

Appendix 4: Draft RHNA Methodology - Results of Proposed Equity Adjustment

Jurisdictions Identified by the Composite Score Proposed by Some HMC Members as Exhibiting Above Average Racial and Economic Exclusion

GROUP 1 – 18 Cities Subject to Increases

These jurisdictions **will receive higher allocations** of very low- and low-income units from the equity adjustment. The numbers in red are the lower-income units needed to achieve proportionality (i.e., the number of units added from "Other Jurisdictions").

Jurisdiction	Units Below Proportionality Threshold
Gilroy	-488
Daly City	-468
Union City	-441
Windsor	-341
Hercules	-258
Unincorporated Napa	-250
Pleasant Hill	-179
Healdsburg	-176
Half Moon Bay	-138
Livermore	-120
St. Helena	-95
Unincorporated Marin	-60
Atherton	-20
Mill Valley	-16
Portola Valley	-5
Hillsborough	-3
Ross	-2
Monte Sereno	-1

Total Units -3,061

Since the equity adjustment was last considered at the HMC in summer and fall 2020, data used in the equity adjustment has been updated from year **2019** to more current year **2020** Department of Finance baseline data. This data update, combined with the Draft RHNA Methodology's integration of Final Blueprint data, affects the list of jurisdictions, and the number of units shifted under the adjustment.

GROUP 2 – 31 Cities Not Subject to Increases

These jurisdictions will NOT receive higher allocations of very low- and low-income units from the equity adjustment. The numbers in green show the number of lower-income units above the proportionality threshold.

	Units Above Proportionality Threshold
Milpitas	1,228
Pleasanton	973
Sunnyvale	888
Fremont	861
Palo Alto	641
Cupertino	571
San Ramon	526
San Carlos	399
Millbrae	375
Lafayette	321
Menlo Park	311
Dublin	269
Orinda	143
Moraga	128
Belmont	81
Corte Madera	70
Larkspur	70
Los Altos	62
San Anselmo	50
Tiburon	49
Sausalito	44
Fairfax	20
Belvedere	16
Danville	16
Woodside	9
Saratoga	9
Los Gatos	8
Los Altos Hills	7
Clayton	5
Foster City	5
Piedmont	2

Other Jurisdictions

These jurisdictions were not identified as exhibiting above average racial and economic exclusion by the composite score proposed by some HMC members. Lower-income units will be taken from these jurisdictions and moved to the jurisdictions in Group 1 to enable those jurisdictions to meet the proportionality threshold. Each jurisdiction in this group would have its allocation of lower-income units reduced in proportion to its share of the total lower-income units among the "Other Jurisdictions." The table shows the resulting reduction for each of these jurisdictions. The equity adjustment does not affect moderate- and above moderate-income units, so these adjustments would result in a reduction in the total allocation for each jurisdiction on this list.

	Reduction in Lower-Income
Jurisdiction	Allocations
San Francisco	-773
San Jose	-559
Oakland	-241
Santa Clara	-107
Mountain View	-103
Berkeley	-91
Unincorporated Contra Costa	-77
San Mateo	-66
Walnut Creek	-61
Alameda	-52
Concord	-48
Unincorporated Alameda	-46
Santa Rosa	-45
Redwood City	-41
Hayward	-40
Unincorporated Sonoma	-38
San Leandro	-32
South San Francisco	-32
Burlingame	-32
San Rafael	-32
Richmond	-31
Antioch	-30
Unincorporated San Mateo	-30
Unincorporated Santa Clara	-30
Fairfield	-29
Campbell	-28
San Bruno	-27
Vallejo	-27
Novato	-21
Pacifica	-20

Jurisdiction	Reduction in Lower-Income Allocations
Petaluma	-19
Pittsburg	-19
Napa	-19
Vacaville	-18
Emeryville	-17
Newark	-17
Brentwood	-14
Rohnert Park	-14
Martinez	-13
El Cerrito	-13
Albany	-11
Oakley	-11
Brisbane	-11
Morgan Hill	-10
Unincorporated Solano	-9
Benicia	-8
Suisun City	-6
San Pablo	-6
East Palo Alto	-6
Rio Vista	-5
Pinole	-5
American Canyon	-5
Dixon	-3
Sonoma	-3
Cloverdale	-3
Sebastopol	-2
Colma	-2
Cotati	-2
Calistoga	-1
Yountville	0

Total Units 3,061