

**METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION**
Meeting Transcript



MARCH 13, 2026

1 **JOINT MTC ABAG LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE**

2 **FRIDAY, MARCH 13, 2026, 11:00 AM**

3

4

5 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** ALL RIGHT. GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M GOING
6 TO TRY AND CALL TO ORDER THIS MEETING OF THE JOINT MTC ABAG
7 LEGISLATION COMMITTEE. ARE WE GOOD TO GO? MARTHA? [LAUGHTER]

8

9 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** YES. MEMBER RABBITT, CAN YOU TURN YOUR
10 CAMERA ON? MEMBER RABBITT, CAN YOU PLEASE TURN YOUR CAMERA ON?
11 THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR DUONG? CAN YOU PLEASE TURN YOUR CAMERA
12 ON? THANK YOU.

13

14 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** GOOD TO GO? VERY GOOD. I WOULD LIKE TO
15 CALL TO ORDER THIS MEETING OF THE JOINT MTC ABAG LEGISLATION
16 COMMITTEE. THIS MEETING IS BEING WEBCAST ON THE MTC AND ABAG
17 WEB SITES. REMOTE PARTICIPANTS WISHING TO SPEAK SHOULD USE THE
18 RAISED HAND FEATURE OR DIAL STAR NINE AND YOU WILL BE CALL
19 UPON AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME TO SPEAK. TELECONFERENCE
20 ATTENDEES WILL BE CALLED UPON BY THE LAST FOUR DIGITS OF THEIR
21 PHONE NUMBER. ROLL CALL VOTE WILL BE TAKEN FOR ALL ACTION
22 ITEMS DUE TO REMOTE COMMITTEE PARTICIPATION TODAY. WILL THE
23 CLERK CALL THE ROLL AND CONFIRM QUORUM, PLEASE?

24

25 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** WILL DO. CHAIR CARLSON?



MARCH 13, 2026

1

2 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** HERE.

3

4 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** VICE CHAIR JOHN-BAPTISTE?

5

6 **V. CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE MTC:** HERE.

7

8 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER AHN?

9

10 **EDDIE AHN:** HERE.

11

12 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER ANDERSEN, WE WILL LOOP BACK. MEMBER
13 BURT?

14

15 **PAT BURT:** HERE.

16

17 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER CAMPOS?

18

19 **PAMELA CAMPOS:** PRESENT FROM SAN JOSE CITY HALL.

20

21 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** THANK YOU. MEMBER CORZO?

22

23 **NOELIA CORZO:** HERE.

24

25 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER DUONG?



MARCH 13, 2026

1

2 **BETTY DUONG:** HERE FROM THE BOARD CONFERENCE ROOM HERE AT WEST
3 HEDDING, AND I'M SORRY I DO HAVE TO LEAVE IN ABOUT 15 MINUTES
4 BECAUSE I HAVE ANOTHER MEETING TO GET TO. SO, I APOLOGIZE IN
5 ADVANCE.

6

7 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** THANK YOU PLEASE ENSURE THAT YOUR NOTICED
8 REMOTE LOCATION STAYS OPEN. MEMBER ECKLUND? THANK YOU. MEMBER
9 EZZY ASHCRAFT?

10

11 **MARILYN EZZY ASHCRAFT:** PRESENT.

12

13 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER GIACOPINI, WE'LL LOOP BACK. MEMBER
14 MAHAN? IS ABSENT. MAYBE MASHBURN?

15

16 **MITCH MASHBURN:** MR. MASHBURN IS PRESENT IN MY REMOTE LOCATION.

17

18 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** THANK YOU. MEMBER RABBITT?

19

20 **DAVID RABBIT:** PRESENT AT MY REMOTE LOCATION.

21

22 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** THANK YOU. MEMBER RAMOS?

23

24 **CHAIR, BELIA RAMOS, ABAG AC:** HERE.

25



MARCH 13, 2026

1 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER ROMERO?

2

3 **V. CHAIR, CARLOS ROMERO, ABAG:** PRESENT.

4

5 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** AND MEMBER WILLIAMS?

6

7 **WANDA WILLIAMS:** HERE.

8

9 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** WE HAVE A QUORUM.

10

11 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** WONDERFUL THANK YOU. WE HAVE TWO
12 REQUESTS FOR REMOTE PARTICIPATION. COMMISSIONER GIACOPINI?

13

14 **DORENE M. GIACOPINI:** THANK YOU. I'M REQUESTING TO PARTICIPATE
15 VIA TELECONFERENCING AND REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER JUST CAUSE
16 PER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953C. THERE IS NO ONE IN THE
17 ROOM PRESENT OVER 18.

18

19 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER ANDERSON?

20

21 **CANDACE ANDERSEN:** YES, I'M ALSO REQUESTING TO PARTICIPATE VIA
22 REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953.83,
23 SUBSECTION C4, AND THERE IS NO ONE PRESENT IN THE ROOM OVER
24 18.

25



MARCH 13, 2026

1 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** THANK YOU. COMMISSIONERS, GIACOPINI,
2 AND COMMISSIONERS ANDERSON. YOUR REQUESTS ARE NOTED. WE'LL
3 MARK YOU AS PRESENT. SO MOVING ON TO OUR CONSENT CALENDAR,
4 AGENDA ITEM 2A AND 2B DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON THESE
5 ITEMS?

6

7 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** THERE WAS NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE
8 RECEIVED AND THERE IS NO ONE IN THE BOARDROOM OR ZOOM WISHING
9 TO SPEAK ON THESE ITEMS.

10

11 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** WONDERFUL. ANY DISCUSSION OR QUESTIONS
12 FROM COMMISSIONERS? WITH THAT, I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION FOR
13 THE CONSENT CALENDAR.

14

15 **SPEAKER:** SO MOVED.

16

17 **ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE:** SECOND.

18

19 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** WE HAVE A MOTION BY COMMISSIONER
20 WILLIAMS AND A SECOND BY COMMISSIONER ECKLUND. CAN WE HAVE A
21 ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE?

22

23 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** CHAIR CARLSON?

24

25 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** AYE.



MARCH 13, 2026

1

2 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** VICE CHAIR JOHN-BAPTISTE?

