FLAWS THAT MAKE IT NOT IMPLEMENTABLE FOR OUR REGION. FOR EXAMPLE, WE HAVE 6 7 HEARD IN REVIEWING THIS WITH OUR STAFF WORKING GROUP ABOUT THE 8 -- BOTH DISTANCE AND ZONE BASED FARE SYSTEMS, IN THE BIG TENT. 9 THE CONCERNS LIKE, HEY, THIS REOUIRES TAG ON-TAG OFF FOR BUSES. CAN WE DO THAT? IS THAT SOMETHING THAT IS REASONABLE TO 10 DO? WHERE WE ARE AT THE MOMENT, THERE ARE SYSTEM IN THE WORLD 11 WHERE THAT HAPPENS WITH CROWDED BUSES. SO WE HAVEN'T YET 12 STRUCK IT FROM OUR LONG LIST BUT I THINK WE DO HAVE TO 13 SERIOUSLY CONSIDER THE TEXT CAL FEASIBILITY, THE 14 15 IMPLEMENTIBILITY OF OPTIONS AND MAKE SURE WE SATISFY THE 16 OPERATORS THAT IT IS IN FACT FEASIBLE. SO THAT'S AN EXAMPLE OF A FEASIBILITY SCREEN. OPTIONS THAT ARE RULED OUT OR DEEMED 17 18 FATALLY FLAWED WOULD BE DEPRIORITIZED WE'RE LOOKING AT 19 PATHWAYS, WE WOULD MOVE ON TO THE SHORT LIST FOR THE DETAILED BUSINESS CASE EVALUATION. WE DON'T HAVE THE DETAILED BUSINESS 20 CASE EVALUATION FOR YOU TODAY, THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING WE'LL 21 22 EXPLORE AT A SUBSEQUENT CONVERSATION. SO DO FOLKS HAVE 23 THOUGHTS? SO THIS IS SORT OF A HIGH LEVEL SUMMARY. AND THIS IS STILL BEING BUILT OUT, THIS SCREENING, BUT WE WOULD BE 24



INTERESTED IN FEEDBACK THOUGHTS ON THE PROCESS WE'RE SETTING
 UP HERE.

3

4 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. I SEE A HAND FROM
5 WENDI.

6

7 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: YEAH, IN MY SCREENINGS, I DON'T SEE,
8 WILL THIS INCREASE TRANSIT RIDERSHIP? WHICH IS A LITTLE
9 DIFFERENT THAN IMPROVE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE.

10

MICHAEL EISEMAN: RIGHT. YEAH, THAT IS NOT ONE OF THE CRITERIA HERE, AND THE REASON IS THAT WE'RE NOT RUNNING THIS IN A MODEL. WE'RE NOT TRYING TO TEST, AT THIS STAGE, WHAT THE IMPACTS ON RIDERSHIP WOULD BE. PRESUMABLY SOMETHING THAT IMPROVE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE IS IMPROVED VALUE FOR CUSTOMERS WOULD INCREASE RIDERSHIP SOMEWHAT BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A MAGNITUDE FOR THIS SCREENING STEP.

18

19 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: IS THERE A WAY TO MEASURE THAT 20 WITHOUT MODELING?

21

MICHAEL EISEMAN: SO, OKAY. WE COULD CERTAINLY DO, LIKE A -LIKE, A, IS IT PLAUSIBLE SCREEN. OTHER STEP I WOULD SAY IS
WHEN YOU SAW THAT THE OPTIONS THAT WE LISTED OUT DON'T HAVE -THEY HAVE VARIANCE ENUMERATED UNDER THEM BUT DON'T DEFINE THE

January 22, 2021

PRIZE LEVEL, FOR EXAMPLE. AN OPTION MIGHT SPECIFY DISCOUNTS 1 BETWEEN NEIGHBORING TRANSIT AGENCIES BUT NOT WHAT THE DISCOUNT 2 3 WOULD BE, WHICH IS AN IMPORTANT INPUT INTO RIDERSHIP. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR HERE IS SORT OF FATAL FLAWS AND 4 5 WHETHER THE OPTION SORT OF MEETS PROJECT OBJECTIVES. SO I DON'T THINK WE'RE -- I WOULD PROPOSE TO OUR TEAM THAT WE STICK 6 7 TO NOT ATTEMPTING TO SAY THE MAGNITUDE OF RIDER CHANGES AT 8 THIS SCREENING STEP. 9 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: SO IS THIS LEVEL OF SCREENING TO 10 11 DEPRIORITIZE RATHER THAN RAISE THE LEVEL OF THE BEST POSSIBLE OPTION? 12 13 MICHAEL EISEMAN: YES. I THINK, FOR EXAMPLE, AND THIS IS 14 15 JUMPING THE GUN, A TRANSPORT FOR LONDON STYLE CIRCULAR ZONE 16 SYSTEM IS NOT COMPATIBLE IN MY VIEW OF THE BAY AREA GEOGRAPHY SHOULD BE DEPRIORITIZED. THAT'S THE LEVEL OF SCREENING THAT 17 18 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE. 19 WENDI KALLINS, V. CHAIR: THANK YOU. 20 21 22 WILLIAM BACON: AND I WOULD ADD TO THAT, IF YOU ARE PRIORITIZED 23 FOR THE SHORT LIST HERE YOU GET INTO THE DETAILS ANALYSIS THAT LOOKS AT RIDERSHIP IMPACTS, PRICING LEVELS, THE DIFFERENT 24

25 DISCOUNT LEVELS FOR SENIORS, STUDENTS, PEOPLE WITH



DISABILITIES, LOW INCOME PEOPLE, HOW ALL OF THOSE DIFFERENT
 PRICING LEVELS IMPACT RIDERSHIP, AND THE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE,
 AGENCY, FINANCES, ET CETERA.

4

5 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANKS. SO I SEE THAT JONATHON KASS HAD A
6 HAND.

