## MTC Resolution No. 4321

## State Transit Assistance (STA) Population-Based Funds Distribution Policy

Subject:

Background: State Transit Assistance: STA is the state's flexible transit funding program which may be used for capital or operating purposes. STA provides an important source of operations funding for the Bay Area's transit operators and is a key funding source for regional priorities such as Clipper ${ }^{\circledR}$ and 511.

Senate Bill (SB) 1 provided a significant infusion of funding for public transit through several programs including an augmentation of the existing STA program. MTC estimates the Bay Area would receive approximately $\$ 99$ million per year from this augmentation of the STA program.

Revenue derived from a new Transportation Improvement Fee (TIF) is used to establish a new State of Good Repair (SGR) Program which will be distributed using the STA formulas, but is targeted at capital improvements focused on modernizing transit vehicles and facilities. The Bay Area will receive approximately $\$ 37$ million per year from the SGR Program.

This item was presented for information and discussion at the January 10, 2018 Programming and Allocations Committee meeting.

STA and SGR Program Population-Based Funds in the Bay Area: The table below shows new STA funding expected to flow to the Bay Area as a result of SB 1.

| Funding Program <br> (\$ in Millions) | Estimated <br> FY2018-19 <br> Pre-SB 1 | Estimated <br> FY2018-19 <br> SB 1 Increment | Estimated <br> FY2018-19 <br> Total | \% <br> Increase |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| STA Revenue-Based | $\$ 72.1$ | $\$ 72.1$ | $\$ 144.2$ | $100 \%$ |
| STA Population-Based | $\$ 27.0$ | $\$ 27.0$ | $\$ 54.1$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total State Transit Assistance <br> (STA) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| SGR Program Revenue-Based | $\$ 0.0$ | $\$ 27.3$ | $\$ 27.3$ |  |
| SGR Program Population-Based | $\$ 0.0$ | $\$ 10.3$ | $\$ 10.3$ | N/A |
| Total State of Good Repair (SGR) <br> Program | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 7 . 6}$ |  |
| Total STA + SGR Program | $\mathbf{\$ 9 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 8 \%}$ |

${ }^{1}$ FY 2018-19 SB 1 increment uses Caltrans' assumption that $50 \%$ of STA and SGR Program revenue is derived from SB 1.

MTC Resolution No. 3837, Revised established MTC's policy for allocating funds from the Population-Based program. Resolution 3837 was originally adopted in January 2008 and designated four major programs as recipients of the Population-Based funding. Coincidentally, Resolution 3837 called for revaluating the STA Population-Based distribution in 2017.

Issue: The proposed framework provides 30\% to a Regional Program. In addition to on-going Clipper®, ${ }^{\circledR} 11$, and transit coordination programs, this funding is also intended to support the administrative costs and partially offset revenue losses for a means-based fare program. The Committee asked for more information and alternatives at the January meeting. Staff is working with the operators to ensure comprehensive responses and will return at a future meeting with a recommended approach for the means-based program.

Recommendation: Refer MTC Resolution No. 4321 to the Commission for approval.
Attachments: Attachment 1 - MTC Resolution 4321
Attachment 2 - January 3, 2018 Memo on SB 1 and STA Pop.-Based Funds from Executive Director
Attachment 3 - Comparison of STA Population-Based County Distribution Existing Framework vs. Proposed Framework Presentation Slides (see Agenda Item 3b.i.)

Date: February 28, 2018
W.I.: 1511

Referred By: PAC

ABSTRACT<br>Resolution No. 4321

This resolution establishes a policy for the programming and allocation of State Transit Assistance (STA) funds and State of Good Repair Program funds, made available under the provisions of Public Utilities Code Sections 99312.1, 99313, and 99314.

This resolution supersedes Resolution No. 3837.

Further discussion of this action is contained in the Executive Director's Memorandum to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated January 3, 2018 and the MTC Programming and Allocations Committee Summary Sheet dated February 14, 2018.