3

4 **V. CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE MTC:** AYE.

5

6 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER AHN?

7

8 **EDDIE AHN:** AYE.

9

10 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER ANDERSEN?

11

12 **CANDACE ANDERSEN:** AYE.

13

14 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER BURT?

15

16 **PAT BURT:** AYE.

17

18 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** CAMPOS?

19

20 **PAMELA CAMPOS:** YES.

21

22 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER CORZO?

23

24 **NOELIA CORZO:** YES.

25



MARCH 13, 2026

1 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER DUONG?

2

3 **BETTY DUONG:** YES.

4

5 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER ECKLUND?

6

7 **PAT EKLUND:** AYE.

8

9 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER EZZY ASHCRAFT?

10

11 **MARILYN EZZY ASHCRAFT:** AYE.

12

13 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER MAHAN? IS ABSENT. MEMBER MASHBURN?

14

15 **MITCH MASHBURN:** AYE.

16

17 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER RABBITT?

18

19 **DAVID RABBIT:** AYE.

20

21 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER RAMOS?

22

23 **CHAIR, BELIA RAMOS, ABAG:** YES.

24

25 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** MEMBER ROMERO?



MARCH 13, 2026

1

2 **V. CHAIR, CARLOS ROMERO, ABAG:** YES.

3

4 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** AND MEMBER WILLIAMS?

5

6 **WANDA WILLIAMS:** AYE.

7

8 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** THE MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY BY ALL

9 MEMBERS PRESENT.

10

11 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** THANK YOU. WE'LL MOVE ON TO ITEM 3A.

12 THIS IS AN INFORMATIONAL ITEM, GEORGIA GANN DOHRMANN WILL

13 PROVIDE THE REPORT.

14

15 **GEORGIA GANN-DOHRMANN:** GOOD AFTERNOON CHAIR CARLSON AND

16 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. GEORGIA GANN DOHRMANN, MTC AND ABAG STAFF.

17 CAN I KEEP THIS REALLY QUICK. THE LEGISLATIVE SESSION IN

18 SACRAMENTO IS UNDERWAY. WE HAVE GOT THOUSANDS OF BILLS THAT

19 ARE NOW ACTIVE. SO YOUR TEAM IS REVIEWING AND DOING TYPICAL

20 ENGAGEMENT IN SACRAMENTO. ONE THING I DO WANT TO FLAG IS THAT

21 THE, SORT OF, VIBE UP IN SACRAMENTO IS THAT OF REALLY

22 PERVASIVE UNCERTAINTY PRIMARILY DRIVEN BY A LOT OF WHAT'S

23 GOING ON IN THE FEDERAL REALM. THAT BEING SAID, TO KIND OF TEE

24 UP THE NEXT ITEM WHAT IT DOES SEEM LIKE THERE IS REALLY BIG

25 INTEREST IN DOING WHAT WE'RE SEEING AND A LOT OF BILLS IS AN



MARCH 13, 2026

1 INTEREST FROM LEGISLATORS IN DOING WHAT THEY CAN WITHIN THE
2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND WHAT CALIFORNIA CAN CONTROL AROUND
3 AFFORDABILITY AND AROUND MAKING PROGRESS ON CLIMATE GOALS AND
4 OTHER STATE PRIORITIES. SO AGAIN THERE IS JUST A LOT MORE
5 INTEREST IN THAT SPACE. AND WITH THAT I WILL CLOSE AND AM
6 AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS.

7

8 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** ANY QUESTIONS FROM COMMISSIONERS? ALL
9 RIGHT.

10

11 **MARILYN EZZY ASHCRAFT:** WAIT, ACTUALLY.

12

13 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** GO AHEAD.

14

15 **MARILYN EZZY ASHCRAFT:** I WAS TRYING TO FIGURE OUT MY
16 MICROPHONE. ON THE FEDERAL LEVEL I KNOW THAT THERE IS
17 CURRENTLY THIS BIPARTISAN HOUSING BILL THAT PASSED THE SENATE
18 NOW IT'S MOVING ON TO THE HOUSE. ARE YOU ALSO TRACKING THAT?

19

20 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** WE'RE GOING TO GET TO THAT. SORRY. WE
21 HAVE A LEGISLATIVE -- FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE --

22

23 **MARILYN EZZY ASHCRAFT:** RIGHT. YES WE DO. OKAY. GREAT. THANK
24 YOU.

25



MARCH 13, 2026

1 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** SORRY. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS REGARDING
2 THE STATE LEGISLATURE? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?

3

4 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** THERE IS NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE
5 RECEIVED ON THIS ITEM AND NO ONE IN THE BOARDROOM OR ZOOM
6 WISHING TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM.

7

8 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** WONDERFUL THANK YOU LET'S MOVE TO ITEM
9 3B WHICH IS SENATE BILL 87 BY SENATOR CABALDON, SENATE 1087
10 IT'S THE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY
11 STRATEGY INFORMATIONAL ITEM PRESENTED BY JULIE SNYDER.

12

13 **JULIE SNYDER:** THANK YOU GOOD MORNING CHAIR AND -- AFTERNOON
14 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. JULIE MTC ABAG STAFF I WANT TO START BY
15 LETTING YOU THAT WE WILL ALSO BESIDES TODAY'S DISCUSSION WILL
16 BE COMING BACK TO YOU AGAIN NEXT MONTH FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION
17 ON THIS ITEM. SO HAVING SAID THAT THIS IS ACTUALLY A FOLLOW UP
18 TO LAST MONTH'S DISCUSSION THIS BILL IS HAS BEEN ON YOUR
19 AGENDA FOR A WHILE NOW WE'RE TALKING ABOUT MTC ABAG'S SPONSOR
20 BILL TO MODERNIZE THE LAW THAT GOVERNS OUR SUSTAINABLE
21 COMMUNITY STRATEGY PLAN YOU JUST HEARD QUITE A LOT ABOUT IN
22 THE PRIOR PRESENTATION I WANT TO POINT YOU TO THE HANDOUT IN
23 CASE YOU WANT TO FOLLOW ALONG BEFORE WE GET INTO THE POLICY
24 PROVISIONS OF SB1027 OUR NEW BILL WANTED TO DO A QUICK
25 OVERVIEW OF THE THREE CORE OBJECTIVES OF THE LEGISLATION THAT



