



#### Meeting Agenda - Final

### **Bay Area Housing Finance Authority Advisory Committee**

Efren Carrillo, Chair Vacant, Vice Chair

Thursday, March 28, 2024

10:00 AM

**Board Room - 1st Floor** 

The BAHFA Advisory Committee is scheduled to meet at 10:00 a.m.

This meeting shall consist of a simultaneous teleconference call at the following location(s):

None

Meeting attendees may opt to attend in person for public comment and observation at 375 Beale Street, Board Room (1st Floor), San Francisco, California. In-person attendees must adhere to posted public health protocols while in the building. The meeting webcast will be available at https://mtc.ca.gov/meetings-events/live-webcasts Members of the public are encouraged to participate remotely via Zoom at the following link or phone number.

Or Telephone:

Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose) +1 408 638 0968 US (San Jose) 877 853 5247 US Toll Free 888 788 0099 US Toll Free Webinar ID: 889 7263 4428

Members of the public participating by Zoom wishing to speak should use the "raise hand" feature or dial \*9. When called upon, unmute yourself or dial \*6. In order to get the full Zoom experience, please make sure your application is up to date.

Detailed instructions on participating via Zoom are available at: https://mtc.ca.gov/meetings-events/how-provide-public-comment-board-meeting

Members of the public may participate by phone or Zoom or may submit comments by email at info@bayareametro.gov by 5:00 p.m. the day before the scheduled meeting date. Please include the committee or board meeting name and agenda item number in the subject line. Due to the current circumstances, there may be limited opportunity to address comments during the meeting. All comments received will be submitted into the record.

#### Roster

Jamie Almanza, Aissia Ashoori, Efren Carrillo, Consuelo Hernandez, Nevada Merriman, Tomiquia Moss, Hugo Ramirez, Leelee Thomas, Michelle Whitman

#### 1. Call to Order / Roll Call / Confirm Quorum

Quorum is a majority of BAHFA Advisory Committee members present.

#### 2. Public Comment

Information

#### 3. Committee Member Announcements

Information

#### 4. Chair's Report

**4a.** 24-0312 BAHFA Advisory Committee Chair's Report of March 28, 2024

Action: BAHFA Advisory Committee Information

<u>Presenter:</u> Efren Carrillo

#### 5. Consent Calendar

**5a.** 24-0313 Approval of BAHFA Advisory Committee Minutes of January 25, 2024

Action: BAHFA Advisory Committee Approval

<u>Presenter:</u> Secretary

Attachments: 05a BAHFA AC Minutes 20240125 Draft.pdf

#### 6. BAHFA Advisory Committee Election of Vice Chair

**6a.** 24-0333 Election of BAHFA Advisory Committee Vice Chair

<u>Action:</u> BAHFA Advisory Committee Approval

<u>Presenter:</u> Secretary

<u>Attachments:</u> 06a Summary Sheet Election of Chair and Vice Chair v1.pdf

#### 7. BAHFA Regional Expenditure Plan

7a. 24-0334 BAHFA General Obligation Bond Expenditure Plan Labor Standards

Update on labor standards for inclusion in BAHFA's 20% regional funding

Expenditure Plan.

Action: BAHFA Advisory Committee Information

<u>Presenter:</u> Kate Hartley

Attachments: 07a 1 Summary Sheet Expenditure Plan Labor Standards v1.pdf

07a 2 Attachment A Presentation BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan Labor S

#### 8. Adjournment / Next Meeting

The next regular meeting of the BAHFA Advisory Committee is on April 25, 2024.

**Public Comment:** The public is encouraged to comment on agenda items at Committee meetings by completing a request-to-speak card (available from staff) and passing it to the Committee secretary. Public comment may be limited by any of the procedures set forth in Section 3.09 of MTC's Procedures Manual (Resolution No. 1058, Revised) if, in the chair's judgment, it is necessary to maintain the orderly flow of business.

**Meeting Conduct:** If this meeting is willfully interrupted or disrupted by one or more persons rendering orderly conduct of the meeting unfeasible, the Chair may order the removal of individuals who are willfully disrupting the meeting. Such individuals may be arrested. If order cannot be restored by such removal, the members of the Committee may direct that the meeting room be cleared (except for representatives of the press or other news media not participating in the disturbance), and the session may continue.

**Record of Meeting:** Committee meetings are recorded. Copies of recordings are available at a nominal charge, or recordings may be listened to at MTC offices by appointment. Audiocasts are maintained on MTC's Web site (mtc.ca.gov) for public review for at least one year.

**Accessibility and Title VI:** MTC provides services/accommodations upon request to persons with disabilities and individuals who are limited-English proficient who wish to address Commission matters. For accommodations or translations assistance, please call 415.778.6757 or 415.778.6769 for TDD/TTY. We require three working days' notice to accommodate your request.