Date: February 28, 2018
W.I.: 1511

Referred By: PAC
Re: Adoption of MTC's State Transit Assistance (STA) and State of Good Repair Program Programming and Allocation Policy.

## METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

RESOLUTION NO. 4321

WHEREAS, State Transit Assistance (STA) funds are to be used to enhance public transportation service, including community transit service, and to meet high priority regional transportation needs; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill (SB) 1 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2017), known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, establishes the State of Good Repair Program (SGR Program); and

WHEREAS, both STA and SGR Program funds are distributed by the State Controller's Office pursuant to Public Utilities Code $\S 99313$ and 99314, a Population-Based and RevenueBased program, respectively; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency for the San Francisco Bay Area, is responsible for the allocation of STA and SGR Program funds available to eligible claimants in this region; and

WHEREAS, MTC adopted an STA Allocation Policy in Resolution No. 3837 in 2008; and

WHEREAS, SB 1 significantly increased the amount of funding to the STA program and established the SGR Program; and

WHEREAS, in order to align the allocation of STA and SGR Program funding with the Bay Area's most pressing transportation needs; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that MTC adopts its State Transit Assistance and State of Good Repair Program Programming and Allocation Policy described in Attachment A, attached hereto and incorporated by reference, for guidance to eligible claimants in the preparation of their
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applications for STA and SGR Program funds and to staff for reviewing such applications; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the prior policy governing allocation of State Transit Assistance Funds contained in Resolution No. 3837 is superseded by this resolution.

## METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

> Jake Mackenzie, Chair

The above resolution was entered into by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at a regular meeting of the Commission held in San Francisco, California, on February 28, 2018.

Date: February 28, 2018
W.I.: 1511

Referred By: PAC

Attachment A
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## STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE AND STATE OF GOOD REPAIR PROGRAM PROGRAMMING AND ALLOCATION POLICY Exhibit 1

This policy affects all allocations by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) of STA and SGR Program funds, made available under the provisions of Public Utilities Code Sections 99312.1, 99313 and 99314 and relevant subsections.

## I. STA Population-Based Funds (PUC Code 99313) Including Interest Earnings

## 1. STA Population-Based County Block Grant

Commencing with Fiscal Year 2018-19 70\% of the STA Population-Based funds and interest is reserved for programming to STA-eligible operators by Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) in each of the nine Bay Area counties as part of a STA Population-Based County Block Grant (County Block Grant). The County Block Grant will allow each county to determine how best to invest in transit operating needs, including providing lifeline transit services. The funds reserved for the County Block Grant shall be distributed amongst the nine counties according to the percentages shown in Table 1. Each county's share in Table 1 was calculated based on the county's share of STA funds from the Resolution 3837 formula, totaled across all categories (Northern Counties/Small Operators Program, Regional Paratransit Program, and the Lifeline Transportation Program).

Table 1. Distribution of STA Population-Based County Block Grant, by County

| Alameda | $17.68 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Contra Costa | $22.18 \%$ |
| Marin | $5.71 \%$ |
| Napa | $3.49 \%$ |
| San Francisco | $8.46 \%$ |
| San Mateo | $5.06 \%$ |
| Santa Clara | $14.09 \%$ |
| Solano | $10.50 \%$ |
| Sonoma | $12.83 \%$ |

Within Alameda and Contra Costa Counties a minimum amount of County Block Grant funds shall be programmed amongst the transit operators detailed in Table 2.

Table 2. Alameda and Contra Costa County Small Operator Minimum

| County | Minimum \% of Block Grant to be <br> Allocated Annually Amongst <br> Eligible Small Operators | Eligible Small Operators |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Alameda County | $24 \%$ | LAVTA and Union City <br> Transit |
| Contra Costa County | $60 \%$ | CCCTA, ECCTA, WestCAT |

The following program conditions apply to the County Block Grant:

- Reporting: Each CMA must submit to MTC by May 1st of each year, a report including the following information about the previous, completed, fiscal year: 1) the county's programming distribution of STA Population-Based funds amongst STAeligible operators and; 2) the estimated amount of STA Population-Based funding that will be spent within or benefiting Communities of Concern.
- Fund Swaps: Each CMA is required to seek approval from MTC before requesting that a STA-eligible operator recipient of STA Population-Based funds perform a fund swap involving STA Population-Based funds. The CMA must notify all STA-eligible operators within their county of the request to swap funds before seeking approval from MTC.
- Coordinated Claim/Submission Deadline: Each CMA must play a coordinating role in the development of STA Population-Based claims from STA-eligible operators within their county. Each CMA must also submit to MTC by May 1st of each year a governing board-approved resolution listing the distribution policy for STA PopulationBased funds amongst the STA-eligible operators for the subsequent fiscal year. Operators will continue to submit their own claims, if desired.
- Performance Measures: All small and medium sized operators shall meet Transit Sustainability Project (TSP) performance requirements similar to the large operators and achieve a $5 \%$ real reduction in cost per service hour, cost per passenger, or cost per passenger mile by Fiscal Year 2022-23. For operators that have already achieved a 5\% real reduction in one of the above performance measures by FY 2017-18 no further reduction is required. Operators may substitute TSP performance measures for a similar local voter approved or CMA adopted performance measure, subject to MTC concurrence. Once the $5 \%$ reduction is achieved transit operators are expected to keep future cost increases to no higher than the San Francisco Area Consumer Price Index as defined by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Beginning in Fiscal Year 2023-24 MTC may link existing and new operating and capital funds administered by MTC to progress towards achieving the performance target. Staff will work with the small operators and CMAs to evaluate whether an alternate performance framework or metrics are more appropriate for the small operators. Staff will return within one year to
report on whether to retain the current framework or adjust the performance requirements.
- Operator Consolidation Planning Efforts: In the Northern Counties (Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma) as an alternative to meeting TSP performance requirements, counties and transit operators may develop a plan to consolidate into a single county operator.
- Mobility Management: In the five other counties (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara) each county must establish or enhance mobility management programs to help provide equitable and effective access to transportation.


## 2. MTC Regional Program

Commencing with Fiscal Year 2018-19 30\% of the STA Population-Based funds and interest is reserved for projects and programs that improve regional coordination, including but not limited to:

- Clipper®
- 511
- Transit connectivity

In addition, a portion of the Regional Program funding (approximately $\$ 8$ million in the first year based on the estimated Senate Bill 1 increment for Fiscal Year 2018-19) will be used to pay for the administrative costs and to help offset transit fare revenue loss for a regional means-based fare program.

MTC will develop an annual MTC Regional Coordination program. All final programming will be reviewed and approved by the MTC Programming and Allocations Committee (PAC).

## 3. Transit Emergency Service Contingency Fund

The Transit Emergency Service Contingency Fund shall be used to provide assistance for an emergency response to a qualifying incident or event, under specific circumstances as described in MTC Resolution No. 4171.

The fund shall not exceed a total balance of $\$ 1$ million of STA Population-Based funds. In any individual fiscal year no more than $\$ 333,333$ of STA Populated-Based funds and interest shall be apportioned to the fund. Interest accrued to the fund shall not count towards the $\$ 1$ million total balance limit and interest can continue to accrue once the fund has reached $\$ 1$ million. Beginning in Fiscal Year (FY) 2015-16, $\$ 333,333$ in STA Population-Based funds, taken "off the top" from estimated STA Population-Based revenues for the fiscal year, will be apportioned to the fund. Apportionments will continue in subsequent fiscal years until the fund reaches a total of $\$ 1$ million. In future years should
the balance of the fund fall below $\$ 1$ million, funds shall be apportioned in the next fiscal year to restore the full balance of the fund, subject to the annual apportionment limit.

## II. STA Revenue-Based Funds (PUC Code 99314)

Funds apportioned to the region based on revenues generated by the transit operators will be allocated to each STA-eligible operator for the support of fixed route and paratransit operations, for inter-operator coordination, including the cost of interoperator transfers, joint fare subsidies, integrated fares etc., and for capital projects consistent with the adopted long-range plan.