7

8 JONATHON KASS: YEAH, I HAD A HAND, BUT I GUESS I'M NOT SURE IF 9 IT FITS HERE. I'LL ASK ANYWAY. I MEAN I -- THIS IS SUPER HELPFUL IN HELPING US DEEPEN OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THIS BIG 10 11 COMPLEXITY. I AM A FAN OF MOVING TOWARD COORDINATION OR SIMPLIFICATION FOR RIDERS, BUT ALSO I WAS INVOLVED WITH THE 12 GATOR PASS DEVELOPMENT AND THAT WAS COMPLICATED EVEN JUST 13 COORDINATING WITH BART AND SFMTA. AND I AM CURIOUS ABOUT --14 I'M ALSO A FAN OF LOCAL INNOVATION AND TRYING TO DEVELOP TWO 15 16 ROLES THAT RESPOND TO LOCAL SITUATIONS CREATIVELY. AND I AM JUST CURIOUS HOW YOU THINK ABOUT CAPTURING THE ABILITY TO DO 17 18 THAT WHILE WE COORDINATE IN THESE MODELS. IS THERE JUST A 19 NATURAL LOSS OF THAT FLEXIBILITY? OR IS THERE SOMETHING IN THE SCREENING OR IN THE WAY YOU REPRESENT THIS THAT CAN CAPTURE 20 21 WHERE THERE'S SPACE FOR CREATIVE LOCAL SOLUTIONS?

22

23 MICHAEL EISEMAN: GOOD QUESTION JONATHON, I HAVE, GENERALLY, SO
24 FAR AT A HIGH LEVEL THOUGHT OF THOSE AS AN INTENTION, REGIONAL
25 STANDARDIZATION SIMPLIFICATION FEELS LIKE IT'S INTENTIONAL

LOCAL CONTROL AND THEREFORE CREATIVE. IF YOU GO TO MULTIPLE
 TENTS ONE CAMPSITE WHERE YOU'RE HAVING A STANDARDIZED GENERAL
 FRAMEWORK BUT IT ALLOWS FOR SOME LEVEL OF VARIABILITY BETWEEN.
 I THINK WE SHOULD THINK HARD WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE IN TERMS OF
 ALLOWING PEOPLE TO PURSUE PARTICULAR LOCAL GOALS OR PARTICULAR
 LOCAL CHALLENGES. YEAH. THAT'S -- AS FAR AS I CAN THINK OF ON
 THAT RIGHT NOW.

8

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Meeting Transcript

COMMISSION

9 WILLIAM BACON: WELL, I COULD ADD TO THAT, JONATHON, I THINK OUR CURRENT SYSTEM IS VERY LOCALLY CONTROLLED, AND IN THEORY 10 11 THAT GIVES YOU A LOT OF FREEDOM TO DO YOUR OWN PRODUCTS. WE'RE ALSO CONSTRAINED BY THAT SYSTEM IN MANY WAYS, AND ADINA YOU 12 WERE MENTIONING EARLIER IN TERMS OF PRODUCTS THAT ARE ON OFFER 13 WE'RE CONSTRAINED BY HOW THE FARE STRUCTURE IS BUILT OR THE 14 AGENCY BOUNDARIES EXIST. IF YOU WANTED TO HAVE A FARE PRODUCT 15 16 AND THE GATOR PASS IS AN EXAMPLE OF THIS, THAT INCLUDES MULTIPLE AGENCIES IT'S VERY CHALLENGING TO DO THAT NOW AND IT 17 18 TAKES A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF NEGOTIATION TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN, 19 BUT IF YOU HAVE A MORE CONSISTENT STRUCTURE IN POLICIES, IT WOULD BE MUCH EASIER TO CONSTRUCT PRODUCTS OR TO TRY NEW IDEAS 20 21 BECAUSE THE FRAMEWORK EVERYONE'S OPERATING UNDER COULD BE MORE 22 CONSISTENT THAN ANY OTHER OPTION WE'RE UNDER. THE OTHER THING 23 I COULD ADD AND THIS IS OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF OUR PROJECT BUT IT IS HAPPENING AND IS RELATIVE TO THIS WORK IS THE ARRIVAL OF 24 THE CLIPPER INTEGRATION SYSTEM WHICH MAKES THE TECHNICAL 25



1

January 22, 2021

STANDPOINT IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW PRODUCTS SIMPLER AND EASIER

TO BRING TO CUSTOMERS THAT'S A PRODUCT OF INCREASING 2 3 INNOVATION IN A WAY THAT WE'RE NOT DOING RIGHT NOW. 4 5 JONATHON KASS: THANK YOU. I THINK HAVING THAT KIND OF COMMENT ABOUT THE WAY THE STANDARDIZATION WILL OPEN UP SPACE FOR 6 7 INNOVATION IS REALLY VALUABLE AS I EXPECT THIS QUESTION WILL 8 COME UP FURTHER IN THE PROCESS. 9 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. AND I SEE THAT RICH 10 11 HEDGES HAS A HAND. 12 RICHARD HEDGES: YEAH, IT'S DAWNED ON ME THAT THERE ARE SOME 13 THINGS WE NEED TO KNOW. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHERE THE MOST 14 15 TRIPS BEGIN AND END. THE SECOND MOST, THE THIRD MOST, THE 16 FOURTH MOST. I THINK WE NEED TO KNOW WHERE PEOPLE ARE TAKING TRANSIT AND WHERE THEY'RE COMING FROM BEFORE YOU CAN REALLY 17 18 DESIGN THIS SYSTEM. IF WE HAD COOPERATION FROM ALL OF THE 19 AGENCIES, THEY CERTAINLY SHOULD KNOW, ESPECIALLY WITH THE CLIPPER CARD, AT LEAST, NOT SO MUCH BY CASH FARES, WHERE 20 PEOPLE ARE TRAVELLING FROM AND TO. I THINK THAT WOULD HELP US 21 22 DESIGN A BETTER AND A MORE USABLE SYSTEM. AND MAYBE EVEN SETUP 23 THE SYSTEM SO THAT EVERY SO MANY YEARS WE WOULD REVIEW THAT, 24 AND MAYBE REVISE THE SYSTEM OF PAYMENTS. THANK YOU.



ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. 1 2 3 MICHAEL EISEMAN: I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT SOME OF OUR EARLIER BACKGROUND WORK FOR THE PROJECT. WE DID ANALYZE AND SUMMARIZE 4 5 SOME OF THE REGIONAL TRAVEL PATTERN DATA THAT YOU WOULD NEED 6 TO DO THAT ANALYSIS, AND WE INTEND TO USE T AND HAPPY TO SHARE 7 THOSE EARLIER PRESS AS WELL. 8 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. SO I SEE THAT IAN GRIFFITHS HAS 9 10 A HAND. 11 IAN GRIFFITHS: YEAH. I GUESS JUST, I FEEL LIKE -- I APOLOGIZE 12 IF I MADE THIS BEFORE -- BUT I GUESS I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT 13 14 IT'S CLEAR THAT, I THINK JUST CONSTRAINING -- LIKE WHAT YOU HAVE LAID OUT AS FAR AS LIKE 1 TO 2 OPTIONS PER PATHWAY IT 15 16 SEEMS THE FIRST TWO BETWEEN GREAT ALLIANCE AND BIG TENT TO ME THEY'RE THE SAME OPTIONS AND I DON'T KNOW WHY YOU WOULD 17 18 ARTIFICIALLY SAY YOU NEED TWO OR THREE OR FROM ONE OR THE 19 OTHER, LIKE THERE MAYBE COULD BE THREE OR FOUR OPTIONS ACROSS THE TWO THAT ARE DISTINCT AND A LATER STEP WOULD BE DECIDING 20 21 LIKE -- OR DETERMINING WELL OKAY -- BECAUSE TO THE CUSTOMER 22 THEY LOOK THE SAME BUT THEN IT'S A SEPARATE QUESTION TO SAY 23 WHAT WOULD BE THE EASIEST OR BEST WAY TO ACTUALLY IMPLEMENTING THESE. I THINK, KIND OF EVALUATING -- YEAH -- I WOULD JUST 24 25 LIKE TO SEE -- THAT WOULD MAKE MORE SENSE TO ME -- YOU

TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript

METROPOLITAN

January 22, 2021

BASICALLY HAVE THE LEFT SIDE OF YOUR DIAGRAM. YOU'RE PICKING A 1 SET OF OPTIONS FROM THE LEFT SIDE OF THE EARLIER MATRIX YOU 2 3 HAD, YOU HAD MORE COMPREHENSIVE APPROACHES AND I WOULD SAY YOU HAVE THEM ALL LISTED HERE AND I THINK THAT KIND OF CELLULARS 4 5 UNDER FARE BY DISTANCE SOME LOCAL DIFFERENTIATION LIKE THAT'S A DISTRICT OPTION THAT COULD BE BIG TENT OR GREATER ALLIANCE 6 7 AS FAR AS LIMITATION. AND FATAL FLAWS YOU'RE GOING TO DO YOUR 8 OWN ASSESSMENT BUT I AGREE THE CIRCULAR ZONES SEEMS LIKE A FATAL FLAW. I JUST WANT TO SAY REGARDING -- YOU HAD MADE A 9 COMMENT ABOUT LIKE FARE READERS AND READERS ON LOCAL BUSES 10 DOES THAT POSE A FATAL FLAW TO SOME OF THESE POTENTIAL 11 OPTIONS, AND JUST BEFORE WE REMOVE ANYTHING ON THAT BASIS I 12 WOULD WANT TO -- LIKE, THERE IS CHANGING TECHNOLOGY OF COURSE 13 WITH FARE PAYMENT. AT ONE TIME NONE OF US HAD -- NOW WE'RE 14 15 GOING TO HAVE VISA CARDS ALL CLIPPER PAYMENTS IN A YEAR AND A 16 HALF IT'S A FUNDAMENTAL CHANGE TO WHERE WE HAVE READERS BUT THOSE TYPES OF THINGS CAN HAPPEN QUICKLY IN SWITZERLAND THEY 17 18 HAVE THESE ZONED BASED CELLULAR SYSTEMS MORE COMMONLY LIKE 19 MOBILE PAYMENTS ARE A VERY INCREASING SHARE AND THEY HAVE NEW MOBILE PAYMENT COMPANIES THAT ACTUALLY DETECT WHEN YOU GET OFF 20 A BUS AND CAN IMMEDIATELY LIKE YOU DON'T HAVE TO REMEMBER WHEN 21 22 TO TAP OFF IT KNOWS WHEN YOU GET OFF. THAT KIND OF TECHNOLOGY 23 COULD REMOVE THAT SO-CALLED FATAL FLAW. THERE IS OTHER ISSUES WITH MOBILE PAYMENTS. BUT I JUST THINK BEING CAREFUL. I SEE 24 ONE OPTION THAT WOULD BE POTENTIALLY NOT TO BE ELIMINATE ON 25



THAT BASIS JUST TO SEE HOW USERS RESPOND TO IT, LIKE ONE OF 1 2 THOSE FARE BY DISTANCE CELLULAR ZONES THAT DOESN'T HAVE, LIKE, 3 LOCAL BUSES FREE, JUST -- AGAIN, I'M NOT SAYING THAT'S A BETTER POLICY OPTION I'M JUST SAYING IT MAY BE PREMATURE TO 4 5 DROP THAT WHEN IT MAY BE FEASIBLE IN THE FUTURE. 6 MICHAEL EISEMAN: I THINK THAT'S THE DIRECTION WE'RE PLANNING 7 8 TO GO HERE. THAT SPECIFIC RULE OUT IS NOT GOING IT HAPPEN JUST 9 NOW. 10 IAN GRIFFITHS: GREAT. 11 12 13 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: BRIAN HAS A HAND. 14 15 BRIAN STANKE: FIRST I WANT TO AGREE WITH WHAT IAN SAID, JUST A 16 FEW YEARS AGO GOLDEN GATE TRANSIT DID CAP ON-CAP OFF FOR BUSES I USED THAT WHEN I WAS COMMUTING AND I LIKE THE IDEA OF TAKING 17 18 A ONE, A TWO, A FOUR, A FIVE, AND APPLYING THEM BOTH TO BIG 19 TENT, AND TO -- WHAT WAS THE OTHER NAME? I THINK THE GREAT ALLIANCE. FARES, ZONES, AND FARE BY DISTANCE WITH AND WITHOUT 20 21 LOCAL FLAT RATES, THERE IS NO REASON TO DIFFERENTIATE 22 DIFFERENT OPTIONS FOR THOSE TWO. I GUESS, LOOKING AT THE 23 STRATEGIC SCREEN, THOSE ARE GOOD OUESTIONS. I THINK THE WAY THEY WERE EXPLAINED FOR THE LAST ONE ABOUT SUPPORTING FUTURE 24 TRANSIT PLANS COULD BE BETTER WRITTEN OUT IN TALKING ABOUT 25