MARCH 13, 2026

1 YOU APPROVED IN JANUARY AS PART OF THE 2026 ADVOCACY PROGRAM
2 SO YOUR FIRST OBJECTIVE IS FOCUSING ON A PLAN IMPLEMENTATION
3 TO ACCELERATE CLIMATE, HOUSING, TRANSPORTATION GOALS, THE
4 SECOND OBJECTIVE IS BEING ABLE TO ADOPT A PLAN THAT REFLECTS
5 BALANCED SET OF GOALS BOTH OF THOSE I JUST MENTIONED BUT MANY
6 OTHERS THAT YOU'RE CHARGED WITH UNDER FEDERAL AND STATE LAW.
7 THEN THE THIRD BEING ABLE TO ADOPT A PLAN THAT'S A TRUSTY
8 ROADMAP, THAT YOU CAN RELY ON TO GUIDE YOUR DECISIONS IN THE
9 SUBSEQUENT YEARS AFTER YOU ADOPT THE PLAN. I WANT TO NOTE THAT
10 THIS ITEM APPEARED ON YOUR ADVOCACY PROGRAM SINCE 2019 IT'S
11 LONG TIME COMING THERE HAS BEEN INCREDIBLE AMOUNT OF TECHNICAL
12 ANALYSIS DISCUSSION OF POTENTIAL PROPOSE TO MODERNIZE AND NOW
13 THE TIME IS RIPE TO PURSUE LEGISLATION SO I'M THRILLED TO BE
14 WORKING WITH BAY AREA SENATOR CHRISTOPHER CABALDON ON SB1087
15 WHICH IS -- SORRY ABOUT THAT -- THE COSPONSORED BY OTHER BIG
16 FOUR MP'S AND INTRODUCED VERSION OF THIS BILL IS LIMITED TO
17 TWO SMALLER PROVISIONS IN ORDER TO GIVE US TIME TO WORK WITH
18 AUTHORITY AND NEGOTIATE THE MORE SUBSTANTIAL APPROACH THAT
19 I'LL OUTLINE FOR YOU WE HAVE BEEN IN DEEP DISCUSSIONS WITH OUR
20 COSPONSORS WITH THE AUTHORITY COMMITTEE CONSULTANTS AS WELL AS
21 A NUMBER OF STATEWIDE AND BAY AREA STAKEHOLDERS TO LAND ON
22 FIRST SET OF AMENDMENTS WHICH WE EXPECT TO APPEAR IN PRINT
23 BASICALLY LATER THIS YEAR I DON'T KNOW IF ANYBODY PRINTS BILLS
24 OUT ANYMORE BUT THAT'S STILL WHAT WE CALL IT. SO I THOUGHT I
25 WOULD SEGUE OVER TO THE POLICY CHANGES THAT THE BIG FOUR HAVE



MARCH 13, 2026

1 RECOMMENDED TO SENATOR CABALDON AND I'LL EMPHASIZE HE HAS NOT
2 MADE FORMAL DECISION ON THESE PIECES YET WHICH IS WHY WE'LL BE
3 BACK TALKING TO YOU AGAIN IN THE FUTURE BUT THIS IS OUR SET OF
4 RECOMMENDATIONS. SO OBJECTIVE ONE FOCUS ON IMPLEMENTATION WE
5 HAVE DEVELOPED THREE PROPOSE TO GIVE YOU MORE OPTIONS TO DRIVE
6 PROGRESS ON THE REGIONAL PRIORITIES THAT YOU ADOPT IN THE
7 PLAN. THE FIRST IS NEW FLEXIBLE FUNDING THAT WOULD BE
8 ALLOCATED TO REGIONS BY FORMULA. THIS PROBABLY WOULD LOOK LIKE
9 SOMETHING LIKE A COMBINATION OF THE REGIONAL EARLY ACTION PLAN
10 OR REAP 1 AND 2. SO, SUPPORT FOR A WIDE RANGE OF ACTIVITIES
11 FROM PROVIDING TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS
12 THEY -- WE GO INTO CYCLE SEVEN OF THE HOUSING ELEMENTS TO
13 PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS THAT ACCELERATE INFILL HOUSING, IMPROVE
14 TRANSIT NETWORKS, INCREASE THE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION NETWORK,
15 ET CETERA, THE WHOLE PANOPLY OF ACTIONS. THE SECOND APPROACH
16 IS BETTER ALIGNING STATE FUNDING DECISIONS IN THE
17 TRANSPORTATION SPACE ESPECIALLY WITH GOALS THAT YOU HAVE SET
18 IN PLANNED BAY AREA SO THE STATE CONTROLS BILLIONS OF
19 TRANSPORTATION DOLLARS, SOME BETTER ALIGNED WITH THE PLANS
20 THAN OTHERS. SOME OF THE FUNDING SOURCES THAT WE HAVE BEEN
21 DISCUSSING INCLUDE THE SB1 PLANNING GRANTS AS WELL AS THE \$250
22 MILLION SOLUTIONS FOR CONGESTED CORRIDOR PROGRAM. THE BIG FOUR
23 HAD INITIALLY FLOATED THE IDEA OF CONVERTING BOTH OF THESE
24 PROGRAMS TO FORMULA ALLOCATIONS TO MPO'S. HOWEVER, WE HEARD
25 CONCERNS FROM OUR COUNTY PARTNERS AND SMALLER MPO'S, AND ARE,



