METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript



```
1
                       BAY AREA PARTNERSHIP BOARD
                     MONDAY, MAY 12, 2025 10:30 AM
2
3
    yerba buena to the zoom.
4
5
    eddy cumins: cumins
6
    chair, kate miller: okay. We're calling the meeting to order
7
8
    and I don't have any announcements. We're going to move right
    into this. This is the partnership meeting and if that's not
9
    the meeting your attending you're in the wrong place. This
10
11
    meeting is being webcast on the mtc web site. Council members
    and members of the public participating by zoom wishing to
12
    speak should use the raised hand feature -- I quess that
13
    should be members. Used raised hand feature or dial star nine
14
    and I will call upon you at the appropriate time.
15
16
    Teleconference attends will be called by the last four digits
    of their phone number. And will the clerk call roll and
17
18
    confirm quorum agenda item number one.
19
    clerk of the board: thank you. Remote participations if you
20
    could please state your name when I call your name. Chair
21
22
   miller? Chair miller?
23
    chair, kate miller: [Laughter]
24
```





```
clerk of the board: vice chair gonot? Albee? Is absent. Member
1
    barns?
2
3
    rashidi barnes: present.
4
5
    clerk of the board: member bouchard?
6
7
8
    michelle bouchard: present.
9
    clerk of the board: member cameron?
10
11
    james cameron: present at the noticed location for king street
12
13
    santa rosa.
14
    clerk of the board: thank you. Member chan?
15
16
    APRIL chan: here.
17
18
19
    clerk of the board: member chang?
20
    tilly chang: here.
21
22
23
    clerk of the board: charpentier?
24
    clerk of the board: here.
25
```





```
1
    clerk of the board: member clevengar? Member cliff? Member
2
    cumins?
3
4
5
    eddy cumins: here.
6
7
    clerk of the board: member dagang?
8
9
    deborah dagang: here.
10
11
    clerk of the board: member ede online? Ied.
12
    speaker: yes also present at the consistentlying street
13
    location santa rows.
14
15
    clerk of the board: thank you. Member el-tawansy? Member
    fremier? Is absent. Member fine? Member fremier?
17
18
19
    andrew fremier: here.
20
    clerk of the board: member goldzband? Jessica fain for
21
22
    goldzband? Member haile?
23
    tim haile: present.
24
25
```





```
clerk of the board: member ger rear owe for halls? Curve bomb
1
    /- /- -- member lehman? Member llamas?
2
3
    speaker: present.
4
5
    clerk of the board: Mckenny is absent. Misra is absent. Member
6
   mulligan?
7
8
9
    denis mulligan: present.
10
    clerk of the board: murphy?
11
12
   michael hursh: here.
13
14
    clerk of the board: member omishakan? Is absent. Member
15
    powers? Is absent. Member richman?
17
    anne richman: present at 900 5th avenue, san rafael.
18
19
    clerk of the board: thank you. For ristow?
20
21
22
    speaker: present.
23
    clerk of the board: rowan is absent. Stuth? Is absent.
24
    Staffars? Is absent. Taylor? Tellis is absent. Thompson?
25
```





```
1
2
    rob thompson: here.
3
    clerk of the board: member van dewater?
4
5
    speaker: I believe that's me.
6
7
8
    clerk of the board: member webner? Is absent. Whelan?
9
10
    nancy whelan: here.
11
    clerk of the board: member wilson? Member wilson is absent.
12
    Member woldensenbet? Is absent. We have quorum. Thank you.
13
14
    chair, kate miller: thank you, wally. So, we're going on to
15
16
    item agenda item two. Welcome to new members. So, I know sal
    llamas, ac transit is the new general manager there, welcome
17
18
    to you. I don't know if there are any other new numbers do you
    want to say anything, sal?
19
20
    speaker: thank you very much for inviting me welcoming me in I
21
22
    feel the love, I feel the warmth, and look forward to working
    with all of you.
23
24
    chair, kate miller: thank you.
25
```





1 speaker: julie kirschbaum, mta, I have been in the role since 2 3 JANUARY but this is my first partnership meeting. 4 5 chair, kate miller: sorry about that julie, you kind of snuck in and I didn't see you there t welcome to both of you. Item 6 number 3a is the consent calendar which includes the board 7 minutes from DECEMBER 2nd, 2024 this is an action item do I 8 have a motion and second to approve the consent calendar? 9 10 denis mulligan: so moved mulligan. 11 12 chair, kate miller: motion. Do I have a second? Church second. 13 14 chair, kate miller: thank you clerk are there public comments 15 16 associated with this item? 17 18 clerk of the board: there no hands raised online no one in the room with a speaking card and nothing was received in writing. 19 20 chair, kate miller: do we do roll call. 21 22 23 clerk of the board: we do due to the teleconference locations miller. 24



```
1
    chair, kate miller: yes.
2
3
    clerk of the board: gonot?
4
5
    v. Chair, carolyn gonot: yes.
6
    clerk of the board: barns?
7
8
9
    rashidi barnes: aye.
10
11
    clerk of the board: bouchard?
12
13
    michelle bouchard: aye.
14
    clerk of the board: cameron?
15
16
17
    james cameron: aye.
18
19
    clerk of the board: chan?
20
    APRIL chan: yes.
21
22
23
    clerk of the board: chang?
24
    tilly chang: aye.
25
```





```
1
    clerk of the board: charpentier?
2
3
    speaker: yes.
4
5
    clerk of the board: couple is?
6
7
8
    eddy cumins: aye.
9
    clerk of the board: dagang?
10
11
    deborah dagang: yes.
12
13
    clerk of the board: el-tawansy?
14
15
16
    dina el-tawansy: aye.
17
18
    clerk of the board: fabry is absent. Fine is absent. Fremier?
19
20
    andrew fremier: aye.
21
22
    clerk of the board: goelgdz band is absent. Hail?
23
    tim haile: aye.
24
25
```





```
1
    clerk of the board: for halls?
2
3
    speaker: aye hovertsz? Kirschbaum? Mishra is absent. Mulligan?
4
5
    denis mulligan: aye.
6
    clerk of the board: omishakin? Absent. Powers absent. Richman?
7
8
9
    anne richman: yes.
10
11
    clerk of the board: for ristow?
12
13
    speaker: yes.
14
    clerk of the board: rowan is absent. Stuth? Absent. Staffars?
15
16
    Absent. Taylor? Absent. Tellis? Absent. Thompson?
17
18
    rob thompson: yes.
19
    clerk of the board: van dewater?
20
21
22
    speaker: yes.
23
    clerk of the board: wegener? Absent. Whelan?
24
25
```



```
1
    nancy whelan: yes.
2
    clerk of the board: wilson? Is absent.
3
4
5
    clerk of the board: woldensbet?
6
7
    speaker: here.
8
9
    clerk of the board: motion passes unanimously by all members
10
    present.
11
    speaker: MADAM Chair, viet tran voting for member fine.
12
13
    clerk of the board: thank you.
14
15
16
    chair, kate miller: thank you, wally. I think we can move on
    to agenda item 4a elections of the bay area partnership board
17
18
    vice chair. Theresa in.
19
    theresa rommell: good morning, everyone. Theresa rommel with
20
   mtc. As partnership board practice dictates on the occasion
21
22
    current chair kate miller's retirement vice chair of the
23
    partnership board carolyn gonot would automatically kind of --
    to the chair position?
24
25
```



```
chair, kate miller: overwhelming response, I think you're up
1
    for the task caroline.
2
3
    theresa rommell: assuming she's up for it there needs to be
4
5
    election for a new vice chair of the partnership board. Also
    in keeping with partnership practice the partnership board
6
    alternates but the chair and vice chair alternate between the
7
8
    transit agency and cta representative since carolyn gonot was
9
    was previously looked to vice chair representing transit
    agencies the new vice chair should be a representative of cta
10
11
    and with that I'll turn it back to chair miller to accept any
    nominations for a partnership board vice chair.
12
13
    chair, kate miller: thank you do I have any nominations?
14
15
16
    clerk of the board: there was a hand online.
17
18
    chair, kate miller: oh I can't -- oh it's james cameron.
19
    speaker: I would like to nominate charpentier san mateo
20
21
    council governments as our vice chair.
22
23
    chair, kate miller: okay. Are there any other people chomping
    at the bit for this heavy-duty responsibility? Oh tilly?
24
```



```
1
    tilly chang: can I second that, please?
2
3
    chair, kate miller: sure. All right. So, I don't see any other
    interest. So, sean -- do we have to vote on this, right? Okay.
4
5
    So, and, yeah, nominated by james cameron, and seconded by
    tilly chang.
6
7
8
    clerk of the board: chair miller?
9
10
    chair, kate miller: yes.
11
    clerk of the board: vice chair gonot?
12
13
   v. Chair, carolyn gonot: yes.
14
15
16
    clerk of the board: member albee? Is absent. Member barns?
17
    rashidi barnes: aye.
18
19
    clerk of the board: member bow chart?
20
21
22
   michelle bouchard: yes.
23
    clerk of the board: cameron?
24
25
```



```
1
    james cameron: yes.
2
    clerk of the board: member chan?
3
4
5
    APRIL chan: yes.
6
7
    clerk of the board: member chang?
8
9
    tilly chang: yes.
10
11
    clerk of the board: member charpentier?
12
13
    speaker: yes.
14
    clerk of the board: member churchill?
15
16
    bill churchill: aye.
17
18
19
    clerk of the board: member clevenger is absent. Cliff?
20
    steve cliff: yes.
21
22
23
    clerk of the board: degang?
24
    deborah dagang: yes.
25
```



```
1
2
    clerk of the board: ede?
3
    speaker: yes.
4
5
    clerk of the board: tran for fine?
6
7
8
    speaker: yes.
9
    clerk of the board: fremier?
10
11
    andrew fremier: yes.
12
13
    clerk of the board: goldzband is batter. Member heovertsz?
14
    Absent. Kirschbaum?
15
16
17
    speaker: aye.
18
19
    clerk of the board: konove absent. Kranda? Lehman?
20
    kathy lai: aye.
21
22
23
    v. Chair, carlos romero abag ac: -- mulligan? Murphy?
24
    michael hursh: yes.
25
```





```
1
    clerk of the board: omishakin? Absent. Powers absent. Richman?
2
3
    anne richman: yes.
4
5
    clerk of the board: ristow?
6
7
8
    speaker: yes.
9
    clerk of the board: member rowan? Absent. Stuth? Absent.
10
    Staffars? Taylor is absent. Tellis absent. Thompson?
11
12
13
    speaker: yes.
14
    clerk of the board: van dewater?
15
16
17
    speaker: yes.
18
19
    clerk of the board: wegener? Is absent. Whelan?
20
    nancy whelan: yes.
21
22
23
    clerk of the board: wilson? Is absent.
24
    speaker: wait. Wilson just entered.
25
```





```
1
2
    clerk of the board: okay. Member woldenbet?
3
    clerk of the board: motion passes unanimously by all members
4
5
   present.
6
    chair, kate miller: I apologize we neglected to ask for public
7
8
    comment is there any public comment?
9
    clerk of the board: none on this item.
10
11
    chair, kate miller: next item is 4b federal actions and
12
    implication this is an yvenlz item.
13
14
15
   matt mahan: will present the item.
16
   matt maloney: good morning, everyone thankfully no roll call
17
18
    vote on this. Today I'll provide an update on the activities
    and actions that we're aware of on the federal level, our goal
19
    today is to provide information to share, which you all know,
20
    and we're happy to take feedback on things you would like mtc
21
    to be doing to advocate on behalf of the region since the
22
    beginning of the new administration we have main open lines of
23
    communication with federal partners good news on most of our
24
    routine administrative process at the mpo level grant
25
```