January 22, 2021

THINGS LIKE BAY AREA 2050 TRANSIT GOALS. I GUESS ONE THING I'M 1 STRUGGLING WITH WHEN LOOKING AT SCREENING IS SORT OF THE 2 3 DISCUSSION OF, HOW IN THE -- HOW DO YOU MODEL -- OR YOU CAN REASONABLY MODEL THAT THE TRADE AGREEMENTS PATHWAY WHEN IT IS 4 5 VERY MUCH A NO PROJECT PATHWAY WHERE, YOU KNOW, THERE COULD BE DOUBLE DISCOUNT FARES, BUT WE CAN'T -- WE CAN'T MODEL EVERY 6 7 SINGLE COMBINATION OF DOUBLE DISCOUNTS AND EVERY NUMBER 8 BETWEEN AGENCIES. THAT GETS TO THE MODEL THAT CLIPPER 1.0 GOT STUCK IN. I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU WOULD PRIORITIZE ANY OF THE 9 10 TRADE AGREEMENT ONES WHEN THEY REALLY ARE KIND OF, A, UNMODERATABLE. AND, B, AS WE HAVE DISCUSSED BEFORE, WE HAVE, 11 LIKE, BART PLUS, AND THEY HAVEN'T SURVIVED. THESE MULTI-12 AGENCY THINGS HAVE BEEN DONE, AND HAVE FAILED, NOT ONLY AROUND 13 THE WORLD, BUT HERE. SO, DO WE PRIORITIZE LOOKING AT THOSE, 14 AGAIN, KNOWING THAT HISTORY. AND THE REAL VALUE OF WHAT'S BEEN 15 16 DONE AND WORKED ELSEWHERE AND HERE, AND WHAT'S BEEN DONE AND HAS WORKED ELSEWHERE AND HERE. AND REALLY USING THAT TO PUT 17 THE LIMITS RESOURCES WE HAVE IN THIS EFFORT TO THE BEST 18 19 DIRECTION IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THE GOALS. THANKS.

20

WILLIAM BACON: I WAS GOING TO QUICKLY -- THANK YOU BRIAN, AND JUST FOR EVERYONE I WANT YOU ALL TO KNOW THAT WE RECOGNIZE THE SYSTEMS IN THE REGION NOW THAT ARE TAP ON-TAP OFF AND A NUMBER OF BUS OPERATORS ARE TAP ON TAP OFF AND A NUMBER OF OUR RAILS ARE AS WELL. WE RECOGNIZE THAT. AND THE QUESTION IS, CAN YOU



SEE THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, WORKING ON A SYSTEM LIKE MUNI, ON THE
 38 BUS AT 8:30 IN THE MORNING, THAT'S WHAT WE MEAN IN TERMS OF
 IMPLEMENTATION QUESTION.

4

5 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: OKAY THANKS. AND THANKS BRIAN FOR THE MENTION OF LATE, LAMENTED BART PLUS AND I'LL ADD THE LATE 6 7 UNLAMENTED AC TRANSIT TRANSFER PILOT. LET'S SEE. ON ECHOING, I 8 THINK MAYBE WHERE IAN WAS GOING ON THE FATAL FLAW I WOULD LIKE 9 THOSE TO BE CONSIDERED THINGS THAT ARE FATAL FOR REASONS OF GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS THAT IT'S LIKE A POLYCENTRIC REGION, A 10 SINGLE CENTER IT'S MATHEMATICALLY UNVIABLE, BUT NOT TO USE 11 THINGS THAT ARE POLICY CHOICES OR FAST CHANGING TECHNOLOGY AS 12 SOMETHING CONSIDERED A FATAL FLAW. IS THIS SOMETHING THAT 13 REQUIRES POLICY CHANGE TO IMPLEMENT THOSE DECISIONS BE AT THE 14 15 END OF THE DAY TO THE POLICY MAKERS BASED ON BENEFITS AND 16 DRAWBACKS RATHER THAN DECIDING IT UP FRONT AND KIND OF BAKING IT INTO THAT AS AN ASSUMPTION. THAT SHOULD BE A BAKED IN 17 18 CONCLUSION AT THE END OF THE DAY. IN TERMS OF IMPROVING FARE 19 WITH TRIP VALUE IS HOW THAT RELATES TO CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE, THAT'S A LITTLE BIT CONFUSING TO ME, BECAUSE I'M THINKING OF 20 21 AN EXAMPLE OF THE, WETA WAS PROPOSING A TERMINAL IN REDWOOD 22 CITY AND THAT FERRY WOULD GO TO SAN FRANCISCO AND OAKLAND, IN 23 ORDER OF VALUE YOU WOULD SAY THIS IS A BEAUTIFUL VIEW AND WORTH EXTRA MONEY ON THE OTHER HAND THE DIFFERENT OPTIONS OF 24 25 GETTING BETWEEN REDWOOD CITY AND OAKLAND, IF I HAVE THE SAME