## III. SGR Program Population-Based Funds (PUC Code 99312.1, distributed via PUC 99313)

MTC will develop an annual investment program for SGR Program Population-Based Funds through the annual Fund Estimate. All final programming will be reviewed and approved by the MTC Programming and Allocations Committee (PAC) and will be consistent with the below priorities. All proposed programming actions will be submitted to Caltrans for approval, consistent with SGR Program Guidelines.

## 1. Priority 1: Clipper ${ }^{\circledR} 2.0$

Invest in the development and deployment of the Bay Area's next generation transit fare payment system, Clipper® 2.0.

## 2. Priority 2: Green Transit Capital Priorities

If not needed for Clipper® 2.0, program SGR Program Population-Based funds to the acquisition of zero emission buses (ZEB) by the Bay Area's transit operators. SGR Program funds are intended to pay for the cost increment of ZEBs over diesel or hybrid vehicles or for charging or hydrogen infrastructure to support ZEBs. MTC staff will work to secure a $1: 1$ match commitment from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District to expand and accelerate the deployment of ZEBs in the region.

## IV. SGR Program Revenue-Based Funds (PUC Code 99312.1, distributed via PUC 99314)

Funds apportioned to the region based on revenues generated by the transit operators will be allocated to each respective STA-eligible operator for state of good repair projects, preventative maintenance, and other projects approved by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) as eligible for SGR Program expenditure.

# State Transit Assistance (STA) <br> Rules and Regulations for the MTC Region <br> Exhibit 2 

These Rules and Regulations cover the eligibility requirements and the rules for a full or partial allocation of these funds.

## Eligibility Requirements

To be eligible for any STA funds in the MTC region, an operator must comply with all SB 602 fare and schedule coordination requirements for the fiscal year. The evaluation of operator's compliance with the SB 602 program is made annually.

An operator's requested STA allocation may also be partially or fully reduced if the operator did not make satisfactory progress in meeting its Productivity Improvement Program (PIP) and/or the Regional Coordination projects for which each operator is a participant.

## SB 602 Requirements/California Government Code Section 66516

Fare coordination revenue-sharing agreements, must be fully executed by all participating operators and provisions of the agreement(s) must be in compliance with MTC rules and regulations.

MTC Res. 3866 (Transit Coordination Implementation Plan) documents coordination requirements for Bay Area transit operators to improve the transit customer experience when transferring between transit operators and in support of regional transit projects such as Clipper. If a transit operator fails to comply with the requirements of Res. 3866 or its successor, MTC may withhold, restrict or reprogram funds or allocations.

## PIP Projects

PIP projects are a requirement of STA funding. Failure by operators to make a reasonable effort to implement their PIP projects may affect the allocation of these funds. Projects will be evaluated based on actual progress as compared to scheduled. STA funds may be reduced proportionate to the failure of the operator to implement the PIP project/s. Progress in meeting the milestones identified for a project may be used as the basis for assessing reasonable effort.

The amount withheld will be reviewed with the affected operator. Partial funds withheld may be held by MTC up to two years to allow an operator to comply with its PIP as required by statute.
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After two years, funds withheld under this section may also be re-allocated to any eligible operator for purposes of improving coordination, according to the unfunded coordination projects in the Regional Coordination Plan (MTC Res. 3866 or its successor). MTC may also allocate these funds to any operator whose increase in total operating cost per revenue vehicle hour is less than the increase in the CPI.


| METROPOLITAN | Bay Area Metro Center |
| :--- | :--- |
| TRANSPORTATION | 375 Beale Street |
| COMMISSION | San Francisco, CA 94105 |
|  | TEL 415.778.6700 |
|  | WEB www.mtc.ca.gov |

## Memorandum

TO: Programming and Allocations Committee
DATE: January 3, 2018
FR: Executive Director
RE: SB 1 and State Transit Assistance (STA) Population-Based Funds

## State Transit Assistance (STA)

STA is the state's flexible transit funding program which may be used for capital or operating purposes. STA provides an important source of operations funding for the Bay Area's transit operators and is a key funding source for regional priorities such as Clipper ${ }^{\circledR}$.

