Metropolitan Transportation Commission Programming and Allocations Committee

March 11, 2020 Agenda Item 2d

Regional Cap and Trade Priorities: Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities

Subject: Recommended priorities for Round 5 of the Affordable Housing and

Sustainable Communities program, which is a statewide competitive

program funded by State Cap and Trade proceeds.

Background:

The Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program (AHSC) is a statewide competitive program to provide grants and loans for affordable housing and transportation infrastructure connecting the housing projects to transit, with an emphasis on infill and compact transit-oriented development. The State Strategic Growth Council (SGC) is responsible for the overall administration of the program, including project evaluation and the approval of funding awards. Round five of the program was announced with a funding availability of approximately \$550 million. Applications were due in early February, and the SGC will announce awards in June.

Applications from the Region

There were 14 applications submitted from the Bay Area for Round 5 of the AHSC program. Table 1 summarizes the region's applications. Further details of the applications are provided in Attachments A and B.

Table 1: Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Applications

	Bay Area Applications	Statewide Program Requirements
Number of applications	14	
Total \$ requested	\$347 million	
Total affordable units proposed	1,614	
% funds in Disadvantaged Communities	31%	50% min. required
% funds for Affordable Housing	66%	50% min. required
% funds in Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	44%	35% min. set-aside
% funds in Integrated Connectivity Projects (ICP)	56%	45% min. set-aside
% funds in Rural Innovation Project Area (RIPA)	0	10% min. set-aside

All 14 AHSC applications from the region restrict nearly 100% of units to incomes of 60% of the area median income (AMI) or lower. Together, the applications would result in 1,692 new units, 1,614 of them affordable, and 2,812 bedrooms in affordable units. On average, units are restricted to 47% AMI. Applications come from five Bay Area counties, with concentrations in Alameda County and San Francisco.

In total, the transportation components of the proposed projects would fund 21 new BART cars; eleven electric buses for SamTrans, SF Muni, and Santa Rosa CityBus; construction on the SMART to Windsor extension; many

miles of sidewalks, bike lanes, and multi-use paths; and amenities at bus stops and rail stations. Affordable housing developments would implement a variety of programs, including free transit passes and bicycle education classes.

Regional Endorsement

Following awards made from project category set-asides totaling 90% of the program, the remaining 10% of the program is considered discretionary. The state's AHSC Steering Committee and SGC's Council will take factors including geographic balance and MPO prioritization into account when awarding from the discretionary amount. The regional criteria adopted by the Commission in November 2017 (Attachment C) recommend prioritizing applications for roughly 60% of the overall program funding (which would be \$330 million of the \$550 million available), with an award target of at least 40% (\$220 million), based on the region's performance in previous funding rounds. The region's funding requests totaling \$347 million align fairly well with the 60% target, and applications adhere strongly to the regional criteria.

Therefore, for the state's consideration of projects for the 10% discretionary funds, staff recommends that the Commission endorse all projects as worthy of funding to the AHSC Steering Committee and Strategic Growth Council. Attachment D presents evaluation issues and highlights relative to the regional criteria and an assessment of affordable housing cost-effectiveness.

Issues:

Applications in Disadvantaged Communities: The program has a 50% setaside for projects located in and benefitting Disadvantaged Communities (DACs). This year, four applications totaling 31% of the region's funding request are located in DACs, a smaller share of applications than in previous years. Although many projects will serve DACs through the purchase of additional BART cars or buses, a project area must overlap with a DAC by 50% in order to be counted for the AHSC set aside.

Encouragement of applications from throughout the region: While applications in Alameda and San Francisco counties continue to have a strong presence, it is also encouraging to see several applications in San Mateo, two in Sonoma, and one in Contra Costa. Staff believes it would be beneficial to continue to increase the spread of applications from throughout the region, and will continue to work with partners to encourage this.

State scoring process: State scoring panels are currently reviewing applications against various threshold requirements, and it is possible that not all applications will pass the thresholds and move on to further scoring where MPO input is considered.

