METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript



1	JOINT MTC ABAG LEGISLATION COMMITTEE SPECIAL MEETING
2	FRIDAY, DECEMBER 8 TH , 2023, 9:45 AM
3	
4	CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: I WOULD LIKE TO CALL TO ORDER THIS
5	MEETING OF THE JOINT MTC ABAG LEGISLATION COMMITTEE. THIS
6	MEETING IS WEBCAST ON THE MTC AND ABAG WEB SITES. COMMUNITY
7	MEMBERS AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATING BY ZOOM
8	WISHING TO SPEAK SHOULD USE THE RAISED HAND FEATURE OR DIAL
9	NINE AND I WILL CALL UPON THEM AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME?
10	TELECONFERENCE ATTENDEES WILL BE CALLED UPON BY THE LAST FOUR
11	DIGITS OF THEIR PHONE NUMBER. A ROLL CALL VOTE WILL BE TAKEN
12	FOR ACTION ITEMS DUE TO REMOTE COMMITTEE MEMBER PARTICIPATION
13	TODAY. AGENDA ITEM ONE, ROLL CALL. WILL THE CLERK CALL THE
14	ROLL AND CONFIRM A QUORUM
15	
16	CLERK OF THE BOARD: CANEPA?
17	
18	CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: HERE.
19	
20	CLERK OF THE BOARD: ARREGUIN?
21	
22	V. CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: HERE AT [INDISCERNIBLE] STREET,
23	BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA.
24	
25	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MEMBER AHN?



1	
2	EDDIE AHN: HERE.
3	
4	CLERK OF THE BOARD: CARLSON?
5	
6	KEN CARLSON: HERE FROM PLEASANT OFFICE.
7	
8	SPEAKER: MEMBER DUTRA-VERNACI? ECKLUND?
9	
10	PAT EKLUND: PRESENT.
11	
12	CLERK OF THE BOARD: GIACOPINI, NON-VOTING?
13	
14	DORENE M. GIACOPINI: HERE.
15	
16	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MAHAN IS ABSENT. MOULTON-PETERS?
17	
18	STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: HERE.
19	
20	CLERK OF THE BOARD: NOACK?
21	
22	SUE NOACK: HERE.
23	
24	CLERK OF THE BOARD: RABBITT?
25	



1	DAVID RABBIT: HERE.
2	
3	CLERK OF THE BOARD: RAMOS?
4	
5	BELIA RAMOS, ABAG V. CHAIR: HERE THIRD STREET NAPA.
6	
7	CLERK OF THE BOARD: TIEDEMANN?
8	
9	AARON TIEDEMANN: HERE.
10	
11	CLERK OF THE BOARD: WE HAVE A QUORUM.
12	
13	PAT EKLUND: BEFORE YOU GO FORWARD I NEED TO SAY I'M AT MY
14	REMOTE LOCATION AT THE HAM TILL TON ROAD COMMUNITY CENTER.
15	
16	CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THAT BRINGS US TO
17	ITEM TWO, CONSENT CALENDAR. ITEMS 2A THROUGH 2B DO I HAVE A
18	MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT CALENDAR?
19	
20	DAVID RABBIT: MOTION.
21	
22	PAT EKLUND: SECOND.
23	
24	CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: MOTION AND SECONDS. IS THERE ANY
25	COMMITTEE MEMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO HAVE COMMENTS ON THIS



1	ITEM? CLERK WERE THERE ANY REMINDS RECEIVED UNDER PUBLIC
2	COMMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ITEM?
3	
4	CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE WAS NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE
5	RECEIVED FOR THIS ITEM, THERE ARE NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC IN
6	THE BOARDROOM WISHING TO SPEAK AND NO ONE IN ZOOM WITH THEIR
7	HAND RAISED.
8	
9	CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. THANK YOU VERY, VERY MUCH. WITH
10	THAT BEING SAID, WE WILL MOVE FORWARD TO AGENDA ITEM 3A. ROLL
11	CALL VOTE, PLEASE. THANK YOU.
12	
13	CLERK OF THE BOARD: CHAIR CANEPA?
14	
15	CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: YES.
16	
17	CLERK OF THE BOARD: VICE CHAIR ARREGUIN?
18	
19	V. CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: YES.
20	
21	CLERK OF THE BOARD: AHN?
22	
23	EDDIE AHN: AYE.
24	
25	CLERK OF THE BOARD: CARLSON?



1	
2	KEN CARLSON: AYE.
3	
4	CLERK OF THE BOARD: DUTRA-VERNACI?
5	
6	CAROL DUTRA-VERNACI: YES.
7	
8	CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU. MEMBER ECKLUND?
9	
10	PAT EKLUND: YES.
11	
12	CLERK OF THE BOARD: MEMBER MAHAN? IS ABSENT. MEMBER MOULTON-
13	PETERS?
14	
15	STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: YES.
16	
	CLERK OF THE BOARD: NOACK?
18	
	SUE NOACK: YES.
20	
	CLERK OF THE BOARD: RABBITT?
22	DAVID DADDIM. AVE
	DAVID RABBIT: AYE.
24	CLERK OF THE BOARD: RAMOS?
<i>43</i>	CHERN OF THE BOARD. NAMOS:



1 2 BELIA RAMOS, ABAG V. CHAIR: YES. 3 CLERK OF THE BOARD: SPERING? 4 5 6 JAMES P. SPERING: AYE. 7 8 CLERK OF THE BOARD: AND TIEDEMANN? 9 10 AARON TIEDEMANN: AYE. 11 CLERK OF THE BOARD: MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY BY ALL MEMBERS 12 PRESENT. 13 14 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AGENDA ITEM 15 16 3A, BAY AREA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION EFFORT, VOTER SURVEY REPORT. REBECCA LONG WITH MTC AND RUTH BERNSTEIN OF EMC 17 RESEARCH. WILL YOU PLEASE GIVE THE REPORT? 18 19 REBECCA LONG: GOOD MORNING CHAIR CAN PARKS COMMISSIONERS AND 20 DIRECTORS. I'LL KICK THIS OFF THEN TURN IT OVER TO RUTH 21 BERNSTEIN CEO OF RESEARCH. THIS POLL WAS NOT A VIABILITY POLL 22 23 AS YOU KNOW WE'RE PURSUING ENABLING LEGISLATION FOR TRANSPORTATION THAT WOULD BE PUT ON THE BALLOT NO SOONER THAN 24 NOVEMBER OF 2026 SO THE PURPOSE OF TESTING SPECIFIC REVENUE 25



- 1 OPTIONS WAS TO COMPARE AGAINST EACH OTHER TO SEE WAS THERE
- 2 MORE SUPPORT FOR ONE TYPE OF REVENUE OPTION THAN OTHER RATHER
- 3 THAN ARE THE VOTERS GOING TO PASS THIS. JUST WANT TO PROVIDE
- 4 THAT CONTEXT. AND WE ALSO REALLY WANTED TO GAUGE THE TYPES OF
- 5 EXPENDITURE CATEGORIES AND PRIORITIES THAT STAFF HAVE BEEN
- 6 PROPOSING FOR THE STRUCTURE OF THE LEGISLATION BASED ON
- 7 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT AND SOME OTHER IN-PERSON ENGAGEMENT
- 8 WITH BAY AREA RESIDENTS AGAINST A MORE SCIENTIFIC POLL. AND,
- 9 SO, THAT'S REALLY TO INFORM THE ENABLING LEGISLATION, AS WELL
- 10 AS IN TERMS OF EXPENDITURES, AS WELL AS TESTING SOME REFORMS
- 11 SINCE WE HAVE BEEN HEARING BOTH FROM THE COMMISSION,
- 12 STAKEHOLDERS, AND LEGISLATORS IN SACRAMENTO THAT REFORMS ARE
- 13 AN IMPORTANT PART OF THIS LEGISLATION. SO, WE'LL BE TALKING
- 14 MORE ABOUT THAT IN THE NEXT ITEM. WITH THAT I'LL TURN IT OVER
- 15 TO RUTH.

- 17 SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. HI EVERYONE. I'M ASSUMING MY
- 18 SLIDES ARE GOING TO MAGICALLY APPEAR UP IN FRONT. [LAUGHTER]
- 19 ALL RIGHT. SO, I'M GOING TO WALK YOU THROUGH THE BIG PICTURE
- 20 RESULTS ON THE NEXT SLIDE, OF THE SURVEY. IF WE COULD GO TO
- 21 THE NEXT SLIDE? THIS SURVEY WAS CONDUCTED RECENTLY AT THE END
- 22 OF OCTOBER. SO, YOU KNOW, PRETTY RECENT RESULTS. WE DID A
- 23 PRETTY LARGE SAMPLE SIZE OF 2700 INTERVIEWS THAT ARE
- 24 REPRESENTATIVE OF THE VOTERS THAT ARE LIKELY TO VOTE IN A
- 25 NOVEMBER 2026 ELECTION. OR ACTUALLY, I THINK THIS IS A WIDE



- 1 SAMPLE OF REGISTERED VOTERS OVERALL. WE DID A MULTILINGUAL AND
- 2 USED MULTIMODAL METHODS SO WE COULD REACH PEOPLE WHERE THEY'RE
- 3 AT, PHONE TEXT OR E-MAIL. REFLECTIVE OF THE BAY AREA
- 4 ELECTORATE OVERALL. AND WE DID A SUBMIT SAMPLE, SUCH A LARGE
- 5 SAMPLE SIZE ONE SO THAT WEEKEND LOOK AT THE LARGE NUMBER OF
- 6 DEMOGRAPHICS BUT ALSO A SPLIT SAMPLE WHERE 1/3 OF RESPONDENTS
- 7 HEARD ONE TYPE OF REVENUE OPTION IN A BALLOT 1/3 HEARD ANOTHER
- 8 1 AND 1/3 HEARD ANOTHER SO WE GOT A NICE SAMPLE SIZE. NEXT
- 9 SLIDE. GOING INTO RESULTS JUMPING RIGHT IN HERE. SO, OVERALL
- 10 MOOD: ARE THINGS IN THE BAY AREA GOING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION
- 11 OR ON OR OFF TRACK? 62% OF BAY AREA VOTERS RIGHT NOW ARE
- 12 FEELING LIKE THINGS ARE OFF ON THE WRONG TRACK. THEY'RE
- 13 FEELING FAIRLY NEGATIVE; ONLY 33% THE RIGHT DIRECTION. I'LL
- 14 SHOW YOU WHY THIS MATTERS. A LOT OF FOLKS SAY, WHY DO YOU KEEP
- 15 ASKING THIS QUESTION. IT'S SUCH WEIRD WORDING. WE ASK IT
- 16 BECAUSE IT'S A GOOD GAUGE OF WHETHER PEOPLE ARE FEELING
- 17 OPTIMISTIC OR PESSIMISTIC. THEY'RE FEELING PESSIMISTIC AND WE
- 18 THINK THAT RELATES TO HOW THEY FEEL ABOUT A MEASURE TO RAISE
- 19 THEIR TAXES AND THEY KIND OF CORRELATE ALONG. ON THE NEXT
- 20 PAGE, ASKING ABOUT WHAT ARE VOTERS CONCERNED ABOUT IF THEY'RE
- 21 NOT FEELING SO HAPPY. WHAT ARE THEY CONCERNED ABOUT. WE ASK,
- 22 WHAT'S THE MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM. CRIME IS COMING TO THE TOP,
- 23 AT 27% MENTIONING CRIME IN AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION AS THEIR
- 24 MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IN THE BAY AREA. THAT'S SIGNIFICANTLY
- 25 INCREASED RECENTLY. WE'RE ALSO SEEING HOMELESSNESS. THAT'S



- 1 BEEN AT THE TOP, HOMELESSNESS, 25% MENTIONING HOMELESSNESS.
- 2 HOUSING AFFORDABILITY, COST OF LIVING UP THERE AT THE TOP OF
- 3 VOTER CONCERNS. ON THE NEXT PAGE, JUST SO THAT YOU SEE THE
- 4 CONTEXT, THE NUMBER OF PLACES THROUGH THE HERE WE'RE GOING TO
- 5 SHOW YOU BREAK DOWN OF TRANSIT RIDERS. SO WE WANT TO SHOW UP
- 6 FRONT WE DID ASK PEOPLE HOW FREQUENTLY THEY USE PUBLIC
- 7 TRANSIT. YOU CAN SEE THERE ON THE LEFT, WE HAVE A HANDFUL, 5%
- 8 THAT DO RIDE TRANSIT DAILY, 17% TOTAL SAY THEY'RE RIDING
- 9 TRANSIT WEEKLY A THIRD RIDING TRANSIT OCCASIONALLY AND HALF
- 10 ARE NON-TRANSIT RIDERS REALLY DON'T RIDE AT ALL. ON THE NEXT
- 11 PAGE. SO, EVEN THOUGH A LOT OF PEOPLE ARE NOT RIDING TRANSIT,
- 12 BAY AREA VOTERS THINK TRANSIT IS IMPORTANT. HOW IMPORTANT
- 13 WOULD YOU SAY PUBLIC TRANSIT IS IN THE BAY AREA, THIS IS
- 14 GENERALLY PUBLIC TRANSIT, YOU CAN SEE HERE OVERALL 78% SAYING
- 15 THAT IT IS IMPORTANT. 47% VERY IMPORTANT. NO SURPRISE THAT WE
- 16 SEE HIGHER IMPORTANCE AMONG THOSE THAT RIDE TRANSIT
- 17 FREQUENTLY. SO, AMONG THOSE WHO RIDE IT A LOT, 69% SAYING IT'S
- 18 VERY IMPORTANT. SEVEN ON A 1 TO 7 SCALE, BUT EVEN HALF OF
- 19 VOTERS WHO ARE NON-RIDERS WE SEE 69% SAYING IT'S VERY
- 20 IMPORTANT AND 37 VERY IMPORTANT SO EVEN IF YOU'RE NOT RIDING
- 21 TRANSIT IT'S IMPORTANT. NEXT PAGE WE ASK FEELINGS ABOUT PUBLIC
- 22 TRANSIT FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION THIS IS WHERE WE SEE
- 23 PEOPLE ARE NOT AS HAPPY WITH WHAT THEY HAVE NOW ON PUBLIC
- 24 TRANSIT. OVERALL 52% FAVORABLE 46% UNFAVORABLE AMONG TRANSIT
- 25 RIDERS 72% FAVORABLE. SO THOSE TAKING TRANSIT ARE ACTUALLY



- 1 PRETTY HAPPY. I TOOK BART THIS MORNING. I WAS HAPPY. IT CAME,
- 2 IT WAS CLEAN. IT WAS GREAT. OCCASIONAL RIDERS 58%, FAVORABLE
- 3 42% UNFAVORABLE. BUT THOSE NON-RIDERS HAVE NEGATIVE PERCEPTION
- 4 OF TRANSIT. NON-RIDERS, 56% SAY THEY HAVE AN UNFAVORABLE
- 5 OPINION, 22% STRONGLY UNFAVORABLE. SO, WE HAVE THOSE WHO ARE
- 6 TAKING IT STILL LOVE; IT IT'S NOT THE GREATEST. BUT THEY HAVE
- 7 A POSITIVE OPINION. WHAT WE HAVE SEEN IN OTHER QUALITATIVE
- 8 RESEARCH IS PEOPLE SAYING, WELL I WANT IT TO BE BETTER. I LIKE
- 9 TAKING TRANSIT, BUT I WANT IT TO BE BETTER. ON THE NEXT PAGE.
- 10 AWARENESS OF NEED FOR FUNDING. WE ASK DO YOU THINK THERE IS A
- 11 GREAT NEED? SOME NEED? A LITTLE NEED? OR NO MONEY FOR MONEY
- 12 FOR TRANSIT. WE SEE 65% ARE AWARE THERE IS NEED FOR MONEY; 33%
- 13 NO NEED. THAT'S ALMOST IDENTICAL TO THE POLL THAT WE DID IN
- 14 MARCH. EVEN THOUGH THERE HAVE BEEN CHANGES IN FUNDING
- 15 AVAILABILITY WE SEE NOT A LOT OF CHANGE IN PERCEPTION, 65%
- 16 THINKING THERE IS A NEED. SORRY. THAT WAS TRANSPORTATION, NOT
- 17 TRANSIT. IT WAS ABOUT TRANSPORTATION IN GENERAL. THANK YOU. ON
- 18 THE NEXT PAGE, WE, GENERALLY, ON TAX ATTITUDES, WE ASK THIS
- 19 BEFORE WE ASKED THE BALLOT QUESTION. SO JUST SAYING, DO YOU
- 20 AGREE OR DISAGREE? WE NEED TO ADDRESS CLIMATE CHANGE IN OUR
- 21 TRANSPORTATION FUTURE EVEN IF IT MEANS RAISING TAXES. 56%
- 22 AGREE WITH THAT. WE NEED STABLE LONG-TERM FUNDING FOR PUBLIC
- 23 TRANSIT, EVEN IF IT MEANS RAISING TAXES. 57% AGREE WITH THAT.
- 24 WE NEED SIGNIFICANT IMPROVEMENTS TO PUBLIC TRANSIT IN THE BAY
- 25 AREA EVEN IF IT MEANS RAISING TAXES, 54% AGREE WITH THAT. WE



- 1 HAVE A SIGNIFICANT PERCENTAGE, OVER 40% THAT DISAGREE WITH
- 2 THAT. BUT A MAJORITY HERE ARE AGREEING THAT EVEN IF IT MEANS
- 3 RAISING TAXES, WE WANT THE INVESTMENT. WE LOST HALF OF THAT.
- 4 [LAUGHTER] ON THE NEXT PAGE. THIS IS WHERE WE ASKED A BALLOT
- 5 OUESTION. WE SAID THIS MAY BE ON THE BALLOT IN THE FUTURE.
- 6 WOULD YOU VOTE YES OR NO. AND AS I SAID, WE DID WHAT'S CALLED
- 7 A SPLIT SAMPLE. SO, A THIRD OF THE RESPONDENTS HEARD BAY SALES
- 8 TAX, A THIRD HEARD ABOUT AN INCOME TAX, A THIRD HEARD ABOUT A
- 9 PAYROLL TAX. THEY DID NOT HEAR BOTH. YOU JUST HEARD ONE OR THE
- 10 OTHER. THEY ALL HEARD THE INTRO. HERE IS A MEASURE THAT MIGHT
- 11 BE ON THE BALLOT TO IMPROVE SAFETY AND CLEANLINESS ON BART AND
- 12 OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC TRANSIT, REDUCE BAY AREA TRAFFIC, EXPAND
- 13 AND IMPROVE BUS, LIGHT RAIL, FERRY SERVICE, RELIABILITY
- 14 CONVENIENCE, REDUCE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, REPAIR POTHOLES,
- 15 MAKE TRANSIT EASIER MORE AFFORDABLE TO USE, AND MAKE STREETS
- 16 SAFER FOR WALKING AND BIKING. AND THEN THEY HEARD ENACTING
- 17 HALF-CENT SALES TAX, OR THEY HEARD ENACTING A 0.17% INCOME
- 18 TAX, OR THEY HEARD A PAYROLL TAX OF 3.6%. AS WE SEE HERE, NOT
- 19 A LOT OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE MEASURES AND JUST ABOVE A
- 20 MAJORITY SUPPORTING 55% FOR THE SALES TAX, 51% INCOME TAX, 55%
- 21 PAYROLL TAX. ALL OF THEM JUST SLIGHTLY ABOVE MAJORITY, NOT AT
- 22 THAT 2/3 LEVEL. BUT OUR UNDERSTANDING IF THE LEGISLATION IS
- 23 WRITTEN CORRECTLY THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT A MEASURE WOULD
- 24 ONLY NEED 50% PLUS ONE AND NOT THE 2/3 LEVEL. AND ALSO TO NOTE
- 25 THAT -- I'LL SHOW YOU IN A LATER SLIDE -- BUT THIS IS LOWER



- 1 SUPPORT THAN WE HAVE SEEN FOR A MEASURE IN THE PAST. JUST --
- 2 I'M SORRY, ON THE NEXT PAGE, LOOKING AT -- JUST A COUPLE OF
- 3 DEMOGRAPHICS, WE DIDN'T WANT TO GO INTO A LOT OF DETAIL. BUT
- 4 WE WANTED TO SHOW YOU HERE THAT THERE IS A DIFFERENCE, A
- 5 LITTLE BIT OF A DIFFERENCE BY GENDER WITH YOUNGER MEN AT 57
- 6 SUPPORT OLDER MEN OVER 5044 SUPPORT, WOMEN UNDER 5061% WOMEN
- 7 OVER 50, 54%, THEN A SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE ON TRANSIT
- 8 RIDERSHIP. THOSE WHO TAKE TRANSIT FREQUENTLY, 71% SUPPORT THIS
- 9 MEASURE AND THEN THOSE NON-RIDERS ONLY 43% SUPPORT. ON THE
- 10 NEXT PAGE, GEOGRAPHY, WHICH I KNOW EVERYONE IS ALWAYS
- 11 INTERESTED IN. OF THE WE SEE MORE COMMONALITY REALLY THAN
- 12 DIFFERENCE HERE. THE HIGHEST SUPPORT, REALLY, AMONG THOSE
- 13 AREAS WHERE THERE ARE HIGHER TRANSIT -- THERE IS HIGHER
- 14 TRANSIT USAGE, ALAMEDA COUNTY, 58% SUPPORT, SAN FRANCISCO 57%,
- 15 SAN MATEO 58%, SANTA CLARA 54%, AND THEN LOWER SUPPORT IN
- 16 OTHER AREAS, CONTRA COSTA 47, MARIN SONOMA, NAPA SOLANO 48.
- 17 THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WE SEE IN THE LOCAL AREAS AS WELL
- 18 WHEN WE ASK ABOUT MEASURES. ON THE NEXT PAGE, JUST A QUICK
- 19 COMPARISON. AS YOU KNOW, WE DID A SURVEY IN MARCH. WE ASKED
- 20 SIMILAR QUESTIONS. IN MARCH WE ASKED A MEASURE THAT HAD SALES
- 21 TAX ABOUT TRANSIT ONLY THEN SALES TAX FOR TRANSIT AND
- 22 TRANSPORTATION THEN COMPARED TO THIS POLL. AS WE SAID,
- 23 SLIGHTLY HIGHER SUPPORT IN MARCH 59% YES FOR TRANSIT ONLY, 63%
- 24 TRANSIT AND TRANSPORTATION, THIS EFFORT, WE ACTUALLY TOOK THE
- 25 LEARNINGS FROM THE PAST POLL, AND PAST POLLING AND TRIED TO



- 1 RANK AN ABSOLUTELY DIFFERENT QUESTION THAT MIGHT RESONATE THIS
- 2 WAS REALLY I DON'T THINK ABOUT PROJECTS THEMSELVES BUT MAYBE
- 3 ABOUT MOOD BUT 55% NOW SUPPORT COMPARED TO IN THE PAST. OR IN
- 4 THE -- EARLIER THIS YEAR. ON THE NEXT PAGE, WE HAVE A COUPLE
- 5 OF PAGES HERE, WE ASKED ABOUT A NUMBER OF ITEMS. HERE ARE
- 6 POTENTIAL ITEMS THAT COULD BE INCLUDED IN THIS MEASURE ALL
- 7 RANDOMIZED BROKEN INTO A COUPLE DIFFERENT SLIDES BY CATEGORY
- 8 FIRST ARE IN TRANSIT ITEMS I'M NOT GOING TO READ ALL BUT
- 9 GENERALLY THIS IS ABOUT IMPROVING TRANSIT. SO MAKING ALL OF
- 10 OUR TRANSIT OPTIONS MORE RELIABLE, AFFORDABLE, MAKING THEM
- 11 SAFER, CLEANER, AVOIDING CUTS, EXPANDING SERVICE TO OTHER
- 12 AREAS, CREATING A SEAMLESS TRANSIT NETWORK. YOU CAN SEE ALL OF
- 13 THESE HERE ARE FAIRLY HIGH PRIORITIES TO VOTERS. ALL ABOVE
- 14 70%. AND WE SEE SOME REAL INTENSITY WITH 45% RATING VERY HIGH
- 15 PRIORITY MAKING TRANSIT MORE RELIABLE, AFFORDABLE, CONNECTED,
- 16 45% VERY HIGH PRIORITY IMPROVING SAFETY CLEANLINESS, 44%
- 17 SERVICES. ALL HIGH PRIORITY. ON THE NEXT PAGE. LOOKING AT ALL
- 18 OF THOSE BY TRANSIT RIDERSHIP. SO, THIS IS THE AVERAGE ON A 1
- 19 TO 7 SCALE. AND YOU CAN SEE THE DARK BLUE ARE THOSE THAT TAKE
- 20 TRANSIT FREQUENTLY. ALL OF THESE TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS ARE
- 21 RATED AS HIGHER PRIORITY. AMONG THOSE TRANSIT USERS, THAN THEY
- 22 ARE AMONG THOSE NON-USERS. SO NON-USERS, YOU STILL SEE ON
- 23 AVERAGE THEY'RE ABOVE A FIVE. SO THEY'RE RATED AS IMPORTANT
- 24 BUT NOT GETTING THE HIGH INTENSITY THEY GET AMONG TRANSIT
- 25 USERS. NOT MUCH OF A SURPRISE. [LAUGHTER] ON THE NEXT PAGE