The statewide STA program is split equally between a Revenue-Based program (Public Utilities Code 99314) and a Population-Based program (Public Utilities Code 99313). The Revenue-Based program distributes funds directly to transit operators based on each transit operator's share of statewide qualifying revenues used for transit operations, while the Population-Based program distributes funds to regional transportation planning agencies (such as MTC) based on their share of California's population.

## SB 1's Impact

Senate Bill (SB) 1 provided a significant infusion of funding for public transit through several programs. Among these, the State Transit Assistance (STA) program will be boosted by approximately $\$ 250$ million per year from the increase in the diesel sales tax rate of 3.5 percent. These funds would augment the existing STA program (around $\$ 294$ million statewide, pre-SB 1). MTC estimates the Bay Area would receive approximately $\$ 94$ million per year from this augmentation of the STA program.

Another \$105 million per year derived from a new Transportation Improvement Fee (TIF) is used to establish a new State of Good Repair (SGR) Program which will be distributed using the STA Revenue-Based and Population-Based formulas but is targeted at capital improvements focused on modernizing transit vehicles and facilities. The Bay Area will receive approximately $\$ 39$ million per year from the SGR Program.

## STA Population-Based Funds in the Bay Area

Of the new STA funding expected to flow to the Bay Area as a result of SB 1, approximately $\$ 34$ million per year ( $\$ 24$ million through existing STA program and $\$ 10$ million through the new SGR Program program) will flow through the Population-Based program which is subject to MTC's discretion. The STA Revenue-Based funds, which flows directly to the transit operators, will grow by an estimated $\$ 66$ million per year (starting in FY2019) as well.

## Page 2

MTC Resolution No. 3837, Revised established MTC's policy for allocating funds from the Population-Based program. Resolution 3837 was originally adopted in January 2008 and designated four major programs as recipients of the Population-Based funding: a Northern Counties/Small Operators Program ( $28.3 \%$ of funds), a Regional Paratransit Program (15.6\% of funds), the Lifeline Transportation Program (29.2\% of funds), and the MTC Regional Coordination Program ( $26.9 \%$ of funds). Coincidentally, Resolution 3837 called for revaluating the STA Population-Based distribution in 2017.

## Proposed Framework

The below table illustrates a proposal for a new distribution framework for STA Population-Based funds.

Table 1. STA Population-Based Distributed Through a County Block Grant Program

|  |  |  |  | Est. FY 2018-19 <br> STA Population Based | Est. FY 2017-18 STA Population Based (pre-SB 1) | Increase | Percent Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Program | 70\% | Alameda | 18\% | \$6,546,447 | \$3,651,329 | \$2,895,118 | 79\% |
|  |  | Contra Costa | 22\% | \$8,262,187 | \$4,608,294 | \$3,653,892 |  |
|  |  | Napa | 4\% | \$1,300,377 | \$725,295 | \$575,082 |  |
|  |  | Marin | 6\% | \$2,129,276 | \$1,187,619 | \$941,657 |  |
|  |  | San Francisco | 8\% | \$3,109,937 | \$1,734,590 | \$1,375,347 |  |
|  |  | San Mateo | 5\% | \$1,866,459 | \$1,041,031 | \$825,428 |  |
|  |  | Santa Clara | 14\% | \$5,193,795 | \$2,896,877 | \$2,296,918 |  |
|  |  | Solano | 11\% | \$3,913,788 | \$2,182,944 | \$1,730,844 |  |
|  |  | Sonoma | 13\% | \$4,777,734 | \$2,664,816 | \$2,112,918 |  |
|  |  | Subtotal |  | \$37,100,000 | \$20,692,795 | \$16,407,205 |  |
| Regional Program | 30\% | Subtotal |  | \$15,900,000 | \$7,598,638 | \$8,301,362 | 109\% |
| FY 2018-19 TOTAL |  |  |  | \$53,000,000 | \$28,291,433 | \$24,708,567 | 87\% |