Recommendation: Refer regional endorsement to the Commission for approval, and direct

MTC staff to submit list to SGC

Attachments: Attachment A: AHSC Project Detail Table

Attachment B: AHSC Project Descriptions

Attachment C: Regional Criteria for Prioritizing Final Applications under the

Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program

Attachment D: Evaluation Issues and Highlights

Therese W. McMillan

MTC Programming and Allocations Committee

March 11, 2020

Item 2d - Attachment A

Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program -- Bay Area Applications

(sorted alphabetically by County, City, Project Title)

Recommended Round 5 Endorsements

Recommended Round 5 Endorsemen	its									Total				ALICC Francis	
								CUC		Total	Afficial delication			AHSC Funds	
			Duning		ALICO Francis	Total Busines	Founding.	GHG	Total	Restricted		Total	Harristan	Requested/	T
Dunings Title	Cia	Country	Project			Total Project	Funding	Reduction		Affordable		Affordable	Housing Score **		Transit Station
Project Title	City	County	Туре	DAC/COC	Requested	Cost	Leverage	(MT CO2)*	Units	Units	AMI	Bedrooms	Score · ·	Score	Emphasis
	Ashland														
Madrone Terrace	(unincorporated)	Alameda	ICP	No/Yes	\$18,947,330	\$99,365,335	424%	25,673	79	78	419	6 142	2 110	\$172,248	Bay Fair BART
															North Berkeley
Blake Apartments	Berkeley	Alameda	ICP	No/Yes	\$19,072,792	\$63,665,397	234%	35,887	63	62	50%	6 9!	5 79	\$242,966	BART
Maudelle Miller Shirek Community	Berkeley		TOD	No/Yes	\$22,781,553	\$88,210,089									Ashby BART
Fruitvale Transit Village Phase IIB	Oakland	Alameda	TOD	Yes/Yes	\$29,966,039	\$180,192,664	501%	54,761	181	169	47%	6 270) 220	<u> </u>	Fruitvale BART
Mandela Station at West Oakland	Oakland	مام معمد مام	TOD	Vaa Waa	¢20 CE0 240	ć101 F0C 704	546%	- F4 O47	240	220	50%	6 31 1	L 275		West Oakland
BART	Oakianu	Alameda Contra	100	Yes/Yes	\$29,659,310	\$191,596,704	540%	54,047	240	238	507	0 31.	L 2/3	\$108,048	BAKI
Galindo Terrace	Concord	Costa	TOD	No/Yes	\$20,942,930	\$65,103,460	211%	36,998	62	61	47%	6 87	7 74	\$282.013	Concord BART
Gainido Terrace	Concord	San	100	140/163	\$20,5 4 2,550	703,103,400	211/0	30,556	02	. 01	4//	0 0.	, ,-	,,-	Moscone/Yerba
266 4th Street	San Francisco		TOD	Yes/Yes	\$20,113,667	\$119,448,063	494%	44,694	70	69	34%	6 9 ⁻	7 83		Buena Muni
200 10.1 01.1 00.1		San		. 667 . 66	ΨΞ0,ΞΞ0,00 .	¥ = = 5	10 17	1 1,00 1			C 1,	<u>.</u>			Balboa Park
Balboa Park Upper Yard	San Francisco	Francisco	TOD	No/Yes	\$29,952,200	\$174,695,321	483%	82,002	131	. 112	47%	6 193	3 153		
· ·		San													Embarcadero &
Potrero Block B	San Francisco	Francisco	ICP	No/Yes	\$29,829,178	\$158,732,972	432%	32,620	157	156	46%	6 33:	L 244	\$122,502	Third Muni
															Sunnydale
		San													Visitacion Valley
Sunnydale HOPE SF Block 3B	San Francisco	Francisco	ICP	No/Yes	\$29,287,000	\$105,122,220	259%	21,085	92	84	48%	6 163	L 123	\$239,078	Muni
965 Weeks Street	East Palo Alto	San Mateo	ICP	Yes/Yes	\$29,068,250	\$119,141,451	310%	18,451	136	135	45%	6 284	1 210	\$138,751	
Catavana Arantus anta	Manda Dank	C N4-+	ICD	NI - 1/4	¢40 533 403	64.42.522.422	6200	44247	4.40	424	4.50	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		6407.064	
Gateway Apartments	Menlo Park North Fair Oaks	San Mateo	ICP	No/Yes	\$19,523,403	\$142,532,123	630%	14,217	140	134	46%	6 228	3 181	\$107,864	
Middlefield Junction	(unincorporated)	San Mateo	ICB	No/Yes	\$22,171,437	\$138,246,673	524%	14,750	179	156	46%	6 31 ₄	1 235	\$94,347	
Middleffeld Juffelloff	(difficorporated)	Jan Mateu	ICI	110/163	722,171,437	7130,240,073	J24/0	14,730	1/9	130	40/	0 314	7 23.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Downtown
															Santa Rosa
Roseland Village	Santa Rosa	Sonoma	ICP	No/Yes	\$25,780,623	\$134,480,242	422%	69,451	75	74	50%	6 14 ⁴	109		
Totals		20		,		\$ 1,780,532,714	.22/	543,476		1,614	46%		. 10.	Ψ200,013	
Totals					7 341,033,112	7 1,700,332,714		343,4 70	1,032	1,014	-	2,012			