agreements for formula funds state and federal approvals do 1 2 continue at a regular pace for transportation improvement 3 program or tip which is the region's four year set of federally funded and regionally significant transportation 4 5 projects continues to receive its needed approvals which allows projects in the region to move forward. We do continue 6 to monitor ongoing direct identifies that are emanating from 7 8 the federal agencies. So, as many of you know, the U.S. Dot 9 secretary responsibility communication to various state regional local entities to inform them of legal obligations 10 11 and obligations and expectations as recipients of federal funds miscommunication include references to dei activities 12 and enforcement of immigration laws we have seen similar 13 directives from other agencies including U.S. Epa regarding 14 the implication of those directives things like fta master 15 16 agreements we would recommend your general counsels review compliance with those agreements in light of the directives 17 18 and understandings that our federal partners would welcome 19 getting questions on those things we have seen a considerable actions in courts on all of these directives a number of local 20 governments including bay area challenging changes to the 21 22 grant requirements for fta and hud funding that target state 23 and local policies and requirements it's not clear how all of that is going to play out for the administration and 24 enforcement this is all happening in the U.S. District court 25





for western district of washington they're quite active up 1 2 there on multiple fronts right now we have been concerned 3 about the lack of action on already awarded federal discretionary grants many of these grants do predate the 4 5 current administration on the transportation side we believe there is over a billion dollars region-wide of these grants, 6 build programs raise, mega chrissy bus and bus facilities and 7 8 discretionary funding sources. We do understand that the low no funds are beginning to move. That's an update we received 9 last week but we're waiting for things like bus facilities 10 program that get unlocked for those awarded grants to get 11 obligated we're aware of the lawsuit to stop the trump 12 administration from legally terminating billions in 13 congressionally approved funding for electric vehicle 14 15 infrastructure this is the navy program generally rolls out to 16 state dots the lawsuit on that up in washington, so I guess you know we are monitoring all of this information we will 17 18 summer let our congressional delegation know what's at stake 19 here with all of this there has been a lot of changes to agencies and evolving circumstances at the federal level, you 20 have seen reports about the federal staff layoffs and impacts 21 to numerous programs understanding this is fhwa california 22 23 division down 50% in staffing, I think there is a different level of leadership in place right now at fta and fhwa on 24 policy direction so I guess stay tuned and patient with all of 25





that as this rolls along we have acting administrators and 1 2 things along those lines but things are starting to move in 3 closing next week our leadership at mtc along with executive staff and legislative staff and some of our commissioners will 4 5 continue a decades long tradition as we travel to dc for our annual advocacy trip the primary purpose is to share our 6 federal priorities with washington policy makers and 7 8 strengthen relationships with our congressional delegation relevant committee staff and key administration officials. We 9 know a number of your agencies will be there as well congress 10 11 has began working on transportation reauthorization and one of our key messages when we're in dc is that states and regions 12 continue to require predictable and adequate resources to 13 deliver on national priorities and even in this climate we 14 15 remain optimist take that our transportation housing and 16 environment priorities will continue to receive bipartisan support in congress some principles authorization and 17 18 preserving those core highway transit funding programs formula 19 programs that we depend upon including cig funds and some of the other funds that came out through the iija bill. Also, you 20 know, I think focusing on strengthening our federal 21 partnership, removing barriers working to accelerate projects 22 23 that will be one of our messages as well and in closing monitoring the fy '26 budgets for the authorization priorities 24 there have also been congressional testimony already on 25





- 1 reauthorization. So, just a suggestion to all of you to check
- 2 out that testimony when it occurs. So, that is my report.
- 3 Happy to hear other information that folks have or take any
- 4 questions.

5

- 6 chair, kate miller: thank you, matt. Anybody have any
- 7 questions? It's all perfectly clear for everybody, I'm sure.
- 8 Okay. Any members of the public have questions, wally?

9

- 10 clerk of the board: there is one member of the public with
- 11 their hands raised. You want to give it two minutes?

12

13 chair, kate miller: yes. Two minutes.

14

15 **clerk of the board:** aleta dupree?

- 17 **speaker:** thank you good morning chair kate miller and members
- 18 aleta dupree for the record she and her with team folds. I
- 19 wish I could be with you today. I have been following this
- 20 issue quite a bit not to the level that our subject matter
- 21 expert has, and I'm hoping to hear from you all down the line.
- 22 I am concerned about the implications of this tug of war.
- 23 That's affecting money. I read about a transit agencies in
- 24 another city that rolled back dei programs. Well, what could
- 25 it mean for me entering on that system? And that could I be at



risk of losing reduced fare benefits. Would that be revoked? 1 Could my veteran's based benefits be revoked? Could the 2 3 elimination of dei lead to segregated buses and rail cars? This matter is very serious to me as I know it is to you. It 4 5 goes beyond money. Our agencies are in the business of serving the public. And that means the entire public. And you all have 6 done a very good job at that. As I have experienced through 7 8 the years of enjoying using your various agencies for transportation service, and, also, I enjoy coming to your 9 meetings. So, how do we go forward in ensuring that our 10 11 transportation system are safe and welcoming places for all? I hope I don't find that my reduced fare is revoked down the 12 road. Because some could be reduced fare as a form of dei. So 13 we have to continue to advocate for the monies that we are 14 entitled to us under the law. Because I want to see bay area 15 16 transportation continue forward in its best, safest, and most welcoming method. Thank you 17 18 19 clerk of the board: thank you. There are no other speakers online and no --20 21 22 chair, kate miller: thank you, wally. And, tilly, did you want

24

23

to say something?





tilly chang: thank you. I wanted to share that last week we 1 did receive notice from U.S. dot that our \$20 million epa 2 3 grant for the treasure island connect program was canceled this grant had been on pause since late JANUARY so we did not 4 5 expend it we're disappointed it was a six part grant with funds for muni and weta and bay ferry and electrification on 6 treasure island shuttle micro transit bikeshare and a few 7 8 other things this was a grant that was obligated as of late 2020, and we're -- [Indiscernible] To challenge that. 9 10 speaker: was there any particular reason given? 11 12 tilly chang: the letter said the project is no longer 13 consistent with the department's priorities. This was from the 14 15 ti -- excuse me -- from the community challenge grant program. 16 chair, kate miller: I quess I have a question. Is that going 17 to be tied up in one of the lawsuits about the administration 18 overstepping its use -- its authority? Does anybody know? No? 19 20 tilly chang: I don't know if that comes under impounding or 21 22 existing obligated grants, but perhaps. We'll keep you posted. 23 chair, kate miller: okay. All right. Anybody else want to talk 24 25 before we move on to the next item? Sean go ahead.





```
1
2
    sean charpentier: thank you. On the funding matters policy
3
    questions, dao have review on the standards waiver --
4
5
   matt maloney: I don't have an update on that I can look into
6
    it though.
7
8
    chair, kate miller: anybody else.
9
    v. Chair, carolyn gonot: I was wondering if there were
10
    translations or other agencies for the master grant?
11
12
    speaker: we had a very brief conversation a little bit
13
    earlier. Our legal team is reviewing the master agreement. We
14
15
    do understand they have made updates to it this is on the
16
    master agreement for the fta funding.
17
18
    v. Chair, carolyn gonot: yeah I think one of the things -- I
    have talked to our general count about coordinate width other
19
    bay area councils I know they talk about how to handle that
20
    situation but there has been some discussions about that as
21
    well about needing updates to the master agreement to make
22
    sure that we're in alignment with executive orders. --
23
24
    chair, kate miller: denis?
25
```