**可及性和法令第六章**: MTC 根據要求向希望來委員會討論有關事宜的殘疾人士及英語有限者提供服務/方便。需要便利設施或翻譯協助者,請致電 415.778.6757 或 415.778.6769 TDD / TTY。我們要求您在三個工作日前告知,以滿足您的要求。

**Acceso y el Titulo VI:** La MTC puede proveer asistencia/facilitar la comunicación a las personas discapacitadas y los individuos con conocimiento limitado del inglés quienes quieran dirigirse a la Comisión. Para solicitar asistencia, por favor llame al número 415.778.6757 o al 415.778.6769 para TDD/TTY. Requerimos que solicite asistencia con tres días hábiles de anticipación para poderle proveer asistencia.

Attachments are sent to Committee members, key staff and others as appropriate. Copies will be available at the meeting.

All items on the agenda are subject to action and/or change by the Committee. Actions recommended by staff are subject to change by the Committee.

# Metropolitan Transportation Commission

375 Beale Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94105

### Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 24-0312 Version: 1 Name:

Type: Report Status: Informational

File created: 2/20/2024 In control: Bay Area Housing Finance Authority Advisory

Committee

On agenda: 3/28/2024 Final action:

Title: BAHFA Advisory Committee Chair's Report of March 28, 2024

Sponsors: Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments:

Date Ver. Action By Action Result

BAHFA Advisory Committee Chair's Report of March 28, 2024

Efren Carrillo

**BAHFA Advisory Committee Information** 

# Metropolitan Transportation Commission

375 Beale Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94105

### Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 24-0313 Version: 1 Name:

Type: Minutes Status: Consent

File created: 2/20/2024 In control: Bay Area Housing Finance Authority Advisory

Committee

On agenda: 3/28/2024 Final action:

Title: Approval of BAHFA Advisory Committee Minutes of January 25, 2024

Sponsors: Indexes:

**Code sections:** 

Attachments: 05a BAHFA AC Minutes 20240125 Draft.pdf

Date Ver. Action By Action Result

Approval of BAHFA Advisory Committee Minutes of January 25, 2024

Secretary

**BAHFA Advisory Committee Approval** 



#### **Meeting Minutes - Draft**

#### **Bay Area Housing Finance Authority Advisory Committee**

Efren Carrillo, Chair Vacant, Vice Chair

Thursday, January 25, 2024

10:00 AM

**Board Room - 1st Floor** 

#### Roster

Jamie Almanza, Aissia Ashoori, Efren Carrillo, Consuelo Hernandez, Nevada Merriman, Tomiquia Moss, Hugo Ramirez, Leelee Thomas, Michelle Whitman

#### 1. Call to Order / Roll Call / Confirm Quorum

Chair Carrillo called the meeting to order at about 10:04 a.m. Quorum was present.

Present: 8 - Almanza, Ashoori, Carrillo, Hernandez, Merriman, Moss, Ramirez, and Whitman

Absent: 1 - Thomas

#### 2. Public Comment

#### 3. Committee Member Announcements

#### 4. Chair's Report

**4a.** 24-0039 BAHFA Advisory Committee Chair's Report of January 25, 2024

Chair Carrillo gave the report.

#### 5. Consent Calendar

Upon the motion by Moss and second by Whitman, the BAHFA Advisory Committee approved the Consent Calendar. The motion passed unanimously by the following vote:

Aye: 7 - Almanza, Ashoori, Carrillo, Hernandez, Merriman, Ramirez and Whitman

Absent: 1 - Thomas

Abstain: 1 - Moss

**5a.** 24-0040 Approval of BAHFA Advisory Committee Minutes of October 26, 2023

#### 6. BAHFA Business Plan

6a. 24-0045

Recommendation of Adoption of a Resolution on the Approval of the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority's Business Plan by the ABAG Executive Board as the Executive Board to the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority (Executive Board), and by the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority (BAHFA Board); CEQA Determination: Exempt Pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21065; Government Code § 64523

Daniel Saver gave the report.

The following gave public comment: Ginny Madsen, Scott Littlehale, Tracy Parent, Zack Deutsch, Janine Sozi, Amanda Chang, Leo Goldberg, Chris Schlidt.

Upon the motion by Whitman and second by Almanza, the BAHFA Advisory Committee recommended adoption of a resolution on the approval of the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority's Business Plan by the ABAG Executive Board, as the Executive Board to the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority (Executive Board), and by the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority (BAHFA Board). The motion passed unanimously by the following vote:

Aye: 8 - Almanza, Ashoori, Carrillo, Hernandez, Merriman, Moss, Ramirez and Whitman

Absent: 1 - Thomas

#### 7. BAHFA Regional Expenditure Plan

#### **7a.** 24-0046

Recommendation to the ABAG Executive Board as the Executive Board to the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority (Executive Board), and to the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority (BAHFA Board) to adopt BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan; CEQA Determination Exempt Pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21065; Government Code § 64523

Kate Hartley gave the report.