January 22, 2021

FARE STRUCTURE, I COULD THINK ABOUT THAT FERRY OF INCREASING 1 2 MY FREQUENCY IF IT HAS THE SAME PRICE STRUCTURE. SO I THINK AN 3 ELEMENT OF TRIP VALUE IS, DOES THIS TRIP HELP INCREASE MY FREOUENCY AND SPAN OF SERVICE? SO, AND THEN THE -- LIKE, 4 5 ANOTHER SMALL THING, AND THEN A BIG THING. THE SMALL THING ON 6 INNOVATION IS, I WOULD HATE FOR US TO CONSIDER, AS INNOVATION, 7 THINGS THAT SHOULD BE BASIC. SO, LIKE WHEN THE CITY OF 8 MOUNTAIN VIEW DECIDES ABOUT A HOUSING DEVELOPMENT, AND HAS TO 9 CHOOSE WHETHER TO GIVE THE RESIDENTS OF VTA PASS OR CALTRAIN PASS AND YOU CAN SAY, WOW, I MIGHT BE ABLE TO HAVE AN 10 INNOVATION, YOU KNOW, AND GIVE THEM A TRANSIT PASS. THAT 11 SHOULDN'T BE, TO EDITORIALIZE, THAT SHOULDN'T BE AN 12 INNOVATION, IT SHOULD BE A THING AND WE SHOULDN'T HAVE 13 14 CREATIVITY TO DO SOMETHING BASIC LIKE GIVING SOMEBODY ACCESS 15 TO TRANSIT. AND THEN MOVING ON TO EQUITY, I'M -- LIKE, HOW IS 16 STAFF THINKING ABOUT THAT, GIVEN THAT THERE ARE MANY DIMENSIONS TO EQUITY. SO ONE IS, LIKE, A SINGLE TRIP PRICE, 17 18 AND IS THAT AFFORDABLE TO PEOPLE WHO HAVE LITTLE MONEY. BUT 19 THERE IS ANOTHER ASPECT OF EQUITY, WHICH IS EVERYDAY LOW PRICES, BECAUSE THERE ARE MANY PEOPLE THAT ARE VERY PRICE 20 21 SENSITIVE IN THIS HIGH CLASS OF REGION, BUT ARE GOING TO BE 22 ABOVE A MEANS TEST THRESHOLD SO EVERYDAY LOW PRICES THAT WOULD 23 HELP A HOUSEHOLD WITH 80,000 IN ANNUAL INCOME THAT DOESN'T QUALIFY FOR THE U.S. POVERTY RATE, IS THAT AN EQUITY 24 25 CONSIDERATION. CASH FLOW IS AN EQUITY CONSIDERATION. DO I NEED

January 22, 2021

TO PAY \$100 UP FRONT TO BE ABLE TO GET A DISCOUNT? OR DO I 1 HAVE A PAY AS YOU GO PLAN THAT CAN HELP IF I DON'T HAVE MONEY 2 3 AT THE FRONT OF THE MONTH. WHAT ABOUT DISTANCE AND PEOPLE WHO, YOU KNOW, LIVE IN -- HAVE A LONG COMMUTE, THAT'S AN ASPECT OF 4 5 EQUITY. AND THEN LAST BUT NOT LEAST, YOU KNOW, OPPORTUNITY. IF 6 I AM A LOW INCOME PERSON THAT WANTS TO GO TO COLLEGE, AND I 7 WANT TO TAKE CLASSES, AND THEY -- THAT'S 30 MILES AWAY, 40 8 MILES AWAY, AND, YOU KNOW, I CAN'T AFFORD TO GET THERE FAST, I 9 ONLY AFFORD A TWO HOUR BUS TRIP. THAT'S OPPORTUNITY, AS AN 10 ELEMENT OF EQUITY, WHERE THIS IS A JOB, OR A SCHOOL OPPORTUNITY, I WOULDN'T EVEN THINK TO TAKE, BECAUSE I CAN'T 11 AFFORD IT. SO I WOULD JUST LOVE TO HEAR FROM STAFF HOW STAFF 12 IS THINKING OF EQUITY CONSIDERING DIFFERENT DIMENSIONS OF 13 14 EQUITY.

15

16 MICHAEL EISEMAN: MAYBE I'LL START AND LET BILL SAY A FEW WORDS TOO. IT'S INDEED COMPLEX THERE ARE DIFFERENT ELEMENTS AND 17 WE'RE WORKING HARD ON IT. THIS IS NOT DEFINED PRECISELY FOR 18 PURPOSES OF THIS SCREENING, JUST YET. SO I'LL TELL YOU SOME OF 19 THE THINGS WE'RE DOING. OUR CONSULTANTS ARE WORKING HARD ON 20 21 LAYING OUT SOME OPTIONS WE DID A PRETTY INVOLVED WORKSHOP WITH 22 OUR STAFF WORKING GROUP TO GET PERSPECTIVES ON EQUITY FROM 23 EACH TRANSIT AGENCY AND WHAT THEIR CUSTOMERS WERE EXPERIENCING, AND WE'RE DOING OUR BEST TO LAY OUT THE ELEMENTS 24 OF EQUITY IN A SIMPLE WAY FOR SCREENING AND IN A MORE PRECISE 25



1

January 22, 2021

WAY FOR BUSINESS ANALYSIS. YES YOU'RE RIGHT, YES WE ARE

WORKING ON IT, NO. IT'S NOT DONE. 2 3 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: [LAUGHTER] 4 5 MICHAEL EISEMAN: BILL, ANYTHING ELSE YOU WANT TO ADD ON TO 6 7 THAT? 8 9 WILLIAM BACON: IT WAS REALLY GOOD MIKE. WHAT I WOULD ADD IS FEEDBACK THAT WE HAVE HEARD TODAY FROM THE SUBCOMMITTEE IS 10 VERY MUCH IN LINE WITH WHAT STAFF HAVE BEEN THINKING IN THE 11 SENSE THAT ONE OF THE PURPOSES OF UNDERTAKING THIS WORK IS TO 12 UNDERSTAND HOW THE FARE SYSTEM REDUCES THE ABILITY OF PEOPLE 13 14 TO USE TRANSIT, OR MAKE THE MOST OF TRANSIT, MAKE THE MOST OF 15 OUR SYSTEM EXISTS NOW AND HOW WE WANT OUR SYSTEM TO EVOLVE AND 16 IMPROVE IN THE FUTURE, AS WE COME OUT OF THE PANDEMIC. AND I THINK ANNE OLIVIA, YOU HAD SEVERAL COMMENTS THEY THINK STRUCK 17 18 HOME EXACTLY IN TERMS OF WHAT WE HAVE BEEN THINKING IN TERMS 19 OF WE WANT PEOPLE TO BE ABLE TO USE THE SYSTEM. AND THERE ARE PEOPLE OUT THERE WHO AREN'T USING TRANSIT, WHO COULD USE 20 21 TRANSIT IF OUR FARE STRUCTURE PERHAPS WAS DIFFERENT. AND I