1 denis mulligan: on the web site update to APRIL 25th on page 2 3 58 it has things consistent with the recent executive orders on correspondence from the new secretary so legal counsel is 4 5 looking into whether that will apply retroactively or not 6 among other things. 7 8 chair, kate miller: jess jessica. 9 speaker: we agreements around streets and roads for all grants 10 11 we have gotten updated terms, change around immigration and custom enforcement language so we are part of that suit that's 12 under review under injunction actually right now. 13 14 chair, kate miller: anybody else? This meeting is getting more 15 16 and more uplifting as we progress. [Laughter] Next item 4b the transit transformation task force? No? Sorry. Oh this is the 17 18 revenue measure. Ab senate bill 63 overview of the legislation, upcoming legislative milestones and key o 19 outstanding issues and rebecca long will present this item 20 long throng our executive director to kick it off. 21 22 23 andrew fremier: thank you for your service, kate. I did want to say a few words about I'm speaking to the crowd, mtc is 24 focused on sb63 we know it's critical for the bay area's 25





future as a reminder we're facing \$800 million annually in 1 transit operating funding shortfalls and that starts very 2 3 quickly in the next year or so. While we have been really fortunate to receive a lot of money and assistance from the 4 5 state and the federal government, we don't anticipate that that's going to continue very easily. And, so, maybe 6 reflecting on the earlier conversation, it's really time to 7 8 look at self-help. And we have worked hard, I think, with all of you to try to understand what the next covid generation 9 looks like in terms of the business model. How the sales tax 10 11 would work is it would set up a 10 to 15 year term. So it's really just an emergency measure in a lot of ways. It's also 12 very different than anything run in the region. So, it's not 13 something that we have done before in terms of the kinds of 14 15 things that are in this program. And as a reminder, and I 16 think this is word, as we walk around in sacramento, there seems to be quite a bit of confusion as to what's in the 17 18 measure. And it's important to remind folks that this is not 19 about capital projects and we have been very focused on not allowing discussions in that space. This is almost entirely 20 focused on transit operations and mostly dealing with the four 21 big operators, ac transit, bart, caltrain, and muni. These 22 systems carry about 80% of our riders in the region and there 23 is no question that if they fail, the ripple effects will end 24 up going throughout the county, throughout the region. In 25





addition to funding transit operations, though, we do reserve 1 up to 10% of funds for improvements to the customer 2 3 experience. And I think a lot of that we have talked about as transit transformation, I know there has been a thought that 4 5 we need to figure out a better way to reach people on what that means. But, this was really work that was real estated by 6 nerve this room and all of our policy makers in 2021 and we 7 8 have seen the benefits of some of the components of that. We think it's a smart policy. Improvements are expected to grow 9 ridership, and as I said, we have seen the results of that 10 11 from some of the work that's been done so far, but we also recognize the primary purpose of this measure is to stave off 12 service cuts throughout the region. We have seen in polls that 13 there is strong voter enthusiasm for improved coordination 14 15 amongst us. And we do think that that is something that both 16 the transit advocates and the business community will support. And we think it's critical to the measure's success. As a 17 18 reminder, this partnership board was provided -- was set up to 19 provide mtc and our commission input from all of you transit agencies, county transportation agencies, caltrans, our 20 federal partners, our regional agencies, as well as our city -21 - our big city public works folks and it's really designed to 22 23 collaborate on issues like this. Things that are complex that are hard for the region to avoid and something that we need to 24 do to improve the quality of life for everybody. So, we're 25



MAY 12, 2025

hoping the game plan here is we start to speak from one voice 1 and we're encouraging you all to continue to get your boards 2 3 to support sb63 wholeheartedly. Whether or not you are a county or a region, a part of the region that is part of the 4 5 measure, we think it's important for the bay area to speak up as one voice. We know there is an expenditure plan that's 6 being worked on by many of your organizations and we look 7 8 forward to seeing what the results of those are but we know for this measure to have any chance of success we have to be 9 behind it with one voice. With that I'm going to turn it over 10 11 to rebecca for overview on the bill then we'll walk through the sheet of paper that's in your hands to describe what's 12 happening with the transition of customer-facing service 13 items. Rebecca? 14 15 16 rebecca long: thank you andy. Andy touched on a lot of details but I'll touch on a few he didn't mention. The bill was 17 18 recently amended and mtc had taken support if amended position 19 on the bill in MARCH and we now have a support position because one of the sticking points was some ambiguity in terms 20 of local partners and terms of what expectations in terms of 21 22 funding commitments would happen for counties that weren't 23 participating in the measure and so the infamous transit operations financial responsibility t friendship 24 responsibility and implementation plan the language was taken 25





out of the bill and that was really there as a placeholder to 1 say counties operators regional stakeholders figure this out 2 3 how much money each agency is going get and that work is still underway. It's important that gets folded into the bill. The 4 5 bill has intent language that happen by JULY -- is it 29th? 31st. That's not a ton of time just given the stakes of that 6 but with that language coming out we're now in support of the 7 8 bill. We would love to see more agencies join us in support. We do now have both bart and caltrain in support of the bill 9 along with some other organizations, including san francisco 10 county transportation agency and climate action california, 11 spur, and sciu, and concept and bay area council. It's a 12 growing list but it ned's to get longer. California taxpayer 13 association is in opposition, surprise, surprise. In terms of 14 just the legislative process, it did get put on suspense 15 16 today. So it was in the senate appropriations committee. That's just a normal procedural place where important bills go 17 18 and then voted off suspense hopefully MAY 23rd and then it will be heard on the senate floor. Needs to get often that by 19 JUNE 6th. You know, the key outstanding issues are really who 20 is in. Who is in this measure, what the tax rate is going to 21 be, is it going to be, you know, one uniform half cent sales 22 tax or something different. And then, of course, just the 23 expendture plan. So, I think folks are probably most 24

interested in the next part of the conversation about what



staff's initial proposal is related to transit transformation.



1

2 The bill does currently say that up to 10% would go towards 3 transit transformation. Again, that 10% amount is something that was discussed at the select committee and recommended 4 5 there. And so, yeah, we're looking forward to your feedback on that. So, I'll hand it back to you, andy. 6 7 8 andrew fremier: all right. I think we have a slide as well, that represents what's in front of you, investing in rider 9 improvements. Okay. Yeah, so, thank you. What we want to do is 10 take the opportunity to share how we're proposing to invest 11 the 10%. Measure in rider improvements. We're definitely 12 interested in your feedback and questions. We know it's going 13 to be an iterative process and it will play into what comes 14 15 out of the work a lot of folks are doing on an expenditure 16 plan and a reminder the as the bill works through the process there is time to make adjustments. We don't still know how 17 many counties are in the measure so we had to take some 18 assumptions. This slide is based on a four county half cent 19 sales tax measure which is similar to what we polled. It 20 21 generates about 560 million so we rounded out to 55 million for ten years and showed how it would simply get divvied up 22 into four major categories. This slide should look familiar 23 it's similar to what we showed in OCTOBER that was assuming a 24 nine county measure. Just a larger annual number then we tried 25





to develop a little bit more underneath what those items are. 1 First one is significant to gaining more riders is \$25 million 2 3 for fare integration, which includes \$17 million to fully fund the new free and discounted fare programs. The fare transfer 4 5 programs, starting in JULY, as part of next generation clipper, and then also \$8 million to continue growing clipper 6 start. Which is so important to the low-income community. We 7 8 do think it's been very successful and recall that it provides a 50% fare discount for low-income residents. The next largest 9 category is \$10 million to mapping and wayfinding that would 10 11 harmonize the signage and maps that help navigate, especially new riders navigate transit and create a visually unifying 12 identifying identity across all systems. I would note that we 13 have vision that the transit priority and mapping and 14 15 wayfinding investments at this time are limited to the 16 counties that are participating in the measure. But we do think that those have started to show some benefit a couple of 17 18 places that they're out in the system today. We envision 19 decisions about locations for where these investments will be made. They will be made at the county and transit operator 20 level consistent with the policies we developed through the 21 regional network management framework. So, I think that's a 22 23 helpful identifier too. Then of course last but not least is

