# METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Meeting Transcript



1	REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
2	FRIDAY, OCTOBER 10, 2025, 11:30 AM
3	
4	
5	CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: CALLING TO ORDER THIS MEETING OF
6	THE REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE. THIS MEETING IS
7	WEBCAST ON THE MTC WEB SITE. COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND MEMBERS OF
8	THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATING BY ZOOM AND WISHING TO SPEAK SHOULD
9	USE THE RAISED HAND FEATURE OR DIAL STAR NINE AND I WILL CALL
10	UPON THEM AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME. TELECONFERENCE ATTENDEES
11	WILL BE CALLED UPON BY THE LAST FOUR DIGITS OF THEIR PHONE
12	NUMBER. A ROLL CALL VOTE WILL BE TAKEN FOR ALL ACTION ITEMS
13	DUE TO REMOTE COMMITTEE MEMBER PARTICIPATING TODAY. AND THAT
14	TAKES US TO AGENDA ITEM ONE, WILL THE CLERK CALL THE ROLL AND
15	CONFIRM A QUORUM, PLEASE?
16	
17	BOARD CLERK: WILL DO. CHAIR JOHN-BAPTISTE?
18	
19	CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: HERE. >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: VICE
20	CHAIR ANDERSEN, WE'LL LOOP BACK. MEMBER AHN.
21	
22	EDDIE AHN: HERE. >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: BURT?
23	
24	PAT BURT: HERE. >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: CANEPA?
25	



DAVID CANEPA: HERE. >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: EDISON NON-VOTING 1 2 IS ABSENT. EZZY ASHCRAFT IS ABSENT. GIACOPINI, NON-VOTING? 3 DOREEN M. GIACOPINI: HERE. >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: THANK YOU. 4 5 MEMBER LI NON-VOTING ABSENT. MASHBURN? SHAW NON-VOTING WE'LL LOOP BACK. WE HAVE A QUORUM. 6 7 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: THANK YOU. WE HAVE TWO REQUESTS 8 9 FOR REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER AB 2449 MEMBERS CANDACE ANDERSEN AND DIANE SHAW. 10 11 12 CANDACE ANDERSEN: I'M REQUESTING AN EXCEPTION FOR A FAMILY 13 RELATED MATTER AND THE ONLY PERSON IN MY ROOM OVER THE AGE OF 18 RIGHT NOW IS MY HUSBAND. 14 15 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: THANK YOU MEMBER ANDERSEN. MEMBER 16 17 SHAW? 18 19 DIANE SHAW: I'M REQUESTING TO PARTICIPATE REMOTELY UNDER AB 2449 BECAUSE I'M SICK. THERE IS NO ONE PRESENT IN THE ROOM 20 21 OVER THE AGE OF 18. 22 23 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: THANK YOU MEMBER SHAW, YOUR 24 REQUESTS ARE NOTED AND WE'RE MARKING MEMBERS ANDERSON AND SHAW

AS PRESENT. AGENDA ITEM TWO, THE CONSENT CALENDAR, THIS



INCLUDES ITEMS 2A THROUGH 2C. DO I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND 1 2 TO APPROVE THE CONSENT CALENDAR? 3 DAVID CANEPA: SO MOVED. 4 5 SPEAKER: SECOND. 6 7 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: I HAVE A MENTION BY CANEPA AND A 8 9 SECOND BY MEMBER AHN. ARE THERE ANY COMMITTEE MEMBERS WHO WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON THIS ITEM? AND IS THERE ANY PUBLIC 10 COMMENT? SEEING NONE. >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: THERE WAS NO 11 12 WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED ON THIS ITEM AND THERE IS NO 13 ONE IN THE BOARDROOM OR ZOOM WISHING TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM. 14 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: THANK YOU. WILL YOU NOW PLEASE 15 16 CALL THE VOTE? >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: WILL DO. BAPTIST? 17 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: AYE. >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: 18 19 ANDERSON? 20 21 V. CHAIR, CANDACE ANDERSON: AYE. >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: AHN? 22 23 **EDDIE AHN:** AYE. >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: BURT? 24

PAT BURT: AYE. >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: CANEPA?



1 2 DAVID CANEPA: YES. >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: EZZY ASHCRAFT IS ABSENT. MAHAN IS ABSENT. MASHBURN? 3 4 5 MITCH MASHBURN: AYE. >CLERK, MARTHA SILVER: MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY BY ALL MEMBERS PRESENT. 6 7 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: THANK YOU THAT TAKES US TO AGENDA 8 9 ITEM 3A DRAFT MTC BAY AREA TRANSIT PRIORITY POLICY FOR ROADWAYS WE HAVE BRITT TANNER OF MTC AND MIKA MIYASATO OF AC 10 TRANSIT PRESENTING THE INFORMATION. 11 12 13 BRITT TANNER: I'M BRITT TANNER REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT SECTION HERE TO TALK ABOUT THE TRANSIT PRIORITY POLICY FOR 14 ROADWAYS WE BROUGHT TO YOU IN NOVEMBER SHOWED FRAMEWORK OF HOW 15 WE'RE PLANNING TO DEVELOP THE POLICY PRESENTING BACKGROUND 16 17 INFORMATION AND DETAILS THEN I'M GOING TO HAND IT OFF TO MIKA MIYASATO WHO IS GOING TO TALK THROUGH OUR OUTREACH PROCESS 18 19 THAT WAS USED TO INFORM THE DEVELOPMENT AND RELATED REGIONAL ASSESSMENT THEN I'M GOING TO HAND IT TO MELANIE CHOY WHO IS 20 GOING TO GO THROUGH SOME OF THE FEEDBACK THAT WE HEARD FROM 21 22 OTHER RNM BODIES. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. JUST QUICKLY, I WANT TO 23 FRAME WHERE THIS EFFORT FITS IN WITH OUR BROADER EFFORTS FOR 24 TRANSIT PRIORITY. WE'RE FOCUSING ON THE LEFT SLIDE, HALF OF THIS SLIDE TODAY FOCUSING ON THE TRANSIT PRIORITY POLICY FOR 25



- 1 ROADWAYS BUT OUR POLICY WORK DOES LEAD INTO EXPLAIN PLANNING
- 2 WORK THAT WE'LL GO THROUGH A TOUCH OF TODAY TALKING ABOUT OUR
- 3 TRANSIT PRIORITY ROADWAY ASSESSMENT. I WANT TO REMIND YOU THAT
- 4 WE DO LOOK AT THE WHOLE SCHEME OF TRANSIT PRIORITY SO OTHER
- 5 PARTS OF MTC ARE WORKING IN OTHER EFFORTS TO FUND -- ACTUALLY
- 6 SOME OF THAT IS HAPPENING WITHIN OUR GROUP -- TO FUND THIS
- 7 TRANSIT PRIORITY WORK AND TO PROVIDE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE THEN
- 8 FINALLY WE'RE DELIVERING PROJECTS MOSTLY THROUGH THE FORWARD
- 9 INITIATIVES SUCH AS THE BRIDGE FORWARD PROJECTS. NEXT SLIDE.
- 10 WE WANT TO FOCUS ON WHY THIS TRANSIT PRIORITY WORK IS
- 11 IMPORTANT BASICALLY WE HAVE SHORT TRAVEL TIMES FOR BUSES WE
- 12 IMPROVE TRANSIT SERVICE WHICH MAKES IT MORE RELIABLE FOR
- 13 PEOPLE TO RIDE MORE ATTRACTIVE FOR PEOPLE TO RIDE BUT ALSO
- 14 MAKES IT BETTER FOR TRANSIT OPERATORS. RIGHT NOW RISING
- 15 OPERATING COSTS ARE REALLY A STRUGGLE AS WE'RE DEALING WITH
- 16 THE UPCOMING FISCAL CLIFF THAT IS ALREADY HERE IN MANY CASES
- 17 AND WE'RE REALLY TRYING TO FOCUS ON WAYS THIS WE CAN MAKE
- 18 TRANSIT CHEAPER TO OPERATE AND GET MORE PEOPLE RIDING IT. SO
- 19 THIS TYPE OF WORK IS SOMETHING THIS WE REALLY NEED TO FOCUS ON
- 20 BECAUSE WHEN WE HAVE MORE RELIABLE SHORTER TRIPS IT'S MORE
- 21 ATTRACTIVE FOR PEOPLE TO RIDE AND IT'S CHEAPER FOR TRANSIT
- 22 OPERATORS TO OPERATE IT. NEXT SLIDE. THIS WORK THAT WE'RE
- 23 DOING IS PART OF AN STASHED VISION FOR IMPROVING TRANSIT THAT
- 24 COMES OUT OF SOME FOUNDING DOCUMENTS FOR THE BAY AREA. THE
- 25 FIRST ON THE LEFT, WE HAVE PLANNED BAY AREA 2050 AND 2050+ HAD



- 1 THE FINAL BLUEPRINT ADOPTED THIS PAST SUMMER. AND THEN WE ALSO
- 2 HAVE SOME SPECIFIC ACTIONS THAT WERE CALLED FOR US TO DEVELOP
- 3 A POLICY IN THE TRANSIT TRANSFORMATION ACTION PLAN THAT WAS
- 4 CRAFTED IN RESPONSE TO THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC. BOTH OF THESE
- 5 HAVE SPECIFIC ACTIONS THAT CALL FOR US TO IMPROVE TRANSIT
- 6 SPEED, FREQUENCY, CAPACITY, AND RELIABILITY, ALL OF WHICH ARE
- 7 ADDRESSED THROUGH THIS POLICY. NEXT SLIDE. WE'RE ALSO NOT
- 8 DOING THIS IN A VACUUM. WE'RE COORDINATING WITH STATE AND
- 9 REGIONAL EFFORTS, THERE IS THE SENATE BILL 125 TRANSPORTATION
- 10 TASK FORCE WHICH DEVELOPED POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR
- 11 LEGISLATURE AND ADMINISTRATION TO CONSIDER. THERE IS ALSO THE
- 12 STATEWIDE CALTRANS DIRECTORS TRANSIT POLICY, THAT IS IN
- 13 DEVELOPMENT, AND THE CALTRANS BAY AREA TRANSIT PLAN THAT WAS
- 14 CRAFTED OVER THIS PAST YEAR. ALL OF THESE ARE LOOKING AT WAYS
- 15 THAT WE CAN IMPROVE TRANSIT PRIORITY. THESE EFFORTS REALLY ARE
- 16 FOCUSING ON CALTRANS RIGHT OF WAY AND CALTRANS METHODS SO THIS
- 17 POLICY IS DIFFERENT AND IT'S LOOKING AT ALL ROADWAYS WITHIN
- 18 THE BAY AREA BUT WE HAVE BEEN CLOSELY COORDINATING WITH THESE
- 19 STAKEHOLDERS AS WE DEVELOPED OUR POLICY. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE.
- 20 SO, BEFORE WE GO INTO THE POLICY, I WANT TO KIND OF FRAME WHY
- 21 WE THINK THIS IS AN IMPORTANT THING FOR US TO BE TAKING ON.
- 22 AND, SO, I'M GOING TO DO THIS WITH A CASE STUDY, AND DON'T
- 23 WORRY, I GAVE A HEADS UP TO SAN RAFAEL BEFORE WE THROUGH THIS
- 24 IN HERE. BUT CENTERED A GREAT PROJECT TO CONVERT STREETS FROM
- 25 ONE WAY TO TWO WAY IN 2020 IT IMPROVES PEDESTRIAN SAFETY