Upon the motion by Ashoori and second by Moss, the BAHFA Advisory Committee recommended that the ABAG Executive Board as the Executive Board to the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority and the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority Board adopt BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan. The motion passed unanimously by the following vote:

Aye: 8 - Almanza, Ashoori, Carrillo, Hernandez, Merriman, Moss, Ramirez and Whitman

Absent: 1 - Thomas

#### 8. Affordable Housing General Obligation Bond Ballot Measure

#### 8a. 24-0047

Adoption of a BAHFA Advisory Committee Resolution No. 0001-2024 recommending to the ABAG Executive Board as the Executive Board to the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority ("Executive Board") and to the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority Board the adoption of a resolution to approve or submit, as applicable, a ballot measure for a general obligation bond for affordable housing in an amount not to exceed \$20 billion to the voters of the counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano and Sonoma and the city and county of San Francisco (the "Nine Bay Area Counties") at the November 2024 general election consistent with the requirements of the final version of Assembly Constitutional Amendment No. 1 (2023).

Kate Hartley gave the report.

The following gave public comment: Ze-Kun Li, Irving Torres, Justine Marcus.

Upon the motion by Hernandez and second by Almanza, the BAHFA Advisory Committee adopted BAHFA Advisory Committee Resolution No. 1-2024 recommending to the ABAG Executive Board as the Executive Board to the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority and to the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority Board to adopt a resolution to approve or submit, as applicable, a ballot measure for a general obligation bond for affordable housing in an amount not to exceed \$20 billion to the voters of the Nine Bay Area Counties at the November 2024 general election and that said ballot measure satisfies the requirements of the final version of Assembly Constitutional Amendment 1 (2023). The motion passed unanimously by the following vote:

Aye: 8 - Almanza, Ashoori, Carrillo, Hernandez, Merriman, Moss, Ramirez and Whitman

Absent: 1 - Thomas

#### 9. Adjournment / Next Meeting

Chair Carrillo adjourned the meeting at about 11:53 a.m. The next regular meeting of the the BAHFA Advisory Committee is on February 22, 2024.

# Metropolitan Transportation Commission

375 Beale Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94105

### Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 24-0333 Version: 1 Name:

Type: Report Status: Committee Approval

File created: 2/23/2024 In control: Bay Area Housing Finance Authority Advisory

Committee

On agenda: 3/28/2024 Final action:

Title: Election of BAHFA Advisory Committee Vice Chair

**Sponsors:** 

Indexes:

**Code sections:** 

Attachments: 06a Summary Sheet Election of Chair and Vice Chair v1.pdf

Date Ver. Action By Action Result

Election of BAHFA Advisory Committee Vice Chair

Secretary

**BAHFA Advisory Committee Approval** 

#### **Advisory Committee**

March 28, 2024		Agenda Item 6.a.
	Election of Vice Chair	

#### Subject:

Election of BAHFA Advisory Committee Vice Chair

#### Background:

The resignation of Jacky Morales-Ferrand from the BAHFA Advisory Committee has left the Vice Chair vacant.

Issues:

None

#### **Recommended Action:**

Ind Framies

The BAHFA Advisory Committee is requested to elect a Vice Chair.

Attachments:

None

Reviewed:

**Andrew Fremier** 

# Metropolitan Transportation Commission

375 Beale Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94105

#### Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 24-0334 Version: 1 Name:

Type: Report Status: Informational

File created: 2/23/2024 In control: Bay Area Housing Finance Authority Advisory

Committee

On agenda: 3/28/2024 Final action:

Title: BAHFA General Obligation Bond Expenditure Plan Labor Standards

Update on labor standards for inclusion in BAHFA's 20% regional funding Expenditure Plan.

Sponsors:

Indexes:

**Code sections:** 

Attachments: 07a 1 Summary Sheet Expenditure Plan Labor Standards v1.pdf

07a 2 Attachment A Presentation BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan Labor Standards v1.pdf

Date Ver. Action By Action Result

BAHFA General Obligation Bond Expenditure Plan Labor Standards

Update on labor standards for inclusion in BAHFA's 20% regional funding Expenditure Plan.

**Kate Hartley** 

**BAHFA Advisory Committee Information** 

#### **Advisory Committee**

March 28, 2024 Agenda Item 6.a.

BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan – Labor Standards

#### Subject:

Update on labor standards for inclusion in BAHFA's 20% regional funding Expenditure Plan

#### Background:

On January 25, 2024, the BAHFA Advisory Committee made a series of recommendations related to placing a \$10-20 billion regional affordable housing on the November 2024 ballot. Among those actions was a recommendation to approve the Regional Expenditure Plan for BAHFA's 20% of bond proceeds that will be invested into local communities across the region.