23 STRATEGIC SCREEN IS, ARE THESE -- ARE THE CHANGES IN THAT
24 OPTION, YOU KNOW, FACILITATING PEOPLE USING TRANSIT MORE. AND
25 THAT IS TIED INTO THE OTHER SCREENING QUESTIONS YOU CAN SEE ON

THINK THAT'S REALLY ONE OF THE KEY QUESTIONS HERE IN THE

81

January 22, 2021

THIS SLIDE BUT IT'S REALLY SPECIFIC IN TERMS OF WHAT ARE THE 1 OUTCOMES THAT WE WOULD FORESEE FROM THAT AT AGAIN JUST A HIGH 2 3 LEVEL SCREEN, BUT WOULD WE FORESEE NEW PARTS OF THE REGION THAT ARE OPEN TO CUSTOMERS, AND THAT YOU WOULD HAVE ACCESS TO 4 5 OPPORTUNITIES IN OTHER PARTS OF THE REGION THAT MAYBE ARE NOT ACCESSIBLE TO YOU TODAY. AND HOW DO WE PIN THAT DOWN. YOU 6 7 KNOW, THIS IS NOT A QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS HERE, IT IS 8 QUALITATIVE. BUT I THINK THEORIZE THE KINDS OF QUESTIONS WE 9 REALLY NEED TO BE PRECISE WITH AND ARTICULATE BETTER ASIDE WE 10 MOVE FORWARD INTO THE NEXT MONTH OF THIS WORK. SO AS MIKE SAID, WE'RE NOT DONE YET BUT THIS IS A REALLY PRODUCTIVE 11 CONVERSATION FOR US. 12

13

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: YEAH. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO WHAT ELSE DO YOU WANT? IS THERE ANYTHING THAT YOU WANT TO PRESENT IN THE LAST COUPLE OF SLIDES? AND WHAT ELSE WOULD YOU LIKE FROM THIS BODY MOVING FORWARD IN THE PROJECT.

18

MICHAEL EISEMAN: WE HAVE ONE TO WRAP UP SLIDE AT THE END WHICH WE CAN SKIP TO NOW. AND IT HAS THE QUESTIONS FOR THIS GROUP, WHICH WE HAVE ALREADY SORT OF ENGAGED AS WE HAVE MOVED THROUGH. BUT MAYBE I'LL JUST -- WE'LL JUST PUT IT OUT THERE ONE MORE TIME, THIS LIST OF QUESTIONS, AND SEE IF FOLKS HAVE FINAL THOUGHTS ON THESE. YOU KNOW, WHAT DID WE MISS ON THE LONG LIST? ANY OTHER INITIAL REACTIONS OR SUGGESTIONS, ADVICE?



AND THEN IF THERE IS ANYTHING ELSE BEYOND WHAT WE HAVE ALREADY
 DISCUSSED THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO HEAR TO UNDERSTAND THE
 OPTIONS BETTER, WE'RE CERTAINLY MORE THAN HAPPY TO TALK ABOUT
 IT.

5

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND FOR
THIS VERY ROBUST SET OF IDEAS. AND WE'LL WANT TO HEAR ANY LAST
COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THIS BODY. AND, ACTUALLY, WHILE
PEOPLE THINK IF THERE IS ANY LAST THING, I WANT TO TAKE
COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. I SEE THAT ROLAND HAS A
HAND RAISED. I WOULD LOVE TO HEAR FROM ROLAND.

12

SPEAKER: THANK YOU MADAM CHAIR. SO LET ME START WITH THE LAST 13 ITEM BEFORE MOVING BACK TO THE STATUS UPDATE. I JUST WANT TO 14 15 SAY THANK YOU, MICHAEL, FOR THIS ABSOLUTELY EXTENSIVE AND 16 OUTSTANDING RESEARCH. I ALSO WANT TO THANK ALL THE PANELISTS 17 FOR THE INPUT. THE ONLY COMMENT I HAVE IS, WHATEVER THE 18 SELECTED APPROACH IS, EVENTUALLY, THIS NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED 19 THROUGH A REGIONAL SUBSIDY, AT THE REGIONAL LEVEL, WITHOUT IMPACTING THE INDIVIDUAL OPERATOR'S BOTTOM LINE. IF NOT, I 20 21 PERSONALLY BELIEVE THAT YOU'RE SETTING YOURSELVES UP FOR 22 STRONG HEAD WINDS FROM THE AGENCIES. NOW, MOVING TO THE 23 PREVIOUS ITEM. I MEAN, I DO APPRECIATE SOME PEOPLE -- YOU KNOW I'M MORE COMFORTABLE LISTENING TO THEM THAN LISTENING TO 24 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, WITH THE BROWN ACT ESPECIALLY IN THIS 25

January 22, 2021

CASE, GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 549594.3, I DID HAVE A OUESTION 1 FOR BILL IS, HOW THE DATABASE OF 500 BAY AREA TRANSIT USERS 2 3 WAS POPULATED. AND I'M CONCERNED AS TO WHAT'S IN THAT DATABASE IS ACTUALLY BALANCED BECAUSE I THOUGHT -- NOT KNOW, I WAS NOT 4 5 INVITED, AND I DEFINITELY WAS NOT ASKED ANY QUESTIONS, AND I WOULD CONSIDER MYSELF AS A BAY AREA TRANSIT USERS. AND I DID 6 NOT UNDERSTAND THE CONCERN OF EASE OF USE. WHEN MY RELATIVES 7 8 CAME FROM EUROPE OR ASIA, I BASICALLY GAVE THEM A CLIPPER CARD AND GOOGLE MAPS AND THEY START MOVING AROUND THE SYSTEM JUST 9 10 LIKE THEY DO BACK HOME IN EUROPE OR ASIA, AND I DO THE SAME THING, I JUST GET WHATEVER IS AVAILABLE OUT THERE AND USE 11 12 GOOGLE MAPS AND MOVE AROUND GERMANY, FRANCE, UK, WHATEVER. THANK YOU. 13

14

15 ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND I SEE
16 THAT ALETA DUPREE HAS A HAND RAISED. GO AHEAD ALETA.