- 1 SOME OTHER ITEMS. THESE ARE KIND OF IN THE CATEGORY OF ROADS
- 2 AND CLIMATE. BUT OTHER ITEMS HERE, THE TOP ONE, POTHOLE REPAIR
- 3 AND SAFETY. POTHOLE REPAIR AND SAFE ROADS MAKING IT EASIER TO
- 4 GET AROUND SAFER FOR DRIVERS BICYCLES AND PEDESTRIAN PRIORITY
- 5 HIGH PRIORITY 81 AND 43% RATING AS AN INTENSE HIGH PRIORITY
- 6 SIMILARLY ALL TRANSIT ITEMS ACTUALLY SO JUST TO NOTE WE PUT
- 7 THIS ON A SEPARATE SLIDE. BUT SIMILAR TRANSIT ITEMS THEN SOME
- 8 OF THESE ENCOURAGING ALTERNATIVES TO EXPANDING ACCESS TO EV,
- 9 ELECTRIC VEHICLES PROTECTING AIR QUALITY, AND ALLOWING ONLY
- 10 PROJECTS THAT REDUCE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS GET AROUND 50 TO
- 11 -- YOU KNOW, 49 TO 58% AS A PRIORITY. SO, THEY ARE A PRIORITY
- 12 TO A MAJORITY, BUT NOT THE SAME INTENSITY. ON THE NEXT PAGE.
- 13 SOME OTHER REFORMS THAT WE ASKED ABOUT. YOU KNOW, WE HAVE TO
- 14 KEEP IN MIND THAT THESE COULD BE ASKED IN A MILLION DIFFERENT
- 15 WAYS. WE TRIED TO SIMPLIFY THESE BECAUSE WE WERE ASKING ABOUT
- 16 A LOT OF ITEMS. WE SEE THE OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY TO
- 17 ENSURE AN EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT MANAGEMENT OF PUBLIC
- 18 TRANSIT. NOT A BIG SURPRISE THAT THAT IS POPULAR. 80% RATING
- 19 AS A PRIORITY, 45% STRONG PRIORITY, VOTERS LIKE OVERSIGHT AND
- 20 ACCOUNTABILITY. AND SOME OF THESE OTHERS WE SEE EVERYTHING
- 21 HERE ON THIS PAGE IS ABOVE 55% OF PRIORITY, SOME UP TO 70%. ON
- 22 THE TOP REQUIRING TRANSPORTATION PLAN HAS CLEAR GOALS ALONG
- 23 WITH FLEXIBILITY TO ADJUST AS NEEDS CHANGE. PRIORITIZING
- 24 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS IN HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED
- 25 COMMUNITIES, 66% PRIORITY, COMBINING THE 21 BAY AREA BUS



- 1 OPERATORS INTO ONE BUS OPERATOR PER COUNTY TO GET BETTER
- 2 COORDINATED SERVICE AND SAVE TAXPAYER MONEY, 65%, HAVING ONE
- 3 REGIONAL AGENCY BE RESPONSIBLE FOR COORDINATING SCHEDULE AND
- 4 SIGNAGE AND ALL OF THOSE THINGS, 61% OF PRIORITY, AND THEN
- 5 MERGING BART AND CALTRAIN INTO ONE INTEGRATED SIZE 55%
- 6 PRIORITY. AND THEN WE DID SEE THAT ITEM IS A LITTLE MORE
- 7 POPULAR IN THE CALTRAIN COUNTIES, SAN FRANCISCO, AND SAN
- 8 MATEO. ON THE NEXT PAGE. I JUST HAVE A COUPLE MORE HERE. THIS,
- 9 ON THE NEXT PAGE WE HAVE -- SORRY. [LAUGHTER] WE HAVE WHAT'S
- 10 CALLED A FORCED CHOICE HERE. WE ASKED PEOPLE WHICH IS CLOSER
- 11 TO YOUR OPINION. SO, A TRANSPORTATION MEASURE SHOULD HAVE
- 12 CLEAR GOALS FOR HOW MONEY WILL BE SPENT WHILE ALLOWING
- 13 FLEXIBILITY BASED ON CHANGING CIRCUMSTANCES AND TRANSPORTATION
- 14 DEMAND VERSUS IT SHOULD BE A DETAILED EXPENDITURE PLAN WITH
- 15 LIMITED ABILITY TO MAKE CHANGES. VOTERS WANT FLEXIBILITY. 70%
- 16 SAYING THEY WANT FLEXIBILITY, VERSUS 25% SAYING I WANT IT ALL
- 17 WRITTEN DOWN AND NOT CHANGED. I THINK AS WE ALL KNOW, WE HAVE
- 18 GONE THROUGH A VERY CHANGING, RAPIDLY CHANGING TRANSPORTATION
- 19 ENVIRONMENT, SO I THINK VOTERS RECOGNIZE THAT NOW. THEN ON THE
- 20 NEXT PAGE, WE DID, AFTER WE GAVE THAT WHOLE LONG LIST OF
- 21 THINGS THAT A MEASURE MIGHT FUND, THEN WE ALSO GAVE SOME
- 22 ARGUMENTS, VERY BRIEF ARGUMENTS, KIND OF IN FAVOR AND OPPOSED
- 23 OF EACH KIND OF TAX. SO WE SAID, FOR INSTANCE, HERE ARE SOME
- 24 STRENGTHS OF AN INCOME TAX, WEAKNESSES OF AN INCOME TAX, AND
- 25 WE ASK AGAIN NOW HOW WOULD YOU VOTE ON THIS MEASURE. AND WHAT



- 1 WE SEE IS NOT A LOT OF CHANGE. THIS IS NOT A VIABILITY POLL.
- 2 WE DID NOT TEST EXTENSIVE CAMPAIGN TYPE MESSAGES WE WEREN'T
- 3 TRYING TO SIMULATE A PUBLICLY FUNDED CAMPAIGN EFFORT. BUT
- 4 GENERALLY WE SEE SUPPORT IS WHERE IT'S AT WITH THE SALES TAX
- 5 ENDING AT 53%, INCOME TAX 50% PAYROLL TAX 54%, RIGHT AROUND
- 6 THAT MAJORITY. ON THE NEXT PAGE AS I MENTIONED, WE DO THINK
- 7 MOOD IS IMPORTANT HERE. ON TOP THE BLUE LINE IS THE PERCENTAGE
- 8 THAT VOTED -- THAT THEY SAID THEY WOULD VOTE YES ON SALES TAX.
- 9 FROM POLLING CONDUCTED IN 2019. BEFORE COVID GREEN LINE IS THE
- 10 PERCENTAGE OF BAY AREA VOTERS THAT SAID THINGS ARE GOING IN
- 11 THE RIGHT TRACK, MAY OF 2019 WE SAW 66% SAYING THEY THOUGHT
- 12 RIGHT DIRECTION 38% SAME POLL RIGHT DIRECTION, 38%, 67% TAX
- 13 THEN IN NOVEMBER, 2019 WE START SEW SEE RIGHT DIRECTION, GOING
- 14 DOWN A BIT. MARCH OF 2022, RIGHT DIRECTION 21%, SUPPORT FOR
- 15 SALES TAX 57 THEN IT GOES UP IN THIS PAST MARCH, 39% SAYING
- 16 RIGHT DIRECTION THE SUPPORT FOR SALES TAX WAS AT 63, RIGHT
- 17 DIRECTION, 33 SUPPORT AT 55. IS THIS THE ONLY FACTOR? NO. IT
- 18 IS NOT THE ONLY FACTOR BUT WE ARE SEEING IN OTHER POLLING
- 19 WE'RE CONDUCTING ON OTHER ITEMS, ON SCHOOLS, ON FIRE ALL OTHER
- 20 REVENUE MEASURES TESTING AROUND THE STATE WE'RE SEEING LOWER
- 21 SUPPORT AS OPTIMISM COMES DOWN PEOPLE ARE CONCERNED ABOUT COST
- 22 OF LIVING, CONCERNED ABOUT OTHER ISSUES AS WELL. SO, YOU KNOW,
- 23 WHERE WE ARE RIGHT NOW -- SORRY, NEXT PAGE. I TURNED IT ON MY
- 24 LAPTOP BUT IT DIDN'T CHANGE. [LAUGHTER] BUT WE SEE OVERALL,
- 25 YOU KNOW, THE BAY AREA VOTERS BELIEVE PUBLIC TRANSIT IS



1	IMPORTANT. I BELIEVE IN ALL IN WORKING ON THIS FOR YEARS,
2	WHAT VOTERS WANT IS FOR PUBLIC TRANSIT TO GET BETTER. SO THEY
3	CAN TAKE IT MORE. THEY WANT TO SEE IMPROVEMENT. THEY WANT TO
4	BRING CHANGE. THEY BELIEVE IT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO, AND
5	THEY BELIEVE AND THEY WANT IT TO GET BETTER. MEASURES ARE
6	SLIGHTLY ABOVE MAJORITY. THAT'S WHERE WE ARE NOW. AND WE HAVE
7	TO REMEMBER, IT'S A LONG WAY UNTIL AN ELECTION IN NOVEMBER, A
8	POTENTIAL ELECTION IN NOVEMBER 2026, AND ATTITUDES ARE GOING
9	TO CHANGE. YOU KNOW? WE'RE IN A REALLY CHANGING ENVIRONMENT,
10	ESPECIALLY RELATED TO TRANSPORTATION. BECAUSE OUR COMMUNITY
11	HABITS ARE GOING TO CHANGE OFFICE USE IS GOING TO CHANGE ARE
12	PEOPLE GOING TO BE OPEN IT TAKING TRANSIT, ARE THEY GOING TO
13	LEAVE THEIR HOUSE MORE. WE'LL SEE WHAT HAPPENS. AND ALSO
14	OVERALL MOOD IN THE COUNTRY AND IN THE BAY AREA COULD CHANGE A
15	LOT IN THE NEXT FEW YEARS. THERE ARE A LOT OF FACTORS. THAT
16	ARE COMPLETELY BEYOND OUR CONTROL THAT AFFECT VOTER MOOD. SO
17	WE CONTINUE TO WATCH THAT. THANK YOU, AND I CAN TAKE
18	QUESTIONS.
19	
20	CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. DO ANY MEMBERS HAVE ANY COMMENTS
21	FOR RUTH? COMMISSIONER RABBITT?
22	
23	DAVID RABBIT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU RUTH NICE TO SEE
24	THE RESULTS OF POLLING COMING THROUGH AND THE BIG QUESTION IS

UNDERSTANDING THE MOOD AND UNDERSTANDING, IT'S INTERESTING



THAT -- YOU KNOW, WHATEVER "GET BETTER" MEANS WHEN HALF OF THE 1 PEOPLE AREN'T NECESSARILY TAKING TRANSIT, WHAT DOES GET BETTER 2 MEAN TO THEM, VERSUS WHAT DOES GET BETTER MEAN TO THOSE -- AND 3 YOU HAD MORE DETAIL REGARDING WHAT GET BETTER MEANS TO THOSE 4 5 WHO TAKE TRANSIT, WHICH IS UNDERSTANDABLE. BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE EVERYDAY EXPERIENCES AND A FEW MORE TRIPS OR WHATEVER IT 6 MAY BE. AND I TAKE IT THAT ANY KIND OF FUTURE POLLING WOULD GO 7 8 INTO A DEEPER DIVE ON THOSE KIND OF MATTERS. BECAUSE I THINK THE BIG OUESTION -- I KNOW I'M INTERESTED IN -- IF THE NUMBERS 9 ARE GETTING CLOSE TO WHERE THERE COULD BE SUCCESS, WOULD 10 PEOPLE VOTE FOR SOMETHING THAT IS STATUS QUO? OR DOES IT HAVE 11 TO BE A SIGNIFICANT CHANGE? AND WHAT DOES SIGNIFICANT CHANGE 12 THEN MEAN, AND HOW DO WE ACTUALLY, YOU KNOW, PUT THAT DOWN. 13 WHETHER IT'S, HONESTLY, YOU KNOW, ALL THE REFORMS AND 14 CONSOLIDATION, WHICH IS A BIG SCARY WORD FOR A LOT OF PEOPLE 15 16 AND WHAT ARE THE CONSEQUENCES OF THAT. WHEN YOU ASK THOSE QUESTIONS THOSE ARE JUST ASKED AS WRITTEN WITHOUT 17 UNDERSTANDING WHAT HAPPENS IF THAT WERE TO BE ENACTED? 18 19 SPEAKER: YEAH. 20 21 DAVID RABBIT: THAT'S WHAT I FIGURED. SO GOING FORWARD WOULD BE 22 THE NEXT STEP, IF WE WERE TO GO IN THAT, WHENEVER THAT MAY BE, 23 WOULD TRY TO ASCERTAIN WHAT WOULD HAVE TO HAPPEN TO REALLY GET 24

PEOPLE EXCITED TO SAY, OKAY, NOW I SEE LIGHT AT THE END OF THE



- 1 TUNNEL AND THIS IS A DIFFERENT APPROACH. LESS QUESTIONS IN
- 2 THERE AND MORE COMMENTS, I REALIZE, BUT JUST TRYING TO TAKE
- 3 THAT OUT OF WHAT YOU PRESENTED. AND I APPRECIATE THE WORK.
- 4 THANK YOU.

5

6 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: CHAIR PEDROZA?

7

- 8 ALFREDO PEDROZA: THANK YOU, CHAIR CANEPA. I AGREE WITH SOME OF
- 9 THE COMMENTS FROM COMMISSIONER RABBITT. I THINK THE POSITIVES,
- 10 ARE THE FACT THAT THOSE WHO ARE USING OUR SYSTEMS BELIEVE WE
- 11 NEED TO MAKE MORE IMPROVEMENTS I THINK THAT'S A HUGE POSITIVE.
- 12 THAT DATA STOOD OUT TO ME AND AS WE GO FORWARD LOOKING AT
- 13 THOSE NOT RIDING TRANSIT, THEIR OPINIONS ARE, SO THEY'RE THE
- 14 CONVERTERS THAT WE CAN CONVERT. RUTH, FOR ME, WHEN I LOOK AT
- 15 THIS, I LOOK AT BASELINE MEMBERS ATTITUDES AND I LOOK AT
- 16 REFORMS AND I SEE POLLING, SUPPORT FOR REFORMS, TO ME THEY
- 17 INDICATE WHAT'S MOVING PEOPLE, AND THEY'RE GENERAL -- YOU'RE
- 18 RIGHT COMMISSIONER RABBITT -- BUT IT DOES HIT ON SOME OF THE
- 19 REFORMS IT SEEMS LIKE REFORMS 80%, 70%, 60%, THOSE ARE PRETTY
- 20 HIGH NUMBERS. IS THAT NORMAL?

- 22 SPEAKER: I THINK, AGAIN, IT SPEAKS TO VOTERS LOOKING FOR
- 23 IMPROVEMENTS. THEY WANT THE SYSTEM -- YOU KNOW, GOING BACK TO
- 24 THE BEGINNING MANY PEOPLE ARE CRITICAL OF OUR TRANSIT SYSTEM
- 25 AND THEY WANT IT TO GET BETTER. SO I THINK THE SUPPORT FOR



REFORMS IS GENERALLY SAYING, I WANT IMPROVEMENTS. IF YOU ARE 1 TELLING ME THIS IS GOING TO MAKE IT FASTER, MORE RELIABILITY, 2 MORE CONVENIENT, THEN I LIKE T. 3 4 5 ALFREDO PEDROZA: THAT THE WAY I INTERPRETED IT TOO. TO ME THE NEXT ITEM IS GOING TO BE A GREAT ITEM BUT IT LEADS ME TO 6 BELIEVE THIS IS WHAT THE PUBLIC IS ASKING FOR, THESE 7 8 ENHANCEMENTS THIS, IS HOW IT MAKES THE TRANSIT SYSTEM OPERATE MORE EFFICIENTLY IN OUR REGION. AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR THE 9 10 REPORT. 11 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: COMMISSIONER? 12 13 SUE NOACK: YES, JUST A COUPLE OF COMMENTS. ONE OF THE THINGS 14 THAT I NOTICED THROUGHOUT THE PRESENTATION IS TRANSPORTATION 15 16 VERSUS TRANSIT, AND THE CONFUSION IN THE PUBLIC, POTENTIALLY, WITH THAT. YOU KNOW, A LOT OF PEOPLE WHEN THEY HEAR TRANSIT 17 THEY -- AT LEAST THOSE IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY WOULD THINK 18 BART, AND, PRETTY MUCH, SOLELY BART. DESPITE THE FACT THAT THE 19 BUS SYSTEMS ARE ALSO IN TRANSIT, IN THEIR MIND IT'S BART, AND 20 21 BART GETS A LOT OF PRESS, MOSTLY NEGATIVE, I WOULD HAVE TO SAY, AND, SO, SOME OF THAT PERCEPTION FROM MEDIA, SOME 22 REALITY, RIGHT. SO, AND THE OTHER PART, THE TRANSPORTATION 23 PART IS REALLY POTHOLES AND STREET REPAIRS AND THINGS LIKE 24

THAT. SO, YOU KNOW, I'M HOPING THAT AS WE GO DOWN THE LINE



- 1 FURTHER, WE DIG DEEPER AND REALLY CLARIFY TRANSPORTATION
- 2 VERSUS TRANSIT AND WHERE THOSE REAL NEEDS FOR IMPROVEMENT ARE
- 3 AND WHAT THEY'RE REALLY LOOKING AT. BECAUSE I THINK SOME OF
- 4 THOSE OUESTIONS GET CONFUSED IN A POLL. SO, THAT'S -- YOU
- 5 KNOW, THAT'S REALLY MY BIG COMMENT HERE. AND I THINK -- I
- 6 THINK, HOPEFULLY, IN THREE YEARS, WE ALSO LOOK AT THIS DATA
- 7 AND SAY WHAT CAN BE DONE OVER THE NEXT COUPLE OF YEARS TO ALSO
- 8 MAKE PEOPLE UNDERSTAND THE INFORMATION BETTER AND WHAT CAN BE
- 9 DONE AND CAN'T BE DONE IN HOPES OF MAKING THOSE, ESPECIALLY
- 10 THE NON-RIDERS UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY'RE REALLY LOOKING AT AS
- 11 POTENTIAL CHANGES. THANKS.
- 13 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. COMMISSIONER MOULTON-PETERS?
- 15 STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: YEAH. THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER NOACK
- 16 YOU RAISED AN INTERESTING POINT JUST NOW THAT THE POTHOLES ARE
- 17 WHAT SELL THIS MEASURE TO THE NON-RIDERS, RIGHT? THAT'S WHAT'S
- 18 IN IT FOR THEM. MAYBE BETTER ROADS FOR THE BUSES AND FOR THEM,
- 19 SO, SOMETHING FOR EVERYONE. I WANT TO THANK YOU, RUTH, FOR
- 20 YOUR PRESENTATION, AND ASK AT THE END OF ALL THE COMMENTS, IF
- 21 YOU COULD REINFORCE SOME OPPORTUNITIES YOU SEE BASED ON
- 22 RESULTS ARE. IN OTHER WORDS, HOW DO WE GO FORWARD. FOR
- 23 INSTANCE, ONE OF THE THINGS I WANT TO OBSERVE TO MY COLLEAGUES
- 24 IS IN FUTURE MESSAGING, THAT A LOT IN OUR NETWORK MANAGEMENT
- 25 MEETING WE'LL TALK ABOUT THE INPUTS TO BETTER RELIABILITY.

12



- 1 AND, SO, SIGNAGE AND SEEING FARES AND THIS AND THAT; THOSE ARE
- 2 NOT THE OUTCOMES THAT IS WHAT OUR CONSTITUENTS WANT. SO, WE
- 3 HAVE TO BE VERY CLEAR ON MESSAGING THAT WHAT WE'RE WORKING ON
- 4 IS NOT WHAT'S GONNA TO SELL. IT IS IN THE END, BUT THAT ISN'T
- 5 GOING TO BE OUR MESSAGE POINT WHICH IS MORE RELIABILITY, AND
- 6 SAFETY, AND FREQUENCY, AND ALL THAT. I THINK WE NEED TO
- 7 FEATURE STORIES FOR RIDERS ABOUT HOW IT WORKS FOR THEM.
- 8 THEY'RE GOING TO BE OUR STRONGER ADVOCATES TO THOSE THAT DON'T
- 9 RIDE OR WHO DON'T RIDE AS FREQUENTLY. AND I THINK BETTER STORY
- 10 TELLING ALL AROUND ABOUT THE HISTORY OF TRANSIT IN THE BAY
- 11 AREA. I KNOW ONE OF THE REASONS I MOVED FROM LOS ANGELES TO
- 12 THE BAY AREA IS BECAUSE THE BAY AREA HAD BART AND WE DIDN'T.
- 13 AND I THOUGHT THAT WAS FORWARD THINKING. WE NEED TO BUILD ON
- 14 THAT. THIS IS DISTINCTIVE, AND I THINK THAT'S WHY BART AND
- 15 CALTRAIN, THIS IS WHY PEOPLE BELIEVE IN TRANSIT IN THE BAY
- 16 AREA BECAUSE WE HAVE THAT HISTORY THAT OTHER COMMUNITIES IN
- 17 CALIFORNIA DON'T. MORE COMMENTS THAN QUESTIONS. BUT LOVE YOUR
- 18 FURTHER THOUGHTS IF YOU HAVE ANY, RUTH, WHEN WE CAN.
- 19
- 20 SPEAKER: I THINK, AS I SAID, I BELIEVE THAT WHAT BAY AREA
- 21 RESIDENTS WANT IS, IT'S BETTER. MORE RELIABLE, MORE
- 22 CONVENIENT. I THINK THEY BELIEVE IN TRANSIT AND WANT TO TAKE
- 23 IT, AND THEY DON'T FEEL LIKE IT'S ACCESSIBLE FOR THEM, FOR
- 24 MANY PEOPLE. AND SO IMPROVEMENTS IS WHAT I THINK PEOPLE WANT.
- 25 BUT WE ALSO HAVE TO RECOMMEND -- RECOGNIZE THAT IT'S VERY HARD



- 1 FOR PEOPLE TO ENVISION SOMETHING THAT ISN'T -- DOESN'T EXIST
- 2 IN FRONT OF THEM. SO, YOU KNOW, WE ALL KNOW WHAT OUR CURRENT
- 3 BUILT COMMUNITY IS. IT'S HARD TO ENVISION SOMETHING THAT ISN'T
- 4 THERE. SO, I THINK YOUR STORIES AND EXPLAINING TO PEOPLE WHAT
- 5 IT COULD BE IS A HUGE OPPORTUNITY.

6

7 STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: UH-HUH. THANK YOU.

8

9 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: COMMISSIONER SPERING?

10

- 11 JAMES P. SPERING: THANK YOU FOR THE SUMMARY OF THE POLL. THE
- 12 ONE THING THAT REALLY STANDS OUT, AND SEVERAL OF MY COLLEAGUES
- 13 HAVE MENTIONED, IS WHEN YOU INCLUDE REPAIRING POTHOLES,
- 14 REPAVING, IMPROVING SIDEWALKS ALL THOSE IMPROVEMENTS UPDATING
- 15 81%. AND, SO, I DON'T THINK THAT A MEASURE THAT JUST COUNTS ON
- 16 TRANSIT RIDERS WILL GET US OVER THE TOP. SO, IT'S GOING TO
- 17 HAVE SOME OF THESE OTHER TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS. AT LEAST
- 18 THAT'S THIS SNAPSHOT IN TIME, THAT'S WHAT IT TELLS ME. WHAT'S
- 19 THE ANALOGY OF THAT?

20

- 21 SPEAKER: I DON'T WANT TO DRAW CONCLUSION WHAT WE THINK SHOULD
- 22 OR SHOULDN'T BE IN THE MEASURE. IT'S HARD TO SAY WHAT'S GOING
- 23 PASS. WE STILL DON'T KNOW WHAT THE FUNDING MECHANISM IS GOING
- 24 TO BE. WE'RE A LONG WAY FROM DETAIL.



- 1 JAMES P. SPERING: WELL, IN JUST ASKING THAT QUESTION, THE
- 2 NUMBERS JUST JUMPED UP TO 81%. THAT TELLS YOU SOMETHING.
- 3 DOESN'T IT?

4

- 5 SPEAKER: I THINK, TO ME, VOTERS ARE SAYING, WE'RE ASKING FOR
- 6 IMPROVEMENTS. YOU FIGURE OUT HOW TO BRING US THOSE
- 7 IMPROVEMENTS. LIKE, THEY DON'T KNOW WHETHER THERE IS LOCAL
- 8 MONEY TO DO IT. AGAIN, THEY'RE SAYING WE WANT -- WE'RE TELLING
- 9 YOU WHAT WE WANT IMPROVED. YOU LEADERS GO FIGURE OUT HOW TO DO
- 10 IT IS KIND OF --

11

- 12 JAMES P. SPERING: OKAY. IT JUST SEEMS LIKE THERE IS A STRONG
- 13 SUPPORT -- AND I HAVE SEEN IT IN PREVIOUS POLLS WHERE, YOU
- 14 KNOW, POTHOLES, REPAVING, SO IT IS VERY IMPORTANT. AND FOR ME,
- 15 I DON'T SEE HOW YOU WOULD HAVE A MEASURE THAT DOESN'T HAVE
- 16 SOME COMPONENT THAT DEALS WITH THAT ISSUE. I MEAN, THAT --
- 17 MOST OF THOSE THINGS DO IMPROVE TRANSIT, YOU KNOW, DOING THOSE
- 18 IMPROVEMENTS. SO, THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

19

20 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: WHY DON'T WE GO TO COMMISSIONER ABE-KOGA?