MARCH 13, 2026

1 INSTEAD, EXPLORING OTHER OPTIONS NOW. IN THE CONGESTED
2 CORRIDORS PROGRAM, THIS MIGHT LOOK LIKE SETTING A PRIORITY FOR
3 PROJECTS THAT HELP REGION'S MEET THEIR NEAR-TERM GHG TARGETS.
4 BUT THE PROGRAM, ITSELF, REMAINING AT THE STATE LEVEL. OUR
5 THIRD RECOMMENDATION UNDER THIS OBJECTIVE IS RIGHT SIZING THE
6 PLANNING PROCESS, WHICH WOULD ALLOW YOUR STAFF TO DEDICATE A
7 LOT MORE TIME TO DELIVERING PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS. SO THIS
8 INCLUDES THE BILL'S CURRENT PROVISION TO SHIFT FROM A FOUR-
9 YEAR TO AN EIGHT-YEAR PLANNING CYCLE, AND TO A POTENTIAL
10 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT EXEMPTION FOR PLANS THAT
11 ARE DEVELOPED WITH ROBUST PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT. I'LL NOTE THIS
12 PIECE AROUND ROBUST PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT IS OF PARTICULAR
13 INTEREST TO AUTHOR, AND HE FEELS IT WOULD BE MORE LIKE LIKELY
14 TO SEE MORE ROBUST ENGAGEMENT AND PERHAPS BASED ALONG THE
15 LINES OF WHAT MTC ABAG ALREADY DO IN ORDER TO FEEL COMFORTABLE
16 WITH THAT EXEMPTION. SO SHIFTING TO OBJECTIVE NUMBER TWO, NSCS
17 THAT REFLECTS THE BALANCED SET OF GOALS. TWO IDEAS ARE UNDER
18 DISCUSSION THE FIRST IS CREATING AN ADVISORY BODY TO LOOK AT
19 INTERSECTION AND POSSIBLE TRADEOFFS BETWEEN ACHIEVING CLIMATE
20 GOALS AND INCREASING HOUSING AND TRANSPORTATION AFFORDABILITY,
21 ADVANCING FAIR HOUSING AND OTHER IMPORTANT STATE AND REGIONAL
22 GOALS. THE HOPE IS THAT THE ADVISORY BODY'S RECOMMENDATIONS
23 WOULD INFORM THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD'S APPROACH TO SETTING OUR
24 GREENHOUSE GAS REDUCTION TARGETS AND IT MIGHT ALSO RESULT IN
25 GUIDANCE TO REGIONS THAT HELPS YOU WHEN YOU ARE BALANCING ALL



MARCH 13, 2026

1 OF THOSE GOALS AND PRIORITIES IN OUR PLAN. THE SECOND IDEA ON
2 THE TABLE IS TO PULL IN THE CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION
3 COMMISSION TO WORK IN TANDEM WITH THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD AND
4 PROVIDE A NEW PERSPECTIVE ON THE SCS THAT'S GROUNDED IN THEIR
5 TRANSPORTATION EXPERTISE. SO, THE CTC MIGHT DEVELOP THE
6 GUIDELINES FOR THE SCS AND INCORPORATE THEM INTO THE REGIONAL
7 TRANSPORTATION PLAN GUIDELINES THAT THEY ALREADY DO. AND THEY
8 WOULD ALSO MAKE THE DETERMINATION OF THE PLAN, WHETHER THE
9 PLAN, IF IMPLEMENTED, WOULD MEET THE TARGET. THOSE DUTIES
10 CURRENTLY LIE WITH THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD. WHICH MEANS THE
11 AIR RESOURCES BOARD, AS SOME SAY, IS THE JUDGE, JURY,
12 PROSECUTOR, EVERYTHING FROM START TO FINISH. SO THIS BRINGS
13 DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE INTO THE CONVERSATION, IN PARTICULAR, AN
14 AGENCY THAT HAS A LOT OF TRANSPORTATION EXPERTISE. SO, OUR
15 FINAL SET OF RECOMMENDATIONS IS DESIGNED TO MAKE THE STATE'S
16 TARGET SETTING PROCESS MORE TRANSPARENT AND MORE REFLECTIVE OF
17 REAL-WORLD CONDITIONS AND TRENDS. SO, WE WOULD LIKE CARB TO
18 BASE EACH REGION'S TARGETS ON WHAT'S REASONABLY ACHIEVABLE, OR
19 REALISTICALLY ACHIEVABLE FOR THE REGION, TAKING INTO ACCOUNT
20 FACTORS LIKE THE FISCAL CONSTRAINTS THAT WE HAVE. AS WELL, WE
21 WOULD LIKE THE TARGET TO INCORPORATE CALIFORNIA'S TRANSITION
22 TO CLEAN VEHICLES AND THE FUEL STANDARDS SO THAT WE CAN MORE
23 EASILY, BOTH DEMONSTRATE AND EXPLAIN THE PLAN'S REAL-WORLD
24 IMPACT ON GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. SHIFT WHAT SOME PEOPLE
25 CALL SHIFTING FROM MEASURING FAKE GHG TO REAL GHG. THE THIRD



MARCH 13, 2026

1 PIECE IN THIS SEGMENT WOULD STANDARDIZE THE AIR QUALITY
2 MODELING TOOL THAT WE USE FOR THE PLAN AND FOR OUR FEDERAL AIR
3 QUALITY CONFORMITY. AND THEN LASTLY, WE WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE
4 TRANSPARENCY IN THE STATE'S TARGETS PROCESS. SO THAT'S THINGS
5 LIKE PUBLIC HEARINGS, DAYLIGHTING METHODOLOGY FOR DEVELOPING
6 THE TARGET. THINGS THAT ARE VERY MUCH IN LINE WITH WHAT YOU DO
7 AS AN AGENCY, WHAT LOCAL GOVERNMENTS DO, WHAT OTHER STATE
8 AGENCIES DO. THIS IS A VERY AMBITIOUS SET OF POLICY AND
9 FUNDING CHANGES THAT ARE BEING CONSIDERED BY SENATOR CABAL
10 DIN. HE PLANS TO AMEND THE BILL LATER THIS MONTH, AND THEN
11 RECONVENE THE LARGE STAKEHOLDER TABLE THAT FORMER SENATOR
12 STEINBERG, HAS BEEN FACILITATING FOR THE BIG FOUR. WE
13 ANTICIPATE HEALTHY DEBATE AND ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK FROM THAT
14 WIDE RANGE OF STAKEHOLDERS WHO HAVE BEEN AT THE TABLE. AND
15 BOTH THAT TABLE AND THE UPCOMING HEARINGS, THE APRIL HEARINGS
16 IN MULTIPLE SENATE POLICY COMMITTEES WILL LIKELY RESULT IN
17 FURTHER REFINEMENTS TO THE BILL. SO WE ARE VERY MUCH AT THE
18 BEGINNING OF THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS, AND I ANTICIPATE
19 SUBSTANTIAL SHIFTS OVER TIME AS WE MOVE THROUGH THOSE POLICY
20 COMMITTEES. AS I MENTIONED WE'LL BE BACK NEXT MONTH WITH
21 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ONCE THE BILL IS AMENDED TO CONFIRM FOR
22 YOU WHAT HAS BEEN -- WHAT SENATOR HAS DECIDED TO PUT IN IT AND
23 HEAR ADDITIONAL COMMENTS. SO I'LL STOP THERE AND I'M HAPPY TO
24 ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS, MR. CHAIR?

