

BayAreaMetro.gov

DATE: May 4, 2018

Memorandum

TO: Joint MTC Legislation Committee and

ABAG Legislation Committee

FR: Deputy Executive Director, Policy

RE: SB 828 (Wiener): Regional Housing Needs Assessment and Allocation – Support and Seek

Amendments

Background

Senate Bill 828 is one of two bills this year that propose to update the state's methodology for assessing California's future housing needs and make changes to the process by which councils of government (COGs) distribute regional housing needs allocations (RHNA) to local jurisdictions, including requiring that COGs directly incorporate equity considerations into RHNA plans. The other significant bill on this subject is AB 1771 (Bloom), Item 6c on your agenda, which we recommended that you oppose unless it is amended to address our concerns. We believe the Wiener bill is worthy of our support.

State Assessment of Regional Housing Need

Existing law requires the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) to determine the existing and projected housing need for each region, which, in theory, should account for any past unmet need. Despite this, HCD's needs projections for previous RHNA cycles have been criticized as underestimating housing demand. In response to this criticism, HCD has already begun taking steps to revise its methodology. For example, HCD's recent draft regional housing determination for San Diego proposed several significant changes, including applying all "allowances" (that is, criteria used to determine unit increases to adjust for unmet need indicated by low vacancy rates and overcrowding and loss of older housing units) to the *total* number of projected households in the region, rather than only the increment of *new* households. If applied to the Bay Area, staff estimates the overcrowding adjustment alone could account for an estimated 120,000 units, nearly 65 percent of the entire Bay Area housing needs determination for the 2015–2023 RHNA cycle. In short, if HCD continues on the path they are on, staff anticipates a significant increase in HCD's assessment of need relative to the previous methodology for all regions of the state.

SB 828 attempts to correct for HCD's undercounting in prior assessments by requiring:

- 1) A new "allowance factor" to account for rent burdened households be added to the underlying methodology HCD uses to forecast regional housing need and a new target vacancy rate set at 6 percent for both owners and renters (higher than previous HCD targets).
- 2) A one-time assessment or "audit" of unmet need, with the results *added* to the next regional housing needs assessment.
- 3) Unmet needs from a prior cycle for an individual jurisdiction to be "rolled over" or added to that jurisdiction the next cycle.

If the first two "unmet needs" numbers are added on top of what HCD has already determined to be the total regional housing need for the forecast period, staff is concerned that this could oddly result in overestimating statewide and regional housing needs for the next RHNA cycle.

Changes to RHNA: A New Emphasis on Equity and Housing in High Opportunity/Affluent Areas
The bill would also make changes to the process by which COGs allocate RHNA to local jurisdictions
by prohibiting a COG from considering prior underproduction of housing to justify a lower allocation in
the future and requiring that the final regional housing needs plan demonstrate government efforts to
reverse racial and wealth disparities regionwide by showing the following:

- 1) High housing allocations (including for low- and very low-income households) for jurisdictions with high rates of projected income growth to ensure equity and stabilize home prices and communities.
- 2) High housing allocations (including for low- and very low-income households) for high-income jurisdictions that contain regional job centers with connectivity to high-quality public transit.

Local Zoning and RHNA as a Floor for Future Housing Production

SB 828 would require localities zone for 125 percent of the housing obligation that could not be accommodated on sites identified in the jurisdiction's Housing Element inventory, including zoning at least 100 percent of that amount for multifamily housing located within developed areas. The idea behind the 125% "over-zoning" requirement is that jurisdictions rarely handout 100 percent of the permits allowed under their zoning maximums. It would also revise legislative intent language in current law that explicitly recognizes that "future housing production may not equal the regional housing need established for planning purposes," and instead provides that "reasonable actions should be taken by local and regional governments to ensure that future housing production meet, at a minimum, the regional housing need established for planning purposes."

Recommendation: Support and Seek Amendments

Discussion

It is widely recognized that the most recent round of HCD regional housing needs assessments significantly understated the number of housing units needed in California. SB 828 aims to ensure future housing needs assessments are better aligned with reality. We support this goal. From a technical standpoint we are concerned this would potentially result in an overcorrection by double counting the unmet need, as detailed on the first page of this memo. This could undermine HCD's credibility with local government and could ultimately hurt efforts to make RHNA more meaningful. SB 828 also adds new goals to RHNA related to racial and wealth disparities. While we support this addition on policy grounds, the specific requirements listed in the bill could be in tension with other statewide goals aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

Changes to Calculation of Housing Need Should Focus on Improving HCD Methodology

To address our technical concern that SB 828 could still result in inaccurate regional housing needs assessments, we recommend seeking amendments so that the bill focuses exclusively on directing HCD to improve the underlying methodology used to calculate housing needs so that it is accurate. The bill could direct HCD to codify the changes in methodology they have already begun, including considering allowance factors as they apply to the *total* housing stock as opposed to projected household growth. The bill could further direct HCD by setting numerical targets for various allowance factors and adding the rent-burden allowance factor, as currently proposed. Importantly, the bill should provide for a range instead of a single target number to account for regional differences and extraordinary circumstances.

Equity Provisions Must Recognize Tension with Regional Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Reduction Targets While we support requiring that the RHNA demonstrate efforts to reduce racial and wealth disparities, the specific steps required by the current language in the bill could be in tension with other state directives to focus growth near public transit in order to reduce GHG emissions associated with driving. The bill should acknowledge that in finalizing the RHNA, COGs have to balance many competing policy goals.

Staff recommends a "support and seek amendments" position on SB 828 and requests direction to work with the author to make amendments to address the concerns noted above.

Known Positions

See Attachment A

Alix A. Bockelman

Attachment:

Attachment A

AAB:ggd

J:\COMMITTE\Legislation\Meeting Packets\Legis2018\05 LEGIS May 2018\6bi SB 828 (Wiener) v3.docx

SB 828 (Wiener) Known Positions

Support

California Building Industry Association California Business Properties Association

California Chamber of Commerce California Community Builders

California YIMBY City of Oakland

Fiona Ma, Board of Equalization District 2

Half Moon Bay Brewing Company

Heller Manus Architects

HKS Architects
Inn at Mavericks

Mavericks Event Center McKinsey & Company

Non Profit Housing Association of Northern

California

North Bay Leadership Council

Pacific Standard

Postmates

San Francisco Bay Area Planning and Urban

Research Association (SPUR)

San Francisco Housing Action Coalition San Mateo County Economic Development

Association

Sand Hill Property Company

Silicon Valley Community Foundation

Sustainable Silicon Valley

SV Angel

The Two Hundred TMG Partners

Opposition

American Planning Association, California

Chapter

California Association of Councils of

Governments Citizen Marin

City of San Bernardino City of Mill Valley City of Redondo Beach City of San Marcos City of San Rafael

Coalition to Preserve L.A. Cow Hollow Association

Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council

Livable California

Marin Community Association

Marin County Council of Mayors and

Councilmembers

Mission Economic Development Company

SF Ocean Edge

Spaulding Square Neighborhood

Association Historic Preservation Overlay

Zone

Stand Up for San Francisco

Sunset Residents for Sensible Planning

8 individuals