At its February 14, 2024 meeting, the ABAG Housing Committee and the BAHFA Oversight Committee (the "Joint Housing Committees") approved a referral to the ABAG Executive Board as the Executive Board to BAHFA ("Executive Board") and to the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority ("BAHFA Board") – collectively referred to as "the Boards" – to adopt BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan. This referral, however, was subject to the condition that staff return to the Joint Housing Committees with recommended labor standards to include in BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan.

Since the meeting, staff has continued discussions with stakeholders – including representatives from various subgroups of organized labor as well as nonprofit housing developers – to identify common ground for potential BAHFA labor standards. Those discussions are ongoing. Staff presented an informational update to the Joint Housing Committees on March 13, 2024, and the current report contains substantially the same information. Staff aims to present a labor standards recommendation for inclusion in the Regional Expenditure Plan at the Joint Housing Committees' April 10, 2024 meeting. Today's report is intended to keep the BAHFA Advisory Committee informed of the context and considerations involved in the discussions with stakeholders and policymakers prior to anticipated action in April by policymakers.

#### Regional Expenditure Plan Context:

The San Francisco Bay Area Regional Housing Finance Act, BAHFA's enabling legislation, (California Government Code Section 64500, *et seq.* (the "Act")), gives BAHFA the authority to raise, administer, and allocate funding for affordable housing through several mechanisms that require voter approval. One such mechanism is a general obligation (GO) bond, for which the Act prescribes that BAHFA pass through 80% of the revenue to counties and several cities based on a return to source formula ("County Housing Revenue" or "the 80%"). BAHFA retains the remaining 20% ("Regional Housing Revenue" or "the 20%"). Staff is currently planning towards a GO bond for the November 2024 ballot.

#### **Advisory Committee**

March 28, 2024 Agenda Item 6.a.

#### BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan – Labor Standards

BAHFA's portion of the funds must be spent according to a Regional Expenditure Plan ("Plan"), which only governs Regional Housing Revenue. Counties and direct allocation cities are required to adopt their own expenditure plans for the 80%, a step required after voter approval.

The Plan must comply with specified requirements set forth in the Act, including an estimate of number of units produced and preserved, the share of funding for each spending category (Production, Preservation, Protection, and Local Government Grant Program), and a description of BAHFA's programs. The Plan reviewed by the BAHFA Advisory Committee in January and the Joint Housing Committees in February contains these elements, incorporating guiding principles from BAHFA's Business Plan's *Equity Framework* and *Funding Programs* as well as policy decisions affirmed by the BAHFA Advisory Committee and the Boards after multiple public meetings in the summer and fall of 2023.

On February 14, the Joint Housing Committees referred for adoption by the Boards all Plan elements proposed by staff to satisfy the statutory requirements and establish an initial set of investment priorities. The Joint Housing Committees also requested staff to return to provide information and recommendations regarding an outstanding issue – potential labor standards to include in the Plan.

#### Legal Limitations to Impose Labor Standards on Counties & Cities (the 80%)

Some labor stakeholders have requested that BAHFA impose labor standards on 100% of bond funds, including the 80% that will be administered directly by counties and direct-allocation cities. However, the Act does not grant BAHFA legal authority to impose any labor standards on direct recipients. Labor stakeholders with whom staff have been meeting over the past month have not disputed this.

State law could be amended to authorize BAHFA to impose labor standards on direct recipients. From a timing standpoint, it's important to note that such a change could be made *after* the Boards have taken their actions to approve placing a bond measure on the ballot, or even after voter approval of a bond. Some labor stakeholders have expressed an interest in pursuing legislation this year to allow BAHFA to impose labor standards. At the time this memo was finalized, staff was unaware of any bill in print related to BAHFA labor standards. Notably, even if state law were amended, federal law would prohibit BAHFA from requiring direct recipients to impose project labor agreements (PLAs) through regulation. Amendments to the Act would not override this prohibition given it is in federal law. Staff will update the Committees on any legislative efforts to modify the Act as it relates to allowing BAHFA to adopt labor standards applicable to the 80%.

This memo focuses on BAHFA's Regional Housing Revenue and aims to provide a strong foundation for the Committees' and Boards' consideration of labor standards for the Plan next month.

#### **Advisory Committee**

March 28, 2024 Agenda Item 6.a.

BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan – Labor Standards

#### Context for Labor Standards for Regional Housing Revenue (the 20%)

Addressing California's housing shortage, housing unaffordability and homelessness has been a top state and local legislative priority since at least 2016. This policymaking has included determination of appropriate labor standards to attach to housing legislation. Table 1, below, summarizes the relevant approaches that have been at the center of legislative debates. It is provided here not with the intent of making recommendations, but for the purpose of establishing a common vocabulary and understanding of the key terms in the negotiations.