^{*}GHG reduction amounts are subject to verification by Strategic Growth Council/California Air Resources Board

^{**}Housing score is calculated as the average of the number of affordable units and the total number of bedrooms. This is an MTC calculation and not part of the state AHSC scoring process.

MTC Programming and Allocations Committee March 11, 2020 Item 2d – Attachment B

Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program – Project Descriptions

(Sorted alphabetically by County, City, Project Title)

Project Title	Applicant Organization(s)	City	County	Total AHSC Funds Requested
Madrone Terrace	Resources for Community Development	Ashland (unincorporated)	Alameda	\$18,947,330
	Madrone Terrace: 79 units of affordable housing f	9 9	rom 20 to 60 percent AMI, including 20	
Affordable Housing:	units reserved for formerly homeless households,	and the Ashland Community Center.		\$13,143,710
Housing-Related				
Infrastructure:	None			-
	E 14th St. Bike Improvements: 0.78 mi of class II a	•	•	
Sustainable	St. Pedestrian Improvements: 1.3 miles of new signature of the state o		_	
Transportation	improvements; Purchase of two (2) BART Cars: Pu	rchase two new BART cars to support	increased frequency achieved through	
Infrastructure:	train control modernization.			\$4,783,711
Transportation-	E. 14th St. Landscaping Project: planting trees and	drought tolerant plants, irrigation, b	enches, trash receptacies, street lighting,	40-0-10
Related Amenities:	and seating.			\$956,743
Programs:	Two Bike Education Workshops per year; AC Tran	sit Easy Pass for each household for t	hree years.	\$63,166
Blake Apartments	Satellite Affordable Housing Associates	Berkeley	Alameda	\$19,072,792
Affordable Housing:	Blake Apartments: New construction of a 63 unit	affordable apartment building with gr	ound floor retail space.	\$11,663,523
Housing-Related				
Infrastructure:	None			-
	Increased Capacity on BART Service: Purchase thr		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	modernization; North Berkeley BART Station Acce	-	·	
	cycle track and accessible pedestrian path, bulbou		• • •	
Sustainable	IV cycle track on Delaware; North-South Active Tr		,	
Transportation	path to North Berkeley BART, including over 2 mile	es of bi-directional class I, III, and IV bi	keways, traffic circles, flashing beacon,	40
Infrastructure:	bulbouts, lighting, new sidewalk.			\$6,050,976
	Ohlone Greenway and North Berkeley Station Ne			
Transportation-	Greenway; Bus Bulb and Bus Pad: Upgrade four A	C Transit bus stops on University Ave,	including sidewalk widening, shelter	ć1 210 202
Related Amenities:	installation, and lighting.	rana Birrala advantian ala	aid auto Tura de Batharra de Natadad	\$1,219,302
Duaguaga	Discounted Transit Passes for each unit for three	years; bicycle education classes for re	esidents; iransit Pathways workshops to	ć120.004
Programs:	prepare jobseekers for BART jobs.			\$138,991

shelters, curb ramps.