- 1 MAKING CIRCULATION PATTERNS CHANGE. THEY ADDED SOME BULB-OUTS
- 2 AT CORNERS AND ADDED BOLLARDS AT THE CORNERS THIS MADE IT
- 3 BETTER FOR PEDESTRIAN SAFETY BUT UNFORTUNATELY IF YOU LOOK AT
- 4 THE TRANSIT MAP YOU WOULDN'T HAVE NOTICED THERE WAS 100 BUSES
- 5 A DAY THERE IS A DETOUR CLOSE TO 4TH STREET FOR FARMERS
- 6 MARKETS AND STREET FAIRS SO WHAT HAPPENED WAS THESE BOLLARDS
- 7 AND THE NEW TWO WAY CONFIGURATION MADE IT HARDER FOR BUSES TO
- 8 TURN ON TO THE STREET AND ADDED NEW CONFLICT POINTS THIS WAS
- 9 SOMETHING NOT ON A TRANSIT MAP SO CITY OF SAN RAFAEL DIDN'T
- 10 KNOW THEY HAD TO REACH OUT TO GOLDEN GATE TRANSIT MARIN
- 11 TRANSIT TO COORDINATE, WE'RE HOPING TO AVOID SOMETHING LIKE
- 12 THAT BY HAVING THIS BAY AREA TRANSIT PRIORITY POLICY FOR
- 13 ROADWAYS. THIS IS BEING DEVELOPED IN RESPONSE TO THOSE GUIDING
- 14 DOCUMENTS THAT I MENTIONED EARLIER AND IT EMPHASIZES TRANSIT
- 15 PRIORITY THROUGHOUT THE REGION AND IT'S GOING TO RECOMMEND TO
- 16 BE IMPLEMENTED THROUGH THE EXISTING COMPLETE STREETS CHECKLIST
- 17 TO AVOID CREATING A NEW ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS THE GOALS OF
- 18 THIS POLICY ARE TO ESTABLISH A COMMON UNDERSTANDING OF TRANSIT
- 19 PRIORITY, TO REINFORCE AND STRENGTHEN INTER-JURISDICTIONAL
- 20 COLLABORATION, TO REQUIRE THAT ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT PRODUCTS
- 21 CONSIDER ACCOMMODATION OF TRANSIT AND/OR TRANSIT PRIORITY AND
- 22 IT WILL INFORM THE PRIORITIZATION OF FUNDING FOR TRANSIT
- 23 PRIORITY PROJECTS. NEXT SLIDE. SO, THE FIRST THING, WHEN WE
- 24 TALK ABOUT HOW THIS CREATING A COMMON UNDERSTANDING OF TRANSIT
- 25 PRIORITY, WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS HAVING TRANSIT SUPPORT



1	OF INFRASTRUCTURE AND POLICIES THAT WILL DECREASE TRANSIT
2	TRAVEL TIMES AND IMPROVE RELIABLE. MOSTLY BY REMOVING BUSES
3	AND OTHER TRANSIT FORMS FROM TRAFFIC CONGESTION SUPERVISOR
4	MINIMIZING DELAYS. THIS APPLIES TO BOTH URBAN AND NON-URBAN
5	SITUATIONS, AND CAN BE IMPLEMENTED THROUGH SMALL CHANGES TO A
6	PRESENTLY DESIGN. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU'RE PROPOSING TO PUT
7	A SIDEWALK BULB-OUT AT A CORNER FOR PEDESTRIAN SAFETY YOU CAN
8	SEE IN THE TOP RIGHT MAYBE IT WOULD BE BETTER TO PUT IN A BUS
9	BULB SO THAT YOU HAVE SPACE FOR PET PEDROZA SPACE FOR
10	PASSENGERS TO WAIT OR IN THE BOTTOM PUTTING IN A BIKE LANE
11	MAYBE YOU SHOULD PUT IN A BUS BOARDING ISLAND THAT BIKES AND
12	BUSES ARE NOT MIXING THE POLICY DOES NOT SPECIFICALLY DICTATE
13	DESIGN SOLUTION BUT ENCOURAGES AGENCIES COORDINATE SO THEY CAN
14	FIND THE BEST SOLUTIONS TO SUPPORT TRANSIT WITHIN THE LOCAL
15	CONTEXT. AS SAID THIS WILL BE IMPLEMENTED THROUGH THE COMPLETE
16	STREETS CHECKLIST THIS IS REQUIRED FOR ALL PROJECTS THAT ARE
17	REQUESTING FOR MORE THAN \$250,000 OF REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY
18	FUNDS. WHAT THIS POLICY WOULD BE ADDING IS IT WOULD MODIFY THE
19	WAY THAT TRANSIT AGENCY REVIEW HAPPENS THROUGH THE COMPLETE
20	STREETS CHECKLIST. TRANSIT REVIEW IS ALREADY REQUIRED, BUT
21	WHAT WE'RE REQUIRING IS MORE OF A CONVERSATION BETWEEN THE
22	TRANSIT AGENCIES AND THE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS, RATHER THAN THE

ONE WAY INFORMATION EXCHANGE THIS'S HAPPENING RIGHT NOW. MOST

STREETS, AS YOU CAN SEE IN THE LARGE GREEN CIRCLE, ARE ALREADY

HAVING A REVIEW DONE BASED ON PROJECTS THAT ARE ON THE ACTIVE

23

24



- 1 TRANSPORTATION NETWORK, AND THOSE PROJECTS NEED TO BE
- 2 CONSISTENT WITH APPROVED COMPLETE STREETS PLANS, AND NEED TO
- 3 FOLLOW ALL AGES, ABILITIES, DESIGN PRINCIPLES AND
- 4 ACCESSIBILITY GUIDELINES. WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING IS THAT THE
- 5 TRANSIT PRIORITY POLICY FOR ROADWAYS WOULD APPLY TO STREETS
- 6 THAT HAVE TRANSIT ROUTES, MOST OF WHICH ARE ALREADY ON THE
- 7 ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION NETWORK. AND THEY WOULD NEED TO BE
- 8 REVIEWED FOR TRANSIT SERVICE IMPACTS. AND THEN A SMALL SUBSET
- 9 OF STREETS THAT ARE TRANSIT IMPORTANT STREETS, THOSE THAT ARE
- 10 ON THE TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK, WOULD NEED TO FOLLOW TRANSIT
- 11 SUPPORTIVE DESIGN PRINCIPLES. I'LL GO INTO THIS A BIT MORE ON
- 12 THE NEXT SLIDE. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SO, ALL PROJECTS IN
- 13 COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE TRANSIT SERVICE WILL BE REQUIRED TO HAVE
- 14 TRANSIT AGENCY REVIEW. THIS IS NOT JUST TRANSIT ROUTES THAT
- 15 YOU SEE ON THE SYSTEM MAP BUT ALSO JUST DOING THAT QUICK CHECK
- 16 IN TO SAY HEY DO YOU OCCASIONALLY RUN TRANSIT ON THE STREETS
- 17 SO THAT WE SHOULD CONSIDER IT SO THAT YOU CAN AVOID A
- 18 SITUATION SUCH AS THE ONE I LAID OUT IN SAN RAFAEL JUST MAKING
- 19 SURE IT'S NOT A RECURRING D TWO ROUTE OR PULLING ROUTE FOR
- 20 BUSES RETURNING TO THE GARAGE. THIS IS NOT A NEW REQUIREMENT
- 21 ALREADY TRANSIT AGENCIES ARE REQUIRED TO COORDINATE WITH --
- 22 SORRY -- PROJECT SPONSORS ARE REQUIRED TO COORDINATE WITH
- 23 TRANSIT AGENCIES BUT IT'S CURRENTLY A ONE-WAY REQUIREMENT. THE
- 24 PROJECT SPONSORS ARE REQUIRED TO INFORM THE TRANSIT AGENCIES
- 25 AND ENSURE THE TRANSIT AGENCY HAS ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THEY HAVE



- 1 BEEN INFORMED. WHAT WE'RE RECOMMENDING IS THAT THE TRANSIT
- 2 AGENCY BE GIVEN A CHANCE TO PROVIDE FEEDBACK ABOUT THE
- 3 POTENTIAL IMPACTS. BASICALLY SAYING, CHANGING IT FROM BEING A
- 4 ONE WAY INFORMATION EXCHANGE TO A TWO-WAY THAT TRANSIT
- 5 INDUSTRY RESPOND BACK SAYS HEY WE USE THAT STREET AS A DETOUR
- 6 ROUTE MAYBE YOU COULD CONSIDER SOME CHANGES TO THE DESIGN TO
- 7 ACCOMMODATE THAT. MOST RECENT TRANSIT SERVICE CONFIRM WITH
- 8 TRANSIT AGENCIES TO IDENTIFY CHECK WITH TRANSIT TO IDENTIFY IF
- 9 THERE ARE ANY TRANSIT IMPACTS THEN TRY TO MITIGATE IMPACTS
- 10 ESSENTIALLY. STREETS THAT HAVE HIGHER TRANSIT IMPORTANCE THOSE
- 11 THAT ARE DESIGNATED ON TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK WOULD ARE
- 12 REQUIRED TO HAVE ADDITIONAL DESIGN REVIEW HYPOTHETICAL MAP ON
- 13 THE RIGHT STREETS THAT ARE SHOWN IN RED, THE REST OF THE
- 14 NETWORK WITH THE DASHED BLUE LINE, TRANSIT IMPORTANT STREETS
- 15 WITH THE DARK RED LINES NOW THIS IS HYPOTHETICAL MAP WE
- 16 HAVEN'T DEFINED TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK YET MIKA WILL EXPLAIN
- 17 HOW WE'RE PLAN DOG THAT, TRANSIT AGENCIES WOULD BE ABLE TO
- 18 GIVE SUGGESTIONS FOR WAYS THAT TRANSIT COULD BE IMPROVED ON
- 19 MOST STREETS IT'S DO NO HARM TO TRANSIT BUT ON TRANSIT
- 20 PRIORITY NETWORK YOU WANT TO LOOK AT WAYS THAT COULD IMPROVE
- 21 TRANSIT THOSE TRANSIT SUGGESTIONS TO PROJECT SPONSORS FOR
- 22 THEIR CONSIDERATION IN THEIR DESIGN PROCESS. SO WHAT IT COULD
- 23 LOOK LIKE IS A BETTER PRODUCT FOR EVERYBODY. WHEN YOU LOOK AT
- 24 HAVING A DESIGN THAT CONSIDERS BOTH ALL AGES AND ABILITIES
- 25 DESIGN AND TRANSIT SUPPORTIVE DESIGN TOGETHER, YOU WIND UP



- 1 WITH A SAFER STREET FOR EVERYBODY AND ALSO IT COULD END UP
- 2 BEING FASTER FOR TRANSIT. AND THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT CAN
- 3 ONLY WORK IN URBAN AREAS IN CAN ALSO WORK IN SUBURB KNOW AREAS
- 4 IN DIFFERENT CONTEXT. IN THE URBAN CONTEXT AND SUBURBAN
- 5 CONTEXT ON THE LEFT WE HAVE A BIKE LANE THAT'S NOT PARKING
- 6 PROTECTED WITH BUS STOP IN IT YOU CAN SEE WHEN THE BUS IS
- 7 GOING INTO THE BUS STOP IT CROSSES THE BIKE PATH TRIES
- 8 CREATING CONFLICTS ON THE RIGHT SAN FRANCISCO WE HAVE SHOWN
- 9 HOW THEY HAVE USED A BUS BOARDING ISLAND AND ON FREMONT LEVEL
- 10 CYCLE TRACK TO REMOVE THAT CONFLICT BETWEEN THE BIKES AND
- 11 BUSES MAKING IT WORK BETTER NOT ONLY FOR PEEK BIKING BUT ALSO
- 12 FOR TRANSIT REDUCING DELAYS FOR TRANSIT RIDERS. NEXT SLIDE.
- 13 WE'RE PROPOSING AN OPTIONAL LOCAL RESOLUTION ON TRANSIT
- 14 PRIORITY. THE REASON WE'RE PROPOSING THIS IS BECAUSE OUR
- 15 POLICY WOULD APPLY TO MTC FUNDING, MTC DISCRETIONARY FUNDING
- 16 OVER \$2,500 WHAT -- \$250,000, WE'RE PROPOSING LOCAL
- 17 JURISDICTIONS BE ENCOURAGED TO APPLY LOCAL TRANSIT PRIORITY
- 18 POLICY OR UPDATE THEIR LOCAL COMPLETE STREETS RESOLUTION AND
- 19 WE HAVE PREPARED TEMPLATES THAT WOULD HELP LOCAL JURISDICTIONS
- 20 DO THAT THESE ARE NOT REQUIRED, SOMETHING WE'RE RECOMMENDING
- 21 LOOKING INTO HOW FUNDING INCENTIVES COULD BE APPLIED FOR
- 22 CERTAIN PROGRAMS ON A PROGRAM BY PROGRAM BASIS SO THAT LOCAL
- 23 JURISDICTIONS COULD BE ENCOURAGED DO THAT. SOME CITIES HAVE
- 24 ALREADY DONE THIS, SAN FRANCISCO, BERKELEY, SAN JOSE, ARE SOME
- 25 USUAL SUSPECTS THAT WOULD HAVE ADOPTED A TRANSIT POLICY. BUT