\$5 million to provide support for one-seat paratransit rides,

management programs at the county level and support for first

24





and last mile transit access. So that's the way we have taken 1 2 a look at it. Is melanie around? Melanie choy is here from our 3 regional network management group to help with technical parts of the questions you might have but that's the explanation of 4 5 the pie. 6 7 chair, kate miller: thank you for the presentation. Are there 8 any members of the public that wish to comment? 9 clerk of the board: online, adina, if you go to the podium, 10 please? 11 12 adina levin: adina levin. I share the network management 13 customer advisory group that has been focusing on the transit 14 transformation action plan. And, also, the executive director 15 16 of seamless bay area, which has been encouraging the region to develop a more seamless system over the last five and more 17 years. Having a rider focused convenient system is something 18 that is already helping to increase ridership. The extremely 19 successful bay pass program has increased transit ridership by 20 40% in the first phase of its pilot. The U.S. Berkeley 21 students voted at over 90% to continue participation in this 22 pilot and college students all around the region are trying to 23 follow in that role. These programs are popular. They increase 24 ridership, and, importantly, in poll after poll after poll, 25





are extremely popular and help the public see that the transit 1 system is improving, changing, evolving. People do not want to 2 3 vote for the statutes quo. They want to vote for things to get better. It is important for the investments to be clear and 4 5 specific. But also keep the door open with mechanisms to change and innovate. Because with these programs, as we learn, 6 we also need to be able to change as we learn, as oppose said 7 8 to locking us in for ten years on a program designed from ten years ago. There are some things in this category, like a 9 transit priority treatment, it's in one location, you know, 10 11 that is a, you know, investment where the money needs to be in the county. But for things like free transfers, giving the 12 money out to every agency and county and then trying to patch 13 a trip back together. Like, if I took muni, caltrain to muni, 14 and then give the money, bring it back in three counties and 15 16 two agencies makes no sense so very important thank you very 17 much for considering how to use this really personality -important category. 18 19 clerk of the board: thank you aleta dupree. 20 21 22 speaker: thank you kate miller and members. Aleta dupree for 23 the record she and her with team folds. Very good presentation thank you for explaining that. This is very important. I'm 24

riding the circuit on this even though doing it from my arm

MAY 12, 2025

chair today. I'm with you. Even if you double the transit tax 1 it's still very expensive -- inexpensive. I mean, this is a 2 mere fraction of what it would cost for me to have a car. Even 3 if you double the transit tax, it might add a few dollars at 4 5 moment that's why I'm saying, yeah, I'm tripping over my words today. Very inexpensive. And this would benefit everybody. I 6 went to new york. I experienced congestion pricing. Fewer 7 8 people are driving in the streets, and more people are taking the subway. So, there is some congestion management benefits 9 that we can get from this work, as we continue to welcome 10 people back on to our diverse transit systems. I think the 11 hardest part is with the voters. And, also, it's not an easy 12 lift with our legislators. How do we show that this, indeed, 13 benefits everyone, even if you are driving down the road and 14 don't use transit. Because I know what it's like to drive in 15 16 congestion. And if I want to rent-a-car, I want less congestion. So, I generally use transit. And I drive if I have 17 to. So, I appreciate you all coming to consensus. And, yeah, I 18 did see a video of somebody in santa rosa driving buses and 19 got to see that nice new wayfinding signage that's 20 transformation. That's real. Thank you. 21 22 23 clerk of the board: thank you. Next speaker last name freeman.

This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.

24

25

You MAY unmute yourself.

MAY 12, 2025

```
speaker: yes. I have a speech -- a -- [Indiscernible] I need
1
   more time. I need ten minutes. My name is -- [Indiscernible]
2
3
    Advocate at the cil. I'm here uplifting persons who are seeing
    decrease in paratransit, who are seeing transit oriented
4
    development that restricts their ability to -- [Indiscernible]
5
    About -- need access to -- because they cant use -- transit --
6
    so, I know [Audio distortion] [Indiscernible] For all, but --
7
8
    persons with disabilities and especially paratransit use. I'm
    not seeing it currently, and we really would like to see more
9
    concrete -- how the money is spent, so that we can have door-
10
    to-door, same day service. If you want, and I say it for all -
11
    - it has -- for all -- frankly -- many people -- [Audio
12
    distortion] [Indiscernible] [Indiscernible] [Indiscernible]
13
    Displeasure, with what they're experiencing -- is what --
14
    increasing -- for tax increase. And we look forward -- cil --
15
16
    [Indiscernible] Transparent accountability. Because it seems -
    - fiscal -- paratransit does -- infused with funding for most
17
18
    vulnerable, those -- paratransit -- because -- are left out.
    For example, during the ac transit realignment, exact -- MAY
19
    not continue to have the service. So, I would like to hear
20
    about, specifically, that those -- using transit need their
21
    connection service, those are -- [Indiscernible] have been --
22
    thank you very much.
23
```





clerk of the board: thank you. Next speaker is roland. You MAY 1 2 unmute yourself. 3 speaker: good morning. And thank you for the opportunity. So, 4 5 while I do agree that the removal of the ftfrp language was 6 necessary to achieve consensus -- I can hear some background noise -- on this, 63, I would expect every single agency to 7 8 distribute funds return to source responsibly and equitably is unrealistic. My recommendation moving forward would be for mtc 9 leadership to start developing some kind of fund distribution 10 11 framework in collaboration with this body and for eventual approval and enforcement by the full commission. Thank you. 12 13 clerk of the board: thank you. There are no other speakers 14 15 with their hand raised, and no one in the room. Oh now there 16 is one more speaker. Oh she -- she lowered her hand. Thank 17 you. 18 19 chair, kate miller: thank you, wally. Does anybody have any questions or comments from the partnership board? Oh APRIL. 20 21 APRIL 22 23 APRIL chan: thank you. Thank you for the presentation. Will this list be prioritized, list of projects, for the two 24

counties that can opt in actually opt in will there be





prioritized list in terms of what the funding would go to and 1 I think you did say this is going to return to source to some 2 3 extent there are some counties not participating so how are we going to make sure those counties priorities can potentially 4 5 also be funded will mtc also have other sources that have 6 access to? 7 8 andrew fremier: the first question is in the first item, really, the \$25 million that's associated with transit fares 9 that really can't be scaleable, right? And I think a lot of us 10 11 feels it's the most important investment out of it and the other programs can be scale to be able some degree but it's 12 not a lot of money of course the conversation has been with 13 the commission that we would like everybody to contribute but 14 15 recognizing fund sources are limited we don't have a real 16 answer for how you do that. Remember the real challenge for us is if the measure doesn't pass then we're going to have to 17 have hard conversations about taking a look at the 18 discretionary measure where it goes. 19 20 APRIL chan: if I can ask about the application on transit 21 fares on clipper start this was an item discussed at the 22 regional network management meeting and I know mtc has some 23 funding sources identified to help with subsidize ing the 24 clipper start 50% discount half of it will be from mtc the 25





```
other half is from the operators is this amount basically
1
2
    helping to subsidize that 25% that's supposed to come from the
3
    operators?
4
5
    andrew fremier: let me give that to alix.
6
    alix bockelman: good morning, everyone. You know, as proposed
7
8
    here today, this would actually allow for growth in supporting
    low-income populations. So, we do currently have money set
9
    aside that is matched by the operators. And I think we're all
10
11
    very focused on trying to grow who can receive this benefit
    through, you know, cross qualification, working with our
12
    county. So, this would really pay for getting more people in
13
    the program and having subsidy for that. That's the current
14
15
    proposal.
16
    chair, kate miller: I think we'll go to denis. You were up,
17
18
    then we'll do julie and then carolyn. And then bill.
19
    denis mulligan: thanks. Great presentation. Hand out is very
20
    helpful. Setting aside whether or not having over half a
21
22
   billion dollars for mtc discretion over the life of this my
    question is does the legislation currently include quardrails
23
    in direction and how you spend that discretion? This looks
24
    great but two years from now could a different commission
25
```





- 1 $\,$ spend it differently. I'm curious as currently crafted and
- 2 amendments how that part of the issue is addressed.

3

- 4 rebecca long: our expectation is the legislation would spell
- 5 out how transit transformation funding would be expended with
- 6 some degree the flexibility but as andy mentioned the 25
- 7 million that's really not scaleable we want the legislation to
- 8 fully fund that free and discounted transfers and clipper
- 9 start growth we are going to be talking about percentage right
- 10 within the legislation rather than there aims but we do see
- 11 have an expectation that it would delineate which programs how
- 12 much funding would be provided.

13

- 14 alix bockelman: through the chair denis I thought you r I
- 15 heard you say half a billion.

16

17 alix bockelman: --

18

19 denis mulligan: half a billion guardrails --

- 21 andrew fremier: ahead of the schedule there is a lot of
- 22 investment in the clipper executive board regional network
- 23 management council regional network management committee that
- 24 has operator representatives on it and I believe that



framework would be defined in some form of an mtc resolution



```
to ensure that it's as protected as possible.
2
3
    chair, kate miller: julie?
4
5
    speaker: I wanted to thank mtc for all your leadership in
6
    developing and working on sb63, and for everybody on the
7
8
    county side that's doing the heavy lifting to get us to an
    expenditure plan that everybody feels good about. It's not
9
    going to be an expenditure plan that everybody feels great
10
    about. And, so, I just encourage us all to remember that 100%
11
    of zero is zero. But I want to call attention to the fact that
12
    we really cannot have a bay area economic recovery if bart,
13
   muni, caltrain, and nac transit are facing devastating cuts.
14
    While the regional measure will just provide a portion of what
15
16
    muni needs to continue, we, as an agency, are also looking at
    a complementary local measure, as well as additional self-
17
    help. We have already removed $90 million of positions, which
18
    have about $100 million value starting in fiscal year '26,
19
    '27. And we will continue to look for those types of saving
20
21
    measures. But if we have to start looking at devastating
    service cuts, we are fearful that the gains we have made will
22
    be lost. I am, in particular, very supportive of the
23
    affordability piece in the mtc proposal. And of growing
24
    clipper start. I think being able to tackle the needs of low-
25
```