Related Amenities:

Programs:

Project Title	Applicant Organization(s)	City	County	Total AHSC Funds Requested
Maudelle Miller				
Shirek Community	Resources for Community Development	Berkeley	Alameda	\$22,781,553
	Maudelle Miller Shirek Community: New construction	ction of a six-story, 87-unit	affordable housing building with nonprofit space on	
Affordable Housing:	the ground floor.			\$15,797,796
Housing-Related				
Infrastructure:	None			-
	Woolsey-Fulton Bike Boulevard Installation and R	Russell Bike Boulevard Cro	ssing Improvements: Class III bike boulevard between	n
Sustainable	Ashby BART and Fulton/Dwight intersection, included	ding pedestrian and bicycle	e crossing improvements; BART Rail Cars: Purchase	
Transportation	three new BART cars to support increased frequen	cy achieved through train	control modernization; Woolsey-Prince Bicycle	
Infrastructure:	Boulevard Connector: Construct two-way cycle tra	ack through Ashby BART pa	arking lot, secure bike parking, and bike share.	\$5,767,070
	•	• • •	Widen sidewalks to provide more passenger waiting	
Transportation-	area and install bus shelter and lighting; Ashby BA		ent: Install illuminated wayfinding signs, station	
Related Amenities:	identification pylons, kiosks, and real-time displays	5.		\$1,153,414
Programs:	Bicycle education workshops for residents and co	mmunity members; AC Tra	ansit Passes for each unit for three years.	\$63,273
Fruitvale Transit	BRIDGE Housing Corporation, Spanish Speaking U	Inity		
Village Phase IIB	Council of Alameda County, Inc., and City of Oakla	and Oakland	Alameda	\$29,966,039
	Fruitvale Transit Village IIB: 181-unit rental housi	ng development that will i	nclude ground floor commercial space to	
Affordable Housing:	accommodate a local non-profit business.			\$20,000,000
Housing-Related				
Infrastructure:	None			
	Fruitvale Alive Gap Closure Project: Install raised of	cycle tracks on Fruitvale Av	ve, widen sidewalks, improve pedestrian crossings, ad	d
Sustainable	lighting and landscaped buffers; Increased Capacit	ty on BART service: Purcha	ase four new BART cars to support increased frequenc	cy .
Transportation	achieved through train control modernization; BAI	RT station sidewalk impro	vements: Improve pedestrian path north of Fruitvale	
Infrastructure:	BART station including a dedicated path for cyclists	s, wider pedestrian pathwa	ay, new lighting and landscaping.	\$8,000,000
Transportation-	Fruitvale Alive Gap Closure TRA: Bus stop improve	ements including lighting, i	urban greening, signage, underpass improvements, bu	ıs

Discounted BART Clipper Card for each unit for three years; Pedestrian and bicycle safety education programs.

\$1,500,000

\$466,039

				Total AHSC Funds				
Project Title	Applicant Organization(s)	City	County	Requested				
	Mandela Station LLC, MacFarlane Development							
	Corporation, LLC, City of Oakland, and Strategic							
Mandela Station	Development Alliance LLC	Oakland	Alameda	\$29,659,310				
		Mandela Station: Community centered 240-unit affordable housing project that is part of a larger master planned development.						
Affordable Housing:	Replaces one of the West Oakland BART parking	lots.		\$20,500,000				
Housing-Related								
Infrastructure:	None			-				
			cy achieved through train control modernization; 18 th					
Sustainable	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ibility crosswalks, sidewalk reconstruction, and ADA					
Transportation	• • •	ear feet of new pedestrian w	alkway along the Mandela Parkway between 7 th and	4				
Infrastructure:	5 th streets.			\$7,500,000				
Transportation-	West Oakland Bike Station: Secure bicycle parkir		cles; Wayfinding at West Oakland BART Station:	4				
Related Amenities:	design and installation of updated wayfinding sig	nage.		\$1,500,000				
Programs:	Quarterly bicycle safety classes; AC Transit EZ pa	asses for each unit.		\$159,310				
Galindo Terrace	Resources for Community Development	Concord	Contra Costa	\$20,942,930				
Affordable Housing:	Galindo Terrace: 62-unit affordable housing deve	elopment in the City of Conc	ord.	\$10,074,816				
Housing-Related								
Infrastructure:	Galindo Terrace: Housing-related infrastructure e	expenses associated with the	e development of the Galindo Terrace housing project.	\$2,450,000				
Sustainable	Downtown Corridors Bicycle and Pedestrian Imp	provement Project: Design a	and construct bicycle and pedestrian safety					
Transportation	improvements on three corridors connecting to [Downtown Concord; Increas	ed capacity on BART service: Purchase three new					
Infrastructure:	BART cars to support increased frequency achieve	ed through train control mo	dernization.	\$7,134,194				
Transportation-	Concord BART Station Signage and Wayfinding:	Install updated signage and	wayfinding at the concourse level that supports the					
Related Amenities:	reconfiguration of the paid area and new elevato	r.		\$1,100,000				
	BART workforce development program to train	residents for BART job oppo	rtunities; County Connect passes for all AHD units for					
Programs:	three years.			\$183,920				