EL CERRITO ALSO HAS A TRANSIT FIRST POLICY, SO IT'S NOT 1 2 SOMETHING YOU SEE IN JUST THE URBAN CONTEXT. I HAVE ONE SLIDE LEFT, THEN I'M GOING TO HAND IT OVER TO MIKA. NEXT SLIDE 3 PLEASE. WE HEARD ABOUT ENCOURAGE COORDINATION TO HAPPEN 4 5 BETWEEN AGENCIES. AGAIN, WE'RE NOT DICTATING A ROADWAY DESIGN, JUST ENCOURAGING AGENCIES COORDINATE AND COLLABORATE WITH EACH 6 7 OTHER AND THE DESIGN WOULD REMAIN A LOCAL DECISION. THE POLICY, HOWEVER, ENCOURAGES EARLY AND PROACTIVE COORDINATION 8 9 BETWEEN AGENCIES AND PROVIDES A STRUCTURE FOR WHAT THAT COORDINATION SHOULD LOOK LIKE. REGARDING WHAT TRANSIT IMPACTS 10 SHOULD BE LOOKED AT AND PREVENTS MTC FROM STEPPING INTO LOCAL 11 12 DECISION-MAKING OR INTO CONFLICT RESOLUTION ROLE. HOWEVER WE KNOW THERE ARE SOME CASE WHERE IS SOMETIMES IT WOULD BE 13 HELPFUL TO HAVE A DISCUSSION. SO, MTC WOULD BE AVAILABLE TO 14 CONVENE A STAKEHOLDER MEETING TO HELP IN MOVING ALONG PROJECT 15 DISCUSSIONS BUT WE'RE NOT PROPOSING THAT MTC WOULD BE -- TAKE 16 17 A LEAD ROLE OR BE A MEDIATOR IN THOSE SITUATIONS. AND I'M GOING TO HAND IT OVER TO MIKA. 18 19 MIKA MIYASATO: THANKS BRRIT. THIS IS MIKA MIYASATO. AC 20 TRANSIT. YOU CAN SEE IN THE TIMELINE ON THE RIGHT STAFF 21 22 ENGAGED IN GROUPS TO INFORM DEVELOPING THE POLICY EARLY IN THE PROCESS WE RECEIVED INPUT FROM STAFF BASED ON WORKING GROUPS 23 24 OUR TWO MTC WORKING GROUPS WORKING GROUPS INCLUDING LOCAL

JURISDICTION COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AGENCY TRANSIT AGENCIES



- 1 CALTRANS AND ADVOCACY GROUPS WE SHARED OUR DRAFT POLICY AT
- 2 STAFF LEVEL REGIONAL WORKING GROUPS THIS PAST WINTER AND THEN
- 3 THROUGH COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AGENCY WORKING GROUPS THIS
- 4 SPRING. AND DURING THE WINTER AND THE SPRING, 2025 ENGAGEMENT,
- 5 WE RECEIVED MORE THAN 500 INDIVIDUAL COMMENTS AT OVER 20
- 6 STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS. AND THERE ARE SOME KEY THEMES FROM THESE
- 7 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT. LOCAL JURISDICTION STRESS THE
- 8 IMPORTANCE OF A CONTEXT SENSITIVE TRANSIT SUPPORTIVE DESIGN,
- 9 WHICH WE HAVE ENCOURAGED. LOCAL JURISDICTION ALSO EXPRESS
- 10 INTEREST IN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO LEARN MORE ABOUT A TRANSIT
- 11 SUPPORTED DESIGN ACROSS THE BOARD CTA TRANSIT AGENCY LOCAL
- 12 AGENCY THEY ARE INTERESTED IN CLARITY ON POLICY REQUIREMENTS
- 13 FOR INTER-AGENCY COORDINATION AND MTC'S ROLE, ESPECIALLY WHEN
- 14 THERE ARE CONFLICTS BETWEEN MODAL CONFLICTS ON THE PROPOSAL.
- 15 WE ALSO HEARD FROM TRANSIT AGENCY AND LOCAL AGENCY, THEY NEED
- 16 CLEAR GUIDANCE ABOUT THE TRANSIT REVIEW PROCESS AND THE POLICY
- 17 IMPLEMENTATION. AND WE RESPONDED TO THIS BY DEVELOPING PRIMER
- 18 FOR IMPLEMENTATION, WHICH IS INCLUDED IN THE MEETING PACKET,
- 19 AS WELL. AND, LASTLY, WE HEARD FROM TRANSIT AGENCY AND
- 20 ADVOCACY GROUPS THAT FUNDING INCENTIVES TO ENCOURAGE LOCAL
- 21 TRANSIT PRIORITY POLICIES IN THE REGULATIONS ARE VERY
- 22 IMPORTANT. MTC STAFF ARE SEPARATELY -- AS BRITT MENTIONED MTC
- 23 STAFF ARE SEPARATELY LOOKING INTO HOW INCENTIVES COULD BE
- 24 APPLIED TO FUNDING PROGRAMS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SO, BRITT
- 25 MENTIONED ABOUT TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK IN THE PREVIOUS SLIDE



- 1 SO THIS TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK WILL BE DEVELOPED THROUGH
- 2 SEPARATE EFFORT CALLED TRANSIT PRIORITY ROADWAY ASSESSMENT.
- 3 SO, WE'LL BE TAKING A DATA-DRIVEN APPROACH TO DEVELOP THE
- 4 TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK. THE THINGS WE WOULD TAKE INTO
- 5 CONSIDERATION WOULD INCLUDE TRANSIT FREQUENCY AND RIDERSHIP,
- 6 EQUITY AND OTHER CONTEXTUAL CONSIDERATIONS. WE'LL ALSO REVIEW
- 7 APPROVED LOCAL TRANSIT AND PREP TRANSPORTATION PLANS. ALSO
- 8 MAKE SURE LOCAL PREFERENCES WOULD BE CONSIDERED AS WELL WE
- 9 DON'T WANT TO REINVENT WHEELS TO CREATE ANOTHER LEVEL OF
- 10 NETWORK. HERE ON THE RIGHT, WE HAVE A MAP OF THE AC TRANSIT
- 11 TRANSBAY ANALYSIS. AND WE'LL BE USING A TOOL TO DISPLAY THE
- 12 SPEED MAP THAT'S ONE OF THE INPUT WE WOULD HAVE FOR THIS
- 13 ANALYSIS. WE'LL ALSO BE DOING A HOTSPOT ANALYSIS FOCUSED ON
- 14 TRANSIT RELIABLE AND BOTH TRANSIT PRIORITY AND NETWORK HOTSPOT
- 15 WILL BE DEVELOPED IN CONSULTATION WITH WORKING GROUPS, AGAIN
- 16 THOSE INCLUDE TRANSIT AGENCIES, CITIES, COUNTIES,
- 17 TRANSPORTATION AGENCY, CALTRANS AND ADVOCACY GROUPS. NEXT
- 18 SLIDE PLEASE. AND HERE IS THE KIND OF OVERVIEW OF THE POLICY
- 19 DEVELOPMENT IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE AND WE'RE HERE TO GET YOUR
- 20 FEEDBACK ON THE DRAFT POLICY. AND, AGAIN, WE HAVE PRESENTED
- 21 THIS AT THE RNM COUNCIL EARLIER, AND WE'LL BE TAKING THIS
- 22 ITEM, SHARE THIS ITEM WITH REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT
- 23 CUSTOMER ADVISORY GROUP, AND LATER THIS MONTH, AND WE PLAN ON
- 24 BRING BACK THE FINAL POLICY TO THIS GROUP, OR COMMITTEE, IN
- 25 NOVEMBER, DECEMBER TIME FRAME. OUR GOAL IS TO HAVE THIS POLICY



- 1 IN PLACE BEFORE OBAG FOUR PROGRAM IS ADOPTED, AND OBAG FOUR
- 2 PROGRAM ADOPTION IS EXPECTED IN EARLY 2026. SO, ONCE THE
- 3 POLICY IS ADOPTED, THE REQUIREMENT FOR COORDINATION WOULD GO
- 4 INTO EFFECT FOR PROJECTS SEEKING OVER \$250,000, IN
- 5 DISCRETIONARY FUNDING, BUT ONLY THAT DO NO HARM LEVEL OF
- 6 REVIEW WOULD APPLY, AND PARTLY BECAUSE TRANSIT PRIORITY
- 7 NETWORK IS NOT ADOPTED BY THEN. SO, YOU CAN SEE THAT THERE ARE
- 8 PHASE IMPLEMENTATION ON THIS SCHEDULE. SO, WE ARE JUST
- 9 STARTING TRANSIT PRIORITY ROADWAY ASSESSMENT, AND WE EXPECT TO
- 10 HAVE A DRAFT TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK SOMETIME IN MIDDLE OF
- 11 THE NEXT YEAR, AND HAVE THAT TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK ADOPTED
- 12 TOWARDS THE END OF 2026. AND THAT MEANS THE REQUIREMENT FOR
- 13 THE HIGHER LEVEL REVIEW ALONG THE TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK
- 14 COULD GO INTO EFFECT AS EARLY AS 2027. AND THROUGH THE THIS
- 15 TIME, WE WOULD ENCOURAGE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS TO CONSIDER
- 16 ADOPTING LOCAL TRANSIT PRIORITY POLICY. NEXT SLIDE. SO, WE
- 17 HAVE THREE STAFF MEMBERS WORKING PRIMARILY ON THIS
- 18 DEVELOPMENTAL TRANSIT PRIORITY POLICY AND YOU CAN ALWAYS REACH
- 19 US AT TRANSIT PRIORITY AT BAYAREAMETRO.GOV AND TO CONCLUDE
- 20 THIS PRESENTATION I'LL HAND IT OVER TO MELANIE.
- 21
- 22 MELANIE CHOY: THANK YOU MIKA, AND ONE THING I'LL HIGHLIGHT IS
- 23 MIKA JOINS US FROM AC TRANSIT AND SHE IS PART OF WHAT WE'RE
- 24 DOING ON ALL OF THESE PROGRAMS WHICH IS EMBEDDING TRANSIT
- 25 AGENCY AND MTC STAFF INTO THE PROGRAM AND PROJECTS IN



- 1 TREMENDOUS OF GUIDING THESE PROGRAMS THROUGHOUT, AND
- 2 CONTINUING TO SHOWCASE BRINGING IN SOME EXPERTISE AS WE
- 3 DEVELOP THESE POLICIES. SO ONE OF THE THINGS, AS MIKA
- 4 MENTIONED, IS THROUGHOUT, DURING THIS POLICY, THERE IS A LOT
- 5 OF ITERATIONS OF OUTREACH WITH A BROAD RANGE OF STAKEHOLDERS
- 6 AND VENUES AND I WANT TO FOCUS ON TWO PART FOCUS ON THE
- 7 ADVISORY BODIES, RNM COMMITTEE AND ADVISORY GROUP, I WANT TO
- 8 CALL OUT SOME OF THAT INPUT THEY HAVE PROVIDED US IN THE
- 9 DEVELOPMENT OF THIS POLICY JUST ON BRING THAT TO THE
- 10 FOREFRONT. THIS MEETING AND DRAFT POLICY WAS PRESENTED TO THE
- 11 COUNCIL AS A REMINDER IS MADE UP OF A SUBSET OF THE TRANSIT
- 12 AGENCY EXECUTIVES, AS WELL AS ANDREW FREMIER, FROM MTC, AS THE
- 13 MTC REP EXECUTIVE ON IT AND SOME OF THE THINGS THE COUNCIL
- 14 COMMENTED ON AND SOME KEY THINGS IS THE COUNCIL RECOGNIZES THE
- 15 CHALLENGES OF THIS POLICY. AND THEY REALLY DID -- AND THEY
- 16 APPRECIATE VERY MUCH THE WORK THAT HAS GONE INTO THIS AND THEY
- 17 ALSO SAW THAT THE POLICY DID EVOLVE BASED ON COMMENTS THAT WE
- 18 HAVE RECEIVED THROUGH THE, SO THERE IS A LEVEL OF APPRECIATION
- 19 AND RECOGNITION OF THAT. HOWEVER, THEY DO FEEL -- THEY DID
- 20 FEEL THAT THERE ARE SOME KEY AREAS THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE
- 21 THE POLICY STRENGTHENED. AND ONE OF THE KEY THINGS IS APPLYING
- 22 THE POLICY TO ALL ROADWAY FUNDING. AND, ALSO, HAVING
- 23 INCENTIVES OR REWARDS FOR JURISDICTIONS TO CONSIDER AND
- 24 INCORPORATE TRANSIT ELEMENTS AND IMPROVEMENTS INTO TRANSIT
- 25 RELATED PROJECTS. SO, ROADWAY FUNDING AND INCENTIVIZATION