25



MARCH 13, 2026

1 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** ANY QUESTIONS CENTER COMMISSIONER
2 ECKLUND?

3

4 **PAT EKLUND:** THANK YOU. ARE WE STILL OPEN TO IDEAS? OR HAVE WE
5 PASSED THAT POINT?

6

7 **JULIE SNYDER:** ALWAYS OPEN TO IDEAS.

8

9 **PAT EKLUND:** WELL, I HAVE JUST RECENTLY LEARNED THAT -- AND I'M
10 SURE THIS APPLIES TO OTHER CITIES THAT ARE NOT FULL SERVICE
11 CITIES, BUT IF THERE WAS A FUND OF MONEY THAT CITIES COULD
12 BORROW FROM, THAT COULD BE USED TO HELP RENOVATE EXISTING
13 HOUSING THAT IS UNINHABITABLE, THAT EVENTUALLY, HOPEFULLY,
14 WOULD BE PAID FOR BY THE LANDOWNER OR APARTMENT OWNER SO THE
15 FUND COULD BE REIMBURSED BACK. IT'S, SORT OF, A SET LENDING
16 PROGRAM, ARE THE CITY OF NOVATO, WE DON'T HAVE THE BANDWIDTH
17 TO DO SOMETHING LIKE THAT. AND I WOULD BE GLAD TO TALK TO YOU
18 ABOUT THIS SPECIFIC CASE. BUT IT'S NOT ATYPICAL, WHEN I FOUND
19 THAT MOST OF A LOT OF THE HOUSING IN MARIN IS IN THE SAME
20 CONDITION THAT THIS PARTICULAR APARTMENT COMPLEX WITH MULTIPLE
21 BUILDINGS IS IN. SO IF WE COULD HAVE ESTABLISHMENT OF
22 SOMETHING LIKE THAT SO WE DON'T HAVE TO GO THROUGH A LOT OF
23 LOOPS IN ORDER TO BORROW FROM THAT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL AND
24 THAT WOULD HELP SUSTAIN HOUSING FOR PEOPLE. SO ANYWAY, I WOULD
25 BE GLAD TO TALK WITH YOU OFFLINE IF PEOPLE THAT MIGHT BE SNAG



MARCH 13, 2026

1 WE MIGHT WANT TO ENCOURAGE AS SOMETHING NEW, AND DUE TO THE
2 LATE HOUR I'M NOT GOING TO GO INTO THE DETAILS OF IT BUT I
3 WOULD BE GLAD TO SHARE IT WITH ANYBODY WHO IS INTERESTED. BUT
4 IT'S MAKING PEOPLE NOT HAVE PLACES TO LIVE, AND SO FAMILIES
5 DON'T HAVE SHELTER OVER THEIR HEAD. SO, AND WE NEED TO DO
6 SOMETHING FAST. SO THIS MIGHT BE SOMETHING THAT WE CAN DO,
7 THAT'S MY SUGGESTION.

8

9 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** THANK YOU. ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM
10 COMMISSIONERS OR DIRECTION FROM STAFF?

11

12 **V. CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE MTC:** THANK YOU CHAIR CARLSON. I
13 THINK I'M TRYING TO JUST READ BETWEEN THE LINES A LITTLE BIT
14 ABOUT THE INTENTION OF THE BILL. MAYBE YOU CAN CLARIFY FOR ME?
15 SO WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT TENSIONS BETWEEN AFFORDABILITY AND
16 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION GOALS, IS THE PRESUMPTION THAT WE
17 WOULD MOVE TOWARD A SET OF PARAMETERS THAT WOULD ACCEPT DENSE
18 PLANNING? THAT WE WOULD ESSENTIALLY ACCEPT MORE SPRAWL?
19 IS THAT WHERE THIS IS GOING?

20

21 **MATT MALONEY:** MATT MALONEY DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR METRO
22 PLANNING AND POLICY. SO, IN CURRENT STATUTE, SB 375, IT IS
23 FOCUSED ON GREENHOUSE GAS REDUCTION AND THE PROVISION OF
24 HOUSING AT ALL INCOME LEVELS. ALTHOUGH BEYOND THAT, IT DOESN'T
25 REALLY GET INTO ANY POLICY AREAS, BEYOND THAT. ONE OF THE



MARCH 13, 2026

1 THINGS THAT WE HAVE STRUGGLED WITH OVER THE YEARS HAS BEEN,
2 FOR EXAMPLE, THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN OUR SCS, PLANNED BAY
3 AREA, AND OTHER STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS, LIKE RHNA, WHICH HAS A
4 SIMILAR FOCUS TO PLANNED BAY AREA IN TERMS OF INFILL
5 DEVELOPMENT BUT ALSO REQUIRES THINGS LIKE AFFIRMATIVELY
6 FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING IN PLANNING. YOU'RE KIND OF SUPPOSED
7 TO TRY TO SOLVE BOTH OF THOSE PROBLEMS AT THE SAME TIME, WHICH
8 I THINK IS A WORTHY GOAL. THE CURRENT SB 375 DOES NOT HAVE
9 ANYTHING IN IT ON FAIR HOUSING, OR REALLY AFFORDABILITY. SO
10 WHEN WE HAVE A PLAN THAT'S TALKING ABOUT THINGS LIKE ROAD
11 PRICING AND TRYING TO BE MORE AGGRESSIVE IN THAT TO TRY TO
12 REACH OUR GOALS, YOU KNOW, WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE THOSE THINGS
13 AREN'T DONE ON THE BACKS OF THE LOW-INCOME FOLKS IN THIS
14 REGION. I THINK OUR PLAN ATTEMPTS TO CORRECT FOR THAT, WORKING
15 WITH ALL OF YOU. BUT THERE IS NOTHING REALLY IN THE STATUTE
16 THAT, SORT OF, FORCES THE STATE TO DO THAT TYPE OF PLANNING.
17 SO, I THINK THE INTENTION OF THIS IS JUST TO BE MORE HOLISTIC,
18 TRYING TO GET THE AGENCY'S ARB, CTC, HCD, GET THESE FOLKS MORE
19 AT THE TABLE WITH EACH OTHER TO TALK ABOUT HOW WE BALANCE
20 THESE BROAD SETS OF GOALS. SO FOR US I WOULDN'T CHARACTERIZE
21 IT AS MOVING TOWARD A SPRAWL TYPE OF LANDSCAPE, I DON'T THINK
22 THAT IS THE FUTURE OF OUR PLANNING, BUT I THINK IT IS TRYING
23 TO GET TO ACCOMPLISH A LOT OF THESE TYPES OF GOALS, SORT OF,
24 SIMULTANEOUSLY.