				Total AHSC Funds
Project Title	Applicant Organization(s)	City	County	Requested
	Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Co	orporation,		
266 4th Street	City of County of San Francisco	San Francisco	San Francisco	\$20,113,667
	266 4th St: Mixed-use community of 70 affo	rdable rental homes anchored by a pa	ackage of supportive resident amenities and	
Affordable Housing:	ground floor commercial space.			\$13,579,567
Housing-Related				
Infrastructure:	None			-
		• •	ed frequency achieved through train control	
Sustainable	•		ts on Market Street between 5 th and 8 th Sts.	
Transportation	including a Class IV bikeway, ADA curb ramp	ps, streetcar access ramps and boardi	ing islands, transit infrastructure and streetscape	
Infrastructure:	improvements.			\$5,028,417
Transportation-		ation Related Amenities: Install stree	etscape improvements on Market Street including	
Related Amenities:	trees, furniture, and wayfinding.			\$1,005,684
	_	•	shed bicycle and a bike education class; Monthly	
Programs:	Muni transit pass for each unit for three ye	ars.		\$499,999
	The Related Companies of California, LLC, I	Mission		
Balboa Park Upper	Housing Development Corporation, and Cit			
Yard	County of San Francisco	San Francisco	San Francisco	\$29,952,200
Affordable Housing:	Balboa Park Upper Yard: New 131-unit affo	ordable building for families, including	g ground floor retail and community services.	\$20,000,000
Housing-Related				
Infrastructure:	None			-
	Purchase of 3 BART Rail cars: Purchase three	ee new BART cars to support increase	d frequency achieved through train control	
	modernization; Road closure and conversion	on to ped, bike: Reconfiguration of th	e Balboa Park BART pedestrian pathways and	
Sustainable	passenger drop off road to a cul-de-sac with	n entry and exit on San Jose Avenue; I	Mission St. Excelsior Safety Project and 19 th Ave.	
Transportation	Rapid Project: Improvements on Mission St	and 19 th Ave., including new traffic s	signals, bulb-outs, sidewalk widening, daylighting,	
Infrastructure:	bus stop relocation, bus bulbs, crosswalk, m	nedian island, bikeway gap closure, ar	nd bike boulevard.	\$8,000,000
Transportation-	Installation of station amenities, urban gre	ening and passenger waiting area: R	load closure will be enhanced with lighting, urban	
Related Amenities:	greening, seating and other amenities.			\$1,500,000
Programs:	Bicis del Pueblo will provide loaner bicycles	11.11		\$452,200