17

18 SPEAKER: THANK YOU, CHAIR ADINA LEVIN. ALETA DUPREE FOR THE 19 RECORD. A LOT HERE, TRYING TO DIGEST IT ALL. I APPRECIATE YOU ALL DIGGING INTO IT. BECAUSE IT'S VERY COMPLICATED. AND SOME 20 21 OF IT IS STILL CLEAR AS MUD, BUT IT'S BECOMING CLEARER. FOR ME, FARE ISSUES ARE MORE ANECDOTAL THAN ACADEMIC, AND SO I'M 22 23 LOOKING FORWARD TO SOME SCHEMATICS EXAMPLES OF WHAT FARE WOULD LOOK LIKE. I HAVE USED THE DAY PASS IN THE BAY AREA AND I HAVE 24 USED PERIOD PASSES. I BELIEVE THE BIGGEST POSSIBILITY FOR 25

January 22, 2021

IMPROVEMENT IS FARE CAPPING. BECAUSE THEN YOU DON'T HAVE TO 1 THINK ABOUT HOW MUCH FARE YOU'RE GOING TO NEED A MONTH. THE 2 SYSTEM DOES IT FOR YOU. AND I THINK THAT SHOULD REALLY BE OUR 3 FOCUS. I MEAN, FLAT FARES, THERE IS A PLACE FOR -- A FLAT FARE 4 5 TO RIDE THE NEW YORK CITY SUBWAY. I'M NOT SO SURE ABOUT FLAT FARES ON VERY LONG TRIPS. ESPECIALLY WHEN THE LONGER YOU 6 7 TRAVEL, THE MORE COSTS EXPENDED IN THE DISTANCE THAT YOU'RE 8 TRAVELING. AND THE MTA IN NEW YORK IS CONSIDERING KIND OF A FLAT FARE SYSTEM FOR THEIR RAILROADS, BUT THEY DID NOT TAKE IT 9 10 UP AT THEIR MEETING YESTERDAY. SO IN SUMMARY, I THINK THE FARE CAPPING AND TECHNOLOGY KEEPS TRACK OF YOUR USE, IS IDEAL, SUCH 11 AS CLIPPER, AND ALSO AN IDEAL IS THE EASY PASS SYSTEM IN THE 12 LOS ANGELES REGION. THANK YOU. 13

14

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. DO ANY
MEMBERS -- CONSIDERING WHAT MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC HAVE SAID,
AND EVERYTHING AND ALL OF THE INFORMATION THAT YOU HAVE HEARD
TODAY, DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD TO HELP STAFF WORK
WITH THE OPTIONS AND THE EVALUATION CRITERIA? AT THIS TIME I
SEE THAT IAN HAS A HAND.

21

22 IAN GRIFFITHS: YEAH. SO I THINK SOME OF THE MOST INTERESTING 23 VARIANTS WILL BE WITHIN A ZONED BASED FRAMEWORK WITHIN OTHER 24 ZONES OR WITHIN THE DISTANCED BASED FRAMEWORK LIKE HOW LIKE 25 WHAT IS IT LIKE? YOU KNOW, LIKE IS IT TWO -- IS IT LIKE A FLAT

January 22, 2021

-- A CERTAIN INCREASE UP TO A CERTAIN DISTANCE AND THEN --1 2 LIKE WHERE DO YOU PUT THE MAXIMUM? WHERE DO YOU CHANGE THE 3 RATE? AND I WAS UNCLEAR AS TO HOW THAT WILL GET, LIKE, DEVELOPED. AND, AGAIN -- [INDISCERNIBLE] SPEND A LOT OF TIME. 4 5 I GUESS GO BACK TO HAD TO KIND OF WHAT BRIAN WAS SAYING LIKE USE OUR RESOURCES TO TRY TO MAKE SURE WE'RE USING OUR 6 7 RESOURCES TO EXPLORE VARIATION IN THE THINGS THAT CLOSELY MEET 8 OUR GOALS RATHER THAN SPENDING A LOT OF TIME ON OPTIONS THAT DON'T MEET OUR GOALS. LIKE. SO I GUESS THAT'S MY OUESTION OR 9 MAYBE I'M NOT UNDERSTANDING THE PROCESS THAT WE'RE GOING TO GO 10 THROUGH GOING FORWARD AND WHEN THOSE VARIANTS WILL GET FLUSHED 11 OUT IN GREATER DETAIL. 12

13

MICHAEL EISEMAN: YEAH. I THINK, SO, WE'RE STILL WORKING 14 15 THROUGH EXACTLY THE TIMING AND THE MECHANICS. I THINK THERE IS 16 THE OPPORTUNITY TO, AND THE LIKELIHOOD OF SORT OF MODELING OUT VERSIONS, LIKE VARIANTS OF THE SAME OPTION. BUT THE BUSINESS 17 18 CASE ANALYSIS IS DEVELOPING, RIGHT NOW. SO WE SHOULD LEAVE 19 THAT ON THE TABLE AS AN OPTION. YOU KNOW, AT THE SAME TIME, I DO THINK -- I THINK IT'S VALLEY VALUABLE THAT WE CONTINUE TO 20 21 ADVANCE OPTIONS IN EACH OF THESE PATHWAYS. BECAUSE THERE'S 22 REALLY HARD STUFF HAVING TO DO WITH GOVERNANCE, AS MANY OF YOU 23 ARE INTIMATELY FAMILIAR. YOU KNOW, TO IMPLEMENT SOME OF THESE MORE AMBITIOUS THINGS WOULD REQUIRE REALLY BIG CHANGES IN 24 GOVERNANCE AND THE FINANCIAL STRUCTURE OF TRANSIST IN OUR 25

January 22, 2021

REGION AND I THINK THE OPTIONS ACROSS EACH OF THESE AREAS
 BECAUSE THERE IS GOING TO BE DECISIONS MADE AT STAFF LEVEL AND
 WE NEED TO BE IN A POSITION TO RESPOND TO HOWEVER THAT LEVEL
 OF DECISION MAKING. I THINK WE NEED TO THINK ABOUT RESOURCES
 WE NEED TO PRESERVE SUBVARIANCE.