- 1 STRENGTHENING THAT. THE SECOND AREA THAT WE HEARD A COMMENT
- 2 FROM ON THE COUNCIL WAS THE DESIRE TO HAVE COUNTIES AND CITIES
- 3 AND LOCAL JURISDICTIONS ADOPT LOCAL POLICY RESOLUTIONS
- 4 CONSISTENT WITH THE -- OUR REGIONAL TRANSIT PRIORITY POLICY.
- 5 AND THESE WOULD JUST GO A LONG WAY, FROM THEIR PERSPECTIVE, IN
- 6 TERMS OF BUILDING THE DESIGN ELEMENTS INTO DESIGN ELEMENTS OF
- 7 TRANSIT, WHERE IMPACTS COULD BE MITIGATED. THE THIRD AREA IS
- 8 THAT THERE WAS INTEREST IN WAS LEADERSHIP IN RESOLVING
- 9 CONFLICTS. AND THERE IS AN INTEREST IN HAVING MTC TAKE A
- 10 STRONGER ROLE IN PROJECT DESIGN DISPUTES WHEN THEY ARISE
- 11 BETWEEN TRANSIT AGENCIES AND JURISDICTIONS. CURRENTLY THE
- 12 DRAFT POLICY HAS MTC IN ONE OF THE SLIDES BEING A CONVENER IN
- 13 LIMITED CASES. SO, THIS IS -- THESE ARE SOME OF THE COMMENTS I
- 14 WANTED TO CALL OUT AND THERE IS ALSO A LETTER IN YOUR PACKET
- 15 AND I WANT TO REITERATE SOME OF THE THINGS WE HEARD AT THE
- 16 COUNCIL MEETING. THE OTHER AREA, THE RNM CAG WHICH IS THE
- 17 STAKEHOLDER GROUP INVOLVING BUSINESS REPRESENTATIVES AS WELL
- 18 AS ADVOCACY GROUPS, COMMUNITY MEMBERS, AND CITIES AT LOCAL
- 19 JURISDICTIONS, FROM THAT GROUP, PRESENTED A PREVIEW OF THIS
- 20 POLICY IN JULY, AND THIS WILL ALSO GO TO THEM IN ABOUT A WEEK
- 21 AND A HALF. SO, THEY HAVE A MEETING ON THE 20th. SO FAR, THE
- 22 FEEDBACK THAT WE HAVE HEARD AND DISTILLED IS THAT THEY ALSO
- 23 FEEL VERY -- HAD SIMILAR COMMENTS, WHICH IS THAT THE POLICY
- 24 ONLY APPLIES TO MTC REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDING CURRENTLY,
- 25 AND THERE IS AN INTEREST THAT LOCAL JURISDICTIONS SHOULD BE



1

#### OCTOBER 10, 2025

ENCOURAGED TO COORDINATE WITH TRANSIT ON ALL PROJECTS AND NOT

2 JUST THE MTC FUNDED PROJECTS AND SECONDLY THAT THE POLICY SHOULD ENCOURAGE AND INCENTIVIZE JURISDICTIONS TO ADOPT LOCAL 3 TRANSIT PRIORITY POLICIES TO PROMOTE COORDINATION WITH TRANSIT 4 5 AGENCIES WHEN THERE ARE SOME TRANSIT NEEDS ON ROADWAY FUNDING -- ROADWAY PROJECTS. THEN LASTLY, THERE WAS ALSO AN INTEREST 6 7 IN DEVELOPING A REGIONAL DESIGN STANDARD FOR TRANSIT SUPPORTIVE DESIGN. AND, SO, THAT SUMMARIZES SOME OF THE 8 9 COMMENTS THAT WERE MADE. WE, AS A TEAM, THE STAFF HAS TAKEN ALL OF THESE COMMENTS, IN ADDITION TO ALL OF THE STAKEHOLDER 10 COMMENTS THAT WE HAVE HEARD THROUGHOUT AND WE'RE PROPOSING THE 11 12 POLICY BEFORE YOU TODAY BASED ON LOOKING AT ALL OF THE COMMENTS WE HAVE RECEIVED IN A BALANCED LENS. I WANT TO CALL 13 AWE FEW KEY THINGS OUT THAT WE HAVE HEARD ALONG THE WAY. AND 14 WE -- I'LL TURN IT OVER TO, BACK TO CHAIR JOHN-BAPTISTE. 15 16 17 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: THANK YOU MELANIE, AND MIKA AND BRITT FOR THE PRESENTATION. I'LL TURN IT OVER FOR PUBLIC 18 19 COMMENT. 20 CLERK OF THE BOARD: WE HAVE RECEIVED WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE A 21 22 JOINT LETTER FROM SEAMLESS BAY AREA TRAFFIC VIOLENCE RAPID 23 RESPONSE TRANSBAY COALITION EAST BAY TRANSIT RIDERS THE SAME 24 LETTER THAT WAS SUBMITTED ON JUNE 8TH, 2025 ANOTHER JOINT LETTER FROM AC TRANSIT SAMTRANS COUNTY CONNECTIONS AND ALSO 25



COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM MEMBER SHAW. HOW MUCH TIME WOULD YOU 1 2 LIKE TO GIVE? 3 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: LET'S GIVE ONE MINUTE PLEASE. 4 5 CLERK OF THE BOARD: ONE MINUTE FIRST UP IS GOING TO BE ADINA 6 7 LEVIN. COME ON. RAVE 8 9 ADINA LEVIN: ALL RIGHT. ADINA LEVIN WITH SEAMLESS, SPEAKING TO ELEMENTS IN THE LETTER, WHICH IS GOING TO BE REALLY HARD TO DO 10 IN ONE MINUTE. THE, IN GENERAL, WE'RE SUPPORTIVE OF THE GOALS 11 12 OF THE INITIATIVES, BUT THINK THAT THERE ARE A NUMBER OF 13 THINGS THAT CAN BE STRENGTHENED THIS FOCUSES ON MITIGATING THE UNINTENDED NEGATIVE IMPACTS ON TRANSIT OF ACTIVE 14 TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS. THERE IS ANOTHER SET OF ISSUES WHERE 15 THERE ARE OFTEN MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL TRANSIT PRIORITY PROJECTS 16 17 THAT GET IMPACTED BY THE DIFFERENT WAYS THAT CITIES HANDLE THINGS, AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THAT 18 19 ADDRESSED. SPEAKING TO THE COMMENTS ON FUNDING, WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE A BIG PICTURE OF FUNDING, NOT JUST APPLYING TO ONE 20 FUNDING SOURCE. BUT LOOKING AT PLANNED BAY AREA OVERALL GOALS 21 22 OF TRANSIT PRIORITY HAVING A BIG PICTURE OF HOW TO ACHIEVE 23 THOSE GOALS AND THEN LOOKING AT HOW TO MARSHALL THE VARIOUS 24 DIFFERENT SOURCES OF FUNDING INCLUDING APPLYING THE POLICY AND

I THINK I AM AT TIME. THERE ARE SOME LATER THINGS I WILL ADD



1 IN GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT. THANK YOU. 2 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: MADAM CLERK, CAN I AMEND MY TIME 3 4 LIMIT? OR NO? CAN I MAKE IT TWO MINUTES NOW, PLEASE? 5 CLERK OF THE BOARD: UH-HUH. 6 7 8 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: APOLOGIES, ADINA. 9 CLERK OF THE BOARD: YOU HAVE ONE MORE MINUTE. 10 11 12 ADINA LEVIN: SPEAKING OF MITIGATING UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES OF ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, TO DO SO IN THE WAY THAT'S 13 SERVING THE PUBLIC, WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE A PRINCIPLE OF SAFETY 14 FRONT AND CENTER TO MAKE SURE THAT IS WELL CONSIDERED. IN 15 TERMS OF ISSUES TO MITIGATE AND AMELIORATE, WE WOULD REALLY 16 17 LIKE TO SEE AN ELEMENT OF TECHNICAL SUPPORT FOCUSING ON THE ISSUES OF PARKING AND TRAFFIC THAT CAN GET IN THE WAY OF 18 19 TRANSIT PRIORITY PROJECTS IF THEY ARE NOT WELL AND THANKFUL 20 AND PROACTIVELY ADDRESSED. WITH REGARD TO ADVISORY, WE THINK THAT BRINGING SOMETHING TO A B PAC IS REASONABLE AND WANT TO 21 22 ENCOURAGE PROACTIVELY GROWING THOSE ADVISORY COMMITTEES TO BE 23 ABLE TO ADDRESS THE TRANSIT PRIORITY. AND THERE IS A LONGER 24 TERM STRATEGICAL BEYOND THE BOX OF THIS PROJECT THAT I WILL

MENTION IN GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT. THANK YOU.



CLERK OF THE BOARD: OUR NEXT PUBLIC SPEAKER WILL BE ROBERT DEL
ROSARIO.
SPEAKER: GOOD AFTERNOON. ROBERT DEL ROSARIO DIRECTOR OF
SERVICE DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING FOR ALAMEDA COUNTY CONTRA
COSTA TRANSIT DISTRICT I'M HERE ON BEHALF OF MANAGER FOR AC
TRANSIT WHO CANNOT BE HERE TODAY SEND APOLOGIES WE'RE
APPRECIATIVE OF THE COPROJECT MANAGEMENT MODEL BETWEEN MTC AND
TRANSIT OPERATORS FOR RNM INITIATIVE INITIATIVES THERE ARE
SOME ITEMS THE TRANSIT OPERATORS WANT TO ADVOCATE FOR THREE
KEY POINTS ONE IS AN INCENTIVE PROGRAM SO THERE IS COMPLIANCE
WITH THE POLICY TO MAKE SURE TRANSIT IS INCORPORATED INTO ALL
PROJECTS. TWO, WE KNOW THAT MTC IS A MAJOR FUNDING PARTNER BUT
THERE ARE OTHER FUNDING PARTNERS AS WELL, CTA'S CONGESTION
MANAGEMENT AGENCIES AND WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT ADHERING TO
INCORPORATING TRANSIT PRIORITY AT ALL LEVELS IS ENCOURAGED.
AND THEREFORE WE NEED TO HAVE THAT COLLABORATION HAPPEN AND
ALSO HOLD THE LOCAL AGENCIES AND THE CTA'S ACCOUNTABILITY. AND
THEN THREE EVEN WITH STRONG COLLABORATION WE UNDERSTAND
CONFLICTS ARE INEVITABLE PARTICULARLY ROADWAY PROJECTS ARE
DIFFICULT TO GET TO CONSENSUS SO WE STRONGLY URGE MTC TO
DEVELOP A PROACTIVE FRAMEWORK TO ADDRESS AND RESOLVE THESE
CONFLICTS EARLY IN THE PROCESS WITHOUT SUCH AN APPROACH THE

EFFECTIVENESS OF OUR REGIONAL TRANSIT SYSTEM RISKS BEING



- 1 DIMINISHED BY SMALL CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ON THESE ROADWAY
- 2 PROJECTS ALL THROUGHOUT THE BAY AREA SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 3 CONSIDERATION AND CONTINUED PARTNERSHIP AND ADVANCING MORE
- 4 TRANSIT FRIENDLY SUSTAINABLE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM.
- 5 THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. APPRECIATE IT.