Table 1: Landscape of Potential Labor Standards

Standard	Brief Description
Baseline (CA Labor Code)	Prevailing wage with exceptions
AB 2011 (Wicks, 2022)	Enforceable prevailing wage requirement (no exceptions)     For 50+ units, requires health care payments     For 50+ units, requires approved apprenticeship participation
SB 423 (Wiener, 2023)	<ul> <li>AB 2011 plus "skilled and trained" for projects over 85 feet</li> <li>100% affordable projects are exempt</li> </ul>
"Skilled and Trained"	Generally requires workers in apprenticeable occupations to be either skilled journeypersons or apprentices registered in an apprenticeship program approved by the chief of the Division of Apprenticeship Standards
Project Labor Agreements (PLAs)	<ul> <li>Could take many forms; likely scenario is BAHFA requires borrowers to sign PLAs with Building Trades Councils where project is located.</li> <li>Set labor terms, e.g., wages, health care &amp; pensions, contractor eligibility, dispute resolution, and worksite conditions.</li> </ul>

#### Context and Considerations: Balancing Multiple Goals

Establishing appropriate labor standards for the Regional Housing Revenue requires balancing an interrelated set of goals. Based on feedback from the Committees last month and the guiding principles in BAHFA's Business Plan, these goals include:

#### **Advisory Committee**

March 28, 2024 Agenda Item 6.a.

#### BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan – Labor Standards

- 1. Expanding the protection of workers in the Bay Area's affordable housing construction industry.
- 2. Production and preservation of affordable housing at a scale commensurate with the need.
- 3. Pursuit of innovative finance and development strategies to deliver affordable housing more cost-effectively.

Each goal is important but tension arises between them at times. Weighing trade-offs and creating a balanced approach requires consideration of three critical elements, described more extensively below: (1) affordable housing developer cohorts and their distinct approaches, (2) historical housing production trends and current goals, and (3) rising construction costs.

#### Affordable Housing Developer Cohorts

Generally speaking, two categories of developers build subsidized affordable housing and operate in different regulatory environments vis-à-vis labor standards. The first group is nonprofit developers that build most of the Bay Area's affordable housing. Nonprofit developers typically rely on low-income housing tax credits (LIHTC) administered by the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC), private activity bonds from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC), subsidy loans from the city and/or county in which they work, subsidy loans from the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), and a variety of other sources. Given the requirements of most subsidy fund sources, nonprofit developers typically operate under enhanced labor standards that include, at a minimum, paying workers a prevailing wage. Some jurisdictions in the Bay Area attach additional labor standards such as a PLA.

The second group is for-profit, vertically integrated companies that typically rely solely on LIHTC, CDLAC, and deferred developer fees to finance their projects. Given their limited use of city and county financing (which serves as the linkage to construction labor standards), this second group of developers is typically not subject to the state's prevailing wage laws nor other labor standards imposed by local governments.

#### Common Development Practices & Expansion of Worker Protections

The first developer group, the nonprofits, are the likely borrowers of the vast majority of the proposed regional housing measure funds because these developers are accustomed to and competent at implementing the policies required by the jurisdictions in which they work, including labor standards. In this environment, labor practices tend to be more transparent through the oversight of nonprofit boards of directors and the community engagement typically conducted by Bay Area's nonprofit developers. These factors mitigate concerns about "bad actors" using bond funds to exploit workers.

#### **Advisory Committee**

March 28, 2024 Agenda Item 6.a.

#### BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan – Labor Standards

Because the typical financing structure employed by the second developer group, for-profit developers, does not include local public sector funding, their use of regional bond funds may also be limited.

Inclusion of labor standards in BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan would cover projects in every Bay Area county, expanding worker protections to locations where enhanced labor standards are not common practice.

While the proposed labor standards for the Regional Expenditure Plan are still under discussion, they could exceed the Labor Code's "baseline" prevailing wage requirements by disallowing exceptions to prevailing wage and including additional worker benefits and protections. Further, should the second group of developers, the for-profits, apply for and secure bond funds to build and preserve affordable housing in a competitive funding process, there will be an expansion of labor standards to developments where there otherwise are none.

Actively expanding worker protections by engaging with the full range of affordable housing developers will require, however, that BAHFA's financial products balance sufficiently favorable terms and manageable regulatory burden. An overly rigid labor standard could be counter-productive, by disincentivizing for-profit developers from using BAHFA funds at all. This would forestall BAHFA's pursuit of partnerships with all developers able to bring quality projects forward in a cost-effective way for the region's benefit. Further, BAHFA's commitment to pursue alternative financing models when state programs (e.g., CDLAC and LIHTC) are competitive will require streamlined, accessible and efficient financing.