income adults as a region will allow all ever us to be able to



```
2
    implement better fare policies for our boards. So, very
3
    appreciative of that element of the program. And very grateful
    for this work.
4
5
    chair, kate miller: thank you. Carolyn?
6
7
8
    v. Chair, carolyn gonot: thanks. Actually, I think this is a
    really good forum to talk about this because we have the
9
    transit agencies here and the transportation planning
10
    agencies, the county transportation planning agencies. Because
11
    they would be the ones to potentially -- actually having a
12
    role, as well, in trying to help distribute any funds that
13
    could be remaining. Even though I'm not showing some of the
14
    counties there would be. I do want to say that I want to get a
15
16
    clarification on the transit capital discussion. Not that I
    completely agree that those funds that should be coming in for
17
18
    the four agencies are geared towards transit operations, they
    should be geared, as well, but I do want to say that in that
19
    some of the funds that say we get opted, like, back to the
20
21
    county transportation agency, we would be looking, as people
    know, we would be looking at helping potentially fund our
22
23
    deficit which our deficit in thee years will be as high as
    caltrain's is projected to be. So we will be funding that as
24
    well as potentially expanding some service which is also -- we
25
```





would need buses to help support that. So there would be -- I 1 would call it transit supportive capital and also some station 2 3 improvements and things like that, that we would need. I do want to understand that I have been, sort of, saying, s ab&I 4 ne funds I'm fine portably I can't speak for my board 5 depending on how much we go for we want to if we came into the 6 regional measure whether we want it supportive capital measure 7 8 make sure it doesn't get limited only to transit operating funds and also one of the things that of concern is to do with 9 transit signal priority which is also considered a capital 10 11 need I don't want to limit on the transit transformation as well on the transit transformation I'm a little concerned 12 sometimes on the 10% number that's a lot money potentially 13 from our county if we ever opted in half cent on a quarter 14 cent it's less but I think there is need at the regional 15 16 levels there's actual activities I do believe that one doesn't fit all, looking at priority and signal priority our buses are 17 18 slower san mateo has been looking at transit signal priority 19 for some of their corridors I think we see a lot of that I'm not sure in other counties but in the bigger hubs and regional 20 21 wayfinding, I want to to be careful about that, another 22 percentage on percentage, I don't want to create another 23 program that's competitive we're all competing for transit signal priority and competing for improvements I don't want to 24 do that we have enough competitive programs at all different 25



levels that's my advice on that. But I'm more tempted to say, 1 spend 10% -- spend up to ten but I would love to see, like, 2 3 three. Because we're a big county, a cap on that amount that would stay at the regional level, or percentage cap that would 4 5 go and then remainder go back down but also I'm nervous that if you are growing clipper start and the rest of them, that 25 6 million might grow then that pulls the rest of those programs 7 8 away, down. So, I don't know how that would work. So, that's, also, if there is a big push to do some of those, or whatever 9 those other integrated fares, are. So, that's a concern. I'm 10 11 really interested in the variable rate because I think we are potentially looking at an 8th or quarter. I think a quarter 12 might be more reasonable for vta and I have thought a lot 13 about this because I know san francisco was thinking about a 14 15 penny then you have the on other agencies contra costa alameda 16 that are at like half then I think for san mateo and santa clara we might be more of a quarter and it does reflect the 17 18 level of transit use and propensity that's in each of those 19 counties so there MAY be tiered over that in terms of transit propensity that could be written into the language so it's not 20 an issue if we're not all half cent sales tax so that is a 21 concern of mine. Especially depending on what else is written 22 into the language as to how it restricts it in the long run 23 and what are the loopholes in what can get sabined or not. 24





1 chair, kate miller: thank you. Bill? 2 bill churchill: thank you, chair miller. First, I just wanted 3 to thank mtc for really the leadership and setting the stage 4 5 in getting this measure and this bill going for working with arrequin and wiener to be in to pull it together. It's a 6 complicated beast. I know we all know that. And I think we're 7 8 also much further down the road than we were a year ago. And that is a testament to all of this working together on is it 9 it. Andy you said something in your initial presentation it 10 really requires unity. And I couldn't agree with you more. We 11 all need to be unified behind this. We need it. I can't 12 imagine what this region would look like without bart and muni 13 and the collapse that would create for both transit users and 14 non-transit users it would be profound. So, just, I know 15 16 that's a long winded way to say thank you. And I want to be sure that was up front and center. One of the concerns I do 17 have and where we're at, while there is mention for funding 18 for the small east bay operators, it's in the bill as an if. 19 And I look at the funding shortfalls for bart and ac transit I 20 don't think there is going to be any money for the if. So one 21 of the concepts the small operators have had is maybe the 10% 22 23 is eroded a little bit and some of that then goes to the small operators. We, collectively, in this next fiscal year are 24 facing a \$14.5 million operating deficit that grows to nearly 25



1	20 in the year after. And the bart bus feeder funding goes
2	away as well. So, we will all be making significant service
3	reductions. Two of the four east bay operators will be making
4	immediate and profound service reductions. So, I think it's
5	important that we be that we're preventing the service
6	cuts. That's the goal, to make this a holistic approach. And
7	then what we can do in the transit transformation is icing on
8	the cake. However when I look at what you presented and I like
9	the way you presented it. I appreciate it. Certainly that
10	first category rises in my mind to a higher priority than
11	maybe some of the others, when I'm comparing them to the
12	potential service reductions. So it's more of a comment and an
13	expression of appreciation. So, thank you.
14	
15	chair, kate miller: thank you, bill. Sean?
16	
17	sean charpentier: thank you for the update. I have a question
18	about the governing structure in the legislation as it stands
19	right now. Currently the mtc commission would be the governing
20	body but there MAY be 3 to 5 counties that actually
21	participate in that, in taxing themselves. I was wondering if
22	you could give the rationale for that language as it's
23	included in the statute right now?





alix bockelman: I'll start. I can't tell you exactly yet 1 2 language is the way it is in the statute but it does create 3 the transit revenue measure district and it identifies mtc as who would oversee that and I think the expectation is there 4 5 will be a specific expenditure plan in terms of how much money goes to certain transit operators, how much might go to 6 transit transformation, and I think if there are opt in 7 8 counties where there is extra money or extra money generally that would then sabine to a specific party. One example that's 9 somewhat similar but a little different is assembly bill 1107 10 funds that's been around for a really long time. This is a 11 sales tax money that is generated in the thee bart counties. 12 Some of it goes directly to bart, some of it dozen to mtc in 13 its entirety to make an allocation of those funds. But the law 14 is very clear that it can only go to ac, bart, or muni, and 15 the commission makes that allocation. But it is the entire 16 commission that makes that allocation annually. So that's just 17 a predecessor, and a little analogous. I'll let rebecca add. 18 19 rebecca long: the analogy to ab1107 is relevant to sb63 but in 20 21 the sense expectation is that the bill is going to be clear on what that expenditure plan is right so not going to be 22 23 discretion for mtc to make significant adjustments so having a subset of operators and subset of counties generating revenue 24 but the full commission allocating really shouldn't be of 25



great concern because there is snow scenario in which the 1 funds will be redistributed outside of those counties and to 2 3 operators that aren't mentioned in the legislation. 4 5 chair, kate miller: rashidi? . 6 7 rashidi barnes: I want to build on bill's point, when the bus 8 feeder funds go away from bart, we're looking at an almost 10% cut to our operating budget. So, those priority communities 9 that we are sitting here talking about, will be drastically 10 11 impacted in eastern contra costa county. Those folks, those seniors, those veterans, will lose service, in pittsburg, 12 antioch, those communities that we are sitting here trying to 13 protect. So, when we talk about transit transformation, it's 14 going to be very difficult for tri delta transit to trance 15 16 sform if we have to cut service hopeful decent fall on dave ears it's a real thing that we definitely are going to be 17 facing looking at the next year. Thanks. 18 19 chair, kate miller: any other comments from the partnership 20 board members? I have a question, I quess. The way that the 21 22 10% is apportioned, is that going to be, sort of, set in the 23 actual language? Or is there going to be some flexibility around that? 24 25





rebecca long: I think that's an open question right now. I 1 think what we have tried to communicate is we think it's 2 3 really important that the fare component be set at a minimum amount. Because we kind of know. We have a solid estimate of 4 5 what that's going to cost and we think it's really important that this fully cover the free and discounted transfers and 6 the clipper start growth. With respect to the other 7 8 categories, particularly the transit priority and the mapping and wayfinding, I think, you know, one of the things that we 9 have been hearing for sometime, through the select committee, 10 and I think it's been expressed today, is an interest on the 11 part of the counties to do some prioritization there. Right? 12 In terms of, you know, maybe santa clara county, if they 13 choose to participate, is more focused on transit priority. 14 15 So, I think that's a conversation we want to have. The right? 16 We clearly want to have the mapping and wayfinding project as an example proceed. Right? So, I don't think we would want to 17 see, like, you could zero that out. But we want to be somewhat 18 fliblgs there flexible there. It's an open question. Hopefully 19 that helps. 20 21 andrew fremier: I want everybody to recall or remember right 22 now mtc has a support position we're not the sponsor of the 23 legislation. So a lot of this is on all of us to try to get 24 forward a consensus from the region. I think one thing I would 25





like to share, at to the different commissions over the years 1 we have been deliberate trying not to take ownership of this, 2 just trying to help the region, finding some solution, I think 3 chair spering said on his way out the door -- [Indiscernible] 4 Add complexity making it hard to sell to the public half a 5 loaf is better than none I think was said zero and a half we 6 have got to get somewhere and what we're trying to accomplish 7 8 here. 9 chair, kate miller: okay. I appreciate that. I quess my only 10 11 thought about the just having some, sort of, flexibility within these categories because I don't object to what are in 12 the categories and again napa is not going to be a player in 13 this but you want our support and there is opportunity for us 14 15 to actually improve our services on the transit priority 16 element, especially if those -- that focus is on highway -freeways, right? Having dedicate transit lanes, which could 17 potentially come from this program, I'm assuming. But, you 18 know, you look at surveys and riders want direct and frequent 19 service, right? And, so, these other categories don't 20 necessarily provide that at the same level that category does. 21 So accident I just wanted to make a play for that being 22 23 something that's a strong consideration in the future. And, carolyn, you have a comment? I don't know if andy you wanted 24