6

7 CLERK OF THE BOARD: AND GEORGE SPEIS IN ZOOM.

- 9 SPEAKER: HELLO. MY NAME IS GEORGE SPEIS, WITH TRAFFIC
- 10 [INDISCERNIBLE] RAPID RESPONSE. I WANT TO SUPPORT GOALS HERE
- 11 OUR REGION SHOULD BE PRIORITIZING TRANSIT OVER CARS AND MODE
- 12 SHIFT AWAY FROM CARS BUT WHAT WE SEE HERE DOESN'T EXACTLY DO
- 13 THIS INSTEAD THIS DRAFT SEEMS CONCERNED WITH CITIES
- 14 IMPLEMENTING ROAD DIETS AND OTHER SAFETY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION
- 15 PROJECTS WHERE BUS ROUTES OCCUR. THE CONCERN IS MISPLACED ON
- 16 TELEGRAPH AVENUE IN OAKLAND, AC TRANSIT OPPOSED THE ROAD
- 17 SAFETY PROJECT OUT OF CONCERN FOR BUS TRAVEL TIMES AFTER THE
- 18 PROJECT WAS BUILT THEY WERE UNABLE TO DISCERN NEGATIVE IMPACTS
- 19 TO BUS PERFORMANCE INSTEAD THE MAP YOU DISPLAYED SHOWS
- 20 GREATEST DELAYS ON STREETS THAT HAVE NO SAFETY PROJECTS
- 21 INSTALLED TRANSIT IS GETTING SLOWER, TRANSIT PRIORITY POLICY
- 22 NEEDS TO BE FOCUSING ON PRIORITIZING TRANSIT OVER CARS CAREFUL
- 23 CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO TRANSIT REQUIREMENTS AS PART
- 24 OF THE CHANGES HOWEVER SHOULD NOT EQUATE WITH TRANSIT AGENCY
- 25 VETO OVER SAFETY PROJECTS WHICH WE UNDERSTAND TEXT READS NO



- 1 EXCEPTIONS SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN MADE FOR SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS
- 2 IN THE DRAFT FINAL POLICY. THIS POLICY SHOULD BE AFFIRMATIVELY
- 3 DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN CONCERNS THAT ARE NON-NEGOTIABLE AND
- 4 THOSE THAT ARE A BALANCING TEST. REMEMBER, WHENEVER TRANSIT,
- 5 THERE ARE PEDESTRIANS AND CITIES HAVE AFFIRMATIVE DUTY TO
- 6 PROTECT THESE PEOPLE. INSTEAD TRANSIT TRAVEL TIMES SHOULD BE
- 7 ADDRESSED TO ANALYSIS OF CHOKE POINTS AND ADDRESSING THROUGH
- 8 COORDINATION OF RNM TO IMPROVE EFFORTS TO INSTALL TRANSIT
- 9 PRIORITY SIGNALING QUEUE JUMP LANES AND SPOT TREATMENTS FOR
- 10 KEY PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENTS. EVEN SOMETHING LIKE MOVING MORE
- 11 OF YOUR CASH PAYING CUSTOMERS TO CLIPPER ARE GOING TO REDUCE
- 12 TIMES IMPROVE BUS PERFORMANCE WITHOUT INFRASTRUCTURE
- 13 INVESTMENT OR INTER-AGENCY CONFLICTS WE'RE GOING TO ENCOURAGE
- 14 ALL PARTIES TO COMMIT TO COLLABORATIVE APPROACH USE WEALTH OF
- 15 DATA BEING GENERATED BY OUR TRANSIT AGENCIES TO RESOLVE
- 16 PERFORMANCE ISSUES RATHER THAN ARBITRARILY PUTTING A THUMB ON
- 17 THE SCALE IN EFFORT TO BALANCE TRANSIT AND TRAFFIC SAFETY
- 18 MEASURES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 20 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THERE ARE NO OTHER MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
- 21 WISHING TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM.
- 23 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: MOVING TO COMMITTEE MEMBERS VICE
- 24 CHAIR ANDERSEN.

25

19



V. CHAIR, CANDACE ANDERSON: THANK YOU SO MUCH. THANK YOU. AS A 1 2 MEMBER OF COUNTY CONNECTION BOARD OF DIRECTORS IN THE EAST BAY I'M VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THESE PRINCIPLES AND AS I HAVE 3 MENTIONED PREVIOUSLY, MY BIG CONCERN IS I WANT TO MAKE SURE 4 5 WE'RE HAVING SUFFICIENT OUTREACH TO THE CITIES WHO WILL AFFECTED BY THIS POLICY, AS WELL AS THE TRANSPORTATION 6 7 AUTHORITIES. AND, SO, I WAS LISTENING CAREFULLY, MELANIE TALKED ABOUT WITH THE TAC, AND THERE WAS ALSO A STAKEHOLDER 8 9 GROUP THAT DID INCLUDE SOME CITIES, BUT I'M NOT HEARING ABOUT IT AT ALL FROM MY CITY LEADERS AND CITY MANAGERS SO I'M 10 WONDERING HAS THERE BEEN OUTREACH SPECIFICALLY TO CITY 11 12 MANAGERS, TO TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENTS, TO MAYOR'S 13 CONFERENCES? TO THE TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITIES? SO THEY REALLY HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO WEIGH IN? BECAUSE, AGAIN, THESE ARE 14 VALID PRINCIPLES SOMETHING WE ALL SUPPORT BUT I WANT TO MAKE 15 SURE WE'RE ADDRESSING NUANCES, AND PARTICULARLY AS THE LAST 16 17 CALLER TALKED ABOUT SAFETY A LOT OF CITIES ARE ADOPTING AND COUNTIES THIS VISION ZERO AND WE HAVE TO FIGURE OUT HOW THAT 18 19 WORKS WITH THIS, SO ANY SPECIFICS WITH REGARD TO OUTREACH 20 DIRECTLY TO CITIES, CTAS TO MAYORS, COUNSEL MEMBERS. 21 22 BRITT TANNER: HI. BRITT TANNER, I CAN ANSWER THAT QUESTION. I WANT TO REFER TO ATTACHMENT C IN THE DOCUMENT WHICH INCLUDES 23

OUR STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH MEMO IN THE TABLE YOU WILL SEE GROUPS

THAT WE MET WITH AND YOU WILL SEE AS PART OF THE DEVELOPMENT

24



- 1 OF THIS POLICY WE DID THREE PHASES OF OUTREACH, IN THE FIRST
- 2 PHASE IN WINTER OF 2025, WE WENT TO STAFF LEVEL WORKING
- 3 GROUPS, WHICH INCLUDED MANY CITY LEVEL STAFF, FOR EXAMPLE, THE
- 4 LOCAL STREETS AND ROAD PROGRAMMING AND DELIVERY WORKING GROUP
- 5 HAS MEMBERS THROUGHOUT THE CITY, ALSO THE ACTIVE
- 6 TRANSPORTATION WORKING GROUP INCLUDES CITY STAFF FROM MANY
- 7 CITIES. THEN IN THE SPRING, WE WENT TO THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY
- 8 COMMITTEES AND OTHER COMMITTEES AT EVERY TA THAT WAS
- 9 INTERESTED IN HOSTING US. I HAVE TO SAY THAT, BECAUSE SAN
- 10 FRANCISCO, PARTICULARLY WITH THIS UNIQUE MODEL WITH SFMTA
- 11 HANDLING BOTH STREETS AND TRANSIT THEY BASICALLY DEFERRED, BUT
- 12 EVERY OTHER WENT TO DIFFERENT ADVISORY COMMITTEES AND IN
- 13 PARTICULAR CONTRA COSTA COUNTY WE WENT TO ALL FOUR OF THE
- 14 GROUPS THERE, TRANCE PLAN, WIKTA, SPA AND TRANCE PLAN. WE HAVE
- 15 V DONE INDIVIDUAL MEETINGS FOR SOME CITIES AS WELL.

16

17 V. CHAIR, CANDACE ANDERSON: THANK YOU SO MUCH.

18

19 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: SHAW?

- 21 DIANE SHAW: COMMUNICATION IS INTERESTING BECAUSE IT SEEMS LIKE
- 22 NO MATTER HOW MUCH YOU DO THERE IS ALWAYS PEOPLE THAT SEEM TO
- 23 NOT HAVE HEARD. AND I THINK THAT'S PART OF THE ISSUE HERE I
- 24 DON'T THINK THE CITIES ARE TRYING NOT TO WORK AGAINST US BUT
- 25 SOMETIMES THEY DON'T KNOW SO WHOEVER TALKED ABOUT TRYING TO



- 1 HAVE SOME MORE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FOR CITIES, I THINK THAT'S
- 2 A REALLY GOOD IDEA. ONE OF THE QUESTIONS I DID, BECAUSE THIS
- 3 IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR US TO GO FORWARD, BUT I THINK YOU TALKED
- 4 ABOUT THE LOCAL RESOLUTIONS WHICH I THINK WILL BE VERY
- 5 IMPORTANT TOO, AND MAYBE IF THEY DO A LOCAL RESOLUTION THAT'S
- 6 ONE WAY TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYONE IN THE CITIES ARE ON BOARD
- 7 BECAUSE THEY WILL HAVE BEEN PART OF THAT LOCAL RESOLUTION SO I
- 8 REALLY ENCOURAGE US TO FIGURE OUT THAT FRAMEWORK THAT YOU
- 9 TALKED ABOUT DOING AND MAYBE THE TEMPLATE SUPERVISOR HAVING US
- 10 PURSUE THAT. YOU TALKED ABOUT THE -- A COUPLE OF SPEAKS TALKED
- 11 ABOUT THE FUNDING FROM DIFFERENT SOURCES. DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA
- 12 WHAT THE VALUE OF THIS DISCRETIONARY FUNDS THAT ARE
- 13 POTENTIALLY SUBJECT TO THESE COMPLETE STREETS CHECKLIST? I
- 14 MEAN, WE'RE ONLY TALKING ABOUT 20% OF THE MONEY THAT GOES INTO
- 15 CITIES AND STREETS? ARE WE TALKING MORE? AND HOW DO WE ENSURE
- 16 THAT WE CAN EXPAND IT SO THAT YOU KNOW IT'S MEANINGFUL FOR
- 17 TRANSIT? ESPECIALLY FOR THE DO NO HARM PRINCIPLE.

- 19 ALIX BOCKELMAN: THROUGH THE CHAIR? SORRY. THROUGH THE CHAIR.
- 20 [LAUGHTER] ALIX BOCKELMAN, CHIEF DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. I
- 21 BELIEVE THE COMPLETE STREETS CHECKLIST, I THINK, APPLIES TO
- 22 LOTS OF DIFFERENT FUND SOURCES. ONE OF THE LARGEST THAT I CAN
- 23 THINK OF IS THE ONE BAY AREA GRANT PROGRAM. THE LAST CYCLE OF
- 24 THAT, WHICH I THINK WAS FOUR YEARS, I HOPE I HAVE MY YEARS
- 25 RIGHT, WAS AROUND 800 MILLION IN TOTAL, AND THEN THERE WERE,



SORT OF, SPECIFICALLY FUNDS THAT THE COUNTIES, I THINK IT 1 2 APPLIES TO MORE THAN THE COUNTIES, BUT, ANYWAYS, THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN ABOUT 350 MILLION OR SO. 3 4 5 DIANE SHAW: SO THAT WOULD APPLY, THEN THEY WOULD ALL HAVE TO COMPLY WITH THIS COMPLETE STREETS CHECKLIST? 6 7 ALIX BOCKELMAN: I BELIEVE SO. WE CAN GET BACK TO JUST TO MAKE 8 9 SURE I'M NOT MISSTATING THAT. BUT YES, I DO BELIEVE THAT THOSE FUNDS WERE SUBJECT TO THE COMPLETE STREETS CHECKLIST. 10 11 12 DIANE SHAW: OKAY. BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S WHAT'S GOING TO BE IMPORTANT HERE IS THAT THIS IS THE BIGGER PORTION I KNOW 13 SOMEBODY TALKED ABOUT HAVING INCENTIVES AND I THINK THAT WOULD 14 BE GREAT IF WE CAN FIGURE OUT HOW TO MAKE THAT WORK TO REALLY 15 GIVE PEOPLE THE CARROT TO DO THESE THINGS AND WANT TO DO IT 16 17 AND HOW TO BEST MAKE IT WORK FOR BOTH SIDES. SO, I REALLY LOOK TO DOING THAT. AND OF COURSE YOU TALK ABOUT SAN FRANCISCO AND 18 19 SAN JOSE WHO DON'T HAVE AS BIG OF AN ISSUE, BECAUSE THEIR STREETS AND THEIR TRANSIT ARE ALL TOGETHER. BUT THEN YOU TALK 20 ABOUT AC TRANSIT WHO HAS TO GO TO 13 DIFFERENT CITIES AND IN 21 22 CONTRA COSTA THEY HAVE THE SAME ISSUE WITH MULTIPLE CITIES, SAN MATEO COUNTY HAS THE SAME ISSUE. THERE IS DEFINITELY GOING 23 24 TO BE SOME HELP THAT'S GOING TO BE NEEDED, I THINK, TO