25



MARCH 13, 2026

1 **V. CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE MTC:** I THINK THAT ONE OF THE --
2 ONE OF THE CONCERNS THAT I HAVE HEARD OVER TIME HAS BEEN THAT
3 WE HAVE A SET OF MAN DATED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION REDUCTION
4 GOALS THAT WE HAVE STRUGGLED TO ACTUALIZE, AT LEAST IN OUR --
5 CERTAINLY IN REAL LIFE, BUT ALSO THROUGH OUR PLANNING
6 DOCUMENTS. AND I THINK I'M -- I'M TRYING TO FOLLOW THE BREAD
7 CRUMBS OF WHAT YOU'RE LAYING OUT IN TERMS OF HOW WE ADDRESS
8 THAT. I PERSONALLY AM MUCH MORE COMFORTABLE WITH AN APPROACH
9 THAT ACKNOWLEDGING THAT WE HAVE GOALS THAT ARE HARD TO
10 ACHIEVE, AND YET VERY NECESSARY, AND THAT TRIES TO BRING, AS I
11 THINK YOU'RE SUGGESTING, ARE THE RIGHT ACTORS TO THE ABLE TO
12 SQUARE THAT, THEN I WOULD BE TO SAY THIS IS HARD TO DO. WE
13 HAVEN'T FIGURED IT OUT YET. AND SO LET'S MOVE THE GOALPOST.
14 AND WITHOUT GETTING INTO THE DETAIL OF HOW THE BILL IS BEING
15 DEVELOPED AND WHAT THE, YOU KNOW, SPECIFIC TRADEOFFS ARE, IT'S
16 HARD FOR ME TO KIND OF EVALUATE WHERE THAT IS HEADING IN THE
17 DEVELOPMENT OF THIS, BUT WOULD OFFER THAT AS, AT LEAST FROM MY
18 PERSPECTIVE, AN IMPORTANT KIND OF DIRECTIONAL ELEMENT. THANK
19 YOU.

20

21 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** THANK YOU. ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR
22 DIRECTION FROM STAFF? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?

23

24 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** THERE IS NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE
25 RECEIVED ON THIS ITEM AND THERE IS NO ONE IN THE BOARDROOM OR



MARCH 13, 2026

1 ZOOM WISHING TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM.

2

3 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** YES? COMMISSIONER ROMERO?

4

5 **V. CHAIR, CARLOS ROMERO, ABAG:** JUST A COUPLE QUESTIONS. THE
6 POINT UNDER ALIGNING STATE FUNDING PROGRAMS WITH SCS TO FASTER
7 IMPLEMENTATION, SCS CONSISTENTLY WITH HIGHWAY FOUR PROJECTS TO
8 RECEIVE FUNDING FROM STATE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMS. WHO WOULD
9 MAKE THAT DETERMINATION THAT INDEED HIGHWAY PROGRAMS ARE
10 CONSISTENT WITH THE SCS? AND IS THAT -- IS IT THE STATE
11 TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT THAT WOULD BE MAKING THOSE -- THAT
12 CONSISTENCY APPROVAL? STAFF?

13

14 **JULIE SNYDER:** GENERALLY, WHERE THAT REQUIREMENT EXISTS IN
15 CURRENT LAW FOR OTHER PROGRAMS IT'S THE MPO MAKING THE
16 DETERMINATION THAT'S CONSISTENT, SO THAT'S MOST LIKELY THE
17 DIRECTION THAT THE SENATOR WOULD GO WITH THIS BILL BUT THAT IS
18 LEVEL OF DETAIL THAT WE HAVE NOT YET DISCUSSED WITH HIM.

19

20 **V. CHAIR, CARLOS ROMERO, ABAG:** SO I YOU KNOW THERE IS CONCERN
21 ABOUT CONTINUING HIGHWAY EXPANSION, I'M NOT SAYING WE SHOULD
22 NOT DO IT AT ALL, BUT THERE ARE PROBABLY SOME PLACES WHERE
23 STATE ROUTE 37 MAY BE ONE, BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT,
24 INDEED, THESE CHANGES DO NOT LEAD TO POTENTIALLY A LESSENING
25 OF HOW WE SCRUTINIZE HIGHWAY PROJECTS VIS-A-VIS GREENHOUSE



MARCH 13, 2026

1 GASSES, VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED. I KNOW THE ANALYSIS IS
2 COMPLEX, AND WE'RE DOING THAT IF OUR COUNTY, TO FIG OUT
3 WHETHER WE DO AN ADDITIONAL MANAGED LANES -- MANAGED LANES
4 PROJECT, BUT CERTAINLY I WOULD WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT ANY
5 CHANGES, KIND OF STRENGTHEN THAT CONNECTION BETWEEN INCREASING
6 LANES VERSUS THE -- AND VERSUS INCREASING GHG AND VEHICLE
7 MILES TRAVELED.