25

to respond to that?





```
1
    andrew fremier: no. I look forward to the conversations at
2
3
    obag four.
4
5
    chair, kate miller: and I'll be a member of the public and
    have two minutes to talk. But I -- yeah. Maybe three. Yeah.
6
7
    Okay. All right. Carolyn you have something else?
8
    v. Chair, carolyn gonot: I have a quick question to follow up
9
    on clipper smart. So, are this -- or the transit fares. So
10
    does this mean that the money that's dedicated here would only
11
    be allocated to the counties that participate. Or -- and I
12
    quess that's a question. And if that's true, I quess what is
13
    there for the remainder of the counties? Because that would be
14
15
    really difficult for the smaller operators who are in other
16
    counties.
17
18
    rebecca long: thank you for that question. The so, for the
19
    fare integration component we view this as a regional program
    and it's not something we can disentangel and have a county
20
    suballocated component and another really important piece is
21
    80% of the transit trips and those that involve transfers are
22
    on the operators that would be part of this measure. So the
23
    transit fare component is regional in nature the others would
24
```



- 1 be limited to those counties that are participating in the
- 2 measure.

3

4 v. Chair, carolyn gonot: okay thanks. I appreciate that.

5

- 6 chair, kate miller: all right. Seeing no other questions or
- 7 comments. Let's see. Have we already -- we have already done
- 8 the public comment. So, I think we're just going to move on to
- 9 item 4d, right? Sb125. Or do we want to take a quick break to
- 10 -- there is food. Right. Okay. Well, why don't we take a quick
- 11 break.

12

13 v. Chair, carolyn gonot: we can't lose --

14

15 chair, kate miller: well, it's all information items.

16

17 v. Chair, carolyn gonot: I have a question.

18

- 19 chair, kate miller: do we need to have. So the doors are now
- 20 locked so anybody that tries to leave [Laughter] Okay we're
- 21 going to grab listen and go ahead and proceed with the item,
- 22 because alix is really the quiet little chair over there.
- 23 [Laughter] and we will listen to item 4d and alix and kara are
- 24 going to present this item.





alix bockelman: thank you chair miller. And I have no problem 1 if you want to walk over and grab your sandwiches. I see 2 people might be getting hangry. So this is a good item after 3 the item we just had I think the points really talked about 4 5 how having sustainable transit system does require a partnership, regional money will be important for survival and 6 we hope the state will continue to be a strong partner and 7 8 ideally grow their partnership. So this next item is about really when sb125 provided funding to save transit in the 9 near-term, it also created a statewide task force. There are 10 11 several members that are on the partnership board, including kate miller, including seamus who left, including rashidiy who 12 was here MAY have left to get a sandwich. I mentioned seamus. 13 Sorry. I'm on it. [Laughter] And also bob powers isn't here 14 today. So, there is several transit and bay area folks that 15 16 are serving on the task force. The charge of the task force is really to complete a report on how the state can participate 17 in transformation by OCTOBER 31st of this year. So really what 18 we were hoping to do today is talk about how we might really 19 coalesce around some bay area priorities to really elevate 20 those and even think about getting a letter that folks can 21 sign on to individually if they would like to. And the next 22 meeting is actually JUNE 10th. So, we were going to see if we 23 can't accomplish it by then and we really wanted the input of 24 everyone here today. And I am actually joined by kara vuicich 25





- 1 and she's in our regional planning team and she is going to
- 2 walk through the detail on this item.

- 4 kara vuicich: thanks alix. I'm kara vuicich, mtc planning,
- 5 working with a number of staff from different mtc section
- 6 supporting. As alix mentioned sb125 distributed about \$4
- 7 billion throughout the state for pandemic relief for transit
- 8 operators. Also included in the accountability program to
- 9 govern those funds. But what we're here to talk about today is
- 10 the transit transformation task force that was established by
- 11 sb125 to develop policy recommendations to grow transit
- 12 ridership and improve the transit experience for all users.
- 13 And as alix mentioned, the task force is staffed by the
- 14 california state transportation agency. And calsta in
- 15 conjunction with the task force is charmed with submitting a
- 16 report with policy -- with findings and policy recommendations
- 17 to the legislature indicating where statutory changes would be
- 18 needed to implement those policy recommendations by OCTOBER
- 19 31st of this year. Next slide, please. So, the sb125 lists a
- 20 number of specific topics that the report to the legislature
- 21 should address. And calsta staff have proposed organizing the
- 22 recommendations according to the four key organizing
- 23 principles that you see on this slide. And we have noted, with
- 24 the asterisk on this slide, which of those topics are
- 25 specifically called out in the legislation. So, they're





- 1 organized under better service, better outcomes, the
- 2 interconnection between transit and land use, safety as being
- 3 fundamental and the operational sustainability of transit.
- 4 Calsta staff have been developing recommendations for these
- 5 topic areas through consultation with a working group and
- 6 subject matter experts and then they have been providing
- 7 reports on this findings for review by the task force members.
- 8 And then soliciting feedback on draft policy recommendations
- 9 at task force meetings. Next slide please. So, given that
- 10 there are a lot of topics potential to cover, one of the
- 11 things that we began discussing at mtc is what are our
- 12 regional priorities and the importance of communicating those
- 13 to the task force members and calsta. These are detailed in
- 14 attachment a in the summary report. And, so, in addition to
- 15 kind of articulating priorities, we also want to communicate
- 16 that the report should identify which policy recommendations
- 17 the state should lead, which recommendations are bet led at
- 18 the regional level with state support and which
- 19 recommendations will require strong parts across state
- 20 agencies regions and transit operators much 50 is foremost is
- 21 really expanded stable reliable funding for transit in near
- 22 and long-term which is key and fundamental to supporting
- 23 transformational change and should be called out as the
- 24 highest priority in the report. Again, you know, this is
- 25 necessary for developing and supporting fast frequent reliable





transit which is what we know gets people on transit. Transit 1 2 operators need to be able to provide continuous service that 3 people can rely on, and that can -- and that also then will drive land use decisions. And then, just to elaborate a little 4 5 bit more, this would include support for the near-term general fund support in the fiscal year 2026 state budget cap-and-6 trade expansion which would also include expanded support for 7 8 transit operations as well as identifying longer term 9 dedicated funding sources to fund transit operations and this could include broader dedicated tax options across the state 10 11 as well as new user pricing mechanisms. One of the other important components as has been discussed at the task force 12 is potentially how fund are divided between capital and 13 operating and one of the things that's important to 14 15 communicate in our letter is that capital needs are going to 16 continue to be important for maintaining state of good repair and for meeting zero-emissions vehicle mandates and 17 18 transitions. So, then the next one on the list is that the 19 state should lead on transit workforce development. There is common needs across operators throughout the state in terms of 20 workforce development and we think this is an area where the 21 22 state can provide leadership in terms of workforce 23 development. we believe that the state should have power regions on transit coordination and provide support so while 24

the regions are leading transit coordination efforts including





- 1 things like fare integration standardized mapping and
- 2 wayfinding at key hubs and many of the things that we have
- 3 been taking a lead on in our region, we would also hope to get
- 4 -- to continue to have state support in terms of funding and
- 5 authority to implement those improvements. Another potential
- 6 role for the state though is in setting realistic performance
- 7 standards and target that are tied to support the policy goals
- 8 this we're trying to achieve both within our region and
- 9 throughout the state. In terms of where we would like to see
- 10 strengthening of state partnerships to maximize impacts,
- 11 transit priority improvements which is important for a number
- 12 of operators throughout the region as well as state
- 13 partnership and coordination to support and prioritize work to
- 14 advance pricing and land use strategies that support both --
- 15 support transit use and will help us achieve our climate
- 16 goals. And then lastly, one of the things that we heard that
- 17 has come up multiple times at task force meetings as we have
- 18 heard from a number of operators is concern about the timeline
- 19 for implementation of innovative clean transit regulations.
- 20 There is a lot of concern just because of the way that things
- 21 have been progressing in that space in terms of the
- 22 availability of clean transit vehicles, zero-emissions
- 23 vehicles, as well as the facilities and maintenance,
- 24 facilities that are needed to support those vehicles. The
- 25 costs of currently meeting the ict regulations are very