FACILITATE SOME OF THAT HAPPENING. AND I THINK WE JUST NEED TO



1 FIGURE OUT HOW TO MAKE THAT POSSIBLE. SO, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 2 AND I LOOK FORWARD TO MOVING FORWARD WITH THIS. THANK YOU. 3 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: THANK YOU MEMBER SHAW. MEMBER 4 5 PAPAN, BURT, THEN MEMBER NOACK. 6 7 GINA PAPAN: THANK YOU. YEAH, I THINK THE BASIC CONCEPT IS GREAT HERE, AND I NOTICED, YOU KNOW, WHO YOU MET WITH IN MY 8 9 COUNTY, AND I HAVE CHAIRED ONE OF THOSE COMMITTEES. I THINK IT HAS PROGRESSED THOUGH, I'M A LITTLE SENSITIVE TO THE ISSUE OF 10 HAVING IT APPLY TO ALL STREETS, I THINK THAT WAS MENTIONED. 11 12 THAT COULD BE SOMEWHAT PROBLEMATIC, AND AS WAS NOTED TOO, WE HAVE 20 DIFFERENT CITIES, SO THE OVERLAP. SO THE BAY -- AND IT 13 REALLY WASN'T PRESENTED TO US IN THAT FASHION WHEN IT CAME TO 14 SAN MATEO COUNTY, BUT, AGAIN, OVERALL, I THINK THE PROJECT IS 15 GOOD AND WE CAN BENEFIT FROM THIS, AND I THINK THE BEST THING 16 17 BROUGHT FORWARD HERE WAS REALLY ADVISING AND ENCOURAGING PEOPLE HERE. I WOULD NOT LIKE TO SEE -- YOU KNOW, I'M HOPING, 18 19 BECAUSE YOU HAVE DIFFERENT TRANSIT OPERATORS MIXED INTO TOGETHER, ESPECIALLY WITH SOME JURISDICTIONS IN THE EAST BAY 20 THERE THAT COULD BE MORE PROBLEM MAT PICK HERE, THAT IT 21 22 DOESN'T SLOW DOWN PROJECTS, BUT THE OVERALL I THINK EARLY 23 INTERVENTION, I GUESS THAT'S WHAT IT'S CALLED [LAUGHTER] SO 24 WHEN YOU ARE PLANNING HOW TO PROCEED HERE TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN,

BECAUSE WE HAVE A MAJOR THOROUGHFARE THAT'S GOING TO BE ON A



DIET, EL CAMINO AND ALL THIS STUFF IS VERY IMPORTANT TO US SO 1 2 I JUST HESITATE WHEN YOU MENTION ALL STREETS AND ALL CITIES, I THINK THAT MIGHT BE SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM. THANK YOU. 3 4 5 MELANIE CHOY: MELANIE CHOY, IN REGARDS TO THE ALL STREETS, THERE WAS ONE COMPONENT THAT BRITT MENTIONED IN TERMS OF THE 6 7 SLIDE SHOULD IS THERE IS TIERING OF THE DO NO HARM KIND OF ANALYSIS OF THE TWO WAY CONVERSATION FOR ALL ROADWAYS SO I 8 9 THINK IT'S DEGRADATIONS OF HOW AND LEVEL OF DETAIL SPECIFICALLY IN THE POLICY WHICH IS ALL ROADWAYS IN TERMS OF 10 HAVING A TWO WAY CONVERSATION THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO 11 12 IS NOT HAVE IT KIND OF A ONE WAY CHECKLIST. SO THAT'S ONE COMPONENT THEN THE SECONDARY ONE IN TERMS OF LOOKING AT 13 IMPROVEMENTS IS THE SECOND TIER WHICH IS ON THE NETWORK ITSELF 14 THAT WE WILL IDENTIFY AT A FUTURE DATE THROUGH ANALYSIS. SO I 15 WANT TO CALL THAT OUT IN TERMS OF ALL ROADWAYS VERSUS SUBSET 16 17 OF ROADWAYS AND WHAT THAT APPLIES TO WHAT. THANK YOU. 18 19 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: MEMBER BURT? 20 PAT BURT: THANK YOU. SO, I WANTED TO SUPPORT SOMETHING THAT 21 22 MELANIE HAD BRUT UP WHICH IS FIGURING OUT HOW TO MAKE THESE 23 GUIDELINES BE APPLICABLE EVEN WHERE WE'RE NOT PROVIDING 24 FUNDING THROUGH MTC. AND TO GIVE AN EXAMPLE, EL CAMINO, WHICH IS STATE HIGHWAY AND MOST OF OUR JURISDICTIONS, IN MOUNTAIN 25



- 1 VIEW AND PALO ALTO, WE JUST WENT THROUGH A VERY EXTENSIVE
- 2 PROGRAM OF REMOVING ALL PARKING AND PUTTING BIKE LANES ON EL
- 3 CAMINO. INITIALY CALTRANS HAD NO INTENTION NOR FUNDING TO MAKE
- 4 ANY PROTECTIONS TO THE BIKE LANES ORDEAL WITH HAZARDS THAT
- 5 CROSS STREETS AND INTERSECTIONS, AND FORTUNATELY, WE WERE ABLE
- 6 TO GET CTC FUNDING TO SUPPORT THOSE MEASURES. BUT AN EXAMPLE
- 7 THAT YOU HAD IN YOUR SLIDE DECK WAS AT BUS STOPS, WHICH WE
- 8 HAVE A MYRIAD OF BUS STOPS IN THOSE AREAS, AND CALTRANS HAD NO
- 9 CONSCIOUSNESS OF THE ISSUE WHEN PRESSED ON IT THEY SAID, WELL,
- 10 I GUESS BIKES WOULD WAIT BEHIND THE BUS UNTIL ALL THE LOADING
- 11 OCCURRED AND EVERYTHING. AND I SAID GOOD LUCK WITH THAT, AND
- 12 THEY SAID WELL THEN THEY WILL SWERVE OUT INTO THE LANE WITH
- 13 HIGH VOLUME HIGH SPEED TRAFFIC RATHER THAN OF THE MODELS YOU
- 14 SHOWED IS HOW DO WE DIVERT AND HAVE BIKE LANES PERHAPS ON
- 15 SIDEWALKS AND NO ONE SIZE FITS ALL HERE AND ON THE OTHER HAND
- 16 PILOTED SOME VERY EXPENSIVE STATIONS THAT SEPARATE BUS LOADING
- 17 FROM THE BIKEWAY. NEITHER OF THE AGENCY HIS REALLY BEEN
- 18 CONSCIOUS OF SOME OF THE THINGS THAT YOU'RE RECOMMENDING WHICH
- 19 LOOK LIKE BETTER ALTERNATIVES IN MANY CIRCUMSTANCES. SO, I
- 20 REALLY WANT TO SUPPORT THAT, AND I ALSO WANT TO SUPPORT THE
- 21 RECONSIDERATION OF WHETHER ALL STREETS SHOULD APPLY TO MAKE
- 22 SURE THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT REALLY WHICH ONES LEND THEMSELVES
- 23 TO THESE PRACTICES IN TERMS OF EXISTING DESIGNS AND CONTEXT,
- 24 AND I DON'T HAVE THE ANSWERS TO THAT, BUT I DO WANT TO MAKE
- 25 SURE THAT WE ARE LOOKING AT CONTEXT.



1 2 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: NOACK? 3 SUE NOACK: SO, I JUST -- YOU KNOW, I'M THINKING OF, SORT OF, 4 5 EASTERN PART OF CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AND PROBABLY SOME OF THE NORTHERN COUNTIES, AND OF COURSE I DON'T KNOW WHERE THAT 6 7 TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK IS. BUT, YOU KNOW, I THINK OF COUNTY CONNECTION CANDACE WAS TALKING ABOUT WE CHANGE OUR ROUTES A 8 9 LOT OF TIME BECAUSE OF A LOT OF NEW CONSTRUCTION WHICH OBVIOUSLY IS GOING TO BE CALLED UPON. AND, SO, HOW -- HOW DO 10 YOU ADDRESS EVERY STREET IF YOU DO BULB-OUTS FOR BUSES AND 11 12 THEN BUSES GET MOVED TO A DIFFERENT STREET BECAUSE OF NEW CONSTRUCTION, I JUST THINK WE HAVE TO, IN THOSE SUBURBAN AND 13 RURAL AREAS I THINK WE V NEED TO BE REALLY CONSCIOUS OF MAKING 14 ALL THESE CHANGES WHEN THE BUS MAY ONLY GO ONCE EVERY HOUR OR 15 IT'S REALLY NOT A TRANSIT PRIORITY CORRIDOR. SO, I DON'T KNOW 16 HOW WE ADDRESS THAT. BUT I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING WE HAVE TO 17 CONSIDER WITH MANY OF THOSE CITIES AND THOSE AREAS. 18 19 SPEAKER: DO YOU WANT TO ANSWER FOR THAT? 20 21 22 BRITT TANNER: SORRY. I NEED A PRIMER ON THE PROTOCOLS FOR THIS 23 MEETING. I APOLOGIZE. [LAUGHTER] SO GOING BACK TO WHAT WE WERE 24 TALKING ABOUT, ARE THE TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK YOU DON'T KNOW BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW. WE HAVEN'T DEVELOPED IT YET BUT I ALSO 25



- 1 NEED TO TIE BACK TO THAT DO NO HARM PRINCIPLE THAT WE KEEP
- 2 MENTIONING AND ALSO HOW IT CONNECTS TO SOME OF THE COMMENTS WE
- 3 HAVE HEARD ABOUT HOW THIS GIVES TRANSIT A WAY TO SAY NO TO
- 4 PROJECTS. WE DON'T LITERALLY MEAN DO NO HARM TO TRANSIT LIKE
- 5 IF THERE IS A PRESENTLY WHERE ROAD HAS -- [INDISCERNIBLE]
- 6 RECOMMENDED BY THE COMMITTEE STREETS PLAN TRANSIT RUNS THAT
- 7 STREET WE'RE SAYING WHEN DESIGNING A PROJECT SO THAT YOU CAN
- 8 MINIMIZE IMPACT TO TRANSIT AND HAVE A CONVERSATION ABOUT IT SO
- 9 IF YOU ARE GOING TO PUT PEDESTRIAN BULB ON A CORNER WHERE A
- 10 BUS IS TURNING, WE WOULD SAY TO THAT. THAT WOULD BE A
- 11 SITUATION WHERE WE WOULD SAY THIS WHERE YOU NEED TO CONSIDER
- 12 THE NEEDS OF TRANSIT. HOWEVER IF YOU'RE DOING A ROAD BECAUSE
- 13 THAT'S PART OF THE COMPLETE STREETS PLAN PRIORITY FOR THE
- 14 COMMUNITY, YOU WOULD TRY TO ACCOMMODATE THE TRANSIT AS MUCH AS
- 15 YOU CAN MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO IT. BUT WE'RE NOT SAYING THAT THE
- 16 TRANSIT AGENCY WOULD BE ABLE TO SAY NO TO A PROJECT. THERE
- 17 NEEDS TO BE A CONVERSATION. DOING NO HARM WAS HOW WE TRIED TO
- 18 MAKE IT MORE UNDERSTANDABLE, BUT I UNDERSTAND HOW THAT COULD
- 19 HAVE BEEN MISINTERPRETED IN OUR INTENT. REGARD HOW IT WOULD MY
- 20 TO ALL STREETS, AGAIN BACK TO THOSE TWO LEVELS, FOR IT
- 21 APPLYING TO A STREET WHERE A BUS RUNS ONCE AN HOUR WE PROBABLY
- 22 WOULDN'T PUT A BUS BULB ON A STREET WHERE A BUS RUNS ONCE AN
- 23 HOUR. THE PLACES WHERE WE CONSIDER MAKING INVESTMENT TRANSIT
- 24 PRIORITY WOULD BE THOSE PLACES ON TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK,
- 25 WHICH ARE LIKELY NOT TO CHANGE AS OFTEN AS YOU WOULD BE MAKING



SMALL ROUTE CHANGES, AND SO THE INVESTMENTS WILL BE FOCUSED ON 1 2 THE MORE IMPORTANT STREETS THE REST OF THE NETWORK FOCUSING ON THE CAVEAT OF THE OF DO NO HARM PRINCIPLE. AGAIN, HAVING APPLY 3 TO ALL STREETS NOT JUST TRANSIT, JUST REALLY HAVING CHECK-IN 4 5 TO MAKE SURE YOU DON'T HAVE A DETOUR THAT HAS 100 BUSES GOING DOWN THAT STREET THAT YOU FORGOT ABOUT WHEN DESIGNING THE 6 7 STREET. IT'S REALLY JUST MAKING SURE THAT, OH THIS ISN'T WHERE WE HAVE A BUS MAKING A TURN TO MAKE A PULL-IN AND IF WE PUT A 8 9 CHICANE IN HERE AND IT NARROWS THE STREET TO 14 FEET AND THE BUS CAN'T GO ON THAT STREET ANYMORE; THAT COORDINATION NEEDS 10 TO HAPPEN. IT SHOULD BE A QUICK CONVERSATION, HEY DOING A 11 12 PROJECT ON ABC STREET. THAT'S FINE; GO AHEAD. OR, I HAVE A PROJECT ON ABC STREET. OH, IT TURNS OUT I HAVE A BUS THAT 13 NEEDS TO GO ON THAT STREET WHENEVER YOU CLOSE IT FOR STREET 14 FAIR. THOSE CONVERSATIONS NEED TO BE HAPPENING. IT'S NOT AN, I 15 VETO THAT PROJECT; YOU CAN'T DO IT. IT'S A CONVERSATION. I 16 17 HOPE THAT ANSWERED YOUR QUESTION. 18 19 SUE NOACK: WELL, SORT OF. EXCEPT YOU MENTIONED THE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT WHEN YOU'RE IN NO CONFLICT HAVING MTC BE THE ARBITRATOR. 20 I'M NOT SURE IN THAT CONVERSATION HOW DOES IT LEAD TO THE 21 22 ARBITRATION CONCEPT, RIGHT? I DON'T KNOW WHERE THE TWO --WHERE THE LINE IS DRAWN ON THAT. AND THE OTHER PIECE OF THAT 23 IS, DOES THAT RESULT IN MORE MONEY GOING TOWARD THE TRANSIT 24