- 22 MARGARET ABE-KOGA: THANK YOU CHAIR FOR ALLOWING ME TO
- 23 PARTICIPATE. SOME ARE THE COMMITTEE WEREN'T ABLE TO MAKE IT,
- 24 SO I WANTED TO JOIN IN AND I WANTED TO FIRST THANK MISS EDITH
- 25 FOR THE OVERVIEW OF THE SURVEY. I AGREE WITH A LOT OF THE



- 1 COMMENTS THAT WERE ALREADY MADE. I THINK THIS IS A GREAT
- 2 OVERVIEW OF ATTITUDES, BUT I THINK THERE IS, OBVIOUSLY, A LOT
- 3 MORE WORK THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. WE HAVE DONE REVENUE MEASURES
- 4 FOR TRANSPORTATION IN SANTA CLARA COUNTY. SO, A LOT OF THIS
- 5 INFORMATION IS ACTUALLY VERY -- TRACKS TO SOME OF THE RESULTS
- 6 THAT WE HAVE SEEN IN OUR COUNTY. AS COMMISSIONER SPERING
- 7 MENTIONED, YES, POTHOLES ALWAYS DO TEND TO GET -- RATE VERY
- 8 HIGH. AND I THINK THAT SPEAKS TO THE FACT THAT THERE IS A
- 9 LARGE POPULATION THAT DOESN'T RIDE TRANSIT. SO I THINK THEY
- 10 THINK, YOU KNOW, WHAT'S IN IT FOR ME, AND THAT WOULD BE THE
- 11 ROAD REPAIRS AND POTHOLES. AND I GUESS MY QUESTION WOULD BE,
- 12 LIKE, WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE? THIS IS A NICE OVERVIEW, BUT,
- 13 YOU KNOW, I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN THE QUESTIONS OF, WITH THE
- 14 FOLKS WHO ARE NOT RIDING TRANSIT, YOU KNOW, WHAT WOULD MAKE
- 15 THEM RIDE TRANSIT, AND I UNDERSTAND THE COMMENT ABOUT MAYBE
- 16 THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT COULD HAPPEN OR WHAT THEY CAN ENVISION,
- 17 AND I WOULD SAY, YOU KNOW, THAT WOULD PROBABLY BE OUR JOB TO
- 18 TRY TO PRESENT A VISION OF WHAT COULD HAPPEN. AND, AGAIN, IN
- 19 OUR SOUTH COUNTY HERE WE'RE DOING THAT WITH A VISION PLAN FOR
- 20 A VTA. BUT, AS WE TALK ABOUT, YOU KNOW, WE OFTEN TALK ABOUT
- 21 THE CUSTOMER BEING CUSTOMER-CENTERED OR CLIENT-CENTERED SO
- 22 CERTAINLY ASKING OUR CURRENT RIDERS WHAT THEY WANT IS
- 23 IMPORTANT AND HELPFUL, BUT I'M, OBVIOUSLY, INTERESTED IN
- 24 EXPANDING OUR RIDERSHIP, SO I THINK WE REALLY NEED TO WORK ON,
- 25 HOW DO WE CONVINCE FOLKS, HOW DO WE SHOW FOLKS WHAT A REALLY



- 1 STRONG TRANSIT SYSTEM COULD BE, AND HOW DO WE GET [NATIONAL
- 2 ANTHEM] > "OH, SAY CAN YOU SEE, BY THE DAWN'S EARLY LIGHT,
- 3 WHAT SO PROUDLY WE HAILED, AT THE TWILIGHT'S LAST GLEAMING?
- 4 WHOSE BROAD STRIPES AND BRIGHT STARS, THROUGH THE PERILOUS
- 5 FIGHT, O'ER THE RAMPARTS WE WATCHED, WERE SO GALLANTLY
- 6 STREAMING. AND THE ROCKET'S RED GLARE, THE BOMBS BURSTING IN
- 7 AIR, GAVE PROOF THROUGH THE NIGHT, THAT OUR FLAG WAS STILL
- 8 THERE. OH SAY DOES THAT STAR SPANGLED BANNER YET WAVE, FOR THE
- 9 LAND OF THE FREE, AND THE HOME OF THE BRAVE." > TO SUPPORT
- 10 THAT. THESE QUESTIONS, TO ME, ARE A LITTLE GENERAL. I THINK
- 11 EVERYBODY WANTS IMPROVEMENT. SO, IT'S NOT SUPER HELPFUL TO BE
- 12 HONEST, TO SEE THAT. IT'S KIND OF OBSTACLE. BUT I THINK MAYBE
- 13 WE NEED TO MAYBE DRILL DOWN TO UNDERSTAND, YOU KNOW, WHAT ARE
- 14 PEOPLE LOOKING FOR THAT WOULD GET THEM OUT OF THEIR CARS AND
- 15 ON TO TRANSIT. SO, I GUESS THAT WOULD BE MY QUESTION IS, IT
- 16 MIGHT BE MORE TO THE COMMITTEE, OR STAFF, LIKE, WHAT DO WE DO
- 17 WITH THIS INFORMATION? WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE. I THINK THERE
- 18 IS A LOT MORE DETAILS THAT WE NEED TO GET BEFORE WE REALLY
- 19 MAKE SOME STRONG -- SOME FORMED DECISIONS ON WHERE WE GO.
- 20 THANK YOU.

21

- 22 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. THANK YOU SO MUCH. COMMISSIONER
- 23 PAPAN?



- 1 GINA PAPAN: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. I THINK THE MOST SIGNIFICANT
- 2 ASPECT OF THIS IS SOMETHING WE HAVE ALREADY KNOWN. THIS IS
- 3 VERY REPETITIVE. OF COURSE, WE KNOW THE PUBLIC WANTS TO BE
- 4 BETTER. WANTS TRANSIT TO BE BETTER. BUT THE 80% REOUIRING
- 5 OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY, I THINK THAT'S WHERE THIS
- 6 COMMITTEE HAS THE GREATEST POTENTIAL. AND WE NEED TO HAVE
- 7 TEETH. WE NEED TO MAKE IT HAPPEN. WE HAVE TRIED OVER AND OVER
- 8 AGAIN, THE LEGISLATURE HAS TRIED. I THINK WHAT THE PUBLIC
- 9 WANTS IS REAL HONEST RESULTS. AND WE HAVE KNOWN FOREVER. WITH
- 10 THE BLUE RIBBON TRANSIT PLAN, RECOVERY PLAN, WE HAVE LAID IT
- 11 ALL OUT. AND NOT ENOUGH HAS HAPPENED. SO, I THINK WHEN WE TAKE
- 12 THIS TYPE OF RESEARCH, AGAIN, IT'S NOT UNUSUAL, BUT WHATEVER
- 13 WE DO WITH IT -- AND WE NEED TO DO WITH IT -- WE REALLY DON'T
- 14 NEED LEGISLATORS OR STAKEHOLDERS RUNNING AWAY WITH CERTAIN
- 15 ASPECTS OF THIS. WE NEED TO SHAPE A SITUATION THAT THE PUBLIC
- 16 WILL KNOW WE MEAN IT. AND WE ARE GOING TO GET RESULTS. SO, I
- 17 THINK THAT'S WHERE I HOPE WE CAN BE VERY CAREFUL IN OUR
- 18 DISCUSSIONS MOVING FORWARD. A FIRE STORM WAS STARTED ON SOME
- 19 OF THESE OUESTIONS. AND I UNDERSTAND, THE PUBLIC COULD BE
- 20 EASILY CONFUSED. THE PUBLIC DOESN'T REALLY UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU
- 21 MEAN BY MERGING CALTRAIN AND BART AND WHAT THE SIGNIFICANCE OF
- 22 SOMETHING LIKE THAT IS. WE'LL GET TO, PROBABLY, IN THE NEXT
- 23 ITEM, BUT, AGAIN, I THINK THAT OUESTION, THAT 80% IS WHERE
- 24 THIS BODY CAN MAKE THE MOST DIFFERENCE. AND I HOPE WE DO. WE



STAY REALLY FOCUSED ON NO LOOPHOLES, NO ESCAPES, BUT WHAT THE 1 2 PUBLIC WANTS AND WHAT WE CAN ACTUALLY MAKE HAPPEN. THANKS. 3 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER DUTRA-4 5 **VERNACI?** 6 CAROL DUTRA-VERNACI: YES. THANK YOU. SO, THANK YOU VERY MUCH 7 8 FOR THIS POLLING AND THE RESULTS. CERTAINLY THEY'RE GOING TO HELP INFORM THE NEXT ITEM. AND THAT'S REALLY WHERE I WAS GOING 9 WITH THIS COMMENT. BECAUSE MY THOUGHTS, AS I READ THE POLLING 10 QUESTIONS, WHICH WERE DESIGNED TO BE BROAD, DID GIVE US WHAT 11 WE NEEDED TO KNOW IN TERMS OF ATTITUDE. BUT, AS COMMISSIONER 12 PAPAN JUST MENTIONED, WHAT WE REALLY NEED TO DO, IN ESSENCE, 13 IS THE DEEPER DIVE, WHAT DO THESE THINGS MEAN. SO, THAT'S WHY 14 15 I'M REALLY LOOKING FORWARD TO THE NEXT ITEM, WHEN WE DO GET 16 INTO THAT CONVERSATION. BUT I THINK THIS IS A GOOD STARTING 17 POINT TO HELP INFORM US IN THAT CONVERSATION. SO, THANK YOU. 18 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: PEDROZA? 19 20 ALFREDO PEDROZA: I JUST WANT TO SAY A FINAL COMMENT. I AGREE 21 WITH COMMISSIONER PAPAN'S COMMENTS OF ASKING THE QUESTION. YOU 22 KNOW, THE THING I'LL SAY IS WE DID A MARK ON THIS, WE STARTED 23 THE BLUE RIBBON TASK FORCE, WE PROPPED UP NETWORK MANAGEMENT. 24

I THINK THE QUESTION WE'RE ASKING IS IT ENOUGH TO KEEP MAKING



- 1 IMPROVEMENTS THAT WE WANT TO SEE FOR OUR REGION. IN SHORT-TERM
- 2 DELIVERABLES THE POLL VALIDATES WHAT WE'RE HEARING, LET'S DO
- 3 THINGS NOW, THE QUESTION IS WHAT CAN WE DO, DO WE HAVE THE
- 4 AUTHORITY AND WHAT ARE THE BIG TICKET ITEMS WE NEED TO DISCUSS
- 5 THAT ARE TRANSFORMATIONAL FOR OUR REGION. THIS IS THE RIGHT
- 6 TIME TO HAVE THIS DISCUSSION, THE POLLING, DATA VALIDATES THAT
- 7 THE PUBLIC WANTS THIS DISCUSSION. NOW IT'S UP TO COMMISSION
- 8 LEADERSHIP TO MAKE SURE IT'S TIGHT, DEFINED AND IS PRODUCING
- 9 OUTCOMES THAT ARE -- [INDISCERNIBLE] FOR THE CUSTOMER. I SURE
- 10 HOPE IT WAS CAPTURING EVERYTHING. THE POINT IS, THIS IS A
- 11 GREAT SEGUE TO THE NEXT CONVERSATION. I JUST AGREE WITH MANY
- 12 COMMISSIONER COMMENTS. THANK YOU.
- 14 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE PUBLIC
- 15 COMMENT FIRST. WE'LL ALLOCATE A MINUTE. WE'LL GO TO ZOOM.
- 16 LET'S GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT FIRST. FIRST IS CHARLIE LAVERY.
- 18 CHARLIE LAVERY: THANK YOU COMMISSIONERS CHARLIE LAVERY WITH
- 19 THE POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL THREE.
- 20 EXTENSIVE EXPERIENCE ON TRANSPORTATION FUNDING MEASURES
- 21 CAMPAIGNS INCLUDING RM3 AND MEASURE L LAST YEAR WHICH PASSED
- 22 72% SAN FRANCISCO, I THINK OUR COLLECTIVE EXPERIENCE IN THOSE
- 23 CAMPAIGNS TELLS US THAT SUCCESSFUL MEASURE CONSIDER THE
- 24 INTERESTS AND TAKES INPUT FROM BUSINESS, LABOR, AND COMMUNITY.
- 25 AND THAT THEIR ULTIMATE PASSAGE IS DEPENDENT ON A SUPPORTIVE

13



COALITION OF THOSE GROUPS. CONSTRUCTION UNIONS AND THEIR 1 EMPLOYERS SPENDING A LOT OF ENERGY AND RESOURCES TO SUPPORT 2 3 THIS KIND OF MEASURE TO GENERATE JOBS FOR THEIR MEMBERS AND CREATE THE INFRASTRUCTURE WE NEED FOR SUSTAINED REGIONAL AND 4 5 ECONOMIC GROWTH. I THINK THE POLLING SUPPORTS THE NEED FOR IMPROVEMENTS, AND WE WANT TO SUPPORT A MEASURE THAT MEETS 6 7 THOSE NEEDS, HAS BROAD APPEAL AND WILL PASS. 8 9 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU, MR. LAVERY. CAN WE GO TO ZOOM? 10 CLERK OF THE BOARD: YES. FIRST UP IS GREG GREENWAY FOLLOWED BY 11 PUBLIC ADVOCATES. YOU HAVE ONE MINUTE. 12 13 SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GREG GREENWAY SPEAKING FOR SAN 14 MATEO COUNTY WE SUBMITTED A LETTER TO THE COMMITTEE. MY 15 16 COMMENTS MIGHT BE MORE APPROPRIATE FOR THE NEXT ITEM. BUT I'M SPEAKING ABOUT POTENTIAL ITEMS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE TRANSIT 17 MEASURE. SPECIFICALLY THE --18 19 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THAT'S THE NEXT ITEM. 20 21 22 SPEAKER: I'LL JUST MAKE MY COMMENTS. I CAN CONNECT THEM. THE 23 OUESTION IN THE POLL ABOUT SEAMLESS BAY AREA TRANSIT NETWORK

WITH COORDINATED FARES ROUTES AND SCHEDULED SIGNAGE. SO THERE

IS A LOT OF CONCERN IN SAN MATEO COUNTY ABOUT THIS ISSUE,

24



PARTICULARLY THE IDEA THAT CALTRAIN MIGHT BE INTEGRATED WITH 1 BART OR OTHER AGENCIES. I UNDERSTAND WE'RE EARLY IN THE 2 3 CONVERSATION BUT THE POINT OF OUR LETTER AND MY COMMENT IS TO FLAG THAT AS SOMETHING OF CONCERN. WE DEFINITELY SUPPORT THE 4 5 GOAL OF HAVING AN INTEGRATED TRANSIT SYSTEM IN THE BAY AREA THAT MAKES IT EASY FOR TRANSIT RIDERS TO MOVE FROM ONE MODE TO 6 ANOTHER. WE HAVE 1500 MEMBERS REPRESENTING 100,000 EMPLOYEES 7 8 IN --9 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU, GREG. AS A REMINDER THIS, IS 10 FOR AGENDA ITEM 3A, BAY AREA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION EFFORTS 11 FOR THE SURVEY. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON THE NEXT ITEM, 12 3B PLEASE LOWER YOUR HAND NOW AND RAISE YOUR HAND AGAIN LATER 13 FOR THIS ITEM WHEN IT'S APPROPRIATE. NEXT UP IS PUBLIC 14 15 ADVOCATES FOLLOWED BY ANDREW. GO AHEAD PUBLIC ADVOCATES. 16 SPEAKER: HI. MY NAME IS EMILY WHEELER, AND I AM A MEMBER OF 17 THE VOICES FOR PUBLIC TRANSIT COALITION. AND I AM A TRANSIT 18 RIDER, MYSELF. WE APPRECIATE MTC INCLUDING AN INCOME TAX 19 REVENUE OPTION IN THE POLL, BUT THE LACK OF INCOME THRESHOLD 20 21 LIKELY IMPACTED ITS SUPPORT IN THE RESULTS. IN MARCH OF 22 2020BPT POLLED BAY AREA VOTERS WITH OPTIONS OF INCOME TAX THRESHOLD AT \$300,001,000,000 AND SUPPORT FOR ALL THREE 23 VARIATIONS WITH HIGHER THAN FOR SALES TAX. WE ASK MTC ADOPT AN 24 INCOME THRESHOLD AS PART OF AN INCOME TAX IN THE AUTHORIZING 25



LEGISLATION OF FUTURE POLLING AS WE FEEL THIS WILL HAVE AN 1 IMPACT ON THE RESULTS AND SUPPORT FOR THAT OPTION. THANK YOU 2 3 VERY MUCH. I APPRECIATE YOUR WORK ON THIS. HAVE A WONDERFUL DAY. 4 5 SPEAKER: THANK YOU EMILY. NEXT UP IS ANDREW FOLLOWED BY RAY 6 7 MUELLER. GO AHEAD AND UNMUTE YOURSELF. 8 SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MY NAME IS ANDREW. AND I'M GLAD 9 TO SEE IN THE POLLING INCLUDED CONSIDERATION OF AN INCOME TAX 10 11 AND A PAYROLL TAX THIS TRANSPORTATION TAX MEASURE. PREVIOUS TRANSPORTATION TAX MEASURES ONLY CONSIDERED SALES TAXES AS 12 FUNDING MEASURES. AND THE ONES THAT I'M MOST FAMILIAR WITH ARE 13 THE 2016 SANTA CLARA CAN'T MEASURE BE IN THE 2018 SAN MATEO 14 15 COUNTY MEASURE W. THOSE ONLY CONSIDERED SALES TAXES WHICH ARE 16 THE MOST DAMAGING TYPE OF TAX THAT YOU COULD CONSIDER. THE LOWEST INCOME PEOPLE PAY THE HIGHEST PERCENTAGE FROM SALES 17 TAXES. AND YOU INCREASE IT EVEN MORE IT MAKES IT HARDER TO 18 LIVE HERE IN THE BAY AREA HOWEVER A PAYROLL TAX WHICH WOULD BE 19 A TAX ON JUST LARGE CORPORATIONS OR COULD BE LIMITED TO LARGE 20 WEALTHY CORPORATIONS THAT IS THE LEAST ECONOMICALLY HARMFUL 21 22 TYPE OF TAX. I VERY MUCH WOULD SUPPORT THAT INCOME TAX ON 23 ONLINE HIGH INCOME INDIVIDUALS WOULD BE A BETTER IDEA AS WELL.



CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU. NEXT UP IS RAY MUELLER, 1 FOLLOWED BY PETER STRAUSS. RAY GO AHEAD AND UNMUTE YOURSELF. 2 3 SPEAKER: CAN YOU HEAR ME. 4 5 CLERK OF THE BOARD: YEP. 6 7 8 SPEAKER: GREAT. I'M SPEAKING IN SUPPORT OF LAWYERS TODAY FROM CALTRAN -- CALTRAIN AND SAMTRANS. I SERVE ON THOSE BOARDS. I 9 WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT I NOTED FOR THE COMMISSION THAT EVERY 10 MEMBER OF THE CALTRAIN BOARD, DELEGATIONS FROM SAN FRANCISCO 11 SAN JOSE AND SAN MATEO VOTED FOR THAT LETTER INCREDIBLY 12 CONCERNS THAT POLLING WAS DONE ON CONSOLIDATION WITHOUT EVEN 13 DISCUSSING WITH CALTRAIN FEASIBILITY OF SUCH AND COST BENEFITS 14 NOR WITH BUILDING SUPPORTING PUBLIC POLICY ANALYSIS AND 15 16 PERCENTAGE TO THE PUBLIC IN THE POLLING THAT WOULD NEED TO BE DONE FIRST SO THEY COULD BE EDUCATED AND TO DO SO IN SUPPORT 17 OF A BALLOT MEASURE IS, FRANKLY, AND TO CONSIDER MOVING 18 FORWARD WITH A BALLOT MEASURE WITHOUT DOING THAT IS SOMEWHAT 19 RECKLESS. FROM A SUBSTANTIVE ISSUE, CONSOLIDATED FROM A SHARED 20 SERVICES PERSPECTIVE WITH SAMTRANS. SO, THERE IS NO-COST 21 SAVINGS THERE. AND SO I WOULD JUST, AGAIN, SPEAK IN SUPPORT OF 22 23 THOSE LETTERS AND ASK THE BOARD TO CONSIDER THEM IN YOUR

DELIBERATIONS TODAY.

24



CLERK OF THE BOARD: PETER STRAUSS FOLLOWED BY ADINA LEVIN. 1 2 3 SPEAKER: PETER STRAUSS. I'M ON THE BOARD OF SAN FRANCISCO TRANSIT RIDERS, ALSO VOICES FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION. I SEE 4 5 THE CLOCK ISN'T GOING. AM I BEING HEARD? 6 CLERK OF THE BOARD: YES. THANK YOU FOR CATCHING THAT. 7 8 APPRECIATE IT. 9 SPEAKER: I WANT TO UNDERSCORE POINTS EMILY WHEELER MADE THAT 10 THE INCOME TAXES QUESTION HERE -- AND THANK YOU FOR DOING THIS 11 SURVEY -- THIS IS EXTREMELY VALUABLE. BUT THE INCOME TAX 12 QUESTION ONLY A FLAT TAX WHICH SCORED SLIGHTLY LOWER IN THE 13 PAST THAN WHEN INCOME TAX HAS BEEN ASKED AND ONE THAT IS 14 TARGETED AS HIGHER INCOME LEVELS IT TENDS TO SCORE SLIGHTLY 15 16 HIGHER THAN OTHER TAXES THAT WERE PARTS OF SURVEYS. IN PARTICULAR, IT IS IMPORTANT THAT WE STRESS THAT NON-REGRESSIVE 17 TAXES ARE OPPORTUNITIES NOT JUST REGRESSIVE SALES TAXES. THANK 18 YOU. 19 20 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU PETER. AND LAST WE HAVE ADINA 21 22 LEVIN. ADINA UNMUTE YOURSELF. 23 ADINA LEVIN: ADINA LEVIN WITH FRIENDS OF CALTRAIN. AND WANTED 24

TO SPEAK TO THE QUESTIONS RAISED BY COMMISSIONERS ABOUT WHAT



- 1 IS THIS POLL SHOW ABOUT THE OPPORTUNITY TO MOVE THE PUBLIC
- 2 BETWEEN NOW AND WHEN A MEASURE WOULD GO ON THE BALLOT. AND
- 3 THERE WAS BOTH THE RESPONSES FROM NOT -- BECAUSE PEOPLE WHO
- 4 RIDE ARE MUCH MORE POSITIVE, THERE ARE SOME EXCITING
- 5 OPPORTUNITIES IN THE NEXT YEAR WHEN WE HAVE OPEN PAYMENT, THE
- 6 ABILITY TO PAY WITH YOUR CREDIT AND DEBIT CARD TO TAKE IMPULSE
- 7 RIDES ON TRANSIT. SURVEY FROM A WHILE AGO ON CALTRAIN SAID
- 8 THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE HAVE A SPECIAL EVENT AS THEIR FIRST TIME
- 9 OF USING TRANSIT THAT'S A GREAT OPPORTUNITY. THE TRANSIT
- 10 RIDERS ARE GOING TO BE THE VOLUNTEER BASE FOR A MEASURE. SO
- 11 THEY'RE REALLY IMPORTANT BEYOND OUR NUMBERS BECAUSE WE'RE THE
- 12 PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO BE OUT DOING THE FIELD THAT WILL BE
- 13 THE MARGIN OF VICTORY IN A CAMPAIGN. THANK YOU

14

- 15 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU ADINA. THERE ARE NO OTHER
- 16 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITH THEIR HAND RAISED FOR THIS ITEM.
- 17 AND WHEN THE PUBLIC SPEAKERS MENTIONED SUBMITTING LETTERS THEY
- 18 SUBMITTED ONLY FOR ITEM 3B THERE WAS NO CORRESPONDENCE
- 19 RECEIVED FOR ITEM 3A.