#### Production at Scale: Historical Trends and Current Targets

The significant lack of homes affordable to all Bay Area residents – the foundation for health, community, and cultural and economic regional vibrancy – fuels a web of social challenges:

- Approximately 37,000 residents are unhoused.
- The region has the highest *unsheltered* rate of unhoused people in the U.S. (over 70%)
- 1.4 million renters pay more than half their income on rent, with one-quarter paying more than 50% of their income on rent ("severely rent-burdened")
- High rents and home prices cause many residents to live far from work, making congestion and pollution much worse, and putting a major strain on working families.
- Too many Bay Area residents live in overcrowded and unsafe housing.
- Vital employees and community members are leaving the area.

Recently compiled data for the 5<sup>th</sup> Cycle of the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) process (2015-2023) quantifies the slow progress the region has been making towards achieving our affordable housing targets. The 2015-2023 dataset contains highly detailed reporting from local governments via their Annual Progress Reports ("APRs"), enhanced by verification efforts by staff, on the number of new homes (units) permitted by income category.

#### **Advisory Committee**

March 28, 2024 Agenda Item 6.a.

#### BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan – Labor Standards

The complete dataset from the eight-year RHNA cycle underscores that while market-rate housing is being developed at rates *double* the state's targets the number of homes under development that are affordable to lower- and moderate-income residents is well below the targets, as summarized below. The reasons for this are complex, but the high cost of housing and the lack of sufficient subsidy for the construction of affordable housing are major factors. Providing a robust source of funding to accelerate the construction (and preservation) of new affordable units is a fundamental aim of the Bay Area affordable housing bond.

Table 2: Bay Area RHNA Cycle 5 (2015-2023) Performance

Income Targets	2015-2023 RHNA Cycle 5	2015-2023 Units Permitted	% RHNA Permitted
Very Low-Income (0-50% of AMI)	46,680	18,751	40%
Low-Income (50-80% of AMI)	28,940	16,025	55%
Moderate-Income (80-120% of AMI)	33,420	20,071	60%
Above Moderate-Income (above 120% of AMI)	78,950	163,018	203%
Total:	187,990	217,865	116%

The Bay Area's state-mandated housing targets for the 6<sup>th</sup> RHNA Cycle (2023-2031) more than doubled the region's housing goals relative to the 5<sup>th</sup> RHNA Cycle, presenting an unprecedented challenge. Even if the region maintained the historical trend of over-producing market rate ("above moderate-income") homes, it would still fall short of permitting the total new units required in the 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle. Bay Area residents' needs for lower- and moderate-income housing, as compared to 5<sup>th</sup> Cycle targets and regional performance, are particularly stark, as summarized below.

Table 3: Bay Area RNHA Cycle 6 (2023-2031) Targets Compared to Cycle 5

Income Targets	2015-2023 RHNA Cycle 5	2015-2023 Units Permited	2023 – 2031 RHNA Cycle 6
Very Low-Income	46,680	18,751	114,442
Low-Income	28,940	16,025	65,892
Moderate-Income	33,420	20,071	72,712
Above Moderate-Income	78,950	163,018	188,130

#### **Advisory Committee**

March 28, 2024 Agenda Item 6.a.

BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan – Labor Standards

Total:	187,990	217,865	441,176
--------	---------	---------	---------

A central tenet of BAHFA's Equity Framework is to operate at a scale that can truly meet the housing needs of the Bay Area's lower-income residents. The comparison of historical production trends (measured above in terms of units permitted) against RHNA's low-income housing targets underscores that to fulfill this mission, BAHFA and the entire housing industry in the Bay Area must build significantly more affordable housing, much faster. This will require partnering with every available segment of the construction workforce and growing that workforce over time.

#### **Cost-Efficiency**

Just as the need for affordable housing is increasing, so too is the cost of constructing it. Many affordable housing projects in the Bay Area now cost roughly \$1 million per unit. For the regional housing bond to have the greatest impact in terms of the number of homes built, BAHFA must find creative solutions to bring down costs.

To better understand the cost landscape, staff has evaluated all Bay Area projects that submitted applications to TCAC and CDLAC between 2021 and 2023. The most salient characteristics that differentiate project costs are (1) location (which is a proxy for a variety of market conditions rather than simply the cost of land), and (2) whether the project received subsidy from a local government. The following table summarizes the findings, revealing the significantly higher per unit cost for projects that receive local public funds than those that don't in every county.

Table 4: Bay Area Total Development Costs for TCAC/CDLAC Applications, 2021-2023

County	# of Projects with Local Funds	# of Projects without Local Funds	Avg. Cost Per Unit with Local Funds	Avg. Cost Per Unit Without Local Funds	% Cost Increase with Local Funds
Alameda	11	4	\$903,684	\$491,500	84%
Contra Costa	3	4	\$772,417	\$513,140	51%
Marin	0	1	n/a	\$767,873	n/a
Napa	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a
San Francisco	10	1	\$939,826	\$734,585	28%
San Mateo	4	2	\$972,512	\$448,642	117%
Santa Clara	23	14	\$782,945	\$636,918	23%

#### **Advisory Committee**

March 28, 2024 Agenda Item 6.a.

#### BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan – Labor Standards

Solano	1	4	\$687,334	\$355,303	93%
Sonoma	3	5	\$568,732	\$476,369	19%
Total:	55	35	\$835,406	\$546,806	53%

Unfortunately, isolating the data for 2023 shows a worsening trend in terms of increasing perunit cost for projects receiving local funds. Table 5, below, summarizes the average per-unit costs of developments that applied for TCAC/CDLAC in 2023 that are also receiving local funds.

Table 5: Total 2023 Development Costs for TCAC/CDLAC Applications With Local Funds

County	2023 Average Per Unit Cost for Projects with Local Funds
Alameda	\$984,300
Contra Costa	\$998,250
San Francisco	\$916,500
San Mateo	\$998,400
Santa Clara	\$1,016,500
Solano	\$481,000
Sonoma	\$661,600

This project cost data leads to several conclusions regarding labor standard considerations:

- A regional focus on construction costs is vital to addressing affordable housing needs.
- The cost differentials between counties cannot be attributable solely to prevailing wage obligations, which are typically born by nonprofit developers in all counties.
- Certain labor standards may increase construction costs.<sup>1</sup>

In this high-cost environment, rigorous attention to all cost drivers is necessary to effectively bring costs down. Strict labor standards are one cost driver, among others, that require careful balancing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A 2021 study by RAND of Los Angeles' Measure HHH bond is one of the most recent, California-specific studies of the impacts of project labor agreements on the construction of affordable housing. The study concluded that inclusion of a PLA requirement increased costs by 15% and ultimately resulted in approximately 800 fewer affordable units. See Ward, Jason M., The Effects of Project Labor Agreements on the Production of Affordable Housing: Evidence from Proposition HHH. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, 2021. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research\_reports/RRA1362-1.html.

#### **Advisory Committee**

March 28, 2024 Agenda Item 6.a.

BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan – Labor Standards

#### **Next Steps**

Staff will continue to work with organized labor and affordable housing partners on proposed labor standards and will return to the Joint Housing Committees in April with recommended standards for inclusion in BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan.

#### Issues:

The prospect of a state legislative amendment to BAHFA's Regional Housing Act regarding labor standards requires close scrutiny, as it would significantly impact counties' and direct-allocation cities' own expenditure plans and development programs. The imposition of a single labor standard through an amendment of the Act would lead to a "one-size-fits-all" approach that may raise concerns about local control.

#### Recommended Action:

Information

#### Attachments:

A. Presentation

Tremies

Reviewed:

**Andrew Fremier** 



# BAHFA's Regional Expenditure Plan – Labor Standards

# 2024 Affordable Housing General Obligation Bond

BAHFA Advisory Committee March 28, 2024





# Recap

- BAHFA's 20% Regional Housing Revenue must be spent according to a Regional Expenditure Plan.
- On 1/25/24, the Advisory Committee adopted a resolution recommending approval of the Regional Expenditure Plan.
- On 2/14/24, the Joint Housing Committees referred for adoption BAHFA's draft Regional Expenditure Plan to their respective Boards.
  - The referral was subject to additional discussion on labor standards that could be incorporated into the Regional Expenditure Plan prior to final adoption.
- On 3/13/24, staff presented an informational update to the Joint Housing Committees with context and considerations for a regional labor standard.







# **Legal Limitations**

- BAHFA does not have the legal authority to impose labor standards on the 80% of funds directly administered by the counties and direct allocation cities.
- If the state legislature were to amend the San Francisco Bay Area Regional Housing Finance Act at, BAHFA would be bound by state law, as amended.
  - However, due to federal law about project labor agreements (PLAs), BAHFA could not impose that standard on the counties even with amended state legislation.





## **Potential BAHFA Labor Standards**

### For BAHFA's 20% of bond funds, the landscape of labor standards includes:

Standard	Brief Description
Baseline (CA Labor Code)	Prevailing wage with exceptions
<b>AB 2011</b> (Wicks, 2022)	<ol> <li>Enforceable prevailing wage requirement (no exceptions)</li> <li>For 50+ units, requires health care payment</li> <li>For 50+ units, requires approved apprenticeship participation</li> </ol>
<b>SB 423</b> (Wiener, 2023)	<ul> <li>AB 2011 plus "skilled and trained" requirement for projects over 85 feet</li> <li>100% affordable projects are exempt</li> </ul>
"Skilled and Trained"	<ul> <li>Generally, limits workforce to skilled journeymen and graduates of approved apprenticeship programs</li> </ul>
Project Labor Agreements (PLAs)	<ul> <li>Could take many forms; likely scenario is BAHFA requires borrowers to sign PLAs with Building Trades Councils where project is located</li> <li>Set various terms including wages, health care &amp; pension payments, contractor eligibility, dispute resolution, and worksite conditions</li> </ul>