Staff proposes a new framework which would replace MTC Resolution 3837 with a new transitfocused, OBAG-style STA County Block Grant for STA Population-Based funds. Funds would be distributed into a Local Program (70\%) and a Regional Program (30\%). Within the Local Program, each county CMA would receive a specified share (see Table 1) of STA Population-Based funds each year which could be prioritized by the CMA for use by transit operators within their county or in coordination with other counties/the region. This would allow each county to determine how best to invest in paratransit, transit operating, and lifeline needs and it is MTC's expectation that the funds will continue to support similar needs overall as are funded in the current policy. Each county's share in Table 1 was calculated based on the county's share of STA funds from the current Resolution 3837 formula, totaled across all categories (Northern Counties/Small Operators Program, Regional Paratransit Program, and the Lifeline Transportation Program).

The Regional Program would continue to support existing regional programs like Clipper ${ }^{\circledR} 2.0$ and could provide seed funding for a regional means-based fare program (see agenda item 5a on today's agenda). The local and regional shares allow significant funding increases for local programs while providing roughly $\$ 8$ million annually for the regional contribution to the Means-Based program.

Page 3

Similar to OBAG, the additional funding and flexibility would be accompanied by policy conditions:
County Block Grant Program Conditions:

1. Each CMA must submit to MTC by May $1^{\text {st }}$ of each year a report including the following: 1) the county's programming distribution of STA Population-Based funds amongst STA-eligible operators and; 2) the anticipated amount of STA population-Based funding that will be spent within or benefitting Communities of Concern.

With this information from the CMAs MTC staff will prepare an annual STA PopulationBased "Snapshot" report to ensure transparency for the new SB 1 funds.
2. To respond to comments and concerns raised and ensure that small bus operators in Alameda and Contra Costa counties continue to receive adequate funding from a County Block Grant Program, this proposal would include a minimum amount of funding to be allocated on an annual basis amongst eligible small operators in each county. Based on the share of small operator funding out of the total STA Population-Based funds allocated to Alameda and Contra Costa counties under the current framework, Table 2 below contains the percentage shares which would be required to flow to each county's eligible small operators.

Table 2: Alameda and Contra Costa County Small Operator Minimum

| County | Minimum \% of Block Grant to be <br> Allocated Annually Amongst <br> Eligible Small Operators | Eligible Small Operators |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Alameda County | $24 \%$ | LAVTA and Union City <br> Transit |
| Contra Costa County | $60 \%$ | CCCTA, ECCTA, WestCAT |

3. CMAs in all counties would be required to seek approval from MTC before requesting that a STA-eligible operator recipient of STA Population-Based funds perform a fund swap involving STA Population-Based funds. The swaps will be limited to transit-eligible activities unless there is concurrence from the transit operators. In addition, the CMA must notify all STA-eligible operators within their county of the request to swap funds before seeking approval from MTC.
4. Direct CMAs and transit operators to coordinate STA Population-Based fund distribution in their county.

- The CMAs would be expected to play a role in coordinating STA Population-Based claims from operators. CMAs, in cooperation with transit operators, could also consider whether to extend their coordination role in the claims process beyond STA Population-Based funds to include TDA Local Transportation Fund and STA Revenue-Based funds but this would not be required. MTC would still determine the amounts available for TDA and STA Revenue-Based funds through the annual Fund Estimate process.
- A fully coordinated claim, already in use in Sonoma and Solano Counties, allows for all transit operators in a county to jointly plan their annual operations budgets and
coordinate investments of TDA Local Transportation Fund $1 / 4$ cent sales tax revenues and STA Revenue and Population-Based funds.