- 21 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WANT TO THANK
- 22 RUTH. I DO THINK THE POLL IS QUITE INFORMATIVE. I THINK WHAT
- 23 THE POLL SAYS TO ME -- AND I KNOW THERE IS A LOT MORE WORK
- 24 THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE, BUT WE'RE ON THE RIGHT PATH. AND I
- 25 THINK WHEN WE MAKE THESE DECISIONS, THE DECISION WE HAVE TO



MAKE IS WHAT'S BEST, NOT FOR ANY ORGANIZATION, NOT FOR 1 2 OPERATORS BUT WHAT'S BEST FOR THE RIDERS. WHAT STRUCK ME ON 3 SLIDE FOUR, WITH THE ISSUE OF CRIME AND HOMELESSNESS. AND I THINK THAT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED. BUT I SEE A TREMENDOUS 4 5 OPPORTUNITY, AND THAT OPPORTUNITY IS REALLY PARTNERING WITH CITIES, WITH TRANSIT OPERATORS. BUT THIS ISSUE OF CRIME AND 6 HOMELESSNESS, WE REALLY HAVE TO BE LASER FOCUSED. AND ONE OF 7 8 THE THINGS THAT THE POLL REALLY STOOD OUT FOR ME, AND I KNOW WE'RE LOOKING AT A MEASURE, WE'RE ON THIS FISCAL CLIFF, THAT 9 10 WE CAN'T MOVE FORWARD WITH A MEASURE THAT DOESN'T HAVE AN ACCOUNTABILITY PIECE TO IT. AND, SO, THE POLL -- AND WE HAVE 11 BEEN WORKING ON THIS FOR DECADES, ACCORDING TO SOME, AND I 12 KNOW WITH THE BLUE RIBBON TASK FORCE AND THEN ALSO, YOU KNOW, 13 WITH THE REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGER, THIS IS THE TIME TO MOVE 14 15 FORWARD. AND THAT BRINGS US, RE-BECK A INTO AGENDA ITEM 3B, 16 THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE MEASURE UPDATE. AND 17 REBECCA, CAN YOU PLEASE GIVE US YOUR REPORT? 18 ANDREW FREMIER: CHAIR CANEPA I'LL OPEN. THE LAST POINT CHAIR 19 CANEPA IS A REALLY IMPORTANT ONE BECAUSE IT TRANSCENDS BETWEEN 20 21 TRANSIT AND WHAT WE HAVE TO DO TO KEEP THE CITY SAFE. THIS PARTICULAR ITEM IS REALLY A RESPONSE TO THIS COMMITTEE'S 22 FEEDBACK OVER THE PAST TWO MONTHS TO STAFF TO COME BACK WITH 23 POTENTIAL REFORMS AND ACCOUNTABILITY THAT YOU HAVE ALL BEEN 24

TALKING ABOUT TO PAIR WITH THE NEW FUNDING THAT IS IN THE



- 1 ENABLING LEGISLATION DISCUSSION. BECAUSE IF WE ARE GOING TO BE
- 2 SUCCESSFUL IN ANY KIND OF A TRANSPORTATION MEASURE, WE'RE
- 3 GOING TO HAVE TO DELIVER ON THE OUTCOMES AS WE HAVE BEEN
- 4 TALKING ABOUT, AND THEY ARE ASSOCIATED VERY DIRECTLY WITH THE
- 5 ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES. WE DID PUT IN THE STAFF REPORT TOP
- 6 LINE TAKEAWAYS FROM SEVERAL SIGNIFICANT STUDIES THAT MTC HAS
- 7 INITIATED OVER THE LAST 15 YEARS OR SO. AND I ALSO WANTED TO
- 8 REFLECT ON THE FACT THAT, NOT SURPRISINGLY, COMMISSIONER
- 9 SPERING, ALONG WITH COMMISSIONER GLOVER, AND COMMISSIONER
- 10 GIACOPINI ARE REALLY THE ONLY ONES THAT ARE STILL ON THE
- 11 COMMISSION THAT REALLY DID THAT HEAVY LIFTING. HOWEVER, THERE
- 12 ARE OUITE A FEW FOLKS IN SACRAMENTO THAT ALSO PARTICIPATED IN
- 13 THOSE DISCUSSIONS. SO, IT WAS CHAIRED BY SENATOR DODD, SENATOR
- 14 WIENER WAS INVOLVED, AS WAS SENATOR CORTESE, AND OTHERS. SO, I
- 15 DO THINK, REALLY TAKING A LOOK AT THAT GOOD WORK, AS CHAIR
- 16 CANAPA MENTIONED OVER THE LAST 15 YEARS, WILL HELP US AS WE
- 17 NAVIGATE FORWARD. AND I SEE SIMILARITIES IN THE WORK THAT
- 18 WE'RE DOING THAT WE JUST NEED TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO DO BETTER
- 19 AND FASTER AND WORK WITH OUR OPERATOR PARTNERS. SO, THE
- 20 CONCLUSIONS ARE VERY SIMILAR. WE DO WANT TO CONTINUE TO TRY TO
- 21 CREATE AN OPTIMAL TRANSIT SYSTEM, AND AS WE HAVE SEEN IN THE
- 22 POLLS AND AS YOU ALL HAVE TALKED ABOUT, WE HAVE GOT TO FIND A
- 23 WAY TO TAP INTO FOLKS WHO ARE NOT RIDING TODAY AND MAKING
- 24 INCENTIVES AND OPPORTUNITIES TOWARDS THIS REAL IMPROVED



1 TRANSIT SYSTEM TO MAKE IT WORK. I'LL TURN IT TO REBECCA TO

2 COVER THE ITEM.

- 4 REBECCA LONG: THANK YOU, ANDY. GOOD MORNING. I'M GOING TO
- 5 START ON PAGE TWO OF WHAT IS A VERY LONG MEMO. APOLOGIES FOR
- 6 THAT. BUT A LOT TO COVER. AND JUST REITERATE WE'RE BRINGING
- 7 THIS FORWARD AT THE REQUEST OF THE COMMITTEE TO PROVIDE YOU
- 8 WITH SOME SUBSTANTIVE IDEAS TO CONSIDER AS FAR AS ANY REFORM
- 9 PROVISIONS THAT SHOULD BE PART OF THE ENABLING LEGISLATION.
- 10 SO, WE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE, YOU KNOW, SINCE
- 11 REFORM, IN AND OF ITSELF ISN'T REALLY THE GOAL, RIGHT? THAT
- 12 REFORM IS ABOUT HOW TO DRIVE OUTCOMES TO JUST RECAP WHAT THE
- 13 GOALS OF THE MEASURE ARE, AS FAR AS WHAT STAFF HAS PROPOSED SO
- 14 FAR. JUST AS A REMINDER, WE HAVEN'T HAD ANY ACTION TAKEN ON
- 15 THIS ENABLING LEGISLATION YET. WE'RE HOPING TO BRING THAT
- 16 FORWARD NEXT MONTH. AND JUST TO LET YOU ALL KNOW, WE ARE
- 17 PLANNING TO BRING AN ITEM TO THE FULL COMMISSION IN DECEMBER
- 18 THAT WOULD, KIND OF, TELL THE FULL STORY ABOUT THE MEASURE
- 19 OVERALL, SOME PRIORITIES WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, AS WELL AS
- 20 FEEDBACK FROM TODAY. BUT SO, ON PAGE TWO WE HIGHLIGHT THE
- 21 OVERARCHING GOAL IS TO HELP CREATE A CLIMATE FRIENDLY
- 22 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM THAT IS SAFE, ACCESSIBLE, AND CONVENIENT
- 23 FOR ALL. THAT THERE ARE, SORT OF, THREE FOCUS AREA THAT WE
- 24 PROPOSE, PROTECTING AND ENHANCING TRANSIT SERVICE, MAKING
- 25 TRANSIT FASTER, SAFER, AND EASIER TO USE. AND THE THIRD ITEM



- 1 IS REALLY THE BROADER NON-TRANSIT TYPES OF INVESTMENTS THAT WE
- 2 HEARD BOTH IN THE POLL AND IN OUR STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT. THIS
- 3 SAFE STREETS CATEGORY THAT WOULD INCLUDE POTHOLE REPAIRS, AS
- 4 WELL AS MAKING LOCAL ROADS MORE ACCESSIBLE, SAFER FOR PEOPLE
- 5 WALKING, BIKING, WHEELING, AND MAYBE SOME TRAFFIC CALMING IN
- 6 THERE. SO, WE, VERY MUCH, RECOGNIZE THAT'S AN IMPORTANT PIECE.
- 7 ABOUT HALF OF OUR REGION SAY THEY'RE NOT REALLY USING TRANSIT
- 8 AND IF THEY'RE GOING TO BE PAYING WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THERE
- 9 ARE BENEFITS FOR THEM TOO. ANOTHER GOAL IS FINANCIAL
- 10 SUSTAINABILITY OF THE REGION'S TRANSIT SYSTEM AND WE DO THINK
- 11 AS WE ENGAGE IN THIS DIFFICULT CONVERSATION, BOTH IN NEXT YEAR
- 12 IN SACRAMENTO AND SUBSEQUENTLY, WHEN WE'RE TALKING TO VOTERS,
- 13 THEY'RE GOING TO WANT TO KNOW, YOU KNOW, IS THE SYSTEM BEING
- 14 ORGANIZED IN SUCH A WAY THAT IS OPTIMIZING THE RESOURCES, THE
- 15 TAX RESOURCES THAT IT HAS ALREADY. AND, SO, SOME OF THE
- 16 STUDIES THAT I'LL SUMMARIZE WERE FOCUSED MORE ON THAT COST
- 17 SAVING. ARE WE, YOU KNOW, POSITIONED MOST EFFECTIVELY TO
- 18 DELIVER CAPITAL PROJECTS ON TIME, AND, YOU KNOW, ON BUDGET,
- 19 WHICH IS OBVIOUSLY VERY CHALLENGING WITH MEGA PROJECTS. SO,
- 20 THAT, JUST FRAMING, I THINK IS REALLY INTENDED TO ADDRESS THE
- 21 WHAT AND THE HOW THAT WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT A LOT IN THIS
- 22 COMMITTEE. RIGHT? IS THAT WE'RE NOT PURSUING A TRANSPORTATION
- 23 MEASURE JUST TO PURSUE A MEASURE AND GET MORE MONEY. WE'RE
- 24 REALLY TRYING TO ACHIEVE THESE OUTCOMES. AND SO, THE WHAT ARE
- 25 THOSE OUTCOMES THAT I JUST SUMMARIZED. THOSE ARE THE



- 1 UNDERLYING GOALS OF THE MEASURE AND THEN THE HOW IS THE
- 2 FUNDING THAT WE ARE PROPOSING TO GET ENABLING LEGISLATION FOR
- 3 AND PUT BEFORE THE VOTERS, AS WELL AS, POTENTIALLY, SOME
- 4 POLICY PROVISIONS. AND THAT'S MORE THE REFORM IDEAS. OTHER
- 5 POLICY PROVISIONS COULD INCLUDE SOME TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT
- 6 PROVISIONS, SUCH AS, YOU KNOW, REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO DO MORE
- 7 TO INCENTIVIZE TRANSIT RIDERSHIP. AND THERE WAS A QUESTION ON
- 8 THE POLL THAT SHOWED SOME STRONG SUPPORT. SO, DON'T NEED TO
- 9 RECAP THE POLL. BUT JUST JUMPING DOWN TO WHERE WE ARE TODAY IN
- 10 THE REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT. THIS COMMISSION HAS DONE A
- 11 LOT ON THAT IN THE LAST SIX MONTHS OR SO. AND LATER THIS
- 12 AFTERNOON, THE REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE IS GOING
- 13 TO BE MEETING. THE REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT COUNCIL HAS
- 14 RECENTLY BEEN STOOD UP AND THAT'S THE FORMAL ADVISORY BODY
- 15 CONSISTING OF THE GENERAL MANAGERS AS WELL AS OUR EXECUTIVE
- 16 DIRECTOR. THEN WE HAVE A NEW REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT
- 17 CUSTOMER ADVISORY GROUP WITH THE GOAL REALLY OF HAVING TRANSIT
- 18 RIDERS AT THE TABLE HELPING GUIDE OUR PRIORITIES. AND, SO, ALL
- 19 OF THIS, YOU KNOW, IS CERTAINLY PROGRESS, YOU KNOW. IT'S
- 20 CERTAINLY PROGRESS. IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE'RE FORMALIZING
- 21 BOTH THE COMMISSION'S ROLE IN THIS SPACE THAT IS CODIFIED IN A
- 22 LOT OF STATUTORY PROVISIONS. AND YOU DO HAVE, AS AN ATTACHMENT
- 23 TO THIS ITEM, LIKE A SUMMARY OF THE DIFFERENT PROVISIONS IN
- 24 STATE LAW THAT EMPOWER AND DIRECT MTC TO PLAY THIS
- 25 COORDINATING ROLE. BUT IT IS A CONSENSUS-BASED APPROACH. THAT



- 1 IS INHERENTLY CHALLENGING WHEN YOU HAVE OVER TWO DOZEN
- 2 OPERATORS. IN THAT SCENARIO, A SINGLE OPERATOR OR A HANDFUL OF
- 3 OPERATORS REALLY CAN SLOW THINGS DOWN. AND I THINK THAT'S
- 4 WHERE EVEN OPERATORS THEMSELVES ARE FRUSTRATED THAT WE'RE NOT
- 5 MOVING AS FAST AS FOLKS WOULD LIKE IN THE WAKE OF THE
- 6 TRANSFORMATION ACTION PLAN. AND THAT DELAY DOES DRIVE UP COSTS
- 7 AND JUST SLOWS DOWN BENEFITS TO RIDERS. SO, A DIFFERENT MODEL
- 8 ON NETWORK MANAGEMENT WOULD BE A MORE EMPOWERED NETWORK
- 9 MANAGER THAT WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ADVANCING KEY CUSTOMER
- 10 PRIORITIES TO CREATE A MORE SEAMLESS SYSTEM. AND THAT IS SNAG
- 11 WE HAVE HEARD ABOUT A LOT OVER THE LAST FEW YEARS STARTING
- 12 WITH ASSEMBLYMEMBER CHU'S BILL IN 2020, AND THEN 2021, AND
- 13 THEN CARRIED FORWARD LAST YEAR BY SENATOR BECKER. AND IN THOSE
- 14 BILLS, THEY WERE REALLY FOCUSED ON SPECIFIC INITIATIVES THAT
- 15 WERE ALREADY UNDERWAY AND BEING TALKED ABOUT IN THE REGION,
- 16 AND THEY DID LOOK TO MTC TO ASSUME SOME OF THAT
- 17 RESPONSIBILITY, AND FOR OPERATORS TO BE REQUIRED TO ADHERE TO
- 18 THESE POLICIES. AND, SO, YOU KNOW, AN OPTION WOULD BE TO
- 19 DESIGNATE MTC WITH THIS RESPONSIBILITY MORE CLEARLY IN
- 20 STATUTE. YOU KNOW, AGAIN, THERE IS KIND OF LANGUAGE THAT TALKS
- 21 ABOUT MTC, YOU KNOW, SHALL COORDINATE, AND SHALL DO THIS, BUT
- 22 IT'S REALLY ON US TO TRY TO MAKE THE OPERATORS COOPERATE. IT
- 23 DOESN'T KIND OF GO THE OTHER WAY AROUND AND TALK ABOUT THE
- 24 OPERATORS, YOU KNOW, WILL ABIDE BY THESE POLICIES. SO, THAT'S
- 25 ON NETWORK MANAGEMENT. AND THEN ON THE, SORT OF, MORE



- 1 GOVERNANCE SIDE OF THINGS, JUST TO REALLY BREEZE THROUGH SOME
- 2 OF THESE STUDIES. STARTING WITH THE TRANSIT SUSTAINABILITY --
- 3 EXCUSE ME -- STARTING WITH THE REGIONAL RAIL PLAN, IN 2007,
- 4 TRANSIT SUSTAINABILITY PROJECT AND THEN THE MORE RECENT RAIL
- 5 PLAN, KEY FINDINGS OF THE TRANSIT SUSTAINABILITY PROJECT WERE,
- 6 FOR EXAMPLE, THAT THE BAY AREA HAS ABOUT 228 INDIVIDUAL
- 7 TRANSIT DECISION MAKERS AT THE GOVERNING BOARD LEVEL AND THAT
- 8 INCLUDES EVERYTHING FROM LOCAL CITY COUNCILS, FROM MUNICIPAL
- 9 YOU OPERATORS, AS WELL AS SPECIFIC DISTRICTS, OR COUNTY BOARDS
- 10 OF SUPERVISORS. AND THAT THIS, YOU KNOW, SIGNIFICANT
- 11 FRAGMENTATION, LOOKED AT AS A REGION, WE DO HAVE HIGHER
- 12 ADMINISTRATIVE COST THAN LOOKING AT PEERS OF OTHER LARGE METRO
- 13 AREAS AND THAT WAS ESTIMATED IN 2012 AT COSTING ABOUT NEEDN'T
- 14 MILLION MORE THAN IF WE WERE AT THE AVERAGE WHICH WOULD BE
- 15 ABOUT 120 MILLION TODAY. THAT STUDY ALSO FOUND THAT
- 16 INSTITUTIONAL CONSOLIDATION COULD POTENTIALLY BE MORE COST
- 17 EFFECTIVE WHEN YOU ARE LOOKING AT SMALLER OPERATORS, MERGING
- 18 SMALLER WITH SMALLER, VERSUS MERGING A SMALLER OPERATOR INTO A
- 19 LARGER OPERATOR WOULD TEND TO GRAVITATE TO THE HIGHEST COST.
- 20 SO THERE, IS CLEARLY TRADE-OFFS THERE. AND YOU'RE NOT GOING TO
- 21 KNOW WHETHER YOU'RE GOING TO SAVE MONEY UNTIL YOU'RE LOOKING
- 22 AT ANY SPECIFIC CASE. AND THEN THE TFP DID FIND SOME KIND OF
- 23 BENEFITS ON JUST THE CUSTOMER SIDE RELATED TO TRANSIT
- 24 INTEGRATION. AND THOSE ARE SUMMARIZED ON PAGE SEVEN. AND WE DO
- 25 SEE SOME PROGRESS HERE. FOR EXAMPLE, JOINT PROCUREMENT OF



- 1 SERVICES AND EQUIPMENT SOME OPERATORS ARE DOING THAT
- 2 VOLUNTARILY. SOME INSTITUTIONAL CONSOLIDATION HAS HAPPENED.
- 3 AND WE CAN LOOK AT THAT, YOU KNOW, WITH WETA. THE FERRY
- 4 SERVICE WERE A NUMBER OF SMALLER OPERATORS AND THEN ON FARE
- 5 STRUCTURE AND HARMONIZATION, WE'RE DEFINITELY MAKING PROGRESS
- 6 ALBEIT SOMEWHAT SLOW. AND THEN ON THE RAIL SIDE,
- 7 CHRONOLOGICALLY THE 2000 STUDY LOOKED AT BENEFITS THAT DID
- 8 INCLUDE THINGS THAT ARE STRICTLY NOT ON THE CAPITAL SIDE,
- 9 POTENTIAL MORE CENTRALIZED RAIL GOVERNANCE WOULD LIKELY HELP
- 10 WITH SCHEDULE COORDINATION, FARE INTEGRATION AND THE LIKE, NOT
- 11 TO SAY THAT THOSE THINGS CAN ONLY HAPPEN THROUGH SOME TYPE OF
- 12 INTEGRATION, BUT, YOU KNOW, MORE LIKELY TO HAPPEN THAT WAY.
- 13 AND THEN RISKS, THOUGH COULD BE AGAIN HIGHER LABOR COSTS.
- 14 POTENTIAL FOR WORK STOPPAGES, AND THEN AND FINALLY THE MOST
- 15 RECENT STUDY WAS CONDUCTED STARTING IN 2021 THROUGH THE EARLY
- 16 PART OF THIS YEAR AND IT DID FOCUS QUITE A BIT ON THE CAPITAL
- 17 SIDE NOTING THAT WE HAVE \$69 BILLION IN RAIL PROJECTS THAT ARE
- 18 IN OUR PLAN, AND THAT THE ABSENCE OF A CENTRAL ENTITY TO
- 19 OVERSEE THAT IS NOT OPTIMAL. YOU KNOW? FROM A DELIVERY
- 20 PERSPECTIVE, FROM A CENTRALIZED EXPERTISE, AND WE DID A
- 21 WORKSHOP HERE A FEW YEARS AGO THAT DID HIGHLIGHT SOME OF THOSE
- 22 LIMITATIONS OF RELYING SO MUCH ON THE PRIVATE SECTOR TO GUIDE
- 23 PROJECTS. SO, THAT WAS A OUICK BREEZE THROUGH OF THE STAFF
- 24 REPORT TRYING TO KIND OF EDUCATE AND PUT ON THE TABLE THESE



1 DIFFERENT IDEAS. AND WITH THAT, I WILL CONCLUDE AND OPEN IT UP

2 FOR DISCUSSION.

3

4 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. CHAIR PEDROZA?

- 6 ALFREDO PEDROZA: WELL, THANK YOU, CHAIR CANEPA. I WANT TO
- 7 START BY THANKING STAFF. YOU KNOW, IT'S HARD SOMETIMES TO JUMP
- 8 INTO THESE CONVERSATIONS BUT I THINK IT'S COMPLETELY
- 9 APPROPRIATE FOR WHAT WE'RE EXPERIENCING. AND I HAVE A LOT OF
- 10 RESPECT FOR THOSE COMMISSIONERS THAT WERE AROUND THAT DID THAT
- 11 STUDY. BUT I DO NOT WANT TO BE PART OF HISTORY IN WHERE WE DO
- 12 IT AND TALK ABOUT IT. AND SOMEONE IS REFERENCING THAT. I THINK
- 13 THE OPPORTUNITY WE HAVE IS TO ACTUALLY CHANGE SOMETHING. SO I
- 14 AM VERY INTEREST UNDERSTAND IN LEANING INTO THIS OPPORTUNITY
- 15 VERY INTENTIONALLY. AND THE PRINCIPLE FOCUS, THE PILLAR FOR ME
- 16 IS THOSE CUSTOMER-FACING IMPROVEMENTS. WE HEARD FROM THE POLL.
- 17 SEE SAW THE POLL. MANY OF US AGREED THAT THESE REFORMS ARE
- 18 POPULAR. AND I THINK IT'S UP TO US TO TALK ABOUT THE OUTCOMES
- 19 AND HOW, THROUGH THE PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS, WE GET TO ACHIEVE
- 20 THAT KIND OF DESTINATION AND STATE THAT WE'RE ALL LOOKING FOR.
- 21 SO, YOU KNOW, FOR ME, I APPRECIATE THE OPERATORS, I APPRECIATE
- 22 WHAT THIS COMMISSION HAS DONE WITH NETWORK MANAGEMENT AND
- 23 CREATING THAT POSITION. AND I THINK THERE IS AN ACKNOWLEDGMENT
- 24 TO ACHIEVE THE REFORMS WE WANT TO ACHIEVE, WE NEED TO DO MORE
- 25 AND THAT MEANS REFORMS AND THAT MIGHT MEAN REDUCING TRANSIT



- 1 OPERATORS THAT MIGHT MEAN STRENGTHENING MTC'S AUTHORITY TO DO
- 2 THAT. THOSE ARE THE QUESTIONS I HAVE THAT I HOPE THIS
- 3 COMMISSION CAN REALLY TALK ABOUT WE HAVE INCREDIBLE
- 4 OPPORTUNITIES WE HAVE LEGISLATORS WHO HAVE ASKED US OUESTIONS
- 5 AROUND THIS MOMENT. AND MANY OF US HAVE SAW THIS DEFICIT
- 6 AROUND THE FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS, AND I THINK ABOUT
- 7 CHALLENGES WITH RESOURCES AND I THINK IT'S UPON US TO LOOK AT
- 8 FISCAL CONSTRAINTS THAT WE MIGHT HAVE BUT ALSO SEIZING THIS
- 9 MOMENT FOR THE BETTERMENT OF THE RIDERS IN OUR REGION. THEY
- 10 DON'T DISTINGUISH BOUNDARIES. THEY DON'T DISTINGUISH
- 11 OPERATORS. AND I DO BELIEVE WE HAVE INCREDIBLE OPERATORS IN
- 12 OUR REGION. I REALLY BELIEVE THAT. AND I KNOW THEY HAVE BEEN
- 13 DOING INCREDIBLE WORK. BUT I THINK THIS IS ABOUT MAKING THAT
- 14 NEXT STEP THAT REALLY LOOKS AT THIS REGION IN THE FUTURE. AND,
- 15 YOU KNOW, I THINK COMMISSIONER, SOMEONE MENTIONED -- I THINK
- 16 IT WAS MOULTON-PETERS WHO TALKED ABOUT WHY SHE MOVED TO THE
- 17 REGION, FOR THOSE TRANSIT OPERATORS. WELL, WE HAVE GOT TO
- 18 CREATE THE NEW SYSTEM SO PEOPLE KEEP MOVING BACK INTO THE
- 19 REGION FOR THAT. THAT'S WHAT'S BEFORE US. I APPRECIATE THE
- 20 NARRATIVE. COMMISSIONER CANEPA, I APPRECIATE YOUR LEADERSHIP
- 21 ON THIS. WE HAVE ALL RECEIVED EXTENSIVE CALLS, EXTENSIVE E-
- 22 MAILS AROUND IT, BUT WE CAN'T SHY AWAY FROM THIS MOMENT. AND I
- 23 AM LOOKING FORWARD TO HAVING THESE CONVERSATIONS IN SUPPORT OF
- 24 REFORMS THAT WE HAVE LAID OUT TODAY. THANK YOU.



CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. COMMISSIONER SPERING, THEN 1 2 COMMISSIONER NOACK. 3 JAMES P. SPERING: THANK YOU. FOR SOMEONE THAT WAS AROUND ON 4 5 THE TRANSIT SUSTAINABILITY PROJECT, YOU KNOW, TWO THINGS THAT REALLY STOOD OUT, AND ONE WAS THE DISCUSSION ABOUT FARE 6 INTEGRATION. THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT COMMITTEE REALLY FELT 7 8 THAT NEEDED TO TAKE PLACE. AND THE OTHER WAS WE DISCUSSED THE NUMBER OF OPERATORS IN THE REGION. THAT WAS ANOTHER THING THAT 9 WAS -- AND WHERE I THINK THAT A COMMITTEE FELL SHORT IS THAT 10 WE NEVER CHALLENGED A COMMISSION ON THESE ISSUES. WE NEVER 11 CAME TO THE COMMISSION THAT SAYS WHAT DO YOU WANT TO DO ABOUT 12 THIS, ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH THE STATUS QUO, DO YOU WANT TO 13 MAKE CHANGES. AND I THINK WE'RE AT THE POINT WHERE THIS 14 15 COMMISSION NEEDS TO BE CHALLENGED. AND I AGREE WITH THE 16 CHAIRMAN'S COMMENTS THAT, YOU KNOW, AS WE DEVELOP THIS VISION, WE HAVE TO CONVINCE THE VOTERS, WHAT'S THE REALITY SIDE OF IT. 17 YOU KNOW? HOW CAN WE DELIVER THIS VISION. AND WHAT IS IT GOING 18 TO TAKE TOO DELIVER IT? AND OF COURSE REVENUE IS A VERY 19 IMPORTANT PIECE OF THAT. AND REBECCA, YOU TALKED ABOUT MTC'S 20

23 ABOUT WHAT AUTHORITY DO WE HAVE AND WHAT AUTHORITY DO WE NEED.

AUTHORITY. I DON'T KNOW WHICH ONE OF THE STAFF MEMBERS CAN

TALK ABOUT -- YOU KNOW, AT SOME POINT WE HAVE TO START TALKING

- 24 AND PROBABLY BEFORE THAT QUESTION IS ASKED, I THINK THE
- 25 COMMISSION SHOULD SAY, THIS IS WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO PURSUE.

21



- 1 AND YOU KNOW, FOR ME, EVERYTHING SHOULD BE ON THE TABLE. YOU
- 2 KNOW, I KNOW THE CALTRAIN BART THING IS CONTROVERSIAL, AND I
- 3 HAVE RECEIVED SEVERAL E-MAILS TALKING ABOUT THAT. AND YOU
- 4 KNOW, IT'S A SHAME THAT PERCEPTION IS THAT IT'S BART AS IT IS
- 5 TODAY, IS THE MERGER. [LAUGHTER] WELL, THAT MAY NOT BE THE
- 6 CASE. AND SO I THINK IT'S WORTHY THAT EVERYTHING IS ON THE
- 7 TABLE AND THAT WE HAVE THOSE DISCUSSIONS. SO IT WOULD BE GOOD
- 8 TO KNOW WHAT AUTHORITY WE HAVE, AND WHAT AUTHORITY WE WOULD
- 9 LIKE TO ASK FOR. THE OTHER QUESTION THAT I HAVE IS, IS THERE A
- 10 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE ENABLING LEGISLATION AND SHOULD HAVE
- 11 THESE OTHER ISSUES THAT WE'RE ASKING FOR LIKE ADDITIONAL
- 12 AUTHORITY AND OTHER ISSUES. ARE THEY BOTH IN THAT ENABLING
- 13 LEGISLATION? OR ARE THEY SEPARATE?
- 14
- 15 REBECCA LONG: THROUGH THE CHAIR? SO, JUST ON THAT LAST
- 16 QUESTION, I THINK THAT IS TBD, BUT I THINK STAFF'S
- 17 UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT, YOU KNOW, YOU AND OTHERS HAVE
- 18 SUGGESTED, IS THAT THEY REALLY DO NEED TO BE PAIRED TOGETHER.
- 19 AND, SO, IT COULD BE THAT THE LEGISLATION, ITSELF, YOU KNOW,
- 20 HAS CERTAIN PROVISIONS RELATED TO REFORM THEN IT HAS
- 21 PROVISIONS AUTHORIZING MTC TO PLACE A MEASURE ON THE BALLOT
- 22 THEY COULD BE TOGETHER. THEY COULD BE SEPARATED THERE ARE
- 23 LIKELY PROS AND CONS TO THAT.