1 expensive and would come potentially at the expense of increasing and providing -- both maintaining service or 2 3 increasing service. So, next slide please. And again these are all further detailed in attachment a. In terms of what we're 4 looking for today, we're providing this as an information item 5 seeking your feedback that you MAY have on the contents of the 6 letter and my apologies. I didn't update this slide. We're 7 8 obviously here today seeking your feedback. We went to our length committee meeting on friday to get their feedback. 9 Legislation -- as we have talked to several of you at the gm 10 meetings that were held several feedback I received is that 11 there is interest in having transit operators sign on to the 12 letter as well. And one of the questions we have is if there 13 is also interest in the counter transportation agency signing 14 on to the letter. And in terms of feedback we got from our 15 16 legislation committee generally support for our -- the contents and the recommendations that we have in our letter, 17 as well as an interest in, you know, land use, coordination 18 with transit, supporting universal pass programs, the 19 importance of affordable housing near transit and support for 20 first and last mile connections and accessible transportation 21 services. The and, so, with that, I'll turn it back to the 22 23 chair.





chair, kate miller: thank you. Are there any members of the 1 2 public that wish to comment? 3 clerk of the board: yes. We have one speaker here in the room. 4 5 Adina levin. 6 adina levin: adina levin with seamless bay area. And paying 7 8 close attention to this task force as an opportunity to get aligned funding and state policy support for important goals 9 of the bay area in truth to achieve strongly support the top 10 priority on funding and with a special focus on operating 11 funding where the state has historically underfunded transit 12 operations, cap taltz also important but given our operating 13 funding charges and needs and how central that is towards 14 increasing ridership on the topic of transit coordination this 15 16 is an area where the bay area has been leading and we have seen in the previous discussion and the continued need for 17 18 funding to help support our programs and potential state policy and technical support to help with our programs, as 19 well, it would be helpful for that to be called out having 20 that be led at the regional level is important. But to also 21 include it as part of the overall recommendation so this we 22 would get the state support from funding and other policies. 23 Also wanted to encourage the accessible transportation, which 24 I believe was mentioned it's the mtc committee, but was not in 25





- 1 the original slide, to be called out. The region has just
- 2 approved an accessible transportation coordinated plan that
- 3 requires funding and policy needs that the bay area cannot do
- 4 alone. We really do need that fund supporting some of the
- 5 state administrative and policy support in order to achieve
- 6 the goals that we as a region have set forth. So, would
- 7 include having that be called out as a priority, as well.
- 8 Thank you.

9

- 10 clerk of the board: thank you. Next speaker online, member of
- 11 the public, last name from freeman. We will give you four
- 12 minutes to speak.

- 14 **speaker:** thank you so much. This is jada at the independent
- 15 living center. We're asked -- [Indiscernible] Transportation.
- 16 But it's not -- [Audio distortion] Initiative. -- taxes -- bus
- 17 and rail and not put on -- as I mentioned before, make use of
- 18 -- different transit system. Our transit which was -- for
- 19 those -- not use -- transit -- recently -- nub innuendoed. In
- 20 my position, I encourage the expansion, and the -- in all
- 21 sectors of society. I ask -- to make accessible transportation
- 22 priority. Many of you will one day need a more accessible
- 23 readily available option -- [Indiscernible] If you injury
- 24 yourself or if you get sick, and not having it as a priority,





```
1
    I cannot say I can encourage you to vote from -- not -- thank
2
    you.
3
    clerk of the board: thank you. Next speaker is warren cushman.
4
5
    If you could please unmute yourself. Warren? Warren, can you
    unmute yourself? Warren, we're going to come back to you.
6
    There are no other speakers.
7
8
    chair, kate miller: thank you, wally. I'm not sure whose card
9
    went up first. Was it denis? Denis maybe. Go ahead.
10
11
    denis mulligan: thank you MADAM Chair. I want to thank mtc
12
    staff and transit operators that have been participating in
13
    this process. It's, I imagine, a tremendously frustrating
14
15
    process. I want to extend those heartfelt things. You have
16
    been to many locations, I think you have a tremendous
17
    understanding what the challenges are and those are not always
    what's on the agenda, wholeheartedly I think -- the letter,
18
    highlighting priority, it's all about the money keeps coming
19
    up every time we talk about somebody that done is seem to be
20
    the focus of the state conversation going to the record makes
21
    a lot of sense thank you for the presentation today and thanks
22
    for all your efforts to get us here.
23
```

25 chair, kate miller: thanks denis. Go ahead.





1

speaker: great jessica. Denis said that perfectly in terms of 2 3 staff's participation in leadership. I want to high light one thing that was in the beginning of the presentation, the 4 5 state, sort of, recognition the importance of todd value capture and we don't have good value capture mechanisms here 6 in california so there is opportunity to point that back out 7 8 to the state, that would be great, but current enhanced infrastructure financing districts are really a shell of the 9 former tax increment finance options so really important to 10 have value capture that doesn't worsen our already bad market 11 conditions in the bay area. Thanks. 12 13 chair, kate miller: thank you. Till? 14 15 16 tilly chang: thank you. I would like to add my thanks to those in our region who served on the task force and support for 17 signing on to the letter. Two questions. I wondered if it was 18 considered either for the advocacy or possible eqs, cap-and-19 trade, do we know, and length stabilize capital funding tircp 20 being at the end of when's authorized. We know operating fund 21 are the most important fund to focus on, the central focus, 22 but again, stabilization of capital I think would be a nice 23 way to high light that opportunity through a cap-and-trade 24 extension. And then secondly, I know in the bay area, as an 25



example of the, sort of, partnership point, the caltrans



```
statewide and bay area transit plan this's coming together is
2
3
    another opportunity. Back that directors and in bacta
    meetings, looking forward to good points of prioritization
4
5
    manifested. Thank you.
6
    chair, kate miller: thank you, tilly. Julie? Jewel you'll I .
7
8
9
    speaker: I wanted to thank mtc for taking a complex process
    and synthesizing it down to something we can all be supportive
10
11
    of. There was tremendous support for this presentation at the
    gm meeting. And I did want to share that. I do think it would
12
    be great if the cta's also were supportive, so that the full
13
    power of the partnership is presented. I, also, just
14
15
    appreciate everybody highlighting the need for additional
16
    state money both stabilizing an important capital source as
    tilly mentioned as well as just continued recognition compared
17
18
    to other states that have large transit systems that we're
    just seeing an underinvestment. I also think continuing to
19
    flag the very real state of good repair other and tradeoffs
20
    associated with the electrification mandates is incredibly
21
    important and to the extent that there is a way to keep the
22
    goal of that program that expanded to other things, like,
23
    transit signal priority or transit lanes, things that we know
24
    to be as effective and in some cases more effective at
25
```



reducing emissions than clean vehicles, then I would be 1 2 appreciative. 3 chair, kate miller: thank you julie. Adam? 4 5 speaker: thank you. There is a lot of great work in here and 6 we're looking forward to signing on to many of the hard fought 7 8 efforts around operational funding I wonder if you could speak more to how this relatings to the cap-and-trade discussion and 9 that is an active conversation in sacramento and the goal is 10 to wrap that by emmed of calendar year and those of us 11 infrastructure managers with large capital projects that is 12 our focus wondered how this dovetails so we can all have the 13 same approach to operating and capital. 14 15 16 alix bockelman: I was going say something then chair miller is also on the task force. I think today we talked about cap-and-17 18 trade just in the context of another potential source to support capital for operating. But this is kind of distinct 19 effort from any conversations on cap-and-trade if there are 20 coming out of this task force that can only help reenforce the 21 cap-and-trade conversation maybe I'll ski if kate has anything 22 23 to add. 24





chair, kate miller: I think you summed it up. At our last 1 meeting we talked about revenues really meaningfully for the 2 first time after much ado. I think all of the transit 3 operators at the table. Ed to talk about that at every meeting 4 5 but that was not the agenda of calsta, so, staff, but cap and trade, I think, has to be at the table for operations because 6 especially when we're looking at maybe a 5 billion plus 7 8 shortfall right now at the state budget level that having to opportunity to be able to identify operations from a dedicated 9 fund source I think is going to be a bit easier but we hope at 10 11 the table that's one of the elements that we discussed. 12 13 speaker: more detail, in my congressional conversations in cap-and-trade, cap and trade reauthorization operations 14 15 wildfire resilience being another capital being another 16 affordable housing et cetera, this board and organization have a set of priorities I know that operating understandably is 17 18 focus but my concern is focus will exclude conversations on capital and the rest of the state and other regions. 19 20 chair, kate miller: I think there is recognition among the 21 22 task force participants that, you know, caving our capital 23 program is only going to end up costing us more money so we definitely need to be able to balance those priorities. 24 Anybody else want to talk? I do want to say a couple things 25





that I think is promising. And I adopt don't think this it was 1 brought up by a member of the task force but rather calsta 2 3 staff. Signal prioritization is something we have all been working on but they have thrown exemption into the pot which I 4 5 think is really important to get our systems operating more smoothly. And, obviously, we would still have secondary 6 priority over that, over emergencies. But right now, at heat 7 8 least in napa, the one area we have signal prioritization is if our system is running real estate unning late, and we are 9 close to the signal I think just having the signal stay green 10 when our buses are there it's going to be a lot easier for us 11 to stay on time because our stops are so far apart and special 12 those areas along state highways where there is a lot of 13 signals it's going to be really helpful. And I don't know if 14 that makes any difference to the letter. I think obviously vta 15 16 is obviously going to sign on I think it's important for all of us to sign on this letter and acknowledging that mtc taking 17 18 the lead on writing a letter, hopefully will streamline and solidify the process a bit more than it has been. I think a 19 lot of times as a task force member we go back to a meeting 20 unless a lot of discussed prior to the meeting unless you take 21 scrupulous notes sometimes is not recorded or it's completely 22 23 different so this is going to be really helpful of the so, I appreciate you guys doing that. So, thank you. So, any other 24



```
comments on that? Okay. So, then with that, I think our last
1
2
    agenda item is --
3
    speaker: before the JUNE 10th, I think.
4
5
    alix bockelman: kara can maybe speak to that. [Laughter]
6
7
8
    kara vuicich: we have a draft based on contents that was in
9
    the summary report in your packet and we are going to take
    feedback we got from the legislation committee from you all
10
    from the gms and make revisions and then I think we have been
11
    working with rebecca on we'll start circulating it for folks
12
    for review.
13
14
15
    alix bockelman: in the next week or so we'll be circulating a
16
    letter.
17
18
    chair, kate miller: MAY I ask you circulate to the task force
19
   members that are part of the bay area before it gets out to
    the rest of the -- alix is looking at me in that way. So, that
20
   would be a no. Something to chew on alix. [Laughter] I am
21
   prepared for our next JUNE 10th meeting thanks torah should
22
    iedy who gave me a backpack portable bar for a retirement
23
    gift.
24
25
```