				Total AHSC Funds
Project Title	Applicant Organization(s)	City	County	Requested
	BRIDGE Housing Corporation, City and County of San			
Potrero Block B	Francisco	San Francisco	San Francisco	\$29,829,178
Affordable Housing:	Potrero Block B: 157 unit affordable housing developm	ent replacing 118 units o	of existing section 8 public housing.	\$20,000,000
Housing-Related				
Infrastructure:	None			-
	Cesar Chavez/Bayshore/Potrero Intersection Improve	_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Sustainable	bikeway between Pennsylvania and Illinois Streets, in b	•		
Transportation	operations and traffic safety on Folsom and Howard Sts			
Infrastructure:	management; Walkway/Sidewalk Improvements: 2,52			\$8,000,000
Transportation-	Minnesota Grove Extension: Extend the Minnesota Gro	ove 'street park' along th	e east side of a short stretch of Minnesota Street,	
Related Amenities:	between 24th and 25th Streets.			\$1,500,000
	Monthly Muni transit pass for all restricted units for 3	years; support a Walking	s School Bus for students to walk to school	
Programs:	together.			\$329,178
	The Related Companies of California, LLC, Mercy			
Sunnydale HOPE SF	Housing California, and City and County of San			
Block 3B	Francisco	San Francisco	San Francisco	\$29,287,000
	Sunnydale HOPE SF Block 3B: The AHD project compris	ses 92 new affordable un	its for households between 30% and 60% TCAC AMI	
Affordable Housing:	plus approximately 5,100 square feet of ground floor no	eighborhood retail.		\$20,000,000
Housing-Related				
Infrastructure:	None			-
Sustainable	Electric Bus Procurement: Procure three 40' battery ele			
Transportation	& Ped Improvements: Create class IV and Class I bike la	anes connecting Mansell	Street to Visitacion Valley Middle School, add	
Infrastructure:	crossing with flashing beacons and new sidewalk.			\$7,322,000
Transportation-	Visitacion Ave. Corridor Transit Related Amenities: Ins	stallation of lighting, seat	ing, planting, wayfinding, and traffic control signs	
Related Amenities:	along new paths, sidewalks, and bike lanes.			\$1,465,000
	Monthly transit pass provided to residents for 3 years;	employ a Transit Demar	nd Management (TDM) Coordinator to implement	
Programs:	TDM plan.			\$500,000

				Total AHSC Funds
Project Title	Applicant Organization(s)	City	County	Requested
	Mid-Peninsula The Farm, Inc., East Palo Alto			
	Community Alliance Neighborhood Development			
965 Weeks Street	Organization, and City of East Palo Alto	East Palo Alto	San Mateo	\$29,068,250
Affordable Housing:	965 Weeks Street: 136 unit affordable housing pro	oject.		\$20,000,000
Housing-Related				
Infrastructure:	None			-
	Safe Routes to Schools (SRTS) Improvements and		·	
Sustainable	and construct new sidewalks to close SRTS gaps; B	•	•	
Transportation	Sam Transit Limited Stop Route – East Palo Alto to	o San Bruno: Acquire three elec	ctric buses to support new SamTrans express	
Infrastructure:	route between East Palo Alto and San Bruno.			\$7,322,000
	Pedestrian Lighting and Urban Greening Improve			
Transportation-	Stop Lighting: Provide increased street lighting at 3			
Related Amenities:	Site: Provide new street trees, lighting, and furnish	<u> </u>		\$1,465,000
Programs:	Provide free SamTrans Way2Go transit passes to all resi rides and bikepool, and equipment.	idents for 3 years; Bicycle Ridersni	p and Education Program including education, blke	\$281,250
	riacs and bikepool, and equipment.			Ų201,230
Gateway				4
Apartments	MidPen Housing Corporation	Menlo Park	San Mateo	\$19,523,403
Affordable Housing:	Gateway Apartments: New construction of 140 un	nits of affordable housing in Me	nlo Park.	\$11,903,778
Housing-Related				
Infrastructure:	None			-
	Willow Road Pedestrian Improvements: Construct	•		
	crosswalks, new pedestrian signals, curb ramps and		•	
Sustainable	Class III Bike Facility: Install Class IV bikeway along		•	
Transportation	SamTrans Limited Stop Route – East Palo Alto to S	San Bruno: Acquire two electric	buses to support new SamTrans express route	46.400.000
Infrastructure:	between East Palo Alto and San Bruno.			\$6,188,900
Transportation-	Bus Shelters: Install 2 new bus shelters on Willow		•	ć1 07F 0F0
Related Amenities:	greening and streetscape improvements for the ne			\$1,075,850
D	Annual Transit Passes for Residents for three year	• •	ement services; Bicycle Ridership and Education	¢2E4 07E
Programs:	Program including education, bike rides and bikepo	ooi, and equipment.		\$354,875