- 1 JAMES P. SPERING: THE ONE ADVANTAGE TO SEPARATION, IS THAT WE
- 2 IDENTIFY WHAT AUTHORITY WE HAVE; WE IDENTIFY WHAT AUTHORITY WE
- 3 NEED. AND WE GET THAT AND GO FORWARD, INSTEAD OF HAVE TO
- 4 MEASURE DETERMINE THAT. AND THERE ARE THINGS THAT WE SHOULD BE
- 5 DOING WHETHER THE MEASURE PASSES OR NOT.

6

- 7 REBECCA LONG: IF I COULD FOLLOW UP ON THAT. THERE ARE
- 8 PROVISIONS IN THE WAY LEGISLATION COULD BE STRUCTURED. THERE
- 9 ARE PROVISIONS THAT COULD TAKE EFFECT JANUARY 1, 2025 ASSUMING
- 10 IT'S PASSED NEXT YEAR. THE PROVISIONS RELATED TO REFORMS DON'T
- 11 NEED TO BE CONDITIONED ON MEASURE. THEY COULD BE. BUT THEY
- 12 COULD JUST BE ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE.

- 14 JAMES P. SPERING: FOR ME, I THINK THE POLLING IS NOT VERY
- 15 ENCOURAGING. BUT I REALLY FEEL STRONGLY THAT WE NEED TO GO
- 16 FORWARD WITH THE ENABLING LEGISLATION FOR THE MEASURE. A LOT
- 17 CAN CHANGE IN TWO YEARS. AND WE SHOULD HAVE THE ABLE TO AT
- 18 LEAST USE THAT TOOL IF WE NEED TO AT THAT TIME, INSTEAD OF
- 19 WAITING UNTIL HOPEFULLY THE TIME IS RIGHT TO START THE
- 20 ENABLING LEGISLATION. SO, I THINK WE SHOULD GO FORWARD WITH
- 21 THAT AT THIS TIME. YOU KNOW, YOU HAD MENTIONED ABOUT THE --
- 22 AND ALSO IN THE SUSTAINABLE PROJECT, SUSTAINABILITY, WE TALKED
- 23 ABOUT THE NUMBER OF OPERATORS. AND, SO, WHAT IS STAFF -- AND
- 24 HOW ARE YOU THINKING ABOUT -- ESPECIALLY THE SMALLER OPERATORS
- 25 THAT FEED INTO THIS SYSTEM, HOW IS STAFF -- WHAT THOUGHTS OR



- 1 IDEAS DO YOU HAVE ABOUT THAT ISSUE? YOU KNOW, WE HAVE FIVE
- 2 OPERATORS, WHICH I DON'T THINK IS VERY EFFICIENT, AND SO WHERE
- 3 -- WHAT CAN WE DO? WHAT AUTHORITY DO WE HAVE? OR WHAT
- 4 AUTHORITY DO WE NEED TO START LOOKING AT THAT ISSUE, THE
- 5 NUMBER OF OPERATORS IN THE REGION?

- 7 ANDREW FREMIER: COMMISSIONER SPERING IF I COULD START OUT AND
- 8 RELY ON OTHERS TO FINISH UP IF I DON'T COVER IT ALL. YOU KNOW,
- 9 I THINK THIS PARTICULAR MEMO OUTLINES AN AWFUL LOT OF THINGS
- 10 THAT ARE IMPORTANT TO REMIND OURSELVES ABOUT. I THINK FIRST OF
- 11 ALL, THOUGH, ONE THING I GOT OUT OF THE POLLING WAS THE IDEAS
- 12 IN THE TRANSFORMATION ACTION PLAN WERE CORRECT. AND WE DON'T
- 13 WANT TO DO ANYTHING THAT UNDERMINES THE HARD WORK THAT HAS
- 14 GOTTEN US THIS FAR BUT WE HAVE TO RECOGNIZE THAT IT IS STILL
- 15 AWFULLY CUMBERSOME AND A LOT OF DECISIONS GET WATERED DOWN A
- 16 LITTLE BIT BY TOO MUCH CONSENSUS. AND SO, KIND OF MANAGING HOW
- 17 YOU ACCELERATE DECISIONS THAT ARE GOOD FOR THE CUSTOMER ARE
- 18 GOING TO BE KEY THINGS THAT THE REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT
- 19 COMMITTEE, OUTSIDE OF EVEN THE WORK THAT THE COUNCIL DOES,
- 20 INSTRUCTS FOR US. I ALSO THINK THE MEMO LAYS OUT A LOT OF THE
- 21 LEGISLATION THAT DOES EXIST AND DEFINES MTC'S CURRENT
- 22 RESPONSIBILITIES. BUT WHEN YOU LOOK AT EVEN JUST THE MATRIX,
- 23 IT'S GOT A LOT OF SOFT WORDS IN IT THAT DON'T REALLY LEAD TO
- 24 DEFINITE AUTHORITY. SO WHAT I THINK WE NEED TO DO IS REALLY
- 25 THINK ABOUT, IT GOES BACK ACTUALLY TO SOMETHING COMMISSIONER



- 1 PAPAN MENTIONED BACK IN PLEASANTON A COUPLE YEARS AGO ON ONE
- 2 OF OUR WORKSHOPS. WE REALLY NEED TO LOOK AT 3866 AND PUT IT TO
- 3 WORK AND FIGURE OUT WHAT MIGHT NEED TO BE CHANGED. THAT'S
- 4 GOING TO TAKE WORK FROM US TO GIVE YOU SOME SPECIFIC THINGS TO
- 5 DO ON. AND ASSUMING YOU WANT TO JUMP IN WITH A BIT MORE
- 6 AGGRESSION, THEN WE CAN DO SO. AND WE CAN BRING BACK SOME
- 7 IDEAS THAT WE THINK WILL MAKE LEGISLATION STRONGER SO THAT THE
- 8 3866 INTENT TURNS INTO REALITY. YOU KNOW, THE QUESTION ABOUT
- 9 THE NUMBER OF OPERATORS, WE HAVE A COUPLE OF EXAMPLES THAT
- 10 REBECCA MENTIONED, BOTH ON THE WETA FERRY SYSTEM AND UP IN
- 11 YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD WITH SMALL EFFORTS TO MOVE FORWARD IN
- 12 CONSOLIDATION THAT PROVE TO BE ATTAINABLE. SO, I'M HOPEFUL
- 13 THAT THIS CONVERSATION DOESN'T SCARE US AWAY FROM ASKING THOSE
- 14 QUESTIONS AND WORKING WITH THE OPERATORS IN THE COUNTIES THAT
- 15 HAVE MULTIPLE BUS OPERATORS, OR EVEN THIS BIG HARD QUESTION OF
- 16 THE RAIL REGION, YOU KNOW, QUALIFICATIONS, AND SEE IF WE CAN
- 17 FIND SOME, YOU KNOW, ITEMS THAT MAYBE DON'T BOTHER FOLKS SO
- 18 MUCH. BECAUSE MAYBE THERE ARE ADMINISTRATIVE THINGS THAT ARE
- 19 ENOUGH TO MAKE US MORE EFFICIENT AND MAYBE THERE ARE SOME REAL
- 20 OPPORTUNITIES. I KNOW WE HAVE MORE WORK IN THE NORTH BAY, AND
- 21 MORE WORK IN YOUR COUNTY. AND I WOULD RESPECTFULLY LIKE TO
- 22 START TALKING TO THE EAST BAY BUS OPERATORS TO SEE IF WE CAN
- 23 MAKE SURE THAT WE CAN LOOK AT HARD DECISIONS TO STILL
- 24 RECOGNIZE THERE ARE LOCAL NEEDS THAT THESE SMALLER OPERATORS
- 25 ARE PROVIDING, THAT THE BIG BACKBONE SYSTEMS DON'T NECESSARILY



DEAL WITH. AND I THINK THERE IS A WILLINGNESS TO HAVE THAT 1 2 CONVERSATION IF YOU WOULD LIKE US TO PURSUE IT. 3 JAMES P. SPERING: FOR ME, IF THERE IS ONE THING TO COME OUT OF 4 5 THIS DESIGNATION TODAY, IS THE STAFF CHALLENGE THIS COMMISSION AND GIVES IT THE OPPORTUNITY TO SAY YES WE SUPPORT SOMETHING 6 OR NO WE DON'T. I THINK WHAT HAS HAPPENED IS THE COMMISSION 7 8 HASN'T BEEN CHALLENGED AND WE HAVEN'T BEEN CLEAR IN DECISION YES WE WANT TO PURSUE THAT. AND I THINK WE'RE AT THE POINT WE 9 HAVE TO DO THAT. AND A LOT OF THESE DISCUSSIONS ARE GOING TO 10 BE VERY UNCOMFORTABLE, YOU START TALKING ABOUT CONSOLIDATING, 11 ELIMINATING, I THINK THEY'RE GOING TO BE CONTROVERSIAL. I 12 THINK THE COMMISSION NEEDS TO TAKE THOSE ISSUES. I DON'T KNOW 13 OF ANY OTHER BODY IN THE REGION THAT CAN DO THAT WORK. IT'S 14 15 COMMISSION WORK. I WOULD LIKE STAFF TO COME BACK AND SAY THIS IS THE AUTHORITY YOU HAVE, THIS IS THE AUTHORITY WE NEED AND 16 PURSUE THAT AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE TO MAKE SOME OF THESE 17 DECISIONS IT'S IMPORTANT TO DEVELOP A VISION SO THESE NON-18 TRANSIT RIDERS SAY, YEAH, I THINK I WANT TO TRY THAT. AND WHAT 19 IT'S GOING TO TAKE TO DELIVER THAT VISION. I THINK THAT'S 20 21 EXTREMELY IMPORTANT. AND I AGREE WITH OUR CHAIR PEDROZA'S COMMENTS EARLIER, IN HOW WE GO FORWARD. SO, I WOULD JUST ASK 22 23 STAFF TO PUT EVERYTHING ON THE TABLE. BRING IT FORTH, HAVE THE COMMISSION, YOU KNOW, COMMIT ONE WAY OR ANOTHER IF IT'S 24

SOMETHING WE WANT TO PURSUE. I DON'T WANT TO BE PURSUING



- 1 SOMETHING IF THERE IS NO SUPPORT UP THERE ON THE COMMISSION. I
- 2 WANT TO DO -- PURSUE THE THINGS THAT THERE IS GENERAL SUPPORT
- 3 FOR, AND THAT WE GIVE YOU THAT CLEAR DIRECTION. WE'RE AT THE
- 4 POINT WHERE WE HAVE TO START MAKING SOME DECISIONS. AND I
- 5 CAN'T -- YOU KNOW, WE CAN'T JUST CONTINUE TO JUST TALK ABOUT
- 6 THESE ISSUES. I HAVE BEEN ON THIS COMMISSION A LONG TIME AGO,
- 7 AND I'LL TELL YOU, 25 YEARS AGO, WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THE
- 8 SAME THINGS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT RIGHT NOW TODAY. THE NUMBER OF
- 9 OPERATORS, FARE INTEGRATION, THE SAME ISSUES, WE'RE STILL
- 10 TALKING ABOUT. SO, IT HAS TO BE A STRATEGY OR MECHANISM TO
- 11 BRING THESE ISSUES FORWARD TO HAVE A DECISION. FROM WHERE I
- 12 SIT, A LOT IS HAPPENING BY DEFAULT WE'RE NOT TAKING ACTION.
- 13 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT AND IT JUST CONTINUES. MANY TRANSIT
- 14 OPERATORS IN MANY OF THE REGIONS THEY DO A LOT OF GOOD WORK
- 15 AND OUR POSITION OUGHT TO BE LET'S MAKE IT BETTER. LET'S TAKE
- 16 WHAT YOU'RE DOING RIGHT, AND CONTINUE THAT, AND LET'S IMPROVE
- 17 HERE FOR THE RIDER. AND THE ONE THING, AND CHAIR CANEPA
- 18 MENTIONED THIS, THE ONE THING THAT CAME OUT OF THE BLUE RIBBON
- 19 WAS STOP FOCUSING ON THE OPERATORS, FOCUS ON THE RIDER. THAT'S
- 20 HOW WE'RE GOING TO GET FUTURE RIDERSHIP. THAT'S HOW WE'RE
- 21 GOING TO GET A MUCH HIGHER SATISFACTION ON USING TRANSIT. AND
- 22 SO, I THINK THIS COMMISSION NEEDS TO REALLY WEIGH IN ON THAT
- 23 ISSUE. SO, THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS, MR. CHAIRMAN

25 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER NOACK?



1

DECEMBER 8, 2023

SUE NOACK: SO, JUST FOLLOWING UP ON THAT, ON COORDINATION, AND 2 3 CONSOLIDATION. I JUST WANT US TO THINK A LITTLE MORE BROADLY ON THAT AND LOOK AT EFFICIENCIES, AND EFFECTIVENESS. BECAUSE I 4 5 DON'T THINK JUST CONSOLIDATING ENTITY GETS US TO WHERE WE NEED TO BE. WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT THE EAST COUNTY, AND JIM WAS 6 TALKING ABOUT HIS COUNTY, YOU CAN'T REALLY LOOK AT THOSE 7 8 LINES. BECAUSE SERVICES TO THE CUSTOMERS, THEY DON'T STOP AT A LINE, AN ARBITRARY LINE. SO WE HAVE TO LOOK AT WHERE 9 EFFICIENCIES CAN BE MADE A LITTLE BIT MORE REGIONALLY. AND NOT 10 JUST FOCUSING ON CONSOLIDATING ENTITY. I TALKED ABOUT 11 HORIZONTAL INTEGRATION THEN VERTICAL INTEGRATION AND I THINK 12 WE HAVE TO LOOK AT THOSE THINGS TO GET SOME OF THE 13 EFFECTIVENESS AND THINK BY THE TIME CUSTOMER, THOSE KIND OF 14 15 EFFICIENCIES, I THINK ARE -- FIGURES, MY PHONE JUST STOPPED. 16 AND THE OTHER THING I THINK WE NEED TO THINK B AS WELL IS, YOU KNOW, WE EXPERIENCED, THROUGH COVID, THE REAL IMPORTANCE OF A 17 LOT OF LOCAL ENTITIES AND THEIR ADAPTABILITY TO CHANGE IN 18 SITUATIONS. SO WE DON'T WANT TO CREATE SOMETHING SO MASSIVE, 19

24 CHANGED IN THE LAST FOUR YEARS. AND WE REALLY HAVE TO LOOK,

AS WELL, THAT WE TAKE AWAY THAT ABILITY TO RELATE TO LOCAL

WANT TO ALSO CREATE SOMETHING THAT CAN'T ADAPT TO CHANGING

NEEDS AND ADAPT TO LOCAL NEEDS. AND WE DON'T -- AND WE DON'T

TRANSIT AND TRANSPORTATION NEEDS. I MEAN, LOOK AT HOW MUCH HAS

25 SORT OF, AT A VISION, A STRUCTURE OF TRANSIT AND

20

21

22



- 1 TRANSPORTATION THAT WILL MEET NOT ONLY NOW, BUT CHANGING NEEDS
- 2 GOING FORWARD. SO, I THINK THOSE ARE REALLY IMPORTANT. AND
- 3 THERE ARE ALSO CHALLENGES THERE. WE HAVE THE ONE-SEAT RIDE IN
- 4 CONTRA COSTA COUNTY HAS WORKED WELL AND THE STUMBLING BLOCK TO
- 5 MAKING THAT PERMANENT IS FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS. SO HOW CAN WE,
- 6 AS A BIG BODY, HELP WITH MAKING SOME OF THOSE CHANGES AT A
- 7 NATIONAL LEVEL THAT WILL MAKE OUR TRANSIT OPERATORS ALSO MORE
- 8 EFFICIENT AND GIVE THEM THE ABILITY TO MERGE SOME OF THOSE
- 9 OPERATIONS, LIKE THE ONE-SEAT RIDE. AND THEN, YOU KNOW, I
- 10 THINK A LOT -- A BIG PIECE OF THIS IS IF WE REALLY WANT TO
- 11 MOVE TRANSIT, WE HAVE TO THINK ABOUT HOW WE LOOK AT FIRST AND
- 12 LAST MILE. IN ORDER TO -- YOU KNOW, WHAT WE'RE SAYING, AND
- 13 CERTAINLY IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, IS THE BUS RIDERS HAVE
- 14 REALLY COME BACK, BUT THEY'RE NOT CONNECTING TO BART RIGHT
- 15 NOW. AND IT'S NOT NECESSARILY ALL BART IT'S ALSO THE ECONOMY
- 16 IN SAN FRANCISCO. HOW DOES THE CHANGING ECONOMY WORK INTO, AND
- 17 HOPEFULLY TRANSIT 2050 PLUS WILL ADDRESS SOME OF THAT -- BUT
- 18 WE HAVE TO KEEP AN EYE ON THOSE CHANGES AS WE THINK ABOUT
- 19 CHANGES MOVING FORWARD. THE OTHER THING I THINK IS REALLY
- 20 IMPORTANT IN THIS PROCESS IS LOOKING AT BEST PRACTICES AND
- 21 PILOTS THAT EXIST. A COUPLE OF PLACES WE TALK ABOUT PILOT OF
- 22 ONE SEAT RIGHT. WE HAVE HAD A THREE YEAR PILOT GOING ON THAT'S
- 23 SUPER SUCCESSFUL IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AND USING BEST
- 24 PRACTICES ACROSS TO EXPAND THOSE THINGS RATHER THAN STARTING
- 25 NEW PILOTS. I KEEP WAITING FOR THE BAY PASS TO HIT DVC



7

10

DECEMBER 8, 2023

- 1 COMMUNITY COLLEGE, AND I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG THAT PILOT IS
- 2 GOING ON, OR WHENEVER DVC WILL GET THAT, BUT TAKING THOSE
- 3 PILOTS THAT ARE REALLY SUCCESSFUL AND MOVING THOSE INTO A
- 4 BROADER BASIS IS ANOTHER PIECE WHERE WE HAVE LOOKED FOR
- 5 FUNDINGS TO EXPAND THAT AND THIS WOULD FALL INTO THAT AS WELL.
- 6 THE ANYWAY, THAT IS A LONG LIST OF MY COMMENTS. THANKS
- 8 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. YOU'RE WORK. GOING TO ZOOM,
- 9 COMMISSIONER ABE-KOGA?
- 11 MARGARET ABE-KOGA: THANK YOU, CHAIR. AND, AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR
- 12 THE PRESENTATION. I GUESS I HAVE A LOT OF QUESTIONS. AND PART
- 13 OF IT IS BECAUSE, PERHAPS I'M NOT ON THE FRIDAY COMMITTEES.
- 14 BUT, I WANTED TO ASK ABOUT THE -- ACTUALLY, THE POLL. A COUPLE
- 15 OF QUESTIONS IN REGARDS TO -- AND I GUESS IT WOULD BE UNDER
- 16 REFORM. BUT, I OFTEN THINK ABOUT AND HEAR FROM PEOPLE THAT
- 17 BEING ABLE TO JUST INCREASE OUR SERVICE LEVELS, REDUCE
- 18 HEADWAYS, WOULD BE A REFORM, OR THAT, YOU KNOW, FOLKS WOULD
- 19 LIKE TO SEE, AND WHY WAS THAT NOT ASKED?
- 21 REBECCA LONG: THANK YOU COMMISSIONER ABE-KOGA. IF I HEARD YOU
- 22 CORRECTLY, YOU ASKED WHY WE HAVEN'T ASKED ABOUT IMPROVED
- 23 HEADWAYS AS POTENTIAL REFORMS?
- 25 MARGARET ABE-KOGA: YES INCREASING FREQUENCY.

20



1

DECEMBER 8, 2023

2 REBECCA LONG: I WOULDN'T CHARACTERISTIC THAT AS REFORM RIGHT 3 THAT WOULD BE AN ENHANCEMENT IN TERMS OF SERVICE. WE DID TALK ABOUT IMPROVING QUALITY OF SERVICE BUT CERTAINLY AS WE DO 4 5 FURTHER POLLING CAN DRILL DOWN AND TEST HIGHER FREQUENCIES THAT'S SOMETHING WE CAN DO. BUT WE WERE LUMPING SOME OF THE 6 TRANSIT ENHANCEMENT CATEGORIES TOGETHER SO IT WASN'T STRICTLY 7 8 ENHANCED SERVICE. 9 MARGARET ABE-KOGA: OKAY. BECAUSE -- WE HAVE DONE SOME POLLS IN 10 THE PAST, AND WE ALWAYS SEEM TO RISE TO THE TOP THIS IDEA OF, 11 YOU KNOW, NOT JUST -- [INDISCERNIBLE] BUT HOW DO WE EXPAND 12 SERVICE MAKE IT MORE FREQUENT, CONVENIENT FOR PEOPLE TO TAKE 13 IT AND TO GET TO PLACES THEY WANT TO GO IN A TIMELY FASHION. I 14 15 SEE THAT AS A FUNDAMENTAL ISSUE THAT WE NEED TO PUT OUT THERE. 16 AND GOING BACK TO THE EARLIER CONVERSATION, YOU KNOW, WHAT IS THE VISION, I THINK THAT IS PART OF THE VISION OF WHAT WE'RE 17 LOOKING FOR IN A WELL RUN TRANSIT SYSTEM IN THE BAY AREA, AS 18 SOMETHING THAT FOLKS CAN TAKE, CONVENIENTLY TO GET TO WHERE 19 THEY WANT TO GO. SO, I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE SHOULD 20 BE, YOU KNOW, LOOKING AT, AND REALLY KIND OF FUNDAMENTAL TO 21 ALL THIS. AND THE OTHER QUESTION I HAD, I NOTICED IN ASKING 22 ABOUT THE DIFFERENT TAXES, YOU -- IT LOOKS LIKE IT WAS BASED 23 ON A BILLION DOLLARS, OR \$816 MILLION A YEAR, AND I WAS -- I 24 WANTED TO KNOW WHERE THAT NUMBER COMES FROM. 25



1	
2	REBECCA LONG: SO, WHEN WE DID THE POLLSTER OR EXCUSE ME
3	THE CONSULTANT ASSISTED US WITH FINANCIAL ANALYSIS WE ASKED
4	THEM TO TELL US WHAT THE TAX RATE TO GENERATE A BILLION
5	DOLLARS, THE HALF ACCEPT THE SALES TAX IS CLOSE TO A BILLION
6	DOLLARS THAT'S CERTAINLY ONE OF THE POSITIONS ON THE TABLE BUT
7	IT IS ARGUABLY THE BALLPARK OF WHAT WE NEED TO HAVE ANY KIND
8	OF MEASURE THAT IS GOING TO MOVE THE NEED CELL IF WE'RE IF
9	PART OF THE PURPOSE OF THE MEASURE IS TO REALLY ADDRESS THE
10	TRANSIT OPERATING GAP WHICH IS ANYWHERE FROM 600 MILLION TO
11	ABOUT 750 MILLION, AND THEN AS WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT IN THIS
12	DISCUSSION TODAY, WE DO ANTICIPATE THAT THERE IS GOING TO BE A
13	NEED TO HAVE NON-TRANSIT INVESTMENTS TOO, AS WELL AS SOME
14	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS. SO THEN YOU'RE ONLY LOOKING AT ABOUT 300
15	MILLION NET. SO THAT WAS REALLY WHY WE THOUGHT A BILLION
16	DOLLARS WAS A GOOD PROXY LOOKING AT RATES AND MECHANISMS SO
17	THAT WAS THE RATIONALE.
18	
19	MARGARET ABE-KOGA: GREAT. THANK YOU.
20	
21	CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. LET ME MOVE ON TO
22	DAVID RABBITT. COMMISSIONER RABBITT, AND THEN COMMISSIONER
23	DUTRA-VERNACI.