5. All small and medium sized operators to meet Transit Sustainability Project (TSP)
performance requirements similar to the large operators (cost efficiency/effectiveness)

- The TSP was intended to identify strategies to enable transit operators to remain financially viable so that they can continue to provide service to the public. The performance measures requiring a $5 \%$ real reduction in cost per service hour, cost per passenger, or cost per passenger mile currently only apply to the region's larger transit operators.
- This proposal would extend the requirement to small and medium-sized operators to further incentivize financial sustainability. For operators already meeting a TSP performance measure as shown in Table 3, the requirement would be to keep future operating cost increases at a level not higher than inflation; no further reduction in costs would be required for these operators. For operators that have not already achieved a TSP performance measure they, would have until FY 2022-2023 to do so. Operators would be able to decide which base year to use in calculating their TSP performance measures, similar to the discretion given to large operators.
- Similar to the TSP requirement for large operators (MTC Resolution No. 4060), starting in FY2023-24, "MTC will link existing and new operating and capital funds administered by MTC to progress towards achieving the performance target."
- If a CMA already has locally voter or board approved transit operator financial performance requirements in place, these measures may be substituted for TSP performance requirements, subject to concurrence from MTC.
- Given that most small operators have a lower cost per hour and different cost pressures than the large operators, staff will work with the small operators and CMAs to evaluate whether an alternate performance framework or metrics are more appropriate for the small operators. It is worth mentioning, however, that the majority of small operators have already met one of the TSP metrics (as discussed further below). Staff will return within one year to report on whether to retain the current framework or adjust the performance requirements.

Table 3 below provides preliminary information on which small and medium sized operators are already achieving at least one of the TSP performance measures as of FY 2016. If this policy is adopted, MTC would work with operators to confirm the data, select baseline years, and establish a process for monitoring into the future.

Table 3: Small Operator TSP Performance Measures Comparison, Data for Fixed-Route Service Only. (In Constant FY 2012 \$)

| Operator | Achieved Cost Reduction of <br> $\mathbf{\geq 5 \%}$ from FY 2012 to FY 2016 | Operator | Achieved Cost Reduction of <br> $\mathbf{5} \%$ from FY 2012 to FY 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County Connection | Yes $\mid-11 \%$ Cost per Passenger | SolTrans | Yes $\mid-11 \%$ Cost per Passenger |
| FAST | Yes $\mid-15 \%$ Cost per Passenger | Sonoma County Transit | No $\mid-3 \%$ Cost per Service Hour |
| LAVTA | No $\mid-4 \%$ Cost per Service Hour | Tri Delta Transit | Yes $\mid-18 \%$ Cost per Passenger |
| Marin Transit | Yes $\mid-6 \%$ Cost per Service Hour | Union City Transit | No $\mid+20 \%$ Cost per Service Hour |
| Napa Vine | Yes $\mid-49 \%$ Cost per Passenger | Vacaville City Coach | Yes $\mid-8 \%$ Cost per Passenger |
| Petaluma Transit | Yes $\mid-12 \%$ Cost per Service Hour | WETA | Yes $\mid-31 \%$ Cost per Passenger |
| Rio Vista | No $\mid+13 \%$ Cost per Service Hour | WestCAT | No $\mid+1 \%$ Cost per Service Hour |
| Santa Rosa CityBus | No $\mid+13 \%$ Cost per Service Hour |  |  |

Source: FY 2011-12 Through 2015-16 Transit Statistical Summary (Note: data is preliminary)
6. In the Northern Counties (Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma) as an alternative to meeting TSP performance requirements shown above, develop a plan to consolidate into a single county operator (e.g. Napa).

- Sonoma and Solano Counties have already expressed interest in pursuing consolidation and this initiative would support those efforts and encourage other counties to investigate consolidation.

7. In the five other counties (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara) in addition to meeting the TSP performance requirements shown on page 3, establish or enhance mobility management programs.

- Each CMA/county, working with the transit operators, should establish or enhance mobility management programs within their county to help provide equitable and effective access to transportation.


## SGR Program

As noted on page 1 of this memo, the Bay Area can expect to receive approximately $\$ 39$ million per year from a new SGR Program, $\$ 10.2$ million of which will be Population-Based funds. The following priorities are proposed to inform how to invest these SGR Program Population-Based funds.