# **Context: Balancing Multiple Goals**

### Establishing labor standards for BAHFA requires balancing interrelated goals:

- Expand worker protections in the Bay Area's affordable housing construction industry
- Produce and preserve affordable housing at the scale necessary to meet the needs of Bay Area residents
- Pursue innovative financing and development strategies to deliver affordable housing more cost-effectively and swiftly





# **Expanding Worker Protections: Developer Cohorts**

Two different categories of developers build affordable housing:

### 1. Nonprofit Developers:

- Most likely borrowers of BAHFA funds; typically already pay prevailing wages, deep community engagement, subject to enhanced regulations; high project costs
- Expansion: AB 2011 standards or stronger would add healthcare & training requirements in parts of the region
  - Must balance concerns about impacts to project cost and viability.

### 2. For-Profit Developers:

- Typically forego local funds; less regulatory oversight (e.g., no prevailing wages)
- Expansion: Any standard would improve worker protections, but only if developers choose to borrow from BAHFA
  - Must balance goal of broad developer engagement and efficient financing







# **Production at Scale: Recent Trends**

During the last Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) Cycle, the Bay Area dramatically underproduced affordable housing.

Income Targets	2015-2023 Cycle 5 RHNA	2015-2023 Units Permitted	% RHNA Permitted
Very Low-Income (0-50% AMI)	46,680	18,751	40%
Low-Income (50-80% AMI)	28,940	16,025	55%
Moderate-Income (80-120% of AMI)	33,420	20,071	60%
Above Moderate-Income (Above 120% of AMI)	78,950	163,018	203%
<b>Total Units</b>	187,990	217,865	116%

# **Production at Scale: Increased Need**

State-mandated housing targets for the current RHNA Cycle have more than doubled and far outpace recent trends.

Income Targets	2015-2023 Cycle 5 RHNA	2015-2023 Units Permitted	2023-2031 Cycle 6 RHNA
Very Low-Income	46,680	18,751	114,442
Low-Income	28,940	16,025	65,892
Moderate-Income	33,420	20,071	72,712
Above Moderate-Income	78,950	163,018	188,130
<b>Total Units</b>	187,990	217,865	441,176

# **Costs: Complexity and Burdens of Local Funds**

Affordable housing construction costs are high across the region, with the complexity and regulatory burden of local funds driving costs even higher in nearly every county.

County	Avg. Cost Per Unit w/ Local Funds	Avg. Cost Per Unit w/o Local Funds	% Cost Increase w/ Local Funds
Alameda	\$903,684	\$491,500	84%
Contra Costa	\$772,417	\$513,140	51%
Marin	n/a	\$767,873	n/a
Napa	n/a	n/a	n/a
San Francisco	\$939,826	\$734,585	28%
San Mateo	\$972,512	\$448,642	117%
Santa Clara	\$782,945	\$636,918	23%
Solano	\$687,334	\$355,303	93%
Sonoma	\$568,732	\$476,369	19%
Total:	\$835,406	\$546,806	53%

Source: Applications submitted to TCAC, 2021-2023

# **Costs: Recent Spike**

Affordable housing construction costs have increased during the last 3 years. Isolating data from 2023 CDLAC/TCAC applications reveals nearly \$1 million per unit costs in many parts of the region.

County	Avg. Cost Per Unit w/ Local Funds
Alameda	\$984,300
Contra Costa	\$998,250
San Francisco	\$916,500
San Mateo	\$998,400
Santa Clara	\$1,016,500
Solano	\$481,000
Sonoma	\$661,600

Source: Applications submitted to TCAC, 2023

## **Trade-Offs & Considerations**

- Lax labor practices in some segments of the affordable housing construction industry perpetuate poverty among affected workers.
- Exclusive or restrictive labor standards benefit some workers while negatively affecting others.
- High development costs negatively impact workers by reducing the amount of housing built and jobs created, while also reducing the potential number of stable homes for lower-income residents.
- BAHFA labor standards can "raise the bar" at regional scale. A balanced approach can protect all workers, create more jobs, and provide low-income housing urgently needed.





# **Next Steps: Decision-Making Timeline**

### March

### **Joint Housing Committees**

 Labor standards (info)

### **ABAG Exec Board**

 Preview of April decisions

### **April**

### **Joint Housing Committees**

 Labor standards (recommendation)

### **ABAG Exec Board**

- Business Plan
- Expenditure Plan (w/ labor standards)
- Initiating Resolution ("up to \$20B")

### May

### **BAHFA Board**

- Business Plan
- Expenditure Plan (w/ labor standards)
- Election Resolution (w/ Ballot Question, Full Text, Tax Rate Statement)

### June

### **BAHFA Board**

- Business Plan
- Expenditure Plan (w/ labor standards)
- Election Resolution (w/ Ballot Question, Full Text, Tax Rate Statement)

Green = action item