- 1 DAVID RABBIT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU FOR THE WORK. I'M
- 2 KIND OF NODDING ALONG WITH A LOT OF MY COLLEAGUE'S COMMENTS,
- 3 BUT TO COMMISSIONER SPERING, THE ONE THING THAT RESONATES WITH
- 4 ME, AND I DO SIT ON -- LIKE I THINK WE ALL DO, THREE SEPARATE
- 5 TRANSIT OPERATING BOARDS, SMART, SONOMA COUNTY TRANSIT, AND
- 6 SONOMA TRANSIT, BUT I DO THINK THAT WHAT RESONATES IS WE HAVE
- 7 TO SEIZE THE MOMENT. THAT THIS MOMENT IS UNIQUE IN TIME.
- 8 BECAUSE OF THE PANDEMIC, THE AFTERMATH OF THAT, I THINK THE
- 9 REMOTE WORK, PHENOMENON THAT IS APPARENTLY THE NEW NORMAL
- 10 GOING FORWARD, AND EVERYTHING THAT CAME WITH IT. AND I DO
- 11 THINK THAT THE PUBLIC, THROUGH THE POLLING, RECOGNIZES THAT
- 12 AND WANTS IMPROVEMENTS AS WELL. IT'S UP TO US TO UNDERSTAND
- 13 THE CONSEQUENCES OF THOSE IMPROVEMENTS, WHICH FOR ME IS ALWAYS
- 14 -- YOU KNOW, SO, WHAT IS THE PROBLEM WE'RE TRYING TO SOLVE.
- 15 LET'S NOT COME UP WITH A SOLUTION TO A PROBLEM THAT DOESN'T
- 16 EXIST. BUT WHAT IS THE ARE PROBLEM WE'RE TRYING TO SOLVE AND
- 17 HOW DO WE SOLVE IT AND WHAT ARE THOSE CONSEQUENCES. SOMETIMES
- 18 WHAT YOU READ IN THE POLLING IS IF YOU REALLY WENT DOWN THAT
- 19 PATH, WOULD IT BE BETTER OR WORSE FOR THAT INDIVIDUAL REQUIRED
- 20 AND TO THE CHAIR'S POINT IT ALSO HAS TO COME BACK TO THAT
- 21 INDIVIDUAL, ALL THE OPERATORS INCLUDING AGENCIES I SIT ON WANT
- 22 TO DO ALL THE THINGS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THEY WANT TO PROVIDE
- 23 THE BEST POSSIBLE SERVICE. THEY'RE HAMPERED BY MANY THINGS.
- 24 USUALLY MONEY. LOTS OF THINGS. HO BREAKING FORWARD THROUGH
- 25 THAT, AND EVERY TIME WE HAVE THIS CONVERSATION, AND I THINK I



- 1 HAVE TOLD THE STORY BEFORE AND TO ME IT RESONATES BECAUSE
- 2 THERE ARE THINGS THAT ARE SIMILAR, WHEN I FIRST GOT ELECTED WE
- 3 HAD 48 SEPARATE FIRE AGENCIES IN SONOMA COUNTY. TODAY WE HAVE
- 4 15. HOW DO WE -- AND THERE WAS A TIME WHEN NO ONE WANTED TO
- 5 CONSOLIDATE, NO ONE WANTED TO CHANGE THE WAY -- THEY LOVED
- 6 THEIR OWN BOARDS, THEIR OWN FIRE CHIEF, THEIR OWN COMMUNITY
- 7 PIECE AND JUST LIKE THE TRANSIT OPERATORS TODAY, YOU WOULD
- 8 NEVER DESIGN A SYSTEM LIKE THAT TODAY NOR WOULD YOU WERE
- 9 DESIGN A SYSTEM WITH 27 SEPARATE TRANSIT AGENCIES LIKE WE HAVE
- 10 TODAY, I WOULD IMAGINE. IT WOULD LOOK LIKE SOMETHING
- 11 DIFFERENT. WE AT THAT TIME SAID WE'RE GOING HAVE ONE ENTITY WE
- 12 PUT THAT SHOT OVER THE BOW AND OF COURSE THREE WILDFIRES DOES
- 13 HELP PROVIDE SOME MOTIVATION. BUT YOU KNOW, THE TRUTH OF THE
- 14 MATTER IS WE KNEW IT WASN'T GOING TO BE ONE BUT IT WAS GOING
- 15 TO BE SOMETHING A LOT LESS THAN 48, BUT WE'RE AT 15. IS THAT
- 16 RIGHT? I THINK 12 IS THE RIGHT NUMBER AND WE'RE PROBABLY GOING
- 17 TO GET THERE OVER TIME. WHAT HAPPENS IS THE THERE STARTED
- 18 BEING TIED TO THE ABILITY TO PROVIDE THE SERVICE AND IF THERE
- 19 WAS A NEED FOR ADDITIONAL DOLLARS, ARE IT WAS GOING TO COME AT
- 20 A LONGER TERM FINANCIAL STRATEGY OF, WE'RE NOT GOING TO GIVE
- 21 YOU THE DOLLARS TO BAIL YOU OUT YEAR OVER YEAR, YOU HAVE TO
- 22 COME UP WITH A PLAN AND A LOT OF TIMES THAT PLAN ENDED UP
- 23 BEING THE CONSOLIDATION SO IT BECAME MUCH MORE NATURALLY. I
- 24 ALSO KNOW THE REASON WE HAVE 27 TRANSIT AGENCIES IN THE BAY
- 25 AREA IS THE HISTORICAL WAY THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FUNDED,



- 1 INCLUDING EVERYONE PASSING A DIFFERENT SALES TAX FOR A
- 2 DIFFERENT AGENCY. THAT CREATES, I BELIEVE, MANY, MANY HURDLES,
- 3 CHALLENGES, OBSTACLES DEPENDING ON YOUR OPINION OF MOVING
- 4 FORWARD IN A CONSOLIDATION WAY. AND WE HAVE THOSE FUNDING
- 5 SOURCES. BECAUSE THE STATE HASN'T HISTORICALLY NOT BEEN THE
- 6 ONE TO PRIMARILY FUND, UNLIKE BACK EAST. SO I DO LOOK FORWARD
- 7 TO, I THINK EVERYTHING SHOULD BE ON THE TABLE BECAUSE WE
- 8 SHOULD ALWAYS BE STRIVING FOR CONSTANT IMPROVEMENT. AND I
- 9 THINK THAT THE OPERATORS ARE TRYING TO HAVE CONSTANT
- 10 IMPROVEMENT, BUT IT JUST NEEDS TO BE A COORDINATE THE EFFORT.
- 11 WE NEED TO ALL GET ON THE SAME PAGE. NOT LOOKING AT IT AS
- 12 LOSING OUT BECAUSE MY ENTITY IS NOT -- I'M FEARFUL THAT I
- 13 MIGHT NOT HAVE AN ENTITY IN THE FUTURE BUT REALLY HOW CAN WE
- 14 PROVIDE THE SERVICE THAT'S REALLY BEST FOR THOSE CUSTOMERS
- 15 GOING FORWARD. BUT I DO WANT TO MAKE SURE, YOU KNOW, MY
- 16 HOMETOWN OF PETALUMA HAS PETALUMA TRANSIT. SHOULD THAT BE ONE?
- 17 SHOULD THAT BE SONOMA TRANSIT? I WOULD LOVE TO KNOW. I
- 18 COULDN'T ANSWER THAT OUESTION TODAY BECAUSE OF THE FACTS AND
- 19 FIGURES OF WHAT THAT CHANGE WOULD BE. BUT I'M WILLING TO
- 20 EXPLORE THAT EVEN IN, YOU KNOW, KNOWING I'LL GET A FEW LETTERS
- 21 FROM MY COLLEAGUES. BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE TO DO
- 22 AS LEADERS, AND ESPECIALLY IN THIS BODY, MAKE SURE THAT WE CAN
- 23 CONTINUE TO EXPLORE ALL THOSE THINGS BECAUSE WE WANT CONSTANT
- 24 IMPROVEMENT ON THE EFFICIENCY SIDE, THE DOLLAR SIDE, WHICH ARE
- 25 GOING TO BECOME -- HAVE -- OR JUST DIFFICULT TO COME BY. SO, I



APPRECIATE THAT AND LOOK FORWARD TO THE DISCUSSIONS GOING 1 2 FORWARD. 3 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GOING TO COMMISSIONER DUTRA-VERNACI, 4 5 MOULTON-PETERS, THEN PAPAN. 6 7 CAROL DUTRA-VERNACI: I AGREE WITH THE COMMISSIONER SPERING AND 8 RABBITT'S COMMENTS. I AGREE EVERYTHING SHOULD BE ON THE TABLE BECAUSE THE ULTIMATE GOAL IS RIDER EXPERIENCE. WE NEED TO 9 EXPLORE ALL OPTIONS. HOWEVER, THAT BEING SAID, I APPRECIATE 10 WHAT I JUST HEARD FROM COMMISSIONER RABBITT IN HAS EXAMPLE 11 WITH FIRE SERVICES THAT ONE MIGHT NOT BE THE OPTIMAL OUTCOME, 12 DEPENDING ON WHAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES ARE. AND THE REASON I SAY 13 THAT IS BECAUSE, FOR EXAMPLE, IN UNION CITY, WE HAVE UNION 14 15 CITY TRANSIT, AND I HAVE BEEN TOLD THAT IF WE WERE TO CONSOLIDATE, MERGE, WHAT HAVE YOU, AC TRANSIT, THAT THE 16 FLEXIBILITY WOULD BE LOST. AT A HIGHER COST, FOR THAT MATTER. 17 SO I DON'T KNOW IF THAT IS TRUE OR NOT. BUT, AGAIN, THAT'S WHY 18 EVERYTHING HAS TO BE ON THE TABLE AND HAVE TO HAVE A 19 CONVERSATION BECAUSE IF THE ULTIMATE GOAL IS THE RIDER 20 21 EXPERIENCE WE DON'T WANT TO TAKE AWAY THE HEADWAYS THAT IS CURRENTLY BEING ENJOYED. IN TERMS OF THE TAXING MECHANISM, AS 22 THAT WAS BEING TALKED ABOUT, I DO AGREE THAT WE NEED TO KEEP 23 EQUITY IN MIND, THAT WE DO NOT WANT TO DISPROPORTIONATELY 24

IMPACT THOSE THAT ARE LOWER INCOME. SO, I THINK THAT'S THE



OVERARCHING CONCERN THAT I HAVE WHEN WE LOOK AT THIS 1 2 COORDINATION AND CONSOLIDATION, MAKE SURE WE END UP WITH A 3 BETTER PRODUCT FOR A PRICE THAT MAKES SENSE. AND AGAIN ALL FOR THE RIDER EXPERIENCE. THANK YOU. 4 5 STEPHANIE MOULTON-PETERS: SO I WANT TO ADD, I AGREE WE NEED TO 6 MOVE FORWARD. I WANT TO UNDERSTAND MAYBE WITH STAFF'S HELP, IF 7 8 WE HAVE AN APPROACH, YOU CAN'T ACCOMPLISH TRANSFORMATION BY DOING EVERYTHING ALL AT ONCE. SO, DO WE HAVE A PHASED 9 10 APPROACH? ANDY, YOU ALLUDED TO THAT IN YOUR COMMENT STARTING WITH SOME OF THE SMALLER OPERATORS IN THE NORTH BAY I THINK 11 THAT'S GOOD TO GET MOMENTUM GOING. I NEED A ROADMAP. IT MAY BE 12 IN SOME OF THE PLANS AND I HAVEN'T READ THEM. THEN LAST 13 COMMENT I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN YOUR THOUGHTS, WE HAVE A LOT 14 OF SMALL AGENCIES ALL OF OUR COUNTIES I THINK ALL OF THE SMALL 15 16 CITIES WE HAVE TO LOOK AT CONSOLIDATION, AND I KNOW WE HAVE USED FUNCTIONAL CONSOLIDATION AS OPPOSED TO POLITICAL 17 CONSOLIDATION WHERE YOU ALL AGREE TO USE THE SAME OPERATING 18 PRINCIPLES AND THE AGENCIES DON'T NECESSARILY GO AWAY THAT'S 19 ANOTHER WAY TO MOVE INTO THIS WITHOUT TRYING TO TACKLE IT ALL 20 AT ONCE. ANDY OR REBECCA, IF YOU COULD ENLARGE A LITTLE BIT? 21 22 ANDREW FREMIER: LET ME GIVE IT A SHOT AND REBECCA CAN HELP OUT 23 AS WELL. ONE IS THE LONELIEST NUMBER, RIGHT? I THINK WE HAVE 24

TO MAKE SURE WE'RE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO RESPOND TO THE



- 1 CUSTOMER'S NEEDS. SOMETHING THAT COMMISSIONER SPERING SAID
- 2 EARLIER, WHILE I THINK THE COUNTIES DO HAVE A LOT OF
- 3 UNIQUENESS, THEY HAVE A LOT OF SIMILARITIES BUT THE REGION
- 4 WHEN YOU BREAK IT DOWN IS NOT REALLY COUNTY DRUNK SO WE HAVE
- 5 SIMILARITIES IN NORTH BAY AND SONOMA AND MARIN THEN WE HAVE
- 6 DIFFERENT RESOURCES, TRAIN LINE, EXPRESS BUSES GOLDEN GATE
- 7 RUNS AND THOUSAND WE HAVE GOT A LOT OF COUNTY SUPPORT IT'S
- 8 DIFFERENT IN CONTRA COSTA WHERE IT'S MORE EAST WEST ALIGNMENT
- 9 AND AC TRANSIT A LOT OF THEIR EFFORTS ARE ACTUALLY IN ALAMEDA
- 10 SO YOU DON'T NEED AND HOPEFULLY SUPPORT CONNECTING TO THE BART
- 11 SYSTEM WE HAVE FIVE COUNTIES THAT HAVE RAIL DOWN IN THE
- 12 GREATER BAY AREA THEY NEED SOME CONVERSATIONS AROUND HOW WE DO
- 13 BUSINESS IN TERMS OF SCHEDULE COORDINATION IN TERMS OF FARES
- 14 AND RESOURCES. THOSE FIVE COUNTIES HAVE DIFFERENT CAPITAL
- 15 PROJECT NEEDS WE'RE COMPETING AGAINST OURSELVES IN SOME PLACES
- 16 WHEN IT COMES TO THE BIG INVESTMENTS. WE JUST WRAPPED UP A
- 17 VERY SUCCESSFUL PROGRAM ON ELECTRIFICATION OF CALTRAIN. VERY
- 18 IMPORTANT. BUT THAT WAS A SIGNIFICANTLY LARGE CAPITAL
- 19 IMPROVEMENT PROJECT. WE'RE NOW FACING BART TO SILICON VALLEY
- 20 IN THE PORTAL IN DOWNTOWN SAN FRANCISCO. IN A LOT OF WAYS
- 21 COMPETING AGAINST EACH OTHER. THEY HAVE GOT DIFFERENT POLICY
- 22 BOARDS. THEY TALK ABOUT STRATEGIZING AND COMPETING IN THAT
- 23 FORMAT. I DO THINK WE HAVE SOME THINGS IN PLACE THAT WE SHOULD
- 24 GET A LOT MORE ENGAGED IN. WE GAVE SOME MONEY TO THE NORTH BAY
- 25 TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE SONOMA QUESTION AND HOW IT INTEGRATES,



- 1 AND WE, SORT OF, LET IT HAPPEN. I THINK WE NEED TO GET MUCH
- 2 MORE ENGAGED IN THAT AND TRY TO FORCE SOME CONCLUSIONS THAT
- 3 HELP ANSWER THE QUESTIONS THAT YOU'RE ASKING US. AND I THINK
- 4 THAT'S, SORT OF, A COMBINATION OF LEARNING FROM THE WORK WE
- 5 HAVE DONE THAT'S BEEN IDENTIFIED IN PREVIOUS STUDIES. I THINK
- 6 THAT IS THE ROADMAP. AND I THINK IT IS CONSISTENT WITH WHAT
- 7 WE'RE TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH IN THE TRANSFORMATION ACTION PLAN.
- 8 BUT I THINK REALLY PUTTING MORE ENERGY INTO BRINGING BACK
- 9 THINGS TO YOU THAT CAN HELP YOU DECIDE THAT. BECAUSE
- 10 ULTIMATELY IT MAY NOT BE A COST DECISION THAT REALLY IS WHAT
- 11 YOU'RE LOOKING FOR. EFFICIENCIES ARE NICE, BUT MAYBE GETTING
- 12 BACK TO COMMISSIONER ABE-KOGA'S QUESTION, IF YOU WANT
- 13 ADDITIONAL SERVICE, THAT'S GOING TO COST ADDITIONAL MONEY. AND
- 14 MAYBE THAT'S WHAT YOU NEED TO START DRIVING SOME INCENTIVES
- 15 THAT BRING MORE PEOPLE INTO TRANSIT. BUT THAT'S NOT A GOOD
- 16 BOTTOM LINE DECISION RIGHT OFF THE BAT BUT THAT'S GOING TO BE
- 17 ONE OF THE OTHER CHALLENGES WE FACE AND IT TIES INTO REBECCA'S
- 18 ALSO THE ANSWER ON HOW MUCH MONEY WE NEED. WE TALK ABOUT 6 TO
- 19 \$700 MILLION TO KEEP THINGS GOING, THAT'S STATUS OUO, BART 20
- 20 MINUTE HEADWAYS CREATES CHALLENGES WITH OTHER SCHEDULE
- 21 COORDINATION PIECES BUT IT'S A GOOD DECISION TO SAVE SOME
- 22 MONEY ON BART BUT IF YOU WANT TO START IMPROVING SOME OF THOSE
- 23 AND MAYBE FOR SOME EXTRA CONNECTING SERVICES THAT'S IN
- 24 ADDITION TO THE 60 OR \$70 MILLION NUMBER AND THAT'S NOT AN
- 25 EFFICIENCY NUMBER BUT IT'S GOOD FOR THE CUSTOMER. SOMETHING TO



- 1 LAY OUT, ALL OF THE PARTS AND PIECES OUT THERE THAT WHAT WE
- 2 NEED TO DO FOR YOU IS TO TRY TO PUT THEM TOGETHER IN SOME
- 3 ORDER BUT TRY TO GET ENGAGED IN WHAT STUDIES ARE BEING DONE IN
- 4 THE NORTH BAY, IN SOLANO COUNTY, I THINK WE NEED TO START. AND
- 5 I KNOW THEY'RE WILLING TO HAVE THAT CONVERSATION IN CONTRA
- 6 COSTA, BUT WHAT CAN WE DO IN ORDER TO TAKE A LOOK AT IT IN
- 7 DIFFERENT WAYS. COMMISSIONER NOACK YOUR POINT ABOUT THE ONE
- 8 SEAT RIDE IS GREAT POINT FOR THE CUSTOMER IS IT CHANGES IN LAW
- 9 OR CREATING A SINGLE ENTITY THAT MIGHT MAKE THAT WORK BETTER?
- 10 I HONESTLY DON'T KNOW BUT I KNOW THEY'RE WILLING TO HAVE THAT
- 11 CONVERSATION. I THINK THAT WILL HELP US MARRY BETWEEN THE
- 12 CONSENSUS MODEL BETWEEN WHAT WE HAVE TRADITIONALLY WORKED ON
- 13 IN THE REGION ALBEIT SLOW, BUT IT'S MORE AGGRESSIVE
- 14 RECOGNIZING, AGAIN I WANT TO REPEAT THIS POINT IT MAY NOT BE
- 15 THE MOST ECONOMICAL DECISION WE SHOULDN'T RELY JUST ON ECONOMY
- 16 OF THE SOLUTIONS TO BE THE ANSWER. BECAUSE THAT DOES NOT
- 17 DIRECTLY CORRELATE TO CUSTOMER BENEFITS AT ALL TIMES. YOU
- 18 KNOW? AND I THINK THAT IS, SORT OF, A RISK REWARD THAT THE
- 19 COMMISSION MIGHT NEED TO STEP INTO MORE DIRECTLY. THE
- 20 CHALLENGES WE HAD AROUND THE BAY PASS PILOT ARE REALLY AROUND
- 21 -- THERE IS REVENUE THAT'S POTENTIALLY LOST. IT'S A GOOD
- 22 EXPERIMENT BUT WHAT DO WE DO ABOUT THAT POTENTIAL REVENUE
- 23 LOSS. WE NEED TO WATCH THAT. WE'RE GOING TO MANAGE IT IN THE
- 24 PILOT BUT I THINK IT'S ONE OF THE REAL REASONS WHY IT'S HARD
- 25 TO MAKE A DECISION IN THAT SPACE BECAUSE YOU DO HAVE A BOTTOM



LINE TO KEEP THE SERVICES GOING AND THAT'S WHAT'S SO IMPORTANT 1 2 TO IMPROVE ON AND IT'S NOT CHEAP. 3 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER TIEDEMANN? 4 5 AARON TIEDEMANN: THANK YOU. I WOULD AGREE WITH A LOT OF MY 6 COLLEAGUES ON HAVING THESE LARGE CONVERSATIONS ABOUT WHAT WE 7 8 NEED TO REFORM. I THINK ONE OF THE THINGS I WANTED TO -- THAT DRAWS MY ATTENTION IN THIS REPORT IS THAT NUMBER, YOU KNOW, 9 10 THERE ARE 228 TRANSIT DECISION MAKERS ACROSS 27 BODIES, AND TO LINK THAT TO OUR PREVIOUS ITEM, PEOPLE WANT EFFICIENCY AND 11 ACCOUNTABILITY. AND I DON'T THINK THAT THAT MANY DECISION 12 MAKERS AND THAT THAT MANY BODIES THAT THEY SIT ON MAKES IT 13 EASY FOR EVEN PEOPLE WHO SIT ON THOSE BODIES, LIKE 14 15 COMMISSIONER RABBITT SAID EARLIER -- [LAUGHTER] -- TO MAKE 16 THAT ACCOUNTABLE AND TO MAKE THEIR FEELINGS HEARD ON THE BIG POLICY DECISIONS FOR THAT UNLESS YOU HAD A MAP OF ALL OF THESE 17 BODIES, I DON'T THINK THE AVERAGE PERSON WOULD KNOW WHAT TO DO 18 WITH THEM OR HOW TO GET WHAT THEY WANT IMPLEMENTED. SO, I 19 WOULD DO WHAT MANY OTHERS HAVE SAID AROUND, YOU KNOW, WE NEED 20 21 TO THINK ABOUT CONSOLIDATION OF SOME OF THESE, TO NOT JUST FOR EFFICIENCIES, BUT MAKING IT SO PEOPLE KNOW WHERE THEY HAVE TO 22 GO TO GET THEIR ISSUES ADDRESSED AND TO GET POLICY 23 IMPLEMENTED. AND, AS WELL AS, CONSIDER HOW WE CAN MAKE MTC AS 24

THE, SORT OF, OVERALL GOVERNING STRUCTURE, THE CLEARING HOUSE



FOR SETTING THAT LARGER SCALE POLICY. AND I THINK WE'LL HAVE 1 TO DO, TO SOME EXTENT, BOTH OF THOSE THINGS. WE'RE NOT GOING 2 3 TO BE ABLE TO CONSOLIDATE UNDER JUST ONE THING, BUT WE CAN DO THAT AS WELL TO MAKE MTC HAVE A MORE POWERFUL HAND IN SETTING 4 5 THAT OVERALL POLICY. AND I WANT TO THANK ANDY FOR SAYING EARLIER, OF ONE ACTIONABLE THING THAT WE SHOULD CONSIDER IN 6 DOING THAT IS STAFF CAN BRING BACK CHANGES TO LEGISLATION OF 7 8 MAKING IT ACTIONABLE OF HOW CAN WE TIGHT END UP THE LANGUAGE IN OUR ENABLING LEGISLATION THAT MAKES IT SO THAT WE CAN MORE 9 SET POLICY AND BE THAT PLACE THAT PEOPLE CAN COME TO AND KNOW 10 THAT IF IT'S TALKED ABOUT HERE AND IF WE ARE DIRECTING IT, 11 EVEN IF THERE IS MORE THAN ONE BODY DOWN BELOW, AND MORE THAN 12 ONE TRANSIT AGENCY, IT WILL GET IMPLEMENTED AND IT'S BEING 13 HEARD. SO, I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE SHOULD AIM FOR. 14 15 16 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: COMMISSIONER PAPAN? 17 GINA PAPAN: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. I WAS 1 OF 8 MEMBERS OF MTC 18 WHO WORKED THROUGH THE BLUE RIBBON TASK FORCE. AND I'M VERY, 19 VERY PROUD OF THAT. WE IDENTIFIED SO MANY DIFFERENT ACTIONS 20 AND PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE ON CERTAIN THINGS, BUT THAT'S BEEN 21

24 SAYING, YOU REPORT TO US WHY YOU WEREN'T ABLE TO COORDINATE

NOT HAPPENING. AND WE NEED TO KNOW WHY. SO, WHY AREN'T WE

25 SCHEDULES WITH THAT OTHER OPERATOR AND THEN PROBABLY BRING THE

A WHILE NOW. [LAUGHTER] SCHEDULES, FARES, AND SOME REASON IT'S

22



- 1 PARENTS INTO THE ROOM WITH A COUPLE OF COMMISSIONERS.
- 2 [LAUGHTER] THIS IS NOT IMPOSSIBLE. ZURICH SWITZERLAND HAS 33
- 3 OPERATORS IN ONE CITY YOU WOULDN'T KNOW IT IT'S COMPLETELY
- 4 SEAMLESS IT'S WHAT'S HAPPENING. WE WORKED ON THE TASK FORCE,
- 5 NEVER ONCE DID WE MENTION A MERGER BETWEEN CALTRAIN OR BART OR
- 6 CONSOLIDATION. WE DID DISCUSS MERGING SMALLER OPERATORS AND
- 7 BUS SYSTEMS AND MAYBE WE NEED TO FOCUS JUST ON THAT ASPECT OF
- 8 IT WITH THEM AT THE TABLE. CALTRAIN IT'S SAFE, CLEAN, WE HAVE
- 9 ROLLED OUT ELECTRIFICATION IT'S GOING TO BE FASTER THAN EVER
- 10 ARE FOR RIDERS. ANY TYPE OF CONSOLIDATION REALLY WOULDN'T
- 11 BENEFIT THE COMMUNITY, COST WISE, COMPLETELY OUT OF THE
- 12 QUESTION. WHAT IS A FOCUS THAT NEEDS TO BE DEALT WITH ON GRADE
- 13 SEPARATIONS. GREENHOUSE GAS REDUCTIONS COME ALONG WITH THAT.
- 14 PUBLIC SAFETY IN THESE CROSSINGS, JOBS EFFICIENCY. WE DON'T
- 15 NEED TO BE DISTRACTED BY CERTAIN ACTIONS THAT WERE MENTIONED
- 16 HERE. WE DO HAVE THINGS TO FOCUS ON AND YOU WANT EVERYTHING ON
- 17 THE TABLE, MAYBE THIS BODY SHOULD LOOK AT STOP BUNDLING FUNDS.
- 18 LET'S PULL OUT WHAT EVERY OPERATOR IS GETTING. LET'S SEE HOW
- 19 EFFICIENT THEY ARE. LET'S SEE IF THEY ACTUALLY ARE BEING
- 20 EFFECTIVE IN WHAT THEY'RE DOING? WE ALREADY KNOW SOME ARE AND
- 21 SOME AREN'T. BUT STOP PRESENTING TO US. YOU HAVE GOT TO GET
- 22 THIS BUNDLE OF MONEY OUT THE DOOR. 45% IS GOING TO AN OPERATOR
- 23 THAT WE KNOW HAS BEEN LOSING FUNDS, HEMORRHAGING. BUT THAT
- 24 REALLY IMPACTS THE REST OF THE OPERATORS HERE. SO, IF YOU WANT
- 25 EVERYTHING ON THE TABLE, LET'S BE DRAMATIC HERE. LET'S TAKE A



- 1 HARD LOOK AT WHAT'S HAPPENING HERE. AND WHEN WE TALK ABOUT
- 2 ENABLING LEGISLATION, IT NEEDS TO HAPPEN HERE, THIS BODY,
- 3 THESE POLICY MAKERS. NOT INDIVIDUAL OPERATORS, LEGISLATORS. WE
- 4 NEED TO COLLABORATE. WE NEED TO MAKE SURE OUR STAFF
- 5 UNDERSTANDS WHAT POLICIES WE WANT IN THAT ENABLING LEGISLATION
- 6 HERE. WE TALK ABOUT RESOLUTION 3866, WHICH WAS A HUGE
- 7 DISCOVERY FOR ME AS A NEW COMMISSIONER. WHICH GIVES US A LOT
- 8 OF POWER. WE JUST DON'T USE IT. WE DON'T HOLD THEM
- 9 ACCOUNTABLE. WE DON'T SAY YOU DIDN'T COORDINATE YOUR
- 10 SCHEDULES, YOU DIDN'T DO THIS, THEREFORE YOU'RE NOT GETTING
- 11 THE FUNDING. THAT'S WHAT THAT RESOLUTION SAYS. WE DON'T HOLD
- 12 THEM ACCOUNTABLE. SO WE KNOW WHAT THE CONSUMERS WANT. WE'LL
- 13 HAVE KNOWN WE HAVE KNOWN FOR A LONG TIME. WE NEED TO DO THIS
- 14 RIGHT. SO LET'S PUT SOME TEETH TO THE MEASURE HERE AND LET'S -
- 15 THIS BODY HAS HAD A GREAT CONVERSATION HERE, BUT WHEN IT
- 16 GOES TO THE FULL COMMISSION, NONE OF THE COMMENTS, NONE OF THE
- 17 OUESTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN PULLED OUT TODAY GENERALLY GET TO THE
- 18 FULL BODY. WE NEED TO START MODIFYING THE WAY WE DO BUSINESS
- 19 HERE SO THAT WE'RE NOT REPEATING OURSELVES. [LAUGHTER] AND WE
- 20 GET REAL RESULTS. SO, LET'S REALLY -- YOU WANT EVERYTHING ON
- 21 THE TABLE, LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT HOW WE OPERATE, HOW WE DO
- 22 BUSINESS. WE CAN BE VERY, VERY EFFECTIVE. AND THAT'S WHAT WE
- 23 ALL WANT TO DO HERE. SO, LET'S BE THE POLICY MAKERS THAT WE
- 24 ARE. AND LET'S -- WE COLLABORATE. WE HAVE DONE THAT. THERE HAS
- 25 BEEN LEGISLATION HERE. THEY HAVE BEEN SEAMLESS LEGISLATION.