PRIORITY ROADS INSTEAD OF ALL THE OTHER ROADS THAT REQUIRE



COMMITTEE STREETS? BECAUSE I KNOW IN MY CITY WE HAVE DONE A 1 2 LOT OF COMPETE STREETS. BUT DON'T HAVE A LOT OF TRANSIT GOING THROUGH PLEASANT HILL. A LITTLE BIT; BUT NOT A LOT. AND SO, 3 WOULD IT IMPACT TO THE ABILITY TO GET FUNDING IF IT'S NOT A 4 5 TRANSIT PRIORITY AREA? IS THE -- ARE THE FUNDING GOING TO GET SKEWED TO TRANSIT PRIORITY ROADWAYS THEN? 6 7 MELANIE CHOY: JUST TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION IN TERMS OF THE 8 9 INCENTIVE, THE LAST PIECE OF IT, YOU ASKED TWO PIECE, BUT THE LAST PIECE HARDING THERE IS NO INCENTIVIZATION IN TERMS OF THE 10 CRITERIA, SO THIS POLICY IS ABOUT THE PROCESS IT'S ABOUT HOW 11 12 AGENCIES COME TOGETHER AND TALK AND CONCEIVE OF PROJECTS AND DESIGN PROJECTS FROM THE BEGINNING THAT'S THE INTENT IS TO 13 BUILD IN THAT PRACTICE AND HAVE IT, OF COMMUNICATION. BUT, WE 14 DO NOT HAVE ANYTHING THAT INCENTIVIZES AN EXTRA POINTS, OR TO, 15 YOU KNOW, FOR ADDING A TRANSIT ELEMENT TO YOUR PRESENTLY OR 16 17 NOT ADDING A TRANSIT ELEMENT, IT IS REALLY TRYING TO EMPHASIZE THE PARTNERSHIP COMPONENT OF HAVING THESE CONVERSATIONS EARLY 18 19 ON. 20 21 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: MEMBER MOULTON-PETERS? 22 V. CHAIR, STEPHANIE MOULTON PETERS: YEAH. THANK YOU. I JUST 23 24 WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THIS TO US AND BUILD ON

THE COMMENTS OF MY COLLEAGUES THAT, YOU KNOW, WE'RE UNDERGOING



1

# OCTOBER 10, 2025

THE MASCOTS PROJECT UP IN MARIN COUNTY, WHERE SAN RAFAEL IS

2 ALSO LOCATED AS THE PEOPLE THAT DIDN'T HAVE THAT CONVERSATION, BUT OUR ROUTES WILL CHANGE, AND IN SUBURBAN NEIGHBORHOODS THEY 3 CAN CHANGE QUITE A LOT. BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE SO MANY FIXED 4 5 ARTERIALS WHERE THERE IS ALWAYS GOING TO BE TRANSIT, TEND TO BE THE HIGHWAY FOR US. SO, I'M THINKING THAT WHEN YOU BRING 6 7 THIS BACK FOR MORE DISCUSSION, MIGHT BE HELPFUL TO HAVE SOME EXAMPLES OF TREATMENTS THAT ARE MORE FLEXIBLE, MAYBE QUICK 8 9 BUILD TYPES OF THINGS THAT CITIES COULD DO TO ALLOW THEM TO PROVIDE THIS EXTRA SAFETY ON ROUTES, BUT, ALSO, CHANGE -- EASY 10 TO CHANGE, AND DON'T INVOLVE SO MUCH CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT. AND 11 12 I THINK YOU TOUCHED ON THIS, MELANIE, IN YOUR COMMENTS ABOUT TIERED APPROACHES, AND, SORT OF, A TOOLKIT. I THINK WOULD MAKE 13 IT EASIER FOR CITIES TO ENVISION WHAT IS POSSIBLE AND NEEDED 14 THAT WON'T REQUIRE THE CAPITAL EXPENDITURE BUT WILL PROVIDE 15 SOME SAFETY. SO THAT WOULD JUST BE A SUGGESTION. 16 17 18 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: MEMBER MASHBURN? 19 MITCH MASHBURN: THANK YOU, MA'AM. REALLY QUICKLY JUST TO KIND 20 OF BUILD OFF OF WHAT CHAIR NOACK WAS ASKING EARLIER, ONE OF 21 OUR COUNTY -- MY COUNTY, WHICH IS A NORTHERN COUNTY, NORTH 22 23 EASTERN COUNTY, PROBABLY THE MOST RURAL COUNTY OF THE COUNTY 24 THAT WE HAVE IN THIS GROUP, IN MY COUNTY, 11% OF MY COUNTY, WHICH IS 844 SQUARE MILES, 11% OF THAT IS INCORPORATED, THE 25



- 1 REST OF IT IS ALL UNINCORPORATED SPACE. SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT
- 2 ALL ROADS, A LOT OF THOSE ROADS ARE JUST COUNTY ROADS THAT WE
- 3 RUN TRANSIT ON. AND A LOT OF -- NOT A LOT -- SEVERAL OF THE
- 4 CITIES, OF I HAVE GOT SEVEN IN MY ENTIRE COUNTY, ARE VERY
- 5 SMALL CITIES. YOU KNOW, RIO VISTA HAS LESS THAN 12,000
- 6 RESIDENTS. AT THEIR CITY HALL, WHEN THEY'RE GOING TO BE
- 7 WORKING THROUGH A PROCESS AND THEY'RE WORKING ON A ROAD OR
- 8 CONSIDERING WORKING ON A ROAD OR ANY OF THESE THINGS, ADDING
- 9 TIME AND ADDING A REPORTING, ADDING AN ANALYZATION, ATTING ANY
- 10 OF THOSE THINGS ON TO ONE STAFF MEMBER WHO DOES ROADS IN THE
- 11 CITY OF RIO VISTA IS PART OF THEIR TIME DURING PART OF THEIR
- 12 DUTIES IS SIGNIFICANT AS COST TO THAT CITY I JUST WOULD LIKE
- 13 FOR US TO CONSIDER THAT WHEN WE TALK ABOUT ROADS THE COST OF
- 14 THAT TO THESE SMALLER MUNICIPALITIES, TO COUNTY, WHEN THEY
- 15 HAVE TO DO THESE ANALYZATIONS FOR POLICY AND THEY HAVE TO DO
- 16 THESE INTERACTIONS WITH VARIOUS TRANSIT AGENCIES THE AMOUNT OF
- 17 TIME IT ADDS TO THE PROJECT, AND TIME IS MONEY, THE AMOUNT OF
- 18 TIME AND STAFF EFFORT THAT HAS TO GO INTO THAT IN ORDER TO
- 19 COMPLY WITH THIS POLICY BECAUSE THAT IS ALSO AN EXPENSE TO A
- 20 CITY THAT HAS A VERY TIGHT BUDGET WHEN I LOOK AT YOUR
- 21 EXCEPTIONS IN THE POLICY OVER HERE IT TALKS ABOUT THE ONE, IN
- 22 PARTICULAR, DISPROPORTIONATE COST, AT 20%. FOR A LARGE
- 23 MUNICIPALITY, YOU KNOW, 20%, THAT'S BUDGET DUST. FOR THE CITY
- 24 OF RIO VISTA, 20% IS A BIG DEAL. THAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
- 25 THAT ROAD GETTING PUT IN OR NOT. AND, SO, I THINK THERE NEEDS



- 1 TO BE A CONSIDERATION MAYBE FOR SOME OF THE MORE RURAL AREAS
- 2 WHEN WE TALKING ABOUT EXCEPTIONS. SOME CONSIDERATION OF THE
- 3 MORE RURAL AREAS IN THIS REGION.

- 5 DAVID CANEPA: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I CAN ALIGN MY COMMENT WITH
- 6 SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES. ONE OF THE THINGS I FOUND WAS
- 7 INTERESTING WAS THE SAN RAFAEL CASE STUDY AND I DON'T THINK
- 8 IT'S JUST SAN RAFAEL ITSELF I WOULD ASSUME THERE IS OTHER
- 9 EXAMPLES OF THIS THROUGHOUT THE REGION. I THINK YOU'RE ON THE
- 10 RIGHT PATH AS YOU MOVE FORWARD. I THINK GETTING OUT AHEAD OF
- 11 IT, COORDINATION, COLLABORATION, I THINK IS CRITICAL. AND
- 12 HAVING THOSE CONVERSATIONS UP FRONT, AND WE'RE AN
- 13 ORGANIZATION, I THINK IT'S HARD, YOU KNOW IF MTC WAS TO LEAD
- 14 IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE TRANSIT AGENCY FROM THAT COUNTY I
- 15 THINK WE SHOULD BE AT THE TABLE. I THINK AT THE END OF THE
- 16 DAY, THERE ARE -- WE HAVE 21 CITIES IN OUR COUNTY, 20 CITIES
- 17 IN OUR COUNTY, AND SOME TOWNS, THE RESOURCES, AS MANY OF YOU
- 18 MAY KNOW, NO DIFFERENT THAN RIO VISTA AND OTHER COUNTIES
- 19 SMALLER CITIES, IS THE ISSUE OF HOW DO YOU ALLOCATE RESOURCES
- 20 AND WHETHER IT'S TIME OR MONEY. BUT I JUST, YOU KNOW, I THINK
- 21 IN TERMS OF HOW THIS IS LAID OUT, AND I THINK WHAT WE'RE
- 22 TRYING TO SOLVE, I THINK FOR THE REGION, IT'S BENEFICIAL.
- 23 BECAUSE YOU CAN ONLY IMAGINE, YOU BUILD THESE THINGS OUT, AND
- 24 THEN YOU, SORT OF, REALIZE BY BUILDING OUT WHAT THE ADDITIONAL
- 25 COSTS ARE. SO, I THINK WHAT STAFF IS TRYING TO DO PRUDENTLY



1

# OCTOBER 10, 2025

AND WISELY IS TO GET OUT AHEAD OF IT. NOW SOME OF MY

2 COLLEAGUES HAVE SPOKE, AND I CAN AGREE, THESE COSTS FOR SMALLER CITIES, AND EVEN MID-SIZED CITIES, YOU KNOW, COULD BE 3 AN ISSUE, BUT I DON'T THINK THAT SHOULD PRECLUDE US FOR BEING 4 5 IN DIALOGUE WITH PLANNERS AND THE CITY. SO, WHAT I WOULD JUST SAY IS THIS NEEDS TO BE TIGHTENED UP A LITTLE. I THINK THE 6 7 COMMENTS BY MY COLLEAGUE, SORT OF, ADDRESS SOME OF THE CONCERNS. WHAT I WOULD SAY, IN TERMS OF THE PATH WE'RE GOING, 8 9 I THINK WE'RE GOING IN THE RIGHT PATH AND TRYING TO SOLVE. AND I DON'T THINK IT'S A SAN RAFAEL PROBLEM. I THINK -- YOU KNOW, 10 WHEN I WAS ON THE CITY COUNCIL IN DALY CITY, I THINK THERE WAS 11 12 ONE ISSUE, THIS IS GOING BACK YEARS AGO, AROUND THE BART STATION WE HAD TO DEAL WITH IS ACTUALLY WITH SAMTRANS. SO, I 13 JUST APPRECIATE STAFF'S WORK AND I THINK YOU'RE ON THE RIGHT 14 PATH AND SEE WHAT WE CAN DO TO TIGHTEN THINGS UP A BIT. WE'LL 15 BE ALL RIGHT. 16 17 SPEAKER: IF I COULD CLARIFY A COUPLE OF THINGS THE EXCEPTION 18 19 ABOUT COST IS ONLY FOR THE PROJECTS THAT ARE ON THE TRANSIT PRIORITY NETWORK SO THE TRANSIT PRIORITY STREETS IS WHERE IF A 20 PROJECT WERE TO COME THROUGH IT WAS ON THE TRANSIT PRIORITY 21 22 NETWORK AGENCY RECOMMENDED CHANGES THAT'S WHERE 20% WOULD COME 23 IN THERE IS NOT COST DISCUSSION FOR JUST CHECKING IN LEVEL OF 24 PROJECTS AND SECOND HE WHETHER THIS APPLIES TO ALL STREETS IN

AREAS SUCH AS SOLANO OR OTHER UNINCORPORATED AREAS IF THERE IS



- NOT TRANSIT SERVICE THAT SERVES THAT AREA THERE IS NO
  DISCUSSION THAT NEEDS TO BE HAD WE JUST MEAN IF YOU'RE IN AN
- 3 AREA WHERE THERE IS TRANSIT SERVICE YOU SHOULD CHECK IN WITH
- 4 TRANSIT AGENCY TO MAKE SURE THERE'S NOT AROUND THERE.