				Total AHSC Funds
Project Title	Applicant Organization(s)	City	County	Requested
Middlefield		North Fair Oaks		
Junction	Mercy Housing California	(unincorporated)	San Mateo	\$22,171,437
Affordable Housing:	Middlefield Junction: 179 unit affordable	housing for low-income families and form	erly homeless individuals.	\$15,000,000
Housing-Related				
Infrastructure:	None			-
		ent Project: Reconfigure Middlefield Road f	•	
			ghting, and flashing beacons and bulbouts;	
			m Ave. Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements	
Sustainable		edestrian improvements including curb exte	·	
Transportation	•	Limited Stop Route: Acquire two electric b	ouses to support new SamTrans express route	4
Infrastructure:	between East Palo Alto and San Bruno.			\$5,562,440
Transportation-	•	•	es and landscaping, pedestrian lights, street art,	4
Related Amenities:	public spaces, and stormwater managem			\$1,108,997
		SamTrans Way2Go program; Bicycle Riders	hip and Education Program including	4
Programs:	education, bike rides and bikepool, and e	quipment.		\$500,000
	MidPen Housing Corporation, City of Sar	nta Rosa, and		
Roseland Village	SMART	Santa Rosa	Sonoma	\$25,780,623
	Roseland Village Family Apartments: Ne	w construction of 75 units of affordable ho	using in the Roseland neighborhood of Santa	
Affordable Housing:	Rosa.			\$15,685,007
Housing-Related				
Infrastructure:	None			-
		<u> </u>	il line 3.17 mi to a new Windsor station. Class 1	
	-		rail and SMART Santa Rosa Station; Bike and	
			bikeway along West Street to the Joe Rodota	
Sustainable		vements/New Intersection at key 3 rd St cr	_	
Transportation	•	• •	intersection to link two sections of SMART trail,	
Infrastructure:	Safe Routes to School and Transit pedest			\$8,435,616
			amenities (platform shelters, etc.); Enhance	
Transportation-	-	mprove passenger amenities at bus stops a	and transit centers on high-frequency corridors	4
Related Amenities:	including wayfinding.			\$1,525,000
_		ars; job training and employment placemer	nt services through the Roseland	6425.000
Programs:	Neighborhood Local Hire Program.			\$135,000

Regional Criteria for Prioritizing Applications under the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program (Adopted November 15, 2017 by MTC Commission)

Overview

The Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities (AHSC) final guidelines provide regional agencies an opportunity to advise on AHSC project selection. After an initial screening of applications for Plan Bay Area 2040 supportive elements, MTC staff will review applications and make project recommendations to the Commission for approval and transmittal to the Strategic Growth Council (SGC). The role for regional agencies in this process is advisory, meaning that SGC has the ultimate project selection authority.

Regional Bid Target

Based on program results thus far, MTC proposes a regional program target of 40%, and will prioritize applications for up to 60% of the available funding.

Project Prioritization Process

MTC staff proposes to conduct a **project prioritization process** to provide SGC with a set of regional priority projects, based on the following principles. Although these criteria are not "thresholds" that must be achieved, staff will look most favorably on applications achieving most to all of the following elements, which are listed here roughly in rank order of importance:

- 1. Significant Greenhouse Gas Reduction (GHG). Prioritize projects that demonstrate significant GHG reduction. While the SGC will employ a statewide methodology in the final applications for quantifying GHG benefits, MTC staff also reserves the right to conduct additional GHG analysis as needed using a regional methodology.
- 2. Support Plan Bay Area 2040's Focused Growth Investment Strategies. Develop priorities for each of the three project area types: Transit Oriented Development (TOD), Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP), and Rural Innovation Project Area (RIPA). Prioritize projects including affordable housing developments. Where applicable, prioritize ready-to go projects within Priority Development Areas (PDAs) in high growth jurisdictions and corridors that provide access to jobs and services. Prioritize projects providing both a greater share and total number of affordable units, while also considering unit size, to address concerns about community stability and displacement. When applicable, also prioritize projects that provide funds for active Transit Oriented Affordable Housing (TOAH) projects, all of which have a strong nexus to transit and PDAs and have ownership of land for development. Projects that meet the criteria for TOAH and are at the same state of readiness will also be considered favorably.