- 1 THE LAST SEAMLESS LEGISLATION WAS KILLED BY ONE OPERATOR,
- 2 BEHIND THE SCENES. SO, LET'S REALLY HOLD PEOPLE ACCOUNTABLE.
- 3 AND GET THE JOB DONE. I KNOW WE CAN DO IT. WE JUST NEED TO FIX
- 4 THOSE LITTLE NUANCES. THIS IS EVEN BEFORE A MEASURE GOES
- 5 FORWARD HERE. LET'S SHOW THE PUBLIC, WE CAN GET YOU REAL
- 6 RESULTS, REAL FAST. [LAUGHTER] BUT YOU NEED TO REALLY COMMIT.
- 7 AND ONE THING ABOUT THE BLUE RIBBON TASK FORCE, WE GOT THE
- 8 BUY-IN FROM THE MANAGERS. WE NEVER GOT SIGN OFF FROM ALL THOSE
- 9 BOARDS THAT RUN THE MANAGERS. SOME DID, SOME DIDN'T. WE DIDN'T
- 10 REQUIRE THAT. AND MAYBE WE SHOULD SAY, LOOK, WE NEED THESE
- 11 THINGS -- WE NEED YOU TO COMMIT TO THESE THINGS THEN WE CAN
- 12 GET TO THE POINT AND BY THAT WE'RE SHOWING THE PUBLIC WE'RE
- 13 INSISTING ON EFFICIENCIES, BELIEVABILITY, AND FISCAL
- 14 RESPONSIBILITY. I HOPE WE CAN DO ALL THAT MOVING FORWARD BUT
- 15 IT'S REALLY GOING TO TAKE UNITY

16

- 17 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU. WE'RE GOING GO TO CHAIR
- 18 PEDROZA THEN COMMISSIONER GIACOPINI, I HAVEN'T FORGOTTEN ABOUT
- 19 YOU. SO WE'RE GOING TO GO TO CHAIR PEDROZA.

- 21 ALFREDO PEDROZA: THANK YOU CHAIR CANEPA I WAS JUST IN
- 22 SWITZERLAND AND IT'S HARD TO COMPARE. WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE
- 23 COMPARISON OF APPLES TO APPLES BUT IT'S GOOD TO LEARN FROM
- 24 OTHER REGIONS AND HOW THEY OPERATE. THERE IS A DESIRE TO COME
- 25 BACK QUICKLY ON IMPROVEMENT AND WHAT AUTHORITY WE HAVE NOT TO



1	REVISIT A TRIP DOWN MEMORY LANE BUT AS COMMISSIONER SPERING
2	SAID, DO WE WANT TO HAVE THAT AUTHORITY, IF NOT HOW DO WE GET
3	IT. THAT'S A QUESTION WE NEED TO ASK AND ANSWER AT THE
4	COMMISSION SOON. SECOND IS THE BROADER DISCUSSION OF
5	REENVISIONING TRANSIT. COMMISSIONER PAPAN EVERYTHING HAS TO BE
6	ON THE TABLE AND IF THOSE OPERATORS ARE DOING GOOD WORK IT'S
7	GOING TO SHOW. AND IT'S GOING TO ADDRESS THE VISION THAT WE
8	WANT. SO I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO EMBRACE THE PROTECTIONIST
9	MENTALITY IT'S JUST LET'S BRING EVERYONE TOGETHER FIND ERRORS
10	AND WEAKNESS AND STRENGTHS, AND IMPROVE AND BUILD OFF THAT
11	THIS IS NOT TEAR DOWN AND REBUILD THIS IS ENHANCEMENT. THAT'S
12	HOW WE SEGMENT THIS CONGRESSIONAL ARE THAT LEADS TO REGIONAL
13	MEASURE. BUT I WANT TO BE CLEAR WITH STAFF WHAT WE'RE ASKING
14	OF YOU TO COME BACK TO THE COMMISSION IN THE SHORT-TERM WHAT
15	WE NEED THAT'S GOING TO SHAPE THE REGIONAL MEASURE. I WANT TO
16	MAKE SURE WE SUMMARIZE SO STAFF KNOWS WHAT TO COME BACK WITH.
17	
18	CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: EXACTLY. DOREEN?
19	
20	DORENE M. GIACOPINI: THANK YOU. I WANT TO EXPRESS MY AGREEMENT
21	WITH COMMISSIONER SPERING THAT WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THIS

22 FOR A LONG TIME, THAT WE HAVE HAD -- [INDISCERNIBLE] [AUDIO

23 DISTORTION] FOR A LONG TIME, AND I THINK THAT THE FEDERAL

24 GOVERNMENT, IN GENERAL, WOULD LOOK KINDLY UPON MORE EFFORT TO

25 COORDINATE. THANK YOU.



1 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. I SEE NO OTHER HAND RAISED. WHY 2 3 DON'T WE TAKE PUBLIC COMMENT. OUR FIRST SPEAKER IS IAN GRIFFITHS, SEAMLESS BAY AREA. IAN. 4 5 SPEAKER: GOOD AFTERNOON. WE STRONGLY SUPPORT MOVING FORWARD 6 WITH THIS ENABLING LEGISLATION THIS YEAR. AND REALLY APPLAUD 7 8 THE STAFF REPORT AND THE REALLY THE HIGHLIGHTING OF THE NEED TO STRENGTHEN NETWORK MANAGEMENT. WE STRONGLY SUPPORT THAT. 9 MTC IS THE RIGHT BODY TO DID THAT BUT A QUICK QUESTION LOOKING 10 AT ENABLING LEGISLATION IS HOW WE SET UP MTC TO SUCCEED AS AN 11 EFFECTIVE NETWORK MANAGER, AND THAT MEANS ADDRESSING MANDATE 12 AND CAPACITY IT TAKE ON THESE FUNCTIONS WITHIN THE 13 ORGANIZATION TO LEAD IT TO DEVELOP THE RIGHT STANDARDS TO HAVE 14 CONFIDENCE THAT IF YOU'RE GOING TO CONDITION MONEY TO 15 16 ALLOCATIONS THAT YOU HAVE GOT THE RIGHT EXPERTISE, IN HOUSE, TO DO THAT. SO, THAT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED. BUT, ALSO, THE 17 18 DECISION-MAKING SO DECISIONS ARE VIEWED AS LEGITIMATE BY OPERATORS AND THE PUBLIC. SO, WE HAVE A LETTER THAT'S 19 INCORPORATING EXPERTS, INDEPENDENT EXPERTS, INTO THE NETWORK 20 21 DECISION-MAKING NETWORK MANAGEMENT. THANK YOU. 22 23 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU. WE HAVE GLEN OVERTON. MR. 24 OVERTON?



- 1 SPEAKER: OKAY. THE BAY AREA IS A LEADING TECHNOLOGY CENTER.
- 2 WHAT IS LAGGING IN TRANSPORTATION? WHY IS THAT? I'M TIRED OF
- 3 THE EGOTISTICAL INNER-AGENCY OF FIGHTING. I'M JUST A COMMITTEE
- 4 OF ONE HERE. JUST ONE. AND I HATE WHAT YOU'RE DOING. I GO ON
- 5 GOOGLE, AND IT INTEGRATES, FOR ME, ALL OVER THE UNITED STATES.
- 6 I DON'T EVEN NEED YOUR -- IF YOU JUST MAKE ONE APPLICATION FOR
- 7 ALL OF THE REGIONAL TRANSITS, YOU COULD CALL IT "BAY AREA
- 8 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION LINK." AND THEN, IF YOU ARE
- 9 PETALUMA, YOU COULD JUST SIMPLY SAY, "BAY AREA METROPOLITAN
- 10 TRANSPORTATION LINK PETALUMA." WHAT I'M SAYING IS INTEGRATE
- 11 NOW, OPTIMIZE LATER. WRITE THE SOFTWARE. PRESENT IT TO THEM. I
- 12 HATE ALL THESE SOFTWARE WITH THESE DIFFERENT PERSONALITIES.
- 13 IT'S MY TIME. IS MY TIME GONE?
- 15 CLERK OF THE BOARD: YES, SIR.
- 17 SPEAKER: BECAUSE I'M NOT DONE.
- 19 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GIVE THE GENTLEMAN 20 MORE SECONDS.
- 21 SPEAKER: THE BRAIN, THE HEART, THE STOMACH, THE LIVER, THEY
- 22 ALL PERFORM DIFFERENT FUNCTIONS. BUT GUESS WHAT? YOU'RE ONE
- 23 ENTITY, MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS TO PRESENT ONE ENTITY, YOU SEE? ONE
- 24 INTEGRATED ENTITY. THANK YOU.

25

14

16

18



CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU SO MUCH, SIR. WE HAVE EMILY 1 2 LOPER. MISS LOPER. 3 SPEAKER: HI. GOOD AFTERNOON, CHAIR CANEPA AND COMMISSIONERS. 4 5 EMILY LOPER WITH BAY AREA COUNCIL. SO, WE HAVE LED MAJOR TRANSPORTATION FUNDING MEASURES OVER THE YEARS, OF COURSE. AND 6 MOST RECENTLY FASTER AND RM3, AND TODAY OUR ECONOMIC RECOVERY 7 8 DEPENDS ON OUR ABILITY TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR PEOPLE TO MOVE AROUND THE REGION. SO, WE WERE PROUD TO HELP SECURE STATE 9 FUNDING TO PUSH OFF THE TRANSIT FISCAL CLIFF FOR A COUPLE OF 10 YEARS, BUT WE RECOGNIZE THAT ADDITIONAL REVENUE WILL BE 11 NECESSARY TO BUILD THIS WORLD CLASS TRANSIT SYSTEM THAT WE 12 ENVISION FOR THE BAY AREA. HOWEVER BEFORE ASKING VOTERS FOR 13 ADDITIONAL MONEY WE WANT TO SEE IMPROVEMENTS ON THE SYSTEMS TO 14 15 REBUILD VOTER TRUST AND PUBLIC CONFIDENCE IN THESE SERVICES. 16 SO WE AGREE WITH MUCH OF WHAT'S BEEN SAID ABOUT THE GOALS AND PRIORITIES FOR THE ENABLING LEGISLATION AND THE REGIONAL 17 MEASURE. SOME OF OUR TOP PRIORITIES ARE, ONE, IT IS ESSENTIAL 18 THAT WE MAKE TRANSIT SAFE AND CLEAN. BART HAS MADE A LOT OF 19 GREAT PROGRESS IN THIS AREA IN THE PAST COUPLE OF MONTHS BUT 20 21 WE NEED TO BUILD ON THAT BEFORE GOING TO THE VOTERS. TWO WE WANT TO WORK QUICKLY TO DELIVER THAT SEAMLESS CONNECTED 22 NETWORK ACROSS OUR 27 OPERATORS, AS WE HAVE BEEN DISCUSS -- AS 23

YOU ALL HAVE DISCUSSED AT LENGTH TODAY. TO THAT END WE SUPPORT

THE PROPOSAL OUTLINED HERE TO EXPAND THE POWER AND AUTHORITY

24



1 OF THE REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE AS PART OF THE 2 ENABLING LEGISLATION.

3

4 CLERK OF THE BOARD: TIME PLEASE.

5

- 6 SPEAKER: -- THREE: WE BELIEVE SERVICES FEED TO BE MAINTAINED
- 7 AND RESTORE TO MANAGE OUR DOWNTOWN RECOVERY WE SUPPORT KEEPING
- 8 THE PLAN FLEXIBILITY BUT SUPPORT THE INCLUSION OF HIGHWAY AND
- 9 ROAD IMPROVEMENTS TO BE ATTRACTIVE TO A WIDE RANGE OF BAY AREA
- 10 RESIDENTS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

11

12 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: CHARLIE LAVERY?

- 14 SPEAKER: HI. GOOD AFTERNOON, CHAIR CANEPA, COMMISSIONERS.
- 15 CHARLIE LAVERY, POLICY ADVISORY COUNCIL AND OPERATING
- 16 ENGINEERS LOCAL THREE. AS WORKERS, WE KNOW EQUITY VALUE OF
- 17 TRANSIT AND TRANSPORTATION CONNECTING COMMUNITIES TO
- 18 OPPORTUNITY. WE KNOW OUR CURRENT SYSTEM IS NOT DOING THAT. A
- 19 SYSTEM THAT CONNECTS REOUIRES CAPITAL INVESTMENT. WE
- 20 ACKNOWLEDGE THE EQUITY VALUE OF CONTINUITY TO SERVICES THAT
- 21 ARE CURRENTLY CONNECTING UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES TO
- 22 OPPORTUNITIES NEED TO BE SUSTAINED. OUR ASK TODAY IS THIS BODY
- 23 ACT JUDICIOUSLY AND TAKE THE TIME TO DELIBERATE AND FIND
- 24 BALANCE OF FUNDING FOR OPERATIONS AND NECESSARY CAPITAL
- 25 IMPROVEMENT AND INVESTMENT IN THE PROJECTS, THE BIG PROJECTS,



- 1 THAT WILL DELIVER A SYSTEM THAT MEETS THE LONG-TERM REGIONAL
- 2 NEEDS, INCLUDING CONNECTIVITY AT THE LOCAL STATE LEVEL,
- 3 RESILIENCY, ENERGY TRANSITION AND CLIMATE CHANGE MITIGATION. I
- 4 BELIEVE WE HEARD TODAY SUPPORT FOR SIGNIFICANT CAPITAL
- 5 IMPROVEMENTS IN A FUNDING MEASURE IF IT IS TO PASS. THANK YOU.

6

- 7 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU. MR. CLERK, DO WE HAVE FOLKS ON
- 8 ZOOM? AND HOW MANY PEOPLE DO WE HAVE ON ZOOM?

9

10 CLERK OF THE BOARD: ONE MOMENT, PLEASE.

11

- 12 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE ARE 11 MEMBERS OF ATTENDEES FOR
- 13 PUBLIC COMMENT.

14

15 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. WE'LL DO ONE MINUTE.

16

- 17 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU. OUR FIRST SPEAKER IS GREG
- 18 GREENWAY. GO AHEAD PLEASE. ONE MINUTE.

- 20 SPEAKER: THANK YOU. I'M SPEAKING FOR CHAMBER SAN MATEO COUNTY.
- 21 WE ALSO SUBMITTED A LETTER TO THE COMMITTEE. APPRECIATE THE
- 22 CONVERSATION TODAY. REGARDING POTENTIAL ITEMS TO BE INCLUDED
- 23 IN THE REGIONAL TRANSIT MEASURE THERE IS A LOT OF CONCERN
- 24 ABOUT THIS IN SAN MATEO COUNTY PARTICULARLY THE IDEA THAT
- 25 CALTRAIN MIGHT BE CONSOLIDATED WITH BART AND OTHER AGENCIES.



- 1 YOU HAVE HAD CONVERSATION ABOUT THAT TODAY. AND I CERTAINLY
- 2 APPRECIATE COMMISSIONER PAPAN'S COMMENTS A MOMENT AGO. WE
- 3 CERTAINLY SUPPORT THE IDEA OF HAVING AN INTEGRATED TRANSIT
- 4 SYSTEM OUR CONCERN IS HOW CALTRAIN ARE INCLUDED IN THAT
- 5 CONVERSATION. TWO MAIN POINTS. PLEASE BALANCE LOCAL CONTROL
- 6 WITH REGIONAL INTEGRATION AS YOU CONSIDER A SEAMLESS TRANSIT
- 7 SYSTEM. AND PLEASE ENGAGE THE PUBLIC AND LOCAL STAKEHOLDERS IN
- 8 A MEANINGFUL WAY. THIS IS A HUGE ISSUE. THE PUBLIC PROCESS
- 9 SHOULD BE COMMENSURATE WITH THAT. EDUCATE THE PUBLIC ABOUT
- 10 OPTIONS AVAILABLE AS COMMISSIONER PAPAN SAID. THE PUBLIC MAY
- 11 BE EASILY CONFUSED AND I WANT TO AMPLIFY AND SUPPORT RAY
- 12 MUELLER'S COMMENTS ON THE LAST ITEM. THANK YOU.
- 14 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS LAUREL
- 15 PADGETT. GO AHEAD.
- 17 SPEAKER: THIS IS LAUREL FROM PUBLIC ADVOCATES THANKS FOR THE
- 18 OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK. VOICES FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION HAS
- 19 ABUNDANT TRANSIT IN THE BAY AREA PARALLEL TO A REGIONAL
- 20 MEASURE WE ARE WORKING FOR THE MTC CONNECTED NETWORK PLAN AND
- 21 WITH TRANSIT AGENCIES VISIONARY PLANS TO ILLUSTRATE WHAT TYPE
- 22 OF SERVICE FUNDING COULD PROVIDE FOCUSING ON RESTRUCTURING TO
- 23 ADDRESS EFFICIENCY AND TRANSIT OPERATING COST BUT FOR BUS AND
- 24 STREET RAIL BIGGEST CAUSE OF OPERATING IN EFFICIENCY IS
- 25 TRAFFIC CONGESTION. MTC IS WORKING TO COORDINATE ON STREET

13



- 1 FEDERAL PRIORITY AND REGIONAL MEASURE AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION
- 2 FOR POLICY CHANGE TO SPEED UP THIS WORK AND SPEED UP OUR
- 3 TRANSIT SERVICE PROVIDERS WE SUPPORT A STRENGTHENED
- 4 ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE FOR TRANSIT COORDINATION BUT IT NEEDS
- 5 TO ENGAGE PRIORITIZE LEADERSHIP OF TRANSIT WORKERS AND RIDERS.
- 6 MTC'S CURRENT STRUCTURE DOES NOT ADEQUATELY REPRESENT THE
- 7 TRANSIT RIDERS. THANK YOU.

8

- 9 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER IS PETER STRAUSS.
- 10 GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

11

- 12 SPEAKER: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS. THIS IS PETER
- 13 STRAUSS, ON THE BOARD OF SAN FRANCISCO TRANSIT RIDERS AND PART
- 14 OF THE VOICES COALITION. I WANT TO RETURN TO SOME OF THE
- 15 BASICS. REBECCA'S MEMO MENTIONED THREE FOCUS AREAS WHICH ALIGN
- 16 CLOSELY WITH OUR PRINCIPLES I WANT TO COMMENT ON THE FIRST ONE
- 17 WHICH COMMISSIONER ABE-KOGA REFERRED TO, ALSO, WHICH IS
- 18 PROTECT AND ENHANCE TRANSIT SERVICE. REBECCA MENTIONED THE
- 19 FIGURE OF \$1 BILLION, YOU KNOW, TO SUPPORT THIS MEASURE. BUT
- 20 IN TERMS OF OUR OPERATING SYSTEMS NEEDS WE NEED TO STRIVE FOR
- 21 A REVENUE STREAM MORE LIKE A BILLION DOLLARS, 1.5 BILLION FOR
- 22 TRANSIT, HALF A BILLION FOR OTHER NEEDS. THIS IS A CHALLENGE
- 23 BUT WE NEED TO ACHIEVE A TWO BILLED REVENUE STREAM TO ALLOW
- 24 TRANSIT TO RECOVERY AND MODESTLY EXPAND. THANK YOU.



CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS ADINA 1 2 LEVIN. GO AHEAD PLEASE. 3 SPEAKER: HELLO. I WANTED TO SUPPORT THE COMMENTS FROM ALLIES 4 5 AT VOICES FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, AS WELL AS BUILD ON IAN GRIFFITHS'S COMMENTS FOR SEAMLESS BAY AREA. WITH REGARD TO THE 6 POLLING CLEARLY SHOWED THAT VOTERS WANT TO SEE MORE CONVENIENT 7 8 AND SEAMLESS TRANSIT SYSTEM AND THEY WANT ACCOUNTABILITY FOR THAT. AND I THINK WHAT THAT CLEARLY IMPLIES IS THAT REFORMS TO 9 CREATE THAT ACCOUNTABILITY NEED TO BE INCLUDED IN THE 10 AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION. THAT RESOLUTION 3866 PUT STRINGS ON 11 FUNDING BASED ON PREVIOUS LEGISLATION FOR STA FUNDS. IF THERE 12 IS GOING TO BE A LARGE SOURCE OF NEW REGIONAL FUNDING, THERE 13 NEEDS TO BE, BAKED IN, THE FACT THAT RECEIVING THOSE FUNDS ARE 14 15 GOING TO NEED TO BE KEYED TO DELIVERING ON THE TRANSFORMATION 16 ACTION PLAN INITIATIVES. THANK YOU. 17 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS CHRISTINE 18 FITZGERALD. GO AHEAD, PLEASE. 19 20 CHRISTINE FITZGERALD: THANK YOU. I COULDN'T AGREE MORE WITH 21 ALL THE SPEAKERS THAT HAVE PREVIOUSLY TALKED. AND I WOULD LIKE 22 TO ADD TO THE CONVERSATION BY SAYING TWO THINGS. LOOKING AT 23 THE ENABLING LEGISLATION, THERE SHOULD ALSO BE, NOT ONLY THE 24

ACCOUNTABILITY AND THE TRACKING, BUT ALSO THE FOLLOW UP TO



- 1 SHOW WHAT HAS BEEN DONE. AND REALLY HELP THE COMMUNITY
- 2 UNDERSTAND WHAT HAS AND HASN'T BEEN ACHIEVED AND THE TIMELINES
- 3 THAT FOLLOW. AND THE OTHER THING THAT I WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT
- 4 ON IS, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR POINTING OUT THE ONE SEAT RIDES.
- 5 PARATRANSIT, IT RELIES ON FIXED ROUTES. AND FIXED ROUTES, WHEN
- 6 YOU CHANGE ANYTHING IN THE SYSTEM, AND CHANGE IN THE NATURE OF
- 7 PARATRANSIT. KNOWING THAT PARATRANSIT RELIES ON ADA AND FDA
- 8 BACKING, WE REALLY NEED TO LOOK AT THAT AND, YOU KNOW,
- 9 REORGANIZE THAT BETTER.

11 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS BOB ALAN.

12 GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

10

13

14 SPEAKER: THANKS. BOB ALAN WITH URBAN HABITAT AND VOICES FOR

- 15 PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION. THERE ARE A LOT OF REFORMS WE NEED TO
- 16 MAKE. ONE REFORM WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO GET THROUGH THAT MTC
- 17 HAS CONTROL IS MAKING SURE WE HAVE ENOUGH FUNDING TO DO THE
- 18 REFORMS. BIGGEST REFORM WOULD BE OPERATING TRANSIT SERVICE AS
- 19 FREOUENT ENOUGH LEVELS THAT WOULD GET PEOPLE OUT OF THEIR CARS
- 20 AND THAT IS SOMETHING YOU WILL HAVE MORE CONTROL OVER IF YOU
- 21 ENABLING LEGISLATION TOGETHER AT THE REVENUE LEVELS THAT PETER
- 22 STRAUSS TALKED ABOUT WHICH IS MORE LIKE TWO BILLED, WE HAVE TO
- 23 TALK TO VOTERS AND GET REFORMS IF YOU ASK 20 DIFFERENT VOTERS
- 24 YOU WILL PROBABLY GET 20 DIFFERENT REFORMS. IT'S NOT A
- 25 REGIONAL MEASURE. WE KNOW THIS BODY, METROPOLITAN



- 1 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, WILL RESIDE OVER THE COMPLETE
- 2 DEMISE OVER PUBLIC TRANSIT IN THE BAY AREA. THAT'S THE
- 3 REALITY. WE HAVE BEEN AVOIDING THE STRUCTURAL ISSUE OF
- 4 OPERATING FUNDS, GET THAT IN PLACE, GET THE MONEY TO DO THE
- 5 REFORMS THEN WIN THE VOTERS AT THE BALLOT IN HOPEFULLY 2026.
- 6 THANK YOU.