- 6 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER COMMENTS.
- 7 I'LL WRAP UP ONE THING I WANTED TO REMIND US ALL AS WE'RE
- 8 THINKING ABOUT THIS POLICY AND ALSO THE WORK OF THIS COMMITTEE
- 9 THAT WHO THE WHOLE CONCEPT OF REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT WAS
- 10 BEING DISCUSSED KIND OF STOOD UP AS STRUCTURE AT MTC THERE WAS
- 11 A LOT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT WHO NEEDS TO BE AT THIS TABLE AND
- 12 HOW DO WE MAKE THIS TABLE WORK, AND THERE IS A LOT OF
- 13 DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES, STAKEHOLDERS, AND VOICES. WHILE WE'RE
- 14 ALL PRESENT, WHO WE REPRESENT, NOT EVERYBODY WHO CARES IS AT
- 15 THIS PARTICULAR TABLE. SO, THE STRUCTURE, AS I RECALL, WAS SET
- 16 UP TO HAVE A COMPLETE OF MTC WITH SUBSEQUENT ACTION BY MTC AS
- 17 A WHOLE BUT ALSO OTHER COMMITTEES THE KIND OF OF ADVISORY
- 18 GROUP COMMITTEE WHICH REPRESENTED BROAD STAKEHOLDERS THEN THE
- 19 COUNCIL WHICH REPRESENTED TRANSIT OPERATORS THE STAFF TEAM HAS
- 20 THE JOB OF INTEGRATING THE INPUT FROM EACH OF THOSE BODIES AND
- 21 WE'RE ALL ESSENTIALLY INTENDED TO HAVE KIND OF EQUAL
- 22 PARTICIPATION IN THIS PROCESS, ALTHOUGH WE END UP STILL BEING
- 23 THE FINAL DECISION MAKERS ON THIS. AND, SO, I JUST WANT TO
- 24 ACKNOWLEDGE THAT YOU ARE RECEIVING A LOT OF DIFFERENT INPUT
- 25 AND SOMETIMES CONFLICTING INPUT, AND OFTEN TIMES THE BEST



- 1 THING WE CAN DO IS THE ONE CLEAR STEP. AND TO ME WHAT THIS
- 2 REPRESENTS IS ONE CLEAR STEP. BECAUSE WHAT IT IS ASKING FOR IS
- 3 DIALOGUE. AND I THINK THAT WE CAN COMMIT TO DIALOGUE. I THINK
- 4 THAT THERE IS ALWAYS A COST TO COORDINATION, BUT THERE IS ALSO
- 5 A COST TO ISOLATION. AND THAT IS A GREATER COST, IN MY
- 6 PERSPECTIVE. SO, I APPRECIATE THAT THIS IS -- IT DOESN'T GO AS
- 7 FAR AS MANY PEOPLE WOULD LIKE IT TO GO, AND IT ALSO IS A STEP
- 8 FORWARD AND FEELS TO ME LIKE A REASONABLE DEFENSIBLE STEP
- 9 FORWARD. SO, I JUST WANT TO APPRECIATE THE WORK THAT YOU HAVE
- 10 PUT INTO THIS. THANK YOU. OH, AND I GUESS THAT -- I'M NOT USED
- 11 TO CHAIRING. SO, I THINK THAT MEANS WE'RE DONE WITH THIS ITEM.
- 12 OKAY. GREAT. I WAS -- [LAUGHTER] GOING TO JUST DROP THE MIC
- 13 AND GO. THAT TAKES US TO AGENDA ITEM FOUR WHICH IS PUBLIC
- 14 COMMENT. IS THERE ANY GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT
- 15 LISTED ON OUR AGENDA TODAY?
- 17 CLERK OF THE BOARD: YES. WE HAVE ONE MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC IN-
- 18 HOUSE THAT WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM. ADINA LEVIN. COME
- 19 ON UP. I'M GOING TO SET THE TIMER ON MY PHONE. HOLD ON.
- 20 BECAUSE MY COMPUTER FROZE. ALL RIGHT.
- 22 ADINA LEVIN: SO, TO THE GOOD POINT FROM CHAIR JOHN-BAPTISTE
- 23 THAT THIS IS A FIRST STEP AND NOT THE END OF THE ROAD, I WOULD
- 24 LIKE TO TALK FOR A FEW MINUTES ABOUT WHAT THE ROAD MIGHT LOOK
- 25 LIKE AND, YOU KNOW, STARTING A DIALOGUE IS GOOD OF THE HAVING

16



- 1 A VISION OF A TRANSIT NETWORK IS GOOD, AND IN A PROCESS THAT
- 2 WOULD TAKE MORE THAN ONE STEP, THAT MIGHT LEAD TOWARD A VISION
- 3 OF A NETWORK THAT NOT ONLY HAD LINES ON A MAP, BUT HAD TRAVEL
- 4 TIME GOALS, FREQUENCY GOALS, TRANSFER TIME GOALS THAT, ARE AN
- 5 INTERNATIONAL BEST PRACTICE. THE INITIAL VERSION OF THE
- 6 TRANSIT NETWORK WILL NOT LOOK AT TRANSFERS, WHICH REASONABLE,
- 7 THEY'RE TRYING ON GET IT DONE BY THE OBAG PROGRAM, NOT TRYING
- 8 TO BOIL THE OCEAN. BUT SEEING THIS AS A FIRST STEP AND LOOKING
- 9 AT FUTURE STEPS IT WOULD BE GOOD TO HAVE THAT PICTURE OF WHAT
- 10 THOSE GOALS ARE, INCLUDING THIS IS JUST SAYING CONTEXT
- 11 SENSITIVE, EVERY CITY DOING IT YOUR OWN WAY BUT WITH A SHARED
- 12 INTENT HEADING TOWARDS MORE SHARED STANDARDS NOT THAT SAN
- 13 FRANCISCO IS THE SAME AS FREMONT, BUT YOU KNOW, SOME MORE SO
- 14 THAT YOU DON'T HAVE LITTLE CITIES REINVENTING THE WHEEL. AND
- 15 THEN LASTLY TO THE POINT ABOUT COMMUNICATION, REGIONAL NETWORK
- 16 MANAGEMENT STARTS OUT BY THINKING ABOUT MTC IN 27 AGENCIES,
- 17 BUT THIS TOUCHES 101 JURISDICTIONS, AND, SO, I'M THINKING
- 18 ABOUT BUILDING UP THE MUSCLES AND THE PROCESSES THAT WILL
- 19 REALLY MOST EFFECTIVELY REACH THOSE 101 JURISDICTIONS, HAVING
- 20 A MEETING SAYING, HEY, IF YOU ARE IN A CITY, COME TO THIS
- 21 MEETING, THAT'S FINE AND NOT WRONG. BUT ESPECIALLY WHEN THERE
- 22 IS THAT NETWORK MAP SAYING EACH AND EVERY CITY THAT IS ON THAT
- 23 MAP, COME HERE, SO THAT WE DO NOT HEAR AT THE END OF THE DAY,
- 24 COMES TO MTC AND SAYS I NEVER HEARD -- EVEN THOUGH YOUR CITY
- 25 IS ON THE MAP. THANK YOU.



1 2 CLERK OF THE BOARD: THANK YOU. WE HAVE ONE SPEAKER THAT WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM. LUCKY MAXWELL. GO AHEAD UNMUTE 3 YOURSELF. YOU HAVE TWO MINUTES. 4 5 SPEAKER: HELLO MY NAME IS LUCKY MAXWELL, I'M THE PROGRAM 6 7 COORDINATOR FOR THE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM AT THE CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING SERVING NORTHERN ALAMEDA COUNTY IN THE EAST 8 9 BAY SPECIFICALLY. I CAME TO THIS MEETING TO DISCUSS ISSUES THAT I HAVE BEEN HAVING WITH CLIPPER START CUSTOMER SUPPORT. 10 FRANKLY, NO ONE IS RECEIVING CALLS BACK FROM CUSTOMER SUPPORT 11 12 WITHIN A PERIOD, AS FAR AS I KNOW, OF SIX MONTHS. I HAVE FOUND 13 VARIOUS REDDIT THREADS ONLINE THAT DESCRIBE PEOPLE WONDERING WHY THEY'RE NOT GETTING CALLS BACK, AND TRYING TO FIGURE OUT 14 THESE ISSUES AMONGST THEMSELVES. SO, I -- WITHOUT RECEIVING 15 CALLS BACK ABOUT APPLICATIONS, THIS MAKES THE SERVICE TOTALLY 16 17 UNACCEPTABLE TO PEOPLE WHO CANNOT PROGRESS PAST THE APPLICATION. THERE IS ALSO NO TRANSPARENCY ON THE LACK OF 18 STAFFING OR THE INTENTION FOR THE CUSTOMER SERVICE LINE. I HAD 19 TO CONTACT A PROGRAM COORDINATOR THAT WORKS WITHIN CLIPPER TO 20 EVEN GET ANY, SORT OF, GENERAL UPDATE ON WHEN THE STAFFING 21 22 WOULD BE HAPPENING OR WHEN NEW STAFF WOULD BE COMING ON THE LINE, OR EVEN WHY -- I LEARNED -- SORRY -- EXCUSE ME -- I'M 23 24 TRYING TO RUSH. I LEARNED THAT THEY DO NOT HAVE LIVE STAFF MEMBERS AND PEOPLE ARE JUST SIMPLY CALLING THEM BACK SO THAT'S 25



- 1 NOT AN EFFECTIVE OF COURSE, WAY TO PROVIDE SERVICE. THEN
- 2 FINALLY IT'S INCREDIBLY PROBLEMATIC FOR CLIPPER START
- 3 SPECIFICALLY NOT TO HAVE CUSTOMER SUPPORT BECAUSE THIS IS THE
- 4 LOW-INCOME PROGRAM AND OUT OF ALL PROGRAMS YOU CHOSE THE LOW-
- 5 INCOME PROGRAM TO NOT PROVIDE LIVE STAFF TO SUPPORT REQUESTS.
- 6 SO, YEAH, I WOULD REALLY LIKE A DIRECT CONTACT TO BE ABLE TO
- 7 DISCUSS THIS ISSUE WITH. IT'S INCREDIBLY INACCESSIBLE, AND
- 8 VERY CONCERNING. LET ME KNOW HOW I CAN PROVIDE MY E-MAIL AND
- 9 WHO WOULD BE HELPFUL TO CONTACT. THANK YOU.

11 CLERK OF THE BOARD: AND THERE ARE NO MORE MEMBERS OF THE

- 12 PUBLIC WISHING TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM.
- 14 ALIX BOCKELMAN: WE WILL FOLLOW UP WITH THE CALLER, I BELIEVE
- 15 THEY'RE WITH THE CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING, I THINK THAT'S
- 16 CORRECT. SO, WE WILL FOLLOW UP.
- 18 SPEAKER: THANK YOU.
- 20 CHAIR, ALICIA JOHN-BAPTISTE: OKAY THAT TAKES US TO AGENDA ITEM
- 21 FIVE, ADJOURNMENT. THE NEXT MEETING OF THE REGIONAL NETWORK
- 22 MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE WILL BE HELD NOVEMBER 14TH AT NINE AT THE
- 23 BAY AREA METRO CENTER, 375 BEALE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO. ANY
- 24 CHANGES TO THE SCHEDULE WILL BE DULY NOTICED TO THE PUBLIC.
- 25 THIS MEETING IS ADJOURNED. [ADJOURNED]

10

13

17