8

9 **REBECCA LONG:** IF I COULD RESPOND TO THAT? REBECCA LONG
10 DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATION AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS. I THINK THE INTENT
11 OF THIS PROVISION IS REALLY TO ADDRESS THE RARE INSTANCES WHEN
12 THE STATE HAS ACTUALLY PROMOTION SAID SOME HIGHWAY EXPANSION
13 PROJECTS THAT WERE NOT ALREADY INCLUDED IN A SUSTAINABLE
14 COMMUNITY STRATEGY. [LAUGHTER] SO, AS YOU KNOW --

15

16 **V. CHAIR, CARLOS ROMERO, ABAG:** SO, YOU'RE REIGNING IN THE
17 STATE.

18

19 **REBECCA LONG:** WELL WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE BEING HELD TO A
20 VERY TOUGH TARGET RIGHT FOR GHG AND FOR EVERY HIGHWAY
21 EXPANSION THAT WE HAVE IN PLAN WE HAVE COME UP WITH OTHER
22 STRATEGIES TO TRY TO REIN, IN THAT GHG ALONG THE LINE VERY
23 DIFFERENT PROPOSALS THAT DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MATT
24 MALONEY JUST MENTIONED SUCH AS ALL-LANE TOLLING RIGHT SO WE
25 WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT IF THE STATE'S GOING TO BE GOING TO



MARCH 13, 2026

1 HIGHWAY WIDENING TOO. WE HAVE INCORPORATED THAT INTO OUR SCS
2 AND IT'S CONSISTENT WITH OUR SCS. I THINK THAT'S THE IDEA
3 THERE.

4

5 **V. CHAIR, CARLOS ROMERO, ABAG:** THANK YOU THAT WAS HELPFUL
6 CLARIFICATION. THEN ONE LAST QUESTION RELATED TO RIGHT SIZING
7 THE PLANNING PROCESS. SO POINT B, AUTHORIZE CONDITIONAL
8 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT EXEMPTION FOR SCS, CAN
9 YOU DIVE DEEPER INTO THAT? AND THAT'S WHICH SCS ARE WE --

10

11 **JULIE SNYDER:** SURE. THROUGH THE CHAIR. SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT
12 PLANNED BAY AREA.

13

14 **V. CHAIR, CARLOS ROMERO, ABAG:** THE LOCAL ONES? OKAY, REGIONAL.

15

16 **JULIE SNYDER:** YAH, THE REGIONAL LEVEL. AND THE CONDITIONAL
17 PIECE OF THAT AS MENTIONED IT'S PREDICATED ON VERY ROBUST
18 PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY.

19

20 **V. CHAIR, CARLOS ROMERO, ABAG:** RIGHT. AND THAT'S MY CONCERN. I
21 WANT TO MAKE SURE THERE'S PUBLIC EXECUTE ME TO ON THIS BECAUSE
22 EVERY TIME WE CHIP AWAY AT CEQA WE GET ACCUSED OF BASICALLY
23 TRYING TO HIDE BEHIND OUR PLANS AND NOT MAKE THEM PUBLIC SO IF
24 WE CAN FIGURE OUT THAT SECRET SAUCES THAT'S GREAT BUT I HOPE
25 IT'S NOT LEADING TO AN AREA A PLACE WHERE INDEED WE ARE



MARCH 13, 2026

1 CONDEMNING THIS PROCESS TO BE MORE LESS TRANSPARENT. THANK
2 YOU.

3

4 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** THANK YOU. ANYONE ELSE? APPRECIATE THE
5 REPORT AND WORK AND EXCITED TO CIRCLE BACK AND ROLL AND SEE
6 WHERE WE CAN LAND AS WE GO FORWARD. SO WE'LL SEE YOU NEXT
7 MONTH. DID I CALL FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS? I CAN'T
8 REMEMBER.

9

10 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** YOU DID.

11

12 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** [LAUGHTER] I CAN'T REMEMBER. WE'LL
13 MOVE TO ITEM 4A OUR WASHINGTON DC LEGISLATIVE UPDATE AND WE'LL
14 BRING GEORGIA BACK FOR OUR UPDATE. AND MAYBE THIS IS
15 OPPORTUNITY FOR THAT QUESTION?

16

17 **MARILYN EZZY ASHCRAFT:** I REITERATE MY QUESTION. [LAUGHTER]
18 THANK YOU.

19

20 **GEORGIA GANN-DOHRMANN:** FOR YOUR FEDERAL UPDATE THERE IS QUITE
21 A BIT GOING ON IN THE FEDERAL WORLD BOTH SURFACE, I MEAN
22 OVERALL AND SURFACE TRANSPORTATION REAUTHORIZATION SPACE, YOU
23 KNOW, THE FEDERAL LAW THAT GUIDES TRANSPORTATION FUNDING AND
24 POLICY IS SET TO EXPIRE AT THE END OF THIS SEPTEMBER. AND SO
25 THERE IS A LOT GOING ON IN CONGRESS RIGHT NOW AROUND, YOU



MARCH 13, 2026

1 KNOW, MEMBERS AND COMMITTEES THINK YOU THROUGH WHAT THE NEXT,
2 SORT OF, REALM OF SURFACE TRANSPORTATION POLICY AND FUNDING
3 PRIORITIES ARE GOING TO BE. SO THAT'S PART OF WHY THERE IS A
4 LOT IN YOUR PACKET AROUND, YOU KNOW, SORT OF, POLICY
5 LEGISLATION -- LEGISLATIVE STAMPS THAT HAVE BEEN PUT OUT, AS
6 WELL AS, AGAIN, A LOT OF ACTION IN THAT TRANSPORTATION SPACE.
7 ON THE HOUSING SIDE, YOU KNOW, I HAVE BEEN IN -- WORKING IN
8 FEDERAL WORLD FOR 18 YEARS NOW, AND THERE HAS NEVER BEEN AN
9 AUTHORIZATION ON -- IN THE HOUSING WORLD SINCE I HAVE STARTED.
10 I THINK THE LAST TIME THEY AUTHORIZED SOMETHING WAS IN THE
11 '80s. SO IT'S A REALLY BIG DEAL THAT CONGRESS IS CONSIDERING A
12 MAJOR HOUSING PACKAGE THAT REALLY BOTH THE HOUSE AND THE
13 SENATE HAVE PASSED THEIR OWN HOUSING PACKAGES. THEY'RE -- I'M
14 TRYING TO ADDRESS A RANGE OF THINGS. SOME OF THEM ARE, SORT
15 OF, LIKE, AGAIN, CLEAN UP ON THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK
16 GRANT PROGRAM, AND HOME, THAT LOCAL JURISDICTIONS HAVE
17 RECEIVED RESOURCES FROM DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN
18 DEVELOPMENT AND THE RULES AROUND HOW THOSE RESOURCE AND THE
19 REGULATIONS, AND THE LAW AROUND HOW THOSE RESOURCES ARE SPENT
20 AND WHAT ELIGIBILITIES ARE, AND AGAIN ALL OF THAT HAVEN'T BEEN
21 UPDATED REALLY SINCE THE '80s SO IT'S, SORT OF, TYPICAL IN
22 THAT WAY ON THERE SAYING, OH, THERE'S DIFFERENT TYPES OF
23 HOUSING THAT PEOPLE BUILD NOW, AND SO WE SHOULD AGAIN UPDATE
24 THE RULES AND WHAT'S ALLOWED. THERE IS ALSO A LOT IN THERE
25 THAT ARE KIND OF DIFFERENT IN THE HOUSE AND SENATE SIDES