## State of Good Repair Program Priority 1: Clipper® ${ }^{\circledR} \mathbf{2} 0$

State of Good Repair priority 1 would allow MTC to invest in the development and deployment of the Bay Area's next generation transit fare payment system, Clipper ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2.0. Clipper ${ }^{\circledR}$ is funded jointly by MTC and transit operators, however there are significant unfunded anticipated capital and operating costs associated with Clipper® 2.0 which will need to be funded to ensure a successful deployment. If Regional Measure 3 is approved by the voters, all these funds may not be needed to support the development and deployment of Clipper ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2.0.

## State of Good Repair Program Priority 2: Green Transit Capital Priorities

If not needed for Clipper ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2.0, the Population-Based funds from the new STA State of Good Repair program could fund the acquisition of zero emission buses (ZEB) by the Bay Area's transit operators.

The STA State of Good Repair funds would be used to pay for the cost increment of ZEBs over diesel or hybrid vehicles or for charging or hydrogen infrastructure to support ZEBs. Staff is working with the Air District in an effort to leverage this investment with their funding to be able to accelerate the conversion of the transit fleet toward zero emission. With a 1:1 leverage, the region could replace roughly 65 buses with ZEBs annually based on current ZEB costs.

Estimated Base FY 2018-19 STA Population-Based Funds Estimated SB 1 Increment FY 2018-19 STA Population-Based Funds Total Estimated FY 2018-19 STA Population-Based Funds

## Exsiting STA Population-Based Framework Resolution 3837, Revised Estimated FY 2018-19

| Northern Counties/Small Operators - 28\% |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Marin | $\$ 1,615,908$ |
| Napa | $\$ 873,258$ |
| Solano | $\$ 2,629,875$ |
| Sonoma | $\$ 3,090,592$ |
| CCCTA | $\$ 3,063,285$ |
| ECCTA | $\$ 1,850,361$ |
| LAVTA | $\$ 1,265,910$ |
| Union City | $\$ 443,167$ |
| WCCTA | $\$ 408,113$ |
| SUBTOTAL | $\$ 15,240,469$ |
| Regional Paratransit - 16\% | $\$ 1,673,411$ |
| Alameda | $\$ 1,184,578$ |
| Contra Costa | $\$ 228,560$ |
| Marin | $\$ 185,360$ |
| Napa | $\$ 1,327,716$ |
| San Francisco | $\$ 654,628$ |
| San Mateo | $\$ 1,874,928$ |
| Santa Clara | $\$ 511,869$ |
| Solano | $\$ 733,171$ |
| Sonoma | $\$ 8,374,220$ |
| SUBTOTAL |  |
| Lifeline - 29\% | $\$ 3,552,865$ |
| Alameda | $\$ 2,246,683$ |
| Contra Costa | $\$ 411,303$ |
| Marin | $\$ 319,011$ |
| Napa | $\$ 1,966,974$ |
| San Francisco | $\$ 3,627,712$ |
| San Mateo | $\$ 1,004,5517$ |
| Santa Clara |  |
| Solano |  |
| Sonoma |  |
| SUBTOTAL |  |
|  |  |

\$27,035,119
\$27,035,119
\$54,070,237 (106\% increase from FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19)

| Proposed STA Population-Based Framework <br> Resolution 4321 <br> Estimated FY 2018-19 <br> 70unty Block Grant Distribution |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| County Block Grant - 70\% | $\$ 6,650,479$ |
| Alameda | $\$ 8,343,192$ |
| Contra Costa | $\$ 2,147,864$ |
| Marin | $\$ 1,312,793$ |
| Napa | $\$ 3,182,299$ |
| San Francisco | $\$ 1,903,361$ |
| San Mateo | $\$ 5,300,071$ |
| Santa Clara | $\$ 3,949,662$ |
| Solano | $\$ 4,826,111$ |
| Sonoma |  |
|  |  |