Agenda Item 2d - Attachment C

- 3. Level of Housing Affordability. For proposals including an affordable housing development as a capital project, prioritize projects in a manner consistent with the Strategic Growth Council's AHSC scoring criteria, which places the highest priority on rental restricted units for households at lower percentages of Area Median Income.
- **4.** Communities of Concern/Disadvantaged Communities. Prioritize projects located in or providing benefits to the region's Communities of Concern as well as CalEPA's defined Disadvantaged Communities. Prioritize projects that provide affordable housing in High Opportunity Areas.
- 5. Support for the Region's Adopted Transit Priorities. Prioritize projects that support the Commission's adopted transit priorities. These include the Regional Transit Expansion program of projects (Resolution 3434), Plan Bay Area 2040's regional transit funding priorities, projects under the Core Capacity Challenge Grant program, projects that support the implementation of the Transit Sustainability Project, and recommendations of the Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Plan.
- **6.** Funding Leverage/Cost Effectiveness. Prioritize projects leveraging other funding sources for local match, and projects providing greater amounts of housing at lower costs.
- 7. **OBAG Policy.** When applicable, OBAG's policy requirements should be applied to help determine a project's alignment with the SCS. These requirements include adherence with state and regional Complete Streets policies, General Plan Housing Element adoption and certification, and Surplus Land requirement. These policies should be applied based on the jurisdiction of where the project is located (rather than whether the local jurisdiction is listed as co-applicant).

MTC Programming and Allocations Committee
March 11, 2020
Agenda Item 2d - Attachment D
Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program
Round 4
Evaluation Issues and Highlights

- Significant Greenhouse Gas Reduction: The emissions reduction results reported by applicants total over 475,000 metric tons of CO₂ equivalent. Results have not yet been verified by Air Resources Board and are subject to correction. GHG reductions are calculated based on affordable housing development, provision of transit passes or bike share to residents, amount of new bicycle facilities or walkways, new or expanded transit service, and solar energy generation. Several proposed housing developments are located near existing, high capacity rail stations (including BART and Muni stations) and have the opportunity to reduce vehicle miles traveled and increase opportunity in a way that is not fully captured in the program's greenhouse gas reduction analysis. These transit stations are noted in the right-most column of Attachment A, "Transit Station Emphasis".
- Supporting Plan Bay Area's Focused Growth Investment Strategies: All but two projects provide affordable housing within Priority Development Areas (PDAs). MTC's regional criteria states that additional priority may be given to projects providing a higher total number of affordable units, including consideration of unit size this is displayed through staff's calculation of a "housing score" that averages the number of affordable units for a project and the number of bedrooms in those units. This metric and a further calculation comparing housing score to AHSC funds requested, in keeping with the region's criteria to prioritize projects providing greater amounts of housing at lower costs, are shown in Attachment A.
- Level of Housing Affordability: In general, the region's applications would increase the accessibility and affordability of housing. In total, Bay Area projects would help construct 1,614 units of restricted affordable housing, at an average of 47% of Area Median Income. The units range from studios to four-bedroom units. All are rental units.
- Communities of Concern/Disadvantaged Communities: The program has a 50% set-aside for projects located in and benefitting Disadvantaged Communities. Four Bay Area projects totaling 31% of the region's funding request are located in Disadvantaged Communities, while all applications benefit Communities of Concern through the location of affordable housing development, transportation improvements, or both.
- Support for the Region's Adopted Transit Priorities: In general, the region's applications support the region's priorities (Regional Transit Expansion program of projects, Plan Bay Area's Next Generation Transit program, etc.)
- Funding Leverage/Cost Effectiveness: Funding leverage for this program is calculated by dividing the total non-AHSC project costs (as submitted by the applicant) by the AHSC request amount. Applications in the region have a funding leverage range of 211%-630%. Cost effectiveness may be considered by assessing the amount of AHSC dollars requested compared to the "housing score" (calculated as the average of affordable units and number of bedrooms).
- *OBAG Policy*: All projects are located in jurisdictions that adhere with state and regional Complete Streets policies, General Plan Housing Element adoption and certification, and Surplus Land requirement.