MARCH 13, 2026

1 AROUND HOW TO INCREASE AFFORDABILITY HOW TO INCREASE HOUSING
2 PRODUCTION, AND ALSO HOW TO ENCOURAGE MORE HOUSING PRODUCTION
3 AROUND TRANSIT. IT IS REALLY INTERESTING. A LOT OF THE THINGS
4 ARE KIND OF ALIGNED WITH THE TRANSIT ORIENTED COMMUNITIES WORK
5 THAT YOU ALL DO, AND IT IS PRETTY -- BUT BECAUSE IT'S A
6 FEDERAL SPACE, THERE'S QUITE A BIT LESS, TYPICALLY, FROM THE
7 PERMITTING, FROM THE ZONING, AND ALL OF THAT THAT THE FEDERAL
8 GOVERNMENT HAS JURISDICTION OVER. SO MANY OF THE POLICY
9 CHANGES THAT ARE IN THERE THAT ARE TRYING TO GET CLOSER TO THE
10 TRANSIT ORIENT THE COMMUNITIES WORK THAT YOU ALL DO ARE REALLY
11 LIMITED TO HOUSING THAT'S BUILT ON FEDERAL LAND. OR, YOU KNOW,
12 AGAIN, OTHER THINGS WHERE THE FEDS WOULD HAVE A SAY IN ZONING
13 AND/OR ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW. SO, I HOPE THAT HELPS WITH THE
14 BIG PICTURE OVERVIEW. THERE IS ALSO A SUMMARY, A SECTION BY
15 SECTION SUMMARY IN YOUR PACKET OF THE SENATE PROPOSAL. AND
16 I'LL PAUSE THERE.

17

18 **MARILYN EZZY ASHCRAFT:** I WAS JUST GOING TO ADD TO THAT, THAT I
19 WAS BACK IN DC IN JANUARY FOR THE U.S. CONFERENCE OF MAYORS
20 AND WE HEARD FROM DEMOCRATIC SENATOR ELIZABETH WARREN AND
21 REPUBLICAN SENATOR TIM SCOTT WHO ARE COAUTHORS OF THE SENATE
22 BILL AS YOU KNOW IT SOUNDED QUITE HOPEFUL AND THE SENATE BILL
23 CERTAINLY STAYED MOSTLY INTACT NOW IT IS IN THE HOUSE AND WHO
24 KNOWS WHAT HAPPENS THERE. BUT I THINK ONE OF THE PROVISIONS, I
25 THINK WOULD HAVE IMPLICATIONS FOR THE BAY AREA IS THIS



MARCH 13, 2026

1 QUESTION OF INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS AND LARGE CORPORATIONS WHO
2 BUY UP HOMES AND THERE IS A PROVISION LIMITING ANYONE WHO OWNS
3 MORE THAN 350 HOMES, AND I THINK THESE ARE SINGLE-FAMILY
4 RESIDENCES, FROM BEING ABLE TO BUILD MORE, OR A PROVISION THAT
5 THEY COULD BUILD MORE, BUT THEN IN SEVEN YEARS THEY WOULD HAVE
6 TO SELL THOSE. AND SO, BUT YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT, THAT SINCE
7 THE '80s, THERE HAS BEEN SO MANY CHANGES IN HOUSING AND
8 HOUSING MODELS, AND WE DO NEED TO LOOK AT THEM, THE HOUSING
9 CRISIS, AND HOW TO SOLVE IT IN MANY DIFFERENT WAYS. SO, I
10 GUESS WE ALL JUST NEED TO THINK POSITIVE THOUGHTS THAT THINGS
11 WILL STILL COME WHEN IT GETS THROUGH THE HOUSE. BUT THANK YOU
12 FOR SHARING THAT.

13

14 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** THANK YOU. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR
15 COMMENTS ON THIS ITEM? THANK YOU GEORGIA. ALL RIGHT. ANY
16 PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM?

17

18 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** THERE IS NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE
19 RECEIVED ON THIS ITEM AND NO ONE IN THE BOARDROOM OR ZOOM
20 WISHING TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM.

21

22 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** ALL RIGHT MOVING TO ITEM FIVE WHICH IS
23 GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT AND PUBLIC OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK ON ANY
24 ITEM UNDER OUR JURISDICTION BUT NOT ON OUR AGENDA TODAY. DO WE
25 HAVE ANY GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT.



MARCH 13, 2026

1

2 **CLERK OF THE BOARD:** THERE WAS NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE
3 RECEIVED UNDER GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT AND THERE IS NO ONE IN
4 THE BOARDROOM OR ZOOM WISHING TO SPEAK.

5

6 **CHAIR, KEN CARLSON MTC:** WONDERFUL. THAT WILL TAKE US TO OUR
7 ADJOURNMENT. THE JOINT MTC ABAG LEGISLATION COMMITTEE
8 COMMITTEE WILL BE HELD APRIL 10TH AT THE METRO CENTER AT 9:45
9 A.M. THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE. [ADJOURNED]