speaker: as a member of the tttf, one of the I'm just throwing 1 2 out there, and we have tacked a lot with coal MAY, is there 3 interest in see figure scag is also going to be presenting some type of letter as well that would support what we're 4 5 doing I think getting a letter from southern california would be more impactful than just ours so just throwing it out 6 there. I have had a lot of conversations with comei, and there 7 8 is support for a lot of the propositions in this proposal. 9 alix bockelman: thank you. Just for everyone, komo is the head 10 11 of southern california association of governments. Kara has met with his staff and to your point there is a lot of common 12 themes and they're put together a letter for southern 13 california and maybe having it come in at the same time and 14 15 likely will have some of the same themes maybe there is 16 opportunity at some point for giant letter but right now 17 keeping the letters separate but a lot of the same themes which could be helpful for calsta. 18 19 chair, kate miller: so, quess I everybody has said what they 20 needed to say. Moving on to agenda item five. Board member 21 wrap-up, next steps. An opportunity for board members to 22 provide additional input and direction to staff on priorities 23 and future meeting topics. I think obag four will probably be 24 on that list of things to talk about. Anything else? Okay. 25





```
1
   v. Chair, carolyn gonot: when is our --
2
3
    chair, kate miller: I just want to know how anybody is going
4
5
    to come up with any agenda item ideas without me being here.
6
    [Laughter]
7
8
    speaker: kate? Chair? I was just wondering if we can add an
9
    agenda item to talk about transit priority, in general?
    Because I think transit priority sounds easy on the surface,
10
11
    but it's extremely difficult. And I think building upon the
    transit priority policy that mtc is developing and maybe even
12
    tying some of this stuff to obag four, I don't know. I'm just
13
    speaking out loud but I think --
14
15
16
    chair, kate miller: is there an arterial group that still
    meets, that linda li used to be in charge of that?
17
18
19
    alix bockelman: ible there is still such a group.
20
    chair, kate miller: maybe that needs to be floated up through
21
22
    that and then come to this group [Laughter] I don't know.
23
```



alix bockelman: well how would a commitment to work with the



1

2 soon-to-be ascending chair and vice chair, and just on timing 3 and content. Okay. 4 5 v. Chair, carolyn gonot: but I think it's a good idea because we're doing a lot of work and doing our own signal priority 6 policy as well. 7 8 speaker: yeah it just takes a lot of incentive with local 9 jurisdictions because you start talking about reprioritizing 10 parking and pavement and things get crazy really quick. Tsp is 11 really a challenge on its own but as we think about actual 12 prioritizing, transit priority lanes I think it would be good 13 to get lessons learned from folks that have already done it, 14 15 how we can implement in our local jurisdictions, how we can 16 build upon the transit priority policy that mtc is building and how can we incentivize local jurisdictions to participate 17 in some of these challenging projects. 18 19 speaker: I think we make some good points but in my mind it 20 needs to be kind of -- the sausage needs to be made at a place 21 where there is more technical expertise and also participation 22 from the city and county jurisdictions. And then I don't have 23 any say in this anyway, because carolyn is going to talk about 24 it in the future. So, there we go. So, I think we're on to 25





agenda item six, right? Which is ask the clerk to list items 1 2 received under public comment associated with this item. Any 3 item? Did I screw up? 4 5 clerk of the board: we have one public speaker. Public 6 comment. 7 8 chair, kate miller: okay. 9 clerk of the board: for item number six. 10 11 12 chair, kate miller: okay. 13 clerk of the board: and it's aleta dupree. The you have two 14 15 minutes to speak. 16 speaker: thanks again, chair kate miller and members. Aleta 17 18 dupree for the record, she and her with team folds. Great meeting today. I wish I could be with you all in-person 19 because I enjoy meetings more in-person I get to see you all. 20 There is a lot to do, as I speak generally. And I don't just 21 look toward here in the bay area -- oh, turn on my clock here. 22 Yeah. Very important. Yes. Set my clock up. Okay. Thank you. 23 As -- it's not just the bay area, but I think about things I 24 see in other places reflappeding on recently spending a -- in 25



new york and also I hope to be back in the bay area very soon 1 2 for a bit. And I really like the bay area transportation. I 3 think it's one thing that helps keep me coming back to the bay area. Just as the subway is a very important helpful factor in 4 5 the other thanking to new york. I'm also from new york. I have family in new york, I was born there. So, I have that factor 6 as well. But as you get it together, and I would hope, of one 7 8 accord, of being able to continue this work of building the best, safest, most affordable, and most welcoming 9 transportation system that we can have. And clipper2 is coming 10 up. Don't have a date yet. When they have a date, they will 11 let us all know, I'm sure, in a public meeting. And we want to 12 bring that forward. All of you getting together help make that 13 happen. And we talk about transformation. Public 14 15 transportation is not the same as when the subway opened its 16 first line in late 1904. And it will continue to change. But public transportation is absolutely essential. You can't drive 17 or scooter or bike or swim your way out of it. So, I hope to 18 be at one of your meetings in-person soon. Thank you. 19 20 clerk of the board: thank you. Next speaker is roland. 21 22 23 speaker: thank you, again. I very briefly want to express my gratitude to every member of this body who invested most of 24 today to attend this meeting in-person. I also want to thank 25

you for allowing remote public comment. In particular, members 1 of the public with mobility challenges. Thank you. 2 3 chair, kate miller: and then james wants to speak. Go ahead. 4 5 speaker: yeah, under item five, just good agenda, good 6 sharing. I was hoping these meetings we could schedule in 7 8 advance to some extent maybe get two or thee meetings of the calendar year preferably not second monday of the month on 9 10 board day. So just a request our new chair. 11 v. Chair, carolyn gonot: can we cover this under other 12 business? So, I get a general consensus that people would like 13 to have some idea of when the next meeting is going to be. 14 Because that would help. I know it's also dependent when we 15 16 have topics. So it should be timely and we'll figure that out. So, I would like to get one, you know, towards the -- but now 17 it's -- no, MAY -- maybe -- JULY or AUGUST, end of AUGUST? I 18 don't know. We're all dark in JULY. But it doesn't matter. I 19 work. It doesn't matter. I know santa clara is different. And 20 21 all fussy. Do you want to wait until SEPTEMBER? I do want to get some sense about the sb63. I think that's really 22 important. So, I'm going to look to you guys as to what the 23 time frame that you think would be good. 24



- 1 alix bockelman: late AUGUST MAY be opportunity. One thing that
- 2 usually works well is to either take a day where the ctas
- 3 meet, which is generally the fourth friday of the month or the
- 4 day that the transit operators get together, on clipper
- 5 executive board and kind of time it around one of those. And I
- 6 think those are generally are the third or fourth weeks of the
- 7 month maybe look at that as an opportunity.

9 v. Chair, carolyn gonot: third of the month.

- 11 alix bockelman: maybe look at one of those for AUGUST see if
- 12 that might work and get back to folks.
- 14 v. Chair, carolyn gonot: okay.
- 16 chair, kate miller: any other topics of discussion that
- 17 anybody wants to bring up? Okay great.
- 19 v. Chair, carolyn gonot: the only thing I want to say is I
- 20 want to thank kate for chairing the partnership board over all
- 21 these years. [Applause]
- 23 chair, kate miller: thank you.

24

22

8

10

13

15





v. Chair, carolyn gonot: and because you are with mtc she did 1 a great job in growing and making sure that we had productive 2 3 agenda so I appreciate that. With the team and being -- you know, you read up and were informed and was able to carry good 4 -- very substantive meetings for the partnership which is 5 really personality. So, I do wish you well. I know we all wish 6 you well in your retirement. And I'm sure if you do -- if you 7 8 have to go further than the two minutes on public comment please write us a letter and we'll all read that, we'll put it 9 in your packets. [Laughter] And best wishes. 10 11 chair, kate miller: thank you so much. It has been my pleasure 12 really working with all of you. It is, you know, keep up the 13 good work. You guys do good work. And I know it's getting more 14 and more frustrating. Or at least that's the reason why I'm 15 16 retiring. [Laughter] So, you know, I have -- will share my personal contact with you and be happy to chit-chat and go 17 18 have a glass the wine somewhere in napa. Thank you. 19 speaker: carolyn, we all expect you to carry on kate's quirky 20 sense of humor in running these meetings. So, you MAY need to 21

reach out and get, maybe, a list. That might help.

24 <TRANSCRIPT>

22





Broadcasting Government