6

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. SORRY. I'M GOING TO
MUTE MYSELF TO END MY COMPUTER'S RINGING NOISE. RICH HEDGES
HAS A HAND.

10

11 RICHARD HEDGES: THANK YOU. I HAVE BEEN THINKING ABOUT THIS SINCE THE NEXT TO THE LAST SLIDE. I REALLY LIKED WENDI'S 12 COMMENT ABOUT, WILL IT INCREASE TRANSIT RIDERSHIP? I THINK 13 THAT SHOULD BE AN INCLUDED CRITERIA WE SHOULD BE AT LEAST 14 THINKING ABOUT. I'M THINKING ABOUT TROUBLE THAT'S GOING TO 15 16 CONSTRAIN US, 1 TO 2 ITEMS FROM EACH PATHWAY. I'M AFRAID WE'RE GOING TO END UP, IF WE'RE HELD TO THAT, BECAUSE IT SOUNDS TO 17 18 ME LIKE THERE IS SEVERAL PEOPLE THAT LIKE ONE PARTICULAR OR 19 MAYBE TWO WHO MIGHT PREFER THE THIRD OR FOURTH AND I'M AFRAID WE'RE GOING TO END UP WITH THE OLD ADAGE OF "WHAT IS A CAMEL" 20 IT'S A HORSE DESIGNED BY COMMITTEE. I THINK WE SHOULD LOOSEN 21 22 UP THE NUMBER OF ITEMS FROM EACH PATHWAY I THINK IT MIGHT END 23 UP A BETTER PRODUCT ESPECIALLY.

24

January 22, 2021

MICHAEL EISEMAN: I THINK THE REAL ANSWER IS 2 TO 3 WHAT WE'RE
 AIMING FOR. AND YOU CAN PROBABLY TELL, IN THE ANALYSIS WE HAVE
 GONE BACK AND FORTH ABOUT THE RIGHT NUMBER BUT WHAT WE'RE
 LOOKING AT RIGHT NOW IS 2 TO 3. HEDGE THANK YOU.

5

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANKS. AND I SEE THAT RANDI KINMAN HAS A
HUNDRED.

8

RANDI KINMAN: THANK YOU. I WANT TO CHIME IN WITH THE MORE 9 OPTIONS THE BETTER. SOMETIMES SIMPLY BECAUSE IF YOU ONLY HAVE 10 TWO OPTIONS IT BECOMES AN EITHER/OR THING SO LET'S NOT PUT 11 PEOPLE IN THAT POSITION, LET'S GIVE THEM THE ACTUAL ABILITY TO 12 CHOOSE. I WANT TO THANK YOU. THIS IS, YOU KNOW, AFTER YEARS 13 AND YEARS AND YEARS OF WAITING TO GET TO THIS POINT, I CANNOT 14 15 TELL YOU HOW AMAZING THIS PARTICULAR MEETING IS. SO I JUST 16 WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO ALL OF YOU. BECAUSE THIS IS FRICKEN AMAZING. A-MAZE-ING. AND THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR ALL OF THIS. 17

18

MICHAEL EISEMAN: AND I JUST WANT TO RESPOND TO THE DISCUSSION HERE IT'S BEEN REALLY SUBSTANTIVE. THERE IS A LOT OF STUFF THAT YOU HAVE SAID THAT WE REALLY TO NED TO THINK ABOUT I THINK IT'S GOING SHARPEN THE PRESENTATION WHEN WE TAKE IT TO THE TASK FORCE. THANK YOU. AND I'M NOT SEEING ANY OTHER HANDS FROM MEMBERS OF THIS BODY. SO I'M GOING TO CLOSE THIS AGENDA ITEM AND MOVE ON TO THE LAST TWO -- THREE ITEMS ON THE AGENDA.

January 22, 2021

ONE, WHICH IS NEW BUSINESS. ARE THERE ANY NEW BUSINESS ITEMS 1 THAT MEMBERS OF THIS SUBCOMMITTEE WANT TO BRING UP FOR 2 3 DISCUSSION OR ADDITION FOR A FUTURE AGENDA? ALL RIGHT. I'M NOT SEEING ANY ITEMS OF NEW BUSINESS BEING REQUESTED. AND SO 4 5 MOVING ON TO ITEM NUMBER SEVEN, PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL ASK THE CLERK TO READ THE NAMES, ORGANIZATIONS OF ANY GENERAL WRITTEN 6 7 PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED AT INFO AT -- BY 5:00 P.M. YESTERDAY 8 INTO THE RECORD, AND ALSO IS THERE ANY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC 9 PARTICIPATING BY TELECONFERENCE WHO HAVE GENERAL COMMENTS ON ITEMS THAT ARE NOT ON TODAY AGENDA, PLEASE USE THE RAISED HAND 10 FEATURE OR DIAL STAR NINE IF YOU'RE NOT ON A PHONE, AND I WILL 11 CALL UPON YOU FOR GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT. ARE THERE ANY ITEMS 12 WRITTEN? 13

14

15 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE IS NO WRITTEN COMMENT SUBMITTED ON 16 THIS ITEM, AND THERE ARE NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITH THEIR 17 HANDS RAISED.

18

ADINA LEVIN, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND WITH THAT WE'LL
ADJOURN THE MEETING UNTIL THE NEXT MEETING OF THE POLICY
ADVISORY COUNCIL FARE COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION
SUBCOMMITTEE, WILL BE FRIDAY MARCH 12TH, 2021, AT 2:00 P.M.,
BY WEBCAST AND ANY CHANGES WILL BE NOTICED TO THE PUBLIC.
THANK YOU VERY MUCH, AND WE CAN HEAD OFF TO A MUCH NEEDED
RAINY EVENING. ADJOURN.



1

January 22, 2021

This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.



Broadcasting Government