7

- 8 CLERK OF THE BOARD: NEXT UP IS RHYAN FROM SENATOR WIENER'S
- 9 OFFICE FOLLOWED BY LARISSA.

- 11 SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS MY NAME IS RYAN PROVIDING
- 12 PUBLIC COMMENT ON BEHALF OF SENATOR SCOTT WIENER THANK YOU FOR
- 13 YOUR STRONG SUPPORT IN OUR SUCCESSFUL PUSH FOR NEAR-TERM
- 14 TRANSIT OPERATIONS FUNDING IN THIS YEAR'S STATE BUDGET TO
- 15 PREVENT SERVICE CUTS THIS FUNDING IS TEMPORARY THOUGH WE STILL
- 16 FACE A MAJOR ONGOING FISCAL CLIFF WE HAVE TO SET UP WITH LONG-
- 17 TERM FUNDING I SUPPORT A REGIONAL TRANSIT TRANSPORTATION
- 18 MEASURE, SENATOR WIENER SUPPORTS A REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION
- 19 MEASURE THAT PROVIDES SHORTFALL OF AVOIDING REVENUE FOR
- 20 TRANSIT OPERATIONS EXPANDS TRANSIT AND TRANSFORMS THE SYSTEM
- 21 FOR THE RIDER THAT ADDITIONAL REFER NEWS SHOULD BE ACCOMPANIED
- 22 BY SAFETY, CLEANLINESS AND RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS AS WELL AS
- 23 SEAMLESS SYSTEM THAT PROVIDES AN INTEGRATED EXPERIENCE FOR THE
- 24 RIDER WE CAN'T GO BACK TO STATUS QUO WE NEED TO DEVELOP
- 25 CONSENSUS TRANSFORMATIVE VISION FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION.



REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGER IS AN EFFECTIVE EXAMPLE OF THIS 1 VISION. AS THIS EXPRESS COMMENCES IN EARNEST DISCUSSIONS ABOUT 2 3 SENSITIVE REFORMS --4 5 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU. 6 7 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: THAT'S OKAY. THE NEXT SPEAKER. 8 9 SPEAKER: NEXT UP IS LARISSA FOLLOWED BY ANDREW. 10 SPEAKER: GOOD AFTERNOON CHAIR CANEPA AND MEMBERS OF THE 11 LEGISLATION COMMITTEE. MY NAME IS LARISSA, SPEAKING ON BEHALF 12 OF ROSEANNE FOUSE AND SAN MATEO COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT 13 ASSOCIATION. WE SUBMITTED A LETTER YESTERDAY COSIGNED WITH 14 CHAMBER SAN MATEO COUNTY AND CALIFORNIANS ADVOCATING FOR 15 16 RESPONSIBLE REAL DESIGN OPPOSING CONSOLIDATION OF CALTRAIN AND BART AS PART OF ENABLING LEGISLATIVE EFFORTS. WE WOULD LIKE IT 17 ECHO COMMENTS SUBMITTED BY SPUR IN THE LETTER AND SUPPORT 18 CONCERNS EXPRESSED BY THE BOARDS OF SAMTRANS AND CALTRAIN. IF 19 A 2026 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDING MEASURE TO SUCCEED, IT 20 21 WILL REQUIRE UNANIMOUS CONSENSUS AMONG STAKEHOLDERS LEADING UP 22 TO ELECTION DAY. MTC'S CURRENT SUGGESTIONS OF CALTRAIN BART 23 CONSOLIDATION IS DISRUPTIVE AND DISTRACTING. THANK YOU.



CLERK OF THE BOARD: NEXT UP IS GOING TO BE ANDREW FOLLOWED BY 1 2 NADYA. 3 SPEAKER: HELLO MY NAME IS ANDREW. DESPITE THE FACT THAT THIS 4 5 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION TAX MEASURE IS DESIGNED AS A PUBLIC TRANSIT MEASURE MTC STAFF IS RIGHT NOW PLANNING FOR ABOUT 20% 6 OF THE FUNDS GENERATED BY THE MEASURE TO BE SPENT ON HIGHWAY 7 8 CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECTS. IN OTHER WORDS, WIDENING THE HIGHWAYS WITH ADDITIONAL LANES FOR MORE CAR TRAFFIC, OR 9 REBUILDING HIGHWAY INTERCHANGE SES FOR MORE CAR TRAFFIC DURING 10 THE MORNING AND EVENING WEEKDAY RUSH HOURS. NOW, THAT'S EVEN 11 TRUE IF THEY'RE CARPOOL LANES OR EXPRESS LANES BECAUSE THOSE 12 LANES ARE CREATED ONLY BY WIDENING THE HIGHWAY AND PUTTING 13 ADDITIONAL LANES THERE. WIDENING HIGHWAYS FOR MORE CAR TRAFFIC 14 IS VERY MUCH IN VIOLATION OF ALL THE GOALS PROPOSED FOR THIS 15 16 TRANSPORTATION TAX MEASURE SUCH AS A CLIMATE FRIENDLY TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM THAT IS SAFE, ACCESSIBLE, AND CONVENIENT 17 FOR ALL, HOW EXPANSIONS SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDE IN THE THIS TAX 18 MEASURE. 19 20 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU. NADYA FOLLOWED BY AMY THOMPSON. 21 22 SPEAKER: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS NADYA NYAK COFOUNDER OF 23 CARB. WE'RE ADVOCACY GROUP ON THE PENINSULA WORKING SINCE 2009 24 AND HAVE HELPED IN VARIOUS TAX MEASURE CAMPAIGNS. WE SUPPORT 25



- 1 ENABLING LEGISLATION. BUT MERGING CALTRAIN AND BART IS A
- 2 SOLUTION TO A PROBLEM THAT DOESN'T EXIST. THERE ARE NO
- 3 EFFICACIES TO BE HAD FROM MERGING COMPLETELY TECHNOLOGICALLY
- 4 DIFFERENT SYSTEMS. FROM A RIDER PERSPECTIVE, IF BART SWALLOWS
- 5 CALTRAIN, THE PENINSULA AND SOUTH COUNTY NEEDS WILL BE
- 6 ECLIPSED BY THOSE LARGER SYSTEM. THE CHALLENGE IN RUNNING THE
- 7 MEASURE CAMPAIGN IN SANTA CLARA WAS ENSURING THE MONEY
- 8 WOULDN'T BE TAKEN BY BART. VOTERS REMEMBER THE HISTORY HERE.
- 9 ANY DISCUSSION EVER MERGING B.A.R.T. AND CALTRAIN WOULD KILL
- 10 SUPPORT FOR THIS TAX MEASURE. FREQUENT TRANSFERS AND FARE
- 11 INTEGRATION ARE THE THINGS VOTERS WANT TO SUPPORT IN PUBLIC
- 12 TRANSIT. PLEASE FOCUS ON THESE PROBLEMS AND DON'T INCLUDE A
- 13 POISON PILL IN THE MEASURE OR ALL TRANSIT WILL SUFFER. THANK
- 14 YOU.

15

- 16 CLERK OF THE BOARD: NEXT UP IS AMY THOMPSON. WITH THE LAST
- 17 SPEAKER BEING CYRUS HALL.

- 19 SPEAKER: HI THERE. MY NAME IS AMY WITH TRANSFORM. I APPRECIATE
- 20 THE WORK MOVING FORWARD THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION LETTER. IN
- 21 ORDER TO ACHIEVE THE VOICES FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION VISION
- 22 OF FREQUENT RELIABLE SAFE TRANSIT IN THE BAY AREA THAT
- 23 SUPPORTS CURRENT RIDERS THAT IS ENOUGH TO GET PEOPLE OUT OF
- 24 THEIR CARS WE MUST ADOPT THIS LEGISLATION THIS YEAR ANY
- 25 RESTRUCTURING OR ACCOUNTABILITY SHOULD PRIORITIZE TRANSIT



- 1 DRIVERS. I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT THE TWO MOST IMPORTANT THINGS OF
- 2 THE VISION OF THE BAY AREA, SERVICE INCREASES AND TRANSIT --
- 3 [INDISCERNIBLE] THIS IS WHAT TRANSIT RIDERS WILL --
- 4 [INDISCERNIBLE] EVERY DAY WHEN THEY GET WHERE THEY'RE GOING.
- 5 TRANSIT PRIORITY WILL BE LEADING PARTS OF THE MEASURE AND
- 6 [INDISCERNIBLE] -- COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS TODAY. THANK YOU VERY
- 7 MUCH.

8

- 9 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU, AMY. NEXT UP IS DIANE HOWARD --
- 10 I MEAN, CYRUS HALL FOLLOWED BY DIANE HOWARD.

- 12 SPEAKER: GOOD AFTERNOON COMMISSIONERS, MY NAME IS CYRUS HALL.
- 13 I USE TRANSIT AND RIDE MY BICYCLE AROUND THE BAY. I WOULD LIKE
- 14 TO GIVE ADDITIONAL FRAMING TO THE REGIONAL FUNDING MEASURE. 3B
- 15 GIVES MEAT TO THE BONES OF WHAT A 2026 REGIONAL MEASURE SHOULD
- 16 DO IT SAYS STAFF PROPOSES A MEASURE CENTRAL GOAL TO BE A
- 17 CLIMATE FRIENDLY TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM THAT'S SAFE ACCESS AND
- 18 I BELIEVE CONVENIENT FOR ALL THIS IS A GREAT START BUT I WILL
- 19 LIKE TO SUGGEST THE VISION NEEDS TO BE SIGNIFICANTLY MORE
- 20 AGGRESSIVE. CARB HAS MADE IT CLEAR THE STATE MUST REDUCE VMT
- 21 BY 25% BY 2030 THAT'S 40 YEARS. EFFICIENTLY SCALING THE VITAL
- 22 GOAL INCREASE IN SCALE WOULD BE EQUITABLE PROVIDING SERVICE TO
- 23 AREAS THAT ARE CURRENTLY UNDERSERVED. I RECOMMEND MTC LOOK AT
- 24 SIGNIFICANTLY MORE THAN 1 BILLION IN THIS MEASURE TO MEET THIS
- 25 CRITICAL CLIMATE AND EQUITY GOAL. THANK YOU.



1 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU CYRUS. OUR FINAL SPEAKER IS 2 GOING TO BE DIANE HOWARD. 3 4 5 SPEAKER: THANK YOU. MY NAME IS DIANE HOWARD. I'M ON THE CITY COUNCIL IN REDWOOD CITY. STRONG SUPPORTER OF CALTRAIN 6 ELECTRIFICATION AND GRADE SEPARATIONS AND ACTIVE ADVOCATE FOR 7 8 FUTURE ROAD WOOD CITY WATER TRANSIT. I AM NOT SUPPORTIVE OF CALTRAIN CONSOLIDATION WITH ANOTHER AGENCY AS IT WOULD IMPOSE 9 SIGNIFICANT UP FRONT COST AND PROVIDE NO CLEAR BENEFIT FOR 10 CALTRAIN RIDERS. THIS DISTRACTIONS COULD IMPEDE PROGRESS AT A 11 TIME WHEN FOCUS SHOULD BE ON ADVANCING RECOVERY EFFORTS AND 12 FULFILLING THE PROMISE OF ELECTRIFICATION. INSTEAD I ASK MTC 13 LEADERS AND STAFF TO PRIORITIZE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A 14 15 REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGER AND FOCUS ON THEIR COMMITMENT TO 16 SUPPORTING STATE EFFORTS RELATED TO SB125 AND THE TWIT RECOVERY TASK FORCE. THESE OTHER AND EXISTING POLICIES, 17 PROGRAMS, AND SOLUTIONS HAVE THE POTENTIAL FOR MEANINGFUL 18 IMPROVEMENTS TO TRANSIT AGENCY OPERATIONS AND THE PASSENGER 19 20 EXPERIENCE. THANK YOU. 21 22 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU. AND THERE ARE NO OTHER MEMBERS 23 OF THE PUBLIC WITH THEIR HANDS RAISED IN ZOOM. WRITTEN CORRESPOND WAS RECEIVED FROM CONGRESS MEMBERS ASHOO, MULLIN, 24 25 LOFGREN, PANETTA, SENATOR JOSH BECKER, VOICES FOR PUBLIC



- 1 TRANSPORTATION, SPUR, CARB, CHAMBER OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, SAM
- 2 CEDA, SAMTRANS, SEAMLESS BAY AREA, AND CALTRAIN.
- 3 CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED WAS POSTED ONLINE AND DISTRIBUTED TO
- 4 ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS.

5

- 6 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: MR. FREMIER COULD YOU WRAP UP AND
- 7 SUMMARIZE?

8

- 9 GINA PAPAN: I THINK THERE WAS A LETTER FROM ASSEMBLYMEMBER
- 10 PAPAN AND BERMAN.

11

- 12 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: LET THE RECORD SHOW THAT COMMISSIONER
- 13 PAPAN SAYS THAT ASSEMBLYMEMBER PAPAN AND BERMAN HAVE SENT A
- 14 LETTER AND WE'LL LOOK INTO THAT. MR. FREMIER?

- 16 ANDREW FREMIER: THANK YOU FOR THAT CHAIR CANEPA, AND I REALLY
- 17 DO APPRECIATE THE CONVERSATION AND A LOT OF THE INPUT EVEN IN
- 18 THE PUBLIC COMMENT WAS VERY IMPORTANT FOR US. YOU KNOW, ONE
- 19 THING I THINK IS VERY CLEAR AT THE OUTSET THAT FOR A MEASURE
- 20 TO BE SUPPORTED, WE NEED TO REALLY COME FORWARD WITH SOME VERY
- 21 DIRECT ACCOUNTABILITY AND REFORMS IN THE SYSTEM. AND WHAT
- 22 THOSE MIGHT BE ARE DOWN A VERY LONG LIST OF ITEMS IN THE
- 23 SPECTRUM THAT MAYBE ENDS, TO COMMISSIONER RABBITT'S POINT, AT
- 24 ONE AGENCY, BUT NOT NECESSARILY HAVING TO GET THERE, BUT I
- 25 THINK KIND OF RUNNING DOWN THAT LIST. THE OTHER THING THAT WE



- 1 HEARD VERY MUCH AND SEEMS TO BE OUITE A BIT OF CONSENSUS IS
- 2 THAT YOU HAVE TO FOCUS ON SOLUTIONS THAT ARE IMPORTANT FOR THE
- 3 CUSTOMER. AND SO WHATEVER WE DO, THAT IS THE PRIMARY RECIPIENT
- 4 OF THE BENEFIT. WE NEED TO COME BACK AND, SORT OF, REAFFIRM
- 5 WHAT SOME OF THE FOUNDATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS ARE THAT YOU HAVE
- 6 ALREADY SET US DOWN THE PATH ON WHICH INCLUDES MOST OF THE
- 7 ITEMS THAT WE'RE CURRENTLY WORKING ON IN THE TRANSFORMATION
- 8 ACTION PLAN. WHERE THE NEW THINGS START TO COME IN, AND I
- 9 THINK IT'S IDENTIFIED AT LEAST WHAT OUR STATUTE STRENGTH IS,
- 10 WE NEED TO FIND SOME OF THE GAPS IN OUR AUTHORITY THAT HELP US
- 11 ACHIEVE SOME OF THESE GOALS THAT SEEM TO BE MISSING. AND THAT
- 12 HAPPENS TO BE AROUND FARE AND SCHEDULE COORDINATION AS MUCH AS
- 13 ANYTHING ELSE. WE IDENTIFIED THE IDEA THAT WE HAVE GOT THE
- 14 TRANSIT SUSTAINABLE WORK AND THE RAIL STUDIES THAT ARE IN
- 15 THERE. WE CLEARLY NEED TO PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT OF EDUCATION
- 16 FOR THE BROADER COMMISSION SO THAT THOSE ITEMS AREN'T LOST AND
- 17 ALL THAT HARD WORK IS NOT FORGOTTEN OR TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF. AND
- 18 THEN SEE WHICH ONE OF THOSE SPECIFIC ITEMS ARE WORTH REALLY
- 19 JUMPING INTO. WE ALSO COMMITTED TO REALLY PUTTING SOME
- 20 STRUCTURE AROUND THE CURRENT NORTH BAY PILOTS AND SEE IF
- 21 WHETHER OR NOT THEY CAN APPLY IN OTHER PARTS OF THE REGION, IN
- 22 PARTICULAR, AROUND SOME OF THE BUS OPERATIONS. AND THEN, ALSO,
- 23 TO HIGHLIGHT, I THINK, TO GET TO SOME OF THE IMPROVEMENT IN
- 24 SERVICE REQUIREMENTS THAT PEOPLE REALLY ARE LOOKING FOR, WHAT
- 25 KINDS OF RISKS MIGHT MTC NEED TO TAKE ON IN ORDER TO REALLY



- 1 USE THAT AUTHORITY PROPERLY. THE TRANSIT VISION THAT WE HAVE
- 2 IS REALLY IDENTIFIED BY CREATING A WORLD CLASS RELIABLE,
- 3 AFFORDABLE, AND CONNECTED TRANSPORTATION NETWORK, DOES RELY ON
- 4 THE NEED TO FIND SOME ADDITIONAL REVENUE; THAT'S VERY CLEAR.
- 5 AND SO WE ALSO NEED TO CONTINUE TO WORK WITH OUR FRIEND UP IN
- 6 SACRAMENTO TO REALLY IDENTIFY HOW WE CAN MARRY THE CHALLENGES
- 7 THAT WE HAVE IN THE REGION WITH THE CHALLENGES THAT WE HAVE
- 8 ACROSS THE STATE. AND SO BRINGING SOME OF THAT INFORMATION
- 9 FORWARD TO, AND REALLY KIND OF CLARIFYING WHAT KIND OF
- 10 ADDITIONAL REVENUE WE MIGHT NEED IS WHAT I THINK YOU JUST TOLD
- 11 US TO GO EMBARK ON AND RETURN AND IN A SHORT ORDER

12

- 13 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: I THINK THE SUMMARY IS GREAT. ONE OF THE
- 14 THINGS I'M THINKING ABOUT, IF WE MOVE FORWARD, WHEN WE PURSUE
- 15 THIS BILL, IS HOW WE SHOULD SET UP MTC TO BE A STRONGER
- 16 NETWORK MANAGER. AND YOU KNOW, I WANT STAFF TO COME BACK I
- 17 WANT US TO LOOK INTO THAT. MY QUESTION TO YOU ANDY OR REBECCA
- 18 IS WHAT'S THE NEXT STEP AFTER THIS IN TERMS OF TIMELINE?

- 20 REBECCA LONG: THANK YOU CHAIR CAN PAMPHLET SO AS I MENTIONED,
- 21 DECEMBER 20TH, THIS MONTH'S COMMISSION MEETING WE PLAN TO COME
- 22 BACK WITH AN INFORMATION ITEM FOR THE FULL COMMISSION. WE
- 23 WOULD SUMMARIZE THIS CONVERSATION THERE AS WELL AS SUMMARIZE A
- 24 FULL RECAP OF THE STAFF PROPOSAL AROUND THE FUNDING
- 25 MECHANISMS, DIFFERENT EXPENDITURE CATEGORIES, ET CETERA, SO



THAT WILL BE AN INFORMATION ITEM BUT I THINK WE CAN SYNTHESIZE 1 WHAT WE HEARD TODAY AS FAR AS STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR WHAT 2 3 KIND OF REFORMS COULD POTENTIALLY BE IN THE MEASURE. AND THEN JANUARY IS ACTION TIME. BECAUSE IF WE WANT TO INTRODUCE A BILL 4 5 NEXT YEAR, IT NEEDS TO BE IN PRINT BY, AT THE LATEST EARLY FEBRUARY. SO THEN WHAT WILL BE COMING BACK TO THIS COMMITTEE 6 IN JANUARY, I THINK IT'S THE 12th, IS A WHOLE OUTLINE OF THIS 7 8 IS WHAT THE BILL WILL INCLUDE, THESE ARE THE REFORM ITEMS THAT COULD POTENTIALLY BE IN THERE AND THEN THE COMMISSION WOULD 9 ACT ON THAT IN JANUARY AS WELL 10 11 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: GREAT. CHAIR PEDROZA? ANY COMMENTS? 12 13 ALFREDO PEDROZA: NO. I THINK YOU SUMMARIZED IT WELL AT THE 14 NEXT MEETING YOU WILL COME BACK EVEN THOUGH IT'S AN 15 16 INFORMATION ITEM BUT YOU'RE ASKING THE QUESTION DO WE HAVE THE AUTHORITY WHERE THE GAPS THAT MIGHT HELP SHAPE LEGISLATION 17 WHICH WE'LL ALSO TALK ABOUT SOME OTHER INITIATIVES THAT'S 18 WHERE THE CONSENSUS WILL LIE, CORRECT? 19 20 REBECCA LONG: YES. 21 22

25 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: CHAIR COMMISSIONER SPERING?

ALFREDO PEDROZA: THANK YOU.

23



1 JAMES P. SPERING: I DON'T WANT TO LOSE SIGHT OF THE MONEY THAT 2 3 WE'RE GOING TO BE ALLOCATING MILLIONS OF DOLLARS I HOPE WE CONDITION THE MONEY FOR HIGHER COOPERATION IN THE WAYFINDING 4 5 FARE COORDINATION SCHEDULING IN AREAS THAT WE CAN GET SOME IMPROVEMENT TODAY WITH THE MONEY THAT WE'RE GOING TO ALLOCATE 6 7 GETTING REFORM OR CHANGES. 8 ANDREW FREMIER: IN THE PRESENTATION AROUND SB125 WE SHOW 9 VARIOUS POINTS IN TIME WE GO BACK REFLECT ON THAT I NEED TO 10 EMPHASIZE IN THE WAYFINDING AND MAPPING, THAT CAN BE FORGOTTEN 11 BUT IT'S CHALLENGING AND WORTH INVESTING IN. ALIX WANTS TO ADD 12 SOMETHING. 13 14 ALIX BOCKELMAN: I WANT TO ADD THAT THE PROGRAMMING AND 15 16 ALLOCATIONS NEXT WEEK WILL BE TAKING UP NEXT WEEK SB125 REPORT THAT WE SUBMIT TO THE STATE WHICH INCLUDES ACCOUNTABILITY 17 PROVISIONS WHICH I DO -- SO, I THINK YOU WILL GET A CHANCE AT 18 19 THE END OF THE MONTH TO SEE THOSE AGAIN. IT DOES INCLUDE ADDITIONAL STRENGTHENING OF HAVING THE TRANSIT BOARDS TAKE 20 21 ACTION, THAT THEY UNDERSTAND THE ACCOUNTABILITY PROVISIONS 22 THAT YOU ATTACHED TO THE MONEY. YOU WILL HAVE TIME TO REVIEW 23 THAT BEFORE WHAT ANDY'S BRINGING UP WHEN YOU ALLOCATE FUNDS 24 NEXT SPRING.



CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: I WANT TO THANK MY COLLEAGUES FOR THE 1 ROBUST DISCUSSION AND FOR ALL THE PUBLIC COMMENT. REALLY 2 3 APPRECIATE YOUR INPUT AND FEEDBACK. THAT BRINGS US TO AGENDA ITEM 4A, SACRAMENTO AND WASHINGTON, D.C. VISITS. GEORGIA GANN 4 5 DOHRMANN, WILL YOU GIVE THE REPORT, PLEASE? 6 7 GEORGIA GANN DOHRMANN: GOOD AFTERNOON, CHAIR CANEPA AND 8 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. GEORGIA GANN DOHRMANN, MTC AND ABAG STAFF. I'LL KEEP THE ITEM BRIEF. WE'RE RECOMMENDING, SORT OF, GIVEN 9 THE WEIGHTY ITEMS WE MIGHT HAVE IN FRONT OF US UP IN 10 SACRAMENTO THIS YEAR TO REALLY TAKE THE MARCH TIMELINE, WHICH 11 IS A REALLY KEY TIME UP IN SACRAMENTO FOR YOU ALL TO BE GOING 12 UP THERE AND VISITING LEGISLATORS TO TALK ABOUT MTC AND ABAG'S 13 TOP ADVOCACY PRIORITIES. SO WHAT THAT MEANS IS TRADITIONALLY 14 15 WE HAVE HAD MTC AND ABAG GO TO WASHINGTON, D.C. IN MARCH AND 16 WE'RE PROPOSING, AGAIN, INSTEAD TO, SORT OF, PRIORITIZE THAT TIMELINE FOR VISITS UP TO SACRAMENTO AND MOVE WASHINGTON DV 17 VISIT TO THE MAY TIMELINE. WHICH IS STILL A GREAT TIME TO BE 18 IN DC. AND WITH THAT I'LL TURN IT BACK TO THE CHAIR. 19 20 CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: OKAY. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS? ARE THERE 21 22 ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM? VIRTUAL OR EITHER IN-PERSON? 23 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE WAS NO WRITTEN CORRESPOND RECEIVED 24

ON THIS ITEM THERE ARE NO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITH THEIR



1	HAND RAISED IN ZOOM AND NO ONE IN THE BOARDROOM WISHING TO
2	SPEAK.
3	
4	CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: OKAY THAT BRINGS US TO AGENDA ITEM FIVE.
5	ANY PUBLIC COMMENT OR OTHER BUSINESS?
6	
7	CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE WAS NO WRITTEN CORRESPOND RECEIVED
8	ON THIS ITEM. THERE IS NO ONE IN THE BOARDROOM WISHING TO
9	SPEAK AND NO ONE IN ZOOM WITH THEIR HANDS RAISED.
10	
11	CHAIR, DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE NEXT MEETING OF
12	THE JOINT MTC ABAG LEGISLATION COMMITTEE MEETING WILL BE HELD
13	ON FRIDAY JANUARY 12th, 2024 9:45 A.M., AT THE BAY AREA METRO
14	CENTER 375 BEALE STREET SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA AND OTHER
15	REMOTE LOCATIONS. CHANGES TO THE SCHEDULE WILL BE DULY NOTED.
16	[ADJOURN]





Broadcasting Government